Segregation of wood particles in a bubbling fluidized bed

Benjamin Cluet, Guillain Mauviel, Yann Rogaume, Olivier Authier, Arnaud Delebarre

► To cite this version:

Benjamin Cluet, Guillain Mauviel, Yann Rogaume, Olivier Authier, Arnaud Delebarre. Segregation of wood particles in a bubbling fluidized bed. *Fuel Processing Technology*, 2015, 133, pp.80-88. 10.1016/j.fuproc.2014.12.045 . hal-01250404

HAL Id: hal-01250404

<https://hal.science/hal-01250404>

Submitted on 5 Jan 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution - NonCommercial - NoDerivatives| 4.0 International License

Segregation of wood particles in a bubbling fluidized bed

B. Cluet^a, G. Mauviel^{b*}, Y. Rogau^a, O. Authier^c and A. Delebarre^d

^aLERMAB, Université de Lorraine, 27 rue Philippe Séguin; 88051 Epinal, France

^{b*} LRGP, CNRS, Université de Lorraine, 1 rue Granville, 54000 Nancy, France

^c EDF R&D, 6 Quai Watier, 78400 Chatou, France

^d LEMTA, CNRS, Université de Lorraine, 2 av. de la Forêt de Haye, 54504 Vandoeuvre les
Nancy, France

Abstract

Understanding wood segregation in bubbling fluidized beds is of importance for the global analysis, comprehensive modeling, and scale-up of bubbling fluidized bed biomass gasifiers. This study presents measurements of voidage and segregation taken during experiments in fluidized beds containing inert materials mixed with biomass particles. The features of the wood particles affect the bed axial homogeneity; a small particle size or a high density increases homogeneity. When gas velocity is low, the biomass particles are more segregated from olivine compared to the results achieved with larger velocities. In all experiments, a significant portion of the wood remains within the bed (50-80%), while the rest of the biomass floats at the bed surface. The experimental modeling accurately represents the voidage of the bed, with the exception of the upper part of the bed and the floating portion of the biomass particles. The results of the present study are in agreement with recent publications.

Keywords

Fluidization, segregation, biomass, wood, mixing, voidage, pressure analysis

1. Introduction

The gasification or combustion of wood chips in fluidized beds necessitates the use of an inert bed material in which wood particles are introduced. These mixtures of inert materials with “wood/char” particles have complex fluidization behaviors. For instance, Delebarre et al. [1] pointed out that the minimum fluidization velocity concept is not straightforward for these type of binary mixtures.

Understanding wood segregation in bubbling fluidized beds remains important for the global analysis, comprehensive modeling, and scale-up of bubbling fluidized bed gasifiers [2]. This phenomenon is strongly coupled with wood thermochemical reactions (pyrolysis and gasification) and bed hydrodynamics [3]. Segregation is likely to occur when there is a large difference in drag per unit weight between different solid particles.

The segregation of thick wood samples such as chips or pellets in sand beds has been less studied compared to pyrolysis/gasification/combustion kinetics [4–15] or fluidized bed hydrodynamics [1,3,16–21]. Some studies conducted on solid mixing in fluidized beds have focused on particles with the same density but different shapes or diameters [22–24] or particles with the same shape but different densities [22].

Norouzi et al. [25] studied the solid mixing pattern in a bubbling fluidized bed using a radioactive tracer with the same density as the bed material. This radioactive particle tracking method for visualizing the fluidized bed interior is an efficient technique, although it involves complex materials. Recently, Fotovat et al. [26] used the same experimental setup to analyze wood distribution in a sand fluidized bed; measurements were taken with a single tracer particle.

They found a wood distribution all along the bed (Figure 9) and better gas mixing with increasing velocity.

Wirsum et al. [27] studied light and large particles in sand using a magnetic field to track particles. Vertical mixing was improved by smaller and denser flotsams along with small sand particle diameters and high superficial velocities. Zhang et al. [28] investigated wood segregation and mixing in a fluidized bed. The results were based on three-layer sampling in a bed with a height of 300 mm. They also found that gas velocity affects segregation. In the regime of steady fluidization, mixing and segregation compete with each other, and there is a gas velocity that produces the maximum mixing for any given mixture.

Bruni et al. [29] studied the segregation of biomass particles in an incipient bubbling fluidized bed. Biomass particles tend to segregate at the upper part of bed due to endogenous bubbles generated by devolatilization.

Some studies have been conducted on lateral mixing in fluidized beds [30–32]. Olsson et al. [31] investigated wood and sand mixing, demonstrating that lateral dispersion is strongly dependent on bubble path. Wood concentration is more significant between bubble paths, and lateral dispersion is higher in the middle part of the bed than close to the surface. In addition, lateral dispersion is lower than vertical dispersion [30].

Segregation has often been quantitatively evaluated by a coefficient of segregation or a mixing index. The mixing index is defined by the ratio of the jetsam (i.e., solids that occupy the bottom of the bed) concentration in the upper layer to the average jetsam concentration [33]. Experimental evidence [34,35] has shown that the solid composition along the bed is generally not uniquely represented by this ratio. Hemati et al. [34] suggested using a relationship based on the integration of the concentration profile along the bed.

The aim of this work is to present an experimental study on axial segregation of thick wood in a relatively large lab-scale bubbling fluidized bed (i.e., diameter of 242 mm) to limit the wall effect (the ratio of the particle equivalent spherical diameter to the bed diameter is at least equal to 18). As discussed below, some similar experimental studies have already been performed, but previous results were obtained mainly using single particle tracking or thick layer sampling. This study is based on simple pressure analyses and local bed sampling with 50-mm-thick layers. The effects of several parameters related to wood particles are also studied (i.e., size, shape and density). Endogenous bubble formation is not studied herein, even though this phenomenon could impact segregation in real fluidized bed gasifiers.

