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SCHOTTKY GROUPS ACTING ON HOMOGENEOUS RATIONAL MANIFOLDS

CHRISTIAN MIEBACH AND KARL OELJEKLAUS

ABSTRACT. We systematically study Schottky group actions on homogeneous rational manifolds and find two new families besides those given by Nori’s well-known construction. This yields new examples of non-Kähler compact complex manifolds having free fundamental groups. We then investigate their analytic and geometric invariants such as the Kodaira and algebraic dimension, the Picard group and the deformation theory, thus extending results due to Lárusson and to Seade and Verjovsky. As a byproduct, we find previously unknown examples of equivariant compactifications of $\text{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})/\Gamma$ for $\Gamma$ a discrete free loxodromic subgroup of $\text{SL}(2,\mathbb{C})$.

1. INTRODUCTION

A classical Schottky group acting on the Riemann sphere $\mathbb{P}_1$ is given as follows. Choose $2r$ open discs $U_1, V_1, \ldots, U_r, V_r \subset \mathbb{P}_1$ having pairwise disjoint closures as well as $r$ loxodromic automorphisms $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r$ of $\mathbb{P}_1$ satisfying $\gamma_j(U_j) = \mathbb{P}_1 \setminus \overline{V}_j$. The group $\Gamma \subset \text{Aut}(\mathbb{P}_1)$ generated by $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r$ is a free group of rank $r$ acting freely and properly on the open subset $\mathcal{U}_\Gamma := \mathbb{P}_1 \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^r (U_j \cup V_j)$.

Moreover, the quotient $\mathcal{U}_\Gamma/\Gamma$ is a compact Riemann surface of genus $r$. One can relax the notion of a classical Schottky group by considering $2r$ pairwise disjoint open subsets of $\mathbb{P}_1$ that are bounded by arbitrary Jordan curves instead of circles. In this case Koebe showed that every compact Riemann surface can be obtained as quotient of an open subset of $\mathbb{P}_1$ by a Schottky group. We refer the reader to [CNS13, Chapter 1.2.5] for an account on the history of Schottky groups.

In [Nor86] Nori extended the construction of Schottky groups to higher dimensions in order to obtain compact complex manifolds having free fundamental group of any rank. Let us recall his construction. Let $z, w \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ and consider the smooth function on $\mathbb{P}_{2n+1}$ given by $\varphi[z : w] = \frac{|w|^2}{|z|^2 + |w|^2}$. The fibers $C_a = \varphi^{-1}(a)$ for $a = 0, 1$ are isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}_n$. For $0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2}$ we have the open neighborhoods $U_\varepsilon = \{ \varphi < \varepsilon \}$ and $V_\varepsilon = \{ \varphi > 1 - \varepsilon \}$ of $C_0$ and $C_1$, respectively. For $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ define an automorphism of $\mathbb{P}_{2n+1}$ by $g_\lambda[z : w] := [\lambda^{-1}z : \lambda w]$. A direct calculation shows that $g_\lambda$ maps $U_\varepsilon$ biholomorphically to $\mathbb{P}_{2n+1} \setminus \overline{V}_\varepsilon$ if $|\lambda|^2 = \frac{1-\varepsilon^2}{\varepsilon^2} > 1$. Now let $f_2, \ldots, f_r$ be $r \geq 2$ automorphisms such that $C_0, C_1, f_2(C_0), f_2(C_1), \ldots, f_r(C_0), f_r(C_1)$ are pairwise disjoint and take $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small such that $U_\varepsilon, V_\varepsilon, f_2(U_\varepsilon), f_2(V_\varepsilon), \ldots, f_r(U_\varepsilon), f_r(V_\varepsilon)$ have pairwise disjoint closures. The automorphisms
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f_2, \ldots, f_r exist since Aut(\mathbb{P}_{2n+1}) acts transitively on the set of disjoint pairs of linearly embedded \mathbb{P}_n's. Fix \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^* with |\lambda|^2 = \frac{1+\varepsilon}2 and define r automorphisms of \mathbb{P}_{2n+1} by \gamma_1 := g_{\lambda} and \gamma_j := f_j \circ \gamma_1 \circ f_j^{-1} \text{ for } 2 \leq j \leq r. The group \Gamma \subset Aut(\mathbb{P}_{2n+1}) generated by \gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r is an example of a Schottky group acting on \mathbb{P}_{2n+1}. As in the one-dimensional case, there is the analogously defined open subset \mathcal{U}_\Gamma on which \Gamma acts freely and properly such that the quotient \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma := \mathcal{U}_\Gamma/\Gamma is a compact complex manifold. The quotient manifolds \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma obtained by Nori's construction were studied in a more general framework by Lárusson in [Lár98]. He showed that, under a technical assumption on the generators of the Schottky group \Gamma which guarantees that the 4n-dimensional Hausdorff measure of \mathbb{P}_{2n+1} \setminus \mathcal{U}_\Gamma is zero, the manifold \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma has Kodaira dimension \(-\infty\), is rationally connected, and is not Moishezon. For Schottky groups acting on \mathbb{P}_3 he proved furthermore that \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma has algebraic dimension zero. In [SV03] Seade and Verjovsky proved for arbitrary n that \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma is diffeomorphic to a smooth fiber bundle over \mathbb{P}_n with fiber the connected sum of r - 1 copies of \mathbb{S}^1 \times \mathbb{S}^{2n+1} and, furthermore, they studied the deformation theory of \mathcal{Q}_\Gamma.

So far the only known examples of Schottky transformation groups are discrete subgroups of the automorphism group of \mathbb{P}_{2n+1}. Under the hypothesis that the 2-dimensional Hausdorff measure of \mathbb{P}_2 \setminus \mathcal{U}_\Gamma is zero, Lárusson proved that there do not exist Schottky groups acting on \mathbb{P}_2. In [Can08] Cano generalized this result to \mathbb{P}_{2n}.

This leads naturally to the main purpose of the present paper, namely the construction of Schottky group actions on homogeneous rational manifolds different from \mathbb{P}_{2n+1}.

In order to state the results we have to introduce some terminology. A Schottky pair in a connected compact complex manifold X is a pair of disjoint connected complex compact submanifolds \text{C}_0 and \text{C}_1 such that there is a holomorphic \mathbb{C}^*-action on X that is free and proper on X \setminus (\text{C}_0 \cup \text{C}_1) and has fixed point set X^{\mathbb{C}^*} = \text{C}_0 \cup \text{C}_1. The first ingredient for the construction of new Schottky groups acting on homogeneous rational manifolds is the following observation.

**Proposition 3.2.** Let G be a connected semisimple complex Lie group, let Q be a parabolic subgroup of G, and let G_0 be a non-compact real form of G. If the minimal G_0-orbit in the homogeneous rational manifold X = G/Q is a real hypersurface, then X admits a Schottky pair.

Its proof is based on [Akh77] and Matsuki duality. In fact, the Schottky pairs (\text{C}_0, \text{C}_1) in X = G/Q given by Proposition 3.2 are the compact orbits of \text{K} = K_0^\mathbb{C} where K_0 is a maximal compact subgroup of G_0.

This proposition strongly demands to classify all triplets (G, G_0, Q) such that the minimal G_0-orbit in X = G/Q is a hypersurface. Since we did not find this classification, which is of independent interest, in the literature, it is carried out in an appendix of this paper. As a consequence, the homogeneous rational manifolds admitting Schottky pairs coming from a minimal hypersurface orbit are \mathbb{P}_{2n+1}, the Graßmannians Gr_n(\mathbb{C}^{2n}), the quadrics Q_{2n} and the Graßmannians IGr_n(\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}) of subspaces of \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} that are isotropic with respect to a non-degenerate quadratic form on \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}. We proceed to determine all the cases in which the Schottky pairs can be moved by automorphisms of X in order to actually produce Schottky groups. Our main result is the following

**Theorem 4.2.** Let G be a connected semisimple complex Lie group, let Q be a parabolic subgroup of G, and let G_0 be a non-compact real form of G whose minimal orbit is a hypersurface in X = G/Q. The Schottky pairs giving rise to Schottky group actions on X of arbitrary rank r are precisely the ones on \mathbb{P}_{2n+1}, Q_{4n+2} and IGr_n(\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}).

In addition, we construct Schottky groups acting on Q_{2n+1} and on certain singular subvarieties of \mathbb{P}_{2n+1} which are not directly related to minimal hypersurface orbits.
Associated with a Schottky group \( \Gamma \) acting on \( X \) we have the quotient manifold \( Q_{\Gamma} \). We prove that the compact complex manifold \( Q_{\Gamma} \) is non-Kähler, rationally connected, and has Kodaira dimension \( \text{Kod} Q_{\Gamma} = -\infty \), see Proposition 6.1. Furthermore, we give a criterion for the algebraic dimension \( a(Q_{\Gamma}) \) to be zero (cf. Theorem 6.2) and construct examples of \( Q_{\Gamma} \) having strictly positive algebraic dimension, see Examples 6.4, 6.6 and 6.7. Their algebraic reduction leads to previously unknown almost-homogeneous compact complex manifolds, namely equivariant compactifications of \( H/\Gamma \) where \( H \) is the Zariski closure of \( \Gamma \) in \( \text{Aut}(X) \). In particular, we obtain equivariant compactifications of \( SL(2, \mathbb{C})/\Gamma \) for every discrete free loxodromic subgroup \( \Gamma \subset SL(2, \mathbb{C}) \) (cf. Example 6.5), which, as we hope, will lead to new insight in the theory of almost homogeneous 3-folds. These examples show that the statements of [CNS13, Proposition 9.3.12] respectively [SV03, Proposition 3.5] as well as of [CNS13, Theorem 9.3.17] respectively [SV03, Theorem 3.10] cannot be true in general.

We also determine the Picard group of \( Q_{\Gamma} \) (cf. Theorem 6.9) and establish the dimension and smoothness of its Kuranishi space of versal deformations (cf. Theorem 6.12). We note that several of these results are new even in the case \( X = \mathbb{P}_{2n+1} \). Others have been obtained by Lárusson as well as Seade and Verjovsky under conditions on the Hausdorff dimension of \( X \setminus U_{R} \), which allowed them to apply extension theorems for holomorphic and meromorphic functions due to Shiffman and for cohomology classes due to Harvey. Replacing Shiffman’s and Harvey’s techniques by results of Andreotti-Grauert, Scheja and Merker-Porten, we are able to remove these assumptions on the Hausdorff dimension of \( X \setminus U_{R} \).

Let us outline the structure of the paper. In Section 2 we review the basic facts about Schottky groups in a generality suitable for our purpose. Sections 3 and 4 contain the proofs of Proposition 3.2 and Theorem 4.2, respectively. In Section 5 we present the technical tools needed to determine various cohomology groups of the quotient manifolds \( Q_{\Gamma} \). These are then applied in the final Section 6 in order to obtain analytic and geometric invariants of \( Q_{\Gamma} \) as well as their deformation theory. The classification of the triplets \((G, G_{0}, Q)\) such that the minimal \( G_{0}\)-orbit in \( X = G/Q \) is a hypersurface is carried out in the appendix.

## 2. Complex Schottky groups

In this section we define Schottky group actions on a connected compact complex manifold \( X \) in a way that is suitable for the context of this paper.

### 2.1. Schottky pairs

Let \( X \) be a connected compact complex manifold of complex dimension \( d \). A Schottky pair in \( X \) is given by a pair \((C_{0}, C_{1})\) of connected compact complex submanifolds of \( X \) and a holomorphic \( \mathbb{C}^{*}\)-action on \( X \) with fixed point set \( X^{\mathbb{C}^{*}} = C_{0} \cup C_{1} \) that is free and proper on \( X \setminus (C_{0} \cup C_{1}) \). This \( \mathbb{C}^{*}\)-action corresponds to a holomorphic homomorphism \( \mathbb{C}^{*} \to \text{Aut}(X) \) denoted by \( \lambda \mapsto g_{\lambda} \).

**Remark 2.1.** Since the \( \mathbb{C}^{*}\)-action on \( \Omega := X \setminus (C_{0} \cup C_{1}) \) is free and proper, we get the trivial smooth principal \( \mathbb{R}^{>0}\)-bundle \( \Omega/S^{1} \to \Omega/\mathbb{C}^{*} \), i.e., differentiably one has \( \Omega/S^{1} \simeq (\Omega/\mathbb{C}^{*}) \times \mathbb{R}^{>0} \). Therefore we can define an \( S^{1}\)-invariant smooth auxiliary function \( \varphi : \Omega \to (0, 1) \) as the composition of the projection onto the second factor with the identification \( \mathbb{R}^{>0} \to (0, 1) \), \( t \mapsto \frac{t^{2}}{1 + t^{2}} \). Since \( X^{\mathbb{C}^{*}} = C_{0} \cup C_{1} \), we may extend \( \varphi \) continuously to a function \( \varphi : X \to [0, 1] \) such that \( C_{0} = \varphi^{-1}(0) \) and \( C_{1} = \varphi^{-1}(1) \). One verifies directly

\[
\varphi(g_{\lambda}(x)) = \frac{|\lambda|^{4}\varphi(x)}{1 + (|\lambda|^{4} - 1)\varphi(x)} =: \lambda \cdot \varphi(x).
\]

In particular, \( \varphi \) is a submersion on \( \Omega \).
Remark 2.2. Later on we will choose the function \( \varphi: X \to [0, 1] \) in a special way in order to have properties analogous to Nori’s construction mentioned in the introduction.

For \( 0 < \varepsilon < \frac{1}{2} \) we set \( U_\varepsilon := \{ \varphi < \varepsilon \} \). Note that the family of these open sets forms a neighborhood basis of \( C_0 \). Similarly, the open sets \( V_\varepsilon := \{ \varphi > 1 - \varepsilon \} \) give a neighborhood basis of \( C_1 \).

Lemma 2.3. Suppose that \( X \) admits a Schottky pair. Then
(a) the \( \mathbb{C}^* \)-action on \( X \) maps fibers of \( \varphi \) to fibers of \( \varphi \),
(b) for every \( x \in X \setminus (C_0 \cup C_1) \) we have \( \lim_{\lambda \to 0} g_\lambda(x) \in C_0 \) and \( \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} g_\lambda(x) \in C_1 \), and
(c) if \( 0 < \varepsilon < 1/2 \) and \( |\lambda|^2 = \frac{1 - \varepsilon}{\varepsilon} \), then \( g_\lambda(U_\varepsilon) = U_{1-\varepsilon} = X \setminus V_\varepsilon \).

Proof. The first two statements follow directly from the equivariance condition (2.1).

To show the third one, we calculate as follows. For \( a \in \mathbb{R}_{>0} \) we have

\[
\frac{\frac{(1-\varepsilon)^2}{\varepsilon^2} a}{1 + \left( \frac{(1-\varepsilon)^2}{\varepsilon^2} - 1 \right) a} = \frac{(1-\varepsilon)^2}{\varepsilon^2 + (1-2\varepsilon)a},
\]

and this quantity is less than \( 1 - \varepsilon \) if and only if \( a < \varepsilon \). This shows \( g_\lambda(U_\varepsilon) \subset U_{1-\varepsilon} \). In order to prove \( g_\lambda^{-1}(U_{1-\varepsilon}) \subset U_\varepsilon \), let \( a \in [0, 1-\varepsilon) \) and consider

\[
\frac{\frac{\varepsilon^2}{(1-\varepsilon)^2} a}{1 + \left( \frac{\varepsilon^2}{(1-\varepsilon)^2} - 1 \right) a} = \frac{\varepsilon^2}{(1-\varepsilon)^2 + (2\varepsilon-1)a} < \frac{\varepsilon^2(1-\varepsilon)}{(1-\varepsilon)^2 + (2\varepsilon-1)(1-\varepsilon)} = \varepsilon,
\]

as was to be shown. \( \square \)

Remark 2.4. Suppose that \( X \) admits a Schottky pair \((C_0, C_1)\). Often, there exists in addition a holomorphic involution \( s: X \to X \) such that

(1) \( \varphi \circ s = 1 - \varphi \) and
(2) \( s \circ g_\lambda = g_{\lambda^{-1}} \circ s \) for all \( \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^* \).

In this case \( s(C_0) = C_1 \), hence \( C_0 \) and \( C_1 \) are biholomorphic. Moreover, the hypersurface \( H := \{ \varphi = 1/2 \} \) is \( s \)-stable. Since \( s \) yields a biholomorphism between \( U_{1/2} \) and \( V_{1/2} \), the hypersurface \( H \) must be Levi-symmetric.

2.2. Movable Schottky pairs and Schottky groups. Let \( X \) be a connected compact manifold with \( \dim \mathbb{C} X = d \) that admits a Schottky pair \((C_0, C_1)\). We say that this Schottky pair can be moved or is movable if for every integer \( r \geq 2 \) there exist automorphisms \( f_2, \ldots, f_r \) of \( X \) such that \( C_0, C_1, f_2(C_0), f_2(C_1), \ldots, f_r(C_0), f_r(C_1) \) are pairwise disjoint.

