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Abstract

The aim of this paper is to obtain an asymptotic expansion for ergodic integrals of translation flows on flat surfaces of higher genus (Theorem \ref{thm:asymptotic_expansion}) and to give a limit theorem for these flows (Theorem \ref{thm:limit_theorem}).
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1 Introduction.

1.1 Outline of the main results.

A compact Riemann surface endowed with an abelian differential admits two natural flows, called, respectively, horizontal and vertical. One of the main objects of this paper is the space $\mathcal{B}^+$ of H"older cocycles over the vertical flow, invariant under the holonomy by the horizontal flow. Equivalently, cocycles in $\mathcal{B}^+$ can be viewed, in the spirit of R. Kenyon [30] and F. Bonahon [8], [9], as finitely-additive transverse invariant measures for the horizontal foliation of our abelian differential. Cocycles in $\mathcal{B}^+$ are closely connected to the invariant distributions for translation flows in the sense of G.Forni [21].

The space $\mathcal{B}^+$ is finite-dimensional, and for a generic abelian differential the dimension of $\mathcal{B}^+$ is equal to the genus of the underlying surface. Theorem 1, which extends earlier work of A.Zorich [49] and G. Forni [21], states that the time integral of a Lipschitz function under the vertical flow can be uniformly approximated by a suitably chosen cocycle from $\mathcal{B}^+$ up to an error that grows more slowly than any power of time. The renormalizing action of the Teichm"uller flow on the space of H"older cocycles now allows one to obtain limit theorems for translation flows on flat surfaces (Theorem 2).

The statement of Theorem 2 can be informally summarized as follows. Taking the leading term in the asymptotic expansion of Theorem 1 to a generic abelian differential one assigns a compactly supported probability measure on the space of continuous functions on the unit interval. The normalized distribution of the time integral of a Lipschitz function converges, with respect to weak topology, to the trajectory of the corresponding “asymptotic distribution” under the action of the Teichm"uller flow. Convergence is exponential with respect to both the Lévy-Prohorov and the Kantorovich-Rubinstein metric.

1.2 H"older cocycles over translation flows.

Let $\rho \geq 2$ be an integer, let $M$ be a compact orientable surface of genus $\rho$, and let $\omega$ be a holomorphic one-form on $M$. Denote by $\nu = i(\omega \wedge \bar{\omega})/2$ the area form
induced by $\omega$ and assume that $\nu(M) = 1$.

Let $h^+_i$ be the \textit{vertical} flow on $M$ (i.e., the flow corresponding to $\Re(\omega)$); let $h^-_i$ be the \textit{horizontal} flow on $M$ (i.e., the flow corresponding to $\Im(\omega)$). The flows $h^+_i$, $h^-_i$ preserve the area $\nu$.

Take $x \in M$, $t_1, t_2 \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and assume that the closure of the set
\[
\{h^+_1 h^-_2 x, 0 \leq t_1 < t_2, 0 \leq t_2 < t_3\}
\] does not contain zeros of the form $\omega$. The set is then called \textit{an admissible rectangle} and denoted $\Pi(x,t_1,t_2)$. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be the semi-ring of admissible rectangles.

Consider the linear space $\mathcal{B}^+$ of Hölder cocycles $\Phi^+(x,t)$ over the vertical flow $h^+_1$ which are invariant under horizontal holonomy. More precisely, a function $\Phi^+(x,t) : M \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ belongs to the space $\mathcal{B}^+$ if it satisfies:

\begin{enumerate}
  \item $\Phi^+(x,t+s) = \Phi^+(x,t) + \Phi^+(h^+_1 x, s)$;
  \item There exists $t_0 > 0$, $\theta > 0$ such that $|\Phi^+(x,t)| \leq t^\theta$ for all $x \in M$ and all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $|t| < t_0$;
  \item If $\Pi(x,t_1,t_2)$ is an admissible rectangle, then $\Phi^+(x,t_1) = \Phi^+(h^-_1 x, t_1)$.
\end{enumerate}

A cocycle $\Phi^+ \in \mathcal{B}^+$ can equivalently be thought of as a finitely-additive Hölder measure defined on all arcs $\gamma = [x,h^+_1 x]$ of the vertical flow and invariant under the horizontal flow. It will often be convenient to identify the cocycle with the corresponding finitely-additive measure. For example, let $\nu^+$ be the Lebesgue measure on leaves of the vertical foliation; the corresponding cocycle $\Phi^+_1$ defined by $\Phi^+_1(x,t) = t$ of course belongs to $\mathcal{B}^+$.

In the same way define the space $\mathcal{B}^-$ of Hölder cocycles $\Phi^-(x,t)$ over the horizontal flow $h^-_1$ which are invariant under vertical holonomy. A cocycle $\Phi^- \in \mathcal{B}^-$ can equivalently be thought of as a finitely-additive Hölder measure defined on all arcs $\tilde{\gamma} = [x,h^-_1 x]$ of the horizontal flow and invariant under the vertical flow. Let $\nu^-$ be the Lebesgue measure on leaves of the horizontal foliation; the corresponding cocycle $\Phi^-_1$ is defined by the formula $\Phi^-_1(x,t) = t$; of course, $\Phi^-_1 \in \mathcal{B}^-$. Given $\Phi^+ \in \mathcal{B}^+$, $\Phi^- \in \mathcal{B}^-$, a finitely additive measure $\Phi^+ \times \Phi^-$ on the semi-ring $\mathcal{C}$ of admissible rectangles is introduced by the formula
\[
\Phi^+ \times \Phi^-(\Pi(x,t_1,t_2)) = \Phi^+(x,t_1) \cdot \Phi^-(x,t_2).
\]

In particular, for $\Phi^- \in \mathcal{B}^-$, set $m_{\Phi^-} = \nu^+ \times \Phi^-:
\[
m_{\Phi^-}(\Pi(x,t_1,t_2)) = t_1 \Phi^-(x,t_2).
\]
For any $\Phi^- \in \mathcal{B}^-$ the measure $m_{\Phi^-}$ satisfies $(h^+_1)^* m_{\Phi^-} = m_{\Phi^-}$ and is an invariant distribution in the sense of G. Forni [20, 21]. For instance, $m_{\Phi^-} = \nu$.

An $\mathbb{R}$-linear pairing between $\mathcal{B}^+$ and $\mathcal{B}^-$ is given, for $\Phi^+ \in \mathcal{B}^+$, $\Phi^- \in \mathcal{B}^-$, by the formula
\[
\langle \Phi^+, \Phi^- \rangle = \Phi^+ \times \Phi^-(M).
\]
1.3 Characterization of cocycles.

For an abelian differential $X = (M, \omega)$ let $B_+^c(X)$ be the space of continuous holonomy-invariant cocycles: more precisely, a function $\Phi^+(x, t) : M \times \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ belongs to the space $B_+^c(X)$ if it satisfies conditions 1 and 3 of Assumption 1.1, while condition 2 is replaced by the following weaker version:

For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\delta > 0$ such that $|\Phi^+(x, t)| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $x \in M$ and all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfying $|t| < \delta$.

Given an abelian differential $X = (M, \omega)$, we now construct, following Katok [29], an explicit mapping of $B_+^c(M, \omega)$ to $H^1(M, \mathbb{R})$.

A continuous closed curve $\gamma$ on $M$ is called rectangular if $\gamma = \gamma_1^+ \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \gamma_{k_1}^+ \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \gamma_{k_2}^-$, where $\gamma_i^+$ are arcs of the flow $h_t^+$, $\gamma_i^-$ are arcs of the flow $h_t^-$. For $\Phi^+ \in B_+^c$ define

$$\Phi^+(\gamma) = \sum_{i=1}^{k_1} \Phi^+(\gamma_i^+);$$

similarly, for $\Phi^- \in B_-^c$ write

$$\Phi^-(\gamma) = \sum_{i=1}^{k_2} \Phi^-(\gamma_i^-).$$

Thus, a cocycle $\Phi^+ \in B_+$ assigns a number $\Phi^+(\gamma)$ to every closed rectangular curve $\gamma$. It is shown in Proposition 1.22 below that if $\gamma$ is homologous to $\gamma'$, then $\Phi^+(\gamma) = \Phi^+(\gamma')$. For an abelian differential $X = (M, \omega)$, we thus obtain maps

$$\tilde{I}^+_X : B_+^c(X) \to H^1(M, \mathbb{R}), \quad \tilde{I}^-_X : B_-^c(X) \to H^1(M, \mathbb{R}). \quad (5)$$

For a generic abelian differential, the image of $B_+$ under the map $\tilde{I}^+_X$ is the strictly unstable space of the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle over the Teichmüller flow.

More precisely, let $\kappa = (\kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_\sigma)$ be a nonnegative integer vector such that $\kappa_1 + \cdots + \kappa_\sigma = 2\rho - 2$. Denote by $M_\kappa$ the moduli space of pairs $(M, \omega)$, where $M$ is a Riemann surface of genus $\rho$ and $\omega$ is a holomorphic differential of area 1 with singularities of orders $\kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_\sigma$. The space $M_\kappa$ is often called the stratum in the moduli space of abelian differentials.

The Teichmüller flow $g_s$ on $M_\kappa$ sends the modulus of a pair $(M, \omega)$ to the modulus of the pair $(M, \omega')$, where $\omega' = e^{s\Re(\omega)} + ie^{-s\Im(\omega)}$; the new complex structure on $M$ is uniquely determined by the requirement that the form $\omega'$ be holomorphic. As shown by Veech, the space $M_\kappa$ need not be connected; let $H$ be a connected component of $M_\kappa$.

Let $H^1(H)$ be the fibre bundle over $H$ whose fibre at a point $(M, \omega)$ is the homology group $H^1(M, \mathbb{R})$. The bundle $H^1(H)$ carries the Gauss-Manin connection which declares continuous integer-valued sections of our bundle to be flat and is uniquely defined by that requirement. Parallel transport with
respect to the Gauss-Manin connection along the orbits of the Teichmüller flow yields a cocycle over the Teichmüller flow, called the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle and denoted $A = A_{KZ}$.

Let $\mathbb{P}$ be a $g_s$-invariant ergodic probability measure on $\mathcal{H}$. For $X \in \mathcal{H}$, $X = (M, \omega)$, let $\mathcal{B}_X^+, \mathcal{B}_X^-$ be the corresponding spaces of Hölder cocycles.

Denote by $E_X^+ \subset H^1(M, \mathbb{R})$ the space spanned by vectors corresponding to the positive Lyapunov exponents of the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle, by $E_X^- \subset H^1(M, \mathbb{R})$ the space spanned by vectors corresponding to the negative exponents of the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle.

**Proposition 1.2.** For $\mathbb{P}$-almost all $X \in \mathcal{H}$ the map $\tilde{I}_X^+$ takes $\mathcal{B}_X^+$ isomorphically onto $E_X^+$, the map $\tilde{I}_X^-$ takes $\mathcal{B}_X^-$ isomorphically onto $E_X^-$. The pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ is nondegenerate and is taken by the isomorphisms $\tilde{I}_X^+$, $\tilde{I}_X^-$ to the cup-product in the cohomology $H^1(M, \mathbb{R})$.

**Remark.** In particular, if $\mathbb{P}$ is the Masur-Veech “smooth” measure $[35, 38]$, then $\dim \mathcal{B}_X^+ = \dim \mathcal{B}_X^- = \rho$.

**Remark.** The isomorphisms $\tilde{I}_X^+$, $\tilde{I}_X^-$ are analogues of G. Forni’s isomorphism $[21]$ between his space of invariant distributions and the unstable space of the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle.

Now recall that to every cocycle $\Phi^- \in \mathcal{B}_X^-$ we have assigned a finitely-additive Hölder measure $m_{\Phi^-}$ invariant under the flow $h_t^-$. Considering these measures as distributions in the sense of Sobolev and Schwartz, we arrive at the following proposition.

**Proposition 1.3.** Let $\mathbb{P}$ be an ergodic $g_s$ - invariant probability measure on $\mathcal{H}$. The for $\mathbb{P}$-almost every abelian differential $(M, \omega)$ the space $\{m_{\Phi^-}, \Phi^- \in \mathcal{B}_X^-\}$ coincides with the space of $h_t^-$-invariant distributions belonging to the Sobolev space $H^{-1}$.

**Proof.** By definition for any $\Phi^+ \in \mathcal{B}_X^+$ the distribution $m_{\Phi^+}$ is $h_t^+$-invariant and belongs to the Sobolev space $H^{-1}$. G. Forni has shown that for any $g_s$-invariant ergodic measure $\mathbb{P}$ and $\mathbb{P}$-almost every abelian differential $(M, \omega)$, the dimension of the space of $h_t^+$-invariant distributions belonging to the Sobolev space $H^{-1}$ does not exceed the dimension of the strictly expanding Oseledets subspace of the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle (under mild additional assumption on the measure $\mathbb{P}$). G. Forni proved that these dimensions are in fact equal, see Theorem 8.3 and Corollary 8.3’ in [21]; note, however, that the proof of the upper bound in Forni’s Theorem only uses ergodicity of the measure). Since the dimension of the space $\{m_{\Phi^-}, \Phi^- \in \mathcal{B}_X^-\}$ equals that of the strictly expanding space for the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle for $\mathbb{P}$-almost all $(M, \omega)$, the proposition is proved completely.

Consider the inverse isomorphisms

$$\tilde{I}_X^+ = (\tilde{I}_X^-)^{-1}; \quad \tilde{I}_X^- = (\tilde{I}_X^+)^{-1}. $$
Let $1 = \theta_1 > \theta_2 > \cdots > \theta_l > 0$ be the distinct positive Lyapunov exponents of the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle $A_{KZ}$, and let

$$E^{u}_X = \bigoplus_{i=1}^l E^{u}_{X, \theta_i}$$

be the corresponding Oseledets decomposition at $X$.

**Proposition 1.4.** Let $v \in E^{u}_{X, \theta_i}$, $v \neq 0$, and denote $\Phi^+ = I^+_X(v)$. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ the cocycle $\Phi^+$ satisfies the Hölder condition with exponent $\theta_i - \varepsilon$ and for any $x \in M(X)$ such that $h^+_t x$ is defined for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$\limsup_{T \to \infty} \frac{\log |\Phi^+(x, T)|}{\log T} = \theta_i; \quad \limsup_{T \to 0} \frac{\log |\Phi^+(x, T)|}{\log T} = \theta_i.$$

**Proposition 1.5.** If the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle does not have zero Lyapunov exponent with respect to $P$, then $\mathcal{B}^+_c(X) = \mathcal{B}^+_c(X)$.

**Remark.** The condition of the absence of zero Lyapunov exponents can be weakened: it suffices to require that the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle act isometrically on the neutral Oseledets subspace corresponding to the Lyapunov exponent zero. Isometric action means here that there exists an inner product which depends measurably on the point in the stratum and which is invariant under the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle. In all known examples (see, e.g., [24]) the action of the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle on its neutral Lyapunov subspace is isometric; note, however, that the examples of [24] mainly concern measures invariant under the action of the whole group $SL(2, \mathbb{R})$.

**Question.** Does there exist a $g_s$-invariant ergodic probability measure $P'$ on $\mathcal{H}$ such that the inclusion $\mathcal{B}^+_c \subset \mathcal{B}^+_c$ is proper almost surely with respect to $P'$?

**Remark.** G. Forni has made the following remark. To a cocycle $\Phi^+ \in \mathcal{B}^+_c$ assign a $1$-current $\beta_{\Phi^+}$, defined, for a smooth 1-form $\eta$ on the surface $M$, by the formula

$$\beta_{\Phi^+}(\eta) = \int_M \Phi^+ \wedge \eta,$$

where the integral in the right hand side is defined as the limit of Riemann sums. The resulting current $\beta_{\Phi^+}$ is a basic current for the horizontal foliation.

The mapping of Hölder cocycles into the cohomology $H^1(M, \mathbb{R})$ of the surface corresponds to G. Forni’s map that to each basic current assigns its cohomology class (the latter is well-defined by the de Rham Theorem). In particular, it follows that for any ergodic $g_s$-invariant probability measure $P$ on $\mathcal{H}$ and $P$-almost every abelian differential $(M, \omega)$ every basic current from the Sobolev space $H^{-1}$ is induced by a Hölder cocycle $\Phi^+ \in \mathcal{B}^+_c(M, \omega)$.
1.4 Approximation of weakly Lipschitz functions.

1.4.1 The space of weakly Lipschitz functions.

The space of Lipschitz functions is not invariant under \( h^t \), and a larger function space \( \text{Lip}^+_w(M, \omega) \) of weakly Lipschitz functions is introduced as follows. A bounded measurable function \( f \) belongs to \( \text{Lip}^+_w(M, \omega) \) if there exists a constant \( C \), depending only on \( f \), such that for any admissible rectangle \( \Pi(x, t_1, t_2) \) we have

\[
\left| \int_0^{t_1} f(h^t x) dt - \int_0^{t_1} f(h^t (h^t_{t_2} x) dt) \right| \leq C. \tag{6}
\]

Let \( C_f \) be the infimum of all \( C \) satisfying (6). We norm \( \text{Lip}^+_w(M, \omega) \) by setting

\[
\|f\|_{\text{Lip}^+_w} = \sup_M f + C_f.
\]

By definition, the space \( \text{Lip}^+_w(M, \omega) \) contains all Lipschitz functions on \( M \) and is invariant under \( h^t \). If \( \Pi \) is an admissible rectangle, then its characteristic function \( \chi_{\Pi} \) is weakly Lipschitz (I am grateful to C. Ulcigrai for this remark).

We denote by \( \text{Lip}^+_{w,0}(M, \omega) \) the subspace of \( \text{Lip}^+_w(M, \omega) \) of functions whose integral with respect to \( \nu \) is 0.

For any \( f \in \text{Lip}^+_w(M, \omega) \) and any \( \Phi^- \in \mathfrak{B}^- \) the integral \( \int_M f dm_{\Phi^-} \) can be defined as the limit of Riemann sums.

1.4.2 The cocycle corresponding to a weakly Lipschitz function.

If the pairing \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \) induces an isomorphism between \( \mathfrak{B}^+ \) and the dual \( (\mathfrak{B}^-)^* \), then one can assign to a function \( f \in \text{Lip}^+_w(M, \omega) \) the functional \( \Phi_f^+ \) by the formula

\[
\langle \Phi_f^+, \Phi^- \rangle = \int_M f dm_{\Phi^-}, \Phi^- \in \mathfrak{B}^- . \tag{7}
\]

By definition, \( \Phi_{f \circ h_t}^+ = \Phi_f^+ \). We are now proceed to the formulation of the first main result of this paper, the Approximation Theorem.

**Theorem 1.** Let \( \mathbb{P} \) be an ergodic probability \( g_s \)-invariant measure on \( \mathcal{H} \). For any \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there exists a constant \( C_\varepsilon \) depending only on \( \mathbb{P} \) such that for \( \mathbb{P} \)-almost every \( X \in \mathcal{H} \), any \( f \in \text{Lip}^+_w(X) \), any \( x \in M \) and any \( T > 0 \) we have

\[
\left| \int_0^T f \circ h_t^+ (x) dt - \Phi_f^+ (x, T) \right| \leq C_\varepsilon \|f\|_{\text{Lip}^+_w}(1 + T^\varepsilon).
\]

1.4.3 Invariant measures with simple Lyapunov spectrum.

Consider the case in which the Lyapunov spectrum of the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle is simple in restriction to the space \( E^u \) (as, by the Avila-Viana theorem, is the case with the Masur-Veech smooth measure). Let \( l_0 = \dim E^u \) and let

\[
1 = \theta_1 > \theta_2 > \cdots > \theta_{l_0} \tag{8}
\]
be the corresponding simple expanding Lyapunov exponents.

Let $\Phi^+_1$ be given by the formula $\Phi^+_1(x, t) = t$ and introduce a basis $\Phi^+_1, \Phi^+_2, \ldots, \Phi^+_l$ in $\mathcal{B}^+_X$ in such a way that $\mathcal{I}^+_X(\Phi^+_i)$ lies in the Lyapunov subspace with exponent $\theta_i$. By Proposition 1.4, for any $\varepsilon > 0$ the cocycle $\Phi^+_i$ satisfies the Hölder condition with exponent $\theta_i - \varepsilon$, and for any $x \in M(X)$ we have

$$\limsup_{T \to \infty} \frac{\log |\Phi^+_i(x, T)|}{\log T} = \theta_i; \quad \limsup_{T \to 0} \frac{\log |\Phi^+_i(x, T)|}{\log T} = \theta_i.$$  

Let $\Phi^-_1, \ldots, \Phi^-_l$ be the dual basis in $\mathcal{B}^-_X$. Clearly, $\Phi^-_1(x, t) = t$.

By definition, we have

$$\Phi^+_f = \sum_{i=1}^l m_{\Phi^-_i}(f) \Phi^+_i. \quad (10)$$

Noting that by definition we have

$$m_{\Phi^-_i} = \nu,$$

we derive from Theorem 1 the following corollary.

**Corollary 1.6.** Let $\mathcal{P}$ be an invariant ergodic probability measure for the Teichmüller flow such that with respect to $\mathcal{P}$ the Lyapunov spectrum of the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle is simple in restriction to its strictly expanding subspace.

Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a constant $C_\varepsilon$ depending only on $\mathcal{P}$ such that for $\mathcal{P}$-almost every $X \in \mathcal{H}$, any $f \in \text{Lip}^+_w(X)$, any $x \in X$ and any $T > 0$ we have

$$\left| \int_0^T f \circ h^+_t(x) dt - T \left( \int_M f \, d\nu \right) - \sum_{i=2}^l m_{\Phi^-_i}(f) \Phi^+_i(x, T) \right| \leq C_\varepsilon ||f||_{\text{Lip}^+_w}(1 + T^\varepsilon).$$

For horocycle flows a related asymptotic expansion has been obtained by Flaminio and Forni [19].

**Remark.** If $\mathcal{P}$ is the Masur-Veech smooth measure on $\mathcal{H}$, then it follows from the work of G. Forni [20], [21], [22] and S. Marmi, P. Moussa, J.-C. Yoccoz [33] that the left-hand side is bounded for any $f \in C^{1+\varepsilon}(M)$ (in fact, for any $f$ in the Sobolev space $H^{1+\varepsilon}$). In particular, if $f \in C^{1+\varepsilon}(M)$ and $\Phi^+_f = 0$, then $f$ is a coboundary.

### 1.5 Holonomy invariant transverse finitely-additive measures for oriented measured foliations.

Holonomy-invariant cocycles assigned to an abelian differential can be interpreted as transverse invariant measures for its foliations in the spirit of Kenyon [20] and Bonahon [8, 9].
Let \( M \) be a compact oriented surface of genus at least two, and let \( F \) be a minimal oriented measured foliation on \( M \). Denote by \( m_F \) the transverse invariant measure of \( F \). If \( \gamma = \gamma(t), t \in [0, T] \) is a smooth curve on \( M \), and \( s_1, s_2 \) satisfy \( 0 \leq s_1 < s_2 \leq T \), then we denote by \( \text{res}_{[s_1, s_2]} \gamma \) the curve \( \gamma(t), t \in [s_1, s_2] \).

Let \( B_c(F) \) be the space of uniformly continuous finitely-additive transverse invariant measures for \( F \). In other words, a map \( \Phi \) which to every smooth arc \( \gamma \) transverse to \( F \) assigns a real number \( \Phi(\gamma) \) belongs to the space \( B_c(F) \) if it satisfies the following:

**Assumption 1.7.**

1. (finite additivity) For \( \gamma = \gamma(t), t \in [0, T] \) and any \( s \in (0, T) \), we have
   \[
   \Phi(\gamma) = \Phi(\text{res}_{[0, s]} \gamma) + \Phi(\text{res}_{[s, T]} \gamma);
   \]
2. (uniform continuity) for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there exists \( \delta > 0 \) such that for any transverse arc \( \gamma \) satisfying \( m_F(\gamma) < \delta \) we have \( |\Phi(\gamma)| < \varepsilon \);
3. (holonomy invariance) the value \( \Phi(\gamma) \) does not change if \( \gamma \) is deformed in such a way that it stays transverse to \( F \) while the endpoints of \( \gamma \) stay on their respective leaves.

A measure \( \Phi \in B_c(F) \) is called Hölder with exponent \( \theta \) if there exists \( \varepsilon_0 > 0 \) such that for any transverse arc \( \gamma \) satisfying \( m_F(\gamma) < \varepsilon_0 \) we have
\[
|\Phi(\gamma)| \leq (m_F(\gamma))^\theta.
\]

Let \( B(F) \subset B_c(F) \) be the subspace of Hölder transverse measures.

