Distribution of mega fauna on sulfide edifices on the Eastern Lau Spreading Center and Valu Fa Ridge

Arunima Sen ^{a,*}, Erin L. Becker ^a, Elizabeth L. Podowski ^{a,1}, Leslie N. Wickes ^{a,2}, Shufen Ma ^{b,3}, Katherine M. Mullaugh ^{b,4}, Stéphane Hourdez ^b, George W. Luther III^c, Charles R. Fisher ^a

^a Department of Biology, Pennsylvania State University, 208 Mueller Building, University Park, PA 16802, USA

^b Station Biologique de Roscoff, CNRS-UPMC, UMR 7144, Génétique des Adaptations au Milieu Extrême, 29680 Roscoff, France

^c School of Marine Science and Policy, University of Delaware, 700 Pilottown Road, Lewes, DE 19958, USA

Hydrothermal vent sulfide edifices contain some of the most extreme thermal and chemical conditions in which animals are able to live. As a result, sulfide edifices in the East Pacific Rise, Juan de Fuca Ridge, and Mid Atlantic Ridge vent systems often contain distinct faunal assemblages. In this study, we used high-resolution imagery and *in-situ* physico-chemical measurements within the context of a Geographic Information System (GIS) to examine community structure and niche differentiation of dominant fauna on sulfide edifices in the Eastern Lau Spreading Center (ELSC) and Valu Fa Ridge (VFR) in the Western Pacific Ocean. Our results show that ELSC and VFR sulfide edifices host two distinct types of communities. One type, that covers the majority of sulfide edifice faces, is overall very similar to nearby lava communities and biomass is dominated by the same chemoautotrophic symbiont-containing molluscs that dominate lava communities, namely the provannid gastropods *Alviniconcha* spp. and *Ifremeria nautilei* and the mytilid bivalve *Bathymodiolus brevior*. The spatial distribution of the dominant molluscs is often a variation of the pattern of concentric rings observed on lavas, with *Alviniconcha* spp. at the tops of edifices where exposure to vent flow is the highest, and *I. nautilei* and *B. brevior* below. Our physico-chemical measurements indicate that because of rapid dispersion of vent fluid, habitable area for symbiont-containing fauna is quite limited on sulfide edifices, and the realized niches of the mollusc groups are narrower on sulfide edifices than on lavas. We suggest that competition plays an important role in determining the realized distributions of the mollusc groups on edifices. The other habitat, present in small patches of presumably hot, new anhydrite, is avoided by the dominant symbiont-containing molluscs and inhabited by crabs, shrimp and polynoids that are likely more heat tolerant. The ratio of sulfide concentration to temperature anomaly of vent fluids was significantly different between sulfide edifice sites and lava sites in the southern vent fields but not in the northern vent fields. We suggest that this is due to increased sulfide consumption by a large microbial consortium associated with the more friable andesitic lava substrates in the south.

1. Introduction

The Lau Back-arc Basin is situated in the Western Pacific between the nations of Fiji and the Kingdom of Tonga. Two regions of the Lau

Basin, the Eastern Lau Spreading Center (ELSC, from ~19°S to ~21°S) and the Valu Fa Ridge (VFR, from ~21°S to 23°S), are located between a remnant arc (Lau Ridge) and an active volcanic arc (Tofua Volcanic Arc), west of the Tonga-Kermadec Trench (Fig. 1). These regions have been the focus of integrated biological and geological studies because crustal chemistry, spreading rates, lithospheric thickness and mantle properties all vary from north to south along the spreading center (Baker et al., 2006; Ferrini et al., 2008; Martinez et al., 2006; Pearce et al., 1994; Taylor et al., 1996; Tivey et al., 2012). Moving north to south along the ELSC and VFR (hereafter ELSC/VFR), distance from the active volcanic arc decreases from about 110 km to within 40 km (Ferrini et al., 2008; Martinez et al., 2006). Hydrothermal plume incidence decreases from north to south (Baker et al., 2006; Ferrini et al., 2008; Martinez et al., 2006), as do spreading rates, from about 97 mm/yr in the

* Corresponding author: Tel.: +1 814 863 8360; fax: +1 814 865 9131.

E-mail address: axs1026@psu.edu (A. Sen).

¹ Present address: Department of Landscape Architecture, 1190 Franklin Boulevard-230 Lawrence Hall, 5234 University of Oregon, Eugene, OR 97403-5234, USA.

² Present address: Grice Marine Laboratory, College of Charleston, 205 Fort Johnson Road, Charleston, SC 29412, USA.

³ Present address: Department of Earth and Planetary Science, University of California, Berkeley, CA 94720, USA.

⁴ Present address: University of North Carolina Wilmington, Wilmington, NC 28403, USA.

Fig. 1. Map of the vent fields of the Eastern Lau Spreading Center and Valu Fa Ridge (courtesy Ferrini et al., Variable morphologic expression of volcanic, tectonic, and hydrothermal processes at six hydrothermal vent fields in the Lau back-arc basin. *Geochemistry Geophysics Geosystems* 9 (7), 2008. Copyright (2008) American Geophysical Union. Reproduced with permission of American Geophysical Union).

north to 39 mm/yr in the south (Ferrini et al., 2008). Crustal thickness follows the opposite trend, with thin lithospheric crusts present in the north that nearly double in thickness to the south (Martinez et al., 2006). The crustal morphology also changes quite dramatically, with the northern sites being characterized by deep, flat axial basins and containing more faulting and fissuring than the southern sites, which are characterized by shallow, peaked ridges (Ferrini et al., 2008; Martinez et al., 2006).

Differences in the physical properties of lavas have been suggested to contribute to differences in the diffuse flow communities between northern and southern sites (Podowski et al., 2010). The northern sites of the ELSC are characterized by lobate pillow lavas of tholeiitic basalt, similar to mid-ocean ridge basalts (Fouquet et al., 1991a). The southern sites, however, have more complex and varied lavas, with a mixture of pillows and andesites

(Ferrini et al., 2008; Fouquet et al., 1991a; Martinez et al., 2006). The andesitic lavas of the south are more porous, crumbly and have higher silica content than the pillow basalts of the north (Ferrini et al., 2008; Pearce et al., 1994). The proximity to the subducting slab and the presence of an axial magma chamber (only under southern sites) lead to a much higher gas content in the magma. This is thought to be responsible for making the lavas in the south more brecciated and vesicular (Fouquet et al., 1991a,b; Martinez et al., 2006; Pearce et al., 1994). North-to-south differences in chemistry and acidity of venting fluids are also attributed to the distance from the subducting slab and the presence/absence of the magma chamber (Baker et al., 2006; Gartman et al., 2011; Luther et al., 2012; Martinez et al., 2006; Mottl et al., 2011). The along-strike changes in the geochemistry of the lavas and hydrothermal fluid on the ELSC and VFR provide an excellent natural laboratory to investigate the interactions between the animal communities and the rock substrate and fluid chemistry.

A number of researchers have studied hydrothermal vents through still and video imagery as well as within the context of a Geographical Information System (Copley et al., 1997, 1999, 2007; Cuvelier et al., 2011; Fabri et al., 2011; Podowski et al., 2009, 2010; Sarrazin and Juniper, 1999; Tsurumi, 1998). Deep-sea vents are extremely difficult to access and these methods represent an uninvase and relatively quick way in which these systems can be studied. Podowski et al. (2009, 2010) and Kim and Hammerstrom (2012) used these methods to examine the hydrothermal vent communities on lavas of the ELSC/VFR within the context of the geological and thermo-chemical gradients present in this region. However, the communities present on hydrothermal vent sulfide edifices in the Western Pacific region have not been well studied and have been characterized variously as dominated by one species of gastropod (Both et al., 1986; Stein et al., 1988), consisting of 'diverse assemblages' (Tufar, 1989), and 'abiotic' (Desbruyères et al., 1994). Our goal was to characterize these sulfide edifice communities, compare them to the communities found on the surrounding lavas, and to explore the roles of abiotic factors and biological interactions in shaping them. Abiotic factors can influence the structure of hydrothermal vent communities because distributions of symbiont-containing fauna are strongly correlated with hydrothermal conditions, as are the distributions of other vent fauna, albeit often at very different scales (Govenar, 2010). Gradients in vent fluid temperature and chemistry are quite steep on sulfide edifice structures where temperatures can change by over 100 °C within just a few centimeters (Harmsen et al., 1997; Jannasch, 1995; Takai et al., 2008; Tivey and McDuff, 1990). Additionally, biological interactions have been shown to shape hydrothermal vent communities (Lenihan et al., 2008; Micheli et al., 2002), including those on sulfide edifice structures (Levesque et al., 2003). Here, we used spatially explicit *in situ* physico-chemical measurements paired with high-resolution photo mosaics of Lau Basin sulfide edifices to address how both biotic and abiotic factors affect community structure as well as refine our understanding of the physiological ecology of the dominant fauna associated with diffuse hydrothermal flow on sulfides and lavas in the Lau Basin.