2. Materials, methods and calculation

2.1. Materials

2.1.1. Experimental device

Experiments were carried out in a cold cylindrical fluidized bed composed of five parts: (i) an inlet gas system, (ii) a gas distributor, (iii) a fluidized bed column, (iv) a wood injection system and (v) a data acquisition system. A schematic representation of the experimental setup is given in the Supplementary Material (Figure 1).

The fluidized bed was a cylindrical PMMA vessel with an inner diameter of 242 mm, a height of 2.5 m, and a thickness of 8 mm. This vessel was drilled on a vertical line each 50 mm to create pressure transducer inlets. The first hole was drilled 13 mm from the air distributor. Stainless steel pipe (8-mm external diameters, 3-mm internal diameters, and 40-mm lengths) were placed in each of these holes. To prevent bed leakage, filters were inserted in all of the pipes.

A PMMA distributor plate with a diameter of 242 mm and a height of 10 mm was perforated by 230 holes with diameters of 2.5 mm arranged in a square pitch in order to uniformly distribute the fluidization gas and avoid channeling and/or slugging. A cloth filter was placed over the air

distributor plate to prevent the inert bed particles from falling down. The pressure drop of the air distributor was approximately 2500 Pa at 0.3 m/s.

An air box was included between the blower and the distributor plate to homogenize the air flow and obtain good fluidization. This plenum was a cylindrical PMMA vessel with an inner diameter of 242 mm and a height of 510 mm divided by a plate perforated by 5-mm holes. On the upper part of this plate, a layer of stainless steel marbles (15-mm diameter) was employed to homogenize air flow (Supplementary Material Figure 1).

The feeding gas was supplied by a side channel blower (MPR, 2.2 kW, 2900 rpm). The blower velocity was controlled by a variable frequency drive (Leroy Somer FMV2304). The velocity of the gas was measured by a hot wire anemometer (0-30 m/s) coupled to a computer for acquisition. The experimental setup was run between 0.04 m/s and 0.55 m/s; this velocity is equivalent to 0.3-6.8 of the minimum fluidization velocity (U_{mf}) depending on the olivine properties.

As shown in Figure 1 of the Supplementary Material, the bed was divided into 50-mm-thick layers delimited by pressure pipes. The pressure acquisition system was composed of eight pressure transducers (Honeywell ascx0-5dn and ascx0-1dn) connected to a USB data acquisition device (NI USB-6009). The employed transducers were differential pressure sensors; one input was connected to the bed, while the second input was opened and thus was at ambient pressure.

2.1.2. Bed material

The inert bed material used in all experiments was composed of non-ferrous olivine (supplied by Sibelco Company North Cape Mineral, Norway). The olivine density and other characteristics are summarized in Table 1. Two types of olivine were used in this study: coarse olivine, which was sieved between 0.25 mm and 0.75 mm before each experiment, and fine olivine, which was

passed through 0.25-mm mesh. The fine olivine fraction was fluidized at high velocity ($>7 U_{mf}$) to remove the very fine particles.

These particle fractions are the same as those used in hot gasifiers. Using the same particles in a cold fluidized bed implies the failure to comply with the scale-up criteria proposed by Glicksman et al. [36]. However, as mentioned by Leckner et al. [37], it is not a simple task to find perfectly suitable particles to meet these criteria.

The olivine particle size distribution (Supplementary Material Figure 3) was measured by laser light scattering with a Malvern Mastersizer Hydro 2000 analyzer. The results obtained from this method were confirmed by comparison with microscope image analysis. These olivine particles were classified as Geldart type B because their size and density ranges were within 40-500 μm and 1400-4500 kg/m^3 , respectively. Olivine sphericity was obtained from the image analysis of approximately 400 particles for each type of olivine. For each particle, three diameters were measured: (i) d_{min} , the smallest dimension of particle; (ii) d_{max} , the largest dimension of particle; and (iii) d_{mean} , the mean diameter of particle. Two ratios, $\frac{d_{mean}}{d_{max}}$ and $\frac{d_{min}}{d_{mean}}$, were calculated and are drawn on the Zingg diagram [38] modified by Lees [39] (Supplementary Material Figure 2).

2.1.3. Wood

Two types of wood were used in the segregation experiments: beech wood (685 kg/m^3), a typical wood for bioenergy applications, and balsa wood (190 kg/m^3), which has approximately the same density as char from beech wood. The advantage of balsa wood is that it is not friable compared to wood char.

Two particles shapes were selected for the experiments: cylindrical shape (dowels), with a sphericity equal to 0.77, and chip-like shape, with a sphericity equal to 0.50. These two shapes were chosen to assess the effect of particle shape on segregation. For balsa particles, two sizes

were used to study the effect of size on segregation. All wood properties are summarized in Table 2 and Figure 1.

Three geometrical characteristics (equivalent spherical diameter (d_v), sphericity (Φ_s), and effective diameter (d_{eff})) were calculated from the particle dimensions using Eqs. (1), (2), and (3), respectively.

$$d_v = 2 * \left(\frac{3\pi V_{particle}}{4} \right)^{\frac{1}{3}} \quad (1)$$

$$\Phi_s = \frac{\pi d_v^2}{S_{particle}} \quad (2)$$

$$d_{eff} = d_v \Phi_s \quad (3)$$

The wood minimum fluidization velocity was calculated using the correlation of Chitester et al. [40] (Appendix 1).

2.2. Experimental method

The experimental setup was run for approximately 30 min with olivine in order to rearrange the bed structure from fixed bed to steady fluidized bed. During this period, the temperature increased slowly inside the bed due to blower air friction, reaching a final temperature of approximately 25°C. The measurements were taken when steady state was achieved.

