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A B S T R A C T

Syndecan–1 is a proteoglycan that acts as co-receptor through its heparan sulfate (HS) chains and plays important roles in cancer. HS chains are highly variable in length and sulfation pattern. This variability is enhanced by the SULF1/2 enzymes, which remove 6-O-sulfates from HS. We used malignant mesothelioma, an aggressive tumor with poor prognosis, as a model and demonstrated that syndecan-1 over-expression down-regulates SULF1 and alters the HS biosynthetic machinery. Biochemical characterization revealed a 2.7-fold reduction in HS content upon syndecan-1 over-expression, but an overall increase in sulfation. Consistent with low SULF1 levels, trisulfated disaccharides increased 2.5-fold. ERK1/2 activity was enhanced 6-fold. Counteracting ERK activation, Akt, WNK1, and c-Jun were inhibited. The net effect of these changes manifested in G1 cell cycle arrest. Studies of pleural effusions showed that SULF1 levels are lower in pleural malignancies compared to benign conditions and inversely correlate with the amounts of syndecan-1, suggesting important roles for syndecan-1 and SULF1 in malignant mesothelioma.

© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the license CC BY-NC-ND (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

1. Introduction

Syndecans are transmembrane heparan sulfate proteoglycans (HSPGs) that contain a core protein with a large N-terminal extracellular domain to which heparan sulfate (HS) chains covalently attach [1–3]. HSPGs play crucial roles as co-receptors in various signaling pathways by cooperating with receptor tyrosine kinases (RTKs) and altering signal transduction [2,4,5]. The HS chains of syndecans act as high affinity binding sites for various soluble growth factors, such as fibroblast growth factor (FGF), vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF), and heparin binding-epidermal growth factor (HB-EGF), and facilitate their presentation to receptors [6–8]. These interactions between HS and biological effectors modify the stability, availability, and/or activity of HS binding partners by affecting their local concentrations and controlling their diffusion [9,10]. HS chains consist of repeating disaccharide units of N-acetyl-glucosamine (GlcNac) and uronic acid (glucuronic acid, GlcA, or iduronic acid, IdoA) bound to serine residues on the core proteins through a tetrasaccharide linkage. Synthesis of the HS chain continues with chain elongation, followed by a series of enzymatic modifications, including deacetylation, epimerization, and sulfation, which result in broad diversity in the HS structure and lead to a varying capacity to bind molecules, such as growth factors, cytokines, chemokines, enzymes, matrix proteins, and numerous cell surface receptors [11]. In addition, several post-synthetic changes can occur at the cell surface and affect HS structure and function: i) fragmentation of HS by heparanase into oligosaccharides of different lengths, regulating the release of HS-bound ligands [12]; ii) selective removal of the 6-O-sulfate groups from HS chains by the two isoforms of extracellular endosulfatases, SULF1 and SULF2; and iii) shedding of the core protein ectodomain with the HS chains from the cell surface by sheddases. The shed syndecans may act as competitive inhibitors for membrane HSPGs or alter growth factor gradients in the neighborhood of the cell [13].

Syndecan-1 (SDC1) has dual roles in different cancer types by being either an inhibitor or promoter of tumor progression [14]. It is involved in cell proliferation, adhesion, migration, and angiogenesis, but its expression diverges in various tumor types [14–16]. Generally, SDC1 expression is higher in epithelial malignancies than in mesenchymal
tumors. Modification of the 6-O-sulfate content of HS has also been associated with altered biological properties and tumor progression, with 6-O-sulfation being crucial in growth factor binding and activity such as shown for FGF, where 6-O-sulfation is essential for the formation of the FGF2/FGFR/HS signaling complex and its mitogenic activity [17–20]. Studies have implied that, similar to SDC1 levels, SULF1 levels are dysregulated in cancer. The action of SULF1 may be also different in the context of tumors; in some tumor types SULF1 expression is decreased, whereas in others it is increased [10]. Because SDC1 acts by binding a wide range of heparin-binding growth factors and their corresponding receptors through its polysaccharide chains [21–24], HS chain modifications should be studied in different tumor types.

Malignant mesothelioma (MM) is one of the most aggressive mesenchymal tumors. It is difficult to diagnose, and has poor prognosis [25]. SDC1 expression is generally low in MM compared to epithelial cancers, and its expression in these tumors correlates with epithelial differentiation and better prognosis [26]. Previously, we showed that over-expression of SDC1 has profound effects on the main signal transduction pathways in a mesothelioma cell line, regulating cell growth and cell cycle progression. Enzymes important to the HS sulfation pattern were also affected by SDC1 over-expression [27], leading to the hypothesis that higher SDC1 levels may result in HS structural rearrangements, which in turn affect the growth factor binding properties of the whole HSPG pool. These alterations may contribute to the effects of SDC1 on cell proliferation and cell cycle distribution.

The aim of this study was to examine in detail the effect of SDC1 on the HS chain composition of proteoglycans and the mechanisms through which these changes subsequently affect signaling pathways in mesothelioma cells. To verify the general applicability of our findings at clinical level, we monitored the relationship between soluble SDC1 and SULF1 in pleural effusions from cancer patients.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Cell line and cell culture conditions

Human malignant mesothelioma cells with epithelioid morphology (STAV-AB) were used. This cell line was chosen based on its low endogenous SULF1 levels and SULF1 in pleural effusions from cancer patients.

2.2. Microarray analysis

Microarray analysis of STAV-AB cells over-expressing SDC1 vs. cells transfected with empty vector was performed using the GeneChip® Human Gene 1.0 ST Array (Affymetrix Inc., Santa Clara, CA, USA) as described previously [27]. A fold change of ≥1.5 or >1.5 and differential expression were considered with a q-value of ≤0.05.

2.3. Reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction

Quantitative real-time reverse-transcription polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) was used to investigate the expression of different genes involved in HS chain synthesis and different HSPGs. Total RNA was isolated from sub-confluent cells using the high pure RNA isolation kit (Roche, Mannheim, Germany) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The yield and purity of the RNA were estimated spectrophotometrically by measuring the UV absorbance at 260 and 280 nm with a NanoDrop spectrophotometer (NanoDrop Technologies Inc.). cDNA was synthesized using a first strand cDNA synthesis kit (Amersham Pharmacia Biotech, Little Chalfont, Buckinghamshire, UK). Three independent RNA isolates were reverse transcribed for the subsequent qPCR using the Platinum® SybrGreen qPCR SuperMix-UDG kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The primer sets for target genes were obtained from CyberGene AB (Sweden) (Table 1). Primers were designed using Primer3 software (Steve Rozen, Helen J. Skaltsky, Primer3, http://www-genome.wi.mit.edu/genome_software/other/primer3.html, 1998) and gene sequences from GenBank (NCBI). All reactions were performed in a total volume of 10 μL in an iCycler machine (BioRAD) in triplicate. The relative quantity of each target was normalized to GAPDH as a reference gene using the ΔΔCt method.

