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Abstract We use the Persistent Scatterer Interferometric Synthetic Aperture Radar (PS-InSAR) technique with the European Space Agency’s Envisat and ERS SAR data acquired on three neighboring descending tracks (T350, T078, and T307) to map the interseismic strain accumulation along a ~225 km long, NW-SE trending section of the North Anatolian Fault that ruptured during the 1939, 1942, and 1943 earthquakes in eastern Turkey. We derive a line-of-sight velocity map of the region with a high spatial resolution and accuracy which, together with the maps of earthquake surface ruptures, shed light on the style of continental deformation and the relationships between the loading and release of interseismic strain along segmented continental strike-slip faults. In contrast with the geometric complexities at the ground surface that appear to control rupture propagation of the 1939 event, modeling of the high-resolution PS-InSAR velocity field reveals a fairly linear and narrow throughgoing shear zone with an overall 20 ± 3 mm/yr slip rate above an unexpectedly shallow 7 ± 2 km locking depth. Such a shallow locking depth may result from the postseismic effects following recent earthquakes or from a simplified model that assumes a uniform degree of locking with depth on the fault. A narrow throughgoing shear zone supports the thick lithosphere model in which continental strike-slip faults are thought to extend as discrete shear zones through the entire crust. Fault segmentation previously reported from coseismic surface ruptures is thus likely inherited from heterogeneities in the upper crust that either preexist and/or develop during coseismic rupture propagation. The geometrical complexities that apparently persist for long periods may guide the dynamic rupture propagation surviving thousands of earthquake cycles.

1. Introduction

Continental strike-slip faults are divided along their strike into segments separated by step overs and restraining or releasing bends or combinations of the two structures [Allen, 1968; Sibson, 1989]. As predicted by laboratory and numerical experiments and inferred from field observations, geometrical fault complexities appear to persist over a long period of time surviving thousands of earthquake cycles but are eventually smoothed out with increasing cumulative offset across the fault [Sieh, 1996; Finzi et al., 2009]. By forming asperities and/or barriers, such discontinuities have long been thought to control the initiation, propagation, and termination of earthquakes along major active faults [Das and Aki, 1977; Aki, 1984; King and Yielding, 1984; Barka and Kadinsky-Cade, 1988; Wesnousky, 2006; Klinger et al., 2006]. However, how the interseismic strain accumulates along such segmented faults, particularly around geometrical heterogeneities, has not yet been clearly documented. Global Positioning System (GPS) measurements are generally too sparse for determining the pattern of strain accumulation along active faults at a kilometer scale, and conventional interferometric synthetic aperture radar (InSAR) measurements are often heavily hampered by atmospheric effects and signal decorrelation. Here, together with GPS data, we use the Persistent Scatterer synthetic aperture radar (SAR) Interferometry technique [Hooper, 2008] with Envisat and ERS satellite SAR data acquired on three neighboring descending tracks to map the interseismic strain accumulation along a ~225 km long NW-SE trending section of the North Anatolian Fault (NAF) along the Kelkit Valley in eastern Turkey (Figure 1). The strike of the NAF along the Kelkit Valley being nearly parallel to the ERS and Envisat satellites’ look direction and the relatively low vegetation due to arid to semiarid climate south of the Black Sea coastal range.
make the region ideal for using InSAR to determine interseismic strain accumulation [Wright et al., 2001]. Unlike the interferogram stacking methods previously applied to the NAF [Wright et al., 2001; Walters et al., 2011, 2014; Cavalie and Jönsson, 2014], the Persistent Scatterer SAR Interferometry (PS-InSAR) technique we use in this study provides a line-of-sight (LOS) velocity map of the region along the NAF with an unprecedented spatial resolution and accuracy as it estimates and reduces atmospheric noise. With the maps of earthquake surface ruptures [Barka and Kadinsky-Cade, 1988], our InSAR analysis allows us to improve our understanding of the relationship between the loading and release of interseismic strain along the NAF and similarly segmented strike-slip faults.