2.2.1. Minimum fluidization velocity

The minimum fluidization velocity is the smallest superficial gas velocity at which the pressure drop is equal to the bed weight per unit surface area. An olivine bed with a height of 265 mm (20.9 kg) was used to measure the minimum fluidization velocity. After fluidizing the bed vigorously at a high superficial gas velocity (approximately 3.5 U_{mf} for coarse olivine and

6.5 U_{mf} for fine olivine), the total pressure drop was measured with “P transducer #1” for different velocity values (from 0.4 m/s to 0 m/s). To determine U_{mf} , a horizontal line corresponding to the average of the high velocity pressure drop was plotted, and a linear fit for low velocity pressure drop was plotted; the intersection of these two lines corresponds to U_{mf} (Supplementary Material Figure 4). This velocity was determined only for the olivine bed. In this study, olivine U_{mf} is used as a reference to characterize inlet gas velocity.

2.2.2. Voidage

The voidage is the fraction of gas in a bed. This parameter can be defined for the entire bed or for a layer “i” in the bed (Eq. (4)).

$$\epsilon_i = \frac{V_{g,i}}{V_i} \quad (4)$$

Two techniques were used to calculate voidage: one technique for the bed of olivine without wood, and a second for the bed of olivine with wood.

Olivine without wood

Voidage was calculated from the pressure data (Eq. (5)).

$$\epsilon_i = 1 - \left(\frac{\frac{\Delta P_i}{g \cdot h_i}}{\rho_{ol}} \right) \quad (5)$$

The voidage calculation requires that two parameters be known: the thickness of each layer and the olivine density. This calculation was performed for all layers except the upper one because its thickness was unknown.

Olivine and wood mixture

The first experimental method is valid only for a single-component bed. For a binary mixture, the repartition of each type of solid is necessary to determine the voidage. This experiment is divided into two steps. In the first step, the mixture was fluidized for 10 min, and the pressure and velocity were then recorded. The bed expanded slightly during fluidization due to the increase in voidage from the initial voidage to the minimum fluidization voidage. The average bed height during fluidization was $h_{b,f}$. At the end of this step, the air supply was shut down suddenly (0.5 s), and the bed fell down without the rearrangement of particles. The bed height was then equal to the fixed state bed height ($h_{b,s}$).

The second experimental step involved the sampling and sieving of each layer in bed. The data were then compared between the fluidization results and the sampling results. It is necessary to calculate an expansion factor f_{exp} (Eq. (6)) that represents the height evolution between the fixed and fluidized states. To compare the results from solid sampling and pressure analysis, it is necessary to extrapolate the sampling data from the height at a fixed state ($h_{b,s}$) to the fluidized height ($h_{b,f}$). This extrapolation induces the assumption that the layer characteristics are identical.

$$f_{exp} = \frac{h_{b,f}}{h_{b,s}} \quad (6)$$

This analysis enables the determination of the evolution of the wood fraction in the fluidized bed. All calculations are summarized as follows. The voidage of each layer was calculated by Eq. (7).

$$\epsilon_i = 1 - \frac{\rho_{app,i}}{\rho_{ol}} \cdot \left(1 - \omega_i \cdot \left(1 - \frac{\rho_{ol}}{\rho_w} \right) \right) \quad (7)$$

Several parameters are needed for this calculation; the local wood mass fraction ω_i can be deduced using wood mass sampling data for each layer (Eq. (8)).

$$\omega_i = \frac{m_{i,w}}{m_i} \quad (8)$$

192 The wood mass fraction must be calculated for each layer. The height of the layer during sieving
 193 is the standstill height and not the fluidized height; to account for this discrepancy, the height of
 194 sieving is corrected with f_{exp} (Eq. (9)).

$$h_{i,f} = f_{exp} h_{i,s} \quad (9)$$

195 The apparent density ρ_{app} of each layer was calculated from the determined pressures using Eq.
 196 (10).

$$\rho_{app,i} = \frac{\Delta P_i}{g h_{i,f}} \quad (10)$$

197 2.2.3. Segregation

198 The segregation experimental methods were the same as those for the voidage calculation of
 199 the wood and olivine mixture. The layer wood ratio is defined as the volume of wood in layer
 200 “k” to the total volume of wood in bed (Eq. (11)).

$$x_k = \frac{V_{k,w}}{\sum_i V_{i,w}} = \frac{V_{k,w}}{V_w}, \quad \sum_i x_i = 1 \quad (11)$$

201 Rowe and Nienow [41] used a definition of local mixing index IDM calculated here as the mass
 202 ratio of wood particles (ω_i) in the layer divided by the mean mass ratio of wood in the bed ($\bar{\omega}$)
 203 (Eq. (12)).

$$IDM_i = \frac{\omega_i}{\bar{\omega}} \quad (12)$$

204 The index IDM was used to calculate the global mixing index M (Eq (13)) [42], where N is the
 205 total number of layers in the bed. In this study, the M index was below “1” (perfectly mixed bed)
 206 and could have negative values (highly segregated bed).

$$M = 1 - \left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^N (IDM_i - 1)^2}{N(1 - \bar{\omega})} \right)^{0.5} \quad (13)$$

This ratio is strongly dependent of the choice of layer thickness. To limit the effect of this choice and obtain an index that varies between 0 and 100%, a new index (H_{moy}) was defined as the average height of wood in the bed divided by the fluidized bed height (Eq.(14)):

$$H_{moy} = \frac{\sum_i V_{w,i} \bar{h}_i}{V_w h_{b,f}} * 100 \quad (14)$$

If wood is totally segregated to the bed surface, H_{moy} tends to 100%, while H_{moy} tends to 0% if the wood is totally segregated near the distributor. If the bed is well mixed, $H_{moy} = 50\%$; however, if $H_{moy} = 50\%$, the bed is not necessarily well mixed. For instance, the segregation of wood particles in the middle of the bed would also lead to $H_{moy} = 50\%$.