2.4. Preparation and disaccharide analysis of heparan sulfate chains by reverse-phase ion-pair high-performance liquid chromatography (RP-HPLC) analysis

Preparation and purification of HS chains from cells over-expressing SDC1 and control STAV-AB cells were performed as described previously [30,31]. Briefly, cell culture medium was collected and cell layers extensively digested with trypsin (30 min at 37 °C). After centrifugation, supernatants were applied to a DEAE-Sephacel column (2 mL) equilibrated in 20 mM phosphate pH 6.5. The column was then extensively washed with 20 mM phosphate pH 6.5 and 0.3 M NaCl. GAG chains were step-eluted with 20 mM phosphate pH 6.5 and 1 M NaCl. Recovered samples were desalted over a PD-10 column, lyophilized, and stored at −20 °C prior to analysis.

GAG samples were resuspended in 100 mM sodium acetate (pH 7.1) and 0.5 mM calcium and HS were exhaustively digested to disaccharides by incubation with heparinase I (10 mL, Gramipan enzymes, Orkney, UK) overnight at 30 °C, followed by a second incubation with heparinase II and heparinase III (10 mL each, Gramipan enzymes) for 24 h at 37 °C. compositional analysis was then performed by RPIP-HPLC as described previously [32]. Samples were applied to a Luna 5u C18 reversed phase column (4.6 × 150 mm, Phenomenex) calibrated with disaccharide standards (Calbiochem). On-line post-column disaccharide derivatization was achieved by the addition of 2-cyanoacetamide (0.25%) in NaOH (0.5%) and 6-sulfate content of HS has also been as-

Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene ID</th>
<th>Primer sequences (5′ to 3′)</th>
<th>Product length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HS6ST1</td>
<td>CAAAGGCACTACCTGTGTTG</td>
<td>243</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HS2ST1</td>
<td>TACACGCTGACATGCTGAAAT</td>
<td>163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAPS1</td>
<td>GCCATTTGTCCGGACCTCCG</td>
<td>239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAPS2</td>
<td>GCTGACAAAGGGAGTACGAT</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EXT1</td>
<td>TCAGACATCCCTGCTTCCTCTC</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NDS1</td>
<td>CCCGATGCTCTGCTCTGACTCT</td>
<td>212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SULF1 [27]</td>
<td>AAGGTATACGCCGCCGCTCTCTG</td>
<td>227</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH [76]</td>
<td>AGCTACCTGCTCTGCTGCTCTT</td>
<td>260</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.5. High-performance capillary electrophoresis (HPCE) analysis

Preparation and enzymatic degradation of cells’ over-expression SDC1 and control STAV-AB cells were performed by detaching the cells, and after removing the medium, digesting them for 6 min in 0.05% trypsin at 37 °C. The mixture was centrifuged at 2200 g and the trypsin-resistant proteoglycans in the pellet further digested in a 20 mM acetic buffer (pH 7.0) containing 1 µmol calcium acetate and 0.3 units each of heparinase I (heparin lyase I, EC 4.2.2.7), heparinase II (heparin lyase II, no EC number), and heparinase III (heparin lyase III, EC 4.2.2.8) per milligram of polysaccharide (dry weight) at 37 °C overnight [33]. Enzymatic degradation was terminated by boiling the samples for 1 min. After 10 min of centrifugation at 13,000 g, supernatants were analyzed by HPCE. Analysis was performed on an HP3D CE instrument (Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany) with a diode array detector. Separation and analysis were carried out on an uncoated fused silica capillary tube (50 µm i.d., 64.5 cm total length, 56 cm effective length) at 25 °C. The operating buffer for HS standard disaccharides and sample analysis was 15 mM sodium orthophosphate/phosphoric acid buffer at pH 3.5 filtered through a 0.2-µm membrane filter and degassed in an ultrasonic bath. Electrophoresis was performed at 30 kV reversing polarity [34]. Before each run, the capillary tube was washed with 0.1 M NaOH for 1 min, with water for injection for 2 min, and with the operating buffer for 5 min. After each analysis, the capillary was post-conditioned with water for 2 min.

The operating buffer replenishment mode of the CE instrument was used during electrophoresis. Samples were introduced hydrodynamically using the pressure injection mode. Detection was performed at 232 nm [35]. Peak areas were recorded and evaluated using the HP Kayak-XA software system HP3D CE ChemStation. Identification and quantification of the Δ-disaccharide content in samples were performed using precisely known amounts of disaccharide standards dissolved in heparanase digestion buffer as working solutions. Heparinases, all from Flavobacterium heparinum, and the HS-derived Δ-disaccharide standards were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA).

2.6. Fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS) analysis

2.6.1. Detection of heparan sulfate-modulating enzymes, ERK1/2, Akt, and ETS-1 expression and activity

For cell surface staining, cells were detached using an enzyme-free cell dissociation buffer (Gibco, 13151-014) for 15 min, and then were scraped if necessary. Cells were collected, counted, and fixed in 2% buffered formoldehyde. Incubation with primary antibodies against total and phosphor-retifn necessary. Cells were collected, counted, and after removing the medium, digesting them for 6 min in 0.05% trypsin at 37 °C. The mixture was centrifuged at 2200 g and the trypsin-resistant proteoglycans in the pellet further digested in a 20 mM acetic buffer (pH 7.0) containing 1 µmol calcium acetate and 0.3 units each of heparinase I (heparin lyase I, EC 4.2.2.7), heparinase II (heparin lyase II, no EC number), and heparinase III (heparin lyase III, EC 4.2.2.8) per milligram of polysaccharide (dry weight) at 37 °C overnight [33]. Enzymatic degradation was terminated by boiling the samples for 1 min. After 10 min of centrifugation at 13,000 g, supernatants were analyzed by HPCE. Analysis was performed on an HP3D CE instrument (Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany) with a diode array detector. Separation and analysis were carried out on an uncoated fused silica capillary tube (50 µm i.d., 64.5 cm total length, 56 cm effective length) at 25 °C. The operating buffer for HS standard disaccharides and sample analysis was 15 mM sodium orthophosphate/phosphoric acid buffer at pH 3.5 filtered through a 0.2-µm membrane filter and degassed in an ultrasonic bath. Electrophoresis was performed at 30 kV reversing polarity [34]. Before each run, the capillary tube was washed with 0.1 M NaOH for 1 min, with water for injection for 2 min, and with the operating buffer for 5 min. After each analysis, the capillary was post-conditioned with water for 2 min.

