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ABSTRACT: Pearl oysters are farmed in oligotrophic tropical atoll lagoons where planktonic communities are dominated by production from cyanobacteria smaller than 2 µm. Paradoxically, the pearl oyster *Pinctada margaritifera* only retains particles larger than 2 µm. In this study, we assess the relative contribution of hetero/mixotrophic microbiota to the available planktonic resource. In Takapoto Atoll, picocyanobacteria are the dominant biomass (20 µg C l⁻¹). The carbon biomass of ciliates and dinoflagellates ranges from 1 to 24 and 0.5 to 5 µg C l⁻¹ respectively, with a mean of 6 µg C l⁻¹ for ciliates and 2 µg C l⁻¹ for dinoflagellates. The possible retention by *P. margaritifera* on a natural protist suspension was investigated. Due to its high clearance rates (ca 20 l h⁻¹ g⁻¹) the pearl oyster retained 85 µg C h⁻¹ g⁻¹ from ciliates and 65 µg C h⁻¹ g⁻¹ from dinoflagellates. Conversely, cyanobacteria were not efficiently retained by the bivalve and did not efficiently contribute to its diet. From our experiments, we concluded that hetero/mixotrophic protists rapidly and efficiently process the picoplanktonic resource towards filter-feeders, particularly pearl oysters.
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INTRODUCTION

Heterotrophic or mixotrophic protists are known to play a key role in marine ecosystems (Pomeroy 1974). They consume bacteria and phytoplankton and are preyed upon by zooplanktonic organisms, particularly by copepods (Berk et al. 1977). Protists have been suggested to be a major trophic link between picoplankters and planktonic metazoa (Porter et al. 1979, Azam et al. 1983, Sherr et al. 1986). Moreover, recent studies demonstrated that ciliates and flagellates are retained by the oyster *Crassostrea gigas* (Le Gall et al. 1997, Dupuy et al. 1999). Heterotrophic protists may thus represent a valuable trophic link between bacterioplankton and these benthic suspension-feeders.

In French Polynesia, farming of the pearl oyster *Pinctada margaritifera* has been developed in atoll lagoons. The pearl oyster is a filter-feeder which obtains energy resources by actively clearing organic particles (e.g. phytoplankton) from the water (Herdman 1903, Mansour & Gabal 1980, Nasr 1984, Hawkins et al. 1998, Loret 1999, Pouvreaux et al. 1999). The phytoplanktonic communities of atoll lagoons are known to
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be dominated by organisms smaller than 2 µm. In such ecosystems, more than 60% of the primary biomass and production is achieved by picocyanobacteria and autotrophic picoeukaryotes (Charpy et al. 1992, Charpy 1996, Charpy & Blanchot 1996, 1998). These lagoons are also characterized by a high biomass of slow-growing bacteria (Blanchot et al. 1989, Dufour & Torréton 1995). Paradoxically, pearl oysters do not efficiently retain particles smaller than 2 µm diameter (Jonquières et al. 1994, Torréton & Dufour 1996, Pouvreau et al. 1999, Yukihira et al. 1999). As a consequence, both cyanobacteria and bacteria are not accessible to them. Additionally, in these oligotrophic lagoons, nanophytoplankter production is insufficient to balance the energy budget of pearl oysters, even though their high pumping activity is taken into account to make up for the poor lagoonal energy resources (Pouvreau et al. 1999). Evidence of a trophic link between picoparticles and pearl oysters would partly explain the paradoxical growth of *Pinctada margaritifera* in oligotrophic Polynesian atolls dominated by unavailable picoplanktonic production.

The protist communities in atoll lagoons have been rarely investigated (Blanchot et al. 1989, González et al. 1998, Sakka 1999). The aim of this study was: (1) to evaluate the importance of hetero/mixotrophic protists as a potential energy resource in the lagoon, and (2) to estimate their contribution to the diet of pearl oysters. Experiments were carried out through 2 complementary approaches: a quantitative and taxonomic study of protists communities in the Takapoto lagoon and an experimental study of retention and ingestion of pico-plankton and protist ciliates by pearl oysters.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Study site.** The study was conducted in Takapoto Atoll between 1 and 10 February 1998. Takapoto Atoll (14°30’S, 145°20’W) is located in the Tuamotu Archipelago, in the north of French Polynesia. The lagoon has a surface area of 81 km² and the average depth is 25 m (Ricard et al. 1979). The main feature of this atoll is the absence of a pass, which restricts water flow between the lagoon and the ocean (Fig. 1). The residence time of water in the lagoon was estimated to be 4.2 yr (Sournia & Ricard 1976). Mean water temperature and salinity are 28.6 ± 1.5°C and 38.3 ± 0.5 psu respectively, and the physical-chemical parameters are fairly homogeneous (Pouvreau et al. 2000). Meteorological conditions were unusual during 2 days at the beginning of the survey, due to the tropical storm Veli.

