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Abstract—The separation of Electroencephalography (EEG) sources is a typical application of tensor decompositions in biomedical engineering. The objective of most approaches studied in the literature consists in providing separate spatial maps and time signatures for the identified sources. However, for some applications, a precise localization of each source is required. To achieve this, a two-step approach has been proposed. The idea of this approach is to separate the sources using the canonical polyadic decomposition in the first step and to employ the results of the tensor decomposition to estimate distributed sources in the second step, using the so-called disk algorithm. In this paper, we propose to combine the tensor decomposition and the source localization in a single step. To this end, we directly impose structural constraints, which are based on a priori information on the possible source locations, on the factor matrix of spatial characteristics. The resulting optimization problem is solved using the alternating direction method of multipliers, which is incorporated in the alternating least squares tensor decomposition algorithm. Realistic simulations with epileptic EEG data confirm that the proposed single-step source localization approach outperforms the previously developed two-step approach.

I. INTRODUCTION

An important application domain of tensor decompositions in biomedical engineering is the separation of brain sources in the analysis of Electroencephalography (EEG) recordings. Over the past two decades several tensor-based approaches have been proposed to this end. These methods differ in the dimensions which are exploited in addition to space and time, e.g., frequency, wave vector, subject or realization, and in the employed decomposition model such as the Canonical Polyadic (CP) decomposition [1], [2], [3], [4], [5], the PARAFAC2 model [6], the Shift-invariant CP (SCP) approach [7], or the block term decomposition [8]. While most authors contend themselves with the identification of the spatial maps and time signals of the different sources, the interpretation of the EEG data can be further improved by determining the positions and spatial extents of the sources within the brain. This is, for example, of particular importance in the pre-surgical evaluation of drug-resistant epileptic patients, where a precise delineation of the epileptogenic zone is sought. To identify the source positions, a specially developed brain source localization algorithm, referred to as the Disk Algorithm (DA) has been applied in a second step, after the tensor decomposition, to the estimated spatial maps of the sources [9], [10]. However, the results of this two-step approach depend crucially on the correct separation of all source regions in the first step [10], without which the sources cannot be accurately localized. This problem arises especially in the context of close sources and sources with highly correlated time signals as involved, for example, in the propagation of epileptic phenomena.

In this paper, we propose to combine the tensor decomposition step and the source localization step in a single approach. Our idea is to incorporate a priori knowledge on the possible source positions and configurations in the tensor decomposition. The proposed method could generally be applied to any tensor decomposition approach which assumes a separate, constant vector of spatial characteristics for each source. For simplicity, in this paper, we will focus on the CP decomposition and a third order tensor with dimensions space, time, and realization. Our objective consists in imposing structural constraints based on the possible source locations within the brain on the factor matrix of spatial characteristics. Furthermore, we regularize the source localization problem following the fused LASSO strategy, which has previously been employed in the Source Imaging based on Structured Sparsity (SISSY) algorithm1 [11], leading to a good performance for the localization of extended sources [11]. The tensor decomposition is then computed using the Alternating Least Squares (ALS) algorithm [12] where the classical update equation for the matrix of spatial characteristics is replaced by an Alternating Direction Method of Multipliers (ADMM) [13], [14] update to solve the constrained optimization problem for the matrix of spatial characteristics. As will be shown in this paper, the proposed method leads to a more accurate localization of correlated sources than the DA-based two-step algorithm. Note that a similar tensor decomposition approach exploiting (nonnegativity) constraints on the factor matrices has previously been treated in [15], [16], but the application context and the structural constraint considered in this paper are different.

II. DATA MODEL

EEG is a non-invasive technique that records the electrical activity of the brain with an array of $N$ sensors placed on the scalp. Assuming that $T$ time samples of data have been collected, the EEG recordings can be stored into the data matrix $X \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times T}$. In the following, we suppose that the cerebral activity can be modeled by a grid of $D$ dipoles with

1Please note that this algorithm was originally introduced under the name Sparse Variation-Based Sparse Cortical Current Distribution (SVB-SCCD) in [11] and is here renamed to SISSY.
positions spread all over the cortical surface and orientations perpendicular to this surface. These dipoles form the source space. The signals emitted by all dipoles of the source space are described by the matrix $\mathbf{S} \in \mathbb{R}^{B \times T}$. Then the EEG data constitute a superposition of these dipole signals:

$$\mathbf{X} = \mathbf{G} \mathbf{S}. \quad (1)$$

The lead field matrix $\mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times D}$ characterizes the attenuation that is inflicted on the signal of each dipole before it can be measured by the sensors. For a given head model and source space, the lead field matrix can be computed numerically (see, e.g., [17]) and is therefore assumed to be known in the following.