Example 2.5. As shown in the introduction, Nori’s construction produces movable Schottky pairs in \( X = \mathbb{P}_{2n+1} \).

Example 2.6. While \( X = \mathbb{P}_2 \) contains many Schottky pairs, see Proposition 3.2 and Theorem A.1, none of them is movable. To see this, suppose on the contrary that \((C_0, C_1)\) is a movable Schottky pair in \( \mathbb{P}_2 \). Since any two curves in \( \mathbb{P}_2 \) intersect, \( C_0 \) and \( C_1 \) must be points. Choose \( \varepsilon > 0 \) sufficiently small so that \( U_\varepsilon \) is contained in a ball. Consequently, \( V_\varepsilon \) contains a domain biholomorphic to \( \mathbb{P}_2 \setminus \mathbb{B}_2 \). But this is impossible since such domains cannot form a neighborhood basis of a point. We refer the reader to [Can08] for a related observation.

Suppose that \((C_0, C_1)\) is movable and fix \( f_1, \ldots, f_r \in \text{Aut}(X) \) as above where \( f_1 := \text{id}_X \). For all \( 1 \leq j \leq r \) choose \( \varepsilon_j \in (0, 1/2) \) and \( \lambda_j \in \mathbb{C}^* \) with \( |\lambda_j|^2 = \frac{1 - \varepsilon_j}{\varepsilon_j} > 1 \). Set \( \gamma_j := f_j \circ g_{\lambda_j} \circ f_j^{-1} \) and \( U_j := f_j(U_{\varepsilon_j}) \) and \( V_j := f_j(V_{\varepsilon_j}) \). We always choose \( \varepsilon_j \) sufficiently small such that the open sets \( U_1, \ldots, U_r, V_1, \ldots, V_r \) have pairwise disjoint closures.
The group $\Gamma \subset \text{Aut}(X)$ generated by $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r$ is called a Schottky group associated with the movable Schottky pair $(C_0, C_1)$. For such a group $\Gamma$ we define

$$F_\Gamma := X \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{r}(U_j \cup V_j) \quad \text{and} \quad U_\Gamma := \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma(F_\Gamma).$$

It is clear that $U_\Gamma$ is a $\Gamma$-invariant domain in $X$.

Moreover, if $X$ is simply-connected and if $\text{codim} C_0, \text{codim} C_1 \geq 2$, then $U_\Gamma$ is likewise simply-connected. This follows from the fact that $U_\Gamma$ is an increasing union of open subsets which are homotopy equivalent to $X \setminus C$ where $C$ is the disjoint union of $N$ copies of $C_0 \cup C_1$, see Subsection 6.2. If $\text{codim} C_0, \text{codim} C_1 \geq 2$, then each of these open sets is simply-connected, hence the same holds for $U_\Gamma$.

The proof of [CNS13, Proposition 9.2.8] extends literally to give the following.

**Proposition 2.7.** The Schottky group $\Gamma$ is the free group generated by $\gamma_1, \ldots, \gamma_r$ and acts freely and properly on $U_\Gamma$. The connected set $F_\Gamma$ is a fundamental domain for the $\Gamma$-action on $U_\Gamma$. Consequently the quotient $Q_\Gamma := U_\Gamma/\Gamma$ is a connected compact complex manifold. If $X$ is simply-connected and if $\text{codim} C_j \geq 2$ for $j = 0, 1$, then the fundamental group of $Q_\Gamma$ is isomorphic to $\Gamma$.

**Remark 2.8.** If we take $r = 1$, then we have $\Gamma \simeq \mathbb{Z}$ and $U_\Gamma = X \setminus (C_0 \cup C_1) = \Omega$. In this case $Q_\Gamma$ is a holomorphic fiber bundle over $\Omega/\mathbb{C}^*$ with an elliptic curve as fiber.

3. **Schottky pairs associated with compact hypersurface orbits**

In this section we prove Proposition 3.2 which provides a general method to construct Schottky pairs in homogeneous rational manifolds.

### 3.1. Nori’s construction.

We start by reformulating Nori’s construction of Schottky groups in group-theoretical terms. Recall that on $X = \mathbb{P}_{2n+1}$ we have the function

$$\varphi[z : w] := \frac{\|w\|^2}{\|z\|^2 + \|w\|^2},$$

where $(z, w) \in (\mathbb{C}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{C}^{n+1}) \setminus \{0\}$. The hypersurface $H = \{\varphi = 1/2\} = \{\|z\|^2 - \|w\|^2 = 0\}$ is an orbit of the real form $G_0 := \text{SU}(n+1, n+1)$ of $G = \text{SL}(2n+2, \mathbb{C})$. Note that $X = \{\varphi < 1/2\} \cup H \cup \{\varphi > 1/2\}$ gives the decomposition of $X$ into $G_0$-orbits. Let $K$ be the complexification of the maximal compact subgroup

$$K_0 := \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} : A, B \in U(n+1), \det(A) \det(B) = 1 \right\} \simeq S(U(n+1) \times U(n+1))$$

of $G_0$. One sees directly that $K$ has likewise precisely three orbits in $X$, namely the compact orbits $C_0 = \{[z : 0]\}$ and $C_1 = \{[0 : w]\}$, and the open orbit $\Omega = K : H = X \setminus (C_0 \cup C_1)$. Moreover, for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$ the automorphism $g_\lambda \in \text{Aut}(X)$ belongs to the center of $K$.

**Remark 3.1.** Note that the symplectic group $\tilde{G} := \text{Sp}(n+1, \mathbb{C}) \subset G$ acts transitively on $X = \mathbb{P}_{2n+1}$, too, see [Oni62] or [Ste82]. Moreover, the automorphism $g_\lambda$ is contained in $\tilde{G}$ for any $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Since $\tilde{G}$ has a Zariski-open orbit in $\text{Gr}_{n+1}(\mathbb{C}^{2n+1})$, we can construct Schottky groups acting on $X$ also inside the symplectic group. In particular, the Zariski closure of such a Schottky group is contained in $\text{Sp}(n+1, \mathbb{C})$.

If $n+1 = 2k$, the hypersurface $H$ is an orbit of the real form $\tilde{G}_0 := \text{Sp}(k, k)$ of $\text{Sp}(n+1, \mathbb{C})$ and $C_0, C_1 \simeq \mathbb{P}_{2k-1}$ are orbits of $\tilde{K} := \text{Sp}(k, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{Sp}(k, \mathbb{C})$. In other words, in this case we have again a real form having a compact hypersurface orbit.
This observation leads to a systematic way to construct Schottky group actions on homogeneous rational manifolds described in the next subsection.

3.2. Schottky pairs associated with compact hypersurface orbits. The following proposition allows to associate a Schottky pair with a compact hypersurface orbit of a real form $G_0$ of $G$ acting on a homogeneous rational manifold $X = G/Q$. Its proof is based on Matsuki duality and on Akhiezer’s paper [Akh77].

**Proposition 3.2.** Let $G$ be a connected complex semisimple group, let $Q$ be a parabolic subgroup of $G$, and let $X = G/Q$ be the corresponding homogeneous rational manifold. Let $G_0$ be a non-compact real form of $G$ such that the minimal $G_0$-orbit in $X$ is a real hypersurface. Then $X$ admits a Schottky pair.

Before giving the proof let us review the basic ideas of Matsuki duality. Let $G$ be a connected complex semisimple group, let $Q$ be a parabolic subgroup of $G$, and let $X = G/Q$ be the corresponding homogeneous rational manifold. The following proposition allows to associate a Schottky pair with a compact hypersurface orbit of a real form $G_0$ of $G$ acting on a homogeneous rational manifold $X = G/Q$. Its proof is based on Matsuki duality and on Akhiezer’s paper [Akh77].

**Proposition 3.2.** Let $G$ be a connected complex semisimple group, let $Q$ be a parabolic subgroup of $G$, and let $X = G/Q$ be the corresponding homogeneous rational manifold. Let $G_0$ be a non-compact real form of $G$ such that the minimal $G_0$-orbit in $X$ is a real hypersurface. Then $X$ admits a Schottky pair.

Before giving the proof let us review the basic ideas of Matsuki duality. Let $G$ be a connected complex semisimple group, let $Q$ be a parabolic subgroup of $G$, and let $X = G/Q$ be the corresponding homogeneous rational manifold. Let $G_0$ be a non-compact real form of $G$ such that the minimal $G_0$-orbit in $X$ is a real hypersurface. Then $X$ admits a Schottky pair.

**Proof.** Since $X = G/Q$ is simply connected, the complement of the minimal $G_0$-orbit has exactly two connected components by the Jordan-Brouwer separation theorem. The first claim follows from the fact that $G_0$ must act transitively on these connected components. For the second one, it is sufficient to note that $K_0$ acts transitively on the minimal $G_0$-orbit. □

Using Matsuki duality we see that the group $K$ has likewise exactly three orbits in $X$: two compact ones which lie in the open $G_0$-orbits and one open orbit that contains the compact $G_0$-orbit. We denote the two compact $K$-orbits by $C_0$ and $C_1$.

**Proof of Proposition 3.2.** We only have to prove the existence of a holomorphic $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on $X = G/Q$ that verifies the definition of a Schottky pair. Let $\Omega \simeq K/K_x$ be the open $K$-orbit in $X$. According to [Akh77, Theorem 1] its isotropy group is of the form $K_x = P_\chi$, where $P \subset K$ is a parabolic subgroup and $P_\chi$ denotes the kernel of a non-trivial character $\chi: P \to \mathbb{C}^*$ on $P$. In other words, the fibration $\Omega \simeq K/K_x \to K/P$ is a $\mathbb{C}^*$-principal bundle. Hence, there is a free and proper holomorphic $\mathbb{C}^*$-action on $\Omega$.

It follows from [Akh77, Theorem 2] that this $\mathbb{C}^*$-action extends to all of $X$ in such a way that the two compact $K$-orbits $C_0$ and $C_1$ are fixed pointwise. □

4. Homogeneous rational manifolds admitting movable Schottky pairs

Let $X = G/Q$ be a homogeneous rational manifold where $G$ is a connected semisimple complex Lie group and let $G_0$ be a real form of $G$. In this section we discuss in detail all the examples of compact hypersurface orbits of $G_0$ that give rise to movable Schottky pairs. As shown in the appendix, the only cases where the minimal $G_0$-orbit is a hypersurface in $X = G/Q$ are the following, see Theorem A.1.
\(G_0 = \text{SU}(p, q)\) acting on \(X = \mathbb{P}_{p+q-1}\);
(2) \(G_0 = \text{Sp}(p, q)\) acting on \(X = \mathbb{P}_{2(p+q)-1}\);
(3) \(G_0 = \text{SU}(1, n)\) acting on \(X = \text{Gr}_k(\mathbb{C}^{n+1})\);
(4) \(G_0 = \text{SO}^*(2n)\) acting on \(X = Q_{2n-2}\);
(5) \(G_0 = \text{SO}(1, 2n)\) acting on \(X = \text{IGr}_n(\mathbb{C}^{2n+1})\);
(6) \(G_0 = \text{SO}(2, 2n)\) acting on \(X = \text{IGr}_{n+1}(\mathbb{C}^{2n+2})^0\).

Here IGr\(_k(\mathbb{C}^n)\) is the set of all \(k\)-dimensional subspaces of \(\mathbb{C}^n\) which are isotropic with respect to a non-degenerate symmetric bilinear form. The homogeneous rational manifold IGr\(_k(\mathbb{C}^{2k})\) has two isomorphic connected components, see [GH78, Proposition, p. 735]. We denote by IGr\(_k(\mathbb{C}^{2k})^0\) one of these components.

**Remark 4.1.** It is well-known that there exists an \(\text{SO}(2n+1, \mathbb{C})\)-equivariant biholomorphism between IGr\(_n(\mathbb{C}^{2n+1})\) and IGr\(_{n+1}(\mathbb{C}^{2n+2})^0\). This corresponds to the fact that the automorphism group of IGr\(_n(\mathbb{C}^{2n+1})\) is isomorphic to \(\text{SO}(2n+2, \mathbb{C})\), see [Oni62] and [Ste82].

**Important assumption.** In all cases in which we obtain a Schottky group action associated with a compact hypersurface orbit as described in Proposition 3.2, we may and will choose the function \(\varphi\) introduced in Remark 2.1 to be \(K_0\)-invariant, as it was done in Subsection 3.1 for \(X = \mathbb{P}_{2n+1}\). This important assumption will assure the existence of subvarieties of \(\mathcal{U}'_\Gamma\), and therefore of \(Q_\Gamma\), which are biholomorphic to the Schottky pair varieties \(C_0\) and \(C_1\). This fact can be easily verified in each of the examples discussed in this section and will be crucial for several arguments in the proofs of complex analytic and geometric properties of the quotient varieties \(Q_\Gamma\).

The main result of this section is

**Theorem 4.2.** Let \(G\) be a connected semisimple complex Lie group, let \(Q\) be a parabolic subgroup of \(G\), and let \(G_0\) be a non-compact real form of \(G\) whose minimal orbit is a hypersurface in \(X = G/Q\). The Schottky pairs giving rise to Schottky group actions on \(X\) of arbitrary rank \(r\) are precisely the ones on the odd-dimensional projective space \(\mathbb{P}_{2n+1}\), the quadric \(Q_{4n+2}\), and the isotropic Grassmannian \(X_n := \text{IGr}_n(\mathbb{C}^{2n+1})\). Furthermore, if \((C_0, C_1)\) denotes a Schottky pair, then \(C_0 \simeq C_1\) is a linear \(\mathbb{P}_n\) in the case \(X = \mathbb{P}_{2n+1}\), a linear \(\mathbb{P}_{2n+1}\) in the case \(X = Q_{4n+2}\) and an equivariantly embedded copy of \(X_{n-1} = \text{IGr}_{n-1}(\mathbb{C}^{2n-1})\) in the case of \(X_n\). In each of these three cases the automorphism group of \(X\) acts transitively on the set of Schottky pairs.

The proof is given by considering separately all of the above six cases.

4.1. **The case of projective space.** The Schottky pairs coming from the first two entries in the above list are only movable if \(p = q\): In both cases we have \(C_0 \simeq \mathbb{P}_{p-1}\) and \(C_1 \simeq \mathbb{P}_{q-1}\). If \(p < q\), then \(\dim C_1 \geq \frac{1}{2} \dim X\). Hence, \(C_1\) cannot be moved away from itself unless \(p = q\) in which case we get back Nori’s construction, see Subsection 3.1.

It is not hard to see that \(G = \text{SL}(2n, \mathbb{C})\) acts transitively on the set of Schottky pairs in \(X = \mathbb{P}_{2n-1}\), i.e., that the set
\[
\{(C_0, C_1) \in \text{Gr}_n(\mathbb{C}^{2n}) \times \text{Gr}_n(\mathbb{C}^{2n}); C_0 \cap C_1 = \{0\}\}
\]
is an \(\text{SL}(2n, \mathbb{C})\)-orbit with respect to the diagonal action on \(\text{Gr}_n(\mathbb{C}^{2n}) \times \text{Gr}_n(\mathbb{C}^{2n})\).

4.2. **The case of complex Grassmannians.** Let us consider the action of \(G_0 = \text{SU}(1, n)\) on \(X = \text{Gr}_k(\mathbb{C}^{n+1})\) for \(1 \leq k \leq n\). Here we have \(K = \text{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})\) and the \(K\)-action on \(X\) is induced from the \(K\)-representation on \(\mathbb{C}^{n+1} = \mathbb{C}e_1 \oplus \{0\} \times \mathbb{C}^n\) where \(e_1 = (1, 0, \ldots, 0)\).
4.3. \textbf{Schottky groups acting on }$Q_{2n-2}$. Let us consider the symmetric bilinear form $b$ on $\mathbb{C}^{2n}$ given by the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_0 \\ I_0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ and let $G$ be the group of its linear isometries having determinant 1. Then $G \simeq SO^*(2n) \cap SU(n,n)$ acts transitively on the even-dimensional quadric $Q_{2n-2} := \{ [z : w] \in \mathbb{P}_{2n-1} ; \, q(z,w) = 0 \}$ where $q(z,w) = (z, w) = z_1w_1 + \cdots + z_nw_n$ is the quadratic form associated with $b$.