**Sampling, enumeration and characterization of lagoonal planktonic communities.** Spatial distribution of protists was studied on 2 February 1998 at 4 stations (Fig. 1), at 3 depths (surface, 10 and 20 m). Temporal variation was followed every 6 h during a diel cycle at Stn 4 at the 3 depths. The water was collected using a 5 l Niskin sampling bottle, and stored in an opaque carboy at field temperature (isotherm box) until use in the laboratory. Ciliates and dinoflagellates were fixed, stained and enumerated according to methods modified from Haas (1982) and Sherr et al. (1994). They were enumerated in Utermöhl settling chambers (Hydro-Bios combined plate chambers), using an inverted epifluorescence microscope (Zeiss Axiovert 135, 100 W mercury lamp and blue light excitation). Taxa were identified under combined epifluorescence and interference contrast illumination. Cell sizes (length and width) were measured using a calibrated ocular micrometer. The mean cell volume of each taxon was calculated by equating the shape of the cytoplasm to standard geometric configurations. Protist biovolumes were converted into carbon units, using a theoretical carbon/volume ratio of 0.17 pg C µm–3 (Putt & Stoecker 1989, corrected for glutaraldehyde fixative, according to Leakey et al. 1994). Heterotrophic nanoflagellates were not enumerated because of an accidental injury in preserved samples.

**Isolation and culture of a ciliate and a cyanobacterium from the lagoon.** In order to evaluate the importance of ciliates in energy transfer within the microbial food web of the lagoon, the first step was to estimate their growth rate and growth efficiency. Therefore,
during a preliminary survey (in April 1997) ciliates and their picoplanktonic prey were sampled in the southern part of the lagoon. A ciliate was isolated as a clonal strain and cultured according to Hamilton & Preslan (1969) and Caron et al. (1991), on a mixed bacterial assemblage grown on a nutrient medium promoting bacterial growth (TSB/NaCl, Sigma). The taxonomic identification of the ciliate Protocruzia sp. was done on protargol-stained cells. An heterotrophic bacterial community from the same lagoonic water aliquot was cultured on the nutrient medium (TSB/NaCl from Sigma) and 2 bacterial strains were isolated and maintained in culture. Bacterial enumeration was carried out according to Porter & Feig (1980).

Autotrophic picoplankton was isolated by gravity filtration of a lagoonic water sample from Stn 4, through a 0.6 µm Nuclepore filter, and inoculated in a set of sterile polycarbonate flasks with increasing dilutions (1/1 to 1/100,000) of f/20 culture medium (recipe according to Guillard & Ryther 1962, without copper). Isolates were incubated under a moderate blue light (1000 µE m–2 s–1) at 28°C. Picoplanktonic growth was followed by enumeration under epifluorescence microscope or flow cytometric analysis (Charpy & Blanchot 1996). Aliquots of each culture were analysed for autotrophic picoplankton characterization in a FACScan flow cytometer (Blanchot & Rodier 1996). A strain of Synechococcus (TAK 9802) was isolated by serial dilution in PCRS11 culture medium (Partensky et al. 1999). Every 15 d, 1 ml of exponential growth phase culture was transferred to 19 ml of fresh culture medium and incubated. Pigments were analysed by high performance liquid chromatography (HPLC), according to Wright et al. (1991).

In vitro determination of growth rate and gross growth efficiency of a lagoonic ciliate. The growth of Protocruzia sp. was studied under culture conditions. In order to estimate the ability of lagoonic bacteria and cyanobacteria to support the growth of this protist, several types of picoprey were used, i.e. 2 lagoonic heterotrophic bacterial strains (BS1, BS2) and 2 autotrophic cyanobacterial strains, Synechococcus TAK 9802 (from the Takapoto lagoon) and Synechococcus ROSCO24 (from the Atlantic Ocean). Different concentrations of prey were tested: 5 x 10^5, 5 x 10^7, 5 x 10^8, 5 x 10^9 cells l–1 and the optimal concentration of 10^8 cells l–1 was used for all prey in the growth rate estimations. Culture experiments of Protocruzia sp. were performed in Falcon multiwell culture plates, allowing independent triplicates. Ciliates in log-phase growth were separated from their bacterial prey by differential centrifugation at 800 x g and at 4°C for 10 min (Ohman & Snyder 1991), and resuspended in sterile sea water (300 cells ml–1). Each 4 ml well was filled with 900 ciliates in 3 ml sterile sea water. Food items were taken from log-phase cultures, sedimented by centrifugation (10,000 x g, 4°C for 30 min), washed twice in phosphate-buffered saline (PBS) and resuspended in sterile sea water. Control treatments consisted of ciliate suspension without any picoprey. The ciliate abundance was followed for 2 d using a Malassez counting cell (Polylabo). The duration of the exponential growth phase of Protocruzia sp. was previously determined in culture by a growth kinetic experiment. The specific growth rate and generation time of Protocruzia sp. was evaluated during the exponential growth phase (Heinboekel 1978):

\[ C_t = C_0 e^{\mu t} \]  
\[ \mu = (\ln C_t - \ln C_0)/t \]

where \( C_t \) = ciliate number at the end of the exponential phase (cells l–1), \( C_0 \) = ciliate number at the beginning of the exponential phase (cells l–1), \( \mu \) = growth rate (h–1), and \( t \) = time interval (h).

