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Abstract

A discretized rotation is the composition of an Euclidean rotation with a rounding operation. It is well known that not all discretized rotations are bijective: e.g., two distinct points may have the same image by a given discretized rotation. Nevertheless, for a certain subset of rotation angles, the discretized rotations are bijective. In the regular square grid, the bijective discretized rotations have been fully characterized by Nouvel and Rémiла (IWClIA’2005). We provide a simple proof that uses the arithmetical properties of Gaussian integers.

1 Introduction

A discretized rotation is the composition of an Euclidean rotation with a rounding operation to the closest grid point. It is well known that not all discretized rotations are bijective: after a discretized rotation, two distinct points may have the same image (see Fig. 1.b) or the image of all points may not partition the whole plane (the reader may look for the \textit{holes} in Fig. 1.b). Nevertheless, for a certain subset of rotation angles, the discretized rotations are bijective (an example of such discretized rotations is shown Fig. 1.a). In the regular square grid, many authors have discussed about conditions on the angle to have bijective discretized rotations. In [2], Nouvel and Rémiла have fully characterized bijective discretized rotations (necessary and sufficient conditions on rotation...
angles). We give in this paper a different proof that uses simple arithmetical properties of Gaussian integers.

In section 2, we recall the crucial properties of Gaussian integers and we give a geometrical interpretation of main arithmetical operations involving Gaussian integers. In section 3, we define a discretized rotation and characterize a certain set of rotation angles by the so-called twin Pythagorean triples. Finally, in section 4, we show theorem 1, which provides a necessary and sufficient condition for rotation angles to lead to bijective discretized rotations.

2 Gaussian integers

The Gaussian integers are the set \( \mathbb{Z}[i] := \{ u + vi \mid u, v \in \mathbb{Z} \} \), where \( i^2 = -1 \). Within the complex plane \( \mathbb{C} \), they constitute the 2-dimensional integer lattice \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \).

2.1 Main properties

As discussed in [1][pp. 182-187], Gaussian integers look like usual (or rational) integers of \( \mathbb{Z} \). Indeed, the notions of Euclidean division, prime, greatest common divisor are defined. Moreover, every Gaussian integer has a unique factorization into primes (up to order and unit multiples).

More precisely, let \( \kappa, \kappa_j \) be nonzero integers from \( \mathbb{Z}[i] \):

- The norm of \( \kappa = u + vi \), defined by \( N\kappa := \kappa \overline{\kappa} = u^2 + v^2 \) is multiplicative, i.e. \( N\kappa \kappa_1 = N\kappa N\kappa_1 \).

- The units of \( \mathbb{Z}[i] \) are the integers of norm 1, i.e. the set \( \{ \pm 1, \pm i \} \).

- Since there are several units, the product of \( \kappa = u + vi \) by any number of units, i.e. the four integers \( \pm u \pm vi \), are the associates of \( \kappa \).

- \( \kappa \) is divisible by \( \kappa_1 \) iff there exists \( \kappa_2 \) such that \( \kappa = \kappa_1 \kappa_2 \);

- A prime is an integer, neither zero nor a unit, divisible only by numbers associated to itself or 1;

- Any \( \kappa \) can be obtained as a product of primes (unique up to order and unit multiples): \( \kappa = \pi_1 \pi_2 \ldots \pi_n \).

- The greatest common divisor \( \gcd(\kappa, \kappa_1) = \kappa_2 \) is defined such that (i) \( \kappa_2 \) divides both \( \kappa \) and \( \kappa_1 \) and (ii) every common divisor of \( \kappa \) and \( \kappa_1 \) divides \( \kappa_2 \).

For a complete overview, please refer to [1]. We focus now on the geometrical interpretation of Gaussian integers.
Figure 1: In (a), the rotation by angle $\theta_a$ s.t. $\tan(\theta_a) = 4/3$ leads to a bijective discretized rotation because each digitization cell (black squares around black dots) contains one and only one rotated point (red dots). In (b), the rotation angle $\theta_b$ s.t. $\tan(\theta_b) = 8/15$ does not lead to a bijective discretized rotation: some digitization cells contain zero (holes) or two points.
2.2 Geometrical interpretation

Gaussian integers are complex numbers. The image of a Gaussian integer \( \kappa = u + vi \) is the point \((u, v)\) of the integer lattice \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \).