Due to Theorem A.1 the real form $G_0 = SO^*(2n) \cap SU(n,n)$ has a compact hypersurface orbit in $X = Q_{2n-2}$. One verifies directly that the Lie algebra of $G$ has the form

$$\mathfrak{g} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & B \\ C & -A^t \end{pmatrix} ; \, A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}, B, C \in \mathfrak{so}(n,\mathbb{C}) \right\}$$

and that a Matsuki partner of $G_0$ is given by $K = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & (A^t)^{-1} \end{pmatrix} ; \, A \in GL(n,\mathbb{C}) \right\}$. The two compact $K$-orbits in $X$ are

$$C_0 = \{ [z : 0] ; \, z \in \mathbb{C}^n \} \simeq \mathbb{P}_{n-1} \quad \text{and} \quad C_1 = \{ [0 : w] ; \, w \in \mathbb{C}^n \} \simeq \mathbb{P}_{n-1},$$

and they form a Schottky pair. The function $\varphi$ will always be chosen as

$$\varphi[z : w] := \frac{\|w\|^2}{\|z\|^2 + \|w\|^2}.$$ 

We claim that this Schottky pair is movable if and only if $n$ is even. Suppose first that $n$ is odd. Since $G$ is connected, for every $f \in G$ the subvarieties $C_0$ and $f(C_0)$ belong to the same connected component of the set of $(n-1)$-planes in $Q_{2n-2}$ which we identify with $IGr_n(\mathbb{C}^{2n})$. According to [GH78, Proposition, p. 735] this implies for their intersection in $Q_{2n-2}$ that

$$\dim(C_0 \cap f(C_0)) \equiv n - 1 \pmod{2} = 0.$$ 

Thus $C_0 \cap f(C_0)$ is at least 0-dimensional, i.e., $C_0$ and $f(C_0)$ cannot be disjoint in $X$.

Remark 4.3. For $n = 3$ we have $Q_4 \simeq Gr_2(\mathbb{C}^4)$ where we have already seen that the Schottky pairs are not movable.

Now suppose that $n$ is even. We will show that for a generic choice of $B, C \in \mathfrak{so}(n,\mathbb{C})$ the automorphism

$$f_{B,C} := f_B \circ f_C := \begin{pmatrix} I_n & B \\ 0 & I_n \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_n & 0 \\ C & I_n \end{pmatrix} \in G$$

is such that $C_0, C_1, f_{B,C}(C_0)$ and $f_{B,C}(C_1)$ are pairwise disjoint. This follows essentially from the fact that for $n$ even generic matrices in $\mathfrak{so}(n,\mathbb{C})$ are invertible. More precisely, note that for invertible $B, C \in \mathfrak{so}(n,\mathbb{C})$ the subspaces $C_0, C_1$ and $f_{B}(C_1)$ (resp. $C_0, C_1, f_{C}(C_0)$) are
pairwise disjoint. Since \( f_{BC}(C) = \{ (I_n + BC)z : Cz \} \), the claim follows once we choose \( B \) and \( C \) invertible such that \( I_n + BC \) is likewise invertible.

In conclusion, we obtain movable Schottky pairs and therefore Schottky group actions only on \( X = Q_{4k-2} \). Note that in this case the Schottky pairs are given by Schottky pairs in \( \mathbb{P}_{4k-1} \) lying in \( Q_{4k-2} \). Consequently, there exist Schottky groups acting on \( \mathbb{P}_{4k-1} \) that leave \( Q_{4k-2} \) invariant. This means that the quotient manifolds \( Qf \) obtained from \( \mathbb{P}_{4k-1} \) contain a hypersurface.

**Remark 4.4.** Lársson already observed the existence of Schottky groups acting on \( \mathbb{P}_3 \) leaving the quadric \( Q_2 \simeq \mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_1 \) invariant, see [Lár98, Proposition 2.2].

In closing we note that \( G \simeq \text{SO}(4k, \mathbb{C}) \) acts transitively on the set of Schottky pairs in \( X = Q_{4k-2} \), i.e., that the set
\[
\{(C_0, C_1) \in \text{IGr}_{2k}(\mathbb{C}^{4k})^0 \times \text{IGr}_{2k}(\mathbb{C}^{4k})^0; \ C_0 \cap C_1 = \{0\}\}
\]
is a \( G \)-orbit with respect to the diagonal action. To prove this, we will show that we can map any Schottky pair \((C'_0, C'_1)\) to \((C_0, C_1)\) by some element of \( G \) where \( C_0 = \{ [z : 0] \in X : z \in \mathbb{C}^{2k} \} \) and \( C_1 = \{ [0 : z] \in X : w \in \mathbb{C}^{2k} \} \). There exists \( g \in G \) with \( g(C'_0) = C_0 \). Since \( g(C'_1) \) is an isotropic subspace of \( \mathbb{C}^{2k} \) complementary to \( C_0 \), it projects surjectively onto the subspace \( \{ z \in \mathbb{C}^{4k} ; z_1 = \ldots = z_{2k} = 0 \} \). Therefore we find a basis of \( g(C'_1) \) consisting of the vectors
\[
(v_1, e_1), \ldots, (v_{2k}, e_{2k})
\]
where \( v_i \in \mathbb{C}^{2k} \) and \( (e_1, \ldots, e_{2k}) \) denotes the standard basis of \( \mathbb{C}^{2k} \). The fact that \( g(C'_1) \) is isotropic means that the matrix \( B := (v_{ij}) \in \mathbb{C}^{2k \times 2k} \) is skew-symmetric. Hence, the element
\[
g' := \begin{pmatrix} I_{2k} & B \\ 0 & I_{2k} \end{pmatrix} \in G
\]
fixes \( C_0 \) and maps \( C_1 \) onto \( g(C'_1) \), which concludes the argument.

### 4.4. Schottky groups acting on isotropic Grassmannians.

Let \( X_n = \text{IGr}_{n}(\mathbb{C}^{2n+1}) \) be the set of \( n \)-dimensional complex subspaces of \( \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} \) that are isotropic with respect to the quadratic form \( q(u, v) = u^2 + 2(u, v) \), where \( u \in \mathbb{C}, v \in \mathbb{C}^n \). Then \( X_n \) is a homogeneous rational manifold of dimension \( \dim_{\mathbb{C}} X_n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} \). The connected isometry group \( G \simeq \text{SO}(2n+1, \mathbb{C}) \) of \( q \) acts transitively on \( X_n \). A Matsuki partner of \( G_0 = \text{SO}(1, 2n) \) is the complex Lie group \( K \subset G \) having Lie algebra
\[
\mathfrak{k} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & A & B \\ 0 & C & -A^\dagger \end{pmatrix} : A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}, B, C \in \mathfrak{so}(n, \mathbb{C}) \right\} \simeq \mathfrak{so}(2n, \mathbb{C}).
\]
The group \( K \simeq \text{SO}(2n, \mathbb{C}) \) has three orbits in \( X_n \): the open one consists of all isotropic subspaces of \( \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} \) that are not contained in \( \{0\} \times \mathbb{C}^{2n} \), while the set of isotropic \( n \)-dimensional complex subspaces of \( \{0\} \times \mathbb{C}^{2n} \) has two connected components \( C_0 \) and \( C_1 \), both homogeneous under \( K \), see [GH78, Proposition, p. 735]. Remark that \( C_0 \cup C_1 \) is homogeneous under \( K \simeq \text{O}(2n, \mathbb{C}) \). Theorem A.1 and Proposition 3.2 show that \( (C_0, C_1) \) is a Schottky pair in \( X_n \). This can also be seen directly as follows.

First we claim that the pair \((C_0, C_1)\) is movable. Let \( g \in G \) and note that \( g(C_0) \) and \( g(C_1) \) are the connected components of the space of isotropic \( n \)-dimensional subspaces of \( g(\{0\} \times \mathbb{C}^{2n}) \). If \( C_0, C_1, g(C_0) \) and \( g(C_1) \) are not pairwise disjoint, then there exists an isotropic \( n \)-dimensional subspace of \( W_g := (\{0\} \times \mathbb{C}^{2n}) \cap g(\{0\} \times \mathbb{C}^{2n}) \). However, for a generic choice of \( g \) we have \( \dim W_g = 2n - 1 \) and \( W_g \cap W_g^\perp = \{0\} \). Therefore the dimension of an isotropic subspace of \( W_g \) is at most \( n - 1 \), which proves the claim.
We consider now the analogous situation in \( \mathbb{C}^{2n+2} \) with linear coordinates \((u, z, w)\) where \( u = (u_1, u_2) \in \mathbb{C}^2 \) and \( z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \) and \( w = (w_1, \ldots, w_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \). Let \( q \) be the quadratic form given \( q(u, z, w) = u_1^2 + u_2^2 + 2(z, w) \). The Lie algebra of its isometry group \( \hat{G} \simeq \text{SO}(2n+2, \mathbb{C}) \) is given by

\[
\hat{g} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} D & E & F \\ -F^t & A & B \\ -E^t & C & -A^t \end{pmatrix} ; \ D \in \mathfrak{so}(2, \mathbb{C}), \ E, F \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times n}, \ A \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times n}, \ B, C \in \mathfrak{so}(n, \mathbb{C}) \right\}.
\]

Take the \((n+1)\)-dimensional isotropic subspace \( \hat{V}_0 := \{(u, iu, z, 0) ; u \in \mathbb{C}, z \in \mathbb{C}^n\} \) and set \( \hat{X}_n := \hat{G} \cdot \hat{V}_0 \). Note that \( \hat{X}_n \) is one of the two connected components of the manifold of isotropic \((n+1)\)-dimensional complex subspaces of \( \mathbb{C}^{2n+2} \) and \( \dim_{\mathbb{C}} \hat{X}_n = \frac{n(n+1)}{2} \).

Let \( G \) be the subgroup of \( \hat{G} \) having Lie algebra

\[
g = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} D & E & F \\ -F^t & A & B \\ -E^t & C & -A^t \end{pmatrix} \in \hat{g} ; \ D = 0, e_{1j} = f_{1j} = 0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq j \leq n \right\} \simeq \mathfrak{so}(2n+1, \mathbb{C}).
\]

Calculating the dimension of the isotropy group \( G_{\hat{V}_0} \), we see that \( G \cdot \hat{V}_0 \) is open in \( \hat{X}_n \). Since \( G_{\hat{V}_0} \) is parabolic, it follows that \( G \) acts in fact transitively on \( \hat{X}_n \). It turns out that \( G_{\hat{V}_0} = Q_{\Pi \setminus \{\alpha_n\}} \), which implies that \( \hat{X}_n \) is \( G \)-equivariantly isomorphic to the set \( X_n \) of isotropic \( n \)-dimensional complex subspaces of \( \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} \), compare Remark A.4. In other words, the group of holomorphic automorphisms of \( X_n \) is isomorphic to \( \hat{G} \simeq \text{SO}(2n+2, \mathbb{C}) \) (cf. [Oni62] or [Ste82]).

Thus the manifolds \( X_n \) and \( \hat{X}_n \) are the same. The pair of disjoint compact \( K \)-orbits \((C_0, C_1)\) constructed above in \( X_n \) for \( K \simeq \text{SO}(2n, \mathbb{C}) \), can be seen in \( \hat{X}_n \) as the pair of compact orbits of the subgroup (also called) \( K \) of \( \text{SO}(2n+2, \mathbb{C}) \) with Lie algebra

\[
t = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} D & E & F \\ -F^t & A & B \\ -E^t & C & -A^t \end{pmatrix} \in \hat{g} ; \ D = 0, E = F = 0 \right\}.
\]

This pair is movable already under the smaller group \( G \) as proved before. In \( \hat{X}_n \) we can now see explicitly the \( \mathbb{C}^* \)-action which makes \((C_0, C_1)\) a Schottky pair. The one-dimensional complex Lie group has Lie algebra

\[
\mathcal{Z}_{\hat{g}}(t) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} D & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} ; \ D \in \mathfrak{so}(2, \mathbb{C}) \right\} \simeq \mathbb{C},
\]

and it is given (as indicated in the preceding formula) by the centralizer of \( K \) in \( \hat{G} \).

**Remark 4.5.** These Schottky pairs are closely related to Schottky groups acting by conformal automorphisms on the sphere \( S^{2n} \) as follows, see [CNS13, Chapter 10]. Consider the following real \( \text{SO}(2n+1) \)-equivariant fibration

\[
X_n = \hat{X}_n = \text{SO}(2n+2)/U(n+1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{SO}(2n+1)/U(n) \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{SO}(2n+1)/\text{SO}(2n) = S^{2n}.
\]

This is the so called twistor fibration of \( X_n \). The fibers of \( \pi \) are complex manifolds isomorphic to \( X_{n-1} \), but the foliation is not holomorphic. The Möbius group \( \text{Mob}_+(S^{2n}) = \text{Conf}_+(S^{2n}) \)
\( \simeq \mathrm{SO}(1, 2n + 1) \) of conformal orientation-preserving diffeomorphisms of \( S^{2n} \) lifts to a holomorphic action of \( \mathrm{SO}(1, 2n + 1) \subset \mathrm{SO}(2n + 2, \mathbb{C}) \simeq \operatorname{Aut}(X) \), see [CNS13, p.235–239]. This implies that real Schottky group actions on the manifold \( S^{2n} \) induce via lifting by \( \pi \) holomorphic Schottky group actions on \( X_n \).

Let us be more precise. The natural action of the group \( L := \mathbb{R}^{>0} \) as homotheties \( S^{2n} \) has two fix points, \( p \) and \( q \), say. Lifting with \( \pi \) and complexifying the lifted group to \( L^\mathbb{C} := \pi^*(L^\mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathbb{C}^* \), gives us a Schottky pair

\[
(C_0 = \pi^{-1}(p), C_1 = \pi^{-1}(q))
\]

in \( X_n \) with the property that \( C_0 \) and \( C_1 \) are biholomorphic to \( X_{n-1} \). This Schottky pair is movable since pairs of points are movable in \( S^{2n} \) under the action of \( \mathrm{SO}(1, 2n + 1) \). Furthermore, one can take the function \( \varphi \) to be the pull-back of the standard \( \mathrm{SO}(2n) \)-invariant exhaustion function on \( S^{2n} \).

In closing we show that \( \operatorname{Aut}(X_n) \) acts transitively on the set of Schottky pairs in \( X_n \). Since two subgroups of \( \mathrm{SO}(2n + 2, \mathbb{C}) \) isomorphic to \( \mathrm{SO}(2n, \mathbb{C}) \) are conjugate, for two copies \( C_0, C_1 \) of \( X_{n-1} \) in \( X_n \) there is \( h \in \mathrm{SO}(2n + 2, \mathbb{C}) \) such that \( h(C_0) = C_1 \). It is then easy to see that the variety of all \( X_{n-1} \)'s in \( X_n \) is isomorphic to the even-dimensional quadric \( Q_{2n} = \mathbb{R}^{2n} / P \). Now let \( (C_0, C_1) \) be the Schottky pair from (4.1) and denote by \( L^\mathbb{C} \subset \operatorname{Aut}(X_n) \) the group isomorphic to \( \mathbb{C}^* \) corresponding to it. In order to prove transitivity on Schottky pairs, it is sufficient to prove that for a Schottky component \( C_1' \) such that \( C_1' \cap C_0 = \emptyset \) there is an automorphism \( g \in P \) such that \( g(C_1) = C_1' \), i.e., \( g \) stabilizes \( C_0 \) and maps \( C_1 \) to \( C_1' \). In other words, one has to show that \( P \) acts transitively on the set of \( X_{n-1} \)'s in \( X_n \) which are disjoint from \( C_0 \). It is well known that \( P \) has an open orbit in \( Q_{2n} \). The isotropy group in \( \mathrm{SO}(1, 2n + 1) \) of \( p \in S^{2n} \) acts transitively on \( S^{2n} \setminus \{q\} \). This implies directly that \( P \cdot C_1 \) is the open \( P \)-orbit in \( Q_{2n} \). Furthermore, since \( C_1' \cap C_0 = \emptyset \), for every arbitrarily small open neighborhood \( U \) of \( C_1 \) in \( X_n \) there is an element \( g_u \in L^\mathbb{C} \subset P \) such that \( g_u(C_1') \subset U \). Thus \( g_u(C_1') \) is in a small open neighborhood of \( C_1 \) contained in the open orbit of \( P \) in the irreducible cycle space component isomorphic to \( Q_{2n} \). The claim is proved.

### 4.5. Schottky groups acting on \( Q_{2n-1} \)

Let us discuss an example of Schottky group actions that are not directly related to minimal hypersurface orbits. For \( z = (z_1, \ldots, z_n) \) and \( w = (w_1, \ldots, w_n) \) let

\[
X = Q_{2n-1} = \{u : z : w \in \mathbb{P}_{2n} ; u^2 + 2(z, w) = 0\}.
\]

On \( X \) we define \( \varphi[u : z : w] = \frac{|w|^2}{|z|^2 + |w|^2} \) and \( g_\lambda[u : z : w] = [u : \lambda^{-1}z : \lambda w] \). One verifies directly that this yields a Schottky pair in \( X \). This Schottky pair is movable by an argument similar to the one given in Subsection 4.3.