In practice, for a source signal that has sufficiently high amplitude to be measurable at the surface, a certain area of cortex needs to emit synchronized activity. A contiguous cortical area with synchronized activity, also called patch, can be modeled by a certain number of adjacent grid dipoles with highly correlated signals. Furthermore, if several patches emit highly correlated activity, they form a distributed source. Assuming that the EEG data (for one spike realization) are generated by $P$ distributed sources and attributing the average signal $s_p$ to the $p$-th source, we obtain the following data model:

$$\mathbf{X} = \sum_{p=1}^{P} \mathbf{h}_p s_p^T + \mathbf{X}_b \quad (2)$$

where

$$\mathbf{h}_p = \mathbf{G} \psi_p \quad (3)$$

corresponds to the distributed source lead field vector (in the following called spatial mixing vector). The latter is characterized by the sparse coefficient vector $\psi_p$, whose nonzero elements describe the contributions of the associated grid dipoles to the distributed source. The signals of all dipoles that do not belong to a distributed source contribute to the measurements through the matrix of background activity $\mathbf{X}_b$.

The objective of brain source localization consists in identifying the coefficient vectors $\psi_p$, of all distributed sources. In this paper, this will be accomplished using tensor decomposition approaches.

### III. TENSOR-BASED BRAIN SOURCE LOCALIZATION

In order to construct a tensor, we either need to apply a suitable transformation, such as the wavelet transform or the short term Fourier transform, to the data or collect an additional dimension from the measurements. Subsequently, we consider EEG data containing interictal epileptic spike signals, which repeatedly occur in irregular time intervals, involving the same source regions at each occurrence. Following [5], [18], it is then possible to build a Space-Time-Spike (STS) tensor by stacking the spike-like signals observed at $R$ different time instants along the third dimension of the tensor $\mathcal{X}$. Assuming that the signals $s_p$ of the $P$ sources are the same for each spike realization and that the spike amplitudes of the different realizations are described by the vector $c_p \in \mathbb{R}^D$, we obtain the following trilinear tensor model:

$$\mathcal{X} \approx \sum_{p=1}^{P} \mathbf{h}_p \circ s_p \circ c_p. \quad (4)$$

The spatial maps of the $P$ distributed sources, the associated source signals, and the amplitudes can be summarized in the factor matrices $\mathbf{H} = [\mathbf{h}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{h}_P]$, $\mathbf{S} = [s_1, \ldots, s_P]$, and $\mathbf{C} = [c_1, \ldots, c_P]$.

#### A. Classical two-step approach

The classical approach for tensor-based distributed source localization consists of two steps. In the first step, the data tensor $\mathcal{X}$ is decomposed using the CP decomposition. This permits to obtain estimates of the spatial mixing vectors $\hat{\mathbf{h}}_p$, $p = 1, \ldots, P$. In the second step, these estimates can be used to localize each distributed source separately. In [10], this is achieved by DA, which is based on a dictionary of $M$ circular-shaped potential distributed sources of varying sizes, the so-called disks, characterized by the coefficient vectors $\psi_m$, $m = 1, \ldots, M$. The spatial mixing vectors $\mathbf{G} \psi_m$ of these disks are then compared to the estimated spatial mixing vector $\hat{\mathbf{h}}_p$ of the $p$-th source based on a metric. Finally, an estimate of the $p$-th distributed source is obtained by merging all disks for which the metric exceeds a given threshold value.

#### B. The proposed single-step approach

To perform tensor decomposition and source localization in a single step, we propose to decompose the tensor $\mathcal{X}$ exploiting the fact that the spatial mixing vectors $\mathbf{h}_p$ are linear combinations of the lead field vectors as described by equation (3). To this end, we impose the structural constraint $\mathbf{H} = \mathbf{G} \Psi$, where $\Psi = [\psi_1, \ldots, \psi_P]$, on the spatial mixing matrix $\mathbf{H}$. Furthermore, to obtain a sparse, piece-wise constant source distribution, which enables us to easily delineate the active regions at each occurrence. Following [5], [18], it is then possible to build a Space-Time-Spike (STS) tensor by stacking the spike-like signals observed at $R$ different time instants along the third dimension of the tensor $\mathcal{X}$. Assuming that the signals $s_p$ of the $P$ sources are the same for each spike realization and that the spike amplitudes of the different realizations are described by the vector $c_p \in \mathbb{R}^D$, we obtain the following trilinear tensor model:

$$\mathcal{X} \approx \sum_{p=1}^{P} \mathbf{h}_p \circ s_p \circ c_p. \quad (4)$$

The spatial maps of the $P$ distributed sources, the associated source signals, and the amplitudes can be summarized in the factor matrices $\mathbf{H} = [\mathbf{h}_1, \ldots, \mathbf{h}_P]$, $\mathbf{S} = [s_1, \ldots, s_P]$, and $\mathbf{C} = [c_1, \ldots, c_P]$.