The operating buffer replenishment mode of the CE instrument was used during electrophoresis. Samples were introduced hydrodynamically using the pressure injection mode. Detection was performed at 232 nm [35]. Peak areas were recorded and evaluated using the HP Kayak-XA software system HP3D CE ChemStation. Identification and quantification of the Δ-disaccharide content in samples were performed using precisely known amounts of disaccharide standards dissolved in heparanase digestion buffer as working solutions. Heparinases, all from Flavobacterium heparinum, and the HS-derived Δ-disaccharide standards were purchased from Sigma (St. Louis, MO, USA).

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antibody</th>
<th>Company</th>
<th>Dilution</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Anti-p44/42 MAPK (Erk1/2) rabbit monoclonal (137 F5)</td>
<td>Cell Signaling</td>
<td>1/100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-endo-p44/42 MAPK (Erk1/2) (Erk1/2)</td>
<td>Cell Signaling</td>
<td>1/200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Thr202/Tyr204) XP® rabbit monoclonal (D13.14.4E)</td>
<td>Cell Signaling</td>
<td>1/100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-Akt (C67E7) rabbit monoclonal</td>
<td>Cell Signaling</td>
<td>1/100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-phospho-Akt (Ser473) (D9E) XP® rabbit monoclonal</td>
<td>Cell Signaling</td>
<td>1/100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-ETS1 mouse monoclonal (RAB8)</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>1/200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-ETS1 (phospho T382) rabbit polyclonal</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>1/200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-phosphatase-1 rabbit polyclonal</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>1/50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-H5ST1 rabbit polyclonal</td>
<td>Abcam</td>
<td>1/500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anti-H5ST2I mouse monoclonal (G-10)</td>
<td>Santa Cruz Biotech</td>
<td>1/10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mouse IgG1 isotype control</td>
<td>Dako</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabbit IgG isotype control</td>
<td>Cell Signaling</td>
<td>–</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FrαV fragment of Alexa488 goat-α-mouse IgG (H + L)</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
<td>1/800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alexa488 goat α-rabbit IgG (H + L)</td>
<td>Invitrogen</td>
<td>1/400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.6.2. Sulfatase-1 activity assays

The expression of different HS epitopes at the surface of cells over-expressing SDC1 was detected by phage display-derived anti-HS antibodies produced in a VSV-tag version to recognize epitopes with different sulfation patterns (Table 3). Cells were detached using a cell dissociation buffer (Gibco) or scraped, resuspended in FACS buffer, and incubated 30 min at 4 °C with antibodies against different HS epitopes in 1/50 dilution. For the detection of bound antibodies, cells were incubated in 100 µL of FACS buffer containing 1/10 mouse monoclonal anti-VSV tag glycoprotein, clone P5D4 (Sigma-Aldrich, V-5507) at 4 °C for 30 min. After washing, cells were incubated in a FACS buffer containing 1/200 Alexa488 goat anti-mouse IgG (H + L) antibody (Molecular Probes, A-11001) for 15 min at RT. For negative controls, the primary antibody was omitted.

Recombinant SULF1 was produced as described previously by transfecting FreeStyle 293-F cells (Invitrogen) with a pcDNA3.1/Myc-His(−) plasmid encoding SULF1 [36,37]. To study the activity of SULF1, cells over-expressing SDC1 and control mock-transfected cells were starved overnight. The culture medium was then replaced by 1 mL of medium supplemented with 2.5 µM MgCl2 and 20 µL of recombinant SULF1 and incubated for an additional 2.5 h. Cells were harvested and stained for HS epitopes as described above. For all experiments, cells were analyzed in 250 µL PBS using a FACS Calibur cytometer (Becton Dickinson) and CELLQuest software. For each analysis set, at least three independent experiments were performed, with at least 6000 cells counted for each sample.

2.7. Immunocytochemistry

Cells were grown to 70% confluence in eight-well Lab-Tek II chamber slides (Thermo Scientific, 154941) and were fixed with 3% paraformaldehyde for 10 min. For intracellular staining, cells were subsequently permeabilized with 0.1% Triton X-100 (Sigma, St Louis, MO, USA) for 10 min at RT. Non-specific binding was blocked with a 3% normal goat serum for 30 min at RT, and cells were incubated (overnight at 4 °C) with primary antibodies or corresponding isotype control (Table 2) in PBS/1% bovine serum albumin. Slides were then rinsed and incubated for 30 min at RT with Alexa 488 conjugated secondary antibody diluted in 1% BSA. Slides were then counterstained with Hoechst 33342 dye (Life Technologies, H3570) and mounted using Dako fluorescent mounting medium (S3023). Laser scanning microscopy was performed using a Nikon Eclipse Ti confocal microscope and NIS Elements AR imaging software. Images were processed using ImageJ 1.47 software.

Table 3

| VSV-tagged phage-display-derived scFv anti-heparan sulfate antibodies [77]. |
|----------------------------------|------------------|
| Anti-HS antibody | HS modifications |
| HS4C3 | N-sulfation, 3-O-, 6-O-, 2-O-sulfation |
| HS4E4 | N-sulfation, N-acetylation, C5-epimerization |
| A04B08 | N-sulfation,C5-epimerization 2-O-, 6-O-sulfation |
| RB6EA12 | N-acetylation, N-sulfation, 6-O-sulfation |
| NS4F5 | N-sulfation,C5-epimerization, 2-O-sulfation, 6-O-sulfation |
| EV3C3 | N-sulfation, C5-epimerization, 2-O-sulfation |
2.8. Binding of exogenous sulfatase-1 to cells over-expressing syndecan-1

Cells over-expressing SDC1 and corresponding control cells were grown to 70% confluence in eight-well Lab-Tek II chamber slides for 48 h, followed by washing and incubation in 200 μL of conditioned medium from SNAP-tagged SULF1-expressing 293-F cells at 4 °C. SNAP-tagged SULF1 was prepared similarly to recombinant SULF1 after insertion of the SNAP-coding sequence at the N-terminus of the SULF1 sequence. After 1 hour incubation with SNAP-tagged SULF1, cells were washed with culture medium without serum and were incubated in 5 μM SNAP-surface 488 (Bio-Labs, S9124S) according to the manufacturer’s instructions at 4 °C. Cells were fixed in 3% paraformaldehyde for 10 min, counterstained with Hoechst 33342 dye (Life Technologies, H3570), and mounted in Dako mounting medium. Cell-bound SULF1 was visualized and compared to cells without SULF1 incubation. Laser scanning microscopy was performed using a Nikon Eclipse Ti confocal microscope and NIS Elements AR imaging software. Images were processed using ImageJ 1.47 software.

