Isolation and characterization of 20 microsatellite markers from *Carcharhinus leucas* (bull shark) and cross-amplification in *Galeocerdo cuvier* (tiger shark), *Carcharhinus obscurus* (dusky shark) and *Carcharhinus plumbeus* (sandbar shark)

Agathe Pirog, Antonin Blaison, Sébastien Jaquemet, Marc Soria, Hélène Magalon

► **To cite this version:**

Agathe Pirog, Antonin Blaison, Sébastien Jaquemet, Marc Soria, Hélène Magalon. Isolation and characterization of 20 microsatellite markers from *Carcharhinus leucas* (bull shark) and cross-amplification in *Galeocerdo cuvier* (tiger shark), *Carcharhinus obscurus* (dusky shark) and *Carcharhinus plumbeus* (sandbar shark). *Conservation Genetics Resources*, 2015, 7 (1), 10.1007/s12686-014-0308-3 . hal-01253773

HAL Id: hal-01253773

<https://hal.science/hal-01253773>

Submitted on 4 May 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Isolation and characterization of 20 microsatellite markers from *Carcharhinus leucas* (bull shark) and cross-amplification in *Galeocerdo cuvier* (tiger shark), *Carcharhinus obscurus* (dusky shark) and *Carcharhinus plumbeus* (sandbar shark)

Agathe Pirog · Antonin Blaison · Sébastien Jaquemet · Marc Soria · Hélène Magalon

Abstract With the development of genetics methods, it becomes possible to study the population structure and some aspects of the reproductive behaviour of endangered sharks. Here we describe the isolation of 20 polymorphic microsatellite markers in the bull shark *Carcharhinus leucas* (Carcharhinidae) and their characteristics. Two to 10 alleles per locus were detected. Observed and expected heterozygosities ranged from 0.00 to 0.78 and from 0.05 to 0.80, respectively. Four markers showed deviations from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium; among them, three showed presence of null alleles. No linkage disequilibrium was detected among any of the loci. Moreover, four, 11 and 19 of these 20 markers successfully cross-amplified in the tiger shark *Galeocerdo cuvier*, the sandbar shark *Carcharhinus plumbeus* and the dusky shark *Carcharhinus obscurus*, respectively.

Bull sharks (*Carcharhinus leucas*) are apex predators in tropical and subtropical seas and are unique among Elasmobranchs for their capacity to inhabit coastal freshwater systems for prolonged periods of time (Compagno 1990). They are classified as Near Threatened in the International Union of Conservation for Nature Red List (IUCN 2013) and are submitted to recreational and commercial pressures (Karl et al. 2011).

Samples of muscle and fin tissues from 11 adults (both female and male) of *C. leucas* caught from Reunion Island were used for DNA extraction. Total genomic DNA was isolated using Qiagen DNeasy Blood and Tissue Kit and sent to GenoScreen, Lille, France (www.genoscreen.fr). One µg was used for the development of microsatellites libraries through 454 GS-FLX Titanium pyrosequencing of enriched DNA libraries as described in Malausa et al. (2011). A total of 7,556 sequences containing microsatellite motif were identified. Sequences were analysed using the software QDD (Meglecz et al. 2010) and 20 microsatellite markers were finally selected and characterized for *C. leucas*.

Thus genotyping of these 20 markers was conducted on 41 adult individuals of Reunion Island population. Each amplification reaction contained 10 µL of PCR product. Microsatellite loci developed in this study were directly fluorochrome labelled, and the reaction mixture contained 5 µL of MasterMix Applied 2x (Applied Biosystems), 1.5 µL of demineralised water, 0.5 µL of each primer (10 µM) and 2.5 µL of genomic DNA (10 ng/µL). The thermocycling program was as follow: an initial denaturing step at 94 °C for 5 min, seven cycles including a step at 94 °C for 30 s, a step at 62 °C (–1 °C at each cycle) for 30 s and a step at 72 °C for 30 s. These seven cycles are followed by 35 cycles including a step at 94 °C for 30 s, a step at 55 °C for 30 s and a step at 72 °C for 30 s, followed by a final extension step at 72 °C for 5 min. Allelic sizes

A. Pirog · A. Blaison · S. Jaquemet · H. Magalon (✉)
Laboratoire ECOMAR-FRE3560 CNRS, Université de la Réunion,
15 Avenue René Cassin, CS 92003,
97744 St Denis Cedex 09, La Réunion, France
e-mail: helene.magalon@univ-reunion.fr

A. Blaison · M. Soria
UMR EME, IRD Réunion, Parc Technologique Universitaire,
2 rue Joseph Wetzell, CS 41095,
97495 Ste Clotilde Cedex, La Réunion, France

S. Jaquemet · H. Magalon
Laboratory of Excellence CORAIL, BP 1013, Moorea 98729,
French Polynesia

Table 1 Microsatellite loci developed for *Carcharhinus leucas* and their primers sequences (F Forward, R Reverse)