2. Methods and materials

2.1. Imaging and mosaicking

We collected photographic and physico-chemical data from eight sulfide edifices (sulfide edifice assessment sites) from the four main vent fields along the ELSC/VFR axis: Kilo Moana (KM), Tow Cam (TC), and ABE on the ELSC and Tu'i Maliila (TM) on the VFR (Table 1 and Fig. 1). All data collection was conducted in September

Table 1

Characteristics of the nine sulfide edifice assessment sites and the seven lava assessment sites comparisons were made with.

Site	Vent field	Markers	Latitude	Longitude	Depth (m)	Height/area
KM1C	Kilo	E	-20.05323	-176.13369	2618	15.5 m
KM2C	Moana	D	-20.05408	-176.13363	2620	4.6 m
TC1C	Tow Cam	JJ	-20.31620	-176.13651	2720	3.5 m
ABE1C	ABE	G1	-20.76124	-176.19060	2141	2.5 m
ABE2C	ABE	AA	-20.76578	-176.19314	2131	2.6 m
ABE3C	ABE	G2	-20.76120	-176.19061	2141	3.4 m
ABE4C	ABE	G3	-20.76125	-176.19058	2141	1.5 m
TM1C	Tu'i	62 back	-21.98803	-176.56777	1894	2.2 m
TM2C	Malila	62 front	-21.98799	-176.56783	1894	2.2 m
KM1	Kilo	29	-20.05266	-176.13384	2615	34.9 m ²
KM2	Moana	54, 55, 56	-20.05388	-176.13363	2620	39.5 m ²
TC1	Tow Cam	31	-20.31667	-176.13620	2706	17.9 m ²
TC2	Tow Cam	64, J	-20.31635	-176.13645	2723	9.8 m ²
ABE1	ABE	50, 51, 52	-20.76319	-176.19133	2150	34.7 m ²
ABE2	ABE	32, 49	-20.76131	-176.19041	2140	4.6 m ²
TM1	Tu'i	42, 43, 44	-21.98916	-176.56827	1884	19.7 m ²

2006 with the remotely operated vehicle (ROV) 'Jason II' aboard the R/V *Melville*. The edifices were not chosen randomly, but rather, based on accessibility and the presence of animal assemblages that included at least some symbiont-containing fauna indicative of hydrothermal vent activity. The sulfide edifice assessment sites were named as in Podowski et al. (2010), with a two or three-letter designation for the vent field followed by a sequential number and then the letter 'C' to represent chimneys (Table 1). In the northernmost vent field, Kilo Moana, two edifices were chosen for study, KM1C and KM2C. KM1C is the tallest of the edifices in this study, rising about 15.5 m above the seafloor. KM2C is an edifice consisting of two structures side by side, one only about a meter high, while the other reaches a height of about 4.6 m. One edifice from the Tow Cam vent field was used in this study, TC1C, which is approximately 3.5 m high. The ABE vent field hosted a large number of hydrothermal edifices in close proximity to each other. Therefore, four edifices from this vent field were surveyed for this study. ABE1C is a multi-spired edifice in which the tallest spire reaches a height of about 2.5 m. ABE2C is a very wide edifice and also contains multiple spires with a maximal height of 2.6 m. ABE3C is a narrow, single spire about 3.5 m tall. ABE4C is a fairly narrow edifice and the shortest used in this study, reaching a height of about 1.5 m. One edifice from the vent field, Tu'i Malila, was used in this study but we were able to photograph both sides of this structure. Therefore they have been included here as TM1C and TM2C. This edifice is about 2.2 m tall.

Imagery and mosaicking of the sulfide edifice assessment sites followed the procedure outlined in Podowski et al. (2009, 2010), with the exception that the camera was positioned so that it was facing forward instead of downward. Images were collected by moving the ROV up, down, left and right, while maintaining a constant heading, to image the entire edifice. Two sets of overlapping images were taken at different distances from the edifices. The images in the farther set were stitched ('mosaicked') together using a customized Matlab program (Pizarro and Singh, 2003) to produce a seamless mosaic of each sulfide edifice assessment site. The mosaic was then imported into ArcGIS,

georeferenced and the fauna digitized (see below). The closer set of images was used for fauna identification. The exact distances from which the photos were taken are not known, but scale was added during the georeferencing stage as described below.

2.2. Georeferencing

Because sulfide edifices are vertical and often narrow features, many edifice mosaics consisted of a single line of overlapping photographs. The ROV's navigation system records altitude at every second during a lowering (as well as UTM coordinates and a number of other parameters), and this was used to derive a coordinate system to georeference mosaics in ArcGIS and provide scale for analyses. The centers of two overlapping individual photographs were marked on the mosaic and the difference in altitude between the marked centers of the two pictures was used to calculate distances to the corners of the mosaic. The corners were georeferenced because using only the centers of photographs in a single line flattened the mosaic into a thin strip with no width component. For mosaics that consisted of two or more vertical lines of photographs, the horizontal component was derived from the UTM coordinates using the formula $x = (UTMx^2 + UTM_y^2)^{1/2}$. It should be noted that using this system for our analyses resulted in treating sulfide edifices as two-dimensional structures and total substrate area was underestimated because surface topography was not considered in the analyses.

2.3. Digitization of fauna

Using the closer set of images for identification, fauna were manually digitized onto the georeferenced mosaic within ArcMap 9.0. Aggregated fauna (i.e., the snails *Alviniconcha* spp. and *Ifremeria nautili*, the mussels *Bathymodiolus brevior*, and several species of barnacles) were digitized as polygons (areas) since these animals form dense aggregations in which individuals can be stacked on top of one another and difficult to enumerate. At least three different species of *Alviniconcha* have recently been identified on the ELSC/VFR (Beinart et al., in press). They cannot be differentiated by imagery alone and therefore are referred to as *Alviniconcha* spp. for the purpose of this study. Similarly, the different species of barnacles could not be reliably differentiated in the images and therefore the 'barnacle' category may include up to three different orders of barnacles known to occur in the ELSC. Stalked barnacles (*Vulcanolepas* sp.), however, were distinctive enough to be included as a separate category, though only two individuals on one edifice were observed in this study. The molluscs *Alviniconcha* spp., *I. nautili* and *B. brevior* all contain sulfide oxidizing bacteria in their gills and dominate the biomass at Lau vents (Podowski et al., 2009, 2010). Therefore, these three faunal groups are collectively referred to as the dominant symbiont-containing molluscs.

All other fauna were digitized as points. These animals are referred to as solitary fauna. The buccinid snail, *Enigmaticolus desbruyeresi*, the sea cucumber *Chiridota hydrothermica*, the zoarcid fishes *Thermarces* sp. and *Thermobiotus mytilogeiton*, the squat lobster *Munidopsis lauensis* and the polynoids *Branchinotogluma segonzaci*, *Branchinotogluma trifurcus*, *Branchinotogluma* sp. nov., *Harmothoe* sp., *Thermopolynoe branchiata* and *Levensteiniella raisae* could be consistently identified to the species level. Although limpets were visible in some mosaics, the resolution was not sufficient to recognize these small animals in all photos and they were not considered in this analysis. The other solitary species were classified by larger taxonomic groups. At least seven different

species of anemones exist on the ELSC. Neither *Chondrophellia orangina* nor *Zoanthidae* sp. were present on edifices. The others, *Cyananthea hourdezi*, *Actinostolidae* sp., *Alvinactis chessi*, *Sagartiogeton erythraios* and *Amphianthus* sp. (Zelnio et al., 2009), cannot be reliably identified from photographs and were grouped together under one category. Four different species of shrimp, *Alvinocaris komaii*, *Lebbeus* sp., *Chorocaris vandoverae* and *Nautilocaris saintlaurentae*, could occasionally, but not routinely, be identified, so all four species were grouped together for statistical analyses. The Bythograeid crabs could be identified as *Austinograea williamsi* or *Austinograea alayseae* only if the inside of the cheliped was visible. Podowski et al. (2010) found no significant differences in the distributions of these two species in diffuse flow, and although we identified the species when possible, we grouped them together as *Austinograea* spp. for the purpose of statistical analyses.