2. Seismotectonics and Segmentation of the NAF

Extending for over 1500 km from the Karlova Triple Junction in eastern Turkey to the Corinth Rift in central Greece with a concave shape and a slip rate of 2.4 cm/yr [McClusky et al., 2000], the right-lateral NAF accommodates the anticlockwise rotation of the Anatolian Plate with respect to Eurasia that is thought to result from Hellenic trench suction [Reilinger et al., 2006] and continental collision between Arabian and Eurasian Plates [Sengor et al., 1985] (Figure 1a). The small circle arc of Anatolian Plate rotation is nearly parallel to the part of the NAF studied here, imposing a predominantly strike-slip motion on it [Reilinger et al., 2006; Tatar et al., 2012]. The SAR image frames we use cover parts of the NAF that ruptured during the 1939 (Mw 7.9), 1942 (Mw 6.9), and 1943 (Mw 7.7) earthquakes, the first three events of the well-known westward migrating sequence of earthquakes in the last century along the NAF [Kondo et al., 1979] (Figure 1). The 1939 earthquake rupture initiated near Erzincan and propagated unilaterally to the west running about 250 km along the NAF [Dewey, 1976] (Figure 1a). However, instead of continuing straight northwest along the South Erbaa Fault or jumping to the north over a 10 km wide pull-apart basin at Niksar to follow the Taşova-Niksar Fault that ruptured 3 years later in 1942, the rupture propagated westward on the lower slip rate Ezinepazar Fault (also known as the Ezinepazar-Sungurlu Fault) [Erturaç and Töysüz, 2012], running for an additional 75 km into the Anatolian Plate (Figure 1b) [Yavaşoğlu et al., 2011]. Thus, the Niksar-Erbaa extensional step over appears to have acted as a barrier to westward rupture propagation during the 1939 event, diverting it from the main trace of the NAF [Barka, 1996]. The Ezinepazar Fault, extending into the interior of the Anatolian Plate, is probably an older structure that developed as part of the NAF during an early period of collision between the Anatolian and Arabian Plates [Sengor et al., 1985]. With increasing convergence and changes in plate rotations and the associated stress field, the NAF propagated northwestward forming the Niksar pull-apart basin.

Paleoseismological studies and historical documents suggest that in addition to medium-to-large-sized earthquakes, like those in the twentieth century sequence, very large earthquakes greater than magnitude 8 (like the 1668 event; Figure 1), infrequently take place on the NAF [Kondo et al., 2009; Kozaci et al., 2011; Zabci et al., 2011]. Thus, the repeatability of surface slip and associated fault segments during earthquakes...
along the NAF may not be constant; segments may not break with characteristic earthquakes along the NAF as is observed along subduction zones [Konca et al., 2008].

2.1. Velocity Field From InSAR

We generated time series and mean radar line-of-sight (LOS) interseismic velocity maps along the eastern portion of the NAF from European Space Agency’s ERS and Envisat SAR data using the PS-InSAR technique. As a multitemporal InSAR method, the PS-InSAR technique allows a diminution in the effects of signal decorrelation due to atmospheric changes, digital elevation model errors, and orbital inaccuracies by filtering in time and space and selecting only the most coherent pixels for analysis, which is necessary when studying subtle and slow deformation such as interseismic strain accumulation [Ferretti et al., 2001; Hooper, 2008]. However, temporal filtering of unevenly sampled data degraded by stratified atmospheric delays might lead to the aliasing of tropospheric delays into the estimated velocity [Jolivet et al., 2014].

We used 54 SAR images acquired on three descending tracks across the NAF: 19 on ERS track T307 between 1992 and 2000, 13 on Envisat track T078 between 2004 and 2008, and 23 on ERS track T350 between 1993 and 2000, from east to west, respectively (Figures 1 and 2). The number of images given for each track are only those which we used in our final time series calculations. Orbits that do not provide satisfactory signal correlation with the master images due to large temporal and spatial baselines are not counted or shown on baseline time plots in Figure 2. Overlapping with each other, the three tracks together cover about 255 km long continuous section of the NAF between longitudes 36.4°E and 38.8°E (Figure 1). We processed the SAR data using the software package StaMPS [Hooper, 2008; Hooper et al., 2012] with Repeat Orbit Interferometry Package [Rosen et al., 2004] for focusing the raw SAR images, Delft object-oriented radar interferometric software (DORIS) [Kampes and Usai, 1999] for calculating the interferograms, and Shuttle Radar Topography Mission (SRTM) 90 m data for the removal of the topographic phase contribution. The details of the processing procedure can be found in Hooper et al. [2012].

To account for any residual error due to imprecise orbit information in each track, a best fitting plane to the southernmost part of the velocity field that covers a presumably undeforming part of the Anatolian Plate is subtracted from the entire velocity field, producing mean LOS velocities with respect to stable Anatolia. However, some residuals (2–3 mm/yr) may remain in the velocity field due to plate rotation across the region ~100 km south of the North Anatolian Fault. During the time span of SAR data (1992–2010), there were no earthquakes in the region with magnitude >5.5 that could perturb the interseismic velocity field. Assuming that the LOS signal is due to surface motion and that the motion is predominantly horizontal and parallel to the local strike of the NAF (N72°W), we converted the mean LOS velocities to fault-parallel (FP) InSAR velocities.