3. Results and discussion

The employed operating conditions are summarized in Table 3. For olivine/wood mixtures, the global volume ratio was generally equal to $0.075 m_{wood}^3 / m_{wood+olivine}^3$. According to Fotovat et al. [26], the influence of the global volume ratio on segregation is actually quite low.

3.1. Minimum fluidization velocity

The measured U_{mf} is equal to 0.137 m/s for coarse olivine and 0.082 m/s for fine olivine (Supplementary Material Figure 4). These U_{mf} values correspond to mean diameters (d_v) of 373 μm for coarse olivine and 233 μm for fine olivine calculated by the correlation of Chitester et al. [40] (Appendix 1). These values are very close to the mean diameters measured by Malvern analysis (Table 1).

3.2. Voidage

As explained in section 2.2.2, an expansion factor was calculated from the fixed bed height determination and from the fluidized bed height obtained by pressure measurements. For low velocities (less than $3.15 U_{mf}$), the expansion factor f_{exp} was approximately 1.22 regardless of

the experimental features. For higher velocities, f_{exp} was approximately 1.25. This variance in f_{exp} is not significant, which make sense because the olivine particles are classified in Geldart group B. Because f_{exp} was quite constant, the global voidage of the bed was also quite constant; however, it is interesting to take a closer look at the local voidage along the bed, which was not uniform.

Olivine without wood

Figures 2 and 3 show the voidage profiles of the deep and shallow beds of coarse olivine and the shallow bed of fine olivine. For these three series of experiments for olivine without wood, the bottom part (0-60%) of the bed was less porous than the upper part. The highest voidage was found for the layer just below the surface. For fine olivine, the voidage was less variable along the bed height, with a minimum voidage of 0.54 and a maximum voidage of 0.59.

Olivine and wood mixture

The voidage profiles of olivine and wood mixtures were derived from Eqs. (7)-(10). Figure 2 presents the profiles for different mixtures of coarse olivine and wood, indicating that no difference in voidage was observed among all experiments.

The voidage trend observed for fine olivine was very different (Figure 3); the voidage decreased with height and was below 60% in the top layer, whereas the voidage exceeded 65% for coarse olivine in the same layer. At $2.15 U_{mf}$, the presence of balsa chips affects bed voidage, especially in the upper layer.

Figures 2 and 3 also compare the modeling and experimental results. The experimental voidage results differ from the modeling estimates (Appendix 1), which indicate a continuous decrease in voidage with height due to increasing bubble size.

For coarse olivine (Figure 2), the employed correlations are quite good for predicting the voidage at the bottom part of the bed (0-50%); however, in the upper part, the voidage is underestimated. This may be due to bubble splitting near the splash zone, which is not accounted for by the relationships used to estimate voidage. For fine olivine (Figure 3), the prediction is quite good for the bottom part of the bed, where voidage is high; however, the voidage is underestimated all along the bed.

Fotovat et al. [43] demonstrated that the accumulation of biomass particles in the top layers of the bed causes the bubbles to break, whereas the voidage of the emulsion phase significantly deviates from the ϵ_{mf} of olivine due to the abundance of biomass particles in the top half of the bed. Our experiments confirmed these results and showed that the “bubble breakage” phenomenon seems to also occur for olivine alone.

3.3. Segregation

The first set of experiments was carried out using a shallow bed of coarse olivine ($h_{b,s} = 265$ mm) with four different types of wood and two gas velocities ($2.15 U_{mf}$ and $3.35 U_{mf}$). The second set of experiments was performed using a deep bed of coarse olivine ($h_{b,s}=391$ mm) with beech dowels. The third set of experiments was performed with a shallow bed of fine olivine ($h_{b,s} = 265$ mm) with different velocities and wood shapes. These experiments were performed in order to compare the effects of different features on segregation. To compare the results of the different experiments, H_{moy} was calculated for each experiment (Eq.(14)).

Effect of bed height

The beds were mixtures of coarse olivine and beech dowels with global volume ratios of 0.075 $m_{wood}^3/m_{wood+olivine}^3$ for the shallow bed and 0.099 $m_{wood}^3/m_{wood+olivine}^3$ for the deep bed. Because of this slight difference, it is preferred to compute the layer wood ratio profiles (Figure

4). No major difference in segregation was observed between the two bed heights. H_{moy} differs slightly between the two experiments (64% for the shallow bed and 73% for the deep bed). Wood was present all along the bed, with a higher concentration in the upper part and very few particles close to the gas distributor.

Effect of wood shape

For shape experiments, the bed was composed of coarse olivine (initial bed height = 265 mm, velocity = $2.15 U_{mf}$). Figure 5 shows that the mixing was slightly better for the beech chips ($H_{moy} = 66\%$) than for the beech dowels ($H_{moy} = 73\%$). This phenomenon could be explained by the elongated shape of the chips, which allows them to assume vertical positions and sink into the bed.

Effects of wood density

Figure 6 shows the effects of gas velocity and wood density on the segregation for coarse olivine. Two types of wood (balsa, 159 kg/m^3 and beech, 685 kg/m^3) and two velocities (2.15 and $3.35 U_{mf}$) were employed. At the low velocity, higher density improved mixing : H_{moy} for beech wood at low velocity was 66%, while that of balsa was 85%. For the higher velocity, density had only a minor effect on segregation phenomena : H_{moy} was 68% for beech wood and 75% for balsa wood. In all cases, the mixing was better for beech particles. The “well mixed line” drawn in Figure 6 represents a constant wood volume fraction ($H_{moy} = 50\%$).

Effect of wood size

Coarse olivine and balsa were used to study the effect of wood size on mixing (Figure 7). Two velocities were used for each particle size. As previously discussed, the higher velocity resulted in better mixing for the large balsa chips : at the low velocity, H_{moy} was 85%, while it was 75% at the high velocity. For small balsa chips, better mixing was also observed at the higher velocity

: H_{moy} was 72% at the low velocity, while it was 64% at the high velocity. Size had an effect on segregation : a small wood size improved mixing.