The generation time (G) was estimated from \( \mu \):  
\[ G = (\ln 2)/\mu \]

The gross growth efficiency (E) was evaluated for Synechococcus TAK 9802 from the proportion of produced ciliate biomass versus the consumed picoplanktonic prey biomass.

\[ E(\%) = \frac{\text{ciliate production (µg C)}}{\text{picoprey consumption (µg C)}} \]

The carbon cell contents used for the estimations of picophytoplankton biomass were 178 fg C cell–1 for Synechococcus and 60 fg C cell–1 for Prochlorococcus from the Takapoto lagoon (Charpy & Blanchot 1998).

Experimental study of pearl oyster grazing. A preliminary set of experiments were conducted in order to investigate the possible retention of picophytoplankton biomass by the pearl oysters. The experimental device was made of metacylrate chambers (volume: 8 l) filled with a natural picoplanktonic consortium (i.e. Prochlorococcus, Synechococcus and pico/nanoeukaryotes) taken from Stn 4, at 5 m depth. Pearl oysters (ca 12 cm shell length) were placed in flow-through chambers and kept undisturbed. The flow-through rate was set at 820 ± 20 ml min–1 in order to balance the pumping rate (ca 400 ml min–1) of the pearl oyster. The retention efficiency was estimated by comparing the picoplanktonic abundances at the entrance and at the exit of the chamber, using a FACScan flow cytometer.

In order to investigate the retention of protists by pearl oysters, ciliates were offered to them as potential prey, according to a protocol modified from Le Gall et al. (1997) and Pouvreau et al. (1999). The retention was studied in microcosms by comparing the evolution of protist abundances in a suspension in the presence or in the absence of a filtering (fully opened) pearl oyster. Additionally, the retention efficiency was estimated...
from the difference between the ciliate concentrations in samples withdrawn from inhalant and exhalant siphons of the oyster. To set the experimental ciliate suspension at a natural concentration, we previously investigated the ciliate densities in the Takapoto lagoon (in January 1998): 1600 cells l\(^{-1}\). The grazing experiments were performed using (1) a suspension of the cultured ciliate Protocruzia sp. at a concentration of 1600 cells l\(^{-1}\), and (2) a natural community isolated from the lagoon and filtered through a 300 µm mesh net in order to discard the mesozooplankton. At the start of the feeding period, 3 pearl oysters cleared of epibionts were transferred to microcosms containing 6 l of protist suspension, gently homogenized to prevent sedimentation. Two duplicate experimental treatments were performed in parallel: (1) a suspension delivered to an actively filtering pearl oyster or (2) a suspension allowed to evolve without pearl oyster, and used as a control for physical sedimentation of particles.

The pearl oysters used for the experiments were on average 107 mm high (dorsoventral measurements according to Hind: in Gervis & Sims 1992), with a mean soft tissue dry weight of 3.6 ± 0.3 g. Clearance rates and relative retention efficiencies of Pinctada margaritifera for ciliates and dinoflagellates were estimated. Clearance rate is defined as the theoretical water volume cleared of all particles per unit time (Bayne & Widdows 1978). According to Coughlan (1969), the clearance rate was calculated from the evolution of ciliate concentration in experimental suspensions, assuming an exponential decline of retained cells:

\[
F = \left(\frac{\ln C_0 - \ln C_t}{t - t_0}\right) \times V
\]

where \(F\) = clearance rate (l h\(^{-1}\)), \(V\) = volume of the suspension (l), \(C_0\) = initial concentration of the suspension (cells l\(^{-1}\)), \(C_t\) = concentration at time \(t\) (cells l\(^{-1}\)), and \((t - t_0)\) = time interval (h).

Taking into account that the weight specific filtration decreased with increasing body size, the clearance rate was standardized per soft tissue dry weight of the oyster (Riisgård 1988):

\[
F/W^b
\]

where \(F\) = clearance rate (l h\(^{-1}\)), \(W\) = oyster dry weight (g), and \(b\) = 0.61 for P. margaritifera (Yukihiro et al. 1998).