Let us first observe that:

- an addition by \( \kappa \) maps \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \) to \( \mathbb{Z}^2 + (u, v) \) (translation).
- a multiplication by \( \kappa \) maps \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \) to \( \mathbb{Z}(u, v) + \mathbb{Z}(-v, u) \) (rotation by angle \( \theta \) such that \( \tan(\theta) = v/u \) and scaling by \( \sqrt{N\kappa} \); see Fig. 2).

![Figure 2: A multiplication by \( \alpha := (3 + 4i) \) results in a rotation of angle \( \theta \) s.t. \( \tan(\theta) = 4/3 \) and a scaling by 5. Moreover, the image of any Gaussian integer that is a multiple of \( \alpha \) (red dots) is a point of the lattice \( \mathbb{Z}(3, 4) + \mathbb{Z}(-4, 3) \) (red grid).](image)

3 Discretized rotations

Given a Gaussian integer \( \alpha \), the Euclidean rotation is the map defined as follows:

\[
r_\alpha : \mathbb{Z}[i] \to \mathbb{C} \quad \forall \kappa \in \mathbb{Z}[i], \quad r_\alpha(\kappa) = \frac{\kappa \alpha}{\sqrt{N\alpha}}.
\]

Moreover, we focus on Pythagorean rotation angles, i.e. such that \( \sqrt{N\alpha} = c \in \mathbb{Z} \).

3.1 Pythagorean triples

Pythagorean triples are triples \((a, b, c)\) of strictly positive integers such that \(a^2 + b^2 = c^2\). Setting \( \alpha := a + bi \), Pythagorean triples provide solutions to the equation: \( N\alpha = c^2 \). Primitive Pythagorean triples are such that \( \gcd(a, b, c) = 1 \).

It is well known [1][p. 190] that for any primitive Pythagorean triple, there exists a unique pair \((p, q)\) of positive integers such that \( 0 < q < p, \gcd(p, q) = 1, \)
\( p - q \) is odd and
\[
\begin{align*}
    a &= p^2 - q^2, \\
    b &= 2pq, \\
    c &= p^2 + q^2.
\end{align*}
\]

A specific family of Pythagorean triples is the so-called twin Pythagorean triples or \((k + 1, k)\)-family, where \( p = k + 1 \) and \( q = k \).

Setting \( \gamma := p + qi \), we have on the one hand
\[
\alpha = \gamma \cdot \gamma, \tag{2}
\]
and on the other hand
\[
c = \gamma \cdot \bar{\gamma}. \tag{3}
\]

First, \( \gamma \) is neither divisible by a rational integer because \( p \) and \( q \) are coprime, nor divisible by a unit because \( p - q \) is odd. Second, we have \( \gcd(\gamma, \bar{\gamma}) = 1 \) because if we denote \( \gamma = \pi_1 \pi_2 \ldots \pi_n \), we have \( \bar{\gamma} = \bar{\pi}_1 \bar{\pi}_2 \ldots \bar{\pi}_n \) and thus no factor of \( \gamma \) is also a factor of \( \bar{\gamma} \). As a consequence, since \( \gamma \) divides both \( \alpha \) and \( c \), we have
\[
\gcd(\alpha, c) = \gamma. \tag{4}
\]

### 3.2 Discretization

For any \( \kappa = u + iv \), let the discretization cell of \( \kappa \) be defined as follows:
\[
D(\kappa) = \left\{ z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C} \mid \begin{cases}
    u - 1/2 \leq (u + x) < u + 1/2 \\
    v - 1/2 \leq (v + y) < v + 1/2
\end{cases} \right\}.
\]

Geometrically, the discretization cell is an isothetic unit square around an integer point (see Fig. 1).

The rounding function is now defined as a function \( \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{Z}[i] \) such that \( \forall z = x + iy \in \mathbb{C}, \lfloor z \rfloor \) is the unique Gaussian integer such that \( z \in D(\lfloor z \rfloor) \).