Influence of gas velocity

The influence of velocity was already shown in Figures 6 and 7. However, in those experiments, the maximum velocity was quite low ($3.35 U_{mf}$). To further study the effect of velocity on mixing, fine olivine and beech dowels were investigated at three velocities : 2.7, 5, and $6.48 U_{mf}$ (Figure 8). At the low velocity ($2.7 U_{mf}$), H_{moy} was 81%, while it was 63% at the medium velocity ($5 U_{mf}$) and 61% at the high velocity ($6.48 U_{mf}$). Thus, mixing is increased greatly with increasing velocity.

All H_{moy} values and their corresponding experimental operating conditions are summarized in Table 3. In all experiments, the partial segregation of wood was observed; a significant mass of wood (20-50%) floated at the bed surface, while the other part (50-80%) was partially mixed in the bed. The reduction in the difference in density between the solids seems to improve mixing at low velocity (i.e., a reduction in biomass particle density increases particle segregation). At a higher velocity, the effect of density seems less important. A smaller wood size also improves mixing. Figure 9 shows that these results are close to those obtained by Fotovat et al. (Table 4) [26] using similar experimental conditions (approximately $3 U_{mf}$). The main differences are observed in the upper part of bed; this could be explained by the fact that the wood volume fraction is slightly higher in our study ($0.034 \text{ m}^3_{\text{wood}}/\text{m}^3_{\text{bed}}$). The effect of fluidization velocity is less important in our study than in that of Fotovat et al.; however, the velocity range is also different.

4. Conclusion

This study presents the results of voidage and segregation experiments in fluidized beds of inert materials and wood particles. Two types of inert materials (fine and coarse olivine) with two different minimum fluidization velocities were employed. For the same superficial velocity, the coarse olivine presents a more variable voidage along the height of the bed. These inert materials were then mixed with two types of wood. For the coarse olivine, the presence of wood had no significant effect on the voidage. For fine olivine, the wood affected the voidage, resulting in decreased voidage in the upper part of the bed.

The segregation experiments show that the features of the wood affect the axial homogeneity of the mixture: mixing is increased by small particle sphericity and high wood density. The fluidization velocity also affects the mixture: when the velocity was low ($< 3 U_{mf}$), the biomass particles tended to be more segregated than when the velocity was higher than $3 U_{mf}$ (except for beech chips in coarse olivine). At $6.5 U_{mf}$, the mixing quality was only slightly better than at $5 U_{mf}$. In all experiments, an important portion of the wood remained within the bed (50-80%), while the rest of biomass floated at the bed surface.

The voidage of the bottom part of the bed was well represented by usual correlations, while the voidage fraction in the upper part of the bed was underestimated. The results of the present study are in agreement with recently published results in the literature.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors acknowledge the financial support of ANR (Agence Nationale de la Recherche) to the GAMECO project that aims to better understand and model biomass gasifiers.

342 NOMENCLATURE AND UNITS

343 d : diameter (m)

344 IDM : local mixing index

345 f_{exp} : expansion factor (dimensionless)

346 g : gravitational acceleration ($m.s^{-2}$)

347 h : height (m)

348 H_{moy} : average biomass normalized height

349 m : mass (kg)

350 M : global mixing index (dimensionless)

351 ΔP : pressure drop (Pa)

352 u : velocity (m/s)

353 V : volume (m^3)

354 x : wood ratio ($m^3_{wood} / m^3_{wood\ tot}$)

355

356 Greek symbols

357 ϵ : voidage (dimensionless)

358 n : wood volume fraction ($m^3_{wood} / m^3_{wood+olivine}$)

359 n' : wood volume fraction in Fotovat et al. [26] (m^3_{wood} / m^3_{layer})

360 ρ : density ($kg.m^{-3}$)

361 ω : wood fraction (kg_{wood} / kg_{layer})

362 $\bar{\omega}$: mean wood fraction (kg_{wood} / kg_{bed})

363 Φ : sphericity (dimensionless)

364

365 Subscripts

366 app : apparent

367 b: bed
368 bubble: bubble
369 eff: effective
370 f: fluidized
371 g: gas
372 *i* : layer number
373 max: maximum
374 mean: mean
375 min: minimum
376 mf: minimum fluidization
377 *ol*: olivine
378 p: particle
379 s: standstill
380 tot: total (in the whole bed)
381 v: volume
382 w: wood
383 0: initial
384

385 APPENDICES

386

387 Appendix 1: Hydrodynamics correlations

388 Archimedes number

$$389 \quad Ar = \frac{d_p^3 \cdot \rho_{gas} g (\rho_{ol} - \rho_{gas})}{\mu_{gas}^2}$$

390 Minimum fluidization Reynolds number (Re_{mf}) correlated by Chitester et al. [40]

$$391 \quad Re_{mf} = ((28.7)^2 + 0.0494 \cdot Ar)^{0.5} - 28.7$$

392 Minimum fluidization voidage correlated by the Ergun equation [16]

$$393 \quad \frac{1.75}{\epsilon_{mf}^3 \cdot \Phi} \cdot Re_{mf}^2 + \frac{150(1-\epsilon_{mf})}{\epsilon_{mf}^3 \cdot \Phi^2} Re_{mf} = Ar$$

394 Initial bubble diameter correlated by Mori and Wen[44] (cm.s⁻¹)

$$395 \quad d_{bubble_0} = \frac{2.78}{10^2 g} \left((u_{gas} - u_{mf}) \right)^2$$

396 Maximum bubble diameter correlated by Mori and Wen[44] (cm.s⁻¹)

$$397 \quad d_{bubble_{max}} = 0.652 \left(\frac{\pi}{4} d_{bottom}^2 (u_{gas} - u_{mf}) \right)^{0.4}$$