The relative retention efficiency for each ciliate taxon and for dinoflagellate orders was evaluated. It is defined as the number of a specific cell type retained per unit time, related to the initial available number of the same cell type at the beginning of the experiment. Each relative retention efficiency was calculated from the ratio of retained particles (difference of abundances at 0 and 15 min), reported to the initial abundance of particles:

\[
Re(\%) = 100 \times \left[\frac{(C_0 - C_t)}{C_0}\right]
\]

where \(Re\) = relative retention efficiency, \(C_0\) = initial particle concentration (cells l\(^{-1}\)), and \(C_t\) = particle concentration (cells l\(^{-1}\)) at 15 min.

The specific contribution of ciliates to the trophic resource retained by the pearl oyster was expressed as particulate organic carbon (POC) retained per unit time and per unit of dry weight of oyster soft tissue (µg C h\(^{-1}\) g\(^{-1}\)). It was calculated as the product of the initial field carbon resource of each taxon (µg C h\(^{-1}\) g\(^{-1}\)) by the specific standardized clearance rate of this taxon (l h\(^{-1}\) g\(^{-1}\)).

**Ingestion of ciliates by the pearl oyster.** The possible ingestion of ciliates by Pinctada margaritifera was investigated by bio-labelling a Protocruzia sp. culture and detecting labelled cells in the oyster digestive tract. As scuticociliates are known to ingest cyanobacteria (Johnson et al. 1982, Caron et al. 1991), Synecochoccus autofluorescence was used to perform a bio-labelling of Protocruzia sp. according to Le Gall et al. (1997). The cultured Protocruzia sp. were concentrated by gentle centrifugation (800 x g, 4°C for 10 min) and washed in PBS. Simultaneously, cultured Synechococcus were sedimented by centrifugation (10 000 x g, 4°C for 30 min). Ciliates which had been starved for 5 h were incubated for 2 h with their Synechococcus pico-prey. The subsequent bio-labelled ciliates were sedimented, washed in PBS and resuspended in 0.2 µm filtered sea water to provide the experimental 6 l suspension in a natural concentration. Pearl oysters were offered bio-labelled ciliates for 15 min. Then, oysters were dissected and their stomach contents were filtered on a black 0.2 µm Nuclepore filter. The bio-labelled Protocruzia sp. were detected using epifluorescence microscopy under blue light excitation.

**RESULTS**

**Taxonomic composition and standing stocks of picophytoplankton and heterotrophic protists in the Takapoto lagoon**

Several populations of cyanobacteria and pico/nano-eukaryotes were identified in the phytoplanktonic community from the lagoonal water. Prochlorococcus and Synechococcus constituted well-defined populations whereas the picoeukaryotes were represented by a large set of cytometric signatures, indicating a mixture of different species (Fig. 2). A pigment composition typical of cyanobacteria was confirmed by HPLC analysis. The carotenoid to chlorophyll a (chl a) ratios (w:w) were 0.14 and 0.72 for β-carotene and zeaxanthin respectively. Abundance was 112.6 x 10\(^6\) cells l\(^{-1}\).
for Synechococcus (i.e. 94% of the autotrophic picoplankton), $5.6 \times 10^6$ cells $l^{-1}$ (4.7%) for Prochlorococcus and $1.5 \times 10^6$ cells $l^{-1}$ (1.3%) for pico/nanoeukaryotes. In terms of carbon, the contribution of the main picoplanktonic communities was 20 µg C $l^{-1}$ for Synechococcus and 0.4 µg C $l^{-1}$ for Prochlorococcus. In spite of their low abundance, the heterogeneous community of pico/nanoeukaryotes might account for an available C resource, due to their rather high biovolume and carbon content compared to cyanobacteria.

In the Takapoto lagoon, in February 1998, 7 orders of protists were identified (Table 1). The ciliates belonged to 4 orders, mainly represented by Choreotrichida (Codonella sp. and Favella sp.), Oligotrichida (Strombidium sp.) and Pleurostomatida (Amphileptus spp.). Dinoflagellates were represented by 3 orders (Peridiniales, Gymnodiniales and Prorocentrales), dominated by Protoperidinium sp. and Gymnodinium sp. They were mainly heterotrophic, as shown by observation using an epifluorescence microscopy. The length of the identified ciliates ranged from 30 (Protocruzia sp.) to 136 µm (Favella sp.). The Choreotrichida were characterized by a high cell carbon content, ranging from ca 14 000 (Amphorides sp.) to ca 55 000 pg C cell$^{-1}$ (Favella sp.). The cell carbon content of Oligotrichida and Pleurostomatida was lower, except for large genera such as Laboea sp. (49 300 pg C cell$^{-1}$) and Amphileptus sp. (ca 29 000 pg C cell$^{-1}$). The dinoflagellates were smaller in length (18 to 83 µm) and their carbon content (ca 150 to 4000 pg C cell$^{-1}$) was significantly lower than those of ciliates.