As before, we have a natural map
\[
I_F : B_c(F) \to H^1(M, \mathbb{R})
\]
defined as follows. For a smooth closed curve \( \gamma \) on \( M \) and a measure \( \Phi \in B_c(F) \) the integral \( \int \gamma d\Phi \) is well-defined as the limit of Riemann sums; by holonomy-invariance and continuity of \( \Phi \), this operation descends to homology and assigns to \( \Phi \) an element of \( H^1(M, \mathbb{R}) \).

Now take an abelian differential \( \mathbf{X} = (M, \omega) \) and let \( F^- \mathbf{X} \) be its horizontal foliation. We have a “tautological” isomorphism between \( B_c(F^- \mathbf{X}) \) and \( B^+ \mathbf{X} \): every transverse measure for the horizontal foliation induces a cocycle for the vertical foliation and vice versa; to a Hölder measure corresponds a Hölder cocycle. For brevity, write \( I_{\mathbf{X}} = I_{F^- \mathbf{X}} \). Denote by \( E^- \mathbf{X} \subset H^1(M, \mathbb{R}) \) the unstable subspace of the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle of the abelian differential \( \mathbf{X} = (M, \omega) \).

Theorem 1.4 and Proposition 1.5 yield the following

**Corollary 1.8.** Let \( \mathbb{P} \) be a Borel probability measure on \( \mathcal{H} \) invariant and ergodic under the action of the Teichmüller flow \( g_t \). Then for almost every abelian differential \( \mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{H} \) the map \( I_{\mathbf{X}} \) takes \( B(F^- \mathbf{X}) \) isomorphically onto \( E^- \mathbf{X} \).

If the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle does not have zero Lyapunov exponents with respect to \( \mathbb{P} \), then for almost all \( \mathbf{X} \in \mathcal{H} \) we have \( B_c(F^- \mathbf{X}) = B(F^- \mathbf{X}) \).
In other words, in the absence of zero Lyapunov exponents all continuous transverse finitely-additive invariant measures are in fact Hölder.

**Remark.** As before, the condition of the absence of zero Lyapunov exponents can be weakened: it suffices to require that the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle act isometrically on the Oseledets subspace corresponding to the Lyapunov exponent zero.

By definition, the space $B(F_X)$ only depends on the horizontal foliation of our abelian differential; so does $E^0_X$.

1.6 Finitely-additive invariant measures for interval exchange transformations.

1.6.1 The space of invariant continuous finitely-additive measures.

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $\Delta_{m-1}$ be the standard unit simplex

$$\Delta_{m-1} = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{R}_+^m, \lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m), \lambda_i > 0, \sum_{i=1}^m \lambda_i = 1 \}.$$

Let $\pi$ be a permutation of $\{1, \ldots, m\}$ satisfying the irreducibility condition: we have $\pi\{1, \ldots, k\} = \{1, \ldots, k\}$ if and only if $k = m$.

On the half-open interval $I = [0, 1)$ consider the points

$$\beta_1 = 0, \quad \beta_i = \sum_{j<i} \lambda_j, \quad \beta_i^{\pi} = 0, \quad \beta_i^{\pi} = \sum_{j<i} \lambda_{\pi^{-1}j}$$

and denote $I_i = [\beta_i, \beta_{i+1})$, $I_i^\pi = [\beta_i^{\pi}, \beta_{i+1}^{\pi})$. The length of $I_i$ is $\lambda_i$, while the length of $I_i^\pi$ is $\lambda_{\pi^{-1}i}$. Set

$$T_{(\lambda, \pi)}(x) = x + \beta_{\pi i}^{\pi} - \beta_i \text{ for } x \in I_i.$$

The map $T_{(\lambda, \pi)}$ is called an interval exchange transformation corresponding to $(\lambda, \pi)$. By definition, the map $T_{(\lambda, \pi)}$ is invertible and preserves the Lebesgue measure on $I$. By the theorem of Masur [35] and Veech [38], for any irreducible permutation $\pi$ and for Lebesgue-almost all $\lambda \in \Delta_{m-1}$, the corresponding interval exchange transformation $T_{(\lambda, \pi)}$ is uniquely ergodic: the Lebesgue measure is the only invariant probability measure for $T_{(\lambda, \pi)}$.

Consider the space of complex-valued continuous finitely-additive invariant measures for $T_{(\lambda, \pi)}$.

More precisely, let $\mathcal{B}c(T_{(\lambda, \pi)})$ be the space of all continuous functions $\Phi : [0, 1] \to \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

1. $\Phi(0) = 0$;

2. if $0 \leq t_1 < t_2 < 1$ and $T_{(\lambda, \pi)}$ is continuous on $[t_1, t_2]$, then $\Phi(t_1) - \Phi(t_2) = \Phi(T_{(\lambda, \pi)}(t_1)) - \Phi(T_{(\lambda, \pi)}(t_2))$. 
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Each function $\Phi$ induces a finitely-additive measure on $[0, 1]$ defined on the semi-ring of subintervals (for instance, the function $\Phi_1(t) = t$ yields the Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]$).

Let $\mathcal{B}(T_{(\lambda, \pi)})$ be the subspace of Hölder functions $\Phi \in \mathcal{B}_c(T_{(\lambda, \pi)})$.

The classification of Hölder cocycles over translation flows and the asymptotic formula of Theorem 1 now yield the classification of the space $\mathcal{B}(T_{(\lambda, \pi)})$ and an asymptotic expansion for time averages of almost all interval exchange maps.

### 1.6.2 The approximation of ergodic sums

Let $X = (M, \omega)$ be an abelian differential, and let $I \subset M$ be a closed interval lying on a leaf of a horizontal foliation. The vertical flow $h_t^+$ induces an interval exchange map $T_I$ on $I$, namely, the Poincaré first return map of the flow. By definition, there is a natural tautological identification of the spaces $\mathcal{B}_c(T_I)$ and $\mathcal{B}^{-}(X)$, as well as of the spaces $\mathcal{B}(T_I)$ and $\mathcal{B}^{-}(X)$.

For $x \in M$, let $\tau_I(x) = \min \{ t \geq 0 : h_t^+x \in I \}$. Note that the function $\tau_I$ is uniformly bounded on $M$. Now take a Lipschitz function $f$ on $I$, and introduce a function $\tilde{f}$ on $M$ by the formula

$$\tilde{f}(x) = \frac{f(h_{\tau_I(x)}^+x)}{\tau_I(x)}$$

(setting $\tilde{f}(x) = 0$ for points at which $\tau_I$ is not defined).

By definition, the function $\tilde{f}$ is weakly Lipschitz, and Theorem 1 is applicable to $\tilde{f}$.

The ergodic integrals of $\tilde{f}$ under $h_t^+$ are of course closely related to ergodic sums of $f$ under $T_I$, and for any $N \in \mathbb{N}$, $x \in I$, there exists a time $t(x, N) \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\int_0^{t(x, N)} \tilde{f} \circ h_s^+(x) \, ds = \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} f \circ T_k^+(x).$$

By the Birkhoff–Khintchine Ergodic Theorem we have

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{t(x, N)}{N} = \frac{1}{\text{Leb}(I)},$$

where $\text{Leb}(I)$ stands for the length of $I$.

Furthermore, Theorem 1 yields the existence of constants $C(I) > 0$, $\theta \in (0, 1)$, such that for all $x \in I$, $N \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$\left| t(x, N) - \frac{N}{\text{Leb}(I)} \right| \leq C(I) \cdot N^\theta. \quad (11)$$

Indeed, the interval $I$ induces a decomposition of our surface into weakly admissible rectangles $\Pi_1, \ldots, \Pi_m$; denote by $h_i$ the height of the rectangle $\Pi_i$. 


and introduce a weakly Lipschitz function that assumes the constant value $\frac{1}{n_i}$ on each rectangle $\Pi_i$. Applying Theorem 1 to this function we arrive at desired estimate.

In view of the estimate (11), Theorem 1 applied to the function $\tilde{f}$ now yields the following Corollary.

**Corollary 1.9.** Let $\mathbb{P}$ be a $g_s$-invariant ergodic probability measure on $\mathcal{H}$. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $C_\varepsilon > 0$ depending only on $\mathbb{P}$ such that the following holds.

For almost every abelian differential $X \in \mathcal{H}, X = (M, \omega)$, any horizontal closed interval $I \subset M$, any Lipschitz function $f : I \to \mathbb{R}$, any $x \in I$ and all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$\left| \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} f \circ T_{\tilde{f}}^k(x) - \Phi_{\tilde{f}}^+(x, N) \right| \leq C_\varepsilon \|f\|_{Lip} N^\varepsilon.$$

**Proof.** Applying Theorem 1 to $\tilde{f}$, using the estimate (11) and noting that the weakly Lipschitz norm of $\tilde{f}$ is majorated by the Lipschitz norm of $f$, we obtain the desired Corollary.

Let $\theta_1 > \theta_2 > \ldots > \theta_{l_0} > 0$ be the distinct positive Lyapunov exponents of the measure $\mathbb{P}$, and let $d_1 = 1, d_2, \ldots, d_{l_0}$ be the dimensions of the corresponding subspaces. The tautological identification of $\mathcal{B}(T_I)$ and $\mathcal{B}^-(X)$ together with the results of the previous Corollary now implies Zorich-type estimates for the growth of ergodic sums of $T_I$. More precisely, we have the following

**Corollary 1.10.** In the assumptions of the preceding Corollary, the space $\mathcal{B}(T_I)$ admits a flag of subspaces

$$0 = \mathcal{B}_0 \subset \mathcal{B}_1 = \mathbb{R}Leb_I \subset \mathcal{B}_2 \subset \ldots \subset \mathcal{B}_{l_0} = \mathcal{B}(T_I)$$

such that any finitely-additive measure $\Phi \in \mathcal{B}_i$ in Hölder with exponent $\frac{\theta_i}{\theta_1} - \varepsilon$ for any $\varepsilon > 0$ and that for any Lipschitz function $f : I \to \mathbb{R}$ and for any $x \in I$ we have

$$\limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{\log \left| \sum_{k=0}^{N-1} f(T_I^k(x)) \right|}{\log N} = \frac{\theta_i(f)}{\theta_1},$$

where $i(f) = 1 + \max \{ j : \int_I f d\Phi = 0 \text{ for all } \Phi \in \mathcal{B}_j \}$ and by convention we set $\theta_{l_0+1} = 0$.

If with respect to the measure $\mathbb{P}$ the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle acts isometrically on its neutral subspaces, then we also have $\mathcal{B}_c(T_I) = \mathcal{B}(T_I)$.

**Remark.** Corollaries 1.9, 1.10 thus yield the asymptotic expansion in terms of Hölder cocycles as well as Zorich-type logarithmic estimates for almost all interval exchange transformations with respect to any conservative ergodic measure $\mu$ on the space of interval exchange transformations, invariant under the Rauzy-Veech induction map and such that the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle is log-integrable with respect to $\mu$. 

13
In particular, for the Lebesgue measure, if we let \( \mathcal{R} \) be the Rauzy class of the permutation \( \pi \), then, using the simplicity of the Lyapunov spectrum given by the Avila-Viana theorem \([4]\), we obtain

**Corollary 1.11.** For any irreducible permutation \( \pi \) and for Lebesgue-almost all \( \lambda \) all continuous finitely-additive measures are Hölder: we have

\[
\mathcal{B}(T_{(\lambda, \pi)}) = \mathcal{B}_c(T_{(\lambda, \pi)}).
\]

For any irreducible permutation \( \pi \) there exists a natural number \( \rho = \rho(\mathcal{R}) \) depending only on the Rauzy class of \( \pi \) and such that

1. for Lebesgue-almost all \( \lambda \) we have \( \dim \mathcal{B}(\lambda, \pi) = \rho \);
2. all the spaces \( \mathcal{B}_i \) are one-dimensional and \( l_0 = \rho \).

The second statement of Corollary 1.10 recovers, in the case of the Lebesgue measure on the space of interval exchange transformations, the Zorich logarithmic asymptotics for ergodic sums \([49]\), \([50]\).

**Remark.** Objects related to finitely-additive measures for interval exchange transformations have been studied by X. Bressaud, P. Hubert and A. Maass in \([10]\) and by S. Marmi, P. Moussa and J.-C. Yoccoz in \([34]\). In particular, the “limit shapes” of \([34]\) can be viewed as graphs of the cocycles \( \Phi^+(x, t) \) considered as functions in \( t \).

### 1.7 Limit theorems for translation flows.

#### 1.7.1 Time integrals as random variables.

As before, \((M, \omega)\) is an abelian differential, and \( h_\pi^+, h_\pi^- \) are, respectively, its vertical and horizontal flows. Take \( \tau \in [0, 1], \) \( s \in \mathbb{R}, \) a real-valued \( f \in \text{Lip}^{+}_{\omega, 0}(M, \omega) \) and introduce the function

\[
\mathcal{S}[f, s, \tau, x] = \int_0^{\tau \exp(s)} f \circ h_\pi^+(x) dt.
\]  

(12)

For fixed \( f, s \) and \( x \) the quantity \( \mathcal{S}[f, s, \tau, x] \) is a continuous function of \( \tau \in [0, 1] \); therefore, as \( x \) varies in the probability space \((M, \nu)\), we obtain a random element of \( C[0, 1] \). In other words, we have a random variable

\[
\mathcal{S}[f, s] : (M, \nu) \to C[0, 1]
\]  

(13)

defined by the formula (12).

For any fixed \( \tau \in [0, 1] \) the formula (12) yields a real-valued random variable

\[
\mathcal{S}[f, s; \tau] : (M, \nu) \to \mathbb{R},
\]  

(14)

whose expectation, by definition, is zero.

Our first aim is to estimate the growth of its variance as \( s \to \infty \). Without losing generality, one may take \( \tau = 1 \).
1.7.2 The growth rate of the variance in the case of a simple second Lyapunov exponent.

Let $\mathbb{P}$ be an invariant ergodic probability measure for the Teichmüller flow such that with respect to $\mathbb{P}$ the second Lyapunov exponent $\theta_2$ of the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle is positive and simple (recall that, as Veech and Forni showed, the first one, $\theta_1 = 1$, is always simple \[12\] \[21\] and that, by the Avila-Viana theorem \[4\], the second one is simple for the Masur-Veech smooth measure).

For an abelian differential $X = (M, \omega)$, denote by $E^+_X$ the one-dimensional subspace in $H^1(M, \mathbb{R})$ corresponding to the second Lyapunov exponent $\theta_2$, and let $B^+_X = I^+(E^+_X)$. Similarly, denote by $E^-_X$ the one-dimensional subspace in $H^1(M, \mathbb{R})$ corresponding to the Lyapunov exponent $-\theta_2$, and let $B^-_X = I^-(E^-_X)$.

Recall that the space $H^1(M, \mathbb{R})$ is endowed with the Hodge norm $|\cdot|_H$; the isomorphisms $I^\pm_X$ take the Hodge norm to a norm on $B^\pm_X$; slightly abusing notation, we denote the latter norm by the same symbol.

Introduce a multiplicative cocycle $H_2(s, X)$ over the Teichmüller flow $g_s$ by taking $v \in E^+_X$, $v \neq 0$, and setting

$$H_2(s, X) = \frac{|A(s, X)v|_H}{|v|_H}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)

The Hodge norm is chosen only for concreteness in (15); any other norm can be used instead.

By definition, we have

$$\lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{\log H_2(s, X)}{s} = \theta_2.$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)

Now take $\Phi^+_X \in B^+_X$, $\Phi^-_X \in B^-_X$ in such a way that $\langle \Phi^+_X, \Phi^-_X \rangle = 1$.

**Proposition 1.12.** There exists $\alpha > 0$ depending only on $\mathbb{P}$ and positive measurable functions

$$C : \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R}_+, \quad V : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R}_+, \quad s_0 : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R}_+$$

such that the following is true for $\mathbb{P}$-almost all $X \in \mathcal{H}$.

If $f \in Lip^{1,0}_w(X)$ satisfies $m_{\Phi^-_X}(f) \neq 0$, then for all $s \geq s_0(X)$ we have

$$\left| \frac{\text{Var}_V(f, x, e^s)}{V(g_sX)(m_{\Phi^-_X}(f)|\Phi^+_X|H_2(s, X))^2} - 1 \right| \leq C(X, g_sX) \exp(-\alpha s).$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

**Remark.** Observe that the quantity $(m_{\Phi^-_X}(f)|\Phi^+_X|)^2$ does not depend on the specific choice of $\Phi^+_X \in B^+_X$, $\Phi^-_X \in B^-_X$ such that $\langle \Phi^+_X, \Phi^-_X \rangle = 1$.

**Remark.** Note that by theorems of Egorov and Luzin, the estimate (17) holds uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathcal{H}$ of probability arbitrarily close to 1. Proposition 1.12 is based on
Proposition 1.13. There exists a positive measurable function $V : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ such that for $\mathbb{P}$-almost all $X \in \mathcal{H}$, we have

$$Var_{\nu}(X)\Phi_2^+(x,e^s) = V(g_sX)|\Phi_2^+(x)|^2(H_2(s,X))^2.$$  \hfill (18)

In particular $Var_{\nu}\Phi_2^+(x,e^s) \neq 0$ for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$. The function $V(X)$ is given by

$$V(X) = \frac{Var_{\nu}(X)\Phi_2^+(x,1)}{|\Phi_2^+(x)|^2}.$$  

Observe that the right-hand side does not depend on a particular choice of $\Phi_2^+ \in \mathcal{B}_2^+, X, \Phi_2^+ \neq 0$.

1.7.3 The limit theorem in the case of a simple second Lyapunov exponent.

Go back to the $C[0,1]$-valued random variable $S[f,s]$ and denote by $m[f,s]$ the distribution of the normalized random variable

$$\frac{\mathcal{G}[f,s]}{\sqrt{Var_{\nu}\mathcal{G}[f,s;1]}}.$$  \hfill (19)

The measure $m[f,s]$ is thus a probability distribution on the space $C[0,1]$ of continuous functions on the unit interval.

For $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $\tau \neq 0$, we also let $m[f,s;\tau]$ be the distribution of the $\mathbb{R}$-valued random variable

$$\frac{\mathcal{G}[f,s;\tau]}{\sqrt{Var_{\nu}\mathcal{G}[f,s;\tau]}}.$$  \hfill (20)

If $f$ has zero average, then, by definition, $m[f,s;\tau]$ is a measure on $\mathbb{R}$ of expectation 0 and variance 1.

By definition, $m[f,s]$ is a Borel probability measure on $C[0,1]$; furthermore, if $\xi = \xi(\tau) \in C[0,1]$, then the following natural normalization requirements hold for $\xi$ with respect to $m[f,s]$:  

1. $\xi(0) = 0$ almost surely with respect to $m[f,s]$;  
2. $E_{m[f,s]}\xi(\tau) = 0$ for all $\tau \in [0,1]$;  
3. $Var_{m[f,s]}\xi(1) = 1$.

We are interested in the weak accumulation points of $m[f,s]$ as $s \to \infty$.

Consider the space $\mathcal{H}'$ given by the formula

$$\mathcal{H}' = \{X' = (M,\omega,v), v \in E_2^+(M,\omega), |v|_H = 1\}.$$  

By definition, the space $\mathcal{H}'$ is a $\mathbb{P}$-almost surely defined two-to-one cover of the space $\mathcal{H}$. The skew-product flow of the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle over the Teichmüller flow yields a flow $g'_s$ on $\mathcal{H}'$ given by the formula

$$g'_s(X,v) = (g_sX, \frac{A(s,X)v}{|A(s,X)v|_H}).$$
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Given $X' \in \mathcal{H}'$, set 

$$\Phi_{2,X'}^+ = \mathcal{I}^+(v).$$

Take $\tilde{v} \in E^-_2 (M, \omega)$ such that $(v, \tilde{v}) = 1$ and set 

$$\Phi_{2,X'}^- = \mathcal{I}^-(v), \ m_{2,X'}^- = m_{\Phi_{2,X'}}^-.$$

Let $\mathfrak{M}$ be the space of all probability distributions on $C[0,1]$ and introduce a $\mathbb{P}$-almost surely defined map $D^+_2 : \mathcal{H}' \rightarrow \mathfrak{M}$ by setting $D^+_2 (X')$ to be the distribution of the $C[0,1]$-valued normalized random variable

$$\frac{\Phi_{2,X'}^+(x, \tau)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}_m \Phi_{2,X'}^+(x,1)}}, \ \tau \in [0,1].$$

By definition, $D^+_2 (X')$ is a Borel probability measure on the space $C[0,1]$; it is, besides, a compactly supported measure as its support consists of equi-bounded H"older functions with exponent $\theta_2/\theta_1 - \varepsilon$.

Consider the set $\mathfrak{M}_1$ of probability measures $m$ on $C[0,1]$ satisfying, for $\xi \in C[0,1], \xi = \xi(t)$, the conditions:

1. the equality $\xi(0) = 0$ holds $m$-almost surely;
2. for all $\tau$ we have $E_m \xi(\tau) = 0$;
3. we have $\text{Var}_m \xi(1) = 1$ and for any $\tau \neq 0$ we have $\text{Var}_m \xi(\tau) \neq 0$.

It will be proved in what follows that $D^+_2 (\mathcal{H}') \subset \mathfrak{M}_1$.

Consider a semi-flow $J_s$ on the space $C[0,1]$ defined by the formula

$$J_s \xi(t) = \xi(e^{-s} t), \ s \geq 0.$$

Introduce a semi-flow $G_s$ on $\mathfrak{M}_1$ by the formula

$$G_s m = \frac{(J_s)_* m}{\text{Var}_m (\xi(\exp(-s)))}, m \in \mathfrak{M}_1. \quad (21)$$

By definition, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{H}' & \xrightarrow{D^+_2} & \mathfrak{M}_1 \\
\downarrow g_s & & \uparrow G_s \\
\mathcal{H}' & \xrightarrow{D^+_2} & \mathfrak{M}_1
\end{array}$$

is commutative.

Let $d_{LP}$ be the Lévy-Prohorov metric and let $d_{KR}$ be the Kantorovich-Rubinstein metric on the space of probability measures on $C[0,1]$ (see [6], [7] and the Appendix).

We are now ready to formulate the main result of this subsection.
Proposition 1.14. Let $\mathbb{P}$ be a $g_s$-invariant ergodic probability measure on $\mathcal{H}$ such that the second Lyapunov exponent of the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle is positive and simple with respect to $\mathbb{P}$.

There exists a positive measurable function $C : \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R}_+$ and a positive constant $\alpha$ depending only on $\mathbb{P}$ such that for $\mathbb{P}$-almost every $X' \in \mathcal{H}$, $X' = (X, v)$, and any $f \in \text{Lip}_w^0(X)$ satisfying $m_{2X'}(f) > 0$ we have

\[
d_{LP}(m[f, s], D^g_s(X')) \leq C(X, g_s X) \exp(-\alpha s). \tag{22}
\]

\[
d_{KR}(m[f, s], D^g_s(X')) \leq C(X, g_s X) \exp(-\alpha s). \tag{23}
\]

Now fix $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $m^2(X', \tau)$ be the distribution of the $\mathbb{R}$-valued random variable

$$\frac{\Phi^+_2(x, \tau)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}_v \Phi^+_2(x, \tau)}}$$

For brevity, write $m^2(X', 1) = m^2(X')$.

Proposition 1.15. For $\mathbb{P}$-almost any $X' \in \mathcal{H}$, the measure $m^2(X', \tau)$ admits atoms for a dense set of times $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$.

A more general proposition on the existence of atoms will be formulated in the following subsection.

Proposition 1.14 implies that the omega-limit set of the family $m[f, s]$ can generically assume at most two values. More precisely, the ergodic measure $\mathbb{P}$ on $\mathcal{H}$ is naturally lifted to its “double cover” on the space $\mathcal{H}'$: each point in the fibre is assigned equal weight; the resulting measure is denoted $\mathbb{P}'$. By definition, the measure $\mathbb{P}'$ has no more than two ergodic components. We therefore arrive at the following

Corollary 1.16. Let $\mathbb{P}$ be a $g_s$-invariant ergodic probability measure on $\mathcal{H}$ such that the second Lyapunov exponent of the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle is positive and simple with respect to $\mathbb{P}$.

There exist two closed sets $\mathcal{N}_1, \mathcal{N}_2 \subset \mathcal{M}$ such that for $\mathbb{P}$-almost every $X \in \mathcal{H}$ and any $f \in \text{Lip}_w^0(X)$ satisfying $\Phi^+_f \neq 0$ the omega-limit set of the family $m[f, s]$ either coincides with $\mathcal{N}_1$ or with $\mathcal{N}_2$. If, additionally, the measure $\mathbb{P}'$ is ergodic, then $\mathcal{N}_1 = \mathcal{N}_2$.

Question. Do the sets $\mathcal{N}_i$ contain measures with non-compact support?