398 Bubble diameter at height “h” correlated by Mori and Wen [44] (cm.s⁻¹)

$$399 \quad d_{bubble} = d_{bubble_{max}} - e^{\frac{0.3h}{d_{bed}}} (d_{bubble_{max}} - d_{bubble_0})$$

400 Single bubble velocity correlated by Davidson and Harrison [45] (cm.s⁻¹)

401 $u_{br} = 0.711(g \cdot d_{bubble})^{0.5}$

402 Bubble velocity correlated by Davidson and Harrison [45] (cm.s⁻¹)

403 $u_{bubble} = u_{br} + (u_{gas} - u_{mf})$

404 Bubble fraction in the bed with deviation factor (Y) from two-phase theory.

405 $frac_{bubble} = Y \frac{(u_{gas_{bm}} - u_{mf_{ol}})}{u_{bubble}}$

406 Deviation factor from two-phase theory [46]

407 $Y = 2.27Ar^{-0.21}$

408 Emulsion voidage from two-phase theory:

409 $\epsilon_{emulsion} = \epsilon_{mf}$

410 The average voidage of bed is calculated as follows:

411 $\epsilon = frac_{bubble} + (1 - frac_{bubble})\epsilon_{emulsion}$

412 Appendix 2: Supplementary materials

413 AUTHOR INFORMATION

414 Corresponding Author

415 *Corresponding author: guillain.mauviel@univ-lorraine.fr.

416 REFERENCES

- 417 [1] A.B. Delebarre, A. Pavinato, J.C. Leroy, Fluidization and mixing of solids distributed in
418 size and density, *Powder Technol.* 80 (1994) 227–233. doi:10.1016/S0032-
419 5910(94)80007-3.
- 420 [2] H. Cui, J.R. Grace, Fluidization of biomass particles: A review of experimental
421 multiphase flow aspects, *Chem. Eng. Sci.* 62 (2007) 45–55.
422 doi:10.1016/j.ces.2006.08.006.
- 423 [3] A. Gomez-Barea, B. Leckner, Modeling of biomass gasification in fluidized bed, *Prog.*
424 *Energy Combust. Sci.* 36 (2010) 444–509. doi:10.1016/j.pecs.2009.12.002.
- 425 [4] O. Authier, M. Ferrer, G. Mauviel, A.-E. Khalfi, J. L, Wood Fast Pyrolysis:
426 Comparison of Lagrangian and Eulerian Modeling Approaches with Experimental
427 Measurements, *Ind Eng Chem Res.* 48 (2009) 4796–4809. doi:10.1021/ie801854c.
- 428 [5] A. Dufour, P. Girods, E. Masson, Y. Rogaume, A. Zoulalian, Synthesis gas production by
429 biomass pyrolysis: Effect of reactor temperature on product distribution, *Int. J. Hydrog.*
430 *Energy.* 34 (2009) 1726–1734. doi:10.1016/j.ijhydene.2008.11.075.
- 431 [6] M. Corbetta, A. Frassoldati, H. Bennadji, K. Smith, M.J. Serapiglia, G. Gauthier, et al.,
432 Pyrolysis of Centimeter-Scale Woody Biomass Particles: Kinetic Modeling and
433 Experimental Validation, *Energy Fuels.* 28 (2014) 3884–3898. doi:10.1021/ef500525v.
- 434 [7] E. Ranzi, M. Corbetta, F. Manenti, S. Pierucci, Kinetic modeling of the thermal
435 degradation and combustion of biomass, *Chem. Eng. Sci.* 110 (2014) 2–12.
436 doi:10.1016/j.ces.2013.08.014.
- 437 [8] E. Ranzi, A. Cuoci, T. Faravelli, A. Frassoldati, G. Migliavacca, S. Pierucci, et al.,
438 Chemical Kinetics of Biomass Pyrolysis, *Energy Fuels.* 22 (2008) 4292–4300.
439 doi:10.1021/ef800551t.
- 440 [9] P. Pepiot, C.J. Dibble, T.D. Foust, Computational Fluid Dynamics Modeling of Biomass
441 Gasification and Pyrolysis, in: American Society, Washington DC, 2010. doi:10.1021/bk-
442 2010-1052.ch012.
- 443 [10] R. Radmanesh, Y. Courbariaux, J. Chaouki, C. Guy, A unified lumped approach in
444 kinetic modeling of biomass pyrolysis, *Fuel.* 85 (2006) 1211–1220.
445 doi:10.1016/j.fuel.2005.11.021.
- 446 [11] D. Fiaschi, M. Michelini, A two-phase one-dimensional biomass gasification kinetics
447 model, *Biomass Bioenergy.* 21 (2001) 121–132. doi:10.1016/S0961-9534(01)00018-6.
- 448 [12] M. Puig-Arnau, J.C. Bruno, A. Coronas, Review and analysis of biomass gasification
449 models, 14 (2010) 2841–2851.
- 450 [13] F. Scala, R. Chirone, P. Salatino, Combustion and Attrition of Biomass Chars in a
451 Fluidized Bed, *Energy Fuels.* 20 (2006) 91–102. doi:10.1021/ef050102g.
- 452 [14] O. Senneca, Kinetics of pyrolysis, combustion and gasification of three biomass fuels,
453 *Fuel Process. Technol.* 88 (2007) 87–97. doi:10.1016/j.fuproc.2006.09.002.
- 454 [15] F. Scala, P. Salatino, R. Chirone, Fluidized Bed Combustion of a Biomass Char (*Robinia*
455 *pseudoacacia*), *Energy Fuels.* 14 (2000) 781–790. doi:10.1021/ef9901701.
- 456 [16] S. Ergun, Fluid flow through packed columns, *Chem Eng Prog.* 48 (1952) 89.
- 457 [17] C. Y. Wen, Y.H. Yu, A generalized method for predicting the minimum fluidization
458 velocity, *AIChE J.* 12 (1966) 610–612. doi:10.1002/aic.690120343.
- 459 [18] K.S. Lim, J.X. Zhu, J.R. Grace, Hydrodynamics of gas-solid fluidization, *Int. J. Multiph.*
460 *Flow.* 21, Supplement (1995) 141–193. doi:10.1016/0301-9322(95)00038-Y.