Table 1. Taxonomic composition, size, biovolume and cell carbon content of the protist community in the Takapoto lagoon, in February 1998

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Order</th>
<th>Family</th>
<th>Taxon</th>
<th>Length (µm)</th>
<th>Width (µm)</th>
<th>Biovolume ($\times 10^3$ µm$^3$)</th>
<th>Carbon per cell (pg C cell$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Choreotrichida</td>
<td>Codonellidae</td>
<td>Codonella sp.</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>27710</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Tintinnidae</td>
<td>Amphorides sp.</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>81</td>
<td>13770</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ptychoclylididae</td>
<td>Favella sp.</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>55250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligotrichida</td>
<td>Strombiidae</td>
<td>Laboea sp.</td>
<td>132</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>290</td>
<td>49300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Strombidium sp.</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pleurostomatida</td>
<td>Amphileptidae</td>
<td>Amphiloeptus sp. 1</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>28900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Amphiloeptus sp. 2</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>1071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scuticociliatida</td>
<td>Protocruzidae</td>
<td>Protocruzia sp.</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>442</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amaebida</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>61</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>9180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gymnodiniales</td>
<td>Gymnodiniaeae</td>
<td>Gymnodinium sp.</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prorocentrales</td>
<td>Prorocentraceae</td>
<td>Prorocentrum sp.</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peridiniales</td>
<td>Peridiniaeae</td>
<td>Protoperidinium sp.</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>4151</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Oxytoxaceae</td>
<td>Oxytoxum sp.</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>171</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The total protist abundance ranged from ca 500 to 3000 cells l⁻¹ (mean ± SD: 1595 ± 607 cells l⁻¹, n = 24). Dinoflagellates were always more numerous (ca 350 to 2500 cells l⁻¹) than ciliates (15 to 850 cells l⁻¹). The protist abundance was highly variable according to the sampling site and depth (Fig. 3). Ciliates were mostly abundant at Stn 4, especially in surface waters, where, in contrast, the abundance of the dinoflagellates was the lowest. Among ciliates, tintinnids (i.e. suborder Tintinnina: Codonellidae, Tintinnidae, Ptychocylididae, ...) and Oligotrichida were similarly abundant (102 ± 161 vs 86 ± 64 cells l⁻¹). During the diel cycle (Fig. 4), the maximal abundance of ciliates (ca 300 cells l⁻¹) was observed in surface waters during the day. The dinoflagellates reached a maximal value of ca 2000 cells l⁻¹ at 5 m depth at 00:00 h.

The planktonic carbon resource was estimated from the carbon cell content of each protist taxon multiplied by its specific field abundance. The carbon biomass of protists on 2 February 1998 (Fig. 3) ranged from 0.2 to 24 and from 0.5 to 5 µg C l⁻¹ for ciliates and dinoflagellates respectively. The maximal values were observed in surficial waters, at Stn 4 for ciliates and at Stn 3 for dinoflagellates. On the whole, the mean carbon biomass estimated from the 2 sampling days was evaluated as 6 µg C l⁻¹ for ciliates and 2 µg C l⁻¹ for dinoflagellates.

Pearl oyster grazing on heterotrophic protists and picoplankton

In oyster grazing experiments, on a Protocruzia sp. suspension, the abundance of the ciliate decreased rapidly in the presence of a filtering bivalve, whereas it remained almost constant in the control bivalve, whereas it remained almost constant in the control (Fig. 5). Simultaneous withdrawal of water aliquots from the exhalant versus inhalant siphon of the bivalve showed that ciliate abundance dropped from 1600 to 250 cells l⁻¹: after a single passage through the gill, ca 85% of the ciliates were retained by the bivalve.

When a natural community of protists was used as potential prey, the protist abundance in the oyster
trays drastically decreased within 15 min from 243 to 24 cells l\(^{-1}\) for ciliates and from 468 to 7 cells l\(^{-1}\) for dinoflagellates (Fig. 6). On the contrary, protist abundance remained constant in the control trays. The relative retention efficiency, estimated from abundance decrease of the protist community, was 92\% for large *Laboea* sp. (132 µm length), 97\% for *Amphileptus* sp. 1 (136 µm length) and 99\% for dinoflagellates (Table 2).

The clearance rate evaluated for oysters grazing on *Protocruzia* sp. suspensions was 69 l h\(^{-1}\) (ca 30 l h\(^{-1}\) g\(^{-1}\)). When measured from particle retention kinetics on the lagoonal community, the clearance rate was estimated