For horocycle flows on compact surfaces of constant negative curvature, compactness of support for all weak accumulation points of ergodic integrals has been obtained by Flaminio and Forni [19].

Question. Is the measure $\mathbb{P}'$ ergodic when $\mathbb{P}$ is the Masur-Veech measure?

As we shall see in the next subsection, in general, the omega-limit sets of the distributions of the $\mathbb{R}$-valued random variables $\mathcal{S}[f, s; 1]$ contain the delta-measure at zero. As a consequence, it will develop that, under certain assumptions on the measure $\mathbb{P}$, which are satisfied, in particular, for the Masur-Veech smooth measure, for a generic abelian differential the random variables $\mathcal{S}[f, s; 1]$ do not converge in distribution, as $s \to \infty$, for any function $f \in \text{Lip}_{w,0}$ such that $\Phi^+_f \neq 0$. 
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1.7.4 The general case

While, by the Avila-Viana Theorem [4], the Lyapunov spectrum of the Masur-Veech measure is simple, there are also natural examples of invariant measures with non-simple positive second Lyapunov exponent due to Eskin-Kontsevich-Zorich [17], G. Forni [23], C. Matheus (see Appendix A.1 in [23]). A slightly more elaborate, but similar, construction is needed to obtain limit theorems in this general case.

Let $P$ be an invariant ergodic probability measure for the Teichmüller flow, and let $	heta_1 = 1 > \theta_2 > \ldots > \theta_{l_0} > 0$ be the distinct positive Lyapunov exponents of the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle with respect to $P$. We assume $l_0 \geq 2$.

As before, for $X \in \mathcal{H}$ and $i = 2, \ldots, l_0$, let $E_i^+(X)$ be the corresponding Oseledets subspaces, and let $\mathcal{B}_i^+(X)$ be the corresponding spaces of cocycles. To make notation lighter, we omit the symbol $X$ when the abelian differential is held fixed.

For $f \in Lip_w^+(X)$ we now write

$$\Phi^+_f = \Phi^+_{1,f} + \Phi^+_{2,f} + \ldots + \Phi^+_{l_0,f},$$

with $\Phi^+_{i,f} \in \mathcal{B}_i^+$ and, of course, with

$$\Phi^+_{1,f} = (\int_M f d\nu) \cdot \nu^+,$$

where $\nu^+$ is the Lebesgue measure on the vertical foliation.

For each $i = 2, \ldots, l_0$ introduce a measurable fibre bundle

$$S^{(i)} \mathcal{H} = \{ (X, v) : X \in \mathcal{H}, v \in E_i^+, |v| = 1 \}.$$

The flow $g_s$ is naturally lifted to the space $S^{(i)} \mathcal{H}$ by the formula

$$g^S_s (X, v) = \left( g_s X, \frac{A(s, X)v}{|A(s, X)v|} \right).$$

The growth of the norm of vectors $v \in E_i^+$ is controlled by the multiplicative cocycle $H_i$ over the flow $g^S_s$ defined by the formula

$$H_i(s, (X, v)) = \frac{A(s, X)v}{|v|}.$$

For $X \in \mathcal{H}$ and $f \in Lip_w^+(X)$ satisfying $\Phi^+_f \neq 0$, denote

$$i(f) = \min \{ j : \Phi^+_{f,j} \neq 0 \}.$$
Define $v_f \in E^{u}_{i(f)}$ by the formula

$$\mathcal{I}_{X}^{v_f} = \frac{\Phi^{+}_{f,i(f)}}{|\Phi^{+}_{f,i(f)}|}.$$  

The growth of the variance of the ergodic integral of a weakly Lipschitz function $f$ is also, similarly to the case of the simple second Lyapunov exponent, described by the cocycle $H_{i(f)}$ in the following way.

**Proposition 1.17.** There exists $\alpha > 0$ depending only on $\mathbb{P}$ and, for any $i = 2, \ldots, l_{0}$, positive measurable functions $V^{i}(f)$, $C^{i}(f)$ such that for $\mathbb{P}$-almost every $X \in \mathcal{H}$ the following holds. Let $f \in \text{Lip}^{+}_{w,0}(X)$ satisfy $\Phi^{+}_{f} \neq 0$.

Then for all $s > 0$ we have

$$\text{Var}_{\nu}(\mathcal{S}(f, s; 1)) \leq C^{(i(f))}(X, g, X) e^{-\alpha s}.$$  

We proceed to the formulation and the proof of the limit theorem in the general case. For $i = 2, \ldots, l_{0}$, introduce the map

$$D_{i}^{+} : \mathcal{S}^{(i)} \mathcal{H} \to \mathfrak{M}_{1}$$

by setting $D_{i}^{+}(X, v)$ to be the distribution of the $C[0, 1]$-valued random variable

$$\frac{\Phi^{+}_{i}(x, \tau)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}_{\nu}(\Phi^{+}_{i}(x, 1))}}, \tau \in [0, 1].$$

As before, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{S}^{(i)} \mathcal{H} & \xrightarrow{D_{i}^{+}} & \mathfrak{M}_{1} \\
\downarrow G^{(i)} & & \\
\mathcal{S}^{(i)} \mathcal{H} & \xrightarrow{D_{i}^{+}} & \mathfrak{M}_{1}
\end{array}$$

The measure $m[f, s]$, as before, stands for the distribution of the $C[0, 1]$-valued random variable

$$\tau \exp(s) \int_{0}^{\exp(s)} f \circ h^{+}_{i}(x) dt$$

$$\sqrt{\text{Var}_{\nu}(\tau \exp(s) \int_{0}^{\exp(s)} f \circ h^{+}_{i}(x) dt)}$$

\[20\]
Theorem 2. Let $\mathbb{P}$ be an invariant ergodic probability measure for the Teichmüller flow such that the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle admits at least two distinct positive Lyapunov exponents with respect to $\mathbb{P}$. There exists a constant $\alpha > 0$ depending only on $\mathbb{P}$ and a positive measurable map $\mathcal{C} : \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R}^+$ such that for $\mathbb{P}$-almost every $X \in \mathcal{H}$ and any $f \in \text{Lip}_w^+(X)$ we have

\[
d_{LP}(\mathbb{P}[f, s] \circ D^+_f(g_s^{\theta(f)}(X, v_f))) \leq C(X, g_s, X) e^{-\alpha s},
\]
\[
d_{KR}(\mathbb{P}[f, s] \circ D^+_f(g_s^{\theta(f)}(X, v_f))) \leq C(X, g_s, X) e^{-\alpha s}.
\]

1.7.5 Atoms of limit distributions.

For $\Phi^+ \in \mathcal{B}^+(X)$, let $m[\Phi^+, \tau]$ be the distribution of the $\mathbb{R}$-valued random variable $\frac{\Phi^+(x, \tau)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}_x \Phi^+(x, \tau)}}$.

Proposition 1.18. For $\mathbb{P}$-almost every $X \in \mathcal{H}$, there exists a dense set $T_{\text{atom}} \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that if $\tau \in T_{\text{atom}}$, then for any $\Phi^+ \in \mathcal{B}^+(X)$, $\Phi^+ \neq 0$, the measure $m(\Phi^+, \tau)$ admits atoms.

1.7.6 Nonconvergence in distribution of ergodic integrals.

Our next aim is to show that along certain subsequences of times the ergodic integrals of translation flows converge in distribution to the measure $\delta_0$, the delta-mass at zero. Weak convergence of probability measures will be denoted by the symbol $\Rightarrow$.

We need the following additional assumption on the measure $\mathbb{P}$.

Assumption 1.19. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ the set of abelian differentials $X = (M, \omega)$ such that there exists an admissible rectangle $\Pi(x, t_1, t_2) \subset M$ with $t_1 > 1 - \varepsilon$, $t_2 > 1 - \varepsilon$ has positive measure with respect to $\mathbb{P}$.

Of course, this assumption holds for the Masur-Veech smooth measure.

Proposition 1.20. Let $\mathbb{P}$ be an ergodic $g_s$-invariant measure on $\mathcal{H}$ satisfying Assumption 1.19. Then for $\mathbb{P}$-almost every $X \in \mathcal{H}$ there exists a sequence $\tau_n \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that for any $\Phi^+ \in \mathcal{B}^+(X)$, $\Phi^+ \neq 0$, we have $m[\Phi^+, \tau_n] \Rightarrow \delta_0$ in $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ as $n \to \infty$.

Theorem 2 now implies the following

Corollary 1.21. Let $\mathbb{P}$ be an ergodic $g_s$-invariant measure on $\mathcal{H}$ satisfying Assumption 1.19. Then for $\mathbb{P}$-almost every $X \in \mathcal{H}$ there exists a sequence $s_n \in \mathbb{R}^+$ such that for any $f \in \text{Lip}_w^+(X)$ satisfying $\Phi_f^+ \neq 0$ we have $m[f, s_n; 1] \Rightarrow \delta_0$ in $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ as $n \to \infty$.

Consequently, if $f \in \text{Lip}_w^+(X)$ satisfies $\Phi_f^+ \neq 0$, then the family of measures $m[f, \tau; 1]$ does not converge in $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R})$ and the family of measures $m[f, \tau]$ does not converge in $\mathcal{M}(C[0, 1])$ as $\tau \to \infty$. 
1.8 The mapping into cohomology.

In this subsection we show that for an arbitrary abelian differential \( X = (M, \omega) \) the map
\[
\tilde{I}_X : \mathcal{B}_c^+(M, \omega) \to H^1(M, \mathbb{R})
\]
given by (5) is indeed well-defined.

**Proposition 1.22.** Let \( \gamma_i, i = 1, \ldots, k, \) be rectangular closed curves such that the cycle \( \sum_{i=1}^{k} \gamma_i \) is homologous to 0. Then for any \( \Phi^+ \in \mathcal{B}_c^+ \) we have
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{k} \Phi^+(\gamma_i) = 0.
\]

Informally, Proposition 1.22 states that the relative homology of the surface with respect to zeros of the form is not needed for the description of cocycles. Arguments of this type for invariant measures of translation flows go back to Katok’s work [29].

We proceed to the formal proof. Take a fundamental polygon \( \Pi \) for \( M \) such that all its sides are simple closed rectangular curves on \( M \). Let \( \partial \Pi \) be the boundary of \( \Pi \), oriented counterclockwise. By definition,
\[
\Phi^+(\partial \Pi) = 0,
\]
since each curve of the boundary enters \( \partial \Pi \) twice and with opposite signs.

We now deform the curves \( \gamma_i \) to the boundary \( \partial \Pi \) of our fundamental polygon.

**Proposition 1.23.** Let \( \gamma \subset \Pi \) be a simple rectangular closed curve. Then
\[
\Phi^+(\gamma) = 0.
\]

Proof of Proposition 1.23.

We may assume that \( \gamma \) is oriented counterclockwise and does not contain zeros of the form \( \omega \). By Jordan’s theorem, \( \gamma \) is the boundary of a domain \( N \subset \Pi \). Let \( p_1, \ldots, p_r \) be zeros of \( \omega \) lying inside \( N \); let \( \kappa_i \) be the order of \( p_i \). Choose an arbitrary \( \varepsilon > 0 \), take \( \delta > 0 \) such that \( |\Phi^+(\gamma)| \leq \varepsilon \) as soon as the length of \( \gamma \) does not exceed \( \delta \) and consider a partition of \( N \) given by
\[
N = \Pi_1^{(\varepsilon)} \bigsqcup \cdots \bigsqcup \Pi_n^{(\varepsilon)} \bigsqcup \tilde{\Pi}_1^{(\varepsilon)} \bigsqcup \cdots \bigsqcup \tilde{\Pi}_r^{(\varepsilon)},
\]
where all \( \Pi_i^{(\varepsilon)} \) are admissible rectangles and \( \tilde{\Pi}_i^{(\varepsilon)} \) is a \( 4(\kappa_i + 1) \)-gon containing \( p_i \) and no other zeros and satisfying the additional assumption that all its sides are no longer than \( \delta \). Let \( \partial \Pi_i^{(\varepsilon)} \), \( \partial \tilde{\Pi}_i^{(\varepsilon)} \) stand for the boundaries of our polygons oriented counterclockwise.

We have
\[
\Phi^+(\gamma) = \sum \Phi^+(\partial \Pi_i^{(\varepsilon)}) + \sum \Phi^+(\partial \tilde{\Pi}_i^{(\varepsilon)}).
\]
In the first sum, each term is equal to 0 by definition of $\Phi^+$, whereas the second sum does not exceed, in absolute value, the quantity 

$$C(\kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_r)\varepsilon,$$

where $C(\kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_r)$ is a positive constant depending only on $\kappa_1, \ldots, \kappa_r$. Since $\varepsilon$ may be chosen arbitrarily small, we have

$$\Phi^+(\gamma) = 0,$$

which is what we had to prove.

For $A, B \in \partial \Pi$, let $\partial \Pi_A^B$ be the part of $\partial \Pi$ going counterclockwise from $A$ to $B$.

**Proposition 1.24.** Let $A, B \in \partial \Pi$ and let $\gamma \subset \Pi$ be an arbitrary rectangular curve going from $A$ to $B$. Then

$$\Phi^+(\partial \Pi_A^B) = \Phi^+(\gamma).$$

We may assume that $\gamma$ is simple in $\Pi$, since, by Proposition 1.23, self-intersections of $\gamma$ (whose number is finite) do not change the value of $\Phi^+(\gamma)$. If $\gamma$ is simple, then $\gamma$ and $\Phi^+(\partial \Pi_A^B)$ together form a simple closed curve, and the proposition follows from Proposition 1.23.

**Corollary 1.25.** If $\gamma \subset \Pi$ is a rectangular curve which yields a closed curve in $M$ homologous to zero in $M$, then

$$\Phi^+(\gamma) = 0.$$

Indeed, by the previous proposition we need only consider the case when $\gamma \subset \partial \Pi$. Since $\gamma$ is homologous to 0 by assumption, the cycle $\gamma$ is in fact a multiple of the cycle $\partial \Pi$, for which the statement follows from (24).

### 1.9 Markovian sequences of partitions.

#### 1.9.1 The Markov property

Let $(M, \omega)$ be an abelian differential. A rectangle $\Pi(x, t_1, t_2) = \{h_t^+ h_t^- x, 0 \leq \tau_1 < t_1, 0 \leq \tau_2 < t_2\}$ is called weakly admissible if for all sufficiently small $\varepsilon > 0$ the rectangle $\Pi(h_t^+ h_t^- x, t_1 - \varepsilon, t_2 - \varepsilon)$ is admissible (in other words, the boundary of $\Pi$ may contain zeros of $\omega$ but the interior does not).

Assume that we are given a natural number $m$ and a sequence of partitions $\pi_n$

$$\pi_n : M = \Pi_1^{(n)} \sqcup \ldots \Pi_m^{(n)}, \ n \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad (26)$$

where $\Pi_i^{(n)}$ are weakly admissible rectangles.

The sequence $\pi_n$ of partitions of $M$ into $m$ weakly admissible rectangles will be called a *Markovian sequence* of partitions if for any $n_1, n_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$, $i_1, i_2 \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$, the rectangles $\Pi_{i_1}^{(n_1)}$ and $\Pi_{i_2}^{(n_2)}$ intersect in a Markov way in the following precise sense.
Take a weakly admissible rectangle \( \Pi(x, t_1, t_2) \) and decompose its boundary into four parts:
\[
\partial^1_h(\Pi) = \{h_t, h_{\tau_2} x, 0 \leq \tau_2 < t_2\};
\partial^0_h(\Pi) = \{h_{\tau_2} x, 0 \leq \tau_2 < t_2\};
\partial^1_v(\Pi) = \{h_t, h_{\tau_1} x, 0 \leq \tau_1 < t_1\};
\partial^0_v(\Pi) = \{h_{\tau_1} x, 0 \leq \tau_1 < t_1\}.
\]

The sequence of partitions \( \pi_n \) has the Markov property if for any \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \) and \( i \in \{1, \ldots, m\} \) there exist \( i_1, i_2, i_3, i_4 \in \{1, \ldots, m\} \) such that
\[
\partial^1_h(\Pi^{(n)}_i) \subset \partial^1_h \Pi^{(n-1)}_{i_1};
\partial^0_h(\Pi^{(n)}_i) \subset \partial^0_h \Pi^{(n-1)}_{i_2};
\partial^1_v(\Pi^{(n)}_i) \subset \partial^1_v \Pi^{(n+1)}_{i_3};
\partial^0_v(\Pi^{(n)}_i) \subset \partial^0_v \Pi^{(n+1)}_{i_4}.
\]

### 1.9.2 Adjacency matrices.

To a Markovian sequence of partitions we assign the sequence of \( m \times m \) adjacency matrices \( A_n = A(\pi_n, \pi_{n+1}) \) defined as follows: \( (A_n)_{ij} \) is the number of connected components of the intersection
\[
(\Pi^{(n)}_i) \cap \Pi^{(n+1)}_j.
\]

A Markovian sequence of partitions \( \pi_n \) will be called an exact Markovian sequence of partitions if
\[
\lim_{n \to \infty} \max_{i=1, \ldots, m} \nu^+ (\partial^1_h \Pi^{(n)}_i) = 0; \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \max_{i=1, \ldots, m} \nu^- (\partial^0_h \Pi^{(-n)}_i) = 0. \tag{27}
\]

For an abelian differential both whose vertical and horizontal flows are minimal, there always exists \( m \in \mathbb{N} \) and a sequence of partitions having the Markov property and satisfying the exactness condition (27). A suitably chosen Markovian sequence of partitions will be essential for the construction of finitely-additive measures in the following Section.

**Remark.** An exact Markovian sequence of partitions allows one to identify our surface \( M \) with the space of trajectories of a non-autonomous Markov chain, or, in other words, a Markov compactum. The horizontal and vertical foliations then become the asymptotic foliations of the corresponding Markov compactum; the finitely-additive measures become finitely-additive measures on one of the asymptotic foliations invariant under holonomy with respect to the complementary foliation; the vertical and horizontal flow also admit a purely symbolic description as flows along the leaves of the asymptotic foliations according to an order induced by a Vershik’s ordering on the edges of the graphs forming the
Markov compactum. The space of abelian differentials, or, more precisely, the Veech space of zippered rectangles, is then represented as a subspace of the space of Markov compacta. The space of Markov compacta is a space of bi-infinite sequences of graphs and is therefore endowed with a natural shift transformation. Using Rauzy-Veech expansions of zippered rectangles, one represents the Teichmüller flow as a suspension flow over this shift. The Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle is then a particular case of the cocycle over the shift given by consecutive adjacency matrices of the graphs forming our Markov compactum. To an abelian differential, random with respect to a probability measure invariant under the Teichmüller flow, one can thus assign a Markov compactum corresponding to a sequence of graphs generated according to a stationary process. The relation between Markov compacta and abelian differentials is summarized in the table below.

The main theorems of this paper, Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, are particular cases of general theorems on the asymptotic behaviour of ergodic averages of symbolic flows along asymptotic foliations of random Markov compacta; these generalizations, which will be published in the sequel to this paper, are proved in the preprint [14].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Markov compacta</th>
<th>Abelian differentials</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asymptotic foliations of a Markov compactum</td>
<td>Horizontal and vertical foliations of an abelian differential</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finitely-additive measures on asymptotic foliations of a Markov compactum</td>
<td>The spaces $\mathcal{B}^+$ and $\mathcal{B}^-$ of Hölder cocycles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vershik’s automorphisms</td>
<td>Interval exchange transformations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Suspension flows over Vershik’s automorphisms</td>
<td>Translation flows on flat surfaces</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The space of Markov compacta</td>
<td>The moduli space of abelian differentials</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The shift on the space of Markov compacta</td>
<td>The Teichmüller flow</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The cocycle of adjacency matrices</td>
<td>The Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Acknowledgements.** W. A. Veech made the suggestion that G. Forni’s invariant distributions for the vertical flow should admit a description in terms of cocycles for the horizontal flow, and I am greatly indebted to him. G. Forni pointed out that cocycles are dual objects to invariant distributions and suggested the analogy with F. Bonahon’s work [8]; I am deeply grateful to him. I am deeply grateful to A. Zorich for his kind explanations of Kontsevich-Zorich theory and for discussions of the relation between this paper and F. Bonahon’s work [8]. I am deeply grateful to H. Nakada who pointed out the reference to S. Ito’s work [27] and to B. Solomyak who pointed out the reference to the work [16] of P. Dumont, T. Kamae and S. Takahashi and the work [28] of T. Kamae.
2 Construction of finitely-additive measures

2.1 Equivariant sequences of vectors

Let \( \mathfrak{A} \) be a bi-invariant sequence of invertible \( m \times m \)-matrices with non-negative entries

\[
\mathfrak{A} = (A_n), \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}.
\]

To a vector \( v \in \mathbb{R}^n \) we assign the corresponding \( \mathfrak{A} \)-equivariant sequence \( v = (v^{(n)}), \ n \in \mathbb{Z} \), given by the formula

\[
v^{(n)} = \begin{cases} 
A_{n-1} \ldots A_0 v, & n > 0; \\
v, & n = 0; \\
A_{-n}^{-1} \ldots A_{-1}^{-1} v, & n < 0
\end{cases}
\]

We now consider subspaces in \( \mathbb{R}^m \) consisting of vectors \( v \) such that the corresponding equivariant subsequence \( \mathfrak{v} = v^{(n)} \) decays as \( n \) tends to \( -\infty \).

More formally, we write

\[
\mathfrak{B}_c^+(\mathfrak{A}) = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^m : |v^{(-n)}| \to 0 \ \text{as} \ n \to +\infty\},
\]

\[
\mathfrak{B}^+(\mathfrak{A}) = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^m : \text{there exists } C > 0, \alpha > 0 \text{ such that } |v^{(-n)}| \leq Ce^{-\alpha n} \text{ for all } n \geq 0\}.
\]

It will sometimes be convenient to identify a vector with the corresponding equivariant sequence, and, slightly abusing notation, we shall sometimes say that a given equivariant sequence belongs to the space \( \mathfrak{B}_c^+(\mathfrak{A}) \) or \( \mathfrak{B}^+(\mathfrak{A}) \).
2.2 A canonical system of arcs corresponding to a Markovian sequence of partitions

As before, let \((M,\omega)\) be an abelian differential. By an arc of the vertical flow we mean a set of the form \(\{h^+_t x, 0 \leq t \leq t_0\}\). Such a set will also sometimes be denoted \([x, x']\), where \(x' = h^+_t x\).

Let \(\pi_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}\), be an exact Markovian sequence of partitions 
\[
\pi_n : M = \Pi^{(n)}_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \Pi^{(n)}_m, n \in \mathbb{Z},
\]
into weakly admissible rectangles. Take a rectangle \(\Pi^{(n)}_i, n \in \mathbb{Z}, i \in \{1, \ldots, m\}\), and choose an arbitrary arc \(\gamma^{(n)}_i\) of the vertical foliation going all the way from the lower boundary of \(\Pi^{(n)}_i\) to the upper boundary. More formally, take \(x \in \partial_{h_0}^{(0)} \Pi^{(n)}_i\), take \(t\) such that \(h^+_t x \in \partial_{h}^{(1)} \Pi^{(n)}_i\), and let \(\gamma^{(n)}_i\) be the vertical arc \([x, h^+_t x]\). An arc \(\gamma^{(n)}_i\) of this form will be called a Markovian arc.

The family of arcs 
\[
\gamma^{(n)}_i, n \in \mathbb{Z}, i \in \{1, \ldots, m\},
\]
will be called a canonical system of arcs assigned to the Markov sequence of partitions \(\pi_n\).

Of course, there is freedom in the choice of specific arcs \(\gamma^{(n)}_i\), but our constructions will not depend on the specific choice of a canonical system.

Given a finitely-additive measure \(\Phi^+ \in \mathcal{B}^+(M,\omega)\), introduce a sequence of vectors \(v^{(n)} \in \mathbb{R}^m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\), by setting
\[
v^{(n)}_i = \Phi^+(\gamma^{(n)}_i). \tag{28}
\]

Now let \(\mathfrak{A} = (A_n), n \in \mathbb{Z}, A_n = A(\pi_n, \pi_{n+1})\) be the sequence of adjacency matrices of the sequence of partitions \(\pi_n\), and assume all \(A_n\) to be invertible.

By the horizontal holonomy invariance, the value \(v^{(n)}_i\) does not depend on the specific choice of the arc \(\gamma^{(n)}_i\) inside the rectangle \(\Pi^{(n)}_i\). Finite additivity of the measure \(\Phi^+\) implies that the sequence \(v^{(n)}, n \in \mathbb{Z}\), is \(\mathfrak{A}\)-equivariant.

Exactness of the sequence of partitions \(\pi_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}\), implies that that the equivariant sequence \(v^{(n)}\) corresponding to a finitely-additive measure \(\Phi^+ \in \mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{X},\omega)\) satisfies \(v^{(0)} \in \mathcal{B}^+_c(\mathfrak{A})\).