Let us denote by \( [r_\alpha] \) the composition of the Euclidean rotation \( r_\alpha \) and of the rounding function \([\cdot]\), i.e.
\[
[r_\alpha] : \mathbb{Z}[i] \to \mathbb{Z}[i]
\]
\[
\forall \kappa \in \mathbb{Z}[i], \ [r_\alpha](\kappa) = \left\lfloor \frac{\kappa \cdot \alpha}{\sqrt{N_\alpha}} \right\rfloor. \tag{5}
\]

The goal of the next section is to prove the following theorem:

**Theorem 1** The discretized rotation \( [r_\alpha] \) is bijective iff \( \gamma = (k+1)+ki, \ k \in \mathbb{Z}^+ \).

This result is equivalent to Nouvel and Remila’s one [2]. However, in the following section, we prove this theorem using arithmetical and geometrical properties of Gaussian integers.
4 Main result

Until now, we divide \( \kappa \cdot \alpha \) by \( \sqrt{N^2} = c \) and then we consider the result with respect to the discretization cells of the integer lattice \( \mathbb{Z}^2 \) (Eq. 5). In this section, we do not divide \( \kappa \cdot \alpha \) by \( c \), but we consider the result with respect to the discretization cells of the scaled lattice \( c\mathbb{Z}^2 \) (see Fig. 3). In this framework, we introduce the map \( s_{\alpha,c} \) defined as follows:

\[
s_{\alpha,c} : \mathbb{Z}[i] \times \mathbb{Z}[i] \to \mathbb{Z}[i] \\
\forall (\kappa, \lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}[i] \times \mathbb{Z}[i], \quad s_{\alpha,c}(\kappa, \lambda) := \kappa \cdot \alpha - \lambda \cdot c.
\]

4.1 Approach

The idea is to compare the points of the lattice \( \mathbb{Z}(a,b) + \mathbb{Z}(-b,a) \) (i.e. the images of \( \kappa \cdot \alpha, \kappa \in \mathbb{Z}[i] \), in red, Fig. 3) to the lattice \( c\mathbb{Z} \) (i.e. the images of \( \lambda \cdot c, \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}[i] \), in blue Fig. 3). However, instead of comparing any pair \((\kappa \cdot \alpha, \lambda \cdot c)\), \(\kappa, \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}[i]\), we focus either on pairs such that \( \kappa \cdot \alpha \in cD(\lambda) \), or on pairs such that \( \lambda \cdot c \in \alpha D(\kappa) \). Such pairs are depicted with arrows in Fig. 3.

Indeed, for all \( \kappa \in \mathbb{Z}[i] \), the proposition \( \lambda = [r_\alpha(\kappa)] \), i.e. \( \lambda \in D(\kappa \cdot \alpha/c) \), is equivalent to the proposition \( \lambda \cdot c \in cD(\kappa \cdot \alpha) \), which is equivalent to the proposition

\[
s_{\alpha,c}(\kappa, \lambda) \in cD(0).
\]

Similarly, for all \( \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}[i] \), the proposition \( \kappa = [r_c(\lambda)] \) is equivalent to the proposition

\[
s_{\alpha,c}(\kappa, \lambda) \in \alpha D(0).
\]

Hence, we focus now on possible values of \( s_{\alpha,c}(\kappa, \lambda) \) that belong to \( cD(0) \) or \( \alpha D(0) \).

4.2 (Reduced) sets of remainders

Since \( \gcd(\alpha, c) = \gamma \) due to Eq. 4, \( \gamma \) divides \( \alpha \) and \( c \). Furthermore, for all \( \kappa, \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}[i] \), \( \gamma \) also divides \( s_{\alpha,c}(\kappa, \lambda) \). Thus, we have:

\[
\forall (\kappa, \lambda) \in \mathbb{Z}[i] \times \mathbb{Z}[i], \quad s_{\alpha,c}(\kappa, \lambda) = \gamma s_{\gamma,\tilde{\gamma}}(\kappa, \lambda).
\]

(7)

Since \( \gcd(\gamma, \tilde{\gamma}) = 1 \) and from Bézout’s identity, there exists a family of solutions \( \{(\kappa_0 + \tau \tilde{\gamma}, \lambda_0 + \tau \gamma)\}, \tau \in \mathbb{Z}[i] \), to the equation

\[
s_{\gamma,\tilde{\gamma}}(\kappa, \lambda) = \kappa \cdot \gamma - \lambda \cdot \tilde{\gamma} = 1.
\]

(8)

We can conclude that for all \( \kappa, \lambda \in \mathbb{Z}[i] \), \( s_{\gamma,\tilde{\gamma}}(\kappa, \lambda) \) can have any possible values, whereas multiples of \( \gamma \) are the only possible values of \( s_{\alpha,c}(\kappa, \lambda) \).