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Taxon (length/width, µm)</th>
<th>Initial protist abundance (cell l(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Carbon per cell (ng C cell(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Potential C resource (ng C l(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Clearance rate (l h(^{-1}) g(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Retained resource (µg C h(^{-1}) g(^{-1}))</th>
<th>% Retention efficiency (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Choreotrichida</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Codonella</em> sp. (70/44)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>998</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Amphorides</em> sp. (116/27)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>165</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Favella</em> sp. (146/58)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>55.3</td>
<td>276</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Oligotrichida</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Laboea</em> sp. (132/49)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>592</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>11.8</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Strombidium</em> sp. (50/30)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pleurostomatida</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Amphileptus</em> sp. 1 (136/49)</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>28.9</td>
<td>1705</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>46.2</td>
<td>30.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Amphileptus</em> sp. 2 (55/21)</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Dinoflagellates</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(mainly <em>Protothecium</em>)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ciliates</td>
<td>468</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>1941</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>64.1</td>
<td>42.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dinoflagellates</td>
<td>468</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 5. *Pinctada margaritifera*. Retention of the cultured ciliate *Protocruzia* sp. by the pearl oyster

Fig. 6. *Pinctada margaritifera*. Retention of ciliates and dinoflagellates from the lagoonal protist community by the pearl oyster (I = inhaling current, E = exhaling current)
as ca 15 l h\(^{-1}\) g\(^{-1}\) for Choreotrichida and 20 l h\(^{-1}\) g\(^{-1}\) for Oligotrichida. To estimate the ciliate and dinoflagellate energetic resource retained by the pearl oyster, the amount of cleared C relative to each planktonic taxon was calculated (Table 2). In our experimental suspension, ciliate and dinoflagellate protists from lagoon water represented an initial C resource of ca 4 and 2 µg C l\(^{-1}\) respectively. The retention depended upon the protist taxon involved: dinoflagellates were more efficiently retained than Pleurostomatida, Choreotrichida and Oligotrichida ciliates. The amount of carbon resource retained from protists reached 87 µg C h\(^{-1}\) g\(^{-1}\) for ciliates and 64 µg C h\(^{-1}\) g\(^{-1}\) for dinoflagellates. Though ciliates were less efficiently retained, their contribution to the food resources of oysters was higher, due to their high biovolume.

To be sure that retained ciliates were ingested, oysters were offered bio-labelled *Protocruzia* sp. suspensions. The autofluorescent labelling was observed in high densities in the digestive tract contents of *Pinctada margaritifera*, showing the ingestion of protists by the pearl oyster. Moreover, protists were identified in the stomach contents of 3 pearl oysters which had been left in the lagoon for 1 night: ciliates (mainly *Codonella* sp.) and dinoflagellates (mainly *Protoperidinium* sp. and *Procorcentrum* sp.).

In contrast, experiments investigating the retention of picophytoplankton by pearl oysters showed that phytoplankton <2 µm was not efficiently retained by the gills of the bivalves. The retention efficiency was 0% for *Prochlorococcus* and 0.2% for *Synechococcus*. Pico/nanoeukaryotes, from 1 to 3 µm in diameter, were retained by the pearl oyster with an efficiency of ca 30%. However, due to their low abundance, pico/nanoeukaryotes probably only represent a weak carbon resource retained for the bivalve.

### Energy transfer from picoplanktonic prey to ciliate protists

In order to assess the potential role of protists to act as a link, we evaluated the ability of the scuticociliate *Protocruzia* sp. to grow on various auto- and heterotrophic picoplanktonic cells, and estimated its growth rate and growth efficiency.

The growth kinetics of the ciliate *Protocruzia* sp. showed 3 successive phases: a latency period with a slow increase in ciliate number until 18 h, an exponential phase, in which the ciliate abundance rapidly increased from 18 to 24 h, and a stationary phase after 42 h of culture. The *in vitro* study of the ciliate growth was performed during the exponential growth phase, in relation to the type of picoprey (initial concentration similar for each prey type: 5 × 10\(^8\) cells l\(^{-1}\)). The ciliate abundance at the end of the exponential growth phase was minimal with bacterial strains BS1 or BS2 and slightly higher with the *Synechococcus* ROSCO4 (Fig. 7).

The maximal growth of the ciliate (19 500 cells l\(^{-1}\) at 24 h) was obtained in presence of the *Synechococcus* strain TAK9802. The specific growth rate of *Protocruzia* sp. was equal to 0.19 h\(^{-1}\). The generation time of 4 h means that this ciliate multiplies 6 times a day. Its gross growth efficiency was estimated as 41%.

### DISCUSSION

Tropical atoll lagoons have been described as biological oases, isolated in an extremely oligotrophic ocean (Hatcher 1997). In the Tuamotu Archipelago, farming of the pearl oyster has rapidly increased because of the economic impact of black pearl production. Though originally benthic, *Pinctada margaritifera* is now reared on suspended ropes and the resulting interactions with pelagic communities raise questions about the ability of planktonic food webs to sustain such an increase in animal production. In atoll lagoons, the primary production is mainly achieved by picophytoplankton (Charpy et al. 1992, Charpy 1996, Charpy & Blanchot 1996, 1998), whereas the biomass is dominated by low-producing bacteria (Torréton & Dufour 1996). As both picoplankters are in a size range unavailable to oysters (Jonquières et al. 1994, Dufour & Torréton 1995, Pouvreau et al. 1999), it was hypothesized that phagotrophic protists may act as an inter-