We have therefore obtained a map
\[
eval^+_c : \mathcal{B}^+_c(\mathcal{X},\omega) \to \mathcal{B}^+_c(\mathfrak{A}).
\]

It will develop that under certain natural additional assumptions this map is indeed an isomorphism.

We now take an abelian differential \((M,\omega)\) whose vertical flow is uniquely ergodic and show that if the heights of the rectangles \(\Pi^{(n)}_i\) decay exponentially as \(n \to -\infty\), then the map \(\eval^+_c\) sends \(\mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{X},\omega)\) to \(\mathcal{B}^+_c(\mathfrak{A})\). We proceed to precise formulations.
Introduce a sequence \( h^{(n)} = (h_1^{(n)}, \ldots, h_m^{(n)}) \), by setting \( h_i^{(n)} \) to be the height of the rectangle \( \Pi_i^{(n)} \), \( i = 1, \ldots, m \).

By the Markov property, the sequence \( h^{(n)} \) is \( \mathfrak{A} \)-equivariant; unique ergodicity of the vertical flow and exactness of the sequence \( \pi, n \in \mathbb{Z} \), imply that a positive \( \mathfrak{A} \)-equivariant sequence is unique up to scalar multiplication. By definition and, again, by exactness, we have

\[
h^{(0)} \in \mathfrak{B}^+(\mathfrak{A}).
\]

**Proposition 2.1.** If \( h^{(0)} \in \mathfrak{B}^+(\mathfrak{A}) \), then

\[
evalu^+(\mathfrak{B}^+(X, \omega)) \subset \mathfrak{B}^+(\mathfrak{A})
\]

Proof. Let a canonical family of vertical arcs \( \gamma_i^{(n)} \) corresponding to the Markovian sequence of partitions \( \pi_n \) be chosen as above. The condition \( h^{(0)} \in \mathfrak{B}^+(\mathfrak{A}) \) precisely means the existence of constants \( C > 0, \alpha > 0 \) such that for all \( n \in \mathbb{N}, i = 1, \ldots, m \), we have \( \nu^+(\gamma_i^{(n)}) \leq Ce^{-\alpha n} \).

Now if \( \Phi^+ \in \mathfrak{B}^+(M, \omega) \), then there exists \( \theta > 0 \) such that for all sufficiently large \( n \) and all \( i = 1, \ldots, m \) we have

\[
|\Phi^+(\gamma_i^{(n)})| \leq (\nu^+(\gamma_i^{(n)}))^{\theta}
\]

Consequently, \( |\Phi^+(\gamma_i^{(n)})| \leq \tilde{C}e^{-\tilde{\alpha}n} \) for some \( \tilde{C} > 0, \tilde{\alpha} > 0 \) and all \( n \in \mathbb{N}, i = 1, \ldots, m \), which is what we had to prove.

The scheme of the proof of the reverse inclusion can informally be summarized as follows. We start with an equivariant sequence \( v^{(n)} \in \mathfrak{B}^+(\mathfrak{A}) \), and we wish to recover a measure \( \Phi^+ \in \mathfrak{B}^+(X, \omega) \). The equivariant sequence itself determines the values of the finitely-additive measure \( \Phi^+ \) on all Markovian arcs, that is, arcs going from the lower horizontal to the upper horizontal boundary of a rectangle of one of the proposition \( \pi_n \). To extend the measure \( \Phi^+ \) from Markovian arcs to all vertical arcs, we approximate an arbitrary arc by Markovian ones (similar approximation lemmas were used by Forni [21] and Zorich [49]). The approximating series will be seen to converge because the number of terms at each stage of the approximation grows at most sub-exponentially, while the contribution of each term decays exponentially. For this argument to work, we assume that the norms of the matrices \( A_n \) grow sub-exponentially.

The measure \( \Phi^+ \) is thus extended to all vertical arcs. To check the Hölder property for \( \Phi^+ \), one needs additionally to assume that the heights of the Markovian rectangles \( \Pi_i^{(n)} \) decay not faster than exponentially. More precise Oseledets-type assumptions on the sequence \( \mathfrak{A} \) of adjacency matrices are used in order to obtain lower bounds on the Hölder exponent for \( \Phi^+ \) and to derive the logarithmic asymptotics of the growth of \( \Phi^+ \) at infinity. All our assumptions are verified for Markov sequences of partitions induced by Rauzy-Veech expansions of zippered rectangles as soon as one uses the Veech method of considering expansions corresponding to occurrences of a fixed renormalization matrix with positive entries.
2.3 Strongly biregular sequences of matrices

A sequence \( A = (A_n), n \in \mathbb{Z} \), of \( m \times m \)-matrices will be called balanced if all entries of all matrices \( A_n \) are positive, and, furthermore, there exists a positive constant \( C \) such that for any \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \) and any \( i_1, j_1, i_2, j_2 \in \{1, \ldots, m\} \), we have

\[
\frac{(A_n)_{i_1 j_1}}{(A_n)_{i_2 j_2}} < C.
\]

A sequence \( A = (A_n), n \in \mathbb{Z} \), of \( m \times m \)-matrices with non-negative entries will be said to have sub-exponential growth if for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there exists \( C_\varepsilon \) such that for all \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \) we have

\[
\sum_{i,j=1}^m (A_n)_{ij} \leq C_\varepsilon e^{\varepsilon |n|}.
\]

In order to formulate our next group of assumptions, we need to consider \( \mathfrak{A} \)-reverse equivariant sequences of vectors. To a vector \( \tilde{v} \in \mathbb{R}^m \) we assign the sequence \( \tilde{v} = (\tilde{v}(n)), n \in \mathbb{Z} \), given by the formula

\[
\tilde{v}(n) = \begin{cases} 
(A_n)^{-1} \cdots (A_1)^{-1} \tilde{v}(0), & n > 0; \\
\tilde{v}(0), & n = 0; \\
A_{n+1} \cdots A_{1} A_0 \tilde{v}(0), & n < 0.
\end{cases}
\]

By definition, if \( \tilde{v}(n) \) is an \( \mathfrak{A} \)-equivariant sequence, while \( \tilde{v}(n) \) is an \( \mathfrak{A} \)-reverse equivariant sequence, then the inner product

\[
\langle \tilde{v}(n), \tilde{v}(n) \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^m v_i^{(n)} \bar{v}_i^{(n)}
\]

does not depend on \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \). In analogy to the spaces \( \mathcal{B}_c^+(\mathfrak{A}) \) and \( \mathcal{B}_c^+(\mathfrak{A}) \), we introduce the spaces

\[
\mathcal{B}_c^-(\mathfrak{A}) = \{ \tilde{v} : |\tilde{v}(n)| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty \},
\]

\[
\mathcal{B}^-(\mathfrak{A}) = \{ \tilde{v} : \text{there exists } C > 0, \alpha > 0, \text{ such that } |\tilde{v}(n)| \leq Ce^{-\alpha n} \text{ as } n \to \infty \}.
\]

The unique ergodicity of the vertical and the horizontal flow admits the following reformulation in terms of the spaces \( \mathcal{B}_c^+(\mathfrak{A}), \mathcal{B}_c^-(\mathfrak{A}) \).

**Assumption 2.2.** The space \( \mathcal{B}_c^+(\mathfrak{A}) \) contains an equivariant sequence \( (h^{(n)}), n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ such that } h_i^{(n)} > 0 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and all } i \in \{1, \ldots, m\} \). The space \( \mathcal{B}_c^-(\mathfrak{A}) \) contains a reverse equivariant sequence \( (\lambda^{(n)}), n \in \mathbb{Z} \), such that \( \lambda_i^{(n)} > 0 \text{ for all } n \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and all } i \in \{1, \ldots, m\} \).

The sequences \( (h^{(n)}) \) and \( (\lambda^{(n)}) \) are unique up to scalar multiplication.

A convenient normalization for us will be:

\[
|\lambda^{(0)}| = 1, \quad <\lambda^{(0)}, h^{(0)}> = 1.
\]
Our next assumption is the requirement of Lyapunov regularity for the sequence of matrices \( A \), \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \). For renormalization matrices of Rauzy-Veech expansions this assumption will be seen to hold by the Oseledets Theorem applied to the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle.

In fact, we will assume the validity of all the statements of the Oseledets-Pesin Reduction Theorem (Theorem 3.5.5 on p. 77 in [5]). We proceed to the precise formulation.

**Assumption 2.3.** There exists \( l_0 \in \mathbb{N} \), positive numbers 
\[ \theta_1 > \theta_2 > \cdots > \theta_{l_0} > 0, \]
and, for any \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \), direct-sum decompositions
\[ \mathbb{R}^m = E^1_n \oplus \cdots \oplus E^{l_0}_n \oplus E^{cs}_n \]
\[ \mathbb{R}^m = \tilde{E}^1_n \oplus \cdots \oplus \tilde{E}^{l_0}_n \oplus \tilde{E}^{cs}_n \]
such that the following holds.

1. for all \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \) we have
\[ E^1_n = \mathbb{R}h(n), \tilde{E}^1_n = \mathbb{R}\lambda(n), \]
2. for all \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \) and all \( i = 1, \ldots, l_0 \) we have
\[ A^E_n E^i_n = E^{i+1}_n, A^t_n \tilde{E}^i_n = \tilde{E}^{i+1}_n \]
3. for all \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \), every \( i = 1, \ldots, l_0 \), and any \( v \in E^i_n \setminus \{0\} \), we have
\[ \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\log |A_{n+k-1} \cdots A_n v|}{k} = \lim_{k \to \infty} -\frac{\log |A^{-1}_{n+k} \cdots A^{-1}_n v|}{k} = \theta_i, \]
and the convergence is uniform on the sphere \( \{ v \in E^i_n : |v| = 1 \} \);
4. for all \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \), every \( i = 1, \ldots, l_0 \), and any \( v \in \tilde{E}^i_n \setminus \{0\} \), we have
\[ \lim_{k \to \infty} \frac{\log |A^t_{n+k-1} \cdots A^t_{n-1} v|}{k} = \lim_{k \to \infty} -\frac{\log |(A^t_{n+k-1})^{-1} \cdots A^t_{n-1} v|}{k} = \theta_i, \]
and the convergence is uniform on the sphere \( \{ v \in \tilde{E}^i_n : |v| = 1 \} \);
5. for all \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \) we have
\[ A^E_n E^{cs}_n = E^{cs}_{n+1}, A^t_n \tilde{E}^{cs}_n = \tilde{E}^{cs}_{n+1} = E^{cs}_n \]
6. for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there exists \( C_\varepsilon \) such that for any \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \) and \( k \in \mathbb{N} \) we have

\[
\|A_{n+k-1} \cdots A_n|E_{cs}^n|\| \leq C_\varepsilon e^{\varepsilon (k+|n|)};
\]

\[
\|A_{-k}^{-1} \cdots A_{n-1}^{-1}|E_{cs}^n|^{-1}\| \leq C_\varepsilon e^{\varepsilon (k+|n|)};
\]

\[
\|A_{n-k}^t \cdots A_{n-1}^t|\tilde{E}_{cs}^n|\| \leq C_\varepsilon e^{\varepsilon (k+|n|)};
\]

\[
\|(A_{n+k-1}^t)^{-1} \cdots (A_{n-1}^t)^{-1}|\tilde{E}_{cs}^n|^{-1}\| \leq C_\varepsilon e^{\varepsilon (k+|n|)}.
\]

7. for all \( n \in \mathbb{Z} \) we have \( \dim E_{cs}^n = \dim \tilde{E}_{cs}^n \) and, for any \( i = 1, \ldots, l_0 \) we also have \( \dim E_i^n = \dim \tilde{E}_i^n \). If \( v, \tilde{v} \in \mathbb{R}^m \) satisfy \( < v, \tilde{v} > \neq 0 \), then \( v \in E_i^n \) implies \( \tilde{v} \in \tilde{E}_i^n \), while \( v \in E_{cs}^n \) implies \( \tilde{v} \in \tilde{E}_{cs}^n \), and vice versa.

A balanced sequence \( \mathfrak{A} \) of \( m \times m \)-matrices with positive entries, having sub-exponential growth and satisfying the unique ergodicity assumption as well as the Lyapunov regularity assumption, will be called a \textit{strongly biregular} sequence, or, for brevity, an SB-sequence. Using Markovian sequences of partitions induced by Rauzy-Veech expansions of zippered rectangles corresponding to consecutive occurrences of a fixed renormalization matrix with positive entries and applying the Oseledets Multiplicative Ergodic Theorem and the Oseledets-Pesin Reduction Theorem (see Theorem 3.5.5 on p.77 in [5]) to the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle, we will establish in the next section the following simple

\textbf{Proposition 2.4.} Let \( \mathbb{P} \) be an ergodic probability measure on a connected component \( \mathcal{H} \) of the moduli space \( \mathcal{M}_n \) of abelian differentials. Then \( \mathbb{P} \)-almost every abelian differential \( (M, \omega) \in \mathcal{H} \) admits an exact Markov sequence of partitions whose sequence of adjacency matrices belongs to the class SB.

Let \((M, \omega)\) be an abelian differential whose horizontal and vertical foliations are both uniquely ergodic. Assume that \((M, \omega)\) is endowed with an exact Markov sequence \( \pi_n, n \in \mathbb{Z} \), of partitions into weakly admissible rectangles such that the corresponding sequence \( \mathfrak{A} \) of adjacency matrices belongs to the class SB.

Note that if \( \mathfrak{A} \) is an SB-sequence, then

\[
\mathfrak{B}^+(\mathfrak{A}) = E_0^1 \oplus \cdots \oplus E_{l_0}^1
\]

\[
\mathfrak{B}^-(\mathfrak{A}) = \tilde{E}_0^1 \oplus \cdots \oplus \tilde{E}_{l_0}^1
\]

Note also that there exists a constant \( C > 0 \) such that the positive equivariant sequence \( h^{(n)} \) satisfies

\[
\frac{h_{i}^{(n)}}{h_{j}^{(n)}} \leq C
\]

for all \( n \in \mathbb{Z}, i, j \in \{1, \ldots, n\} \). It follows that for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there exists a constant \( C_\varepsilon \) such that for all \( n > 0 \) we have

\[
\min_i h_{i}^{(-n)} \geq C_\varepsilon e^{-(\theta_1 + \varepsilon)n}.
\]
2.4 Characterization of finitely-additive measures.

2.4.1 The semi-rings of Markovian arcs.

Given a partition $\pi$ of our surface $M$ into weakly admissible rectangles $\Pi_1, \ldots, \Pi_m$, we consider the semi-ring $C_+(\pi)$ of arcs of the form $[x, x']$, where $x \in \partial_0^h \Pi_i$, $x' \in \partial_1^h \Pi_i$ for some $i$ (recall here that $x \in \partial_0^h \Pi_i$ stands for the lower horizontal boundary of $\Pi_i$, $\partial_1^h \Pi_i$ for the upper horizontal boundary).

Our Markov sequence $\pi_n$ thus induces a sequence of semi-rings $C^+_n = C_+(\pi_n)$; we write $R^+_n$ for the ring generated by the semi-ring $C^+_n$. Elements of $R^+_n$ are finite unions of arcs from $C^+_n$. For an arc $\gamma$ of the vertical flow, let $\tilde{\gamma}_n$ be the largest by inclusion arc from the ring $R^+_n$ contained in $\gamma$, and let $\hat{\gamma}_n$ be the smallest by inclusion arc from the ring $R^+_n$ containing $\gamma$.

2.4.2 Extension of finitely-additive measures.

The following Lemma is immediate from the definitions (note that similar arc approximation lemmas were used by Forni in [21] and Zorich in [49]).

Lemma 2.5. Let $\pi_n$ be an exact Markovian sequence of partitions such that the corresponding sequence $A$ of adjacency matrices has sub-exponential growth. Then for any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $C_{\varepsilon} > 0$ such that for any arc $\gamma$ of the vertical flow and any $n \in \mathbb{N}$ the set $\hat{\gamma}_n \setminus \tilde{\gamma}_n$ consists of at most $C_{\varepsilon} e^{\varepsilon n}$ arcs from the semi-ring $C^+_n$.

Informally, Lemma 2.5 says that any arc of our symbolic flow is approximable by Markovian arcs with sub-exponential error; we illustrate this by Figure 1.

We are now ready to identify $B^+(A)$ and $B^+(X, \omega)$.

Lemma 2.6. Let $\pi_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, be a Markov sequence of partitions such that the corresponding sequence $A$ of adjacency matrices belongs to the class $SB$. Then for every equivariant sequence $v^{(n)} \in B^+(A)$ there exists a unique finitely-additive measure $\Phi^+ \in B^+(X, \omega)$ such that $\text{eval}_0^+ (\Phi^+) = v^{(0)}$. 

Figure 1: The number of small arcs grows at most subexponentially.
Proof. Indeed, the sequence $v^{(n)}$ itself prescribes the values of the $\Phi^+$ on all Markovian arcs $\gamma \in \mathcal{C}_n^+$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

For a general arc $\gamma$ of the vertical flow, set

$$\Phi^+(\gamma) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \Phi^+(\hat{\gamma}_n) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \Phi^+(\tilde{\gamma}_n),$$

where the existence of both limits and the equality of their values immediately follow from Lemma 2.5. Finite-additivity of $\Phi^+$ is again a corollary of Lemma 2.5. We have thus obtained a finitely-additive measure $\Phi^+$ defined on all vertical arcs. The uniqueness of such a measure is clear by (29). The invariance of the resulting measure under horizontal holonomy is also clear by definition. To conclude the proof of Lemma 2.6 it remains to check that the obtained finitely-additive measure $\Phi^+$ satisfies the Hölder property.

For Markovian arcs the Hölder upper bound is clear from the upper exponential bound

$$|v^{(-n)}| \leq Ce^{-\alpha n},$$

and the lower exponential bound

$$\min_i h_i^{(-n)} \geq C_1 e^{(-a_1 n)}.$$

For general arcs, the Hölder property follows by Lemma 2.5. Lemma 2.6 is proved completely, and we have thus shown that under its assumptions the map $\text{eval}^+_0 : \mathfrak{B}^+(X, \omega) \to \mathfrak{B}^+(\mathfrak{A})$

is indeed an isomorphism.

Remark. Under stronger assumptions of Lyapunov regularity we will also give a Hölder lower bound for the cocycles $\Phi^+ \in \mathfrak{B}^+$, see Proposition 2.9.

2.5 Duality.

Let $v^{(n)} \in \mathfrak{B}^+(\mathfrak{A})$, $\tilde{v}^{(n)} \in \mathfrak{B}^-(\mathfrak{A})$, and let $\Phi^+ \in \mathfrak{B}^+(X, \omega), \Phi^- \in \mathfrak{B}^+(X, \omega)$ be the corresponding finitely-additive measures. The definitions directly imply

$$\int_M \Phi^+ \times \Phi^- = \sum_{i=1}^m v_i^{(0)} \tilde{v}_i^{(0)} = \langle v, \tilde{v} \rangle.$$

Duality between the spaces $\mathfrak{B}^+(X, \omega)$ and $\mathfrak{B}^-(X, \omega)$ follows now from the duality between the spaces $\mathfrak{B}^+(\mathfrak{A})$ and $\mathfrak{B}^-(\mathfrak{A})$, which holds by the Lyapunov regularity assumption for the sequence $\mathfrak{A}$.

2.6 Proof of Theorem

2.6.1 Approximation of almost equivariant sequences.

We start with a sequence of matrices $A_n$, $n \geq 0$ satisfying the following
Assumption 2.7. There exists $\alpha > 0$ and, for every $n \geq 1$, a direct-sum decomposition 
\[ \mathbb{R}^m = E_n^u \oplus E_n^{cs} \]

satisfying the following.

1. $A_n E_n^u = E_{n+1}^u$ and $A_n|E_n^u$ is injective.
2. $A_n E_n^{cs} \subset E_{n+1}^{cs}$.
3. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $C_\varepsilon > 0$ such that for any $n \geq 1$, $k \geq 0$ we have

\[ \left\| (A_{n+k} \cdots A_n)^{-1}|E_{n+k+1}^u\right\| \leq C_\varepsilon \varepsilon^{n-\alpha k} \]

\[ \left\| A_{n+k} \cdots A_n|E_n^{cs}\right\| \leq C_\varepsilon \varepsilon^{(n+k)}. \]

Lemma 2.8. Let $A_n$ be a sequence of matrices satisfying Assumption 2.7. Let $v_1, \ldots, v_n, \ldots$ be a sequence of vectors such that for any $\varepsilon > 0$ a constant $C_\varepsilon$ can be chosen in such a way that for all $n$ we have

\[ |A_n v_n - v_{n+1}| \leq C_\varepsilon \varepsilon \exp(\varepsilon n). \]

Then there exists a unique vector $v \in E_1^u$ such that

\[ |A_n \cdots A_1 v - v_{n+1}| \leq C_\varepsilon \varepsilon \exp(\varepsilon n). \]

Proof: Denote $u_{n+1} = v_{n+1} - A_n v_n$ and decompose $u_{n+1} = u_{n+1}^+ + u_{n+1}^-$, where $u_{n+1}^+ \in E_{n+1}^u$, $u_{n+1}^- \in E_{n+1}^{cs}$. Let

\[ v_{n+1}^+ = u_{n+1}^+ + A_n u_n^+ + A_n A_{n-1} u_{n-1}^+ + \cdots + A_n \cdots A_1 u_1^+; \]

\[ v_{n+1}^- = u_{n+1}^- + A_n u_n^- + A_n A_{n-1} u_{n-1}^- + \cdots + A_n \cdots A_1 u_1^- . \]

We have $v_{n+1} \in E_{n+1}^u$, $v_{n+1}^+ \in E_{n+1}^{cs}$, $v_{n+1}^- = v_{n+1}^+ + v_{n+1}^-$. Now introduce a vector

\[ v = u_1^+ + A_1^{-1} u_1^- + \cdots + (A_n \cdots A_1)^{-1} u_{n+1}^+ + \cdots . \]

By our assumptions, the series defining $v$ converges exponentially fast and, moreover, we have

\[ |A_n \cdots A_1 v - v_{n+1}^-| \leq C'_\varepsilon \varepsilon \exp(\varepsilon n) \]

for some constant $C'_\varepsilon$.

Since, by our assumptions we also have $|v_{n+1}^-| \leq C_\varepsilon \varepsilon \exp(\varepsilon n)$, the Lemma is proved completely.

Uniqueness of the vector $v$ follows from the fact that, by our assumptions, for any $\tilde{v} \neq 0, \tilde{v} \in E_0^u$ we have

\[ |A_n \cdots A_1 \tilde{v}| \geq C'' \varepsilon \exp(\alpha n). \]
2.6.2 Approximation of weakly Lipschitz functions.

Let \( f : M \to \mathbb{R} \) be a weakly Lipschitz function, and, as before, introduce a canonical family of Markovian curves \( \gamma^n_i, n \in \mathbb{Z}, i = 1, \ldots, m \), corresponding to the Markovian sequence of partitions \( \pi_n, n \in \mathbb{Z} \).

Introduce a family of vectors \( v(n) \in \mathbb{R}^m, n \in \mathbb{Z} \), by setting
\[
(v(n))_i = \int_{\gamma^n_i} f d\nu^+, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m.
\]

By definition of the adjacency matrices \( A_n = A(\pi_n, \pi_{n+1}) \), using the weak Lipschitz property of the function \( f \) and sub-exponential growth of the sequence \( A = (A_n) \), we arrive for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) at the estimate
\[
|A_n v(n) - v(n + 1)| \leq C_\varepsilon ||f||_{\text{Lip}} \cdot e^{\varepsilon n}.
\]

By Lemma 2.8 there exists a unique vector \( v^+_f \in \mathcal{B}^+(\mathfrak{A}) \) such that for all \( n \in \mathbb{N} \) we have
\[
|v(n) - A_{n-1} \cdots A_0 v^+_f| \leq C'_\varepsilon ||f||_{\text{Lip}} \cdot e^{\varepsilon n}.
\]

We let \( \Phi^+_f \in \mathcal{B}^+(X, \omega) \) be the finitely-additive measure corresponding to the vector \( v^+_f \), or, in other words, the unique finitely additive measure in \( \mathcal{B}^+(X, \omega) \) satisfying
\[
eval^+_0(\Phi^+_f) = v^+_f.
\]

The inequality
\[
\left| \int_0^T f \circ h^+_t(x) dt - \Phi^+_f([x, h^+_T x]) \right| \leq C''_\varepsilon ||f||_{\text{Lip}} (1 + T^\varepsilon)
\]
now holds for all \( x \in M, T \in \mathbb{R}_+ \).