2.4. In-situ chemistry

In-situ voltammetry was used to measure hydrogen sulfide (H_2S) and oxygen concentrations at a number of discrete locations within each sulfide edifice assessment site (Luther et al., 2001, 2008; Podowski et al., 2009, 2010). At each location, the voltammetric analyzer took 4–7 scans from which minimum, maximum, average and median sulfide and oxygen concentrations were calculated for each location. The minimum detectable and quantifiable concentrations of sulfide were $0.2 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$. Undetectable sulfide was treated as half the detectable concentration ($0.1 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$) as per Podowski et al. (2009). The minimum detectable concentration of oxygen was $5 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$ and the minimum quantifiable concentration of oxygen was $15 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$. Consistent with Podowski et al. (2009), half the minimum detectable concentration ($2.5 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$) was used for points with undetectable oxygen and points with detectable but not quantifiable oxygen concentrations were treated as the average of detectable and quantifiable limits ($10 \mu\text{mol L}^{-1}$) for statistical analyses. In addition to sulfide and oxygen, the voltammetry wand had a thermocouple to measure temperature. Therefore, minimum, maximum, average and median measurements of temperature were calculated from the 4 to 7 scans for each location. In order to increase the coverage of an edifice and increase the resolution of assessment of vent fluid dynamics, additional temperature measurements were taken with the *Jason* temperature probe on some edifices. In addition to generally increasing the density of measurements on edifices, locations that appeared to be hot (usually due to visible shimmering water) were measured with the *Jason* temperature probe to prevent damage to the voltammetry wand that had a maximum exposure limit of about 60°C . Local ambient temperature was calculated for each site based on the *Jason* CTD data. The ambient temperature for each site was subtracted from temperature measurements to obtain the temperature anomaly for every location. Temperature anomalies instead of raw temperatures were used in all statistical analyses.

The dataset used in Podowski et al. (2010), which characterized seven lava assessment sites at the same four vent fields of the ELSC and the VFR, was used for analyses that compared sulfide edifice and lava assessment sites.

2.5. Statistical and spatial analyses

Relative percent covers of each of the aggregated fauna and densities of solitary fauna were calculated within ArcView 9.0 and used for comparisons of community structure among sulfide edifice sites and between sulfide edifice and lava sites.

In order to test if the diffuse vent fluid bathing the animals differed between edifice and lava sites, as well as between northern and southern sites, the relationship between maximum sulfide and maximum temperature anomaly at each point was

examined through regression analysis for both edifice and lava sites, separated by northern and southern groupings.

Measurements from all edifices were grouped together and pairwise Mann–Whitney comparisons were conducted to assess whether the chemistry and temperature associated with the three dominant mollusc groups differed between groups/species on edifices. Furthermore, pairwise Mann–Whitney comparisons were conducted to examine whether the chemical and thermal measurements associated with the dominant symbiont-containing mollusc groups were different between lava and sulfide edifice assessment sites. In each of the pairwise Mann–Whitney comparisons, a Bonferroni corrected p -value was used (Dunn, 1961). Box plots were constructed to visualize the chemical and thermal habitats of the foundation species on edifices as well as lavas.

Three Bray–Curtis dissimilarity matrices were constructed to assess the variation in community structure among the sites. One was based on the overall percent cover of aggregated fauna, another on relative percent cover of aggregated fauna and the third on the densities of solitary fauna. For this analysis, the data were fourth root transformed to decrease bias towards dominant taxa (Clarke and Warwick, 2001). Dendrograms based on group average linkage were constructed for each dataset to visualize the clustering of sites. Additionally, to test the probability of these clusters arising from chance alone, analysis of similarity (ANOSIM) was carried out for each matrix (Clarke, 1993) with location (north vs. south) and habitat (edifice vs. lava) as the factors tested.

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Chemistry and temperature relationships

The slope of maximum sulfide concentration to maximum temperature anomaly in the northern sulfide edifice assessment sites (slope=5.6) was significantly higher than the southern sulfide edifice assessment sites (slope=3.7; $p=0.001$; Fig. 2): diffuse fluid

Fig. 2. Maximum sulfide concentration vs. maximum temperature anomaly for edifices and lavas, separated by location. Each point represents the maximum sulfide concentration and temperature anomaly measured at a single point: ■ = northern lava sites, ▲ = southern lava sites, × = northern edifice sites, and + = southern edifice sites. The slope of northern lava ($y=5.71x-4.78$) is significantly higher than the slope of southern lava ($y=2.42x-3.44$) at $p < 0.001$. The slope of northern edifice ($y=5.64x-3.12$) is significantly higher than the slopes of both southern lava and southern edifice ($y=3.66x-1.64$) at $p < 0.001$ and $p=0.001$, but not significantly different from the slope of northern lava at $p=0.914$. The slope of southern edifice is higher than the slope of southern lava at $p < 0.001$ and lower than the slope of basalt lava at $p < 0.001$.

in the north on sulfide edifices contained more sulfide at a given temperature than fluid in the south. Mottl et al. (2011) found that sulfide concentrations in endmember fluid decrease from north to south along the ELSC/VFR with the highest concentrations in endmember fluid at Tu'i Malila being three times lower than concentrations measured in Kilo Moana. The decrease in sulfide concentrations in endmember fluid from north to south along the ELSC to the VFR is consistent with the higher sulfide to temperature ratios observed in the north (Gartman et al., 2011; Luther et al., 2012).

In addition to examining the sulfide to temperature ratios of the fluids from edifices, we compared these ratios to those of the fluids from the lava sites studied by Podowski et al. (2010). The slope of maximum sulfide concentration to maximum temperature anomaly in the northern vent fields was not significantly different between lava and sulfide edifice assessment sites (slope=5.7 for northern lavas and 5.6 for northern edifices; $p=0.91$; Fig. 2). However, the slope was significantly higher for edifices than for lavas in the southern vent fields (slope=3.7 for southern edifices and 2.4 for southern lavas, $p<0.0001$, Fig. 2). Therefore, these ratios differ between edifices and lava sites in the south but are nearly identical between edifices and lava sites in the north (Fig. 2). This difference in ratios is either due to differences in the lavas or edifices, or both, between the two areas. Since the composition of lavas is known to change from north to south, we suggest the difference is more likely due to variations in the interactions of the lavas with hydrothermal flow than to dissimilarities in the edifices. Two potential mechanisms may contribute to the different relationships between lavas and edifices in the north and south. First, conductive cooling of vent fluid before it exits the substrate could occur to equal degrees in edifices and under lavas in the north, but occur to a higher degree in edifices than lavas in the south. We know of no data or logic that might support this hypothesis. The second possibility is that sulfide consumption in edifices is the same in the north and south, but sulfide consumption while hydrothermal fluid passes through lavas is higher in the south than in the north. There are data to support this hypothesis; the andesitic lavas at our southern study sites were more crumbly and brecciated than the pillow basalts common on the more northern sites, and Podowski et al. (2010) found significant differences in the small-scale spatial structure of diffuse venting from north to south. The spatial correlation among measurements less than 60 cm apart in the south was suggested to result from near-surface dispersion of flow by the more porous and gravel-like andesitic basalt substrate in the south. This substrate could provide larger surface areas for microbes in an environment where sulfide oxidizers could flourish. This, in turn, would reduce the sulfide to temperature ratios in communities on more andesitic lavas and explain the differences in the relations between the different lavas and edifices. Consistent with this hypothesis is the fact that we were unable to detect spatial autocorrelation between measurements on edifices for either temperature or chemistry data, suggesting that similar to basaltic lavas, fluid flow from edifices is largely in the form of point source emissions rather than more diffuse release from the surface of the edifice.

3.2. Communities

We identified one specialized sulfide edifice community type that occurs occasionally along the ELSC/VFR. It occurs in small, white patches on what appear to be new and relatively small sulfide structures or parts of structures and was also found on two large active horizontal flanges in Tu'i Malila. This community includes at least two species of paralvinellid polychaetes, *Paralvinella unidentata* and *Paralvinella fijiensis* that are not distinguishable by imagery, the polynoid *B. segonzaci*, shrimp (either *C. vandoverae* or *Nautilocaris*