![Fig. 7. Abundance of the ciliate *Protocruzia* at the end of the exponential growth phase (24 h), in relation to the nature of the offered prey: 2 bacterial strains isolated from the Takapoto lagoon (BS1 and BS2), *Synechococcus* ROSCO4 (SRo) isolated from the Atlantic ocean and a *Synechococcus* (STak) strain isolated from Takapoto lagoon, Initial concentration of prey = 10\(^8\) cells l\(^{-1}\).](image-url)
mediate between the dominant picoproduction and bivalves. Such a trophic link was shown for *Crassostrea gigas* in Atlantic ponds (Le Gall et al. 1997, Dupuy et al. 1999).

**Planktonic heterotrophic protists as an energy resource in the Takapoto lagoon**

In coral reef ecosystems, the planktonic food web remains poorly described, as coral reefs were considered to be dominated by benthic communities (e.g. Sournia 1977, Kinsey 1985). However, in atoll lagoons, where coral patches are scarce and water often deep, planktonic productivity can exceed that of benthos (Charpy-Roubaud 1988, Furnas 1988).

In February 1998, the >35 µm protist community of the Takapoto lagoon included ciliates and heterotrophic dinoflagellates. A similar taxonomic composition was described by Sakka (1999) in the same lagoon. During our study, heterotrophic dinoflagellates dominated in terms of cell numbers, with a rather homogeneous spatial distribution. Ciliates were less abundant than dinoflagellates and showed variable distribution according to the sampling site. Additionally, ciliate density increased during the day; Sakka (1999) observed a decrease in the >35 µm protists at night and related this to predation by zooplankton, which migrates to the surface at night (Renon 1977). The abundance of heterotrophic dinoflagellates we reported in the Takapoto lagoon was 6-fold lower than in Tikehau Atoll, where it reached 25 000 cells l⁻¹ (González et al. 1998). In our study, tintinnids were as abundant as Oligotrichida (102 vs 86 cells l⁻¹), and their density was much higher than those reported in Tikehau Atoll: 5 to 30 cells l⁻¹ (Blanchot et al. 1989). However, our data are too scarce to determine whether these low heterotrophic dinoflagellate densities and high tintinnid abundances are a permanent feature of the planktonic community of the Takapoto lagoon, related to the particular morphology of this closed atoll, or just a transient increase, as a consequence of particular meteorological conditions due to the tropical storm Veli.

During our study, ciliates were represented by large-sized species with high cellular carbon contents. *Codonella* sp., a very abundant ciliate, accounted for 28 000 pg C cell⁻¹, and even the small sized ciliate *Strombidium* sp. contained 2900 pg C cell⁻¹ (a value close to 3100 pg C cell⁻¹ previously reported by Stoecker & Egloff [1987] for *Strombidium* sp.). As a consequence, ciliates accounted for a high carbon biomass in the field (ca 6 µg C l⁻¹) compared to that of the dinoflagellates (2 µg C l⁻¹). The mean protist carbon biomass was 8 µg C l⁻¹, which is lower than the value of 20 µg C l⁻¹ reported for the >35 µm protists in April 1997 in the same lagoon (Sakka 1999). These C resource values can be compared to the autotrophic carbon biomass. From chl a concentrations, phytoplankton >2 µm, i.e. potentially available to pearl oysters, was estimated to be 6.3 µg C l⁻¹ (Loret 1999). Higher values of carbon were reported for phytoplankton >3 µm: 12.5 (Charpy & Blanchot 1998) and 13 µg C l⁻¹ (Sakka 1999). Hence, in the size range available to oysters, the autotrophic and heterotrophic carbon biomasses are in the same order of magnitude in Takapoto Atoll: 6 to 13 and 8 to 20 µg C l⁻¹ respectively.