Indeed, if \( [x, h^+_T x] \) is a Markovian arc, then \(30\) is clear by definition of the vector \( v^+_f \) and the weak Lipschitz property of the function \( f \), while for general arcs of the vertical flow the inequality \(30\) follows by Lemma 2.5.

2.6.3 Characterization of the cocycle \( \Phi^+_f \)

Our next step is to check that for every \( \Phi^- \in \mathcal{B}^-(X, \omega) \) we have
\[
< \Phi^+_f \times \Phi^- >= \int_M f dm_{\Phi^-},
\]
where we recall that \( m^-_{\Phi} = \nu^+ \times \Phi^- \).

As before, let \( \gamma^n_i^- \) be a canonical system of Markovian arcs of the vertical flow, corresponding to the Markov sequence of partitions \( \pi_n, n \in \mathbb{Z} \), and let \( \tilde{\gamma}^n_i \) be a canonical system of Markovian arcs of the horizontal flow corresponding
to the Markov sequence of partitions $\pi_n, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. By definition, for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$
\int_M \Phi^+_j \times \Phi^- = \sum_{i=1}^m \Phi^+_i(\gamma^n_i) \cdot \Phi^-(\tilde{\gamma}^n_i).
$$

We now write the Riemann sum

$$
S(n, f, \Phi^-) = \sum_{i=1}^m \int_{\gamma^n_i} f d\nu^+ \cdot \Phi^-(\tilde{\gamma}^n_i)
$$

for the measure $m_{\Phi^-}$ and let $n$ tend to $+\infty$. By definition, we have

$$
\lim_{n \to +\infty} S(n, f, \Phi^-) = \int_M f dm_{\Phi^-}.
$$

Now for all $n \in \mathbb{N}, i = 1, \ldots, m$, we have

$$
\left| \int_{\gamma^n_i} f d\nu^+ - \Phi^+_i(\gamma^n_i) \right| \leq C e^{\varepsilon n},
$$

while, by Lyapunov regularity, the quantity $\max_{i=1,\ldots,m} |\Phi^-(\tilde{\gamma}^n_i)|$ decays exponentially as $n \to \infty$. It follows that

$$
\int_M \Phi^+_j \times \Phi^- = \int_M f dm_{\Phi^-},
$$

which is what we had to prove.

2.7 The asymptotics at infinity for Hölder cocycles

As was noted above, we identify a finitely-additive measure $\Phi^+ \in \mathcal{B}^+_c(X, \omega)$ with a continuous cocycle over the vertical flow for which, slightly abusing notation, we keep the same symbol $\Phi^+$; the identification is given by the formula

$$
\Phi^+(x, t) = \Phi^+([x, h^+_t]).
$$

The Hölder property of a finitely-additive measure is equivalent to the Hölder property of the cocycle, that is, to the requirement that the function $\Phi^+(x, t)$ be Hölder in $t$ uniformly in $x$. Our next aim is to give Hölder lower bounds for the cocycles $\Phi^+$ and to investigate the growth of $\Phi^+(x, T)$ as $T \to +\infty$.

Consider the direct-sum decomposition

$$
\mathcal{B}^+(\mathfrak{A}) = E^{(0)}_1 \oplus \ldots \oplus E^{(0)}_{l_0}
$$

and the corresponding direct-sum decomposition
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\[ \mathfrak{B}^+(X, \omega) = \mathfrak{B}_1^+(X, \omega) \oplus \mathfrak{B}_2^+(X, \omega) \oplus \ldots \oplus \mathfrak{B}_{l_0}^+(X, \omega) \]

with

\[ \mathfrak{B}_i^+(X, \omega) = (\text{eval}_i^0)^{-1}(E_i^{(0)}), i = 1, \ldots, l_0; \]

of course, we have

\[ \mathfrak{B}_1^+(X, \omega) = \mathbb{R} \nu^+. \]

Take \( \Phi^+ \in \mathfrak{B}^+(X, \omega) \) and write

\[ \Phi^+ = \Phi_1^+ + \ldots + \Phi_{l_0}^+ \]

with \( \Phi_i^+ \in \mathfrak{B}^+(X, \omega) \). Take the smallest \( i \) such that \( \Phi_i^+ \neq 0 \); the exponent \( \theta_i \) will then be called the top Lyapunov exponent of \( \Phi^+ \); similarly, if \( j \) is the largest number such that \( \Phi_j^+ \neq 0 \), then \( \theta_j \) will be called the lower Lyapunov exponent of \( \Phi^+ \). We shall now see that the top Lyapunov exponent controls the growth of \( \Phi^+(x, t) \) as \( t \to \infty \), while the lower Lyapunov exponent describes the local Hölder behaviour of \( \Phi^+(x, t) \).

**Proposition 2.9.** Let \( r \in \{1, \ldots, l_0\} \), let \( \Phi^+ \in \mathfrak{B}_r^+, \Phi^+ \neq 0 \), and let \( x \in M \) be such that \( h^+_tx \) is defined for all \( t \in \mathbb{R} \). Then

\[ \limsup_{|t| \to \infty} \frac{\log |\Phi^+(x, t)|}{\log |t|} = \limsup_{|t| \to 0} \frac{\log |\Phi^+(x, t)|}{\log |t|} = \theta_r. \]

Proof. We first let \( t \) tend to +\( \infty \). Let \( v^{(n)} \in \mathfrak{B}^+(\mathfrak{A}) \) be the equivariant sequence corresponding to \( \Phi^+ \); we have \( v^{(n)} \in E_r^{(n)} \) and, consequently, for every \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there exist constants \( C\varepsilon', C\varepsilon'' > 0 \) such that

\[ |v_i^{(n)}| \leq C\varepsilon' e^{(\theta_i - \varepsilon)n}, n \in \mathbb{N}. \]

Now let

\[ t_n = \min\{t : t \geq h_i^{(n)}(x), h^+_{t_n}x \in \partial_v^{(n)}{\Pi}^{(n)}\} \]

Informally, \( t_n \) is the first such moment that the arc \( [x, h^+_{t_n}x] \) contains a Markovian arc going all the way through the rectangle \( \Pi^{(n)} \). It is clear from the SB-property of the sequence \( \mathfrak{A} \) that for any \( \varepsilon > 0 \) there exist constants \( C\varepsilon', C\varepsilon'' > 0 \) such that

\[ C\varepsilon' e^{(\theta_i - \varepsilon)n} \leq t_n \leq C\varepsilon'' e^{(\theta_i + \varepsilon)n}, n \in \mathbb{N}. \]

Now denote

\[ x(n) = h^+_{t_n-x(n)}x, x''(n) = h^+_{t_n}x. \]

Since

\[ \Phi^+([x, x''(n)]) = \Phi^+([x, x'(n)]) + \Phi^+([x'(n), x''(n)]), \]
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\( \gamma_1 = [x(n), x'(n)] \), \( \gamma_2 = [x'(n), x''(n)] \). Either \( \gamma_1 \) or \( \gamma_3 \) satisfies the lower bound.

\[
\Phi^+([x', x''](n)) = v^{(n)}_{i(n)},
\]

it follows from (32), (31) that we have

\[
\limsup_{n \to +\infty} \max \left\{ \log |\Phi^+([x, x'](n))|, \log |\Phi^+([x, x''(n)])| \right\} = \frac{\theta_r}{\theta_1},
\]

whence also

\[
\limsup_{n \to +\infty} \max \left( \frac{\log |\Phi^+([x, x'](n))|}{\log \nu^+([x, x'(n))]}, \frac{\log |\Phi^+([x', x''(n)])|}{\log \nu^+([x, x''(n))]} \right) = \theta_r,
\]

and, finally

\[
\limsup_{t \to +\infty} \frac{\log |\Phi^+(x, t)|}{\log t} = \theta_r.
\]

The desired lower bound is established. We illustrate the argument in Figure 2.

The proof for \( t \to -\infty \) is completely similar, while the case \( t \to 0 \) is obtained by taking \( n \to -\infty \) and repeating the same argument.

### 2.8 Hyperbolic SB - sequences

An SB sequence \( \mathcal{A} \) will be called hyperbolic if \( \mathcal{B}_c^+(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{A}) \). It is clear from the definitions that if an abelian differential \( (X, \omega) \) admits an exact Markovian sequence of partitions such that the corresponding sequence \( \mathcal{A} \) is hyperbolic, then \( \mathcal{B}_c^+(X, \omega) = \mathcal{B}^+(X, \omega) \).

In what follows, we shall check that if \( \mathcal{P} \) is probability measure on \( \mathcal{H} \), invariant under the Teichmüller flow and ergodic, and such that the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle acts isometrically on its neutral Oseledets subspace, then \( \mathcal{P} \)-almost every abelian differential \( (X, \omega) \) admits a Markovian sequence of partitions \( \pi_n \) such that the corresponding sequence \( \mathcal{A} \) of adjacency matrices is a hyperbolic SB - sequence. It will follow that for \( \mathcal{P} \)-almost all \( \omega \) we have \( \mathcal{B}^+(X, \omega) = \mathcal{B}_c^+(X, \omega) \).

Remark. If \( \mathcal{B}_c^+(\mathcal{A}) \) is strictly larger than \( \mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{A}) \), it does not follow that \( \mathcal{B}_c^+(X, \omega) \) is strictly larger than \( \mathcal{B}^+(X, \omega) \) : our constructions do not allow us to assign a finitely additive measure defined on all arcs of the vertical flow to a general equivariant sequence \( v^{(n)} \in \mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{A}) \).
2.9 Expectation and variance of Hölder cocycles

**Proposition 2.10.** For any $\Phi^+ \in \mathcal{B}^+$ and any $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$E_\nu(\Phi^+(x,t_0)) = \langle \Phi^+, \nu^- \rangle \cdot t_0.$$  

Proof: Since the Proposition is clearly valid for $\Phi^+ = \nu^+$, it suffices to prove it in the case $\langle \Phi^+, \nu^- \rangle = 0$. But indeed, if $E_\nu(\Phi^+(x,t)) \neq 0$, then the Ergodic Theorem implies

$$\limsup_{T \to \infty} \frac{\log |\Phi^+(x,T)|}{\log T} = 1,$$

and then $\langle \Phi^+, \nu^- \rangle \neq 0$.

**Proposition 2.11.** For any $\Phi^+ \in \mathcal{B}^+$ not proportional to $\nu^+$ and any $t_0 \neq 0$ we have

$$\text{Var}_\nu \Phi^+(x,t_0) \neq 0.$$  

Taking $\Phi^+ - \langle \Phi^+, \nu^- \rangle \cdot \nu^+$ instead of $\Phi^+$, we may assume $E_\nu(\Phi^+(x,t_0)) = 0$. If $\text{Var}_\nu \Phi^+(x,t_0) = 0$, then $\Phi^+(x,t_0) = 0$ identically, but then

$$\limsup_{T \to \infty} \frac{\log |\Phi^+(x,T)|}{\log T} = 0,$$

whence $\Phi^+ = 0$, and the Proposition is proved.

**Remark.** In the context of substitutions, cocycles related to the Hölder cocycles from $\mathcal{B}^+$ have been studied by P. Dumont, T. Kamae and S. Takahashi in [16] as well as by T. Kamae in [28].

3 The Teichmüller Flow on the Veech Space of Zippered Rectangles.

3.1 Veech’s space of zippered rectangles

3.1.1 Rauzy-Veech induction

The renormalization action of the Teichmüller flow on the spaces $\mathcal{B}^+$ and $\mathcal{B}^-$ of Hölder cocycles will play a main rôle in the proof of limit theorems for translation flows. We will use Veech’s representation of abelian differentials by zippered rectangles, and in this section we recall Veech’s construction using the notation of [11], [15]. For a different presentation of the Rauzy-Veech formalism, see Marmi-Moussa-Yoccoz [33].

We start by recalling the definition of the Rauzy-Veech induction. Let $\pi$ be a permutation of $m$ symbols, which will always be assumed irreducible in the sense that $\pi\{1, \ldots, k\} = \{1, \ldots, k\}$ implies $k = m$. The Rauzy operations $a$ and $b$ are defined by the formulas

$$a \pi (j) = \begin{cases} 
\pi j, & \text{if } j \leq \pi^{-1} m, \\
\pi m, & \text{if } j = \pi^{-1} m + 1, \\
\pi (j - 1), & \text{if } \pi^{-1} m + 1 < j \leq m;
\end{cases}$$
\[ b\pi(j) = \begin{cases} 
\pi j, & \text{if } \pi j \leq \pi m, \\
\pi j + 1, & \text{if } \pi m < \pi j < m, \\
\pi m + 1, & \text{if } \pi j = m.
\end{cases} \]

These operations preserve irreducibility. The Rauzy class \( R(\pi) \) is defined as the set of all permutations that can be obtained from \( \pi \) by application of the transformation group generated by \( a \) and \( b \). From now on we fix a Rauzy class \( R \) and assume that it consists of irreducible permutations.

For \( i, j = 1, \ldots, m \), denote by \( E_{ij} \) the \( m \times m \) matrix whose \((i,j)\)th entry is 1, while all others are zeros. Let \( E \) be the identity \( m \times m \)-matrix. Following Veech [38], introduce the unimodular matrices

\[ A(a, \pi) = \sum_{i=1}^{\pi m} E^i + E^{m, \pi^{-1}m+1} \sum_{i=\pi^{-1}m}^{m-1} E^{i, i+1}, \]  
\[ (33) \]
\[ A(b, \pi) = E + E^{m, \pi^{-1}m}. \]  
\[ (34) \]

For a vector \( \lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m \), we write

\[ |\lambda| = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \lambda_i. \]

Let

\[ \Delta_{m-1} = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^m : |\lambda| = 1, \lambda_i > 0 \text{ for } i = 1, \ldots, m \}. \]

One can identify each pair \((\lambda, \pi), \lambda \in \Delta_{m-1}, \) with the interval exchange map of the interval \( I := [0,1) \) as follows. Divide \( I \) into the sub-intervals \( I_k := [\beta_{k-1}, \beta_k), \) where \( \beta_0 = 0, \beta_k = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_i, 1 \leq k \leq m, \) and then place the intervals \( I_k \) in \( I \) in the following order (from left to write): \( I_{\pi^{-1}1}, \ldots, I_{\pi^{-1}m}. \) We obtain a piecewise linear transformation of \( I \) that preserves the Lebesgue measure.

The space \( \Delta(R) \) of interval exchange maps corresponding to \( R \) is defined by

\[ \Delta(R) = \Delta_{m-1} \times R. \]

Denote

\[ \Delta^+_{\pi} = \{ \lambda \in \Delta_{m-1} | \lambda_{\pi^{-1}m} > \lambda_m \}, \quad \Delta^-_{\pi} = \{ \lambda \in \Delta_{m-1} | \lambda_m > \lambda_{\pi^{-1}m} \}, \]
\[ \Delta^+(R) = \bigcup_{\pi \in R} \{(\pi, \lambda) | \lambda \in \Delta^+_{\pi}\}, \]
\[ \Delta^-(R) = \bigcup_{\pi \in R} \{(\pi, \lambda) | \lambda \in \Delta^-_{\pi}\}, \]
\[ \Delta^\pm(R) = \Delta^+(R) \cup \Delta^-(R). \]

The Rauzy-Veech induction map \( \mathcal{F} : \Delta^\pm(R) \to \Delta(R) \) is defined as follows:

\[ \mathcal{F}(\lambda, \pi) = \begin{cases} 
(\frac{A(a, \pi)^{-1}\lambda}{\|A(a, \pi)^{-1}\lambda\|}, a\pi), & \text{if } \lambda \in \Delta^+_\pi, \\
(\frac{A(b, \pi)^{-1}\lambda}{\|A(b, \pi)^{-1}\lambda\|}, b\pi), & \text{if } \lambda \in \Delta^-_\pi.
\end{cases} \]  
\[ (35) \]
One can check that $T(\lambda, \pi)$ is the interval exchange map induced by $(\lambda, \pi)$ on the interval $J = [0, 1 - \gamma]$, where $\gamma = \min(\lambda_m, \lambda_{x-1})$; the interval $J$ is then stretched to unit length.

Denote
\[ \Delta^\infty(\mathcal{R}) = \bigcap_{n \geq 0} T^{-n} \Delta^\pm(\mathcal{R}). \] (36)

Every $\mathcal{T}$-invariant probability measure is concentrated on $\Delta^\infty(\mathcal{R})$. On the other hand, a natural Lebesgue measure defined on $\Delta(\mathcal{R})$, which is finite, but non-invariant, is also concentrated on $\Delta^\infty(\mathcal{R})$. Veech [38] showed that $T$ has an absolutely continuous ergodic invariant measure on $\Delta(\mathcal{R})$, which is, however, infinite.

We have two matrix cocycles $A^t, A^{-1}$ over $\mathcal{T}$ defined by
\[ A^t(n, (\lambda, \pi)) = A^t(T^n(\lambda, \pi)) \cdots A^t(\lambda, \pi), \]
\[ A^{-1}(n, (\lambda, \pi)) = A^{-1}(T^n(\lambda, \pi)) \cdots A^{-1}(\lambda, \pi). \]

We introduce the corresponding skew-product transformations $\mathcal{T}A^t : \Delta(\mathcal{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \Delta(\mathcal{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^m$, $\mathcal{T}A^{-1} : \Delta(\mathcal{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \Delta(\mathcal{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^m$,
\[ \mathcal{T}A^t((\lambda, \pi), v) = (T(\lambda, \pi), A^t(\lambda, \pi)v); \]
\[ \mathcal{T}A^{-1}((\lambda, \pi), v) = (T(\lambda, \pi), A^{-1}(\lambda, \pi)v). \]

3.1.2 The construction of zippered rectangles

Here we briefly recall the construction of the Veech space of zippered rectangles. We use the notation of [11].

Zippered rectangles associated to the Rauzy class $\mathcal{R}$ are triples $(\lambda, \pi, \delta)$, where $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $\lambda_i > 0$, $\pi \in \mathcal{R}$, $\delta = (\delta_1, \ldots, \delta_m) \in \mathbb{R}^m$, and the vector $\delta$ satisfies the following inequalities:
\[ \delta_1 + \cdots + \delta_i \leq 0, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m - 1, \] (37)
\[ \delta_{\pi-1} + \cdots + \delta_{\pi-i} \geq 0, \quad i = 1, \ldots, m - 1. \] (38)

The set of all vectors $\delta$ satisfying (37), (38) is a cone in $\mathbb{R}^m$; we denote it by $K(\pi)$.

For any $i = 1, \ldots, m$, set
\[ a_j = a_j(\delta) = -\delta_1 - \cdots - \delta_j, \quad h_j = h_j(\pi, \delta) = -\sum_{i=1}^{j-1} \delta_i + \sum_{l=1}^{\pi(j)-1} \delta_{\pi-l}. \] (39)
3.1.3 Zippered rectangles and abelian differentials.

Given a zippered rectangle \( \mathcal{X} = (\lambda, \pi, \delta) \), Veech [38] takes \( m \) rectangles \( \Pi_i = \Pi_i(\lambda, \pi, \delta) \) of girth \( \lambda_i \) and height \( h_i \), \( i = 1, \ldots, m \), and glues them together according to a rule determined by the permutation \( \pi \). This procedure yields a Riemann surface \( M \) endowed with a holomorphic 1-form \( \omega \) which, in restriction to each \( \Pi_i \), is simply the form \( dz = dx + idy \). The union of the bases of the rectangles is an interval \( I(0) \) of length \( |\lambda| \) on \( M \); the first return map of the vertical flow of the form \( \omega \) is precisely the interval exchange \( T(\lambda, \pi) \).

A zippered rectangle \( \mathcal{X} \) by definition carries a partition \( \pi_0 = \pi_0(\mathcal{X}) \) of the underlying surface \( M = M(\mathcal{X}) \) into \( m \) weakly admissible rectangles \( \Pi_i \):

\[
\pi_0 : M = \Pi_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \Pi_m.
\]

The area of a zippered rectangle \( (\lambda, \pi, \delta) \) is given by the expression

\[
\text{Area}(\lambda, \pi, \delta) := \sum_{r=1}^{m} \lambda_r h_r = \sum_{r=1}^{m} \lambda_r (-\sum_{i=1}^{r-1} \delta_i + \sum_{i=1}^{\pi r - 1} \delta_{\pi r - 1}). \tag{40}
\]

(Our convention is \( \sum_{v=u}^{u} = 0 \) when \( u > v \).) Furthermore, to each rectangle \( \Pi_i \) Veech [39] assigns a cycle \( \gamma_i(\lambda, \pi, \delta) \) in the homology group \( H_1(M, \mathbb{Z}) \): namely, if \( P_i \) is the left bottom corner of \( \Pi_i \) and \( Q_i \) the left top corner, then the cycle is the union of the vertical interval \( P_i Q_i \) and the horizontal subinterval of \( I(0) \) joining \( Q_i \) to \( P_i \). It is clear that the cycles \( \gamma_i(\lambda, \pi, \delta) \) span \( H_1(M, \mathbb{Z}) \).

3.1.4 The space of zippered rectangles.

Denote by \( \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{R}) \) the space of all zippered rectangles corresponding to the Rauzy class \( \mathcal{R} \), i.e.,

\[
\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{R}) = \{ (\lambda, \pi, \delta) : \lambda \in \mathbb{R}^m_+, \ \pi \in \mathcal{R}, \ \delta \in K(\pi) \}.
\]

Let also

\[
\mathcal{V}^+(\mathcal{R}) = \{ (\lambda, \pi, \delta) \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{R}) : \lambda_{\pi^{-1} m} > \lambda_m \},
\]

\[
\mathcal{V}^-(\mathcal{R}) = \{ (\lambda, \pi, \delta) \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{R}) : \lambda_{\pi^{-1} m} < \lambda_m \},
\]

\[
\mathcal{V}^\pm(\mathcal{R}) = \mathcal{V}^+(\mathcal{R}) \cup \mathcal{V}^-(\mathcal{R}).
\]

Veech [38] introduced the flow \( \{ P^s \} \) acting on \( \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{R}) \) by the formula

\[
P^s(\lambda, \pi, \delta) = (e^s \lambda, \pi, e^{-s} \delta),
\]

and the map \( \mathcal{U} : \mathcal{V}^\pm(\mathcal{R}) \to \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{R}) \), where

\[
\mathcal{U}(\lambda, \pi, \delta) = \begin{cases} (A(\pi, a)^{-1} \lambda, a \pi, A(\pi, a)^{-1} \delta), & \text{if } \lambda_{\pi^{-1} m} > \lambda_m, \\ (A(\pi, b)^{-1} \lambda, b \pi, A(\pi, b)^{-1} \delta), & \text{if } \lambda_{\pi^{-1} m} < \lambda_m. \end{cases}
\]
The inclusion $\mathcal{U}^{\pm}(\mathcal{R}) \subset \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{R})$ is proved in [38]. The map $\mathcal{U}$ and the flow $\{P^s\}$ commute on $\mathcal{V}^{\pm}(\mathcal{R})$. They also preserve the area of a zippered rectangle (see [40]) and hence can be restricted to the set

$$\mathcal{V}^{1,\pm}(\mathcal{R}) := \{ (\lambda, \pi, \delta) \in \mathcal{V}^{\pm}(\mathcal{R}) : \text{Area}(\lambda, \pi, \delta) = 1 \}.$$ 

For $(\lambda, \pi) \in \Delta(\mathcal{R})$, denote

$$\tau^0(\lambda, \pi) := -\log(|\lambda| - \min(\lambda_m, \lambda_{\pi-1}m)).$$

From [33], [34] it follows that if $\lambda \in \Delta_\pi^+ \cup \Delta^-_\pi$, then

$$\tau^0(\lambda, \pi) = -\log |\Lambda^-(c, \pi)\lambda|,$$

where $c = a$ when $\lambda \in \Delta_\pi^+$, and $c = b$ when $\lambda \in \Delta^-_\pi$.

Next denote

$$Y_1(\mathcal{R}) := \{ x = (\lambda, \pi, \delta) \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{R}) : |\lambda| = 1, \text{Area}(\lambda, \pi, \delta) = 1 \},$$

$$\tau(x) := \tau^0(\lambda, \pi) \text{ for } x = (\lambda, \pi, \delta) \in Y_1(\mathcal{R}),$$

$$\mathcal{V}_{1,\tau}(\mathcal{R}) := \bigcup_{x \in Y_1(\mathcal{R})} P^s x.$$ 

Let

$$\mathcal{V}^{1,\pm}_{\neq}(\mathcal{R}) := \{ (\lambda, \pi, \delta) \in \mathcal{V}^{1,\pm}(\mathcal{R}) : a_m(\delta) \neq 0 \},$$

$$\mathcal{V}_\infty(\mathcal{R}) := \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{U}^n \mathcal{V}^{1,\pm}_{\neq}(\mathcal{R}).$$

Clearly $\mathcal{U}^n$ is well-defined on $\mathcal{V}_\infty(\mathcal{R})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

We now set

$$\mathcal{Y}'(\mathcal{R}) := Y_1(\mathcal{R}) \cap \mathcal{V}_\infty(\mathcal{R}), \quad \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{R}) := \mathcal{V}_{1,\tau}(\mathcal{R}) \cap \mathcal{V}_\infty(\mathcal{R}).$$

The above identification enables us to define on $\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{R})$ a natural flow, for which we retain the notation $P^s$ (although the bounded positive function $\tau$ is not separated from zero, the flow $P^s$ is well-defined).