2.9. Proteome profiler arrays

To profile the RTK phosphorylation status of cells over-expressing SDC1, we used the human phosho-RTK aRRAY kit (R&D Systems, Inc.). To investigate the activation status of downstream signaling pathways, we also analyzed the phosphorylation of 43 kinases using the proteome profiler human phosho-kinase array kit (R&D Systems, Inc.). For both kits, cells over-expressing SDC1 and corresponding controls were stimulated for 6 h with a mixture of growth factors containing recombinant human VEGF (5 ng/mL) (Peprotech, NJ, USA) and PDGF-AB (5 ng/mL, Peprotech, USA) in a complete medium. The protein concentrations of cell lysates were measured using the bicinchoninic acid (BCA) assay (Thermo Scientific, IL, USA, cat. no. 23225). Equal amounts of total protein in cell lysates were mixed with a cocktail of biotinylated antibodies and added to nitrocellulose membranes coated with primary antibodies. Following streptavidin-HRP and chemiluminescent detection antibodies and added to nitrocellulose membranes coated with primary antibodies. Following streptavidin-HRP and chemiluminescent detection, the amount of antibody at each spot was recorded with a CCD camera (FluorChem™ SP, Alpha Innotech, USA) and optical pixel densities were analyzed using the ImageJ open source image analysis program.

2.10. Nuclear extraction

In order to prepare nuclear extracts containing activated transcription factors, the active motif nuclear extraction kit (Rixensart, Belgium, cat. no. 40010) was used according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Briefly, 2 × 10⁶ cells were stimulated for 6 h with a mixture of VEGF (5 ng/mL, Peprotech, NJ, USA) and PDGF-AB (5 ng/mL, Peprotech, USA) in a complete medium. Cells were collected in ice-cold PBS containing phosphatase inhibitors, and then were resuspended in hypotonic buffer containing detergents. After removal of the cytoplasmic fractions, cell nuclei were lysed in the lysis buffer containing protease inhibitors and 10 mM DTT. Nuclear proteins were solubilized in this buffer and the protein concentrations were measured using the BCA assay and using optical densities at 562 nm.

2.11. Transcription factor assay

The activity of transcription factors was detected using the TransAm™ MAPK family kit (Active Motif, CA, USA). The kit is designed specifically to study the MAPK-regulated transcription factors ATF-2, c-Jun, c-Myc, MEF-2, and STAT-1a. Briefly, this kit is an ELISA-based assay in which the activated transcription factors from the nuclear extract bind coated oligonucleotides. The amount of bound factor is then quantified using antibodies specific for each factor in its active form. Optical densities were measured at 450 nm and compared to 655 nm as the reference wavelength.

2.12. Soluble syndecan-1 and sulfatase-1 enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) of pleural effusions

Sixty-six plural effusions comprising 17 adenocarcinomas (four breast carcinomas, four ovarian carcinomas, four lung carcinomas, two colorectal carcinomas, one renal carcinoma, one esophageal carcinoma and one from unknown primary tumor), 37 malignant mesotheliomas, and 12 benign effusions were used to measure soluble SDC1 and SULF1 levels. Forty-two effusions were collected at the Department of Pathology and Cytology, Karolinska University Hospital in Huddinge, Sweden, between 2007 and 2013. Twenty-four MM effusions were collected at the Chest Disease Department of Eskisehir Osmangazi University in Eskisehir, Turkey, between 2002 and 2004. The samples were collected before any treatment was given. Inclusion criteria have been
previously described [38–40]. All effusions in the malignant group contained a varying number of malignant cells with admixture of benign mesothelial cells and inflammatory cells. All diagnoses were established according to the guidelines of cytological diagnosis of malignant effusions [41] and verified by histopathology, immunohistochemistry, ultrastructural or biomarker analyses. The benign effusions consisted of pleuritis exudates, reactive mesothelial hyperplasia and/or displayed low biomarker level for hyaluronan (HA). The percentage of malignant cells was estimated considering cytomorphological and immunohistochemical parameters independently by two expert pathologists (A. Hjerpe and K. Dobra). Discrepant cases were resolved through discussion. The median age of mesothelioma patients was 67 (32–83) years, while for the benign or biomarker negative patients 72 (58–86) years.

The study was approved by the ethical review board of Stockholm, Sweden (2009/1138-31/3), and the ethical review board of Eskişehir University, Turkey. All patients provided informed consent.

All samples were centrifuged at 1700 g for 10 min and acellular supernatants were stored at −80 °C. SDC1 and SULF1 were measured using ELISA kits. Human CD138 (syndecan-1) (Gen-Probe Diaclone, France, cat. no. 950.640.192) and Human SULF1 (QAYEE-Bio, Beijing, China, cat. no. QY-E02238), respectively. ELISAs were performed according to the manufacturers’ instructions. Effusions were diluted 1:3 for SDC1 analysis and 1:5 for SULF1 measurements using dilution buffers as blanks. Patient samples were analyzed in duplicate. Investigators were blinded to patient diagnoses. Optical densities were determined using a spectrophotometer (BioTek’s PowerWave HT, Winooski, VT, USA) at 450 nm.

2.13. Survival analysis

Survival times were available for a sub-set of patients. To establish if the level of SULF1 correlates with the survival of mesothelioma patients, survival analysis was performed with cut-off values based on the highest and most significant hazard ratio using the online web application Cut-off Finder [42] as previously described [38]. With determined cut-offs, the Kaplan–Meier survival analysis was applied. The log-rank (Mantel–Cox) test compared survival curves and estimated hazard ratios and p-values.

2.14. Cell cycle analyses

Cells were seeded in 12-well plates at a density of 10^5 cells/well. After 24 h, the medium was removed and substituted with 500 μL of fresh medium containing 2.5 mM MgCl₂. Ten microliters of recombinant...
SULF1 was added to the respective wells twice every 12 h. Cells were trypsinized, centrifuged, fixed in 1 mL of 70% cold ethanol in test tubes, and incubated at 4 °C overnight. Cells were washed in PBS and re-suspended in 500 μL staining solution containing 50 μg/mL propidium iodide (Sigma-Aldrich, MO, USA) and 100 μg/mL RNAse A (Sigma-Aldrich, MO, USA). The cells were incubated for 30 min at 37 °C. The cell cycle distribution was acquired for 10,000 cells for each sample using a FACS Calibur cytometer (Becton Dickinson, CA, USA) with ModFit LT software (Verity Software House, ME, USA).

2.15. Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using GraphPad Prism version 6.01 for Windows (GraphPad Software, San Diego California USA, www.graphpad.com). Normal distribution was tested by D’Agostino & Pearson’s omnibus normality test. Significance was analyzed using the two-tailed Student’s t-test, two-way ANOVA, or Mann–Whitney non-parametric test depending on the comparisons and distribution pattern. Significance was considered at p < 0.05. The correlation between soluble SDC1 and SULF1 in paired effusions was analyzed using a two-tailed Pearson correlation.