Figure 2. Baseline plot of SAR images used to calculate the PS-InSAR velocity field and time series. Plotted points are labeled with the date of image acquisition (YYMMDD). Stars indicate master orbits chosen for Persistent Scatterer InSAR analyses.
velocities taking into account the viewing geometry including the local radar look angle [Lyons and Sandwell, 2003]. As shown in Figure 3, the resulting mean FP InSAR velocity field obtained from persistent scatterers with standard deviation less than 3.8 mm/yr reveals a clear picture of the interseismic deformation along the NAF. The deformation field shows a gradual change in velocity across the fault and is consistent with the right-lateral sense of motion between the Eurasian and Anatolian Plates as warm colors indicate movement away from the satellite (i.e., westerly) and cool colors toward (i.e., easterly) the satellites which are flying in descending (i.e., heading southward) orbits.

The InSAR velocity fields on all the tracks are in close agreement with GPS velocities obtained from the reprocessing of raw data from Reilinger et al. [2006], Yavaşoğlu et al. [2011], and Tatar et al. [2012] with the GAMIT/GLOBK GPS software [Herring et al., 2010] (Figure 3). Profiles of fault-parallel velocities plotted in Figure 4 together with surface velocities predicted by elastic screw dislocation models better illustrate the excellent agreement between the InSAR and GPS measurements. As can be seen in profiles P3 and P5 extracted from the overlapping sections of neighboring tracks (Figure 4), a broad consistency is also present between InSAR velocities from independent tracks, suggesting that tropospheric effects in the estimated velocity field should be insignificant. Fault-perpendicular components of GPS velocities and joint analysis of ascending and descending InSAR data [Walters et al., 2011] confirm that this section of the NAF is purely strike slip with no notable extensional or compressional strain [McClusky et al., 2000]. Therefore, the potential error in LOS-to-FP velocity transformation with an assumption of a predominantly horizontal and fault-parallel motion should be negligible.

### 3. Modeling of Interseismic Strain Accumulation

The low-level noise in the velocity fields allows us to explore potential variation in the locking depth and slip rate along the fault. The inversion of the velocity field and the related inferred fault slip at depth document the crustal deformation along the NAF. We therefore model many fault-parallel InSAR velocity profiles instead of modeling just one profile representing an entire track or a large section of it. The profiles are spaced ~10 km apart with a sampling swath width of 10 km (i.e., no overlaps between them). An average velocity with standard deviation is calculated every 100 m in 200 m bins along the profiles to smooth and reduce the number of data points to be modeled. We model these profiles using screw dislocations on an infinitely long and vertical fault in an elastic half-space following Savage and Burford [1973]. Four parameters are estimated from fault-normal InSAR profiles; slip rate at depth (mm/yr), locking depth (km), location of the fault (km) (i.e., horizontal shift), and a shift in the reference point for velocity (i.e., vertical shift). To estimate these parameters, we use a modified bootstrap procedure including a least squares optimization algorithm.

![Figure 3](image-url). Color-coded InSAR fault-parallel velocity field relative to Anatolia deduced from mean line-of-sight (LOS) measurements assuming that the radar LOS displacements are due to purely horizontal motion on the N72°W striking, vertical North Anatolian Fault (white lines). Movements away (i.e., westerly) from the satellite are shown with warm colors, and toward (i.e., easterly) the satellite are with cool colors. Numbered thick dashed lines show the location of the velocity profiles in Figure 4 with white circles on top indicating the origin of the horizontal axes of the plots (i.e., location of predicted faults). GPS velocities are in an Anatolia-fixed reference frame. The blue and red brackets show the sampling width for the GPS (50 km) and PS-InSAR (10 km) data, respectively. White arrows are GPS velocities (with four-letter site names) with 95% confidence ellipses relative to Anatolia. Green circles show the location of earthquakes $M_w > 5.0$ that took place between 1992 and 2010.
with no constraints [Segall, 2002; Tatar et al., 2012]. Bootstrap standard errors and 95% confidence limits are estimated from 500 models (Figure 5). Estimated parameters are plotted in Figure 6d where the well-known trade-off between slip rate and locking depth can be seen [Smith-Konter et al., 2011]. Except at two short sections of the fault (longitudes 36.55°E–36.75°E and 37.35°E–37.47°E) (Figure 6d), the overall slip rate is around 20 ± 3 mm/yr, which is consistent with previous estimates based on InSAR [Wright et al., 2001; Walters et al., 2011, 2014; Cavalie and Jónsson, 2014], GPS [Tatar et al., 2012], and geological studies nearby (18 ± 3.5–19.5 ± 3 mm/yr) [Hubert-Ferrari et al., 2002; Kozaci et al., 2009; Zabcı et al., 2011].