Name	Primer sequences (5'-3')	GenBank accession number	Repeat motif	Size (bp)	N _a	N	H _O	H _E	F _{IS}	r
CI01	F: GACACAATGCACAGGCAATC R: GCGCACAGACATATAATCTGAA	KJ916104	(AC) ₆	114–116	2	41	0.000	0.347	1.000***	0.352 yes
CI02	F: ACAGGCAAAAGACAATTGCAAAAC R: GCTTCCTGTGTCTGGAGGGT	KJ916105	(AC) ₆	141–143	2	41	0.195	0.253	0.231 NS	0.090
CI03	F: GAGGCAGTTGCTGGCTCTAT R: AAAGCTCCACACACCAGACAC	KJ916106	(TC) ₇	106–108	2	41	0.610	0.505	–0.211 NS	no –0.118
CI04	F: GTGCAGAAAACAGTCGGACA R: GGCTGCTCTAGTCCAGCTT	KJ916107	(GA) ₇	124–130	2	41	0.683	0.455	–0.510**	no –0.437
CI05	F: AGGCCCTATCCCCATAACC R: TGTGTGAGTGAAAAGTGAGCG	KJ916108	(CA) ₇	137–141	3	41	0.415	0.409	–0.015 NS	no –0.014
CI06	F: ATGGGGATAGGCAGATGG R: TTGTTTATCTCTGTGTATGTTTCATGT	KJ916109	(AC) ₇	144–150	3	41	0.049	0.048	–0.013 NS	no –0.025
CI07	F: TTACCAAGCTGATTATCTACTCACATC R: CTCACCTGCCCTGCAGGATTC	KJ916110	(CA) ₇	143–151	5	41	0.463	0.409	–0.136 NS	no –0.081
CI08	F: GAAAGGAAAACTCGAAGAAATTCAC R: AGTACTGGAGCAGACCCGCAT	KJ916111	(AG) ₇	144–154	6	41	0.683	0.671	–0.018 NS	no –0.015
CI09	F: GGCTGGTGACATTATGAGTG R: TGAGGAGCTCAATCAGATCTTT	KJ916112	(AG) ₈	107–115	4	41	0.415	0.369	–0.127 NS	no –0.079
CI10	F: GCATCAGCTTGGAAACAACAC R: TTCAAAAGACTTCCAAAGTTGGGT	KJ916113	(CT) ₈	123–129	3	40	0.075	0.162	0.539*	0.159 yes
CI11	F: AGATTACTGTGACTTTTGTCTGTTTT R: TCTCTATTCTTGTGTCAGAGGT	KJ916114	(AG) ₈	232–238	3	41	0.341	0.301	–0.135 NS	–0.179
CI12	F: TGCTCTTTATCACATTTCITTCACA R: ACCATGGTGCAGTCTACCAA	KJ916115	(TC) ₉	105–111	3	41	0.171	0.158	–0.081 NS	no –0.089
CI13	F: CCCAAAACCACTCGAGTAACA R: CGTTGGAGTTTGTACAAGGTGA	KJ916116	(AC) ₉	94–126	10	41	0.683	0.633	–0.080 NS	no –0.070
CI14	F: TGCTCACGGATGTGTAGTGC R: GAGGATAGTGTCCACGATA	KJ916117	(GT) ₉	211–219	6	41	0.220	0.203	–0.081 NS	no –0.113
CI15	F: TGACCTGCAATATTTTCCTGC R: TCGCTGACAGGCAAGGTTAT	KJ916118	(GT) ₉	290–300	4	41	0.098	0.095	–0.029 NS	no –0.050
CI16	F: TCITTTGCAAAACATCCCAGC R: TTGAAAATACTCAGAGACGCAC	KJ916119	(TC) ₁₂	103–115	6	41	0.780	0.732	–0.033 NS	no –0.026
CI17	F: TGCAGACGCTATTACTCCG R: TTGTTCTCTCACTCAACGCAA	KJ916120	(TG) ₁₂	175–183	5	41	0.561	0.568	0.013 NS	no –0.003
CI18	F: TCTTCGGAGTGACTAAGCA R: AGTCGTGGTGTCTTCTAACGA	KJ916121	(CA) ₁₂	209–219	6	41	0.390	0.432	0.097 NS	no 0.039

Table 1 continued

Name	Primer sequences (5'-3')	GenBank accession number	Repeat motif	Size (bp)	N _a	N	H _O	H _E	F _{IS}	r
CI19	F: ACAAGCTGCAAAGATCCTCAA R: TGGGGTTACCTGGACACTTT	KJ916122	(CCA) ₇	198–216	6	41	0.415	0.408	−0.016 ^{NS}	−0.058
CI20	F: ACGAGGATGACAACAACAACTGG R: CTTTCCCTCCTTTCCCATC	KJ916123	(AGG) ₉	134–167	10	41	0.634	0.797	0.207*	no 0.092 yes

Accessibility numbers in GenBank are indicated

N_a number of alleles per locus, N number of individuals, H_O observed heterozygosity, H_E expected heterozygosity, F_{IS} inbreeding coefficient