3. Results

3.1. Syndecan-1 over-expression alters the expression of HS biosynthetic/ modifying enzymes

To investigate the impact of SDC1 on HS biosynthetic and modifying machinery, we studied the RNA and protein levels of fundamental components involved in this process. The RNA levels of HS biosynthetic and modifying enzymes (EXT1, NDST1, HS2ST1, HS6ST1, SULF1) and the sulfate donor synthase PAPSS1 were altered after SDC1 over-expression (Fig. 1a). The most dramatically altered enzyme was SULF1, which was down-regulated >50-fold at the RNA level, whereas the synthesized protein was down-regulated 1.8-fold compared to the control (Fig. 1b). The expression of HS2ST1 protein was slightly elevated in the SDC1-over-expressing cells. In contrast, NDST1 and HS6ST1 were down-regulated at the RNA level, though we did not observe any significant changes in protein expression (Fig. 1b).

3.2. Syndecan-1 over-expression alters the sulfation pattern of HS chains

To check how changes in HS synthetizing and modifying enzymes affect the sulfation pattern of HS chains, we used antibodies against various sulfated saccharide motifs and performed further precise analyses of the disaccharide content.

In agreement with SULF1 down-regulation and the altered expression of the enzymes involved in HS synthesis, the use of phage-displayed antibodies indicated modifications in the HS structure. Binding of the antibody RB4EA12, which preferentially recognizes N- and 6-O-sulfated saccharide motifs, was significantly elevated in cells over-expressing SDC1, suggesting higher contents of 6-O- and N-sulfation (Fig. 2). Moreover, addition of SULF1 to the culture medium reverted this effect of the RB4EA12 antibody (Fig. 3). The chromatographical analysis of HS from control cells and cells over-expressing SDC1 also revealed clear changes in polysaccharide expression and structure. First, SDC1 over-expression resulted in lower amounts of HS, with a 2.5 to 2.7-fold reduction in both medium and...
3.3. Syndecan-1 over-expression enhances binding of exogenous sulfatase-1 to the cells

In order to examine the binding capacity of HS chains with altered sulfation pattern in SDC1 over-expressing cells, exogenous SNAP-tagged SULF1 was used to probe cell surface HS for SULF binding sequences. Cells over-expressing SDC1 bound significantly more of the exogenous enzyme. This result indicates the presence of larger amounts of mono N-sulfated disaccharides and decrease in [\(\Delta\text{UA(2S)}\)–GlcNS(6S)] and [\(\Delta\text{UA}–\text{GlcAc(6S)}\)] disaccharides in cells over-expressing SDC1 (data not shown).

3.4. Syndecan-1 modulates multiple intracellular signaling pathways

To study whether changes in HS chains lead to alterations in downstream signaling we measured the phosphorylation status of different kinases and receptors after growth factor stimulation. Among the 41 studied mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK)-related components, 18 phosphorylated members of this family, mainly transcription factors, were detected in all three experiments.

Using two independent methods, the activity of EGFR was found consistently higher in cells over-expressing SDC1 compared to controls, although the variability between experiments was too large to give statistical significance. Phospho-kinase array showed 1.8 ± 0.3 fold higher EGFR activity in SDC1 over-expressing cells (mean ± SEM), (Fig. 6), while the difference was 1.6 ± 0.5 fold using the phospho-RTK array. The other RTKs were not influenced.

Downstream of the signaling pathways, ERK1/2 phosphorylation was slightly increased (1.2 ± 0.16), whereas the phosphorylation of protein kinases WNK1 and Akt 1/2/3 were decreased in cells over-expressing SDC1 compared to control cells (0.6 ± 0.09, and 0.3 ± 0.08 fold change, respectively) (Fig. 6). Flow cytometry analysis confirmed the elevated levels of both total and phospho-ERK1/2 (1.74-fold and 6.1-fold, respectively), in cells over-expressing SDC1 compared to control cells (Fig. 7a–b). These findings were verified by immunocytochemistry (Fig. 7c). Similarly, inhibition of Akt expression and activity was also confirmed by FACS analysis; we measured 0.48 ± 0.025 and 0.5 ± 0.0018-fold changes for total and phospho Akt, respectively (Fig. 8).

Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Control Medium</th>
<th>Cells</th>
<th>SDC1 over-expressing Medium</th>
<th>Cells</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N-Sulfation</td>
<td>49.7</td>
<td>50.8</td>
<td>58.3</td>
<td>61.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-O-sulfation</td>
<td>30.3</td>
<td>30.8</td>
<td>31.6</td>
<td>36.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-O-sulfation</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>25.3</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td>35.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total sulfation</td>
<td>103.7</td>
<td>106.8</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>134.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Downstream of phospho-kinases, among the ERK1/2 (MAPK) regulated transcription factors, the activity of c-Jun was inhibited by SDC1 over-expression (mean fold change ± SEM; 0.74 ± 0.07, Fig. 9). Furthermore, in cells over-expressing SDC1, the expression of the ETS-1 transcription factor increased by 1.62 ± 0.15 fold, but its activity was not affected (Fig. 10).

3.5. Both syndecan-1 over-expression and exogenous sulfatase-1 affect the cell cycle

To check if cell cycle is affected by SDC1 and SULF1, we investigated the cell cycle distribution in cells over-expressing SDC1 and mock controls in the absence and presence of SULF1. Cells that overexpressed SDC1 showed a significantly larger proportion of cells in G0/G1 phase compared to the mock-transfected ones (66.5 ± 2.3 vs. 61.3 ± 0.7, respectively), with correspondingly less cells in S- and G2/M phases (26.3 ± 2.9 vs. 30.7 ± 0.7 and 7.2 ± 0.6 vs. 8 ± 0.0, respectively). Surprisingly the exposure to exogenously added SULF1 decreased the proportion of S- and G2/M cells, both in the SDC1 overexpressing cells (to

Fig. 5. Cells over-expressing SDC1 bind more exogenously added SULF1. Cells were seeded on slides, incubated with SNAP-tagged SULF1 for 30 min and fluorescently tagged with Alexa 488 SNAP substrate. Cells nuclei were counterstained with Hoechst dye and analyzed with a laser confocal microscope. (a) Bound SULF-1 was quantified based on two different experiments, five random images each containing at least 10 cells/image. Total pixel intensity/cell was evaluated in the green channel and analyzed with ImageJ software. Significance was assessed by Mann-Whitney t-test. (b) Representative confocal micrograph showing cell-surface bound SULF1 (green) and cell nuclei (blue).
22.7 ± 0.7 and 5.2 ± 0.5) and in the mock transfected controls (to 26.9 ± 0.6 and 7.9 ± 0.1), while the proportion of G0/G1 cells increased correspondingly (to 72.1 ± 1.1 and 65.1 ± 0.7) (Fig. 11).