Let \( S_\gamma \) (resp. \( S_\tilde{\gamma} \)) be the reduced set of remainders defined such that \( S_\gamma = \{ \rho \in \mathbb{Z}[i] \mid \rho \in \gamma D(0) \} \) (resp. \( S_\tilde{\gamma} = \{ \rho \in \mathbb{Z}[i] \mid \rho \in \gamma D(0) \} \)). As illustrated in Fig. 4, these two sets are two sets of integer points lying into two different squares. As illustrated in Fig. 5, there is no loss of generality to compare these reduced sets.

It remains to compare the two reduced sets of remainders \( S_\gamma \) and \( S_\tilde{\gamma} \), because the discretized rotation \( [r_\alpha] \) is bijective iff \( S_\gamma = S_\tilde{\gamma} \).
Figure 3: In (a) (resp. (b)), discretization cells of the lattice $5\mathbb{Z}^2$ (resp. $17\mathbb{Z}^2$) are depicted in blue, whereas discretization cells of the lattice $\mathbb{Z}(3, 4) + \mathbb{Z}(-4, 3)$ (resp. $\mathbb{Z}(15, 8) + \mathbb{Z}(-8, 15)$) are depicted in red. In both subfigures, blue (resp. red) arrows associate every red (resp. blue) point to the center of the blue (resp. red) discretization cell it belongs to. We use green for arrows that must be both blue and red.
Figure 4: In (a), the reduced sets of remainders $S_{2+i}$ and $S_{2-i}$. In (b), the reduced sets of remainders $S_{4+i}$ and $S_{4-i}$. Note that $S_{2+i} = S_{2-i}$ but $S_{4+i} \neq S_{4-i}$.

Figure 5: In (a), the sets of multiples of $(2 + i)$ that belong to $(3 + 4i)D(0)$ and $5D(0)$. In (b), the sets of multiples of $(4 + i)$ that belong to $(15 + 8i)D(0)$ and $17D(0)$. 
4.3 Geometry of the reduced sets of remainders

We first show that \( S_\gamma \not= S_\gamma \) if \( p > q + 1 \) (i). Then, we show that \( S_\gamma = S_\gamma \) if \( p = q + 1 \) (ii).

To show (i), we exhibit a Gaussian integer that belongs to \( S_\gamma \) but not to \( S_\gamma \) if \( p > q + 1 \). Without loss of generality, we multiply everything by \((1 + i)\) so that the vertices of the discretization cells \((1 + i)\gamma D(0)\) and \((1 + i)\gamma D(0)\) are Gaussian integers (see Fig. 6). Let \( \zeta \) be equal to \( \gamma - 1 = (p - 1) + qi \). It is easy to see that \( \zeta \in (1 + i)\gamma D(0) \). We now want to show that it does not belong to \((1 + i)\gamma D(0)\) because

\[
(p + q)(p - 1) + (p - q)(q - 1) - p^2 - q^2 > 0,
\]

i.e. \( i\gamma, \bar{\gamma} \) and \( \zeta \) are counter-clockwise oriented.

Developing Eq. 9 we get

\[
2q(p - q) - p - q > 0.
\]

If we write \( p = q + e \), we obtain:

\[
e > \frac{2q}{2q - 1},
\]

which is always true if \( q > 1 \) and \( e > 1 \) or if \( q = 1 \) and \( e > 2 \).

![Figure 6: Example for \( \gamma = 4 + i \). The discretization cells \((1 + i)\gamma D(0)\) and \((1 + i)\gamma D(0)\) are respectively depicted with red and blue. The images of \( i\gamma \) and \( \bar{\gamma} \) are depicted with blue dots, whereas \( \zeta = \gamma - 1 \) is depicted with a red dot. These three points are counter-clockwise oriented, which means that \( S_\gamma \not= S_\gamma \) for the pair \( p = 4 \) and \( q = 1 \).](image)

To show (ii), it is enough to see that if \( p = q + 1 \), the boundaries of the discretization cells \( \gamma D(0) \) and \( \bar{\gamma} D(0) \) lie between two consecutive \( L_1 \) balls of integral radius \( q \) and \( p = q + 1 \), which means that \( S_\gamma = S_\gamma \) (see Fig. 4).

From (i) and (ii), we finally have theorem 1.
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