**Remark** 4.6. The map \( p: Q_{2n-1} \to \mathbb{P}_{2n-1} \) given by \( p[u : z : w] = [z : w] \) is a 2 : 1 covering with branch locus \( \{(z, w) = 0\} \simeq Q_{2n-2} \). This covering is equivariant with respect to \( G = \mathrm{SO}(2n, \mathbb{C}) \).

Let us show that \( \mathrm{SO}(2n + 1, \mathbb{C}) \) acts transitively on the set of Schottky pairs in \( X = Q_{2n-1} \). If \( (C_0, C_1') \) is any Schottky pair in \( X \), then there is \( g \in \mathrm{SO}(2n + 1, \mathbb{C}) \) such that \( g(C_0) = (0, z, 0); z \in \mathbb{C}^n \}. Then \( g(C_1') \) is an \( n \)-dimensional isotropic subspace of \( \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} \) complementary to \( C_0 \). In fact, \( g(C_1') \) must be complementary to \( \{u, z, 0); u \in \mathbb{C}, z \in \mathbb{C}^n \} \), for \( (u, z, 0) \in g(C_1') \) implies \( u^2 = 0 \). Now we may finish the proof in the same way as in the case of even-dimensional quadrics.
5. Extension of cohomology groups and $q$-completeness

5.1. Some extension theorems. In this subsection we collect some technical tools which allow us to study meromorphic functions, differential forms and cohomology groups of the Schottky quotients $Q_{\Gamma}$.

Theorem 5.1 ([Sch61]). Let $X$ be a $d$-dimensional complex manifold, $A \subset X$ a closed analytic subset of pure dimension $m - 1$, and $\mathcal{F}$ a locally free sheaf on $X$. Then, for every $0 \leq k \leq d - m - 1$, the restriction map

$$H^k(X, \mathcal{F}) \to H^k(X \setminus A, \mathcal{F})$$

is bijective.

Following Andreotti and Grauert we say that a complex manifold $M$ of dimension $d$ is $q$-complete if $M$ admits a smooth exhaustion function $\rho$ whose Levi form has at least $d - q + 1$ strictly positive eigenvalues at each point of $M$. Under this convention Stein manifolds are precisely the 1-complete manifolds.

Theorem 5.2 ([AG62, Théorème 15]). Let $\Omega$ be a $q$-complete complex manifold of dimension $d$ with exhaustion function $\rho$ and $\mathcal{F}$ be a locally free sheaf on $\Omega$. Then, for every $0 \leq k \leq d - q - 1$, the restriction map

$$H^k(\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \to H^k(\Omega \setminus \{\rho < \varepsilon\}, \mathcal{F})$$

is bijective.

We also need an extension theorem for meromorphic functions and a $q$-completeness criterion.

Theorem 5.3 ([MP09]). Let $\Omega$ be a $q$-complete complex manifold of dimension $d$ with $q \leq d - 1$ and $K \subset \Omega$ a compact subset. Then every meromorphic function $f \in \mathcal{M}(\Omega \setminus K)$ extends uniquely as a meromorphic function to $\Omega$.

Proposition 5.4 ([AN71, Proposition 8]). Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}_n$ be a projective manifold and let $s_1, \ldots, s_q$ be holomorphic sections in the hyperplane line bundle of $\mathbb{P}_n$. Then $\Omega := X \setminus \{s_1 = \cdots = s_q = 0\}$ is $q$-complete.

5.2. Cohomology groups of $Q_{\Gamma}$. Our goal here is to determine certain cohomology groups of $Q_{\Gamma}$ and for this we prove the following lemma.

Lemma 5.5. Let $X$ be either $\mathbb{P}_{2n+1}$ or $Q_{2n}$ or $Q_{2n+1}$ and let $(C_0, C_1)$ be one of the movable Schottky pairs in $X$ described in Section 4. Then $X \setminus C_0$ is $(n + 1)$-complete. For $n \geq 4$, the complex manifold $X \setminus C, C := \pi^{-1}(q), q \in S^{2n}$, see Subsection 4.4, is $(d - 3)$-complete with $d := \dim_{\mathbb{C}} X_n$.

Proof. We apply Proposition 5.4 to each of the first three cases separately.

For $C_0 = \{[z : 0] \in \mathbb{P}_{2n+1}; z \in \mathbb{P}_n\}$ in $X = \mathbb{P}_{2n+1}$ the criterion of Andreotti and Norguet immediately yields that $X \setminus C_0$ is $(n + 1)$-complete.

If $C_0 = \{[z : 0] \in Q_{2n}; z \in \mathbb{P}_n\}$ in $X = Q_{2n}$, then $X \setminus C_0$ is again $(n + 1)$-complete.

Consider $C_0 = \{[0 : 0 : w] \in Q_{2n+1}; w \in \mathbb{P}_n\}$ in $X = Q_{2n+1} = \{[u : z : w]; u^2 + 2(z, w) = 0\}$. Then we have $C_0 = \{z_1 = \cdots = z_{n+1} = 0\}$, so that $X \setminus C_0$ is $(n + 1)$-complete.

In order to prove the claim for $X_4$ we use the spinor embedding $X_4 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}_{15}$. The image of $X_4$ in $\mathbb{P}_{15}$ is the closure of the set of homogeneous coordinates

$$[1 : x_1 : \cdots : x_4 : y_1 : \cdots : y_5] \in \mathbb{P}_{15}$$

where $x_{4l}, 1 \leq k < l \leq 5$ are the upper triangular entries of a skew-symmetric matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{5 \times 5}$ and $y_1, \ldots, y_5$ are the one-codimensional Pfaffians of $A$. 
In [IM04, p. 291] one finds explicit equations for the image of \(X_4\) in \(\mathbb{P}_{15}\). Using these, it is not hard to see that the Schottky pair \((C_0, C_1)\) in \(\mathbb{P}_{15}\) with

\[
\begin{align*}
C_0 &= \{x_{12} = \cdots = x_{15} = y_2 = \cdots = y_5 = 0\} \\
C_1 &= \{u = x_{23} = \cdots = x_{45} = y_1 = 0\}
\end{align*}
\]

intersects \(X_4\) in the two connected components of \(\text{IGr}_3(\mathbb{C}^6) \subset X_4\). Consequently, we have an explicit formula for Nori’s function \(\varphi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{P}_{15})\) as well as for the tangent space of \(\{\varphi = 1/2\} \cap X_4\) at \(p = [1:1:0: \cdots : 0]\). This allows us to see by a direct calculation that the Levi form of the restriction \(\varphi|_{X_4}\) at \(p\) has four strictly positive eigenvalues. Since the generic fiber of \(\varphi|_{X_4}\) coincides with the hypersurface orbit of \(K_0 = \text{SO}(2) \times \text{SO}(8)\), we conclude that the Levi form of every \(K_0\)-invariant exhaustion function on \(X_4 \setminus (C_0 \cap X_4)\) has at least four strictly positive eigenvalues at each point. Hence, \(X_4 \setminus (C_0 \cap X_4)\) is \(\tau\)-complete, as claimed.

For \(n \geq 5\), we consider the twistor fibration

\[
X_n = \text{SO}(2n + 1)/U(n) \quad \pi \quad S^{2n} = \text{SO}(2n + 1)/\text{SO}(2n),
\]

and let \(p, q\) the two fixed points of the action of the isotropy group \(\text{SO}(2n)\) on \(S^{2n}\). Then \(S^{2n} \setminus \{q\}\) is conformally isomorphic to \(\mathbb{R}^n = \{x = (x_1, \ldots, x_n)\}\). We identify the point \(p\) with the origin in \(\mathbb{R}^n\) and define the function \(\rho(x) := \sum x_i^2\) on \(\mathbb{R}^n\). The functions \(\rho\) and \(\tilde{\rho} := \rho \circ \pi\) are invariant under the left action of \(\text{SO}(2n)\) on \(S^{2n} \setminus \{q\}\) and \(X_n \setminus C\) and are exhaustion functions.

It is easy to check that there is a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
X_4 = \text{SO}(9)/U(4) & \xrightarrow{\iota_1} & \text{SO}(2n + 1)/U(n) \\
\pi|_{X_4} & \downarrow & \pi \\
S^8 = \text{SO}(9)/\text{SO}(8) & \xrightarrow{\iota_2} & \text{SO}(2n + 1)/\text{SO}(2n) = S^{2n},
\end{array}
\]

such that \(X_4\) is equivariantly and holomorphically embedded in \(X_n\) for \(n \geq 4\). As we have seen above, the Levi form of the restriction of \(\tilde{\rho}\) to \(X_4 \setminus (X_4 \cap C_0)\) has four strictly positive eigenvalues everywhere. Since \(\tilde{\rho}\) is \(\text{SO}(2n)\)-invariant, the same is true for \(\tilde{\rho}\) on \(X_n\). Therefore \(X_n \setminus C\) is \((d - 3)\)-complete. \(\square\)

Combining Lemma 5.5 with the extension theorems of Andreotti-Grauert and Scheja yields some information about cohomology groups of the Schottky quotient manifolds \(Q_\Gamma\). For the following proposition it is crucial that the neighborhoods of the Schottky pair \((C_0, C_1)\) are defined via the \(K_0\)-invariant function \(\varphi\), compare the important assumption.

**Proposition 5.6.** Let \(X\) be either \(\mathbb{P}_{2n+1}\) with \(n \geq 3\) or \(Q_{4n+2}\) with \(n \geq 2\) or \(Q_{2n+1}\) with \(n \geq 3\) or \(X_n\) with \(n \geq 4\). Let \((C_0, C_1)\) be a movable Schottky pair in \(X\) and let \(\Gamma\) be an associated Schottky group of rank \(r \geq 2\). Let \(\mathcal{F}\) be a locally free analytic sheaf on \(Q_\Gamma\) such that \(\pi^*\mathcal{F}\) extends to a locally free sheaf on \(X\) with \(H^p(X, \pi^*\mathcal{F}) = 0\) for \(p = 1, 2\). Then, for \(0 \leq k \leq 2\), we have isomorphisms

\[
H^k(Q_\Gamma, \mathcal{F}) \simeq H^k(\Gamma, H^0(X, \pi^*\mathcal{F})).
\]

Moreover, \(\mathcal{M}(Q_\Gamma)\) can be identified with the set of \(\Gamma\)-invariant rational functions on \(X\).
Proof. In the first step we will show $H^k(U_f, \pi^*F) \simeq H^k(X, \pi^*F)$ for $0 \leq k \leq 2$. To see this, note first that the fundamental domain $\mathcal{F}_f$ contains the submanifold $C = f(C_0)$ for some $f \in \text{Aut}(X)$. This follows from the fact that the neighborhoods $U_j$ and $V_j$ are defined by the $K_0$-invariant function $\varphi$. Due to Theorem 5.1 the restriction map $H^k(U_f, \pi^*F) \to H^k(U_f \setminus C, \pi^*F)$ is bijective for $0 \leq k \leq 2$. Since $U_f \setminus C$ is a domain in the $q$-complete manifold $\Omega = X \setminus C$ with compact complement, an application of Theorem 5.2 and Lemma 5.5 yields that the restriction map $H^k(X \setminus C, \pi^*F) \to H^k(U_f \setminus C, \pi^*F)$ is also bijective for $0 \leq k \leq 2$. Another application of Theorem 5.1 gives the result.

Consequently we get $H^1(U_f, \pi^*F) = 0 = H^2(U_f, \pi^*F)$. This allows us to apply [Mum08, Appendix to §2, formula (c)] to obtain

$$H^k(Q_f, F) \simeq H^k(\Gamma, H^0(U_f, \pi^*F)) = H^k(\Gamma, H^0(X, \pi^*F))$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2$. \qed

Remark 5.7. Let $X$ be any homogeneous rational manifold, let $\mathcal{F}$ be either the structure sheaf $\mathcal{O}$ or the tangent sheaf $\Theta$. Then the Bott-Borel-Weil theorem shows $H^k(X, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for all $k \geq 1$.

Remark 5.8. For $X = \mathbb{P}_3$ or $X = Q_3$ our method only yields $H^0(Q_f, F) \simeq H^0(X, \pi^*F)^\Gamma$. In addition, for $X = \mathbb{P}_5, Q_5, Q_6$ we have $H^1(Q_f, F) \simeq H^1(\Gamma, H^0(\pi^*F))$.

6. Geometric properties and deformations of Schottky quotients

In this section we apply Proposition 5.6 in order to describe analytic and geometric invariants as well as the deformation theory of Schottky quotient manifolds. In the whole section $X$ will denote a homogeneous rational manifold admitting a movable Schottky pair $(C_0, C_1)$ and $\Gamma$ an associated Schottky group of rank $r \geq 2$ with quotient $Q_f = U_f/\Gamma$.

6.1. Analytic and geometric invariants. The following proposition was shown in [Lár98] for $X = \mathbb{P}_n$ under an additional assumption on the Hausdorff dimension of $X \setminus U_f$.

Proposition 6.1. The quotient manifold $Q_f$ is rationally connected and has Kodaira dimension $-\infty$. If $\text{codim} C_0 + 2$, then $Q_f$ is not Kähler.

Proof. The first claim follows again from the fact that we define the open neighborhoods $U_j$ and $V_j$ via a $K_0$-invariant function $\varphi: X \to [0, 1]$. In this situation we find enough rational curves in the fundamental domain $\mathcal{F}_f$ so that we can connect any two points by a chain of rational curves.

In order to show $\text{kod}(Q_f) = -\infty$ we apply Proposition 5.6 to the canonical sheaf $K_{Q_f}$. Then the claim follows from $H^0(X, K_{Q_f}^m) = 0$ for every $m \geq 1$ since $X$ is rational.

The last claim is a consequence of the fact that the fundamental group of a compact Kähler manifold cannot be free. This can be seen as follows, compare [ABCKT96, Example 1.19]. Since the rank of the free fundamental group of a compact complex manifold $Y$ coincides with the first Betti number of $Y$, we see that no compact Kähler manifold can have free fundamental of odd rank. However, any free group of rank $r$ contains normal subgroups of any finite index $k$ which are free of rank $k(r-1)+1$ due to the Nielsen-Schreier theorem. If a compact Kähler manifold $Y$ had free fundamental group of even rank, we could choose a normal subgroup of even index $k$ and thus would obtain a finite covering of $Y$ having free fundamental group of odd rank $k(r-1)+1$, a contradiction to the previous observation. This proves the last claim since $U_f$ is simply-connected if $\text{codim} C_0 \geq 2$. \qed

Next we give a criterion for the algebraic dimension of $Q_f$ to be zero.
Theorem 6.2. The algebraic dimension $a(Q_{\Gamma})$ coincides with the codimension of a generic $H$-orbit in $X$ where $H$ denotes the Zariski closure of $\Gamma$ in $\text{Aut}(X)$. In particular, $a(Q_{\Gamma}) = 0$ if and only if $H$ has an open orbit in $X$.

Proof. Due to Theorem 5.3 and Lemma 5.5 every meromorphic function on $Q_{\Gamma}$ is induced by a $\Gamma$-invariant rational function $f$ on $X$. Consequently, $f$ must be invariant under the Zariski closure $H$ of $\Gamma$ in $\text{Aut}(X)$. It follows from Rosenlicht’s theorem [Ro56, Theorem 2] that the field of $H$-invariant rational functions on $X$ has transcendence degree equal to the codimension of a generic $H$-orbit in $X$. \hfill \Box

Remark 6.3. It is not difficult to produce examples of Schottky groups $\Gamma$ acting on $\mathbb{P}_{2n+1}$ such that $a(Q_{\Gamma}) = 0$: choose $r = 2n + 1$ pairwise disjoint Schottky pairs such that in some point of $\mathbb{P}_{2n+1}$ the corresponding $\mathbb{C}^*$-orbits meet transversally.

It is shown in [Lár98, Proposition 2.1] that Nori’s Schottky groups acting on $X = \mathbb{P}_3$ yield quotient manifolds of algebraic dimension zero, provided that the Hausdorff dimension of their limit set is sufficiently small. It can be deduced from Theorem 6.2 that this assumption on the Hausdorff dimension is superfluous. In [CNS13, Proposition 9.3.12] and [SV03, Proposition 3.5] the same result is claimed to hold for Schottky groups acting on $X = \mathbb{P}_{2n+1}$. This, however, is not correct as the following example shows.

