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Abstract—Companies have growing amounts of data to store and to process. In response to these new processing challenges, Google developed MapReduce, a parallel programming paradigm which is becoming the major tool for BigData treatment. Even if MapReduce is used by most IT companies, ensuring its performances while minimising costs is a real challenge requiring a high level of expertise. Modelling and control of MapReduce have been developed in the last years, however there are still many problems caused by the software’s high variability. To tackle the latter issue, this paper proposes an on-line model estimation algorithm for MapReduce systems. An adaptive control strategy is developed and implemented to guarantee response time performances under a concurrent workload while minimising resource use. Results have been validated using a 40 nodes MapReduce cluster under a data intensive Business Intelligence workload running on Grid5000, a french national cloud. The experiments show that the adaptive control algorithm manages to guarantee performances and low costs even in a highly variable environment.

I. INTRODUCTION

Companies are producing more and more data every day: we are facing a BigData explosion which inevitably raises the challenges of their storage, analysis and processing. Traditional database techniques cannot be applied to treat this tremendous amount of data, which is generally not structured, therefore new paradigms are being developed to properly analyse and store BigData. Infrastructure as a Service clouds (IaaS) combined with parallel programming stand as a promising solution to tackle this issue. Their principle is to allocate on-demand hardware resources for different software applications according to requirements, thus providing almost unlimited storage and processing capacities.

The main challenge for parallel programming is to manage real time resources allocation. System performance, such as response time, need to be guaranteed while resource usage stays low in order to minimise both energetic and financial costs. This compromise is not easy to achieve as systems are highly variable over time: workloads are changing, shared infrastructures may cause concurrency issues, faults may arise, etc.

Currently, few automatic provisioning strategies have been implemented on cloud services. The most common method is a threshold based technique which adds or removes resources when thresholds are crossed, while keeping an eye on arising costs. Amazon developed this kind of strategy in its clouds EC2 [1] and EMR [2], where it is to the client to set the threshold used for provisioning. As users usually show few knowledge on the system operation, they cannot find an adequate threshold. Thus, this method cannot guarantee performances and does not minimise costs, automatic on-line solutions for resources provisioning that can guarantee performances need to be developed.

Control theory is getting more attention in computer science, as it enables to automatically deal with complex computing systems. Continuous control has been applied to monitor database server [3] or web service systems [4]. Discrete-time theory is also spreading, as reviewed in [5]. Recent publications propose linear dynamic modelling of a MapReduce system (a parallel programming tool) to capture its behaviour [6]. This predictive model is combined with a linear Proportional-Integral (PI) controller to meet performance criteria based on runtime. For simplicity reasons, this method is based on system linearisation around an operating point. As MapReduce behaviour is highly non-linear, performances can only be guaranteed close to this particular point, and the previous method shows it’s limitations when the system is far away.

In the present paper, an adaptive control algorithm is applied to Hadoop, an open-source implementation of MapReduce. A real time estimator is used for system modelling to deal with its non-linearities. Based on these estimations, the correction part is also computed on-line. section 2 presents MapReduce and the experimental set-up used for validation. Section 3 sets out the on-line modelling and section 4 exposes the adaptive controller implemented. Preliminary results are developed in section 5. We conclude the paper with perspectives and future work.

II. CASE STUDY : MAPREDUCE

MapReduce is a parallel and distributed programming tool designed to handle input data partitioning, scheduling, machine failures, task distribution and load balancing [7]. It can capture, store and analyse requests on huge databases using a master-slave architecture. Developed in 2008 by Google,
it has become the de facto tool for parallel programming. It is
daily used by the biggest IT companies (Google, Yahoo,
Facebook, etc. [7] [8]) to make numerous queries upon their
databases. MapReduce is a popular but complex tool since
many factors such as CPU and network skews or workload
changes can influence jobs performances. Therefore, using
it in a optimal way, that is to say minimise the cost while
maximising the performance, is a real challenge. Since these
disturbances occur during the runtime, optimal and robust
resource configuration can only be found on-line.

For our test case, we use Hadoop, an open source version
of MapReduce. To simulate jobs, we choose MRBS, a
performance and dependability benchmark suite developed
by [9] which can provide various workloads and inject several
faults types. This benchmark suite has the advantage of
simulating client interaction and being able to run concurrent
jobs. We run Hadoop under a Business Intelligence workload
on Grid5000, a nation-wide French cloud [10]. More details
about the benchmark configuration can be found in Table I.

The experimental set-up has been developed according
to the following procedure. First, SSH tunnels are set-up
from local computer to remote on-line Grid5000 nodes. Then,
MRBS generates the workload and the client interactions that
will run on Hadoop. Meanwhile, actuators (which add and
remove nodes) and sensors (which measure response time
and the number of clients) are launch through Linux Bash
scripts. Eventually, the Matlab implemented control strategy
computes the number of nodes to set. Matlab is a high level
technical computing language and an interactive environment
for algorithm development, it is the standard tool in control
theory. The control algorithm could have been written in any
other programming language such as C or C++ without major
changes. The Matlab implementation has been chosen for the
experiments since it enables quick and easy development,
implementing and testing of new control algorithms.

III. MAPREDUCE MODELLING

By system modelling we mean trying to capture its
dynamic behaviour through mathematical relations between
its relevant input and output signals. The objective is to have
a mathematical model which can precisely predict the system
behaviour, given the input data.