saintlarentae), and Bythograeid crabs (Fig. 3). One individual of *B. trifurcus* was also observed in this community. The dominant symbiont-containing molluscs were rarely observed here. The paralvinellid worms were found exclusively in this habitat and the polynoids show a species-based preference or avoidance of these white patches. On average, these white patches covered 17% of edifice surfaces, but 90% of all individuals of *B. segonzaci* (320 out of a total of 357) were present within them. Individuals of *B. segonzaci* not directly in the habitat were just adjacent and not more than a few centimeters away. The one individual of *B. trifurcus* observed in this study was also present in the white patch habitat. The other species of polynoids observed in this study (10 individuals of *Harmothoe* sp., 3 individuals of *L. raisae*, 2 individuals of *T. branchiata* and 1 individual of *Branchinotogluma* sp. nov.) were never observed on these white patches. Unlike the paralvinellid worms and the *B. segonzaci* polynoids, other animals, such as shrimp, occupy these areas and the rest of sulfide edifice walls equally; they were found on both types of edifice surfaces in approximately equal ratios. The white coloration of the substrate these communities are located on could be due to the formation of anhydrite (calcium sulfate), which is generally considered to be the initial stage in precipitation and formation of sulfide structures (Haymon, 1983; Tivey and Delaney, 1986; Tivey and McDuff, 1990; Graham et al., 1988; Koski et al., 1988). The edifices TC1C, KM1C and the two faces of the TM edifice, which were largely devoid of such white patches, hosted the lowest numbers of *B. segonzaci*. It appears that though these white patches are avoided by the dominant symbiont-containing molluscs, they are invaded opportunistically by some groups such as Bythograeid crabs and shrimp, while other species such as *B. segonzaci* and paralvinellid worms are specialized for, and largely restricted to, this habitat. We were able to obtain only a few temperature measurements on these surfaces and these measurements indicated that the surfaces of these patches can be quite hot (above 80 °C). The high temperatures and the proximity of either black 'smoke' or shimmering water common in these areas suggest that sulfide levels are also high and oxygen levels are low (Luther et al., 2001). However, detailed physico-chemical measurements will be needed to adequately characterize the thermo-chemical conditions in this microhabitat. Nonetheless, it is likely that the animals in these patches are living at the limits of thermal and chemical tolerances for metazoans (Dilly et al., 2012; Fisher, 1998; Girguis and Lee, 2006; Lee, 2003). Despite the presence of this edifice specific community, the majority of edifice surfaces were dominated by assemblages that were quite similar to those on the surrounding lavas. *Alviniconcha* spp., *I. nautiliei* and *B. brevior* comprised the bulk of the biomass at all assessment sites (Desbruyères et al., 1994; Podowski et al., 2009, 2010). All the covers of the aggregated fauna on lavas and edifices are compiled in Table 2. Five of the nine sulfide edifice assessment sites in this study displayed a pattern in which the top zone of the edifice was occupied, sometimes exclusively, by *Alviniconcha* spp., girdled by a zone of *I. nautiliei* and *B. brevior* below (Fig. 4). One edifice, KM1C, displayed a pattern of concentric rings at the base of the edifice, with *Alviniconcha* spp. in the middle, surrounded by *I. nautiliei* and then by *B. brevior* (Mullaugh et al., 2008), a pattern which has been described on lavas (Desbruyères et al., 1994). Also similar to lava communities, barnacles occurred in large, dense aggregations on many edifices (Podowski et al., 2010). The colonial anemone, *Zoanthidae* sp. (Podowski et al., 2010) which covered large areas of lava on northern sites, was not present on any edifice assessment sites (Table 2).

Since one entire group of aggregated fauna was completely lacking on edifices, we expected edifice assessment sites to cluster together and separately from the lava assessment sites. We tested this by comparing our data to those collected by Podowski et al. (2010). However, cluster analysis for overall and relative percent covers of aggregated fauna did not group the assessment sites based on substrate (edifice vs. lava). Instead, for

Fig. 3. (A) and (B) Specialized edifice community on a flange at the Tu'i Malila vent field. Bythograeid crabs and shrimp are visible in (A). Paralvinellid worms and the polynoid, *Branchinotogluma segonzaci* are visible in (B). (C) and (D) Typical lava communities present on edifices.

Table 2
Covers of aggregated fauna on all sulfide edifice and lava assessment sites.

Taxa	Sulfide edifice assessment sites									Lava assessment sites						
	KM1C	KM2C	TC1C	ABE1C	ABE2C	ABE3C	ABE4C	TM1C	TM2C	KM1	KM2	TC1	TC2	ABE1	ABE2	TM1
Anemones	0.5	0.0	0.0	8.0	1.0	3.5	2.1	0.0	0.0	7.7	11.1	74.9	0.3	0.0	9.8	1.3
<i>Austinothoe</i> spp.	1.7	3.3	0.0	3.1	7.3	3.5	23.3	2.4	1.7	3.6	3.5	3.0	2.2	4.1	4.0	3.0
<i>B. segonzaci</i>	0.0	7.6	0.3	32.8	18.5	28.1	95.1	3.4	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>B. sp. nov.</i>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>B. trifurcus</i>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>C. hydrothermica</i>	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Cladorhizid sponge	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>E. desbruyeresi</i>	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.4	0.3	2.6	2.1	1.7	0.3	0.0	0.4	0.1	0.0	3.5	1.5	0.2
<i>Harmothoe</i> sp.	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>L. raisae</i>	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1
<i>M. lauensis</i>	0.1	0.0	0.5	3.5	0.6	0.9	6.3	0.0	4.0	1.4	1.1	2.3	0.1	12.1	9.1	4.3
Paralvinella	0.0	0.4	0.0	2.2	0.0	0.0	55.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>P. hirtella</i>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.2	0.0
<i>Phymorhynchus</i> sp.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Shrimp	11.2	71.9	62.3	19.5	51.7	78.3	0.0	131.3	40.7	1.5	13.7	4.0	0.0	8.6	0.5	4.4
<i>T. branchiata</i>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>Vulcanolepas</i> sp.	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Zoarcidae	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.4	0.0

overall percent cover of aggregated fauna, the southern edifice and lava sites clustered together, while the northern lava and edifice sites displayed considerably more variation between sites (Fig. 5). Bray–Curtis analysis for relative percent cover also separated the

southern sites as a cluster, although the edifice community at KM2C in the north grouped with the southern sites (Fig. 6). ANOSIM supported the clustering based on the aggregated faunal community structure (global $R=0.498$, $p=0.001$ and no permutations out of 999

Fig. 4. Examples of edifices exhibiting vertical zonation of symbiont-containing fauna: (A) ABE3C (Marker G2), (B) TC1C (Marker JJ) and (C) ABE1C (Marker G1). A. a.—*Austinograea alaysae*, A. spp.—*Austinograea* spp., B. s.—*Branchinotogluma segonzaci*, B. sp. nov.—*Branchinotogluma* sp. nov., B. t.—*Branchinotogluma trifurcus*, L. r.—*Levensteiniella raisae*, E. d.—*Enigmaticolus desbruyeresi* and M. l.—*Munidopsis lauensis*. All scale bars represent 0.5 m.

Table 3
Numbers of solitary fauna on all sulfide edifice and lava assessment sites.

Taxa	Sulfide edifice assessment sites									Lava assessment sites						
	KM1C	KM2C	TC1C	ABE1C	ABE2C	ABE3C	ABE4C	TM1C	TM2C	KM1	KM2	TC1	TC2	ABE1	ABE2	TM1
Anemones	19	0	0	18	7	4	1	0	0	168	500	2523	4	0	54	43
<i>Austinograea</i> spp.	60	27	0	7	49	4	11	7	6	79	157	101	32	172	22	96
<i>B. segonzaci</i>	1	63	2	74	124	32	45	10	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>B. sp. nov.</i>	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>B. trifurcus</i>	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>C. hydrothermica</i>	35	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	13	13	0	0	0	0
Cladorhizid sponge	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0
<i>E. desbruyeresi</i>	2	0	1	1	2	3	1	5	1	0	20	5	0	146	8	7
<i>Harmothoe</i> sp.	6	0	0	0	3	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
<i>L. raisae</i>	0	0	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2	1	4
<i>M. lauensis</i>	2	0	3	8	4	1	3	0	14	31	49	76	2	504	50	137
<i>Paralvinella</i>	0	3	0	5	0	0	26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
<i>P. hirtella</i>	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	4	0	0	16	1	0
<i>Phymorhynchus</i> sp.	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
Shrimp	401	597	363	44	346	89	0	390	142	33	620	136	2	358	3	141
<i>T. branchiata</i>	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
<i>Vulcanolepas</i> sp.	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	15	0	0	0	0
Zoarcidae	0	0	0	0	2	0	1	0	0	2	0	0	0	8	2	0

were equal or greater than the global R for overall percent cover, global $R=0.375$, $p=0.003$ and only 2 of 999 permuted statistics were greater than or equal to global R for relative percent covers). Based on these results and the fact that edifice and lava sites did not cluster separately, we conclude that in terms of the conspicuous faunal groups that constitute most of the biomass of ELSC/VFR vent

communities, edifice communities are extensions of the lava communities in the surrounding areas.

Although the lava and edifice assessment sites were similar with respect to the aggregated fauna they host, cluster analyses did detect differences in the solitary faunal communities between the two types of substrata (Fig. 7) and this could be due to the fact

Fig. 5. Average linkage Bray-Curtis similarity for all sites based on overall percent cover of aggregated fauna (fourth root transformed). Circles represent southern sites and triangles represent northern sites.

Fig. 6. Average linkage Bray-Curtis similarity for all sites based on relative percent cover of aggregated fauna (fourth root transformed). Circles represent southern sites and triangles represent northern sites.

Fig. 7. Average linkage Bray-Curtis similarity for all sites based on densities of non symbiont-containing fauna (fourth root transformed). Squares represent sulfide edifices and crosses represent lava assessment sites.

that edifices host two distinct types of habitats. The numbers and densities of all solitary faunal groups are presented in Tables 3 and 4. The KM1C edifice grouped with the lava assessment sites based on Bray-Curtis dissimilarity matrices of the solitary/mobile fauna communities and TC2 and ABE4C grouped separately from all the sites. TC2 was hypothesized to be a lava site in an early successional stage (Podowski et al., 2010) and about half of ABE4C is comprised of the white substrate that is likely anhydrite. Nonetheless, ANOSIM supported the difference between the communities on edifices and lavas (global $R=0.519$ at 0.001

significance and 0 out of 999 permutations being equal to or greater than the global R).