Concerning the F_{IS}, is indicated the significance of the P-values for deviation to Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium. NS: non significant, * P < 0.05, ** P < 0.01, *** P < 0.001

Null allele frequencies (r) are issued from MicroChecker v 2.2.3 (Van Oosterhout et al. 2004), and presence or absence is indicated below (yes or no)

Annealing temperature T_a = 55 °C

Table 2 Cross-amplification for 20 microsatellite markers designed for *Carcharhinus leucas* across three carcharhinidae species: *Carcharhinus obscurus* (n = 2), *Carcharhinus plumbeus* (n = 3) and *Galeocerdo cuvier* (n = 41) (+, amplified, +P, polymorphic; −, no amplification). Size ranges in base pairs and number of alleles (in brackets) were also indicated

Locus Name	<i>C. obscurus</i> (n = 2)	<i>C. plumbeus</i> (n = 3)	<i>G. cuvier</i> (n = 41)
CI01	+ 114 (1)	+P 114–134 (2)	−
CI02	+ 141 (1)	−	−
CI03	+ 106 (1)	+ 106 (1)	−
CI04	+ 124 (1)	+P 122–126 (3)	−
CI05	+ 141 (1)	−	−
CI06	+ 144 (1)	−	−
CI07	+ 143 (1)	+ 143(1)	−
CI08	+ 144 (1)	+P 144–146 (2)	−
CI09	+P 111–113 (2)	−	+ 107 (1)
CI10	+ 123 (1)	−	−
CI11	+P 232–238 (3)	+P 106–116 (5)	−
CI12	+ 111 (1)	+P 103–105 (2)	+P 105–115 (2)
CI13	+P 94–102 (2)	+ 96 (1)	−
CI14	+P 209–215 (2)	+ 216 (1)	+P 184–210 (2)
CI15	+ 300 (1)	−	−
CI16	+P 103–111 (3)	−	−
CI17	+ 179 (1)	+P 171–179 (3)	+P 167–169 (2)
CI18	−	−	−
CI19	+ 198 (1)	−	−
CI20	+ 143 (1)	+ 149 (1)	−

were determined using Genemapper v 4.0 (Applied Biosystems).

Diversity indices, Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium and linkage disequilibrium were assessed using Arlequin v 3.5.1.2. All loci were polymorphic, the number of alleles ranged from 2 to 10, observed heterozygosities ranged from 0.00 to 0.78 and expected heterozygosities from 0.05 to 0.80 (Table 1).

Linkage disequilibrium was observed for some loci at a significance level of 0.05 but none were still significant after Bonferroni correction for multiple testing. Four loci were found to deviate from Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium: three of them probably due to the presence of null alleles assessed using MicroChecker v 2.2.3 (Van Oosterhout et al. 2004) (Table 1).

The markers developed were also tested on *Galeocerdo cuvier*, *Carcharhinus plumbeus* and *Carcharhinus obscurus*: 4, 11 and 19 markers successfully cross-amplified, respectively (Table 2).

The development of these markers will be very useful in studying sharks ecology, which remains poorly documented, above all in assessing population structure, effective population size and phylogeny.

Acknowledgments The authors would like to thank T. Gazzo and C. Perry as fishermen, B. Reche (veterinary), D. Guyomard (Comité Régional des Pêches Maritimes et des Elevages Marins de La Réunion) and all the participants who took part in collecting samples. This study was carried out under the scientific program CHARC (Connaissances de l'écologie et de l'habitat de deux espèces de requins côtiers à la Réunion) financially supported by the Commission of the European Communities (FEDER fund), the French Government and the Regional Council of Reunion Island. We thank Stéphanie Duthoy (Genoscreen, Lille, France) for her assistance in screening the sequences and helpful discussions.

References

- Compagno LJ (1990) Alternative life-history styles of cartilaginous fishes in time and space. *Environ Biol Fish* 28:33–75
- Karl SA, Castro ALF, Lopez JA, Charvet P, Burgess GH (2011) Phylogeography and conservation of the bull shark (*Carcharhinus leucas*) inferred from mitochondrial and microsatellite DNA. *Conserv Genet* 12:371–382
- Malaus T, Gilles A, Meglecz E, Blanquart H, Duthoy S, Costedoat C, Dubut V, Pech N, Castagnone-Sereno P, Delye C, Feau N, Frey P, Gauthier P, Guillemaud T, Hazard L, Le Corre V, Lung-Escarmant B, Male PJG, Ferreira S, Martin JF (2011) High-throughput microsatellite isolation through 454 GS-FLX Titanium pyrosequencing of enriched DNA libraries. *Mol Ecol Res* 11:638–644
- Meglecz E, Costedoat C, Dubut V, Gilles A, Malausa T, Pech N, Martin JF (2010) QDD: a user-friendly program to select microsatellite markers and design primers from large sequencing projects. *Bioinform* 26:403–404
- Van Oosterhout C, Hutchinson WF, Wills DPM, Shipley P (2004) MICRO-CHECKER: software for identifying and correcting genotyping errors in microsatellite data. *Mol Ecol Notes* 4:535–538