Example 6.4. Let us fix two integers $k \geq 1$ and $n \geq 2k + 1$. Applying Nori’s construction to $X = \mathbb{P}((\mathbb{C}^{2k})^n) \simeq \mathbb{P}_{2kn-1}$ gives the Schottky pair

$$C_0 := \{ [Z] \in X; z_{ij} = 0 \text{ for all } k + 1 \leq i \leq 2k \} \simeq \mathbb{P}_{kn-1} \text{ and}$$

$$C_1 := \{ [Z] \in X; z_{ij} = 0 \text{ for all } 1 \leq i \leq k \} \simeq \mathbb{P}_{kn-1}.$$

The corresponding $\mathbb{C}^*$-action is given by $g_\lambda \in \text{Aut}(X)$,

$$g_\lambda[Z] = g_\lambda \left( \left[ \begin{array}{c} Z_0 \\ Z_1 \end{array} \right] \right) := \left[ \begin{array}{c} \lambda^{-1} Z_0 \\ \lambda Z_1 \end{array} \right]$$

where $Z_0, Z_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{k \times n}$. Let the group $H = \text{SL}(2k, \mathbb{C})$ act on $X$ by left multiplication. We have $g_\lambda \in H$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Moreover, a direct calculation shows that the Schottky pair $(C_0, C_1)$ can be moved by elements of $H$. Consequently, there are Schottky groups $\Gamma$ acting on $X$ with $\Gamma \subset H$.

Due to the First Fundamental Theorem (see e.g. [Pro07, p. 387]) for $H$ the invariant ring $\mathbb{C}[(\mathbb{C}^{2k})^n]^H$ is generated by the \binom{n}{2k} homogeneous $(2k) \times (2k)$ minors of $A$. Since $n \geq 2k + 1$, there are non-constant $H$-invariant rational functions on $X$. Thus the algebraic dimension of $Q_{\Gamma}$ is bounded from below by $2k(n - 2k)$ for every Schottky group $\Gamma \subset H$.

Note that $C_0$ and $C_1$ are contained in the $H$-invariant subvariety $\overline{Y_k}$ where $Y_k := \{ [Z] \in X; \text{rk}(Z) = k \}$. Therefore we can view $\overline{Y_k}$ as another projective variety (of dimension $k(k + n) - 1$) which admits actions of Schottky groups. For $k \geq 2$ the projective variety $\overline{Y_k}$ is singular and $C_0$ and $C_1$ meet its singular set $\overline{Y_k} \setminus Y_k$. In contrast, $Y_1 = \overline{Y_1}$ is $H$-equivariantly isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_{n-1}$ where $H = \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ acts on $\mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_{n-1}$ by $h \cdot ([v], [z]) := ([hv], [z])$. Hence, the Schottky groups acting on $Y_1$ are obtained as products of Schottky groups acting on $\mathbb{P}_1$ and the trivial action on $\mathbb{P}_{n-1}$.

In the next example we study in detail a fiber of the algebraic reduction map obtained in the setting of Example 6.4.

Example 6.5. Take now in the setting of the previous example $n = 2k$. In this case the natural right action of $\text{SL}(2k, \mathbb{C})$ on $X = \mathbb{P}((\mathbb{C}^{2k})^n) \simeq \mathbb{P}_{2kn-1}$ commutes with the left action and
therefore descends to an action on \( Q_f \) which is constructed as the quotient of the left action of \( \Gamma \). In particular, the limit set \( X \setminus U_f \) must be contained in the complement of the open \( \text{SL}(2k, \mathbb{C}) \)-orbit. Hence \( Q_f \) is an almost homogeneous \( \text{SL}(2k, \mathbb{C}) \)-manifold with open orbit \( \Gamma \setminus \text{SL}(2k, \mathbb{C}) \).

This gives in the case \( k = 1 \) interesting \( \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \)-equivariant compactifications of \( \Gamma \setminus \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \) that to the best of our knowledge are new. We describe them here in a little more detail.

Consider \( X = \mathbb{P}_3 \simeq \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}) \) as an almost-homogeneous complex manifold under the action of the complex Lie group \( \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \), given by left and right matrix multiplication. Then \( X = \text{PSL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \cup D \), where \( D \) is the 1-codimensional orbit isomorphic to \( \mathbb{P}_1 \times \mathbb{P}_1 \). As above construct now a (left) Schottky group action on \( X \) of \( \Gamma \subset \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \). Restricted to \( D \) this action is trivial on one \( \mathbb{P}_1 \)-factor and just a classical Schottky action on the other. Therefore the quotient manifold \( Q_f \) is an equivariant holomorphic compactification of \( \Gamma \setminus \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \) by a hypersurface \( D' \simeq R \times \mathbb{P}_1 \), where \( R \) is the compact Riemann surface associated to the classical Schottky action of \( \Gamma \) on \( \mathbb{P}_1 \). By a theorem of Maskit ([Ma67]), it follows that for every finitely generated free, discrete and loxodromic subgroup \( \Gamma \subset \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \) the above construction gives such an equivariant compactification.

A similar construction is also possible for the case of Schottky group actions on quadrics as well as on isotropic Graßmannians as the following two examples show.

**Example 6.6.** As in Subsection 4.3 we equip \( \mathbb{C}^{4k} \) with the symmetric bilinear form \( b(z, w) := z^tSw \) where \( S = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_{2k} \\ I_{2k} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \). Let \( H \) be the group of linear isometries of \( b \) and note that \( H \simeq \text{SO}(4k, \mathbb{C}) \). On \( \mathbb{C}^{4k \times m} \) for \( m \geq 1 \) we define a symmetric bilinear form \( B \) by the formula \( B(Z, W) := \text{Tr}(Z^tSW) \). Then any two different columns of \( Z \in \mathbb{C}^{4k \times m} \) are orthogonal with respect to \( B \) and, when restricted to one column, \( B \) coincides with \( b \). The group \( H \times \text{SO}(m, \mathbb{C}) \) acts on \( \mathbb{C}^{4k \times m} \) by left and right multiplication and this action leaves \( B \) invariant. Consequently, \( H \times \text{SO}(m, \mathbb{C}) \) acts on the \((4k - 2)\)-dimensional quadric

\[ X := \{ [Z] \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^{4k \times m}); \quad \text{Tr}(Z^tSZ) = 0 \} \]

in \( \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^{4k \times m}) \simeq \mathbb{P}_{4km-1} \). In contrast with the previous example, \( \text{SO}(m, \mathbb{C}) \) does not have an open orbit in \( X \).

An argument analogous to the one given in Subsection 4.3 shows that the Schottky pair

\[ C_0 = \left\{ \left[ \begin{array}{c} Z_0 \\ 0 \end{array} \right] \in X; \quad Z_0 \in \mathbb{C}^{2k \times m} \right\} \simeq \mathbb{P}_{2km-1} \]

\[ C_1 = \left\{ \left[ \begin{array}{c} 0 \\ Z_1 \end{array} \right] \in X; \quad Z_1 \in \mathbb{C}^{2k \times m} \right\} \simeq \mathbb{P}_{2km-1} \]

in \( X \) is movable by elements of the group \( H \). Therefore there are Schottky groups \( \Gamma \) acting on \( X \) with Zariski closure \( \overline{\Gamma} \) contained in \( H \).

Due to the First Fundamental Theorem for \( \text{SO}(4k, \mathbb{C}) \) the algebra of \( H \)-invariant polynomials on \( \mathbb{C}^{4k \times m} \) is generated by

\[ p_{ij}(Z) = b(z_i, z_j) \quad \text{for} \quad m \geq 1, 1 \leq i \leq j \leq m, \]

where \( z_1, \ldots, z_m \) are the columns of \( Z \in \mathbb{C}^{4k \times m} \), and by

\[ d_{i_1 \cdots i_k}(Z) = \det(z_{i_1} \cdots z_{i_k}) \quad \text{for} \quad m \geq 4k, 1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_k \leq m. \]

Hence, for each \( m \geq 2 \) and every Schottky group \( \Gamma \subset H \) the quotient manifold \( Q_f \) has positive algebraic dimension.

Concretely, suppose that \( k = 1 \) and \( m = 2 \) and let \( \Gamma \) be Zariski dense in \( H \). Then we have

\[ X = \{ [(z_1, z_2)] \in \mathbb{P}(\mathbb{C}^{4 \times 2}); \quad b(z_1, z_1) + b(z_2, z_2) = 0 \}. \]
The algebraic reduction map of $Q$ is induced by the rational mapping
$$X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}_2, \ [Z] \mapsto [b(z_1, z_2) : b(z_1, z_2) : b(z_2, z_2)],$$
whose image is contained in $\{ |x_0 : x_1 : x_2| \in \mathbb{P}_2; \ x_0 = -x_2 \} \cong \mathbb{P}_1$. Note that this reduction map is $\text{SO}(2, \mathbb{C})$-equivariant.

**Example 6.7.** Here we construct Schottky groups acting on $X_n = \text{IGr}_n(\mathbb{C}^{2n+1})$ with sufficiently small Zariski closures in $\text{SO}(2n+2, \mathbb{C})$ in order to have $\Gamma$-invariant non-constant meromorphic functions. Then these functions induce meromorphic functions on the associated quotient manifolds which will be hence of strictly positive algebraic dimension, compare Theorem 6.2.

To this end we use the twistor fibration, see Remark 4.5. Let $M := S^m$ be a round sphere in $S^{2n}$. Its stabilizer is a subgroup of $\text{SO}(1, 2n+1)$ isomorphic to $\text{M} \text{ö} \text{b}_+(S^m) \cong \text{SO}(1, m+1)$. Construct a Schottky group action on $S^{2n}$ by allowing the pairs of points $(p, q)$ to move only in $M$. Then the lifted Schottky group has a Zariski closure $H$ contained in a subgroup of $\text{SO}(2n+2, \mathbb{C})$ isomorphic to $\text{SO}(m+2, \mathbb{C})$. Finally, if $m$ is sufficiently small, there are $H$-invariant meromorphic functions on $X_n$ and the quotient manifold has strictly positive algebraic dimension.

### 6.2. The Picard group of $Q$.

Let $X$ be a homogeneous rational manifold verifying the hypotheses of Proposition 5.6. Applying this proposition to the structure sheaf $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{O}$ we obtain $H^1(Q, \mathcal{O}) \cong H^1(\Gamma, \mathcal{C}) \cong \text{Hom}(\Gamma_{ab}, \mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C}$, see [HilSt97, p. 193], as well as $H^2(Q, \mathcal{O}) \cong H^2(\Gamma, \mathbb{C}) = 0$, see [HilSt97, Corollary VI.5.6]. Hence, the long exact cohomology sequence associated with the exponential sequence $0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}^* \rightarrow 0$ yields

$$H^1(Q, \mathcal{O}) \cong \mathbb{C}, \quad H^1(Q, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow H^1(Q, \mathcal{O}^*) \rightarrow H^2(Q, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow 0.$$ 

In order to obtain the Picard group $H^1(Q, \mathcal{O}^*)$ we have to determine $H^2(Q, \mathcal{O})$.

**Remark 6.8.** The subgroup $H^1(Q, \mathcal{O})/H^1(Q, \mathcal{O})$ isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$ of the Picard group of $Q$ consists of the topologically trivial line bundles on $Q$, given by representations of $\Gamma$ in $\mathbb{C}$.

In a first step we will determine $H_2(U) := H_2(U, \mathcal{O})$. For this, we note that $U$ is the increasing union of the open sets

$$\Omega_l := X \setminus \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^r \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma_l} \gamma(U_j) \right) \cup \left( \bigcup_{j=1}^r \bigcup_{\gamma \in \Gamma_l} \gamma(U_j) \right),$$

where $\Gamma_l$ denotes the set of all reduced words of length $l \geq 1$ in $\Gamma$. Since $\Omega_l$ is homotopy equivalent to $X \setminus C$ where $C$ is the union of $N_l = 2r(2r-1)^{l-1}$ pairwise disjoint copies of $C_0$, we have $H_k(\Omega_l) \cong H_k(X \setminus C)$. Let $U$ be a tubular neighborhood of $C$ having $N_l$ connected components homeomorphic to $C_0 \times B$ where $B$ is the unit ball in $\mathbb{R}^{\dim C}$, then the Mayer-Vietoris sequence of the open cover $X = U \cup (X \setminus C)$ with $U \cap (X \setminus C) = U \setminus C$ reads

$$\cdots \rightarrow H_{k+1}(X) \rightarrow H_k(U \setminus C) \rightarrow H_k(U) \oplus H_k(X \setminus C) \rightarrow H_k(X) \rightarrow H_{k-1}(U \setminus C) \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow H_0(X) \rightarrow 0.$$ 

Note that $U$ is homotopy equivalent to the disjoint union of $N_l$ copies of $C_0$ while $U \setminus C$ is homotopy equivalent to the disjoint union of $N_l$ copies of $C_0 \times S^{\dim X - \dim C_0 - 1}$ where $S^d$ is the unit sphere in $\mathbb{R}^{d+1}$. Since $C_0$ is homogeneous rational, its homology $H_*(C_0)$ is free.
Abelian. Therefore the Künneth formula yields

\[ H_k(C_0 \times S^d) \simeq \bigoplus_{j=0}^{k} H_j(C_0) \otimes H_{k-j}(S^d). \]

Consider the case \( k = 2 \) and suppose that \( d \geq 3 \). Then we have \( H_2(C_0 \times S^3) \simeq H_2(C_0) \). Furthermore, the Mayer-Vietoris sequence starting at \( H_3(X) = 0 \) looks like

\[ 0 \rightarrow H_2(U \setminus C) \rightarrow H_2(U) \oplus H_2(X \setminus C) \rightarrow H_2(X) \rightarrow H_1(U \setminus C) = 0. \]

From this we obtain \( H_2(\Omega_l) \simeq H_2(X \setminus C) \simeq H_2(X) \) for all \( l \geq 1 \). Since every singular chain in \( \mathcal{U}_r \) lies in \( \Omega_l \) for some \( l \geq 1 \), we conclude \( H_2(\mathcal{U}_r) \simeq H_2(X) \).

In order to deduce \( H_2(Q_r, \mathbb{Z}) \), we will use in the second step the Cartan-Leray spectral sequence. More precisely, there exists a first quadrant spectral sequence of homology type with

\[ E_2^{p,q} \simeq H_p(\Gamma, H_q(\mathcal{U}_r)) \]

and strongly converging to \( H_*(Q_r, \mathbb{Z}) \), see [McC01, Theorem 8.9].

Since \( \Gamma \) is free, we have \( E_2^{p,q} = 0 \) for \( p \geq 2 \), see [HilSt97, Corollary VI.5.6]. Since the differential \( d_2 \) is of bidegree \((-2, 1)\) we obtain

\[
\begin{array}{cccc}
& * & \rightarrow & 0 \\
H_0(\Gamma, H_2(X)) & H_1(\Gamma, H_2(X)) & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \rightarrow & 0 \\
H_0(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}) & H_1(\Gamma, \mathbb{Z}) & 0 & 0 \\
& 0 & \rightarrow & 0
\end{array}
\]

Consequently, this spectral sequence collapses at \( r = 2 \) and we have \( E_2^{p,q} = E_\infty^{p,q} \) for all \( p, q \). In other words, there is an increasing filtration \( F^* \) on \( H_*(\mathcal{U}_r) \) such that

\[
0 = E_2^{2,0} \simeq F_2^2 H_2(\mathcal{U}_r)/F_1^1 H_2(\mathcal{U}_r) \\
0 = E_2^{1,1} \simeq F_1^1 H_2(\mathcal{U}_r)/F_0^0 H_2(\mathcal{U}_r) \\
E_2^{3,2} \simeq F^0 H_2(\mathcal{U}_r).
\]

Since \( \Gamma \) is contained in a connected complex Lie group, the induced action of \( \Gamma \) on \( H_q(\mathcal{U}_r) \) is trivial. Hence, we have \( E_2^{3,2} \simeq H_0(\Gamma, H_2(X)) \simeq H_2(X) \), see [HilSt97, Proposition VI.3.1].

In summary, we have shown \( H_2(Q_r) \simeq H_2(X) \). It follows from [CS09, Theorem 3.2.20] that \( H_2(X) \simeq \mathbb{Z} \) for every homogeneous rational manifold verifying the hypotheses of Proposition 5.6. From this we obtain the following.