- [19] Q. Wang, W. Yin, B. Zhao, H. Yang, J. Lu, L. Wei, The segregation behaviors of fine coal particles in a coal beneficiation fluidized bed, *Fuel Process. Technol.* 124 (2014) 28–34. doi:10.1016/j.fuproc.2014.02.015.
- [20] F. Parveen, S. Josset, C. Briens, F. Berruti, Effect of size and density on agglomerate breakage in a fluidized bed, 231 (2012) 102–111. doi:10.1016/j.powtec.2012.07.055.
- [21] T. Baron, C.L. Briens, P. Galtier, M.A. Bergougnou, Verification of models and correlations for bubble properties in fluidized beds, *Chem. Eng. Sci.* 45 (1990) 2227–2233. doi:10.1016/0009-2509(90)80099-Z.
- [22] L.T. Fan, Y. Chang, Mixing of Large Particles in Two Dimensional Gas Fluidized Beds, *Can. J. Chem. Eng.* 57 (1979) 88–97. doi:10.1002/cjce.5450570114.
- [23] K. Yoshida, H. Kameyama, F. Shimizu, Mechanism of particle mixing and segregation in gas fluidised beds, in: *Fluidization*, Springer US, J.R. Grace and J.M. Matsen, New York, 1980: pp. 389–396.
- [24] M.J.V. Goldschmidt, J.M. Link, S. Mellema, J.A.M. Kuipers, Digital image analysis measurements of bed expansion and segregation dynamics in dense gas-fluidised beds, *Powder Technol.* 138 (2003) 135–159. doi:10.1016/j.powtec.2003.09.003.
- [25] H.R. Norouzi, N. Mostoufi, Z. Mansourpour, R. Sotudeh-Gharebagh, J. Chaouki, Characterization of solids mixing patterns in bubbling fluidized beds, *ICHEME.* 89 (2011) 817–826. doi:10.1016/j.cherd.2010.10.014.
- [26] F. Fotovat, J. Chaouki, J. Bergthorson, Distribution of large biomass particles in a sand-biomass fluidized bed: Experiments and modeling, *AIChE J.* 60 (2014) 869–880. doi:10.1002/aic.14337.
- [27] M. Wirsum, F. Fett, N. Iwanowa, G. Lukjanow, Particle mixing in bubbling fluidized beds of binary particle systems, *Powder Technol.* 120 (2001) 63–69. doi:10.1016/S0032-5910(01)00348-5.
- [28] Y. Zhang, B. Jin, W. Zhong, Experimental investigation on mixing and segregation behavior of biomass particle in fluidized bed, *Chem. Eng. Process.* 48 (2009) 745–754. doi:10.1016/j.ccep.2008.09.004.
- [29] G. Bruni, R. Solimene, A. Marzocchella, P. Salatino, J. Yates, P. Lettieri, et al., Self-segregation of high-volatile fuel particles during devolatilization in a fluidized bed reactor, 128 (2002) 11–21.
- [30] L. Shen, J. Xiao, F. Niklasson, F. Johnsson, Biomass mixing in a fluidized bed biomass gasifier for hydrogen production, *Chem. Eng. Sci.* 62 (2007) 636–643. doi:10.1016/j.ces.2006.09.033.
- [31] J. Olsson, D. Pallarès, F. Johnsson, Lateral fuel dispersion in a large-scale bubbling fluidized bed, *Chem. Eng. Sci.* 74 (2012) 148–159. doi:10.1016/j.ces.2012.02.027.
- [32] J.C. Abanades, G.S. Grasa, Modeling the Axial and Lateral Mixing of Solids in Fluidized Beds, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.* 40 (2001) 5656–5665. doi:10.1021/ie0009278.
- [33] A.W Nienow, T Chiba, Fluidization of Dissimilar Materials, in: *Fluid.* 2nd Ed, Academic Press, J.F Davidson, R.Clift and Harrison, London, 1985.
- [34] M. Hemati, K. Spieker, C. Laguérie, R. Alvarez, F.A. Riera, Experimental study of sawdust and coal particle mixing in sand or catalyst fluidized beds, *Can. J. Chem. Eng.* 68 (1990) 768–772. doi:10.1002/cjce.5450680505.
- [35] A. Marzocchella, P. Salatino, V.D. Pastena, L. Lirer, Fluidization in Pyroclastic Flow, in: *Ninth Eng. Found. Conf. Fluid., Engineering Foundation*, Durango, Colorado, 1998: p. 389/788.