#### A. Classical two-step approach

The classical approach for tensor-based distributed source localization consists of two steps. In the first step, the data tensor $\mathcal{X}$ is decomposed using the CP decomposition. This permits to obtain estimates of the spatial mixing vectors $\hat{\mathbf{h}}_p$, $p = 1, \ldots, P$. In the second step, these estimates can be used to localize each distributed source separately. In [10], this is achieved by DA, which is based on a dictionary of $M$ circular-shaped potential distributed sources of varying sizes, the so-called disks, characterized by the coefficient vectors $\psi_m$, $m = 1, \ldots, M$. The spatial mixing vectors $\mathbf{G} \psi_m$ of these disks are then compared to the estimated spatial mixing vector $\hat{\mathbf{h}}_p$ of the $p$-th source based on a metric. Finally, an estimate of the $p$-th distributed source is obtained by merging all disks for which the metric exceeds a given threshold value.
\[
Y = \text{prox}_{f, \frac{1}{\rho}} \left( \mathbf{T}\Psi + \mathbf{U}/\rho \right) \\
Z = \text{prox}_{f, \frac{1}{\rho}} \left( \Psi + \mathbf{W}/\rho \right) \\
\Delta \mathbf{U} = \rho (\mathbf{T}\Psi - \mathbf{Y}) \\
\Delta \mathbf{V} = \rho (\mathbf{G}\Psi - \mathbf{H}) \\
\Delta \mathbf{W} = \rho (\Psi - \mathbf{Z}).
\]

Here, \( \Theta = (\mathbf{C} \odot \mathbf{S})^T (\mathbf{C} \odot \mathbf{S}) + \rho \mathbf{I}_p \), \( \Phi = \rho (\mathbf{T}^T \mathbf{Y} + \mathbf{Z} + \mathbf{G}^T (\mathbf{H} - \mathbf{V})) - \mathbf{T}^T \mathbf{U} - \mathbf{W} \), and \( \rho > 0 \) denotes a penalty parameter. Also, the \text{prox} stands for the proximity operator dealing with nonsmooth functions \( f \) (here \( f(\mathbf{M}) = ||\mathbf{M}||_1 \)) and initially introduced in [20]. Regarding the Lagrangian multipliers \( \mathbf{U}, \mathbf{V} \) and \( \mathbf{W} \), their expressions above are given in terms of their updates using the dual ascent method where \( \Delta \mathbf{M} \) denotes the difference in the value of \( \mathbf{M} \) between two successive iterations. The distributed source signal matrix \( \mathbf{S} \) and the amplitude matrix \( \mathbf{C} \) are updated using the classical ALS equations:

\[
\mathbf{S} = \mathbf{X}^{(2)} ((\mathbf{C} \odot \mathbf{H})^T)^+ \\
\mathbf{C} = \mathbf{X}^{(3)} ((\mathbf{S} \odot \mathbf{H})^T)^+.
\]

After (random) initialization, the updates for \( \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{S}, \) and \( \mathbf{C} \) using equations (7) to (15) are repeated alternatingly until convergence or a maximal number of iterations are reached. At the end of the ALS algorithm, in addition to the matrices \( \mathbf{H}, \mathbf{S}, \) and \( \mathbf{C} \) estimates of all distributed sources are directly available and correspond to the columns of the matrix \( \Psi \).

IV. SIMULATIONS

To demonstrate the good performance of the proposed single-step tensor-based source localization approach in comparison to the classical two-step approach, we conduct a simulation study. To this end, we simulate interictal interepileptogenic EEG data for \( N = 91 \) sensors, \( T = 200 \) time samples at a sampling rate of 256 Hz, and \( R = 50 \) epileptiform spikes. We employ a source space composed of \( D = 19626 \) grid dipoles that are located on the cortical surface. The lead field matrix \( \mathbf{G} \in \mathbb{R}^{91 \times 19626} \) is computed numerically using the ASA software (ASA, ANT, Enschede, Netherlands) and a realistic head model composed of three compartments representing the brain, the skull, and the scalp. We consider two different scenarios with three patches. The first scenario consists of a patch on the superior occipital gyrus (SupOcc) and two close patches on the superior frontal gyrus (SupFr) and the inferior frontal gyrus (InfFr). The second scenario consists of the patch InfFr and two close patches on the mid-temporal gyrus (MidTe) and the occipital temporal gyrus (OccTe). Because of the lack of space, only the second scenario is depicted in Figure 1. Each patch is composed of 100 adjacent grid dipoles, corresponding to a cortical area of about 5 cm². The first two patches of each scenario are attributed epileptic spike signals of slightly different morphology that were segmented from stereotactical EEG (SEEG) recordings of a patient suffering from epilepsy. We then generate 100 different realizations of both signals for the 100 dipoles of each patch by introducing small variations in amplitude and delay. Assuming that the third patch is activated due to a propagation of the epileptic activity of the second patch, we use the same signals for the dipoles of the second and third patch, but introduce a delay of 4 to 8 ms due to the small distance between the two patches. To simulate different spike realizations, we multiply the amplitudes of the spike signals by a factor that is randomly drawn from a Gaussian distribution with mean 1 and variance 0.1. All source dipoles that do not belong to a patch are attributed Gaussian background activity with an amplitude that is adjusted to the amplitude of the SEEG signals between epileptic spikes, thus leading to a realistic Signal to Noise Ratio (SNR) of about 1.