4. Discussion and Conclusions

High slip rates above ~25 mm/yr to the west and central section of the fault are inconsistent with GPS and most likely due to unmodeled atmospheric noise as visual checking of interferograms does not show clear unwrapping errors. Relatively shorter length of profiles to the west may also lead to the higher slip rates. If a 20 ± 3 mm/yr slip rate is assumed, the locking depth is constrained to be 7 ± 2 km, which is surprisingly shallow compared to previous estimates (>14 km) based on InSAR models in the region and the globally estimated depth of 14 ± 7 km by Wright et al. [2013]. It should be noted that locking depths were weakly constrained by previous InSAR and GPS studies due to lack of near-field data. The absence of well-located seismicity along this section of the NAF makes it difficult to validate the inference of shallow locking depths. Shallow locking depths that we estimate can be due to measuring the NAF during...
the early-middle interseismic stage of the earthquake cycle as the locking depth increases throughout the seismic cycle [e.g., Savage and Lisowski, 1998; Hetland and Hager, 2006]. Another possible explanation for the shallow fault locking can be the simplified model that assumes a uniform degree of locking with depth on the fault. As shown by Lindsey et al. [2013], the tapered slip rate at depth may give rise to shallow apparent locking depths. Although locking depths may vary significantly along the faults [Smith-Konter et al., 2011; Wright et al., 2013], the variation observed in the studied section of the fault should be interpreted with caution as it is clearly correlated with slip rate. The overall lower locking depths may, however, result from the relatively higher heat flow in the region [Bektas et al., 2007]. Assuming that locking depth is shallow and uniform along the fault, we model the profiles with a fixed locking depth of 7 km. The results show an overall westward increase in slip rate from 20 mm/yr to 25 mm/yr in good agreement with GPS-based estimates [Tatar et al., 2012] (Figure 6d).

While surface projections of the modeled fault segments coincide well with the mapped fault traces at both ends, those in the central sections between longitudes 37.05°E and 37.85°E step over to the north with respect to the 1939 surface ruptures (Figure 6). From east to west, the modeled fault follows the 1939 rupture for about 70 km. However, west of Koyulhisar, the modeled fault deviates from the fault trace and joins with the 1942 rupture at Niksar after running fairly straight for about another 70 km (Figure 6b). Therefore, the along-strike complexity of the NAF that apparently controlled the 1939 and 1942 ruptures disappears at
depth, an inference being supported by two independent InSAR data sets on two different overlapping tracks (i.e., T350 and T78). The shear zone is highly localized and aligns to first order with the NAF, which suggests most of the deformation concentrates on the block boundary [Cavalié and Jónsson, 2014]. Therefore, these observations support the thick lithosphere model in which major continental strike-slip faults are thought to extend through the entire crusts, and possibly the entire lithosphere, as discrete narrow damage zones [e.g., Stern and McBride, 1998; Lekić et al., 2011; Miller et al., 2014] on the contrary to the thin lithosphere model with a weak lower crust (i.e., the crème brûlée model) [Wright et al., 2013; Yamasaki et al., 2014]. This is also because interseismic deformation along strike-slip faults embedded in a weak lower crust is expected to be controlled by fault segmentation and thus be discontinuous along fault strike. However, these two models should be considered as the extreme end-member models [see Thatcher, 2007, 2009].

As can be seen from the strain curve in yellow along profile P1 of Figure 4, the shear zone widens across the Niksar–Erbaa pull-apart. Although this may potentially result from deep locking depths, it is likely a result of distributed deformation on other subparallel subsidiary faults mapped in the field. Although recent GPS measurements suggest a slip rate of up to 2 mm/yr for the Ezinepazar Fault [Yavaşoğlu et al., 2011], the PS-InSAR velocity field does not show a clear sign of interseismic strain accumulation on the Ezinepazar (1939 rupture) or South Erbaa Faults (Figures 1 and 6). The symmetry in the velocity field about the 1942 earthquake rupture suggests that interseismic strain accumulates mostly on this segment. As can be seen in profile 3 of Figure 4, there is an offset of up to 9 km between the modeled fault and the 1939 surface rupture in the central section, which introduces an asymmetry in the velocity field with respect to geologically mapped faults. Such an asymmetry in the velocity gradient may result from a nonvertical fault geometry, differences in rigidity [Lisowski et al., 1991; Le Pichon et al., 2005; Fialko, 2006], lower crustal/mantle viscosity [Malservisi et al., 2001; Huang and Johnson, 2012], or crustal thickness [Chery, 2008; Fulton et al., 2010] across the fault or from postseismic deformation [Kenner and Segall, 2003]. The fact that the asymmetry along the NAF is present only locally between Koyulhisar and Niksar suggests that it must be due to a change in fault dip at shallow depths since the modeled vertical fault is fairly straight and coincides with the mapped faults on both sides (Figure 6b). The fault dip probably steepens at depth and becomes vertical merging with a narrow shear zone at shallow crustal depths. The shallow fault dip implied (40°–60°) by the offset between the mapped and predicted faults is probable considering that the NAF follows an old suture zone here [Sengör et al., 1985] and hence may follow an old reverse fault with shallow dip. According to Barka [1996], this section of the fault experienced 2–2.5 m vertical offset, although it is not known if it was reverse or normal faulting (Figure 6c).