- [36] L.R. Glicksman, M.R. Hyre, P.A. Farrell, Dynamic similarity in fluidization, *Int. J. Multiph. Flow.* 20, Supplement 1 (1994) 331–386. doi:10.1016/0301-9322(94)90077-9.
- [37] B. Leckner, P. Szentannai, F. Winter, Scale-up of fluidized-bed combustion : A review, 90 (2011) 2951–2964. doi:10.1016/j.fuel.2011.04.038.
- [38] Zingg, Beitrag zur Schotteranalys, Universitaet Zuerich, 1935.
- [39] G. Lees, The measurement of particle shape and its influence in engineering materials., *J. Br. Granite Whinestone Fed.* (1964) 1–22.
- [40] D.C. Chitester, R.M. Kornosky, L.-S. Fan, J.P. Danko, Characteristics of fluidization at high pressure, *Chem. Eng. Sci.* 39 (1984) 253–261. doi:10.1016/0009-2509(84)80025-1.
- [41] P.N. Rowe, A.W. Nienow, The mechanism by which particles segregate in gas fluidised beds-binary systems of near-spherical particles, *Trans Inst Chem Eng.* 50 (1972) 310–323.
- [42] A. Michaels, V. Puzinauskas, Evaluation performance characteristics of mecanical mixing processes the dextrose kaolinite water system, *Chem. Eng. Prog.* 50 (1954) 604–614.
- [43] F. Fotovat, J. Chaouki, J. Bergthorson, The effect of biomass particles on the gas distribution and dilute phase characteristics of sand–biomass mixtures fluidized in the bubbling regime, *Chem. Eng. Sci.* 102 (2013) 129–138. doi:10.1016/j.ces.2013.07.042.
- [44] S. Mori, C.Y. Wen, Estimation of bubble diameter in gaseous fluidized beds, *AIChE J.* 21 (1975) 109–115. doi:10.1002/aic.690210114.
- [45] J.F. Davidson, D. Harrison, *Fluidized Particles*, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 1963.
- [46] S.Y. Wu, J. Baeyens, Segregation by size difference in gas fluidized beds, *Powder Technol.* 98 (1998) 139–150. doi:10.1016/S0032-5910(98)00026-6.

541 FIGURE CAPTIONS

542 Figure 1. Pictures of wood particles

543 Figure 2. Bed voidage axial profiles with coarse olivine ($h_{b,s}=265$ mm or 391 mm, gas velocity =
544 $2.15 U_{mf}$, with or without beech dowels)

545 Figure 3. Bed voidage axial profiles with fine olivine ($h_{b,s}=265$ mm, gas velocity = $2.7-6.48 U_{mf}$,
546 with or without small chips balsa or beech dowels)

547 Figure 4. The effect of bed height on the segregation profiles ($h_{b,s}=265$ mm or 391 mm, coarse
548 olivine, gas velocity = $2.15 U_{mf}$, with beech dowels)

549 Figure 5. The effect of wood shape on segregation profiles ($h_{b,s}=265$ mm, coarse olivine, gas
550 velocity = $2.15 U_{mf}$, with beech chips or dowels)

551 Figure 6. The effects of wood density on segregation profiles ($h_{b,s}=265$ mm, coarse olivine, gas
552 velocity = 2.15 or $3.35 U_{mf}$, beech or balsa chips)

553 Figure 7. The effect of wood size on segregation profiles ($h_{b,s}=265$ mm, coarse olivine, gas
554 velocity = 2.15 or $3.35 U_{mf}$, small or large balsa chips)

555 Figure 8. The effect of gas velocity on segregation profiles ($h_{b,s}=265$ mm, fine olivine, gas
556 velocity = $2.7-6.48 U_{mf}$, with beech dowels)

557 Figure 9. Comparison of the segregation profiles from this study with those of Fotovat et al. [28]

558

559 APPENDIX 2

560 Figure 1. Simplified scheme of the experimental setup

561 Figure 2. Olivine sphericity estimated from Zingg [37] and modified by Lees [38] diagram ($\times$:
562 fine olivine, $+$: coarse olivine)

563 Figure 3. Olivine size distribution measured by Malvern analysis

564 Figure 4. Minimum fluidization velocity

565

566 TABLE CAPTION

567 Table 1. Olivine features

568 Table 2. Wood properties

569 Table 3. Summary of experimental conditions and H_{moy} values

570 Table 4. Experimental conditions of Fotovat et al. [26]

571

572

Table 1

Material	Particle density (kg/m ³)	Diameter (μm)	Sphericity	U _{mf} (m/s)
Coarse Olivine	3250	378	0.82	0.137
Fine Olivine	3250	237	0.78	0.082

Table 2

Material	Density (kg/m ³)	Dimension (mm) ±0.1 mm	Sphericity	d _v (m)	d _{eff} (m)	Calculated U _{mf} at 25°C (m/s)
Beech	685	8 (diam.) * 25 Dowels	0.77	1.34.10 ⁻²	1.03.10 ⁻²	1.54
Beech	685	5.5 * 4 * 57 Large chips	0.50	1.34.10 ⁻²	0.76.10 ⁻²	1.30
Balsa	159	5.5 * 4 * 57 Large chips	0.50	1.34.10 ⁻²	0.76.10 ⁻²	0.59
Balsa	159	2 * 4 * 29.5 Small chips	0.50	0.76.10 ⁻²	0.38.10 ⁻²	0.34

Table 3

Figure #	U/U_{mf}	Olivine	Initial bed height $h_{b,s}$ (mm)	Wood species	Shape	Wood volume / (olivine + wood volume) (%)	H_{moy}
8	6.48	fine	265	beech	dowels	7.5	61 %
8	5.04	fine	265	beech	dowels	7.5	63 %
4	2.15	coarse	391	beech	dowels	9.9	64 %
7	3.35	coarse	265	balsa	small chips	8.7	64 %
5, 6	2.15	coarse	265	beech	chips	7.5	66 %
6	3.35	coarse	265	beech	chips	7.5	68 %
7	2.15	coarse	265	balsa	small chips	8.7	72 %
4, 5	2.15	coarse	265	beech	dowels	7.5	73 %
6, 7	3.35	coarse	265	balsa	chips	8.7	75 %
8	2.70	fine	265	beech	dowels	7.5	81 %
6, 7	2.15	coarse	265	balsa	chips	8.7	85 %

Table 4

Wood volume ratio (m ³ _{wood} /m ³ _{bed})	Wood density (kg/m ³)	Wood dimension (mm)	Sand density (kg/m ³)	Sand mean diameter (μm)
0.0356 and 0.1359	824	6.35 (diam) * 12.70	2650	380

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7

Figure 8

Figure 9