**Theorem 6.9.** Let \( X \) be a homogeneous rational manifold verifying the hypotheses of Proposition 5.6. Let \( \Gamma \) be a Schottky group of rank \( r \) acting on \( X \) with associated quotient \( \pi: \mathcal{U}_r \rightarrow Q_r \). Then the Picard group \( H^1(Q_r, \mathcal{O}^*) \) of \( Q_r \) is isomorphic to \((\mathbb{C}^*)^r \times \mathbb{Z}\).
6.3. Deformation theory of \(Q_{\Gamma}\). It is possible to embed a Schottky quotient manifold \(Q_{\Gamma}\) into a complex analytic family in the following way. Fix a movable Schottky pair \((C_0, C_1)\) in \(X\) and automorphisms \(f_2, \ldots, f_r \in \text{Aut}(X)\) such that \(C_0, C_1, f_2(C_0), f_2(C_1), \ldots, f_r(C_0), f_r(C_1)\) are pairwise disjoint. Then, as above, we may choose elements \(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r \in \mathbb{C}^*\) with \(|\lambda_j|^2 = \frac{1}{\gamma_j} > 1\). Let \(D \subset \mathbb{C}^r\) be the domain of all possible such \(\lambda := (\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_r)\). Set \(f_1 := \text{id}_X\) and write \(\Gamma(\lambda)\) for the Schottky group generated by \(\gamma_j := f_j \circ g_{\lambda_j} \circ f_j^{-1}\) for \(1 \leq j \leq r\). Let \(F_r = (s_1, \ldots, s_r)\) be the abstract free group of rank \(r\). We have an action of the free group \(F_r\) of rank \(r\) on \(X \times D\) given by the formula

\[s_j \cdot (x, \lambda) := (f_j \circ g_{\lambda_j} \circ f_j^{-1}(x), \lambda).\]

Let \(U_j(\lambda)\) and \(V_j(\lambda)\) be the open neighborhoods of \(f_j(C_0)\) and \(f_j(C_1)\), respectively, defined for the \(\Gamma(\lambda)\)-action on \(X\). Then set \(\bar{U}_j := \{(x, \lambda) \in X \times D; x \in U_j(\lambda)\}\) and similarly \(\bar{V}_j\) for \(1 \leq j \leq r\). In the same way we define \(\bar{F}\) and \(\bar{U}\) in \(X \times D\).

**Proposition 6.10.** The free group \(F_r\) acts freely and properly on \(\bar{U}\) so that we obtain the commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
\bar{U} & \longrightarrow & \bar{U}/F_r \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\
D & \longrightarrow & D.
\end{array}
\]

The map \(\pi: \bar{U}/F_r \to D\) is a complex analytic family in the sense of Kodaira with fibers \(Q_{\Gamma(\lambda)}\). In particular, all Schottky quotient manifolds are diffeomorphic.

**Proof.** Since we have \(s_j(\bar{U}_j) = (X \times D) \setminus \bar{V}_j\) for all \(1 \leq j \leq r\), we may literally copy the proof of the corresponding fact without the parameters \(\lambda\). \(\square\)

**Proposition 6.11.** Two Schottky quotient manifolds \(Q_{\Gamma}\) and \(Q_{\Gamma'}\) are biholomorphic if and only if \(\Gamma\) and \(\Gamma'\) are conjugate in \(\text{Aut}(X)\).

**Proof.** Suppose that there exists a biholomorphic map \(f: Q_{\Gamma} \to Q_{\Gamma'}\). Then there exist a biholomorphic map \(F: \mathcal{U}_\Gamma \to \mathcal{U}_{\Gamma'}\) as well as a group homomorphism \(\varphi: \Gamma \to \Gamma'\) such that \(F \circ \gamma = \varphi(\gamma) \circ F\) for all \(\gamma \in \Gamma\). Since \(X\) is projective, \(F\) is given by finitely many meromorphic functions \(f_1, \ldots, f_N\) on \(\mathcal{U}_\Gamma\). Due to Theorem 5.3 and Lemma 5.5 we may thus extend \(F\) as a meromorphic map to \(X\). It is not hard to show that this extended map is biholomorphic, see [Iva92], hence an element of \(\text{Aut}(X)\). Consequently, \(\Gamma\) and \(\Gamma'\) are conjugate in \(\text{Aut}(X)\). \(\square\)

In the rest of this subsection we assume in addition that \(X\) verifies the hypotheses of Proposition 5.6. In this case, Proposition 5.6 applied to the tangent sheaf \(\Theta\) gives \(H^k(\Gamma, \Theta) \simeq H^k(\Gamma, \mathfrak{g})\) for \(0 \leq k \leq 2\) where \(\Gamma\) acts on \(\mathfrak{g}\) via the adjoint representation. Explicitly, we get \(H^0(\Gamma, \mathfrak{g}) \simeq \mathfrak{g}^\Gamma\) where \(\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma\) denotes the subspace of \(\Gamma\)-fixed points. Let \(H\) be the Zariski closure of \(\Gamma\) in \(G\). Then we have \(\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma = \mathfrak{g}^H\) which in turn coincides with the centralizer \(Z_h(\mathfrak{h})\) if \(H\) is connected. Moreover, the group of biholomorphic automorphisms \(\text{Aut}(Q_{\Gamma})\) is a complex Lie group with Lie algebra \(\mathfrak{g}^H\). As noted in [HilSt97, p. 195], \(H^1(\Gamma, \mathfrak{g})\) is isomorphic to the quotient of \(\text{Hom}_\Gamma(\mathcal{U}_\Gamma, \mathfrak{g})\), where \(\mathcal{U}_\Gamma\) denotes the augmentation ideal of \(\Gamma\), by the sub-module of homomorphisms of the form \(\varphi_\xi: \gamma - e \mapsto \text{Ad}(\gamma)\xi - \xi\). Under the identification \(\text{Hom}_\Gamma(\mathcal{U}_\Gamma, \mathfrak{g}) \simeq \mathfrak{g}^\Gamma\) this sub-module corresponds to the image of the map \(\psi: \mathfrak{g} \to \mathfrak{g}^\Gamma\) given by the formula

\[
\psi(\xi) = (\text{Ad}(\gamma_1)\xi - \xi, \ldots, \text{Ad}(\gamma_r)\xi - \xi),
\]

i.e., \(H^1(\Gamma, \mathfrak{g}) \simeq \mathfrak{g}^\Gamma/\psi(\mathfrak{g})\). Note that the kernel of \(\psi\) is \(\mathfrak{g}^\Gamma\). Finally, \(H^2(\Gamma, \mathfrak{g}) = 0\), see [HilSt97, Corollary VI.1.5.6]. In summary, we have the following.
Theorem 6.12. Suppose that $X$ verifies the hypotheses of Proposition 5.6. The Kuranishi space of versal deformations of $Q_{1}$ is smooth at $Q_{1}$ and of complex dimension $(r-1) \dim g + \dim g'$. Moreover, the automorphism group $\text{Aut}(Q_{1})$ admits as Lie algebra $g'$. 

Remark 6.13. (a) If $X$ is either $\mathbb{P}_{5}$ or $Q_{5}$ or $Q_{6}$, then we can still compute the dimension of the Kuranishi space. However, we do not know whether the Kuranishi space is smooth or not.

(b) In [CNS13, Theorem 9.3.17] (see also [SV03]), the authors claim that the Kuranishi space is of dimension $(r-1) \dim g$. But in general the above mapping $\psi$ is not injective, i.e., it is possible that $Q_{1}$ has strictly positive dimensional automorphism group or is even almost homogeneous, see our examples 6.4 and 6.5.

Appendix A. Minimal orbits of hypersurface type

Let $G$ be a simply-connected semisimple complex Lie group, let $Q$ be a parabolic subgroup of $G$, and let $G_{0}$ be a non-compact simple real form of $G$. We say that two triplets $(G, G_{0}, Q)$ and $(\tilde{G}, \tilde{G}_{0}, \tilde{Q})$ are equivalent if there exist $g_{1}, g_{2} \in G$ such that $\tilde{G}_{0} = g_{1}G_{0}g_{1}^{-1}$ and $\tilde{Q} = g_{2}Qg_{2}^{-1}$. In this appendix we outline the classification (up to equivalence) of all triplets $(G, G_{0}, Q)$ such that the minimal $G_{0}$-orbit is a real hypersurface in $X = G/Q$.

Throughout we write $\sigma: g \to g$ for conjugation with respect to $g_{0}$. Let $\theta: g \to g$ be a Cartan involution that commutes with $\sigma$. Then we have the corresponding Cartan decomposition $g_{0} = t_{0} \oplus p_{0}$. The analytic subgroup $K_{0}$ of $G_{0}$ having Lie algebra $t_{0}$ is a maximal compact subgroup of $G_{0}$.

The following theorem summarizes the outcome of the appendix.

Theorem A.1. Up to equivalence, the homogeneous rational manifolds $X = G/Q$ and the real forms $G_{0}$ having a compact hypersurface orbit in $X$ are the following:

(1) $G_{0} = \text{SU}(p,q)$ acting on $X = \mathbb{P}_{p+q-1}$;
(2) $G_{0} = \text{Sp}(p,q)$ acting on $X = \mathbb{P}_{2(p+q)-1}$;
(3) $G_{0} = \text{SU}(1,n)$ acting on $X = \text{Gr}_{k}(\mathbb{C}^{n+1})$;
(4) $G_{0} = \text{SO}^{*}(2n)$ acting on $X = Q_{2n-2}$;
(5) $G_{0} = \text{SO}(1,2n)$ acting on $X = \text{IGr}_{n}(\mathbb{C}^{2n+1})$;
(6) $G_{0} = \text{SO}(2,2n)$ acting on $X = \text{IGr}_{n+1}(\mathbb{C}^{2n+2})$.

A.1. Root-theoretic description of the minimal $G_{0}$-orbit in $X = G/Q$. Let $a_{0}$ be a maximal Abelian subspace of $p_{0}$ and let

$$g_{0} = m_{0} \oplus a_{0} \oplus \bigoplus_{\lambda \in A} (g_{0})_{\lambda}$$

be the corresponding restricted root space decomposition of $g_{0}$ where $m_{0} = Z_{g_{0}}(a_{0})$ and where $A = A(g_{0}, a_{0}) \subset a_{0}^{*} \setminus \{0\}$ is the restricted root system. Choosing a system $A^{+}$ of positive restricted roots we obtain the nilpotent subalgebra $n_{0} := \bigoplus_{\lambda \in A^{+}} g_{\lambda}$. From this we get the Iwasawa decomposition $G_{0} = K_{0}A_{0}N_{0}$ where $A_{0}$ and $N_{0}$ are the analytic subgroups of $G_{0}$ having Lie algebras $a_{0}$ and $n_{0}$, respectively.

Let $t_{0}$ be a maximal torus in $m_{0}$. Then $h_{0} := t_{0} \oplus a_{0}$ is a maximally non-compact Cartan subalgebra of $g_{0}$. Let

$$g = h \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta} g_{\alpha}$$

be the root space decomposition of $g$ with respect to the Cartan subalgebra $h := h_{0}^{\mathbb{C}}$ with root system $\Delta = \Delta(h, h) \subset h_{\mathbb{R}}^{*} \setminus \{0\}$ where $h_{\mathbb{R}} := i t_{0} \oplus a_{0}$. Let $R: h_{\mathbb{R}}^{*} \to a_{0}^{*}$ be the restriction operator and let $\Delta_{i} := \{\alpha \in \Delta: R(\alpha) = 0\}$ be the set of imaginary roots.
Remarque A.2. We have \( \mathfrak{m}_0^C = \mathfrak{h}_0^C \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Delta_0} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \), i.e., \( \Delta_0 \) is the root system of \( \mathfrak{m}_0^C \) with respect to its Cartan subalgebra \( \mathfrak{c}_0^C \).

Let us define a system \( \Delta^+ \) of positive roots with respect to the lexicographic ordering given by a basis of \( \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R} \) whose first \( r \) elements form a basis of \( \mathfrak{q}_0 \). Then, for every \( \alpha \in \Delta \setminus \Delta_i \), we have \( \alpha \in \Delta^+ \) if and only if \( R(\alpha) \in \Delta^+ \) and \( R(\Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_i) = \Delta^+ \), see [Vin94, p.156].

Since the anti-involution \( \sigma \) stabilizes \( \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R} \), we obtain an induced involution on \( \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R} \) which we denote again by \( \sigma \). One checks directly that \( \sigma \) leaves \( \Delta \) invariant and that \( \Delta_0 = \{ \alpha \in \Delta : \sigma(\alpha) = -\alpha \} \). A root \( \alpha \in \Delta \) is called real if \( \sigma(\alpha) = \alpha \), and \( \Delta_r \) is the set of real roots. Since \( R(\alpha) = R(\sigma(\alpha)) \) for all \( \alpha \in \Delta \), we get
\[
\sigma(\Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_i) = \Delta^+ \setminus \Delta_i.
\]
In other words, \( \Delta^+ \) is a \( \sigma \)-order in the terminology of [Ara62].

Before we can state the main result of this subsection, we have to review the description of parabolic subalgebras of \( \mathfrak{g} \) in terms of the root system \( \Delta \). Recall that a root \( \alpha \in \Delta^+ \) is called simple if it cannot be written as the sum of two positive roots. Let \( \Pi \subset \Delta^+ \) be the subset of simple roots. The elements of \( \Pi \) form a basis of \( \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R}^+ \) and every positive root can be uniquely written as a linear combination of simple roots with non-negative integer coefficients.

For an arbitrary subset \( \Gamma \) of \( \Pi \) we set \( \Gamma' := \langle \Gamma \rangle_\mathbb{Z} \cap \Delta \) and \( \Gamma^n := \Delta^+ \setminus \Gamma' \). Then
\[
\mathfrak{q}_\Gamma := \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma'} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma^n} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha
\]
is a parabolic subalgebra of \( \mathfrak{g} \). The subalgebra \( \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \) is the nilradical of \( \mathfrak{q}_\Gamma \), while the reductive subalgebra \( \mathfrak{h} \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Gamma^+} \mathfrak{g}_\alpha \) is a Levi subalgebra of \( \mathfrak{q}_\Gamma \). Let \( Q_\Gamma \) be the analytic subgroup of \( G \) having Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{q}_\Gamma \). Then \( Q_\Gamma \) is a parabolic subgroup of \( G \) and every parabolic subgroup of \( G \) is conjugate to \( Q_\Gamma \) for a suitable choice of \( \Gamma \subset \Pi \).

After replacing the triplet \((G, G_0, Q)\) by an equivalent one we may assume that \( G_0 \cdot eQ \) is compact in \( G/Q \). Due to [Wol69, Lemma 3.1] this means that there exist a maximally non-compact Cartan subalgebra \( \mathfrak{h}_0 \) of \( \mathfrak{g}_0 \) and a \( \sigma \)-order \( \Delta^+ \) of \( \Delta = \Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}) \) such that \( Q = Q_{\Gamma'} \) for a suitable subset \( \Gamma' \subset \Pi \). By [Wol69, Theorem 2.12] the real codimension of \( G_0 \cdot eQ \) in \( X \) is given by \( |\Gamma^n \cap \sigma(\Gamma^n)| \). Therefore the minimal \( G_0 \)-orbit is a hypersurface if and only if \( \Gamma^n \cap \sigma(\Gamma^n) = \{ \alpha_0 \} \) for some \( \alpha_0 \in \Delta^+ \).

Suppose that the minimal \( G_0 \)-orbit in \( X = G/Q \) is a hypersurface. Then we have \( \sigma(\alpha_0) = \alpha_0 \), i.e., \( \Delta^\tau \) cannot be empty. This implies that the Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{g} \) must be simple, too, and that there are at least two conjugacy classes of Cartan subalgebras in \( \mathfrak{g}_0 \). Furthermore, it is not hard to see that, if \( \mathfrak{g}_0 \) is a split real form, then \( G_0 \simeq \text{SL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \) and \( X \simeq \mathbb{P}_1 \).

The strategy of the classification is as follows. For every complex simple Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{g} \) and for every real form \( \mathfrak{g}_0 \) we determine explicitly the corresponding involution \( \sigma \) of \( \mathfrak{h}_\mathbb{R}^+ \) and a \( \sigma \)-order \( \Delta^+ \) of \( \Delta = \Delta(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}) \). Then we enumerate all subsets \( \Gamma \subset \Pi \subset \Delta^+ \) such that \( \Gamma^n \cap \sigma(\Gamma^n) = \{ \alpha_0 \} \). This procedure will result in the list given in the beginning of Section 4.

In closing let us note that, if the compact \( G_0 \)-orbit in \( X = G/Q \) is a hypersurface, then \( X \) is \( K \)-spherical. Since the triplets \((G, G_0, Q)\) such that \( X = G/Q \) is \( K \)-spherical are classified in [HONO13, Table 2], the number of possibilities of \( \Gamma \) that have to be checked is further reduced.

The necessary information about root systems and Satake diagrams can be found in [Hel01, Chapter X.3.3 and Table VI].

A.2. The series \( A_n \). Let \( \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{s}(n+1, \mathbb{C}) \) with \( n \geq 1 \). The root system of \( \mathfrak{g} \) is given by
\[
\Delta = \{ \pm(e_k - e_l) ; 1 \leq k < l \leq n+1 \}.
\]
where \((e_1, \ldots, e_{n+1})\) is the standard basis of \(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}\) and \(\Delta\) is contained in the hypersurface \(\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}; \ x_1 + \cdots + x_{n+1} = 0\}\). For \(\Delta^+ := \{e_k - e_l; 1 \leq k < l \leq n+1\}\) we have
\[
\Pi = \{\alpha_1 = e_1 - e_2, \alpha_2 = e_2 - e_3, \ldots, \alpha_n = e_n - e_{n+1}\}.
\]

A direct calculation shows
\[
e_k - e_l = \alpha_k + \cdots + \alpha_{l-1}
\]
for all \(1 \leq k < l \leq n+1\).