Despite the geometric complexities at the ground surface, the inferred simplicity of the fault zone at depth predicted by the models along the studied section of the NAF implies that fault segmentation at surface is most likely inherited from preexisting heterogeneities in the seismogenic zone during the localization of faults by repeated earthquakes [Sieh, 1996; Wesnousky, 2006; Aksoy et al., 2010]. Observations of natural fault systems and analogue laboratory experiments show that with increasing deformation (i.e., increasing cumulative offset), strike-slip faults evolve toward geometrically simple structures (i.e., planar and curvilinear geometry) since strain weakening in the seismogenic zone gives rise to zones of localized deformation and strength reduction [Sibson, 1989; Ben-Zion and Sammis, 2003]. However, heterogeneities along natural faults and dynamic processes may prevent complete localization and regularization, resulting in geometrical fault complexities such as the Niksar releasing step over that appear to endure over a long period of time surviving thousands of earthquake cycles [Chester et al., 1993; Finzi et al., 2009]. Although the Niksar pull-apart appears to be a resilient complexity along the NAF and has behaved as a barrier to rupture propagation during the 1939 earthquake, Kondo et al. [2009] suggest that it did not stop the 1668 rupture. Their inference is based on a 6 m offset associated with the 1668 event that they discovered in a paleoseismological trench across the 1942 fault rupture at Niksar. According to Kondo et al. [2009], such a large coseismic offset at the tip of a fault segment implies that rupture must have continued on the adjacent fault segment to the east, that is, on the 1939 fault segment during the 1668 event (Figure 6c). A recent paleoseismological study by Zabcić et al. [2011] suggests that the 1668 rupture likely continued eastward as far as Koyulhisar, supporting the inference of Kondo et al. [2009] (Figure 6b). Pull-apart basins showing a similar behavior to the Niksar pull-apart have also been observed elsewhere [Klinger, 2010]. For example, the Jingtaí pull-apart basin along the Haiyuan Fault (Tibet) is thought to have acted as a barrier to the propagation of most earthquakes (the most recent being the 1920 event), but let the 1092 rupture go through [Liu-Zeng et al., 2007; Jolivet et al., 2013].
Diversion of the rupture from the main fault trace or branching at fault junctions is likely controlled by the regional stress distribution (the orientation of regional maximum compressive stress relative to the main fault), slip history, fault geometry, structure, and connectivity [Poliakov et al., 2002; Schwartz et al., 2012]. Unlike the case during the 2002 Denali event [Schwartz et al., 2012], deviation of the 1939 earthquake rupture from the main fault trace on to the Ezinepazar Fault cannot be due to the differences in the accumulated strain since the most recent earthquakes on the Ezinepazar and Taşova-Nıksar Faults because the latter also ruptured coseismically just 3 years later (Figures 1b and 6b). The deviation was most likely related to the stress level of the Ezinepazar Fault and the rupture dynamics and dynamic stresses. Once the rupture jumped on to the Ezinepazar Fault, the South Erbaa and the Taşova-Nıksar Faults likely remained in a stress shadow, inhibiting and possibly delaying the failure on the Taşova-Nıksar Fault for 3 years (Figure 1). Fault geometry and structure, connectivity, and heterogeneous fault mechanical properties might have also played some role in the rupture propagation [Kaneko et al., 2010; Noda et al., 2014]. Due to its much lower slip rate (2 mm/yr), the Ezinepazar Fault, presumably, will not rupture together with the 1939 segment of the NAF during the next earthquake. This may allow a very large earthquake, like the 1668 event to occur rupturing at once all the segments that broke separately during the 1939, 1942, 1943, and 1944 events as depicted on the inset map in Figure 1 [Kondo et al., 2009; Fraser et al., 2009; Zamba et al., 2011]. The Ezinepazar Fault and its slip history may thus play a critical role in determining the size of earthquakes on the NAF.
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