3.6. Sulfatase-1 expression is low in malignant pleural effusions

In order to test the applicability of our findings in clinical settings and the potential role of SULF1 as a biomarker in malignant mesothelioma, we measured its levels in pleural effusions. SULF1 levels were significantly lower in malignant pleural effusions (mean ± SEM: 49.3 ± 3.3; n = 56) compared to benign conditions (118.5 ± 8.7; n = 10; p < 0.0001, Fig. 12a). Within the malignant group, SULF1 was significantly lower in pleural effusions from mesothelioma patients (39.9 ± 2.8; n = 39) compared to effusions from adenocarcinoma patients (69.3 ± 5.3; n = 17; p < 0.0001, Fig. 12b). By simultaneously measuring the level of soluble SULF1 and SDC1 in pleural effusions from mesothelioma patients, we found a fair, though not significant, inverse correlation between SULF1 and SDC1 expression (r = −0.43; p = 0.13; n = 13, Fig. 12c).

Pleural effusions contained in average 40% tumor cells (ranging from 10 to 80%). Soluble SDC1 strongly correlated with the tumor burden represented by the proportion of tumor cells (r = 0.8; p = 0.006).

---

**Fig. 6.** Phospho-kinase profile of SDC1 over-expressing cell lysates compared to the control. Cells were stimulated with VEGF + PDGF, and equal amounts of total proteins in cell lysates were mixed with a cocktail of detection antibodies and added on membranes coated with primary antibodies. Chemiluminescence was detected for each spot with a CCD camera. (a) Representative images of human phospho-kinase arrays of 300 μg cell lysate from SDC1 over-expressing cells (top) and controls (bottom). Eighteen proteins in duplicates were detected in all three experiments. Duplicates represent following proteins in numerical order: P38α (1); ERK1/2 (2); JNK1/2/3 (3); GSK-3α/b (4); EGFR (5); MSK1/2 (6); Akt1/2/3 (7); CREB (8); B-catenin (9); STAT5α (10); STAT5a (11); STAT6 (12); STAT5β (13); Chk-2 (14); C-Jun (15); STAT3 (16); WNK1 (17); and HSP60 (18). (b) Three independent experiments were performed in duplicates. Fold changes were calculated based on the average optical density values of each analyte obtained from SDC1 over-expressing cells divided by the average optical density values of control cells. Asterisk represents a significant difference (p-value < 0.05) and # indicates p-value = 0.06. p-Values are from Student’s t-tests. Lines represent mean and error bars represent standard error of the mean (SEM). Analyses were performed and graphs were created using the GraphPad Prism software.
above a cut-off of 235 ng/mL (Fig. 13). However, no correlation was found between SULF1 levels and tumor burden.

SULF1 levels did not correlate to the survival of malignant mesothelioma patients ($r = 0.12; p = 0.5$, data not shown). Using the cut-off value of 36.57 ng/mL based on the highest and most significant hazard ratio, median survival time of mesothelioma patients with SULF1 level higher than 36.57 ng/mL was 10 months, while in those with lower SULF1 levels it was slightly lower, 6 months. However, this difference was not statistically significant (hazard ratio 0.76; 95% CI = 0.37 to 1.6; $p = 0.47$; Supplementary Fig. 1).

4. Discussion

The cancer-related significance of SDC1 has been established in a wide range of tumors, including mesothelioma, breast, colorectal, gastric, pancreatic, prostate, lung, endometrial, and ovarian cancers, as well as squamous cell carcinoma of the head and neck [26,43]. However, the net effect exerted by SDC1 is largely tissue-specific and depends on the sub-cellular localization of proteoglycans, the ratio of shed and cell-bound SDC1, tumor–matrix interactions, and the concentrations of available growth factors and mitogens in the tumor microenvironment. Generally, mesothelioma cells express low levels of SDC1 and cells with higher levels of membrane-bound SDC1 undergo epithelioid differentiation, associated with a better prognosis than sarcomatoid mesothelioma with little or no expression of this proteoglycan [26]. We previously demonstrated that SDC1 inhibits tumor cell growth, proliferation, and migration in MM cells [29,44]. Furthermore, our recent study indicates that soluble SDC1 can serve as a diagnostic and prognostic marker for pleural malignancies, such as mesothelioma and metastatic adenocarcinoma [38].

Fig. 7. The level and the activity of ERK1/2 are elevated due to SDC1 over-expression. Cells over-expressing SDC1 and control cells were stimulated with FGF2, stained with ERK1/2, and phospho-ERK1/2. The expression and activity of ERKs were quantified by fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) (a, b) and immunostaining followed by confocal microscopy (c). (a) Average geometrical mean of the fluorescent intensities of three experiments, based on FACS analysis. Results are represented as average fold changes $\pm$ SD. The statistical significance of the difference between total and phospho-ERK was assessed by Student’s t-test. Asterisk represents a significant difference ($p$-value < 0.05). (b) A representative FACS histogram plot, where dotted lines represent intensity of control cells, whereas black line represents SDC1 over-expressing cells. (c) Immunostaining followed by confocal microscopy, green indicating ERK1/2 or pERK1/2 detected by Alexa 488, and blue represents nuclei stained with Hoechst dye.
SDC1 is a prominent co-receptor that interacts with large networks of HS-binding proteins (HSBPs), known as the HS interactome, and is involved in various cellular processes [45,46]. All these processes begin with physical docking of the biological effectors to saccharide motifs within the HS chains. Therefore, the modification of such motifs has a crucial impact on the biological functions of HSPGs by modulating the binding capacity and/or specificity of HSBPs and altering downstream signaling pathways [47,48], leading to potential inhibitory or stimulatory effects on tumor growth. However, the impact of SDC1 expression on the structural and functional properties of HS in mesothelioma, and its implications on intracellular signaling pathways, have never been investigated.

In this context, the present study demonstrates for the first time that SDC1 over-expression affects the composition of HS chains through alterations in both biosynthetic and post-synthetic modification processes. HS biosynthesis and turnover are strictly regulated processes involving many enzymes interrelated to each other [49,50]. In the course of their synthesis in Golgi, HS chains are elongated by exostosins (EXT1-2). The N-deacetylase-N-sulfotransferases (NDST 1–4) continue the biosynthetic process by replacing the acetyl group of GlcNAc with a sulfate group, introducing HSN-sulfation. In subsequent steps, the corresponding O-sulfotransferases (HS2ST1, HS6ST1–3, HS3ST1–7) preferentially add their sulfate groups to an already N-sulfated motif [51,52]. Finally, mature HS chains at the cell surface can be modified by extracellular HS 6-O endosulfatases (SULF1 and 2), which can selectively remove 6-O-sulfate groups from the polysaccharide [53]. Therefore, the edition of a precise HS sulfation pattern relies on a tight balance between these biosynthetic and post-synthetic enzymatic processes. Previous studies have suggested a dynamic interplay between all of these enzymes that may add further complexity to the system. Over-expression of EXT1 or EXT2 inhibits or enhances NDST1 expression, respectively, with opposite consequences on HS sulfation [54]; NDST1-deficient mice exhibit general HS under-sulfation, suggesting that NDST1 plays a dominant role in the regulation of HS synthesis [32,55]. Moreover, over-expression or knock-down of SULFs has been shown to lead to alterations in the 6-O-sulfation pattern, and 2-O and N-sulfations are also significantly affected [56–58]. Taken together, the results indicate that postulating direct cause–effect relationships between variations in biosynthetic enzyme expression and HS structure is questionable.