The presence and absence of certain faunal groups on either lavas or edifices and the differences in densities of other faunal groups between lavas and edifices could account for the clustering of sites based on type of substrate (lavas vs. edifices) for solitary fauna. Paralvinellid worms and *B. segonzaci* polynoids that were almost exclusively observed on the white, hot patches on edifices were not visible at any lava assessment site (Table 3). In addition to the species occupying the white, hot patches on

edifices, there were a few other notable differences in the occurrence of the mobile and solitary fauna found on edifices and lavas. Some groups that were never observed in areas directly exposed to diffuse flow, such as brisingid sea stars and sponges, were documented in the lava community assessment sites but never seen on the active edifices in this study (Table 3). However, it should be noted that we have observed these groups on inactive edifices in the region. The large crab *Paralomis hirtella* was not observed at any sulfide edifice assessment site, although it is often present, albeit in low numbers, in areas of lava communities with low levels of exposure to hydrothermal flow (Podowski et al., 2010). Other fauna were present in both lava and edifice communities, but in quite different densities. For example, shrimp were generally found in higher densities on edifices than in lava communities while anemones, which were often abundant in lava communities (Podowski et al., 2010), were only present in low densities on edifices. The anemones found on edifices were restricted largely to the bases of the structures, where venting appears to be quite low. The sulfide assessment site KM1C, which clustered with the lava sites, was a large edifice with most of the symbiont-containing faunal biomass represented by mussels clustered at the top (about 15 m above the sea floor). This edifice site was also the only one to harbor the holothurian *C. hydrothermica*, which was present on most lava assessment sites associated with areas of low exposure to vent fluid. Additionally, a single anemone was present at the top of this edifice, which also harbored much lower densities of shrimp than most other edifices (Table 4).

The grouping of the least active edifice used in this study (KM1C) with lava communities and the observations of peripheral fauna on inactive edifices suggest that the differences in solitary faunal communities observed between edifice and lava sites are due to differences in successional stages. Only active edifices were used in this study and therefore we likely limited our study to edifices in early and mid-successional stages, while later successional stages are represented on inactive edifices. As an edifice ages and becomes less active, the community will shift from being dominated by symbiont-containing fauna (which require exposure to moderate sulfide levels, Henry et al., 2008) and heat tolerant associates to peripheral fauna that do not directly utilize vent fluid, nor have the tolerances to exploit bacteria growing in areas exposed to vent fluid. Lava communities relatively distant from sources of venting host peripheral fauna (Kim and Hammerstrom, 2012) and likely parallel communities on inactive edifices.

Mobile, solitary fauna that occurred on both edifices and lavas occupied similar microhabitats, with similar associations to the symbiont-containing fauna. Podowski et al. (2010) found that on lavas, the snail *E. desbruyeresi* and the squat lobster *M. lauensis* were present in areas exposed to very dilute or no hydrothermal flow and both were rarely found directly associated with symbiont-containing fauna. Although the numbers and densities of these species on sulfide edifices were too small to carry out similar chi-square analyses, the same trend was observed on edifices. Only 28% of all recorded individuals of *E. desbruyeresi* and 31% of individuals of *M. lauensis* were on or within 5 cm of aggregations of the symbiont-containing molluscs, typically a lone individual of *I. nautili* or *B. brevior*. No individual of either of these species was ever found on or within 5 cm of *Alviniconcha* spp. Additionally, *E. desbruyeresi* was only present at the bases of edifices. *M. lauensis* also exhibited a preference for edifice bases, but were not found exclusively there (26 out of 35 individuals across all sulfide edifice assessment sites were at the bases of edifice structures).

The striking similarities between most edifice and lava communities on the ELSC/VFR are quite remarkable for hydrothermal vent systems. On the Juan de Fuca Ridge (JdFR) in the NE Pacific, several different edifice-specific communities dominate the biomass on sulfide edifices (Sarrazin and Juniper, 1999). These communities are dominated either by paralvinellid worms or the vestimentiferan *Ridgeia piscesae*. Though *R. piscesae* is also abundant on lavas, the morphotypes present on edifices are quite distinct from the individuals present in lavas, and the communities associated with the edifice *R. piscesae* are different from those associated with the lava morphotype of *R. piscesae* (Bergquist et al., 2007; Govenar et al., 2002; Sarrazin and Juniper, 1999). Alvinellid worm communities dominate active edifices on the East Pacific Rise (Chevaldonne and Jollivet, 1993; Fustec et al., 1988; Juniper and Martineau, 1995), though vestimentiferans such as *Riftia pachyptila* and *Tevnia jerichonana* are occasionally present on edifices (Shank et al., 1998). On the Mid Atlantic Ridge (MAR), the upper reaches of edifices can be dominated by massive swarms of Caridean shrimp. (Copley et al., 2007; Desbruyères et al., 2000, 2001; Gebruk et al., 2000; Rona et al., 1986). Though ELSC/VFR edifices contain unique communities not found on lavas, these communities are confined to relatively small areas and the total biomass represented in these communities is quite low in comparison to that in areas occupied by the symbiont-containing molluscs.

Table 4
Densities of solitary fauna (individuals per m²) on all sulfide edifice and lava assessment sites.

Taxa	Sulfide edifice assessment sites									Lava assessment sites						
	KM1C	KM2C	TC1C	ABE1C	ABE2C	ABE3C	ABE4C	TM1C	TM2C	KM1	KM2	TC1	TC2	ABE1	ABE2	TM1
Anemones	0.5	0.0	0.0	8.0	1.0	3.5	2.1	0.0	0.0	7.7	11.1	74.9	0.3	0.0	9.8	1.3
<i>Austinograea</i> spp.	1.7	3.3	0.0	3.1	7.3	3.5	23.3	2.4	1.7	3.6	3.5	3.0	2.2	4.1	4.0	3.0
<i>B. segonzaci</i>	0.0	7.6	0.3	32.8	18.5	28.1	95.1	3.4	1.7	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>B. sp. nov.</i>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>B. trifurcus</i>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>C. hydrothermica</i>	1.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.3	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Cladorhizid sponge	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>E. desbruyeresi</i>	0.1	0.0	0.2	0.4	0.3	2.6	2.1	1.7	0.3	0.0	0.4	0.1	0.0	3.5	1.5	0.2
<i>Harmothoe</i> sp.	0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>L. raisae</i>	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.1
<i>M. lauensis</i>	0.1	0.0	0.5	3.5	0.6	0.9	6.3	0.0	4.0	1.4	1.1	2.3	0.1	12.1	9.1	4.3
Paralvinella	0.0	0.4	0.0	2.2	0.0	0.0	55.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>P. hirtella</i>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.2	0.0
<i>Phymorynchus</i> sp.	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Shrimp	11.2	71.9	62.3	19.5	51.7	78.3	0.0	131.3	40.7	1.5	13.7	4.0	0.0	8.6	0.5	4.4
<i>T. branchiata</i>	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
<i>Vulcanolepas</i> sp.	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.4	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Zoarcidae	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.3	0.0	2.1	0.0	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.2	0.4	0.0

3.3. Habitats of the dominant symbiont-containing molluscs

Alviniconcha spp. was found in the warmest water (7 °C above ambient average) on edifices among the dominant symbiont-containing molluscs, *I. nautili* in intermediate temperatures (3.3 °C above ambient average) and *B. brevior* in the coldest water (1.7 °C above ambient average). The temperatures associated with each symbiont-containing faunal group was significantly different from the others ($p=0.0003$ for *Alviniconcha* spp. and *I. nautili* and $p=0.0007$ for *I. nautili* and *B. brevior*). Though the thermal characteristics of the edifice habitats of *I. nautili* and *B. brevior* were significantly different, the ranges overlapped substantially, and the averages differed by only a few degrees. Only *Alviniconcha* spp. occupied a habitat that was significantly different chemically from the other symbiont-containing molluscs. The sulfide concentration is significantly higher and oxygen lower among *Alviniconcha* spp. than *I. nautili* ($p < 0.005$ for both) and *B. brevior* ($p < 0.001$ for both, Fig. 8B). Neither sulfide nor oxygen levels were significantly different between *I. nautili* and *B. brevior* edifice habitats.