Due to the high correlation of the signals of both the second and the third patch and to the close distance between these latter, we consider these patches to belong to the same distributed source. Therefore, we decide to separate only \( P = 2 \) CP components using the ALS algorithm for both the two-step and the single-step approaches. It is noteworthy that the same random point is used as initial guess for both approaches. For the DA source localization in the two-step approach, we consider disks of varying sizes ranging from 1 to 100 grid dipoles. The threshold value for the metric is adjusted for each distributed source based on the goodness-of-fit criterion (cf. [10]). In the single-step approach, the regularization parameter \( \alpha \) is set to 0.67, the parameter \( \lambda \) is automatically selected from a range of tested values based on a heuristic criterion, and the penalty parameter \( \rho \) is set to 1.

To evaluate the source localization results obtained by the two different methods, we employ the Dipole Localization Error (DLE), which provides a measure of similarity between the original and the estimated source configuration. The DLE is defined as

\[
\text{DLE} = \frac{1}{2Q} \sum_{k \in \mathcal{I}} \min_{\ell \in \hat{\mathcal{I}}} ||\mathbf{r}_k - \mathbf{r}_\ell||_2 + \frac{1}{2Q} \sum_{\ell \in \mathcal{I}} \min_{k \in \hat{\mathcal{I}}} ||\mathbf{r}_k - \mathbf{r}_\ell||_2
\]

where \( \mathcal{I} \) and \( \hat{\mathcal{I}} \) denote the original and the estimated sets of indices of all dipoles belonging to an active patch, \( Q \) and \( Q \) are the numbers of original and estimated active dipoles, and \( \mathbf{r}_k \) denotes the position of the \( k \)-th source dipole. To determine the estimated sets of active dipoles for the single-step approach, we threshold the amplitudes of the estimated source distributions.

Table I (left) lists the DLE values, averaged over 30 realizations with different signals and background activity, of the proposed single-step approach in comparison to the classical two-step method with source localization using DA. In addition, Table I (right) lists the execution time elapsed for each method considered in both scenarios using a PC of 2 GHz Quad-Core Intel Xeon with 32 GB of memory. Due to its higher localization accuracy and relatively small execution time, it is clear that the proposed single-step method gives the best localization accuracy and execution time compared to the two-step strategy.

Figure 1 shows the estimated source distributions associated with the two CP components for the tested single-step and two-step source localization algorithms for the second scenario (InfFr, MidTe, OccTe). For all algorithms, it can be seen that the patch InfFr may be associated with the first CP component whereas the patches MidTe and OccTe, that emit highly correlated signals, are combined in the second CP component. The patch InfFr is well delineated by both the
TABLE I. DLE AND EXECUTION TIME OF SOURCE IMAGING ALGORITHMS FOR SCENARIO 1 (OccSup, SupFr, InfFr) AND SCENARIO 2 (InfFr, MidTe, OccTe)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scenario</th>
<th>DLE (in cm)</th>
<th>CPU time (in sec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single step (STS-SISSY)</td>
<td>1.32</td>
<td>1.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two-step (STS-DA)</td>
<td>18.23</td>
<td>7.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The patches MidTe and OccTe, on the other hand, are only well localized by the single-step algorithm which clearly shows two distinct source regions associated with the second CP component. STS-DA identifies a single patch in this case located between patches MidTe and OccTe. Note that similar behavior was observed for these methods in the case of the second scenario.

Fig. 1. Scenario 2. (Top) from left to right: patches InfFr and patches MidTe and OccTe with highly correlated signals. (Bottom) source localization results for both CP components obtained with the different tested algorithms. C1 stands for the first CP component while C2 denotes the second one.

V. Conclusion

We have proposed a new source imaging algorithm that permits to separate and localize extended EEG sources in a single step. To do so, we have employed a tensor decomposition approach which takes into account structural constraints by making use of the ALS and the ADMM optimization strategies. Simulations conducted using realistic EEG data, in the context of drug-resistant epilepsy, have shown that the proposed single-step approach provides the best localization accuracy and execution time compared to the classical two-step tensor-based source localization approach.
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