The non-compact real forms of \(\mathfrak{g}\) are \(\mathfrak{sl}(n+1, \mathbb{R})\), \(\mathfrak{sl}(n+1)/2, \mathbb{H}\) if \(n+1\) is even, and \(\mathfrak{su}(p,q)\) with \(1 \leq p \leq q\) and \(p+q = n+1\). Since \(\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{R})\) is a split real form and since \(\mathfrak{sl}(n, \mathbb{H})\) contains only one Cartan subalgebra up to conjugation, see [Kna02, Appendix C.3], we can restrict attention to \(\mathfrak{g}_0 := \mathfrak{su}(p, q)\). The real rank of \(\mathfrak{g}_0\) is \(\text{rk}_\mathbb{R} \mathfrak{g}_0 := \dim \mathfrak{a}_0 = p\) and the restricted root system \(\Lambda\) is \((\text{BC})_p\) for \(p < q\) and \(\mathbb{C}_p\) for \(p = q\). The action of \(\sigma\) on \(\Delta\) is given by
\[
\sigma(e_k) = \begin{cases} 
-e_{n+2-k} : 1 \leq k \leq p \\
-e_k : p+1 \leq k \leq q
\end{cases}.
\]
This follows from the fact that \(\mathfrak{h}_0 = \mathfrak{t}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{a}_0\) is conjugate to the Abelian Lie algebra consisting of all matrices of the form
\[
\text{diag}(it_p + s_1, it_{p-1} + s_2, \ldots, it_1 + s_p, ir_1, \ldots, ir_{q-p}, it_1 - s_p, \ldots, it_p - s_1)
\]
where \(t_k, s_k, r_k \in \mathbb{R}\) such that \(2(t_1 + \cdots + t_p) + r_1 + \cdots + r_{q-p} = 0\). One verifies directly that \(\Delta^+\) is a \(\sigma\)-order.

Remark A.3. Let \(\Gamma := \Pi \setminus \{\alpha_k\}\) for \(1 \leq k \leq n\). Then we have \(\Gamma_k^n = \{e_1 - e_{k+1}, \ldots, e_1 - e_{n+1}, e_k - e_{k+1}, e_k - e_{n+1}\}\). The cardinality of \(\Gamma_k^n\) is \(k(n+1-k)\). The corresponding homogeneous rational manifold is \(X = G/Q_{\Gamma_k^n} \simeq G_{n+1}\).

Claim. If the minimal \(G_0\)-orbit in \(X = G/Q\) is a hypersurface, then \(Q\) is a maximal parabolic subgroup of \(G\), i.e., \(Q = Q_{\Gamma_k^n}\) for some \(1 \leq k \leq n\).

Proof. Exclude the trivial case \(p = q = 1\) and suppose that \(Q_F\) is not maximal, i.e., that \(\Gamma \subset \Pi \setminus \{\alpha_k, \alpha_l\}\) for some \(1 \leq k < l \leq n\). Then \(\Gamma^n\) contains
\[
\Gamma_k^n \cup \Gamma_l^n = \{e_1 - e_{k+1}, e_1 - e_{n+1}, e_k - e_{k+1}, e_1 - e_{l+1}, e_l - e_{n+1}\},
\]
\[
e_{k+1} - e_{l+1}, e_{k+1} - e_{n+1}, e_1 - e_{l+1}, e_l - e_{n+1}\}.
\]

If \(p\) is arbitrary and \(l = n\), then \(\Gamma^n\) contains \(e_1 - e_j\) and \(e_j - e_{n+1}\) for all \(k+1 \leq j \leq n\). Since we have excluded \(p = q = 1\), either we have \(1 \leq p < q\) or \(2 \leq p \leq q\). In the first case \(\Gamma^n \cap \sigma(\Gamma^n)\) contains \(e_1 - e_{p+1}\) and \(e_{p+1} - e_{n+1}\), while in the second case \(\Gamma^n \cap \sigma(\Gamma^n)\) contains \(e_1 - e_2\) and \(e_n - e_{n+1}\). Hence, in both cases the minimal \(G_0\)-orbit is not a hypersurface.

If \(p = 1\) and \(1 \leq k < l \leq n - 1\), then \(\Gamma_k^n \cap \sigma(\Gamma^n)\) contains again \(e_1 - e_2\) and \(e_2 - e_{n+1}\) so that the minimal \(G_0\)-orbit is not a hypersurface.

Suppose finally that \(p \geq 2\) and \(1 \leq k < l \leq n - 1\). Then \(\Gamma^n \cap \sigma(\Gamma^n)\) contains \(e_1 - e_2\) and \(e_2 - e_n\), which finishes the proof of the claim.

Claim. The minimal orbit of \(G_0 = SU(1, n)\) is a hypersurface in \(X = G/Q_{\Gamma_k^n}\) for every \(1 \leq k \leq n\).

Proof. Since \(p = 1\), we have \(\sigma(e_j) = -e_j\) for all \(2 \leq j \leq n\). Therefore, the only roots in \(\Gamma_k^n\) which are not imaginary are \(e_1 - e_{k+1}, e_1 - e_{n+1}\) and \(e_2 - e_{n+1}, e_k - e_{n+1}\). But for \(2 \leq j \leq n\) only one of the roots \(e_1 - e_j\) and \(\sigma(e_1 - e_j) = e_j - e_{n+1}\) can belong to \(\Gamma_k^n\), which proves \(\Gamma_k^n \cap \sigma(\Gamma_k^n) = \{e_1 - e_{n+1}\}\).
**Claim.** The minimal $G_0$-orbit in $X = G/Q\Gamma \simeq \mathbb{P}_n$ and $X = G/Q\Gamma_n \simeq \mathbb{P}_n$ is a hypersurface for any $G_0 = \text{SU}(p, q)$.

**Proof.** If $\Gamma = \Pi \setminus \{\alpha_1\}$, then $\Gamma^n = \{e_1 - e_2, \ldots, e_1 - e_{n+1}\}$. For every $2 \leq j \leq n+1$ we have $\sigma(e_1 - e_j) = -\sigma(e_j) - e_{n+1}$ and $\sigma(e_j) = -e_1$ occurs only for $j = n+1$, which proves $\Gamma^n \cap \sigma(\Gamma^n) = \{e_1 - e_{n+1}\}$.

The case $\Gamma = \Pi \setminus \{\alpha_n\}$ can be treated similarly. \hfill \Box

In order to take care of the remaining cases we show

**Claim.** Suppose that $p \geq 2$ and $2 \leq k \leq n - 1$. Then the minimal $G_0$-orbit in $X = G/Q\Gamma_k$ is not a hypersurface.

**Proof.** Since $2 \leq k \leq n - 1$, the set $\Gamma_k^n$ contains the two roots $e_1 - e_{n+1}$ and $e_2 - e_n$. Moreover, due to $p \geq 2$, these roots are real, hence the claim follows. \hfill \Box

In summary, we have established the first and third entry in the list given in the beginning of Section 4.

A.3. **The series $B_n$.** Let $g = \mathfrak{so}(2n + 1, \mathbb{C})$. The root system of $g$ is given by $\Delta = \{\pm e_k; 1 \leq k \leq n\} \cup \{\pm e_k \pm e_l; 1 \leq k < l \leq n\}$ where $(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$ is the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$. For $\Delta^+ := \{e_k, e_k \pm e_l; 1 \leq k < l \leq n\}$ we have

$$\Pi = \{\alpha_1 = e_1 - e_2, \alpha_2 = e_2 - e_3, \ldots, \alpha_n - 1 = e_n - e_n, \alpha_n = e_n\}.$$  

A direct calculation shows $\sigma_1 \alpha_k + \cdots + \alpha_n$

$$e_k - e_1 = \alpha_k + \cdots + \alpha_{l-1}$$

and $e_k + e_l = \alpha_k + \cdots + \alpha_{l-1} + 2(\alpha_l + \cdots + \alpha_n)$

for all $1 \leq k < l \leq n$.

The only non-compact real forms of $g$ are $g_0 := \mathfrak{so}(p, q)$ with $1 \leq p \leq q$ and $p + q = 2n + 1$. The real rank of $g_0$ is $\text{rk}_\mathbb{R}^0 g_0 = p$ and the restricted root system $\Lambda$ coincides with $B_p$. The action of $\sigma$ on $\Delta$ is given by $\sigma(e_k) = e_k - e_1 \quad \text{if} \quad 1 \leq k \leq p$

$$\sigma(e_k) = -e_k \quad \text{if} \quad p + 1 \leq k \leq p + \lfloor \frac{2p}{2} \rfloor = n.$$  

Therefore the simple roots $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{p-1}$ are real and $\alpha_{p+1}, \ldots, \alpha_n$ are imaginary, while $\sigma(\alpha_p) = e_p + e_{p+1}$.

According to [HONO13, Table 2] the only $\Gamma \subset \Pi$ such that the minimal $G_0$-orbit in $X = G/Q\Gamma$ might be a hypersurface are the following: if $p = 1$, then $\Gamma \subset \Pi$ is arbitrary; if $p = 2$, then $\Gamma = \Pi \setminus \{\alpha_j\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq n$; if $p \geq 3$, then $\Gamma$ is either $\Pi \setminus \{\alpha_1\}$ or $\Pi \setminus \{\alpha_n\}$.

Let us assume first $p \geq 2$. If $\Gamma = \Pi \setminus \{\alpha_1\}$, then $\Gamma^n$ contains the real roots $e_1$ and $e_1 \pm e_2$ so that the minimal $G_0$-orbit cannot be a hypersurface. If $2 \leq j \leq n$ and $\Gamma = \Pi \setminus \{\alpha_j\}$, then $\Gamma^n$ contains the real roots $e_1$ and $e_2$ so that the minimal $G_0$-orbit cannot be a hypersurface.

Assume now that $p = 1$. If $\Gamma$ does not contain $\alpha_j$ for some $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$, then $\Gamma^n$ contains $e_1 \pm e_n$, so that the minimal $G_0$-orbit cannot be a hypersurface. On the other hand, for $\Gamma = \Pi \setminus \{\alpha_n\}$ we have $\Gamma^n = \{e_1, \ldots, e_n, e_k + e_l; 1 \leq k < l \leq n\}$, hence $\Gamma^n \cap \sigma(\Gamma^n) = \{e_1\}$. In this case the minimal $G_0$-orbit is a hypersurface.

**Remark A.4.** Let $\Gamma = \Pi \setminus \{\alpha_n\}$. Then $G_0 = \text{SO}(1, 2n)$ has a compact hypersurface orbit in $X = G/Q\Gamma$. We have $\text{dim}_\mathbb{C} X = |\Gamma^n| = n(n + 1)/2$. Note that $X \simeq \text{IGr}_n(\mathbb{C}^{2n+1})$. 


A.4. The series $\mathbb{C}_n$. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{C})$ with $n \geq 2$. The root system of $\mathfrak{g}$ is given by
\[ \Delta = \{2e_k; 1 \leq k \leq n\} \cup \{\pm e_k \pm e_l; 1 \leq k < l \leq n\} \]
where $(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$ is the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$. For $\Delta^+ := \{2e_k, e_k \pm e_l; 1 \leq k < l \leq n\}$ we have
\[ \Pi = \{\alpha_1 = e_1 - e_2, \alpha_2 = e_2 - e_3, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1} = e_{n-1} - e_n, \alpha_n = 2e_n\}. \]
A direct calculation shows that
\[
2e_k = 2(\alpha_k + \cdots + \alpha_{n-1}) + \alpha_n \quad \text{and} \quad e_k - e_l = \alpha_k + \cdots + \alpha_{l-1} \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq k < l \leq n.
\]
for all $1 \leq k < n$. The non-compact real forms of $\mathfrak{g}$ are $\mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$ and $\mathfrak{sp}(p, q)$ with $1 \leq p \leq q$ and $p + q = n$. Since $\mathfrak{sp}(n, \mathbb{R})$ is a split real form, it is sufficient to consider $\mathfrak{g}_0 := \mathfrak{sp}(p, q)$. The real rank of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ is $p$ and the restricted root system coincides with $(\mathbb{C}G)_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for $p < q$ and $\mathbb{C}_p$ for $p = q$. The action of $\sigma$ on $\Delta$ is given by
\[
\sigma(e_k) = \begin{cases} 
  e_{k+1} & \text{if } 1 \leq k \leq 2p \text{ is odd} \\
  e_{k-1} & \text{if } 1 \leq k \leq 2p \text{ is even}. 
\end{cases}
\]
According to [HONO13, Table 2] the only $G_0$-orbit in $X = G/Q_{\Gamma}$ might be a hypersurface are the following: if $p = 1$, then $\Gamma = \Pi \setminus \{\alpha_k, \alpha_l\}$ for all $1 \leq k < l \leq n$ (with $k = l$ allowed); if $p = 2$, then $\Gamma = \Pi \setminus \{\alpha_k\}$ for all $1 \leq k \leq n$; if $p \geq 3$, then the only possibilities for $\Gamma$ are $\Pi \setminus \{\alpha_k\}$ for $k = 1, 2, 3, n$ or $\Pi \setminus \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2\}$. Let $p$ be arbitrary. For $\Gamma = \Pi \setminus \{\alpha_1\}$ we have $\Gamma^n = \{e_1 \pm e_2, \ldots, e_1 \pm e_n, 2e_1\}$ and thus $\Gamma^n \cap \sigma(\Gamma^n) = \{e_1 + e_2\}$. Hence, $G_0 = \text{Sp}(p, q)$ has a compact hypersurface orbit in $X = G/Q_{\Gamma} \simeq \mathbb{P}_{2n-1}$. Now suppose that $\Gamma$ does not contain the root $\alpha_k$ for some $k \geq 2$. Then $\Gamma^n$ contains $2e_1$ and $2e_2 = \sigma(2e_1)$, so that the minimal $G_0$-orbit in $X$ is not a hypersurface.

A.5. The series $\mathbb{D}_n$. Let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{so}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ with $n \geq 4$.
\[ \Delta = \{\pm e_k \pm e_l; 1 \leq k < l \leq n\} \]
where $(e_1, \ldots, e_n)$ is the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^n$. For $\Delta^+ := \{e_k \pm e_l; 1 \leq k < l \leq n\}$ we have
\[ \Pi = \{\alpha_1 = e_1 - e_2, \alpha_2 = e_2 - e_3, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1} = e_{n-1} - e_n, \alpha_n = e_{n-1} + e_n\}. \]

Remark A.5. There exists an automorphism of $\Pi$ that exchanges $\alpha_{n-1}$ and $\alpha_n$. Consequently, there exists an outer automorphism of $G = \text{SO}(2n, \mathbb{C})$ that maps $Q_{\Pi\setminus\{\alpha_{n-1}\}}$ onto $Q_{\Pi\setminus\{\alpha_n\}}$ although these parabolic groups are not conjugate in $G$. In particular, the corresponding homogeneous rational manifolds are isomorphic. As hermitian symmetric spaces they are isomorphic to $\text{SO}(2n)/U(n)$.

A direct calculation shows that
\[
\begin{align*}
  e_k - e_l &= \alpha_k + \cdots + \alpha_l, \\
  e_k + e_{l-1} &= \alpha_k + \cdots + \alpha_{l-1} \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq k \leq n-2 \\
  e_k &= \alpha_k + \cdots + \alpha_{n-2} + \alpha_n \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq k \leq n-2 \\
  e_k + e_l &= \alpha_k + \cdots + \alpha_{l-1} + 2(\alpha_l + \cdots + \alpha_{n-2}) + \alpha_{n-1} + \alpha_n \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq k < l \leq n-2. 
\end{align*}
\]
The non-compact real forms of $\mathfrak{g}$ are $\mathfrak{so}^*(2n)$ and $\mathfrak{so}(p, q)$ with $1 \leq p \leq q$ and $p + q = 2n$.

---

1Recall that $\mathfrak{so}(6, \mathbb{C}) \simeq \mathfrak{sl}(4, \mathbb{C})$. 
Consider first \( \mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{so}^*(2n) \). The real rank of \( \mathfrak{g}_0 \) is \([n/2]\) and the restricted root system is \((BC)_m\) for \( n = 2m + 1 \) and \( C_m \) if \( n = 2m \).