To better understand the niches of the dominant symbiont-containing molluscs, we compared the thermal and chemical habitats on edifices to the thermal and chemical habitats on lavas reported by Podowski et al. (2010). Although the same general pattern of a thermal continuum from *Alviniconcha* spp. in the warmest water to *B. brevior* in the coolest water was found in both habitats, the sulfide and oxygen levels in their habitats were not significantly different on sulfide edifices but were on lavas. Another difference between the habitats occupied by each of the groups on lavas and sulfides was the range of thermal and chemical conditions associated with each mollusc: they occupied a narrower range of chemical and thermal conditions on edifices than the same species on lavas. The highest temperatures and sulfide levels measured among the symbiont-containing molluscs on lavas were higher than on edifices (Fig. 8). Although we did not detect any significant differences between the chemical habitats occupied by any of the dominant symbiont-containing molluscs on edifices and lavas, the average temperatures measured around both *I. nautili* and *B. brevior* on edifices were significantly less than on lavas ($p < 0.001$ for both). There was a similar trend for *Alviniconcha* spp.: the highest mean temperature measured among *Alviniconcha* spp. on edifices was 10.6 °C, while temperatures above 20 °C were often measured among *Alviniconcha* spp. on lavas (Podowski et al., 2010, Fig. 3).

Based on their realized distributions on lavas (Podowski et al., 2010) and tolerances measured in laboratory studies (Henry et al., 2008), we conclude that all three groups of symbiont-containing molluscs were exposed to chemical and thermal conditions well below their tolerance levels on edifices. For example, in laboratory experiments, stress is apparent in *B. brevior* at 20 °C, but the highest measured temperature among *B. brevior* was only 10.5 °C on sulfide edifice assessment sites. Similarly, the highest mean temperature among the snails on edifices was 18.3 °C, which is well below 45 °C, the temperature at which these snails show signs of heat stress (Henry et al., 2008). Furthermore, based on the laboratory data, none of the dominant symbiont-containing molluscs were in areas where chemoautotrophy can be maximized. The sulfide levels at which peak metabolite uptake rates were measured in *Alviniconcha* spp. (~400 µmol, Henry et al., 2008) were not found *in-situ* at temperatures within the thermal tolerances of this group of snails (Podowski et al., 2010). However, these snails were often found adjacent to high-temperature areas of very active venting (> 100 °C) and in one case, directly above such an area. Sulfide concentrations in these areas are likely to also be high, and the proximity of *Alviniconcha* spp. to these areas suggests that being close to sources of active venting could

Fig. 8. Box plots of average temperature anomaly, sulfide and oxygen concentration associated with the three groups of symbiont-containing fauna. The box represents the interquartile range and the whiskers represent the upper and lower limits (defined as the value at $Q3 + 1.5(Q3 - Q1)$ for upper limit and $Q1 - 1.5(Q3 - Q1)$ for lower limit). Asterisks above the whiskers represent values that are greater than the value at the upper limit. An asterisk next to the name of a mollusk group indicates a significant difference between edifices and lavas for that particular group (Bonferroni correction $p=0.00556$). Capital letters indicate comparisons between the three groups at edifices while lowercase letters represent comparisons between the three groups at lava sites. Same letters represent no significant difference and different letters indicate significant differences (Bonferroni correction $p=0.01667$ for temperature and $p=0.0083$ for sulfide and oxygen).

occasionally provide access to much higher levels of sulfide than we measured.

Similarly, in terms of both temperature and sulfide concentrations, neither *I. nautili* nor *B. brevior* were located in areas with conditions that would support maximal rates of chemoautotrophy for those species. None of the mean sulfide concentrations

measured among *I. nautili* and *B. brevior* at the sulfide edifice assessment sites were within the range of sulfide levels at which peak metabolite uptake rates occur for these two species (~100 μmol for *I. nautili* and 200 μmol for *B. brevior*, Henry et al., 2008). Only eight temperature measurements of 50 among *I. nautili* were within the range of temperatures that supported maximal rates of chemoautotrophy in shipboard experiments (10–20 °C, Henry et al., 2008). Maximal rates of chemoautotrophy was determined to occur between 10 and 15 °C in *B. brevior* (Henry et al., 2008); however, the highest temperature measurement associated with this species on edifices was only 8 °C.

Together, our measurements indicate that the temperatures and sulfide levels in areas inhabited by the dominant symbiont-containing molluscs on edifices are less than those that support maximal rates of chemoautotrophy and well below their thermochemical tolerances. Sulfide edifices also host areas, such as the white patches discussed above, where temperatures above 50 °C and often 100 °C were documented, which is beyond the thermal limits of the dominant symbiont-containing molluscs. *Alviniconcha* spp. can be present very near these areas. This may reflect extreme temporal variability in exposure to vent fluid on sulfide edifices that we missed with our survey. The rapid rise and dissipation of hot vent fluid from point sources of active venting results in steep gradients and limited areas appropriate for chemoautotrophy by the dominant symbiont-containing molluscs. As a result, large bare areas as well as dense patches of animals exist on edifice faces in different locations.

The similarity between habitats occupied by the dominant symbiont-containing molluscs on edifices, and the fact that none of them occupy areas where they could maximize chemoautotrophy, implies that either biological interactions between species or species-specific characteristics other than physiological requirements or tolerances are also contributing significantly to their realized distribution patterns on edifices. The habitat of *Alviniconcha* spp. on edifices is thermally and chemically distinct from the habitats of both *I. nautili* and *B. brevior*, but not significantly distinct from its habitat on lavas. Additionally, the edifice habitat of *Alviniconcha* spp. is within the ranges for maximum chemoautotrophy for both *I. nautili* and *B. brevior*. Therefore, it is likely that *Alviniconcha* spp. is the superior competitor, enabling it to occupy the most productive habitable areas on edifices. Adult *Alviniconcha* spp. appear to be the most mobile of the three dominant symbiont-containing molluscs (Erin L. Becker, pers. comm.), and this trait could facilitate competition for the most productive areas as they become available on edifice surfaces. Little is known about dispersal or recruitment dynamics of the symbiont-containing molluscs on the ELSC/VFR, but these could also play a role in conferring an advantage to *Alviniconcha* spp. over the other molluscs in their ability to reach and occupy the most productive areas on edifices. We have observed large, potentially long-lived individuals of *Alviniconcha* spp. on edifices, but whether these animals have been dominating a particular location since their juvenile stages or whether they have moved around on an edifice as the structure changed over time is unknown.

Mobility could be an important factor with respect to the competition between *I. nautili* and *B. brevior* as well. *I. nautili* occupies distinctly hotter locations than *B. brevior* and appears to be more mobile than mussels. Therefore, *I. nautili* could be more adept than *B. brevior* at occupying new locations as they become available.

The fine-scale partitioning discussed above could contribute to the notable lack of the mixed aggregations of *I. nautili* and *B. brevior* on edifices that are quite abundant on lava assessment sites. Podowski et al. (2010) hypothesized that mixed aggregations of these two species occur when conditions are particularly stressful in terms of heat for mussels, resulting in successful

invasion by *I. nautili*. Our data support this hypothesis. Since areas occupied by the two species were not stressful for mussels because conditions were below their thermal limits, distinct clusters of either *I. nautili* or *B. brevior* occur on edifices based on their differential mobility and ability to occupy productive areas. Alternatively, the lack of mixed aggregations could also be due to the vertical nature of edifices. Mixed aggregations tend to occur where the fauna was present in multiple layers of animals, but monolayers dominated on edifices with substrate clearly visible between individuals. On edifices, stacking on top of one another would be precarious. Furthermore on lavas rising vent fluid percolates through aggregations of animals that can be many layers deep (Podowski et al., 2010) while on edifices, fluid flows upward along the side of an edifice.

4. Conclusion

Edifices on the ELSC/VFR host two distinct types of communities. One type, which covers the majority of edifice surfaces, is very similar to lava communities. Therefore, overall, ELSC/VFR edifice communities are largely extensions of the surrounding lava communities. Within this community, areas with conditions that would support peak rates of chemoautotrophy for any species are relatively rare, and most of the dominant symbiont-containing molluscs do not occupy optimal habitats most of the time. As a result, biological interactions are likely to be very important in determining the observed distributions of animals on edifices.

The second type of edifice community is present on small portions of edifices that are possibly newly formed anhydrite shells, representing a hot, early phase in the formation of a sulfide structure. This community is characterized by a very different microhabitat that is favored by the polynoid, *B. segonzaci* and paralvinellid worms, and occupied by shrimp and Bythograeid crabs. This habitat is actively avoided by the dominant symbiont-containing molluscs. Our limited measurements in these areas indicate high temperatures and therefore tolerances for heat, sulfide and anoxia likely determine which species can survive in this habitat. Animals that are adapted to handle the stressful conditions in this microhabitat could be utilizing free-living chemoautotrophic bacteria as a food source without competition from limpets that appear to prefer dominant symbiont-containing mollusc aggregations and cooler areas of edifices. Polynoids are predators and *B. segonzaci* may specialize in feeding on the fauna found in this habitat. Interactions among the different groups of solitary fauna as well as interactions between the dominant symbiont-containing molluscs and solitary fauna are areas for further research that could further elucidate the drivers of realized distributions of animals on edifice structures.