Here, we characterized HS from intact and SDC1-over-expressing mesothelioma cells using three complementary approaches: transcriptional analysis of HS biosynthesis enzymes, mapping of saccharide epitopes using phage-display anti-HS antibodies, and biochemical determination of HS composition by disaccharide analysis. These strategies revealed clear changes in HS structure upon SDC1 over-expression that can contribute to altered signaling. We show that the expression of enzymes from nearly every step of HS biosynthesis and post-synthesis are delicately affected by SDC1. One possible mechanism of these effects could be a negative feed-back in the cells expressing more SDC1, resulting in slower biosynthetic processes, as suggested by the finding that both EXT1 and NDST1 are transcriptionally down-regulated. Disaccharide analysis showed that HS chains were less abundant in cells over-expressing SDC1, which may be due in part to decreased synthetic capacity of the HS biosynthetic machinery in cells over-expressing the SDC1 protein core, and in part due to the decreased amount of EXT1 and NDST1 enzymes leading to the assembly of shorter HS chains. Such a phenomenon has been observed in xyloside-treated cells [31]. In addition, SDC1 over-expression could result in the down-regulation of other HSPGs [27,29].

Transcriptional analysis showed that the expression of both HS6ST1 and SULF1 decreased upon SDC1 over-expression. This may be another indication of a slower biosynthesis and turnover of HS in these cells, particularly of 6-O-sulfated moieties. Our results indicate that modifications of 6-O-sulfation on HS chains were qualitative rather than quantitative. The overall 6-O-sulfate content remained unchanged, but disaccharide analysis revealed a drastic increase in [ΔUA(2S)–GlcNS(6S)] and decrease in [ΔUA–GlcNS(6S)] and [ΔUA–GlcNAc(6S)]. Enhanced [ΔUA(2S)–GlcNS(6S)] is a direct consequence of SULF1 down-regulation, as this specific disaccharide is a preferential substrate of the enzyme. However, this increase was only partially compensated by a decrease in [ΔUA(2S)–GlcNS], the product of SULF1 desulfation. This finding indicates that the observed modifications cannot be attributed exclusively to a depletion of SULF1 enzymatic activity, but also involves fine tuning of the HS structure through the biosynthesis machinery. Recently, a study on colorectal cancer cells over-expressing SULFs reported an increase in GlcNAc(6S)-containing disaccharides as a cellular response that compensates for the enzymatic driven decrease in [ΔUA(2S)–GlcNS(6S)] [59]. Our data support such complementary behavior, here in the context of SULF1 down-regulation by SDC1. The results obtained with anti-HS phage-display antibodies are in full agreement with these findings; an enhanced signal was observed for RB4E12 antibody, which recognizes 6-O-sulfated and N-sulfated epitopes, respectively, furthermore a relative increase was noticed for NS4F5, which has specificity towards [ΔUA(2S)–
GlcnS(6S) trisulfated disaccharide, a very sensitive signature of SULF activity [36]. We also found that the HS chains of cells over-expressing SDC1 were more N-sulfated and 2-O-sulfated. The latter result was expected, as we observed an increase in HS2ST expression at both the transcriptional and protein levels. However, no such correlation could be made between enhanced N-sulfation of HS and decreased expression of NDST1. Explanations for this may be that, in contrast to HS2ST, NDSTs display three other isoforms that may contribute to compensatory mechanisms. In addition, we did not observe any significant down-regulation of NDST1 at the protein level. Finally, increased N-sulfation could also be the result of the down-regulation of EXT1, which has been reported to inhibit NDST1 activity [54].

To confirm our hypothesis that many of the observed changes in HS chain composition in cells over-expressing SDC1 are related to the substantial down-regulation of SULF1 expression, we showed that the binding of exogenous SULF1 to HS chains is more extensive in cells over-expressing SDC1 and that treatment with SULF1 can revert the binding of 6-O-sulfate-dependent RB4EA12 antibody. As mentioned above, SULF1 post-synthetically removes the 6-O-sulfate groups of HS chains and plays conflicting roles as an oncogene or tumor suppressor in various cancers. The 6-O-sulfation level has been reported to change throughout the different stages of malignancy, resulting in an altered HS structure and function [18–20]. Growth factor binding and presentation to cognate receptors can be differentially regulated according to the HS sulfation pattern, as exemplified for FGF-2; binding of HS to the growth factor is 2-O-sulfation-dependent, whereas binding to FGF2-receptor is 6-O-sulfation-dependent.

Consequently, modifications of the HS 6-O-sulfation status by SULFs are critical in tumor cell survival, proliferation, and metastasis. Our finding that SDC1 over-expression directly inhibits SULF1 and leads to increased HS 6-O-sulfation suggests functional consequences regarding the binding of growth factors and the regulation of intracellular signaling pathways, as previously observed for VEGFR [60], amphiregulin [61], and FGFR [62]. In our study, this is illustrated by a subtle enhancement of EGFR activity in cells over-expressing SDC1 and concomitant activation of the downstream ERK1/2 pathway. However, the ultimate effect of SDC1 over-expression is the inhibition of proliferation [29] retaining the cells in G0/G1, thus we hypothesize that other inhibitory mechanisms may be involved that are triggered by SDC1, but are independent of HS chain modifications. This hypothesis is supported by two main findings: first, the G1 arrest observed in SDC1 over-expressing cells could not be rescued by SULF1 addition. Second, despite the ERK activation, downstream ERK-related transcription factors are inhibited. We analyzed the expression and activity of ETS-1, as we previously found that it is the only transcription factor affected at the RNA level by both

---

**Fig. 9.** MAPK regulated transcription factor activity is altered in SDC1 over-expressing cells compared to the control. Cells’ nuclear extracts were added to the 96 well-plate with coated oligonucleotides. The amount of bound transcription factor was quantified using antibodies specific for each activated transcription factor form and optical densities were determined. Four independent experiments were performed in triplicates. Fold changes were calculated based on the average optical density values of SDC1 over-expressing cells and were obtained from each experiment for each transcription factor, divided by the average optical density values of control cells. Asterisk represents a significant difference (p-value ≤ 0.05); p-Values are calculated by two-tailed Student’s t-tests. Lines represent mean and error bars represent standard error of the mean (SEM).