Note that for any $s \in \mathbb{R}$ we have a natural “tautological” map

$$t_s : M(\mathcal{X}) \rightarrow M(P^s \mathcal{X})$$

which on each rectangle $\Pi_i$ is simply expansion by $e^s$ in the horizontal direction and contraction by $e^s$ in the vertical direction. By definition, the map $t_s$ sends the vertical and the horizontal foliations of $\mathcal{X}$ to those of $P^s \mathcal{X}$.

Introduce the space

$$\mathcal{X}\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{R}) = \{ (\mathcal{X}, x) : \mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{R}), x \in M(\mathcal{X}) \}$$

and endow the space $\mathcal{X}\mathcal{V}(\mathcal{R})$ with the flow $P^{s,\mathcal{X}}$ given by the formula

$$P^{s,\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{X}, x) = (P^s \mathcal{X}, t_s x).$$
The flow $P^s$ induces on the transversal $\mathcal{V}_1(\mathcal{R})$ the first-return map $\mathcal{F}$ given by the formula
\[
\mathcal{F}(\lambda, \pi, \delta) = \mathcal{U}P^0(\lambda, \pi)(\lambda, \pi, \delta).
\] (45)

Observe that, by definition, if $\mathcal{F}(\lambda, \pi, \delta) = (\lambda', \pi', \delta')$, then $(\lambda', \pi') = \mathcal{F}(\lambda, \pi)$. For $(\lambda, \pi, \delta) \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{R})$, $s \in \mathbb{R}$, let $\hat{n}(\lambda, \pi, \delta, s)$ be defined by the formula
\[
\mathcal{U}^\hat{n}(\lambda, \pi, \delta, s)(e^s\lambda, \pi, e^{-s}\delta) \in \mathcal{V}_1(\mathcal{R}).
\]

Endow the space $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{R})$ with a matrix cocycle $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ over the flow $P^s$ given by the formula
\[
\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(s, (\lambda, \pi, \delta)) = \mathcal{A}^t(\hat{n}(\lambda, \pi, \delta, s), (\lambda, \pi))
\]
and introduce the corresponding skew-product flow $P^s, \tilde{\mathcal{A}} : \tilde{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \tilde{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^m$ by the formula
\[
P^s, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X}, v) = (P^s \mathcal{X}, \tilde{\mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X}, s)v).
\]
We also have a natural cocycle $\mathcal{A}$ over the inverse flow $P^{-s}$ given by the formula
\[
\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{X}, s) = (\tilde{\mathcal{A}}(P^{-s} \mathcal{X}, s))^t
\]
and the natural skew-product flow $P^{-s, \mathcal{A}} : \tilde{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^m \to \tilde{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^m$ defined by the formula
\[
P^{-s, \mathcal{A}}(\mathcal{X}, v) = (P^{-s} \mathcal{X}, \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{X}, s)v).
\]

The strongly unstable Oseledets bundle of the cocycle $\mathcal{A}$ will be seen to describe all the measures $\Phi^- \in \mathcal{B}^-$ in the same way in which the strongly unstable Oseledets bundle of the cocycle $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ describes all the measures $\Phi^+ \in \mathcal{B}^+$.

**Remark.** The Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle is isomorphic to the inverse of its dual (see, e.g., Statement 2 in Proposition 3.1 below). This “self-duality” is, however, not used in the construction and characterization of finitely-additive invariant measures. The duality between the spaces $\mathcal{B}^+$ and $\mathcal{B}^-$ corresponds to the duality between the cocycle and its transpose, that is, in our notation, between $\tilde{\mathcal{A}}$ and $\mathcal{A}$: such duality takes place for any invertible matrix-valued cocycle over any measure-preserving flow.

### 3.1.5 The correspondence between cocycles.

To a connected component $\mathcal{H}$ of the space $\mathcal{M}_\kappa$ one can assign a Rauzy class $\mathcal{R}$ in such a way that the following is true [35, 32].
Theorem 3 (Veech). There exists a finite-to-one measurable map \( \pi_R : \tilde{V}(R) \to H \) such that \( \pi_R \circ P^t = g_t \circ \pi_R \). The image of \( \pi_R \) contains all abelian differentials whose vertical and horizontal foliations are both minimal.

As before, let \( H^1(H) \) be the fibre bundle over \( H \) whose fibre at a point \((M, \omega)\) is the cohomology group \( H^1(M, \mathbb{R}) \). The Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle \( A_{KZ} \) induces a skew-product flow \( g^A_{KZ} \) on \( H^1(H) \) given by the formula

\[
g^A_{KZ}(X, v) = (g_tX, A_{KZ}v), \quad X \in H, v \in H^1(M, \mathbb{R}).
\]

Following Veech [39], we now explain the connection between the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle \( A_{KZ} \) and the cocycle \( A^t \).

For any irreducible permutation \( \pi \) Veech [39] defines an alternating matrix \( L_{\pi} \) by setting \( L_{\pi}^{ij} = 0 \) if \( i = j \) or if \( i < j, \pi_i < \pi_j \), \( L_{\pi}^{ij} = 1 \) if \( i < j, \pi_i > \pi_j \), and \( L_{\pi}^{ij} = -1 \) if \( i > j, \pi_i < \pi_j \) and denotes by \( N(\pi) \) the kernel of \( L_{\pi} \) and by \( H(\pi) = L_{\pi}(\mathbb{R}^m) \) the image of \( L_{\pi} \). The dimensions of \( N(\pi) \) and \( H(\pi) \) do not change as \( \pi \) varies in \( R \), and, furthermore, Veech [39] establishes the following properties of the spaces \( N(\pi), H(\pi) \).

Proposition 3.1. Let \( c = a \) or \( b \). Then

1. \( H(c\pi) = A^t(c, \pi)H(\pi), \quad N(c\pi) = A^{-1}(c, \pi)N(\pi) \);
2. the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbb{R}^m/N(\pi) & \xrightarrow{L_{\pi}} & H(\pi) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mathbb{R}^m/N(c\pi) & \xrightarrow{L^c_{\pi}} & H(c\pi)
\end{array}
\]

is commutative and each arrow is an isomorphism.

3. For each \( \pi \) there exists a basis \( v_\pi \) in \( N(\pi) \) such that the map \( A^{-1}(c, \pi) \) sends every element of \( v_\pi \) to an element of \( v_{c\pi} \).

Each space \( H_{\pi} \) is thus endowed with a natural anti-symmetric bilinear form \( L_{\pi} \) defined, for \( v_1, v_2 \in H(\pi) \), by the formula

\[
L_{\pi}(v_1, v_2) = \langle v_1, (L_{\pi})^{-1}v_2 \rangle.
\]

(The vector \((L_{\pi})^{-1}v_2\) lies in \( \mathbb{R}^m/N(\pi) \); since for all \( v_1 \in H(\pi), v_2 \in N(\pi) \) by definition we have \( \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle = 0 \), the right-hand side is well-defined.)

Consider the \( \mathcal{A}^t \)-invariant subbundle \( \mathcal{H}(\Delta(R)) \subset \Delta(R) \times \mathbb{R}^m \) given by the formula

\[
\mathcal{H}(\Delta(R)) = \{((\lambda, \pi), v), (\lambda, \pi) \in \Delta(R), v \in H(\pi)\}.
\]

as well as a quotient bundle

\[
\mathcal{N}(\Delta(R)) = \{((\lambda, \pi), v), (\lambda, \pi) \in \Delta(R), v \in \mathbb{R}^m/N(\pi)\}.
\]
The bundle map \( L_R : \mathcal{H}(\Delta(R)) \to \mathcal{N}(\Delta(R)) \) given by \( L_R((\lambda, \pi), v) = ((\lambda, \pi), L^s v) \) induces a bundle isomorphism between \( \mathcal{H}(\Delta(R)) \) and \( \mathcal{N}(\Delta(R)) \).

Both bundles can be naturally lifted to bundles \( \mathcal{H}(\tilde{V}(R)), \mathcal{N}(\tilde{V}(R)) \) over the space \( \tilde{V}(R) \) of zippered rectangles; they are naturally invariant under the corresponding skew-product flows \( P^s, P^{-s} \), and the map \( L_R \) lifts to a bundle isomorphism between \( \mathcal{H}(\tilde{V}(R)) \) and \( \mathcal{N}(\tilde{V}(R)) \).

Take \( \mathcal{X} \in \tilde{V}(R) \) and write \( \pi_R(\mathcal{X}) = (M(\mathcal{X}), \omega(\mathcal{X})) \). Veech has shown that the map \( \pi_R \) lifts to a bundle epimorphism \( \tilde{\pi}_R \) from \( \mathcal{H}(\tilde{V}(R)) \) onto \( H^1(\mathcal{X}) \) that intertwines the cocycle \( A_{KZ} \) and the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle \( A_{KZ} \):

**Proposition 3.2** (Veech). For almost every \( \mathcal{X} \in \tilde{V}(R) \), \( \mathcal{X} = (\lambda, \pi, \delta) \), there exists an isomorphism \( \mathcal{I}_X : H(\pi) \to H^1(M(\mathcal{X}), \mathbb{R}) \) such that

1. the map \( \tilde{\pi}_R : \mathcal{H}(\tilde{V}(R)) \to H^1(\mathcal{X}) \) given by
   \[
   \tilde{\pi}_R(\mathcal{X}, v) = (\pi_R(\mathcal{X}), \mathcal{I}_X v)
   \]
   induces a measurable bundle epimorphism from \( \mathcal{H}(\tilde{V}(R)) \) onto \( H^1(\mathcal{X}) \), which is an isomorphic on each fibre;

2. the diagram
   \[
   \begin{array}{ccc}
   \mathcal{H}(\tilde{V}(R)) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}_R} & H^1(\mathcal{X}) \\
   \downarrow P^s & & \downarrow g_{s,KZ} \\
   \mathcal{H}(\tilde{V}(R)) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\pi}_R} & H^1(\mathcal{X})
   \end{array}
   \]
   is commutative;

3. for \( \mathcal{X} = (\lambda, \pi, \delta) \), the isomorphism \( \mathcal{I}_X \) takes the bilinear form \( L_\pi \) on \( H(\pi) \), defined by (40), to the cup-product on \( H^1(M(\mathcal{X}), \mathbb{R}) \).

Proof: Recall that to each rectangle \( \Pi \), Veech assigns a cycle \( \gamma_i(\lambda, \pi, \delta) \) in the homology group \( H_1(M, \mathbb{Z}) \): if \( P_i \) is the left bottom corner of \( \Pi \) and \( Q_i \) the left top corner, then the cycle is the union of the vertical interval \( P_iQ_i \) and the horizontal subinterval of \( I^{(0)}(\lambda, \pi, \delta) \) joining \( Q_i \) to \( P_i \). It is clear that the cycles \( \gamma_i(\lambda, \pi, \delta) \) span \( H_1(M, \mathbb{Z}) \); furthermore, Veech shows that the cycle \( t_1\gamma_1 + \cdots + t_m\gamma_m \) is homologous to 0 if and only if \((t_1, \ldots, t_m) \in N(\pi)\). We thus obtain an identification of \( \mathbb{R}^m/N(\pi) \) and \( H_1(M, \mathbb{R}) \). Similarly, the subspace of \( \mathbb{R}^m \) spanned by the vectors \((f(\gamma_1), \ldots, f(\gamma_m)), f \in H^1(M, \mathbb{R})\), is precisely \( H(\pi) \). The identification of the bilinear form \( L_\pi \) with the cup-product is established in Proposition 4.19 in [43].

Let \( \mathbb{P}_V \) be an ergodic \( P^s \)-invariant probability measure for the flow \( P^s \) on \( \mathcal{V}(R) \) and let \( \mathbb{P}_H = (\pi_R)_* \mathbb{P}_V \) be the corresponding \( g_\pi \)-invariant measure on \( H \). Let \( \mathcal{E}^u_{V_K}(\tilde{V}(R)) \) be the strongly unstable bundle of the cocycle \( \mathcal{A}^t \). By Proposition 3.1, the bundle \( \mathcal{E}^u_{V_K}(\tilde{V}(R)) \) is a subbundle of \( \mathcal{H}(\tilde{V}(R)) \). It therefore follows from Proposition 3.2 that the map \( \tilde{\pi}_R \) isomorphically identifies the strongly unstable bundles of the cocycles \( \mathcal{A}^t \) and \( A_{KZ} \); this identification is equivariant with respect to the natural actions of the skew-product flows \( P^s, \mathcal{X} \) and \( g_{s,KZ} \) on the corresponding bundles.
3.1.6 The correspondence between measures.

**Proposition 3.3.** Let $P$ be an ergodic $g_s$-invariant probability measure on $H$. Then there exists an ergodic $P^s$-invariant probability measure $P_V$ on $V(R)$ such that

$$P = (\pi_R)_* P_V.$$ 

This proposition is a corollary of the following general statement.

**Proposition 3.4.** Let $Z_1, Z_2$ be standard Borel spaces, let $g_s^1 : Z_1 \to Z_1$, $g_s^2 : Z_2 \to Z_2$ be measurable flows, and let $\pi_{12} : Z_1 \to Z_2$ be a Borel measurable map such that

1. for any $z_2 \in Z_2$ the preimage $\{\pi_{12}^{-1}(z_2)\}$ of $z_2$ is finite;
2. the map $\pi_{12}$ intertwines the flows $g_s^1$, $g_s^2$ in the sense that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
Z_1 & \xrightarrow{\pi_{12}} & Z_2 \\
\downarrow{g_s^1} & & \downarrow{g_s^2} \\
Z_1 & \xrightarrow{\pi_{12}} & Z_2
\end{array}$$

is commutative.

Then for any Borel $g_s^2$-invariant ergodic probability measure $P_2$ on $Z_2$, there exists a Borel $g_s^1$-invariant ergodic probability measure $P_1$ on $Z_1$ such that

$$(\pi_{12})_*(P_1) = P_2.$$ 

The proof of Proposition 3.4 is routine: first, note that, by ergodicity, for $P_2$-almost every $z_2 \in Z_2$ the cardinality of the preimage $\{\pi_{12}^{-1}(z_2)\}$ of $z_2$ is constant; now consider the normalized product $\tilde{P}_1$ of $P_1$ and the counting measure in the preimage; the measure $\tilde{P}_1$ is by definition $g_s^1$-invariant, and for the measure $P_1$ one may take an ergodic component, in fact, almost every ergodic component, of the measure $P_1$.

3.2 A strongly biregular sequence of partitions corresponding to a zippered rectangle

Given a zippered rectangle $\mathcal{R}$, we shall speak of its vertical and horizontal foliations, Hölder cocycles and so on, meaning the corresponding objects for the underlying abelian differential, and we shall use the notation $B^+(\mathcal{R})$, $B^-(\mathcal{R})$, $B^+_c(\mathcal{R})$, $B^-_c(\mathcal{R})$ for the corresponding spaces of finitely-additive measures.

Recall that, by construction, a zippered rectangle carries the partition

$$\pi_0(\mathcal{R}) = \Pi_1^{(0)} \sqcup \ldots \sqcup \Pi_m^{(0)}$$

into weakly admissible rectangles.
The Rauzy–Veech expansion of a zippered rectangle now yields a Markovian sequence of partitions $\pi_n$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. To construct it, first take $X \in \mathcal{Y}(R)$ and recall that we have a natural “tautological” identification map

$$t_{\mathcal{Y}} : M(X) \to M(TX).$$

Now set

$$\pi_n(X) = (t_{\mathcal{Y}})^{-n} \pi_0 \left( T^n X \right) \quad (47)$$

By definition, the sequence $\pi_n(X)$, $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, is Markovian. Minimality of the horizontal and vertical flows implies exactness of the sequence $\pi_n(X)$. For a general zippered rectangle $X' \in V_{1,\tau}(R)$, write

$$X' = P_{s_0} X, \quad X \in \mathcal{Y}_1(R), 0 \leq s_0 < \tau(X')$$

and set

$$\pi_n(X') = t_{s_0} (\pi_n(X)).$$

(informally, carry over sequence $\pi_n$ from the “closest” zippered rectangle lying on the transversal $\mathcal{Y}_1(R)$.)

**Lemma 3.5.** For $\mathbb{P}_V$-almost every zippered rectangle $X$ there exists a sequence $n_k \in \mathbb{Z}$, $n_0 = 0$, $n_k < n_{k+1}$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that the sequence $\mathfrak{A} = (A(\pi_{n_k}, \pi_{n_{k+1}}))$ of adjacency matrices of the exact Markovian subsequence $\pi_{n_k}(X)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ satisfies the following.

1. $\mathfrak{A}$ is an SB-sequence,

2. the space $\mathfrak{B}^+(\mathfrak{A})$ coincides with the strongly unstable space of the cocycle $\mathcal{A}^t$ at the point $X$.

The proof of the Lemma is routine: one chooses a Rauzy-Veech matrix $Q$ of the form

$$Q = Q_1 Q_2,$$

where $Q_1$ and $Q_2$ are Rauzy-Veech matrices all whose entries are positive and such that $\mathbb{P}_V$-almost all zippered rectangles $X$ contain infinitely many occurrences of the matrix $Q$ both in the past and in the future. The sequence $n_k$ is then the sequence of consecutive occurrences of the matrix $Q$. Each adjacency matrix $A(\pi_{n_k}, \pi_{n_{k+1}})$ now has the form $Q_2 A Q_1$, where $A$ is an integer matrix with non-negative entries. It follows from the Oseledets Multiplicative Ergodic Theorem and the Oseledets-Pesin Reduction Theorem (Theorem 3.5.5 on p.77 in [5]) that $\mathfrak{A}$ is an SB-sequence and that $\mathfrak{B}^+(\mathfrak{A})$ coincides with the strongly unstable space of the cocycle $\mathcal{A}^t$ at the zippered rectangle $X$. The proof of the Lemma is complete.
3.3 The renormalization action of the Teichmüller flow on the space of finitely-additive measures.

We have the evaluation map
\[
\text{eval}^+ : \mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{X}) \to \mathbb{R}^m
\]
which to a finitely-additive measure \( \Phi^+ \in \mathcal{B}^+ \) assigns the vector of its values on vertical arcs of the rectangles \( \Pi^{(i)}_i \), \( i = 1, \ldots, m \). We must now check that the map \( \text{eval}^+_X \) is indeed an isomorphism between the space \( \mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{X}) \) and the strongly unstable space of the cocycle \( \mathcal{A}' \).

Introduce a measurable fibre bundle \( \mathcal{B}^+ \tilde{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{R}) \) over the Veech space \( \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{R}) \) by setting
\[
\mathcal{B}^+ \tilde{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{R}) = \left\{ (\mathcal{X}, \Phi^+) : \mathcal{X} \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{R}), \Phi^+ \in \mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{X}) \right\}.
\]

Extend the map \( \text{eval}^+_X \) to a bundle morphism
\[
\text{eval}^+ : \mathcal{B}^+ \tilde{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{R}) \to \tilde{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^m,
\]
given by the formula:
\[
\text{eval}^+ (\mathcal{X}, \Phi^+) = (\mathcal{X}, \text{eval}^+_X (\Phi^+)).
\]

By definition, the map \( \text{eval}^+ \) intertwines the action of the flow \( P^s,\mathcal{X} \) on the bundle \( \mathcal{B}^+ \tilde{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{R}) \) with that of the flow \( P^s,\mathcal{A}' \) on the trivial bundle \( \tilde{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^m \).

Recall that for any \( s \in \mathbb{R} \) we have a natural “tautological” map
\[
t_s : M(\mathcal{X}) \to M(P^s \mathcal{X}),
\]
given by \( [44] \). The bundle \( \mathcal{B}^+ \tilde{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{R}) \) is now endowed with a natural renormalization flow \( P^s,\mathcal{B}^+ \) given by the formula
\[
P^s,\mathcal{B}^+ (\mathcal{X}, \Phi^+) = (P^s \mathcal{X}, (t_s)_* \Phi^+).
\]

We furthermore have a bundle morphism
\[
\text{eval}^+ : \mathcal{B}^+ \tilde{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{R}) \to \tilde{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^m
\]
given by the formula
\[
\text{eval}^+ (\mathcal{X}, \Phi^+) = (\mathcal{X}, \text{eval}^+_X (\Phi^+)).
\]

The identification of cocycles now gives us the following

**Proposition 3.6.** Let \( \tilde{\mathcal{V}} \) be an ergodic \( P^s \)-invariant probability measure for the flow \( P^s \) on \( \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{R}) \). We have a commutative diagram
\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{B}^+ \tilde{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{R}) & \xrightarrow{\text{eval}^+} & \tilde{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^m \\
\downarrow_{P^s,\mathcal{B}^+} & & \downarrow_{P^s,\mathcal{X}} \\
\mathcal{B}^+ \tilde{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{R}) & \xrightarrow{\text{eval}^+} & \tilde{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{R}) \times \mathbb{R}^m
\end{array}
\]
The map \( \text{eval}^+ \) is injective in restriction each fibre. For \( \mathbb{P}_V \)-almost every \( \mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{X}) \), the map \( \text{eval}^+ \) induces an isomorphism between the space \( \mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{X}) \) and the strongly unstable Oseledets subspace of the cocycle \( \mathcal{A}^t \) at the point \( \mathcal{X} \).

Proof. Let \( \pi_n \) be the sequence of partitions given by Lemma 3.5, and let \( \mathfrak{A} \) be the corresponding SB-sequence of matrices. Since \( \mathfrak{A} \) is an SB-sequence, the map \( \text{eval}^+_X \) induces an isomorphism between \( \mathcal{B}^+_X \) and \( \mathcal{B}^+_X \) (recall here that \( n_0 = 0 \)). Since \( \mathcal{B}^+_X \) coincides with the unstable space of the cocycle \( \mathcal{A}^t \), the Proposition is proved completely.

Using Proposition 3.6, we will identify the action of \( \mathcal{P} \) on \( \mathcal{B}^+_X \) with the action of \( \mathcal{P} \) on the strongly unstable Oseledets subbundle of \( \mathcal{V}_s \times \mathbb{R}^m \), and speak of the action of the cocycle \( \mathcal{A}^t \) on the space of finitely-additive measures in this sense.

This renormalization action of the flow \( \mathcal{P} \) on the space of finitely-additive measures will play a key rôle in the proof of the limit theorems in the next Section. We close this section by giving a sufficient condition for the equality \( \mathcal{B}^+_X(\omega) = \mathcal{B}^+_X(\omega) \).

3.4 A sufficient condition for the equality \( \mathcal{B}^+_X(\omega) = \mathcal{B}^+_X(\omega) \)

Let \( (\mathcal{X}, \mu) \) be a probability space endowed with a \( \mu \)-preserving transformation \( T \) or flow \( g_s \) and an integrable linear cocycle \( A \) over \( g_s \) with values in \( GL(m, \mathbb{R}) \).

For \( p \in \mathcal{X} \) let \( E_{0,p} \) be the the neutral subspace of \( A \) at \( p \), i.e., the Lyapunov subspace of the cocycle \( A \) corresponding to the Lyapunov exponent 0. We say that \( A \) acts isometrically on its neutral subspaces if for almost any \( p \) there exists an inner product \( \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_p \) on \( \mathbb{R}^m \) which depends on \( p \) measurably and satisfies

\[
\langle A(1,p)v, A(1,p)v \rangle_{g_s,p} = \langle v, v \rangle_p, \quad v \in E_{0,p}
\]

for all \( s \in \mathbb{R} \) (again, in the case of a transformation, \( g_s \) should be replaced by \( T \) in this formula).

The third statement of Proposition 3.1 has the following immediate

Corollary 3.7. Let \( \mathcal{P}_V \) be a Borel ergodic \( \mathcal{P}^s \)-invariant probability measure on \( \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{R}) \) and let \( \mathcal{P} = (\pi_{\mathcal{R}})_* \mathcal{P}_V \) be the corresponding \( g_s \)-invariant measure on \( \mathcal{H} \). If the Kontsevich-Zorich cocycle acts isometrically on its neutral subspace with respect to \( \mathcal{P} \), then the cocycle \( \mathcal{A}^t \) also acts isometrically on its neutral subspace with respect to \( \mathcal{P}_V \).