Acknowledgments

This project was funded by National Science Foundation (NSF) grants OCE02-40985 and OCE07-32333 to C.R.F. and NSF OCE-0240896 and OCE-0732439 to G.W.L. We thank the crews of the R/V *Melville* and the ROV *Jason II* for their expertise, without whom this work would not have been possible. We thank Mustafa Yücel and Tommy Moore for shipboard analysis of voltammetry data. We also thank Eric Post and Alex Klippel for advice on methods and Katriona Shea and three anonymous reviewers for comments and suggestions on the manuscript.

The funding sources did not play a role in study design, collection, analysis, interpretation of data, writing of the report or in the decision to submit the paper for publication.

References

- Baker, E.T., Resing, J.A., Walker, S.L., Martinez, F., Taylor, B., Nakamura, K., 2006. Abundant hydrothermal venting along melt-rich and melt-free ridge segments in the Lau back-arc basin. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 33 (7).
- Beinart, R.A., Sanders, J.G., Faure, B., Sylva, S.P., Lee, R.W., Becker, E.L., Gartman, A., Luther, G.W., Seewald, J.S., Fisher, C.R., Girguis, P.R., 2012. Endosymbiont type drives regional-scale habitat partitioning by hydrothermal vent symbioses. *PNAS* 109 (47), E3241–E3250.
- Bergquist, D.C., Eckner, J.T., Urcuyo, I.A., Cordes, E.E., Hourdez, S., Macko, S.A., Fisher, C.R., 2007. Using stable isotopes and quantitative community characteristics to determine a local hydrothermal vent food web. *Mar. Ecol.-Prog. Ser.* 330, 49–65.
- Both, R., Crook, K., Taylor, B., Brogan, S., Chappell, B., Frankel, E., Liu, L., Sinton, J., Tiffin, D., 1986. Hydrothermal chimneys and associated fauna in the Manus back-arc basin, Papua New Guinea. *EOS* 67 (21), 489–490.
- Chevaldonne, P., Jollivet, D., 1993. Videoscopic study of deep-sea hydrothermal vent alvinellid polychaete populations—biomass estimation and behavior. *Mar. Ecol.-Prog. Ser.* 95 (3), 251–262.
- Clarke, K.R., 1993. Nonparametric multivariate analyses of changes in community structure. *Aust. J. Ecol.* 18 (1), 117–143.
- Clarke, K.R., Warwick, R.M., 2001. *Change in Marine Communities: An Approach to Statistical Analysis and Interpretation*. Plymouth Marine Laboratory, Plymouth, UK.
- Copley, J.T.P., Tyler, P.A., Murton, B.J., Van Dover, C.L., 1997. Spatial and interannual variation in the faunal distribution at Broken Spur vent field (29°N, Mid-Atlantic Ridge). *Mar. Biol.* 129 (4), 723–733.
- Copley, J.T.P., Tyler, P.A., Van Dover, C.L., Schultz, A., Dickson, P., Singh, S., Sulanowska, M., 1999. Subannual temporal variation in faunal distributions at the TAG hydrothermal mound (26°N, Mid-Atlantic Ridge). *Mar. Ecol.* 20 (3–4), 291–306.
- Copley, J.T.P., Jorgensen, P.B.K., Sohn, R.A., 2007. Assessment of decadal-scale ecological change at a deep Mid-Atlantic hydrothermal vent and reproductive time-series in the shrimp *Rimicaris exoculata*. *J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. UK* 87 (04), 859.
- Cuvelier, D., Sarradin, P.-M., Sarrazin, J., Colaço, A., Copley, J.T., Desbruyères, D., Glover, A.G., Santos, R.S., Tyler, P.A., 2011. Hydrothermal faunal assemblages and habitat characterisation at the Eiffel Tower edifice (Lucky Strike, Mid-Atlantic Ridge). *Mar. Ecol.* 32 (2), 243–255.
- Desbruyères, D., Alayse-Danet, A.-M., Ohta, S., cruises, T.S.p.o.B.a.S., 1994. Deep-sea hydrothermal communities in Southwestern Pacific back-arc basins (the North Fiji and Lau Basins): Composition, microdistribution and food webs. *Mar. Geol.* 116, 227–242.
- Desbruyères, D., Almeida, A., Biscoito, M., Comtet, T., Khrapounoff, A., Le Bris, N., Sarradin, P.M., Segonzac, M., 2000. A review of the distribution of hydrothermal vent communities along the northern Mid-Atlantic Ridge: dispersal vs. environmental controls. *Hydrobiologia* 440 (1–3), 201–216.
- Desbruyères, D., Biscoito, M., Caprais, J.C., Colaco, A., Comtet, T., Crassous, P., Fouquet, Y., Khrapounoff, A., Le Bris, N., Olu, K., Riso, R., Sarradin, P.M., Segonzac, M., Vangriesheim, A., 2001. Variations in deep-sea hydrothermal vent communities on the Mid-Atlantic Ridge near the Azores plateau. *Deep-Sea Res. Part I-Oceanogr. Res. Pap.* 48 (5), 1325–1346.
- Dilly, G.F., Young, C.R., Lane, W.S., Pangilinan, J., Girguis, P.R., 2012. Exploring the limit of metazoan thermal tolerance via comparative proteomics: thermally induced changes in protein abundance by two hydrothermal vent polychaetes. *Proc. R. Soc. B-Biol. Sci.* 279 (1741), 3347–3356.
- Dunn, O.J., 1961. Multiple comparisons among means. *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.* 56, 52–64.
- Fabri, M.-C., Bargain, A., Briand, P., Gebruk, A., Fouquet, Y., Morineaux, M., Desbruyères, D., 2011. The hydrothermal vent community of a new deep-sea field, Ashadze-1, 12°58'N on the Mid-Atlantic Ridge. *J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. UK* 91 (01), 1–13.
- Ferrini, V.L., Tivey, M.K., Carbotte, S.M., Martinez, F., Roman, C., 2008. Variable morphologic expression of volcanic, tectonic, and hydrothermal processes at six hydrothermal vent fields in the Lau back-arc basin. *Geochim. Geophys. Geosyst.* 9, 7.
- Fisher, C.R., 1998. Temperature and sulphide tolerance of hydrothermal vent fauna. *Cah. Biol. Mar.* 39 (3–4), 283–286.
- Fouquet, Y., von Stackelberg, U., Charlou, J.L., Donval, J.P., Erzinger, J., Foucher, J.P., Herzing, P., Mühle, R., Soakai, S., Wiedicke, M., Whitechurch, H., 1991a. Hydrothermal activity and metallogenesis in the Lau back-arc basin. *Nature* 349, 778–781.
- Fouquet, Y., von Stackelberg, U., Charlou, J.L., Donval, J.P., Foucher, J.P., Erzinger, J., Herzing, P., Mühle, R., Wiedicke, M., Soakai, S., Whitechurch, H., 1991b. Hydrothermal activity in the Lau Back-arc basin: Sulfides and water chemistry. *Geology* 19, 303–306.
- Fustec, A., Desbruyères, D., Laubier, L., 1988. Estimation de la biomasse des peuplements associés aux sources hydrothermales profondes de la dorsale du Pacifique oriental à 13°N. *Oceanol. Acta* 8, 15–22.
- Gartman, A., Yücel, M., Madison, A.S., Chu, D.W., Ma, S., Janzen, C.P., Becker, E.L., Beinart, R.A., Girguis, P.R., Luther III, G.W., 2011. Sulfide oxidation across diffuse flow zones of hydrothermal vents. *Aquat. Geochem.* 17, 583–601.
- Gebruk, A.V., Southward, E.C., Kennedy, H., Southward, A.J., 2000. Food sources, behaviour, and distribution of hydrothermal vent shrimps at the Mid-Atlantic Ridge. *J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. UK* 80 (3), 485–499.
- Girguis, P.R., Lee, R.W., 2006. Thermal preference and tolerance of alvinellids. *Science* 312 (5771) 231.
- Govenar, B.W., Bergquist, D.C., Urcuyo, I.A., Eckner, J.T., Fisher, C.R., 2002. Three Ridgeia piscesae assemblages from a single Juan de Fuca Ridge sulphide edifice: structurally different and functionally similar. *Cah. Biol. Mar.* 43 (3–4), 247–252.
- Govenar, B., 2010. Shaping vent and seep communities: habitat provision and modification by foundation species. In: Kiel, S. (Ed.), *The Vent and Seep Biota*. Springer, Netherlands, pp. 403–432.
- Graham, U.M., Bluth, G.J., Ohmoto, H., 1988. Sulfide sulfate chimneys on the East Pacific Rise, 11-degrees-n and 13-degrees-n latitudes.1. Mineralogy and paragenesis. *Can. Mineral.* 26, 487–504.
- Harmsen, H.J.M., Prieur, D., Jeanthou, C., 1997. Distribution of microorganisms in deep-sea hydrothermal vent chimneys investigated by whole-cell hybridization and enrichment culture of thermophilic subpopulations. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 63 (7), 2876–2883.
- Haymon, R.M., 1983. Growth history of hydrothermal black smoker chimneys. *Nature* 301 (5902), 695–698.
- Henry, M.S., Childress, J.J., Figueroa, D., 2008. Metabolic rates and thermal tolerances of chemoautotrophic symbioses from Lau Basin hydrothermal vents and their implications for species distributions. *Deep Sea Res. Part I: Oceanogr. Res. Pap.* 55 (5), 679–695.
- Jannasch, H.W., 1995. Microbial interactions with hydrothermal fluids. *Seafloor Hydrothermal Systems: Physical, Chemical, Biological, and Geological Interactions*. AGU, Washington, DC 273–296.
- Juniper, S.K., Martineau, P., 1995. Alvinellids and sulfides at hydrothermal vents of the Eastern Pacific—a review. *Am. Zool.* 35 (2), 174–185.
- Kim, S., Hammerstrom, K., 2012. Hydrothermal vent community zonation along environmental gradients at the Lau back-arc spreading center. *Deep-Sea Res. Part I-Oceanogr. Res. Pap.* 62, 10–19.
- Koski, R.A., Shanks, W.C., Bohrsen, W.A., Oscarson, R.L., 1988. The composition of massive sulfide deposits from the sediment-covered floor of Escanaba trough, Gorda Ridge—implications for depositional processes. *Can. Mineral.* 26, 655–673.
- Lee, R.W., 2003. Thermal tolerances of deep-sea hydrothermal vent animals from the Northeast Pacific. *Biol. Bull.* 205 (2), 98–101.
- Lenihan, H.S., Mills, S.W., Mullineaux, L.S., Peterson, C.H., Fisher, C.R., Micheli, F., 2008. Biotic interactions at hydrothermal vents: Recruitment inhibition by the mussel *Bathymodiolus thermophilus*. *Deep-Sea Res. Part I-Oceanogr. Res. Pap.* 55 (12), 1707–1717.
- Levesque, C., Juniper, S.K., Marcus, J., 2003. Food resource partitioning and competition among alvinellid polychaetes of Juan de Fuca Ridge hydrothermal vents. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 246, 173.
- Luther, G.W., Rozan, T.F., Tallefert, M., Nuzzio, D.B., Di Meo, C., Shank, T.M., Lutz, R.A., Cary, S.C., 2001. Chemical speciation drives hydrothermal vent ecology. *Nature* 410 (6830), 813–816.
- Luther III, G.W., Glazer, B.T., Ma, S., Trouwborst, R.E., Moore, T.S., Metzger, E., Kraiya, C., Waite, T.J., Druschel, G., Sundby, B., Tallefert, M., Nuzzio, D.B., Shank, T.M., Lewis, B.L., Brendel, P.J., 2008. Use of voltammetric solid-state (micro)electrodes for studying biogeochemical processes: laboratory measurements to real time measurements with an in situ electrochemical analyzer (ISEA). *Mar. Chem.* 108, 221–235.
- Luther III, G.W., Gartman, A., Yücel, M., Madison, A.S., Moore, T.S., Nees, H.A., Nuzzio, D.B., Sen, A., Lutz, R.A., Shank, T.M., Fisher, C.R., 2012. Chemistry, temperature, and faunal distributions at diffuse-flow hydrothermal vents: comparison of two geologically distinct ridge systems. *Oceanography* 25 (1), 234–245.
- Micheli, F., Peterson, C.H., Mullineaux, L.S., Fisher, C.R., Mills, S.W., Sancho, G., Johnson, G.A., Lenihan, H.S., 2002. Predation structures communities at deep-sea hydrothermal vents. *Ecol. Monogr.* 72 (3), 365–382.
- Martinez, F., Taylor, B., Baker, E.T., Resing, J.A., Walker, S.L., 2006. Opposing trends in crustal thickness and spreading rate along the back-arc Eastern Lau Spreading Center: Implications for controls on ridge morphology, faulting, and hydrothermal activity. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 245 (3–4), 655–672.
- Mottl, M.J., Seewald, J.S., Wheat, C.G., Tivey, M.K., Michael, P.J., Proskurowski, G., McCollom, T.M., Reeves, E., Sharkey, J., You, C.F., Chan, L.H., Pichler, T., 2011. Chemistry of hot springs along the Eastern Lau Spreading Center. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 75 (4), 1013–1038.
- Mullaugh, K.M., Luther, G.W., Ma, S., Moore, T.S., Yücel, M., Becker, E.L., Podowski, E.L., Fisher, C.R., Trouwborst, R.E., Pierson, B.K., 2008. Voltammetric (micro)electrodes for the in situ study of Fe(2+) oxidation kinetics in hot springs and S₂O₃²⁻ production at hydrothermal vents. *Electroanalysis* 20 (3), 280–290.
- Pearce, J.A., Ernewein, M., Bloomer, S.H., Parson, L.M., Murton, B.J., Johnson, L.E., 1994. Geochemistry of Lau Basin volcanic rocks: influence of ridge segmentation and arc proximity. In: Smellie, J.L. (Ed.), *Volcanism Associated With Extension at Consuming Plate Margins*. Geological Society Special Publications, pp. 53–75.