We start with the case that \( n = 2m \) is even. The corresponding involution of \( \Delta \) is induced by

\[
\sigma(e_k) = \begin{cases} 
  e_{k+1} : 1 \leq k \leq n & \text{is odd} \\
  e_{k-1} : 1 \leq k \leq n & \text{is even}
\end{cases}
\]

One verifies directly that \( \Delta^+ \) is a \( \sigma \)-order.

For \( \Gamma = \Pi \setminus \{ \alpha_1 \} \) we have \( \Gamma^n = \{ e_1 \pm e_2, \ldots, e_1 \pm e_n \} \) and hence \( \Gamma^n \cap \sigma(\Gamma^n) = \{ e_1 + e_2 \} \). Consequently, the minimal \( G_0 \)-orbit in \( X = G/Q_{\Gamma} \) is a hypersurface. If \( \Gamma \) does not contain \( \alpha_2 \), then \( \Gamma^n \) contains \( e_1 - e_2 \) and \( e_2 - e_1 = \sigma(e_1 - e_2) \), i.e., the minimal \( G_0 \)-orbit in \( X = G/Q_{\Gamma} \) is not a hypersurface. If \( n \geq 6 \) and if \( \Gamma \) does not contain \( \alpha_k \) for \( 3 \leq k \leq n \), then \( \Gamma^n \) contains the two real roots \( e_1 + e_2 \) and \( e_3 + e_4 \). On the other hand, for \( n = 4 \) and \( \Gamma = \Pi \setminus \{ \alpha_3 \} \) we obtain \( \Gamma^n \cap \sigma(\Gamma^n) = \{ e_1 + e_2 \} \), hence the minimal orbit of \( \text{SO}^*(8) \) in \( X = G/Q_{\Gamma} \) is a hypersurface in this case. One checks directly that in the remaining cases \( \text{SO}^*(8) \) does not have a compact hypersurface orbit.

Suppose now that \( n = 2m + 1 \geq 5 \) is odd. In this case the involution of \( \Delta \) is given by

\[
\sigma(e_k) = \begin{cases} 
  e_{k+1} : 1 \leq k \leq n - 1 & \text{is odd} \\
  e_{k-1} : 1 \leq k \leq n - 1 & \text{is even} \\
  -e_k : k = n
\end{cases}
\]

As above we see that for \( \Gamma = \Pi \setminus \{ \alpha_1 \} \) we have \( \Gamma^n \cap \sigma(\Gamma^n) = \{ e_1 + e_2 \} \), while the minimal \( G_0 \)-orbit in \( X = G/Q_{\Gamma} \) is a hypersurface if \( \Gamma \) does not contain \( \alpha_k \) for \( 2 \leq k \leq n \).

In summary, the only cases in which the minimal orbit of \( G_0 = \text{SO}^*(2n) \) in \( X = G/Q_{\Gamma} \) is a hypersurface are \( \Gamma = \Pi \setminus \{ \alpha_1 \} \) as well as \( n = 4 \) and \( \Gamma = \Pi \setminus \{ \alpha_3 \} \).

Remark A.6. The exceptional case \( n = 4 \) is explained by \( \text{so}^*(8) \cong \text{so}(6,2) \) which corresponds to the fact that \( \text{SO}(8)/\text{U}(4) \) is isomorphic to the 3-dimensional quadric.

In the rest of this subsection we treat the case \( \mathfrak{g}_0 = \mathfrak{so}(p,q) \) with \( 1 \leq p \leq q \) and \( p + q = 2n \). The real rank of \( \mathfrak{g}_0 \) is \( p \) and the restricted root system is \( B_p \) for \( p < q \) and \( D_p \) for \( p = q \).

Remark A.7. The Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{so}(n,n) \) is a split real form of \( \mathfrak{g} \). The Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{so}(1,2n-1) \) contains only one conjugacy class of Cartan subalgebras, see [Kna02, Appendix C.3].

The involution of \( \Delta \) is induced by

\[
\sigma(e_k) = \begin{cases} 
  e_k : 1 \leq k \leq p \\
  -e_k : p + 1 \leq k \leq p + \left\lfloor \frac{q-p}{2} \right\rfloor = n
\end{cases}
\]

According to [HONO13] the minimal \( G_0 \)-orbit in \( X = G/Q_{\Gamma} \) may be a hypersurface only in the following cases. If \( p = 1 \), then \( \Gamma \subset \Pi \) is arbitrary; if \( p = 2 \), then \( \Gamma \) coincides with \( \Pi \setminus \{ \alpha_k \} \) or \( \Pi \setminus \{ \alpha_k, \alpha_{n-1} \} \) or \( \Pi \setminus \{ \alpha_k, \alpha_n \} \) for any \( k \); if \( p \geq 3 \), then the only possibilities for \( \Gamma \) are \( \Pi \setminus \{ \alpha_1 \} \) or \( \Pi \setminus \{ \alpha_{n-1} \} \) or \( \Pi \setminus \{ \alpha_n \} \).

Let us begin with the case \( p \geq 3 \). If \( \Gamma = \Pi \setminus \{ \alpha_k \} \) for \( k = 1, n - 1, n \), then \( \Gamma^n \) contains the real roots \( e_1 + e_2 \) and \( e_2 + e_3 \) so that the minimal \( G_0 \)-orbit in \( X = G/Q_{\Gamma} \) cannot be a hypersurface.

Suppose now that \( p = 2 \). If \( \Gamma \) does not contain \( \alpha_k \) for some \( 1 \leq k \leq n - 2 \), then \( \Gamma^n \) contains \( e_1 \pm e_n \). Since \( \sigma(e_1 - e_n) = e_1 + e_n \), the minimal \( G_0 \)-orbit is not a hypersurface in this case. If \( \Gamma = \Pi \setminus \{ \alpha_{n-1} \} \), then we have \( \Gamma^n = \{ e_1 - e_n, \ldots, e_{n-1} - e_n, e_k + e_l \ (1 \leq k < l \leq n - 1) \} \) and one verifies \( \Gamma^n \cap \sigma(\Gamma^n) = \{ e_1 + e_2 \} \). Hence, the minimal \( G_0 \)-orbit in \( X = G/Q_{\Gamma} \) is a hypersurface. For \( \Gamma = \Pi \setminus \{ \alpha_n \} \) we have \( \Gamma^n = \{ e_k + e_l : 1 \leq k < l \leq n \} \) and obtain again \( \Gamma^n \cap \sigma(\Gamma^n) = \{ e_1 + e_2 \} \), which leads to the same conclusion as above.
Consequently, the minimal orbit of $G_0$ acts transitively on $X = G/Q\Gamma$ for $\Gamma = \Pi \setminus \{\alpha_k\}$ where $k = n - 1, n$.

In summary, the only cases in which the minimal orbit of $G_0 = \text{SO}(p, q)$ in $X = G/Q\Gamma$ is a hypersurface are $p = 2$ and $\Gamma = \Pi \setminus \{\alpha_k\}$ for $k = n - 1, n$.

A.6. **The exceptional Lie algebra** $\mathfrak{g} = E_6$. Combined with the general remarks in [Ara62], the Satake diagrams yield explicit formulas of the involutions corresponding to the non-split non-compact real forms of the exceptional Lie algebras $E_6$, $E_7$, $E_8$ and $F_4$.

Let $\mathfrak{g} = E_6$. Identifying $\mathfrak{h}_R^*$ with $V = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^8; x_6 = x_7 = -x_8\}$ a system of simple roots is given by

$$\Pi = \{\alpha_1 = 1/2(e_1 - e_2 - e_3 - e_4 - e_5 - e_6 - e_7 + e_8), \alpha_2 = e_1 + e_2, \alpha_3 = e_j - 1 - e_j - 2(3 \leq j \leq 6)\}.$$ 

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = E_6$ has two non-split non-compact real forms, namely $EII$ and $EIII$.

Suppose first that $\mathfrak{g}_0 = EII$. Since there is no imaginary simple root, the Satake diagram of $\mathfrak{g}_0$ determines directly the involution $\sigma: \Delta^+ \to \Delta^+$. More precisely, we have

$$\sigma(\alpha_1) = \alpha_6, \sigma(\alpha_3) = \alpha_5, \sigma(\alpha_2) = \alpha_2, \sigma(\alpha_4) = \alpha_4.$$ 

According to [HNO013] we must only check $\Pi \setminus \{\alpha_1\}$ and $\Pi \setminus \{\alpha_6\}$.

Let $\Gamma = \Pi \setminus \{\alpha_j\}$ for $j = 1, 6$. In both cases $\Gamma^n \cap \sigma(\Gamma^n)$ contains the two real roots $\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_6$ and $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6$. Hence the minimal $G_0$-orbit in $X = G/Q\Gamma$ is not a hypersurface.

Suppose now that $\mathfrak{g}_0 = EIII$. It can be seen from its Satake diagram that $\Pi_1 = \{\alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5\}$ and that

$$\sigma(\alpha_1) = \alpha_6 + C_{1,3}\alpha_3 + C_{1,4}\alpha_4 + C_{1,5}\alpha_5$$

$$\sigma(\alpha_2) = \alpha_2 + C_{2,3}\alpha_3 + C_{2,4}\alpha_4 + C_{2,5}\alpha_5$$

$$\sigma(\alpha_6) = \alpha_1 + C_{6,3}\alpha_3 + C_{6,4}\alpha_4 + C_{6,5}\alpha_5.$$ 

Since $\sigma$ is involutive, we obtain $C_{6,j} = C_{1,j}$ for $j = 3, 4, 5$. This gives

$$\sigma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6) = \alpha_1 + (2C_{1,3} - 1)\alpha_3 + (2C_{1,4} - 1)\alpha_4 + (2C_{1,5} - 1)\alpha_5 + \alpha_6.$$ 

Comparison with the list of positive roots shows that $\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6$ must be a real root, i.e., that $C_{1,3} = C_{1,4} = C_{1,5} = 1$. Similarly, the only possibilities for $\sigma(\alpha_2)$ are $\alpha_2$, $\alpha_2 + \alpha_4$, $\alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5$, $\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5$ and $\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + 3\alpha_5$. However, since we know that $\sigma(\alpha_2) - \alpha_2$ is not a root, we only have $\sigma(\alpha_2) = \alpha_2$ or $\sigma(\alpha_2) = \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + 3\alpha_5$.

In the first case we obtain $\sigma(\alpha_2 + \alpha_4) = \alpha_2 - \alpha_4$, which contradicts the fact that $\Delta^+$ is a $\sigma$-order. Therefore, we see that $\sigma(\alpha_2) = \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + 3\alpha_5$.

Let $\Gamma = \Pi \setminus \{\alpha_j\}$ for $1 \leq j \leq 6$. Then $\Gamma^n \cap \sigma(\Gamma^n)$ contains always the roots $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6$ and

$$\sigma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6) = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 3\alpha_4 + 2\alpha_5 + \alpha_6.$$ 

Consequently, the minimal $G_0$-orbit in $X = G/Q\Gamma$ cannot be a hypersurface for any $\Gamma \subset \Pi$.

A.7. **The exceptional Lie algebra** $\mathfrak{g} = E_7$. Let $\mathfrak{g} = E_7$. Identifying $\mathfrak{h}_R^*$ with $V = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^8; x_8 = -x_7\}$ a system of simple roots is given by

$$\Pi = \{\alpha_1 = 1/2(e_1 - e_2 - e_3 - e_4 - e_5 - e_6 - e_7 + e_8), \alpha_2 = e_1 + e_2, \alpha_3 = e_j - 1 - e_j - 2(3 \leq j \leq 7)\}.$$ 

The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} = E_7$ has two non-split non-compact real forms, namely $EVi$ and $EVI$.

Let $\mathfrak{g}_0 = EVi$. Its Satake diagram shows $\Pi_i = \{\alpha_2, \alpha_5, \alpha_7\}$. In a first step we determine the integers $C_{k,i}$ such that

$$\sigma(\alpha_k) = C_{k,2}\alpha_2 + C_{k,5}\alpha_5 + C_{k,7}\alpha_7.$$
for \( k = 1, 3, 4, 6 \). One checks immediately that \( \sigma(\alpha_1) = \alpha_1 \) and \( \sigma(\alpha_3) = \alpha_3 \). For the remaining cases the only possibilities that respect \( \sigma(\alpha_k) - \alpha_k \notin \Delta \) are
\[
\sigma(\alpha_4) = \alpha_4 \text{ or } \sigma(\alpha_4) = \alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5
\]
and
\[
\sigma(\alpha_6) = \alpha_6 \text{ or } \sigma(\alpha_6) = \alpha_5 + \alpha_6 + \alpha_7.
\]
Since \( \alpha_4 + \alpha_5, \alpha_5 + \alpha_6 \in \Delta^+ \) we obtain
\[
\sigma(\alpha_4) = \alpha_2 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 \text{ and }
\sigma(\alpha_6) = \alpha_5 + \alpha_6 + \alpha_7.
\]
According to [HONO13] the only possibility for a minimal orbit of hypersurface type is \( \Gamma = \Pi \setminus \{\alpha_7\} \). Since in this case \( \Gamma^0 \) contains the two real roots \( \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + 2\alpha_5 + \alpha_6 + \alpha_7 \) and \( \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + 2\alpha_5 + 2\alpha_6 + \alpha_7 \), the minimal \( G_0 \)-orbit in \( X = G/Q_\Gamma \) cannot be a hypersurface.

Let \( g_0 = E\cdot I \). Here we have \( \Pi_\alpha = \{\alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4, \alpha_5\} \) and we must determine
\[
\sigma(\alpha_k) = \alpha_k + C_{k,2}\alpha_2 - C_{k,3}\alpha_3 + C_{k,4}\alpha_4 + C_{k,5}\alpha_5
\]
for \( k = 1, 6, 7 \). One sees directly \( \sigma(\alpha_7) = \alpha_7 \). For the remaining cases the only possibilities that respect \( \sigma(\alpha_k) - \alpha_k \notin \Delta \) are
\[
\sigma(\alpha_1) = \alpha_1 \text{ or } \sigma(\alpha_1) = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + \alpha_5
\]
and
\[
\sigma(\alpha_6) = \alpha_6 \text{ or } \sigma(\alpha_6) = \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + 2\alpha_5 + \alpha_6.
\]
Since \( \alpha_1 + \alpha_3, \alpha_5 + \alpha_6 \in \Delta^+ \) we obtain
\[
\sigma(\alpha_4) = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + \alpha_5 \text{ and }
\sigma(\alpha_6) = \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 + 2\alpha_5 + \alpha_6.
\]
Let \( \Gamma = \Pi \setminus \{\alpha_k\} \) for \( 1 \leq k \leq 7 \). Then \( \Gamma^0 \cap \sigma(\Gamma^0) \) contains always \( \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6 + \alpha_7 \) and
\[
\sigma(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 + \alpha_5 + \alpha_6 + \alpha_7) = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 3\alpha_4 + 2\alpha_5 + \alpha_6 + \alpha_7.
\]
Consequently, the minimal \( G_0 \)-orbit in \( X = G/Q_\Gamma \) is never a hypersurface.

A.8. The exceptional Lie algebra \( g = E_8 \). According to [HONO13] no real form of \( G \) can have a compact hypersurface in any \( G \)-homogeneous rational manifold.

A.9. The exceptional Lie algebra \( g = F_4 \). The rank of \( g = F_4 \) is 4 and the root system is given by
\[
\Delta = \{e_k; 1 \leq k \leq 4\} \cup \{\pm e_k, \pm e_l; 1 \leq k < l \leq 4\} \cup \{1/2(\pm e_1 \pm e_2 \pm e_3 \pm e_4)\}.
\]
Choosing \( \Delta^+ = \{e_k\} \cup \{e_k \pm e_l\} \cup \{1/2(e_1 \pm e_2 \pm e_3 \pm e_4)\} \) we obtain
\[
\Pi = \{\alpha_1 = 1/2(e_1 - e_2 - e_3 - e_4), \alpha_2 = e_4, \alpha_3 = e_3 - e_4, \alpha_4 = e_2 - e_3\}.
\]
The non-compact real forms of \( g \) are \( FI \) and \( FIII \). Since \( FI \) is split, we concentrate on \( g_0 = F\cdot III \). According to [Ara62, p. 21] the simple roots \( \alpha_2, \alpha_3 \) and \( \alpha_4 \) are imaginary while \( \sigma(\alpha_1) = \alpha_1 + 3\alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + \alpha_4 \). Equivalently, we have \( \sigma(e_1) = e_1 \) and \( \sigma(e_k) = -e_k \) for \( 2 \leq k \leq 4 \). One checks that \( \Delta^+ \) is a \( \sigma \)-order.

A direct calculation shows that the minimal \( G_0 \)-orbit in \( X = G/Q_\Gamma \) is never a hypersurface.

A.10. The exceptional Lie algebra \( g = G_2 \). The only non-compact real form of \( g \) is split.
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