**Contribution of hetero/mixotrophic protists to the pearl oyster diet**

Grazing experiments showed that *Pinctada margaritifera* does not efficiently retain picoparticles, either *Synechococcus* does not efficiently retain picoparticles, either *Synechococcus* does not efficiently retain picoparticles, either *Synechococcus* does not efficiently retain picoparticles, either *Synechococcus* does not efficiently retain picoparticles, either *Synechococcus* does not efficiently retain picoparticles, either *Synechococcus* does not efficiently retain picoparticles, either *Synechococcus* does not efficiently retain picoparticles, either *Synechococcus* does not efficiently retain picoparticles, either *Synechococcus* does not efficiently retain picoparticles, either *Synechococcus* does not efficiently retain picoparticles, either *Synechococcus* does not efficiently retain picoparticles, either *Synechococcus* does not efficiently retain picoparticles, either *Synechococcus* does not efficiently retain picoparticles, either *Synechococcus* does not efficiently retain picoparticles, either *Synechococcus* does not efficiently retain picoparticles, either *Synechococcus* does not efficiently retain picoparticles, either *Synechococcus* does not efficiently retain picoparticles, either *Synechococcus* does not efficiently retain picoparticles, either *Synechococcus* does not efficiently retain picoparticles, either *Synechococcus* does not efficiently retain picoparticles, either *Synechococcus* does not efficiently retain picoparticles, either *Synechococcus* does not efficiently retain picoparticles, either *Synechococcus* does not efficiently retain picoparticles, either *Synechococcus* does not efficiently retain picoparticles, either *Synechococcus* does not efficiently retain picoparticles, either *Synechococcus* does not efficiently retain picoparticles, either *Synechococcus* does not efficiently retain picoparticles, either *Synechococcus* does not efficiently retain picoparticles, either *Synechococcus* does not efficiently retain picoparticles. Simultaneously, the relative retention efficiency, evaluated for each protist taxon of the natural community, ranged from 85 to 99%, close to the previously reported value of 98% for a diet of *I. galbana* (Pouvreau et al. 1999). When compared to other bivalves, the pearl oyster, when grazing on heterotrophic protists, exhibits a high retention efficiency (>90%), similar to that of the oyster *Crassostrea gigas* (90%) (Le Gall et al. 1997, Dupuy et al. 1999). In contrast, the Atlantic bivalves *Geukensia demissa* and *Mytilus edulis* are less efficient when grazing on nonflagellates, as their retention efficiency is only 60 to 70% (Kreeger & Newell 1996). The fact that the pearl oyster retains *I. galbana* and phagotrophic protists with the same efficiency indicates that the autotrophic or heterotrophic nature of the prey does not influence retention by the oyster. However, despite this variety of available food sources, it was recently demonstrated that pearl oysters exert selective feeding, especially on cryptophytes (Loret et al. 2000).

The ingestion of ciliate protists by the pearl oyster was demonstrated in this study by the observation of labelled scuticociliates in their stomach contents. Pro-
Protists have been previously identified in the stomach contents of Pinctada margaritifera from Polynesia (Marchal 1993, Hautefueuille 1994, Loret 1995) and from the Red Sea (Nasr 1984). Similarly, protists were observed in the gut contents of Pinctada tucata in India (Chellam 1983) and of Ostrea edulis on the Atlantic coast (Paulmier 1972).

In conclusion, the maximal clearance rates of Pinctada margaritifera allows, in Takapoto Atoll, the retention of an important trophic resource from hetero/mixotrophic protists: 85 µg C h⁻¹ g⁻¹ from ciliates and 65 µg C h⁻¹ g⁻¹ from dinoflagellates.

Protists as a trophic link between picoplankton and oysters

The importance of protists in energy transfer within marine food webs was mainly investigated for pelagic consumers, especially zooplankton (Berk et al. 1977, Porter et al. 1979) and was only recently considered for benthic suspension-feeders. The oyster Crassostrea gigas retains a bacterivorous ciliate Uronema (Le Gall et al. 1997) and a natural hetero/mixotrophic protist community (Dupuy et al. 1999). Similarly, it was shown that the bivalves Geukensia demissa and Mytilus edulis feed on heterotrophic nanoflagellates (Kreeger & Newell 1996). Moreover, carbon fluxes in the planktonic network of the Takapoto lagoon have been quantified through modelling, using an inverse analysis technique. Though a first model, built from data collected between 1990 and 1994, awarded a minor role to protists (Niquil et al. 1998), a second model, based on data collected from 1996 to 1997, showed that protozooplankton in the Takapoto lagoon was an obligate pathway in carbon fluxes (Niquil 1998).

As our experiments had shown that pearl oysters efficiently grazed on ciliate protists but they could not feed on picophytoplankton, the importance of the carbon flux from picoprey to phagotrophic protists was studied. The growth of the cultured ciliate Protocruzia sp. evidenced that the cyanobacteria Synechococcus TAK was the most efficient prey to promote its development. Although the ciliate was not abundant in the natural community of protists at the time of our study, it can however be considered as a picoplanktonivorous protist model: its high growth rate (0.19 h⁻¹) and short generation time (ca 4 h) are close to those described for other species of scuticociliates (Hamilton & Preslan 1969) and tintinnids (Verity 1986). Additionally, the gross growth efficiency of Protocruzia sp. fed with a Synechococcus TAK diet was ca 50%. Such high efficiency in the transfer of organic biomasses has been previously reported in the scuticociliate Uronema (Sherr et al. 1987), in Strombidium (Fenchel & Jonsson 1988) and in tintinnids (Heinbokel 1978). If this holds for other phagotrophic protists from the Takapoko Atoll lagoon, they may channel a large part of the cyanobacterial picoproduction towards the pearl oyster Pinctada margaritifera and thus allow this small-sized primary production to be indirectly available to the bivalve.
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