- Pizarro, O., Singh, H., 2003. Toward large-area mosaicing for underwater scientific applications. *IEEE J. Oceanic Eng.* 28 (4), 651–672.
- Podowski, E.L., Ma, S., Luther, G.W., Wardrop, D., Fisher, C.R., 2010. Biotic and abiotic factors affecting distributions of megafauna in diffuse flow on andesite and basalt along the Eastern Lau Spreading Center, Tonga. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 418, 25–45.
- Podowski, E.L., Moore, T.S., Zelnio, K.A., Luther, G.W., Fisher, C.R., 2009. Distribution of diffuse flow megafauna in two sites on the Eastern Lau Spreading Center, Tonga. *Deep Sea Res. Part I: Oceanogr. Res. Pap.* 56 (11), 2041–2056.
- Rona, P.A., Klinkhammer, G., Nelsen, T.A., Trefry, J.H., Elderfield, H., 1986. Black smokers, massive sulfides and vent biota at the mid-atlantic ridge. *Nature* 321 (6065), 33–37.
- Sarrazin, J., Juniper, S.K., 1999. Biological characteristics of a hydrothermal edifice mosaic community. *Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser.* 185, 1–10.
- Shank, T.M., Fornari, D.J., Von Damm, K.L., Lilley, M.D., Haymon, R.M., Lutz, R.A., 1998. Temporal and spatial patterns of biological community development at nascent deep-sea hydrothermal vents (9°50'N, East Pacific Rise). *Deep Sea Res. Part II: Top. Stud. Oceanogr.* 45, 465–515.
- Stein, J.L., Cary, S.C., Hessler, R.R., Ohta, S., Vetter, R.D., Childress, J.J., Felbeck, H., 1988. Chemoautotrophic symbiosis in a hydrothermal vent gastropod. *Biol. Bull.* 174, 373–378.
- Takai, K., Nunoura, T., Ishibashi, J.I., Lupton, J., Suzuki, R., Hamasaki, H., Ueno, Y., Kawagucci, S., Gamo, T., Suzuki, Y., Hirayama, H., Horikoshi, K., 2008. Variability in the microbial communities and hydrothermal fluid chemistry at the newly discovered Mariner hydrothermal field, southern Lau Basin. *J. Geophys. Res.-Biogeosci.* 113 (G2).
- Taylor, B., Zellmer, K., Martinez, F., Goodliffe, A., 1996. Sea-floor spreading in the Lau back-arc basin. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 144 (1–2), 35–40.
- Tivey, M.K., Delaney, J.R., 1986. Growth of large sulfide structures on the Endeavor segment of the Juan-de-Fuca Ridge. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* 77 (3–4), 303–317.
- Tivey, M.K., McDuff, R.E., 1990. Mineral precipitation in the walls of black smoker chimneys: a quantitative model of transport and chemical reaction. *J. Geophys. Res.* 95 (B8), 12617–12637.
- Tivey, M.K., Becker, E.L., Beinart, R., Fisher, C.R., Girguis, P.R., Langmuir, C.H., Michael, P.J., Reysenbach, A.-L., 2012. Links from mantle to microbe at the Lau Integrated Study Site: insights from a back-arc spreading center. *Oceanography* 25 (1), 62–77.
- Tsurumi, M., 1998. The application of Geographical Information Systems to biological studies at hydrothermal vents. *Cah. Biol. Mar.* 39 (3–4), 263–266.
- Tufar, W., 1989. Modern hydrothermal activity, formation of massive complex sulfide deposits and associated vent communities in the Manus back-arc basin (Bismarck Sea, Papua New Guinea). *Mitt. Österr. Geol. Ges.* 82, 183–210.
- Zelnio, K.A., Rodriguez, E., Daly, M., 2009. Hexacorals (Anthozoa: Actiniaria, Zoanthidea) from hydrothermal vents in the south-western Pacific. *Mar. Biol. Res.* 5 (6), 547–571.