Note that the hypothesis of Corollary 3.7 is satisfied, in particular, for the Masur-Veech smooth measure on the moduli space of abelian differentials.

The following proposition is clear from the definitions.

Proposition 3.8. Let \( \mathcal{P}_V \) be a Borel ergodic \( \mathcal{P}^s \)-invariant probability measure on \( \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{R}) \) such that the cocycle \( \mathcal{A}^t \) acts isometrically on its neutral subspace with respect to \( \mathcal{P}_V \). Let \( \mathcal{P} = (\pi_{\mathcal{R}})_* \mathcal{P}_V \) be the corresponding \( g_s \)-invariant ergodic
measure on $\mathcal{H}$. Then for $\mathbb{P}$-almost every abelian differential $(M, \omega)$, we have the equality

$$\mathcal{B}^+(M, \omega) = \mathcal{B}^+_c(M, \omega).$$

In other words, if the cocycle $\mathcal{A}^t$ acts isometrically on its neutral subspace with respect to $\mathbb{P}_V$, then any continuous finitely-additive measure must in fact be Hölder. Note that the assumptions of the proposition are verified, in particular, for the Masur-Veech smooth measure on the moduli space of abelian differentials. To prove Proposition 3.8 we use Proposition 3.1 which implies that if the cocycle $\mathcal{A}^t$ acts isometrically on its neutral subspace with respect to $\mathbb{P}_V$, then $\mathbb{P}$-almost every abelian differential $(M, \omega)$ admits an exact Markovian sequence of partitions whose sequence of adjacency matrices is a hyperbolic SB-sequence: which, in turn, is sufficient for the equality

$$\mathcal{B}^+(M, \omega) = \mathcal{B}^+_c(M, \omega).$$

4 Proof of the Limit Theorems.

4.1 Outline of the proof.

The main element in the proof of the limit theorem is the renormalization action of the Teichmüller flow $P^s$ on the bundle $\mathcal{B}^+\mathcal{V}(\mathbb{R})$.

Start with the case when the second Lyapunov exponent of the cocycle $\mathcal{A}^t$ is positive and simple with respect to a $P^s$-invariant ergodic probability measure $\mathbb{P}_V$ on $\mathcal{V}(\mathbb{R})$. Then, by Theorem 1, for $\mathbb{P}_V$-almost every zippered rectangle $\mathcal{X}$, and a generic weakly Lipschitz function $f$ of zero average the ergodic integral

$$\int_0^{\exp(s)} f \circ h^+_t(x) dt$$

is approximated by an expression of the form

$$\text{const} \cdot \Phi^+_{2,\mathcal{X}}(x, e^s),$$

where the constant depends on $f$, and $\Phi^+_{2,\mathcal{X}} \in \mathcal{B}^+ (\mathcal{X})$ is a cocycle belonging to the second Lyapunov subspace of the cocycle $\mathcal{A}^t$. Note that the cocycle $\Phi^+_{2,\mathcal{X}}$ is defined up to multiplication by a scalar; the double cover $\mathcal{H}'$ over the space $\mathcal{H}$ in the formulation of the limit theorem is considered precisely in order to distinguish between positive and negative scalars.

Now, Proposition 3.6 implies that the normalized distribution of the random variable $\Phi^+_{2,\mathcal{X}}(x, e^s)$ (considered as a function of $x$ with fixed $s$) coincides with the normalized distribution of the random variable $\Phi^+_{2,\mathcal{X}}(x, 1)$. Assigning to a zippered rectangle $\mathcal{X}$ the normalized distribution of the random variable $\Phi^+_{2,\mathcal{X}}(x, e^s)$ (considered as a function of $x$ with fixed $s$) now yields the desired map $D^+_2$ from the space of zippered rectangles (more precisely, from its double cover) to the space of distributions. The fact that the normalized distributions
of the ergodic integrals are approximated by the image under the map $D^+_2$ of the orbit of our zippered rectangle under the action of the Teichmüller flow $P^s$ follows now from the asymptotic expansion of Theorem 1.

4.2 The case of the simple second Lyapunov exponent.

4.2.1 The leading term in the asymptotic for the ergodic integral.

We fix a $P^s$-invariant ergodic probability measure $P_V$ on $\hat{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{R})$ and start with the case in which the second Lyapunov exponent of the cocycle $A_t = R h \mathcal{X}$ corresponding to the top Lyapunov exponent 1 and the one-dimensional Oseledets subspace $E^{u}_{1,\mathcal{X}}$ corresponding to the simple second Lyapunov exponent. Furthermore, let $E^{u}_{3,\mathcal{X}}$ be the subspace corresponding to the remaining Lyapunov exponents.

We have then the decomposition

$$E^u_{\mathcal{X}} = E^u_{1,\mathcal{X}} \oplus E^u_{2,\mathcal{X}} \oplus E^u_{3,\mathcal{X}}.$$

Denote $\mathfrak{B}^+_1, \mathfrak{B}^+_{2,\mathcal{X}}$, $\mathfrak{B}^+_{3,\mathcal{X}}$ the corresponding spaces of H"older cocycles.

A similar decomposition holds for the dual space $\tilde{E}^u$, the strongly unstable space of the cocycle $\tilde{A}$:

$$\tilde{E}^u_{\mathcal{X}} = \tilde{E}^u_{1,\mathcal{X}} \oplus \tilde{E}^u_{2,\mathcal{X}} \oplus \tilde{E}^u_{3,\mathcal{X}}.$$

Again, denote $\mathfrak{B}^-_1, \mathfrak{B}^-_{2,\mathcal{X}}$, $\mathfrak{B}^-_{3,\mathcal{X}}$ the corresponding spaces of H"older cocycles.

Choose $\Phi^+_2 \in \mathfrak{B}^+_{2,\mathcal{X}}$, $\Phi^-_2 \in \mathfrak{B}^-_{2,\mathcal{X}}$ in such a way that

$$\langle \Phi^+_2, \Phi^-_2 \rangle = 1.$$

Take $f \in Lip^+_w(\mathcal{X})$, $x \in \mathcal{X}$, $T \in \mathbb{R}$ and observe that the expression

$$m_{\Phi^-_2} (f) \Phi^+_2 (x, T)$$

does not depend on the precise choice of $\Phi^+_2$ (we have the freedom of multiplying $\Phi^+_2$ by an arbitrary scalar, but then $\Phi^-_2$ is divided by the same scalar).

Now for $f \in Lip^+_w(\mathcal{X})$ write

$$\Phi^+_f (x, T) = \left( \int_{\mathcal{X}} f \, d\nu \right) \cdot T + m_{\Phi^-_2} (f) \Phi^+_2 (x, T) + \Phi^+_3 (f) (x, T),$$

where $\Phi^+_3 \in Lip^+_w(\mathcal{X})$.

In particular, there exist two positive constants $C$ and $\alpha$ depending only on $P$ such that for any function $f$ satisfying

$$f \in Lip^+_w(\mathcal{X}), \int_{\mathcal{X}} f \, d\nu = 0,$$
we have the estimate
\[ \left| \int_0^T f \circ h^+_t(x)dt - m_{\Phi^+_2}(f)\Phi^+_2(x,T) \right| \leq C\|f\|_{Lip} T^{\bar{g}_2 - \alpha}. \]  
(49)

4.2.2 The growth of the variance.

In order to estimate the variance of the random variable \( \int_0^T f \circ h^+_t(x)dt \), we start by studying the growth of the variance of the random variable \( \Phi^+_2(x,T) + 2X(x,T) \) as \( T \to \infty \).

Recall that \( \mathbb{E}_\nu \Phi^+_2(x,T) = 0 \) for all \( T \), while \( \text{Var}_\nu \Phi^+_2(x,T) \neq 0 \) for \( T \neq 0 \).

Recall that for a cocycle \( \Phi^+ \in \mathcal{B}^+, \Phi^+ = I^+_\nu(v) \), we have defined its norm \( |\Phi^+| \) by the formula \( |\Phi^+| = |v| \). Introduce a multiplicative cocycle \( H_2(s,\mathcal{X}) \) over the flow \( P^s \) by the formula
\[ H_2(s,\mathcal{X}) = \frac{|A_t^s(s,\mathcal{X})v|}{|v|}, v \in E^u_{2,\mathcal{X}}, v \neq 0. \]  
(50)

Observe that the right-hand side does not depend on the specific choice of \( v \neq 0 \).

By definition, we now have
\[ \lim_{s \to \infty} \frac{\log H_2(s,\mathcal{X})}{s} = \bar{g}_2. \]  
(51)

**Proposition 4.1.** There exists a positive measurable function \( V : \hat{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{R}) \to \mathbb{R}_+ \) such that the following equality holds for \( \mathbb{P}_V \)-almost all \( \mathcal{X} \in \hat{\mathcal{X}}(\mathcal{R}) \):
\[ \text{Var}_\nu \Phi^+_2(x,T) = V(P^s \mathcal{X}) |\Phi^+_2(x,T)|^2 (H_2(s,\mathcal{X}))^2. \]  
(52)

Indeed, the function \( V(\mathcal{X}) \) is given by
\[ V(\mathcal{X}) = \frac{\text{Var}_\nu \Phi^+_2(x,1)}{|\Phi^+_2|^2}, \]  
(53)

and the Proposition is an immediate corollary of Proposition 3.6. Observe that the right-hand side does not depend on a particular choice of \( \Phi^+_2 \in \mathcal{B}^+_2, \Phi^+_2 \neq 0 \).

Using (49), we now proceed to estimating the growth of the variance of the ergodic integral
\[ \int_0^T f \circ h^+_t(x)dt. \]

We use the same notation as in the Introduction: for \( \tau \in [0,1], s \in \mathbb{R} \), a real-valued \( f \in Lip^+_{w,0}(\mathcal{X}) \) we write
\[ \mathcal{G}[f,s,\tau,x] = \int_0^{\tau \exp(s)} f \circ h^+_t(x)dt. \]  
(54)
As before, let $\nu$ be the Lebesgue measure on the surface $M(\mathcal{X})$ corresponding to the zippered rectangle $\mathcal{X}$. As before, as $x$ varies in the probability space $(M(\mathcal{X}), \nu)$, we obtain a random element of $C[0, 1]$. In other words, we have a random variable 
\[
\mathcal{S}[f, s] : (M(\mathcal{X}), \nu) \to C[0, 1] \tag{55}
\]
defined by the formula (54).

For any fixed $\tau \in [0, 1]$ the formula (54) yields a real-valued random variable 
\[
\mathcal{S}[f, s; \tau] : (M(\mathcal{X}), \nu) \to \mathbb{R}, \tag{56}
\]
whose expectation, by definition, is zero.

**Proposition 4.2.** There exists $\alpha > 0$ depending only on $\mathbb{P}_V$ and a positive measurable function $C : \mathbb{B}_2(\mathbb{R}) \times \mathbb{B}_2(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}$ such that the following holds for $\mathbb{P}_V$-almost all $\mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{V}$ and all $s > 0$. Let $\Phi_{2, \mathcal{X}}^+ \in \mathbb{B}_2^{+}, \Phi_{2, \mathcal{X}}^- \in \mathbb{B}_2^{-}$ be chosen in such a way that $\langle \Phi_{2, \mathcal{X}}^+, \Phi_{2, \mathcal{X}}^- \rangle = 1$. Let $f \in \text{Lip}_w^+(\mathcal{X})$ be such that 
\[
\int_{M(\mathcal{X})} f d\nu = 0, \quad m_{\Phi_{2, \mathcal{X}}^-}(f) \neq 0.
\]

Then
\[
\left| \Var_{\nu} \mathcal{S}[f, s; 1] \right| \leq C(\mathcal{X}, P^s(\mathcal{X})) \exp(-\alpha s). \tag{57}
\]

**Remark.** Observe that the quantity $(m_{\Phi_{2, \mathcal{X}}^-}(f)|\Phi_{2, \mathcal{X}}^+|^2)$ does not depend on the specific choice of $\Phi_{2, \mathcal{X}}^+ \in \mathbb{B}_2^+, \Phi_{2, \mathcal{X}}^- \in \mathbb{B}_2^-$ such that $\langle \Phi_{2, \mathcal{X}}^+, \Phi_{2, \mathcal{X}}^- \rangle = 1$.

Indeed, the proposition is immediate from Theorem 1, the inequality
\[
|E(\xi_1^2) - E(\xi_2^2)| \leq \sup|\xi_1 + \xi_2| \cdot E|\xi_1 - \xi_2|,
\]
which holds for any two bounded random variables $\xi_1, \xi_2$ on any probability space, and the following clear Proposition, which, again, is an immediate corollary of Theorem 1.

**Proposition 4.3.** There exists a constant $\alpha > 0$ depending only on $\mathbb{P}_V$, a positive measurable function $C : \mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{V} \to \mathbb{R}$, and a positive measurable function $V' : \mathcal{V} \to \mathbb{R}$ such that for all $s > 0$ we have
\[
\max_{x \in \mathcal{M}} |\Phi_{2}^+(x, e)| = V'(P^s(\mathcal{X})) H_2(s, \mathcal{X}); \tag{58}
\]

\[
\left| \max_{x \in \mathcal{M}} \mathcal{S}[f, s; 1](x) \right| \leq C(\mathcal{X}, P^s(\mathcal{X})) \exp(-\alpha s). \tag{59}
\]
4.2.3 Conclusion of the proof.

We now turn to the asymptotic behaviour of the distribution of the random variable \( \mathcal{S}[f, s] \) as \( s \to \infty \).

Again, we will use the notation \( m[f, s] \) for the distribution of the normalized random variable

\[
\frac{\mathcal{S}[f, s]}{\sqrt{Var \nu \mathcal{S}[f, s; 1]}}. 
\]

The measure \( m[f, s] \) is thus a probability distribution on the space \( C[0, 1] \) of continuous functions on the unit interval.

For \( \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \tau \neq 0 \), we again let \( m[f, s; \tau] \) be the distribution of the \( \mathbb{R} \)-valued random variable

\[
\frac{\mathcal{S}[f, s; \tau]}{\sqrt{Var \nu \mathcal{S}[f, s; \tau]}}. 
\]

If \( f \) has zero average, then, by definition, \( m[f, s; \tau] \) is a measure on \( \mathbb{R} \) of expectation 0 and variance 1. Again, as in the Introduction, we take the space \( C[0, 1] \) endowed with the Tchebychev topology, we let \( \mathfrak{M} \) be the space of Borel probability measures on the space \( C[0, 1] \) endowed with the weak topology (see [7] or the Appendix).

Consider the space \( \hat{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{R})' \) given by the formula

\[
\hat{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{R})' = \{ \mathcal{X}' = (\mathcal{X}, v), v \in E^{+}_{2, \mathcal{X}}, |v| = 1 \}. 
\]

The flow \( P^s \) is lifted to \( \hat{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{R})' \) by the formula

\[
P^{s, t}(\mathcal{X}, v) = \left( P^s \mathcal{X}', \frac{\mathcal{A}(s, \mathcal{X}) v}{|\mathcal{A}(s, \mathcal{X}) v|} \right). 
\]

Given \( \mathcal{X}' \in \hat{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{R})' \), \( \mathcal{X}' = (\mathcal{X}, v) \), write

\[
\Phi^{+}_{2, \mathcal{X}} = \mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{X}}(v). 
\]

As before, write

\[
V(\mathcal{X}') = Var_{\nu} \Phi^{+}_{2, \mathcal{X}}, (x, 1). 
\]

Now introduce the map

\[
\mathcal{D}^{+}_{2} : \hat{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{R})' \to \mathfrak{M} 
\]

by setting \( \mathcal{D}^{+}_{2}(\mathcal{X}') \) to be the distribution of the \( C[0, 1] \)-valued normalized random variable

\[
\frac{\Phi^{+}_{2, \mathcal{X}}, (x, \tau)}{\sqrt{V(\mathcal{X}')}}, \tau \in [0, 1]. 
\]

Note here that, by Proposition 2.11, for any \( \tau_0 \neq 0 \) we have \( Var_{\nu} \Phi^{+}_{2, \mathcal{X}}, (x, \tau_0) \neq 0 \), so, by definition, we have \( \mathcal{D}^{+}_{2}(\mathcal{X}') \in \mathfrak{M}_1 \).
Now, as before, we take a function $f \in \text{Lip}_{w,\mathcal{X}}^+$ such that
\[
\int_{M(\mathcal{X})} f \, dv = 0, \quad m_{\Phi_{2,\mathcal{X}}} (f) \neq 0
\]
As before, $d_{LP}$ stands for the Lévy-Prohorov metric on $\mathcal{M}$, $d_{KR}$ for the Kantorovich-Rubinstein metric on $\mathcal{M}$.

**Proposition 4.4.** Let $P$ be a $P^s$-invariant ergodic Borel probability measure on $\hat{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{R})$ such that the second Lyapunov exponent of the cocycle $\tilde{\mathcal{X}}$ is positive and simple with respect to $P$. There exists a positive measurable function $C : \hat{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{R}) \times \hat{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{R}) \to \mathbb{R}_+$ and a positive constant $\alpha$ depending only on $P$ such that for $P$-almost every $\mathcal{X}', \mathcal{X}'' \in \hat{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{R})'$, $\mathcal{X}' = (\mathcal{X}, v)$, and any $f \in \text{Lip}_{w,0}^+(\mathcal{X})$ satisfying $m_{\tilde{\mathcal{X}}}(f) > 0$ we have
\[
d_{LP}(m[f, s], D_2^+(P^s \mathcal{X}')) \leq C(\mathcal{X}', P^s \mathcal{X}) \exp(-\alpha s). \tag{62}
\]
\[
d_{KR}(m[f, s], D_2^+(P^s \mathcal{X}')) \leq C(\mathcal{X}', P^s \mathcal{X}) \exp(-\alpha s). \tag{63}
\]
Proof: We start with the simple inequality
\[
\left| \frac{a}{b} - \frac{c}{d} \right| \leq \left| a \right| \cdot \left| \frac{b - d}{bd} \right| + \left| \frac{a - c}{d} \right|
\]
valid for any real numbers $a, b, c, d$. For any pair of random variables $\xi_1, \xi_2$ taking values in an arbitrary Banach space and any positive real numbers $M_1, M_2$ we consequently have
\[
\sup \left| \frac{\xi_1}{M_1} - \frac{\xi_2}{M_2} \right| \leq \sup \left| \xi_1 \right| \cdot \left| \frac{M_1 - M_2}{M_1 M_2} \right| + \frac{\sup \left| \xi_1 - \xi_2 \right|}{M_2}. \tag{64}
\]
We apply the inequality (64) to the $C[0, 1]$-valued random variables
\[
\xi_1 = \mathbb{S}[f, s], \quad \xi_2 = \Phi_{2, P^s}(x, \tau \cdot e^s),
\]
letting $M_1, M_2$ be the corresponding normalizing variances: $M_1 = \text{Var}_P \mathbb{S}[f, s; 1]$, $M_2 = \text{Var}_P m[f, s; 1]$.

Now take $\varepsilon > 0$ and let $\hat{\xi}_1, \hat{\xi}_2$ be two random variables on an arbitrary probability space $(\Omega, \mathbb{P})$ taking values in a complete metric space and such that the distance between their values does not exceed $\varepsilon$. In this case both the Lévy-Prohorov and the Kantorovich-Rubinstein distance between their distributions $(\hat{\xi}_1), \mathbb{P}$, $(\hat{\xi}_2), \mathbb{P}$ also does not exceed $\varepsilon$ (see Lemma 5.1 in the Appendix).

Proposition 4.4 is now immediate from Equation (49) and Proposition 4.2.

It remains to derive Proposition 1.14 from Proposition 4.4. To do so, note that the map $D_2^+$, originally defined on the double cover $\hat{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{R})$ of the space of zippered rectangles, naturally descends to a map, for which we keep the same symbol $D_2^+$, defined on the double cover $\mathcal{H}'$ of the connected component $\mathcal{H}$ of the moduli space of abelian differentials. Indeed, it is immediate from the definitions that the image $D_2^+(\mathcal{X}')$ of an element $\mathcal{X}' \in \hat{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{R})'$, $\mathcal{X}' = (\mathcal{X}, v)$ only depends on the underlying element $(M(\mathcal{X}), \omega(\mathcal{X}), v)$ of the space $\mathcal{H}'$. Proposition 4.4 is now proved completely.
4.3 Proof of Corollary 1.16.

For \( \mathcal{X}' \in \hat{V}(\mathbb{R})' \), let \( m[\Phi^+, \tau] \) be the distribution of the normalized \( \mathbb{R} \)-valued random variable \( \frac{\Phi^+(x, \tau)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}_\nu \Phi^+(x, \tau)}} \).

**Proposition 4.5.** Let \( P_V \) be a \( P^* \)-invariant ergodic Borel probability measure on \( \hat{V}(\mathbb{R}) \). For \( P_V \)-almost every \( \mathcal{X} \) and any \( \Phi^+ \in \mathcal{B}^+_X \), \( \Phi^+ \neq 0 \), the correspondence

\[ \tau \rightarrow m[\Phi^+, \tau] \]

yields a continuous map from \( \mathbb{R} \setminus 0 \) to \( M(\mathbb{R}) \).

Proof. This is immediate from the Hölder property of the cocycle \( \Phi^+ \) and the nonvanishing of the variance \( \text{Var}_\nu \Phi^+(x, \tau) \) for \( \tau \neq 0 \), which is guaranteed by Proposition 2.11.

As usual, by the omega-limit set of a parameterized curve \( p(s), s \in \mathbb{R} \), taking values in a metric space, we mean the set of all accumulation points of our curve as \( s \to \infty \).

We now use the following general statement.

**Proposition 4.6.** Let \( (\Omega, \mathcal{B}) \) be a standard Borel space, and let \( g_s \) be a measurable flow on \( \Omega \) preserving an ergodic Borel probability measure \( \mu \). Let \( Z \) be a separable metric space, and let \( \varphi : \Omega \rightarrow Z \) be a measurable map such that for \( \mu \)-almost every \( \omega \in \Omega \), the curve \( \varphi(g_s \omega) \) is continuous in \( s \in \mathbb{R} \). Then there exists a closed set \( \mathcal{N} \subset Z \) such that for \( \mu \)-almost every \( \omega \in \Omega \), the set \( \mathcal{N} \) is the omega-limit set of the curve \( \varphi(g_s \omega), s \in \mathbb{R} \).

The proof of Proposition 4.6 is routine. We choose a countable base \( \mathcal{U} = \{ U_n \}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \) of open sets in \( Z \). By ergodicity of \( g_s \), continuity of the curves \( \varphi(g_s \omega) \) and countability of the family \( \mathcal{U} \), there exists a subset of full measure \( \Omega' \subset \Omega \), \( \mu(\Omega') = 1 \), such that for any \( U \in \mathcal{U} \) and any \( \omega \in \Omega' \), the following conditions are satisfied:

1. If \( \mu(U) > 0 \), then there exists an infinite sequence \( s_n \to \infty \) such that \( \varphi(g_{s_n} \omega) \in U \);
2. If \( \mu(U) = 0 \), then there exists \( s_0 > 0 \) such that \( \varphi(g_s \omega) \notin U \) for all \( s > s_0 \).

Now let \( \mathcal{N} \) be the set of all points \( z \in Z \) such that \( \mu(U) > 0 \) for any open set \( U \in \mathcal{U} \) containing the point \( z \). By construction, for any \( \omega \in \Omega' \), the set \( \mathcal{N} \) is precisely the omega-limit set of the curve \( \varphi(g_s \omega) \). The Proposition is proved.

Proposition 4.6 with \( \Omega = \mathcal{H}', \varphi = D^+_2 \) and \( \mu \) an ergodic component of \( P' \) together with the Limit Theorem given by Propositions 1.14, 4.4 immediately implies Corollary 1.16.
4.4 The general case

4.4.1 The fibre bundles $S^{(i)}\hat{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{R})$ and the flows $P^s S^{(i)}$ corresponding to the strongly unstable Oseledets subspaces.

Let $\mathbb{P}_\mathcal{Y}$ be an ergodic $P^s$-invariant probability measure on $\hat{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{R})$ and let

$$\theta_1 = 1 > \theta_2 > \cdots > \theta_{l_0} > 0$$

be the distinct positive Lyapunov exponents of $\mathcal{A}^d$ with respect to $\mathbb{P}$. We assume $l_0 \geq 2$.

For $\mathcal{X} \in \hat{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{R})$, let

$$E_x^\mathcal{X} = Rh_x^{(0)} + E_{2,\mathcal{X}} \oplus \cdots \oplus E_{l_0,\mathcal{X}}$$

be the corresponding direct-sum decomposition into Oseledets subspaces, and let

$$\mathcal{B}_x^+ = R\nu_x^+ + \mathcal{B}_{2,\mathcal{X}}^+ \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{B}_{l_0,\mathcal{X}}^+$$

be the corresponding direct sum decomposition of the space $\mathcal{B}_x^+$.