Since MapReduce is a very complex tool finding a
detailed model is a difficult task as there is no physical
equation that governs the system. Moreover MapReduce
varies from one distribution to another, therefore we need

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cluster</th>
<th>CPU</th>
<th>Memory</th>
<th>Storage</th>
<th>Network</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grid5000</td>
<td>4 core CPU</td>
<td>15 GB</td>
<td>298 GB</td>
<td>Infiniband 20GB</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>MapReduce</th>
<th>Version &amp; Distribution</th>
<th>Client Interactions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Apache Hadoop v1.2</td>
<td>Apache Hive</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE I. HARDWARE AND SOFTWARE CONFIGURATIONS

The relations between the number of nodes, the number of
clients and the response time are captured by the equation (1)
sampled at $T_s = 30s$, which parameters have been established

Fig. 1. MapReduce linearity analysis: response time with different input scenario

(a) Increasing nodes and 10 clients

(b) Increasing clients and 20 nodes

Fig. 2. MapReduce Model Schema
in [6] around the (20 nodes, 10 clients) point. As we want our model to be valid over a wider range, we decided to keep the equation (1) structure but re-compute its parameters on-line to fit the real system. As most non-linearities physically comes from nodes changes (see Fig. 1), we decided to update only \( a_0, b_0 \) and \( b_1 \). We supposed the clients to response time relation constant over time as Fig. 1 (b) shows an almost linear curve, therefore we used the parameters values identified by [6]: \( \alpha_0 = -0.7915, \beta_0 = \beta_1 = 1.0716 \). The delays in equation (1) are also considered as fixed as they are caused by the network.

\[
\begin{align*}
y(k) &= y_N(k) + y_C(k) \\
y_N(k) &= -a_0y_N(k-1) + b_1u(k-5) + b_0u(k-6) \quad (1) \\
y_C(k) &= -\alpha_0y_C(k-1) + \beta_1d(k-8) + \beta_0d(k-9)
\end{align*}
\]

We used a recursive least square estimator (RLSE) [11] to on-line update our model parameters. RLSE recursively optimises the model parameters by minimising a least square cost function between the measured response time and the response time estimated from equation (1) with the varying parameters \( a_0, b_0 \) and \( b_1 \). To compute new parameters the estimator requires input and output data (\#Nodes,\#Clients and Response time), past estimations and the chosen model structure. We choose this method, beyond being the most widely used estimator, because it is a well known algorithm that converges extremely fast [11]. As the system is varying over time, we use a combination of two different RLSE variants. If the estimation error, which is the difference between the measured output and the simulated one based on the estimated model, is higher than a certain threshold \( thd \), we use RLSE with an exponential forgetting factor \( \lambda \) [11], as this method converges quickly. Else, if the estimation error is lower than the threshold \( thd \) we use the internal RLSE parameter reset (with the reset period \( T_{rl} \)) since this method is more precise [11].

IV. MapReduce Control

Control theory aims at designing an autonomous control strategy which, based on present and past data of the system, computes an input signal to make the system act as we required. Though, the control algorithm is an equation between the error \( e \) (difference between the system output \( y \) and our desired value \( y_r \)) and the system input \( u \) (the number of nodes in our case), see Fig. 3.

\[
K_p = 0.14 \frac{1-a_0}{b_1+b_2}, \quad K_i = \frac{K_p}{11.5} \quad (3)
\]

To compute the control parameters we use the model parameters, according to Astrom Hagglund relation (3) [12] of known PI design methods. As model parameters are estimated on line, the control equation has new parameters each sampling time:

\[
u(k) = u(k-1) + (K_p + K_i)e(k) + K_i e(k-1) \quad (2)
\]

V. Preliminary Results

The model estimator presented in this article has been implemented and tested in real time on-line experiments on Grid5000 clusters using the values reported in Table II, results are shown in Fig. V.
Regardless clients or nodes variations, the estimator manages to fit the system output, with some divergences that our combination of different RLSE algorithms well manages to make precisely converge again. The estimation is accurate even when input signals vary far from the operating point, that is to say when the system shows significant non-linearities (see Fig. 1), therefore our modelling is highly robust. Another interesting point is that the proposed estimation algorithm does not need a learning phase or learning data, it can be started at any time and it converges almost instantaneously.

The results of the implementation of our adaptive PI control algorithm for a 50% rise in the number of clients can be seen in Fig. 5. The response time rises for a few minutes whereas our control manages to drag it close to its original value, by slowly increasing the number of nodes, which implies low energetic and financial costs. However, the overall settling time is quite slow, as it lasts for more than 100 sampling times (50 minutes).

Future work will explore the following directions:

- Validation process of the presented estimator and control in an on-line cloud, such as Amazon EC2.
- Control theory provides other controller structures, as feed-forward controller which cancel measured disturbances effects before they impact the system. Its implementation coupled with on-line estimation is considered.
- Minimising changes in the input signal can be achieved using event-based techniques, which computes a new control input only when the system outputs change significantly.
- Add new inputs and outputs to the model such as availability, the maximum number of clients allowed or the system throughput.
- As our system shows substantial non-linearities, the non-linear field of control theory can be explored to achieve better performances.

VI. CONCLUSION & PERSPECTIVES

This paper presents the conception, implementation and validation on an on-line adaptive modelling of MapReduce and a PI control strategy to guarantee its performances. We choose a black box model structure and a combination of recursive least square algorithms to estimate the model parameters in real time. Based on these estimations, the parameters of the discrete PI controller is also computed on line. Our experiments on Grid5000 show that our control framework successfully fulfills our specifications, as modeling fits the systems behaviour and control keeps performances at a certain threshold, while limiting resource usage.
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