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Introduction (Target & Purpose): In neuro-oncology, the use of multiparametric MRI may better characterize brain tumor heterogeneity. To fully exploit multiparametric MRI (e.g. tumor classification), appropriate analysis methods are yet to be developed. In this work, we show on small animals data that advanced statistical learning approaches can help 1) in organizing existing data by detecting and excluding outliers and 2) in building a dictionary of tumor fingerprints from a clustering analysis of their microvascular features.

Methods: Multiparametric MRI were acquired on 4 different brain tumor models: Wistar rats with 9L glioma (n=5) C6 glioma from a first lab (C6a, n=13) ; C6 glioma from a second lab (C6b, n=6) and Fischer rats with P98 glioma (n=13). MRI acquisition was performed at 4.7 T between 21 and 24 days after tumor implantation in the left side of the brain. Acquired MRI maps were: diffusion (ADC), blood volume (CBV), blood flow (CBF), tissue oxygen saturation (StO2) and vessel permeability (Perm). Three regions of interest (ROI: 2 healthy on the right, 1 tumor on the left) were manually delineated for each rat on T2w-images, reported on each map and turned into a set of parameter vectors corresponding to the selected voxels. All parameter vectors from all rats were then partitioned into a number of classes K (clusters) with similar MRI characteristics using an Expectation-Maximization (EM) algorithm. K was automatically determined using the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC). To better accommodate for outlier vectors, we used a new family of multivariate distributions2 instead of the standard Gaussian distributions used in previous work1. This new family is more flexible and has the ability to capture a larger variety of cluster shapes especially in a multivariate setting (Fig. 1). In each ROI of each rat, a signature was then built using the relative proportions of each cluster. The signatures predictive power was assessed with a leave-one-out procedure.

Results & Discussion: Our combined EM-BIC procedure led to an optimal number of 10 classes for the 9,930 voxels resulting from merging all ROIs. After assigning a cluster (a color) to each voxel within each ROI, different sub-regions may be identified (Fig 2). The cluster compositions of healthy ROIs were comparable (mostly green, blue, red; Fig. 2). One animal which presented an atypical cluster composition in these regions was then easily detected and discarded. In contrast, each tumor ROI shows different cluster composition, including the two C6 gliomas. To obtain quantitative estimates, respective cluster proportions inside each tumor ROI were computed. For each tumor, its cluster proportions correspond to the tumor signature. Average tumor signatures are illustrated in Fig 3. A leave-one-out prediction analysis based on the signatures collected in the 36 animals confirmed the visual impression with an almost perfect prediction of the four tumor types: only one F98 type was misclassified as a C6b. The leave-one-out prediction was less efficient for healthy ROIs (between 20 and 92% of prediction). Interestingly, the two C6 cell lines (originating from different labs) showed strong differences. Future work should further evaluate this variability to investigate whether it is inherent to tumors or the simple reflect of population inhomogeneity.

Conclusion: Advanced statistical clustering approaches are promising tools to better exploit the wealth of MRI information especially on large cohorts and multi-center studies. They offer improved data quality control by allowing automatic outlier detection and improved analysis by identifying discriminative tumor signatures with measurable predictive power. Future work should include the integration in a joint statistical model of both automatic ROI delineation and clustering for whole brain data analysis, with a better use of anatomical information.
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