For $f \in \operatorname{Lip}_w^+(\mathcal{X})$ we now write

$$\Phi^+_f = \Phi^+_{1,f} + \Phi^+_{2,f} + \cdots + \Phi^+_{l_0,f},$$

where $\Phi^+_{i,f} \in \mathcal{B}_{i,\mathcal{X}}^+$ and, of course,

$$\Phi^+_{1,f} = \left( \int_{M(\mathcal{X})} f d\nu \right) \cdot \nu^+.$$

For each $i = 2, \ldots, l_0$ introduce a measurable fibre bundle

$$S^{(i)}\hat{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{R}) = \{(\mathcal{X}, v) : \mathcal{X} \in \hat{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{R}), v \in E_{i,\mathcal{X}}^+, |v| = 1\}.$$

The flow $P^s$ is naturally lifted to the space $S^{(i)}\hat{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{R})$ by the formula

$$P^s S^{(i)}(\mathcal{X}, v) = \left( P^s \mathcal{X}, \frac{\mathcal{A}^d(s, \mathcal{X})v}{|\mathcal{A}^d(s, \mathcal{X})v|} \right).$$

4.4.2 Growth of the variance.

The growth of the norm of vectors $v \in E_i^+$ is controlled by the multiplicative cocycle $H_i$ over the flow $P^s S^{(i)}$ defined by the formula

$$H_i(s, (\mathcal{X}, v)) = \frac{|\mathcal{A}^d(s, \mathcal{X})v|}{|v|}.$$

The growth of the variance of ergodic integrals is also, similarly to the previous case, described by the cocycle $H_i$. 

58
For $\mathcal{X} \in \hat{V}(\mathcal{R})$ and $f \in \text{Lip}_{w,0}^+(\mathcal{X})$ we write

$$i(f) = \min\{j : \Phi^+_f(j) \neq 0\}. \quad (65)$$

We now define a vector $v_f \in E^w_{i(f)}$ by the formula

$$\mathcal{I}^+_{\mathcal{X}}(v_f) = \frac{\Phi^+_f(i(f))}{|\Phi^+_f(i(f))|}. \quad (66)$$

**Proposition 4.7.** There exists $\alpha > 0$ depending only on $P_V$ and, for any $i = 2, \ldots, l_0$, positive measurable functions $V^{(i)} : \tilde{\mathcal{X}} \to \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}$ and $C^{(i)} : \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}(\mathcal{R}) \times \tilde{\mathcal{Y}}(\mathcal{R}) \to \mathbb{R}_+$ such that for $P_V$-almost every $\mathcal{X} \in \hat{V}(\mathcal{R})$, any $f \in \text{Lip}_{w,0}^+(\mathcal{X})$ and all $s > 0$ we have

$$\left| \frac{\text{Var}_\nu(\mathcal{S}[f,e^s;1])}{V^{(i)}(\mathcal{X},v_f)\left(H_i(s,(\mathcal{X},v_f))\right)^2} - 1 \right| \leq C^{(i)}(\mathcal{X},P^\alpha \mathcal{X})e^{-\alpha s}.\]$$

Indeed, similarly to the case of a simple Lyapunov exponent, for $v \in E^w_{\mathcal{X}}$ we write $\Phi^+_v = \mathcal{I}^+_{\mathcal{X}}(v)$ and set

$$V^{(i)}(\mathcal{X},v) = \text{Var}_\nu \Phi^+_v(x,1).$$

The Proposition follows now in the same way as in the case of the simple second Lyapunov exponent: the pointwise approximation of the ergodic integral by the corresponding Hölder cocycle implies also that the variances of these random variables are exponentially close.

### 4.5 Proof of Theorem 2

For $i = 2, \ldots, l_0$, introduce a map

$$\mathcal{D}^+_i : \mathcal{S}^{(i)}\tilde{\mathcal{V}}(\mathcal{R}) \to \mathcal{M}$$

by setting $\mathcal{D}^+_i(\mathcal{X},v)$ to be the distribution of the $C[0,1]$-valued random variable

$$\frac{\Phi^+_i(x,\tau)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}_\nu(\Phi^+_v(x,1))}}, \tau \in [0,1].$$

As before, by definition we have $\mathcal{D}^+_i(\mathcal{X},v) \in \mathcal{M}_1$. The measure $\mathcal{M}[f,s] \in \mathcal{M}$ is, as before, the distribution of the $C[0,1]$-valued random variable

$$\frac{\tau \exp(s) \int_0^\tau f \circ h^+_i(x)dt}{\sqrt{\text{Var}_\nu(\int_0^\tau f \circ h^+_i(x)dt)}}, \tau \in [0,1].$$


As before, let \( l_0 = l_0(\mathbb{P}_V) \) be the number of distinct positive Lyapunov exponents of the measure \( \mathbb{P}_V \). For \( f \in Lip_{w,0}^+(\mathcal{X}) \) we define the number \( i(f) \) by \((65)\) and the vector \( v_f \) by \((66)\).

**Theorem 4.** Let \( \mathbb{P}_V \) be a Borel \( P^* \)-invariant ergodic probability measure on \( \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{R}) \) satisfying \( l_0(\mathbb{P}_V) \geq 2 \). There exists a constant \( \alpha > 0 \) depending only on \( \mathbb{P} \) and a positive measurable map \( C : \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{R}) \to \mathbb{R}_+ \) such that for \( \mathbb{P}_V \)-almost every \( \mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{V}(\mathcal{R}) \) and any \( f \in Lip_{w,0}^+(\mathcal{X}) \) we have

\[
d_{LP}(\mathbb{m}[f,s],D_{i(f)}^+(P^*S^{\alpha}(f))(\mathcal{X},v_f))) \leq C(\mathcal{X},P^*\mathcal{X})e^{-\alpha s},
\]

\[
d_{KR}(\mathbb{m}[f,s],D_{i(f)}^+(P^*S^{\alpha}(f))(\mathcal{X},v_f))) \leq C(\mathcal{X},P^*\mathcal{X})e^{-\alpha s}.
\]

The proof is similar to the proof of Proposition 4.4. Again, the ergodic integral is uniformly approximated by the corresponding cocycle; the uniform bound on the difference yields the uniform bound on the difference and the ratio of variances of the ergodic integral and the cocycle considered as random variables; we proceed, as before, by using the inequality \((64)\) with \( \xi_1 = \mathbb{m}[f,s] \), \( \xi_2 = \Phi_{i(f)}(x,\tau) \), and \( M_1, M_2 \) the corresponding normalizing variances. We conclude, again, by noting that a uniform bound on the difference between two random variables implies the same bound on the Lévy-Prohorov or Kantorovich-Rubinstein distance between the distributions of the random variables (using Lemma 5.1 in the Appendix).

Theorem 4 now implies Theorem 2 in the same way in which Proposition 4.4 implies Proposition 1.14.

4.6 Atoms of limit distributions.

Let \( \mathcal{X} \) be a zippered rectangle, and let \( (M,\omega) = (M(\mathcal{X}),\omega(\mathcal{X}')) \) be the underlying abelian differential. For \( x \in M(\mathcal{X}) \), let \( \gamma^+_\infty(x) \) stand for the leaf of the vertical foliation containing \( x \), and let \( \gamma^-\infty(x) \) stand for the leaf of the horizontal foliation containing \( x \). Our next aim is to show that atoms of limit distributions occur at all “homoclinic times”, that is, moments of time \( t_0 \) such that there exists a point \( \hat{x} \in M \) satisfying \( h^+_\infty(t_0,x) \in \gamma^-\infty(\hat{x}) \).

**Proposition 4.8.** Let \( \mathcal{X} \) be a zippered rectangle, and let \( (M,\omega) = (M(\mathcal{X}),\omega(\mathcal{X})) \) be the underlying abelian differential. Let \( \hat{x} \in M \) and assume that \( \hat{x} \) does lie neither on a horizontal nor on a vertical leaf passing through a singularity of the abelian differential \( \omega(\mathcal{X}) \). Let \( t_0 \in \mathbb{R} \) be such that \( h^-\infty(t_0,\hat{x}) \in \gamma^-\infty(\hat{x}) \). Then there exists a rectangle \( \Pi \) of positive area such that for any \( x \in \Pi \) and any \( \Phi^+ \in \mathfrak{B}^+(\mathcal{X}) \) we have

\[
\Phi^+(x,t_0) = \Phi^+(\hat{x},t_0).
\]

**Proof.** Let \( \hat{x} = h^+_\infty(t_0,\hat{x}) \) and write \( \hat{x} = h^-\infty(t_0,\hat{x}) \). Start with the case \( t_0 > 0, t_1 > 0 \). By our assumptions, for sufficiently small positive \( t_2, t_3, t_4 \), the rectangles

\[
\Pi_1 = \Pi(\hat{x},t_2,t_1 + t_3), \Pi_2 = \Pi(\hat{x},t_0 + t_2, t_3)
\]
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are both admissible.

The desired rectangle $\Pi$ can now be taken of the form

$$\Pi = \Pi(\tilde{x}, t_2, t_3).$$

Indeed, take $x \in \Pi$. Our aim is to check the equality (67). Write $x = h^+_i x_1$, where $x_1 \in \partial^0 h_i(\Pi)$. We first check the equality

$$\Phi^+(x, t_0) = \Phi^+(x_1, t_0).$$

But indeed, $\Phi^+(x_1, t_0) = \Phi^+(x_1, t_0-t) + \Phi^+(h^+_i x_1, t_0-t) = \Phi^+(x_1, t_0)$, as desired. The equality

$$\Phi^+(x_1, t_0) = \Phi^+(\tilde{x}, t_0)$$

is a direct corollary of admissibility of $\Pi_2$. Combining (68) with (69), we arrive at the desired equality (68), and Proposition 4.8 is proved.

For a fixed zippered rectangle $\mathcal{X}$ both whose vertical and horizontal flows are minimal, the set of “homoclinic times” $t_0$ for which there exist $\tilde{x}, \hat{x} \in X$ satisfying $\tilde{x} \in \gamma^+_\infty(\hat{x})$, $\hat{x} \in \gamma^-\infty(\hat{x})$, $\hat{x} = h_{t_0}^\infty \hat{x}$, is countable and dense in $\mathbb{R}$. Proposition 4.8 now implies the following

**Corollary 4.9.** Let $\mathbb{P}_V$ be a Borel $P^s$-invariant ergodic probability measure on $\hat{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{R})$. For $\mathbb{P}_V$-almost every $\mathcal{X} \in \hat{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{R})$, there exists a dense set of times $t_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for any $\Phi^+ \in \mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{X})$, the distribution of the random variable $\Phi^+(x, t_0)$ has an atom.

Our next step is to show that atoms of weight arbitrarily close to 1 occur for limit distributions of our Hölder cocycles. Informally, such atoms exist when one admissible rectangle occupies most of our surface. More precisely, we have the following

**Proposition 4.10.** Let $\mathcal{X} \in \hat{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{R})$ satisfy $\lambda^1(0, \mathcal{X}) > 1/2$. Then there exists a set $\Pi \subset M(\mathcal{X})$ such that

1. $\nu_{\mathcal{X}}(\Pi) \geq (2\lambda^1(0, \mathcal{X}) - 1)h^1(0, \mathcal{X})$;

2. for any $\Phi^+ \in \mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{X})$, the function $\Phi^+(x, h^1(0, \mathcal{X}))$ is constant on $\Pi$.

**Proof.** We consider $\mathcal{X}$ fixed and omit it from notation. Consider the partition

$$\pi_0(\mathcal{X}) = \Pi_1^{(0)} \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \Pi_m^{(0)}$$

of the zippered rectangle $\mathcal{X}$. Let $I_k$ be the interval forming lower horizontal boundaries of the rectangles $\Pi_k^{(0)}$, $k = 1, \ldots, m$, and set

$$I = I_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup I_m.$$
The flow transversal \( I \) carries the Lebesgue measure \( \nu_I \) invariant under the first-return map of the flow \( h^+_1 \) on \( I \). We recall that the first return map is simply the interval exchange transformation \((\lambda, \pi)\) of the zippered rectangle \( \mathcal{R} = (\lambda, \pi, \delta) \). We recall that \( \lambda_k^{(0)} \) is the length of \( I_k \), and that \( h_k^{(0)} \) is the height of \( \Pi_k^{(0)} \). For brevity, denote \( t_1 = h_1^{(0)} \). By definition, \( h_1^+ I_1 \subset I \) and we have

\[
\nu_I (I_1 \cap h_1^+ I_1) \geq 2 \lambda_1^{(0)} - 1 > 0.
\]

Introduce the set

\[
\Pi = \{ h_1^+ x, 0 < \tau < t_1, x \in I_1, h_1^+ x \in I_1 \}.
\]

The first statement of the Proposition is clear, and we proceed to the proof of the second. Note first that for any \( \Phi^+ \in \mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{R}) \) and any \( \tau, 0 \leq \tau \leq t_1 \) the quantity \( \Phi^+(x, \tau) \) is constant as long as \( x \) varies in \( I_1 \).

Fix \( \Phi^+ \in \mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{R}) \) and take an arbitrary \( \tilde{x} \in \Pi \). Write \( \tilde{x} = h_1^+ x_1 \), where \( x_1 \in I_1, 0 < \tau_1 < t_1 \). We have \( h_{t_1 - \tau_1}^+ \tilde{x} \in I_1 \), whence

\[
\Phi^+(h_{t_1 - \tau_1}^+ \tilde{x}, \tau_1) = \Phi^+(x_1, \tau_1)
\]

and

\[
\Phi^+(\tilde{x}, t_1) = \Phi^+(\tilde{x}, t_1 - \tau_1) + \Phi^+(h_{t_1 - \tau_1}^+ \tilde{x}, \tau_1) = \Phi^+(h_{t_1}^+ x_1, t_1 - \tau_1) + \Phi^+(x_1, \tau_1) = \Phi^+(x_1, t_1),
\]

which concludes the proof of the Proposition.

We illustrate the proof by Figure 3.

4.7 Accumulation at zero for limit distributions

Recall that for \( \mathcal{R}' \in \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{R}) \), \( \Phi^+ \in \mathcal{B}^+(\mathcal{R}') \), \( \Phi^+ \neq 0 \), and \( \tau \in \mathbb{R}, \tau \neq 0 \), the measure \( m[\Phi^+, \tau] \) is the distribution of the normalized \( \mathbb{R} \)-valued random variable

\[
\frac{\Phi^+(x, \tau)}{\sqrt{\text{Var}_\nu \Phi^+(x, \tau)}}.
\]
As before, let $\mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{R})$ be the space of probability measures on $\mathbb{R}$ endowed with the weak topology, and let $\delta_0 \in \mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{R})$ stand for the delta-measure at zero. Similarly to the Introduction, we need the following additional assumption on our $P^\ast$-invariant ergodic probability measure $P_V$ on $\tilde{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{R})$.

**Assumption 4.11.** For any $\varepsilon > 0$ we have

$$P_V(\{ \mathcal{X} : \lambda_1^{(\mathcal{X})} > 1 - \varepsilon, h_1^{(\mathcal{X})} > 1 - \varepsilon \}) > 0.$$ 

By Proposition 4.10, in view of the ergodicity of $P_V$, for almost every $\mathcal{X} \in \tilde{\mathcal{V}}(\mathbb{R})$ and every $\Phi^+ \in \mathcal{B}_+\mathcal{X}$, $\Phi^+ \neq 0$, the sequence of measures $m[\Phi^+, \tau]$ admits atoms of weight arbitrarily close to 1. The next simple Proposition shows that the corresponding measures must then accumulate at zero (rather than at another point of the real line).

**Proposition 4.12.** Let $\mu_0$ be a probability measure on $\mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} x d\mu_0(x) = 0, \quad \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^2 d\mu_0(x) = 1.$$ 

Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and assume that

$$\mu_0(\{x_0\}) = \beta.$$ 

Then

$$|x_0|^2 \leq \frac{1 - \beta}{\beta^2}.$$ 

Proof. If $x_0 = 0$, then there is nothing to prove, so assume $x_0 > 0$ (the remaining case $x_0 < 0$ follows by symmetry). We have

$$\int_0^{+\infty} x d\mu_0(x) \geq \beta x_0,$$

and, consequently,

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 x d\mu_0(x) \leq -\beta x_0.$$ 

Using the Cauchy-Bunyakovsky-Schwarz inequality, write

$$\frac{1}{\mu_0((-\infty, 0))} \int_{-\infty}^0 x^2 d\mu_0(x) \geq \left( \frac{1}{\mu_0((-\infty, 0))} \int_{-\infty}^0 x d\mu_0(x) \right)^2,$$

whence, recalling that the variance of $\mu_0$ is equal to 1, we obtain

$$\mu_0((-\infty, 0)) \geq \left( \int_{-\infty}^0 x d\mu_0(x) \right)^2$$

and, finally,

$$1 - \beta \geq \beta^2 x_0^2,$$

which is what we had to prove.

As before, the symbol $\Rightarrow$ denotes weak convergence of probability measures.
Proposition 4.13. Let \( P_V \) be a Borel ergodic \( P^s \)-invariant probability measure on \( \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{R}) \) satisfying Assumption 4.11. Then for \( P_V \)-almost every \( \mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{R}) \) there exists a sequence \( \tau_n \in \mathbb{R}_+ \) such that for any \( \Phi^+ \in \mathfrak{B}^+(\mathcal{X}) \) we have

\[
m[\Phi^+, \tau_n] \Rightarrow \delta_0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.
\]

This is immediate from Proposition 4.10 and Proposition 4.12.

Corollary 4.14. Let \( P_V \) be a Borel ergodic \( P^s \)-invariant probability measure on \( \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{R}) \) satisfying Assumption 4.11. Then for \( P_V \)-almost every \( \mathcal{X} \in \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{R}) \) there exists a sequence \( s_n \in \mathbb{R}_+ \) such that for any \( f \in \text{Lip}^+_w(\mathcal{X}) \) satisfying \( \Phi^+_f \neq 0 \) we have

\[
m[f, s_n; 1] \Rightarrow \delta_0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.
\]

Consequently, if \( f \in \text{Lip}^+_w(\mathcal{X}) \) satisfies \( \Phi^+_f \neq 0 \), then the family of measures \( m[f, s; 1] \) does not converge in the weak topology on \( \mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{R}) \) as \( s \to \infty \) and the family of measures \( m[f, s] \) does not converge in the weak topology on \( \mathfrak{M}(C[0, 1]) \) as \( s \to \infty \).

Proof. The first claim is clear from Proposition 4.13 and the Limit Theorem 4. The second claim is obtained from the Limit Theorem 4 in the following way. First note that the set

\[
\{ m[\Phi^+, 1], \Phi^+ \in \mathfrak{B}^+(\mathcal{X}), |\Phi^+| = 1 \}
\]

is compact in the weak topology (indeed, it is clear from the uniform convergence on spheres in the Oseledets Multiplicative Ergodic Theorem that the map

\[
\Phi^+ \to m[\Phi^+, \tau]
\]

is continuous in restriction to the set \( \{ \Phi^+: |\Phi^+| = 1 \} \) whose image is therefore compact). In particular, the set (70) is bounded away from \( \delta_0 \), and the function

\[
\kappa(\mathcal{X}) = \inf_{\Phi^+: |\Phi^+| = 1} d_{LP}(m[\Phi^+, 1], \delta_0)
\]

is a positive measurable function on \( \mathcal{V}(\mathbb{R}) \). Consequently, there exists \( \kappa_0 > 0 \) such that

\[
P_V(\{ \mathcal{X} : \kappa(\mathcal{X}) > \kappa_0 \}) > 0.
\]

From ergodicity of the measure \( P_V \) and the Limit Theorem 4 it follows that the family \( m[f, s; 1], s \in \mathbb{R} \), does not converge to \( \delta_0 \). On the other hand, as we have seen, the measure \( \delta_0 \) is an accumulation point for the family. It follows that the measures \( m[f, s; 1] \) do not converge in \( \mathfrak{M}(\mathbb{R}) \) as \( s \to \infty \), and, a fortiori, that the measures \( m[f, s] \) do not converge in \( \mathfrak{M}(C[0, 1]) \) as \( s \to \infty \).

Corollary 4.14 is proved completely.
5 Appendix: Metrics on the Space of Probability Measures.

5.1 The Weak Topology.

In this Appendix, we collect some standard facts about the weak topology on the space of probability measures. For a detailed treatment, see, e.g., [7].

Let \((X, d)\) be a complete separable metric space, and let \(\mathcal{M}(X)\) be the space of Borel probability measures on \(X\). The weak topology on \(\mathcal{M}(X)\) is defined as follows. Let \(\epsilon > 0, \nu_0 \in \mathcal{M}(X), \) and let \(f_1, \ldots, f_k : X \to \mathbb{R}\) be bounded continuous functions. Introduce the set

\[
U(\nu_0, \epsilon, f_1, \ldots, f_k) = \{\nu \in \mathcal{M}(X) : |\int_X f_i d\nu - \int_X f_i d\nu_0| < \epsilon, i = 1, \ldots, k\}.
\]

The basis of neighbourhoods for the weak topology is given precisely by sets of the form \(U(\nu_0, \epsilon, f_1, \ldots, f_k)\), for all \(\epsilon > 0, \nu_0 \in \mathcal{M}(X), f_1, \ldots, f_k \) continuous and bounded.

The weak topology is metrizable and there are several natural metrics on \(\mathcal{M}(X)\) inducing the weak topology.

5.2 The Kantorovich-Rubinstein metric

Let

\[
\text{Lip}_1^1 = \{f : X \to \mathbb{R} : \sup_X |f| \leq 1, |f(x_1) - f(x_2)| \leq d(x_1, x_2) \text{ for all } x_1, x_2 \in X\}.
\]

The Kantorovich-Rubinstein metric is defined, for \(\nu_1, \nu_2 \in \mathcal{M}(X)\), by the formula

\[
d_{KR}(\nu_1, \nu_2) = \sup_{f \in \text{Lip}_1^1(X)} |\int_X f d\nu_1 - \int_X f d\nu_2|.
\]

The Kantorovich-Rubinstein metric induces the weak topology on \(\mathcal{M}(X)\). By the Kantorovich-Rubinstein Theorem, for bounded metric spaces, the Kantorovich-Rubinstein metric admits the following equivalent dual description. Given \(\nu_1, \nu_2 \in \mathcal{M}(X)\), let \(\text{Join}(\nu_1, \nu_2) \in \mathcal{M}(X \times X)\) be the set of probability measures \(\eta\) on \(X \times X\) such that projection of \(\eta\) on the first coordinate is equal to \(\nu_1\), the projection of \(\eta\) on the second coordinate is equal to \(\nu_2\). The Kantorovich-Rubinstein Theorem claims that

\[
d_{KR}(\nu_1, \nu_2) = \inf_{\eta \in \text{Join}(\nu_1, \nu_2)} \int_{X \times X} d(x_1, x_2) d\eta.
\]
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5.3 The Lévy-Prohorov metric.

Let $B_X$ be the $\sigma$-algebra of Borel subsets of $X$. For $B \in B_X$, $\varepsilon > 0$, set

$$B^\varepsilon = \{ x \in X : \inf_{y \in B} d(x, y) \leq \varepsilon \}.$$ 

Given $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in \mathcal{M}(X)$, introduce the Lévy-Prohorov distance between them by the formula

$$d_{LP}(\nu_1, \nu_2) = \inf\{ \varepsilon > 0 : \nu_1(B) \leq \nu_2(B^\varepsilon) + \varepsilon, \nu_2(B) \leq \nu_1(B^\varepsilon) + \varepsilon \text{ for any } B \in \mathcal{B}\}.$$ 

The Lévy-Prohorov metric also induces the weak topology on $\mathcal{M}(X)$.

5.4 An estimate on the distance between images of measures.

Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{B}_\Omega, P)$ be a probability space, and let $\xi_1, \xi_2 : \Omega \to X$ be two measurable maps.

In the proof of the limit theorems, we use the following simple estimate on the Lévy-Prohorov and the Kantorovich-Rubinstein distance between the push-forwards $(\xi_1)_* P, (\xi_2)_* P$ of the measure $P$ under the mappings $\xi_1, \xi_2$.

Lemma 5.1. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and assume that for $P$ – almost all $\omega \in \Omega$ we have $d(\xi_1(\omega), \xi_2(\omega)) \leq \varepsilon$.

Then we have

$$d_{KR}((\xi_1)_* P, (\xi_2)_* P) \leq \varepsilon,$$

$$d_{LP}((\xi_1)_* P, (\xi_2)_* P) \leq \varepsilon.$$ 

The proof of the lemma is immediate from the definitions of the Kantorovich-Rubinstein and the Lévy-Prohorov metric.
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