**Fig. 10.** SDC1 over-expression increases the level of ETS1 but its activity is not influenced. Cells over-expressing SDC1 and control cells were stimulated for 5 min with FGF2, stained with ETS1 and phosphoT38 ETS1. The expression and phosphorylation of ETS1 were quantified by fluorescence activated cell sorting (FACS). (a) Represents the average of three experiments, based on the geometrical mean of the intensities measured by FACS. Results are average fold changes +/− SD. The one sample t-test with a theoretical mean of 1 was applied for significance. Asterisk represents a significant difference (p-value < 0.05). (b) A representative FACS plot; gray line represents control cells, and black line SDC1 over-expressing cells.

**Fig. 11.** Cell cycle distribution is altered after exogenous SULF1 addition to syndecan-1 over-expressing cells and controls. Cell cycle analyses were performed by PI staining, 24 h after SULF1 addition using flow cytometry. Columns represent mean percentage of cells in each phase of the cell cycle ± SD (n = 3). p-values are calculated by two-way ANOVA test. Asterisks indicate statistically significant differences (*p < 0.05, **p < 0.01, ***p < 0.001, ****p < 0.0001). SD = standard deviation.
the over-expression and silencing of SDC1 [27]. ETS-1 is a known onco-
gene which is activated by ERK1 at threonine-38 residue. We found
that, though the level of ETS-1 is elevated in cells over-expressing SDC1,
its phosphorylation at threonine-38 was not influenced. Considering the
elevated ETS1 expression and unchanged phosphorylation, we conclude
that ETS-1 activation was inhibited via a signaling pathway independent
of ERK1/2. c-Jun activity is also inhibited by SDC1 over-expression. Previ-
ously it was shown that c-Jun is activated by both the ERK1/2 and JNK
pathways [63]. As a member of the activator protein-1 (AP-1) family, c-
Jun activity is also necessary for the expression of cyclin D1, which elicits
G1/S transition and cell cycle progression [64]. Our previous findings from
a transcriptomic array also showed low cyclin D1 levels after SDC1 over-
expression [27]. The low c-Jun activity could partly explain the absence of
downstream effects upon ERK1/2 activation and the G1/S arrest of meso-
thelioma cells over-expressing SDC1.

Additionally, several key factors from other signaling pathways were
inhibited downstream of RTKs in the signaling cascade. WNK1, a regula-
tor kinase of MAPK in EGFR signaling, was moderately inhibited by SDC1
over-expression. WNK kinases affect multiple signaling pathways in-
volved in proliferation and are known to be apoptosis inhibitors [65].
WNK1 has been reported to be fundamental for EGF signaling via activa-
tion of ERK5 [66]. Suppressed ERK5 activation and hampered cell
growth have been reported in vivo after WNK1 down-regulation [67].
The low activity of WNK1 caused by SDC1 can be another mechanism
by which the effects of ERK1/2 activation are attenuated. Furthermore,
our data show that SDC1 is a potent inhibitor of Akt1/2/3 activity. This
is particularly interesting in light of the studies showing that the PI3K/
AKT pathway plays a critical role in MM, in which Akt is generally highly
activated [68,69] and depends on RTKs, whereas MAPK activation was
shown to be independent of receptor activation status [70]. Moreover,
Akt1/2/3 is an important factor in the G1/S transition checkpoint. Inhi-
bition of PI3K activity in different MM cell lines led to significant G1
cell cycle arrest and the suppression of cell proliferation associated
with down-regulation of cyclin D1 [71]. Our results support the idea

Fig. 12. Soluble SULF1 is present in lower levels in malignant tumors and negatively correlates with soluble SDC1 in pleural malignancies. (a) Soluble SULF1 levels were significantly lower in pleural effusions from malignant tumors compared to benign effusions. (b) Within the malignant tumors, pleural effusions from mesothelioma patients had significantly lower levels of SULF1 compared to adenocarcinoma patients. p-values are calculated from Kruskal–Wallis tests. Lines represent mean and error bars represent standard error of the mean (SEM). (c) Pearson correlation analysis was used to assess the relationship between soluble SDC1 and SULF1 in paired sample effusions from 13 mesothelioma patients.

Fig. 13. High levels of soluble SDC1 in malignant pleural effusions correlate with the percent-
age of tumor cells. Higher soluble SDC1 levels exceeding a cut-off level of 235 ng/mL positive-
ly correlate with tumor burden represented by the percentage of tumor cells in malignant
pleural effusions. Tumor cell percentage was estimated using cytomorphological and immu-
nohistochemical parameters, the level of soluble syndecan-1 was measured by ELISA. Cut-off
values for “high” (n = 9) and “low” (n = 13) SDC1 expression were the same with the one
identified in our previous study [38] using the online web application Cut-off Finder [42]. R
and p-values were calculated using Pearson correlation.
that the G1 arrest in cells over-expressing SDC1 can be mediated by Akt inhibition. Thereby we provide new evidence of the importance of Akt signaling in MM cell proliferation and cell cycle.

In light of the effects of the signaling events caused by SDC1 over-expression, the inhibition of different signaling pathways at multiple levels may counteract the initial ERK activation. This is also manifested by a general inhibition of the MAPK/ERK pathway downstream of ERK: ET1, c-Jun, and ultimately cell cycle and proliferation.

The level of SULF1 is lower in malignant pleural effusions than benign effusions. This finding is in line with several cancers that have been reported to express low levels of SULF1, including ovarian cancer, hepatocellular carcinoma, kidney, gastric, and bladder cancers [10], implying a tumor suppressor nature of SULF1 [72,73]. However, another study has reported that SULF1 is elevated at the transcriptomic level in mesothelioma, which could partly be involved in chemo-resistance [74]. The low level of SULF1 in pleural malignancies may result in higher biological behavior and longer survival. Noteworthy, shed SDC1 may re-act in opposite way as membrane HSPGs by interfering with the growth factor gradients in the environment of tumor cell [13]. Whether lowered level of SULF1 in malignant pleural effusions than benign effusions. This study supports a crucial role of SDC1 in modifying the factor gradients in the environment of tumor cell [13]. Whether lowered level of SULF1 is specifically due to shed or membrane-bound SDC1 in mesothelioma patients remains to be elucidated, nevertheless these findings support our in vitro observations that SDC1 inhibits SULF1 in malignant mesothelioma cells. One disclosed mechanism of SULF1 down-regulation in different tumor types is silencing by hypermethylation of its promoter [75]. Here, we provide evidence of a novel inhibitory mechanism controlled by SDC1 expression. Taken together we show here that cell surface SDC1 inhibits SULF1 expression in vitro and causes a cell cycle arrest in G1 phase. In clinical material shed SDC1 inversely correlates with SULF1, adding further evidence to the notion that soluble- and cell-surface SDC1 may counteract each other, influencing tumor cell behavior.

5. Conclusions

This study supports a crucial role of SDC1 in modifying the fine structure and sulfation pattern of proteoglycans, mainly through down-regulation of SULF1, affecting downstream signaling. The results provide new evidence that enhanced proteoglycan core protein expression also finelly modulates HS expression and structure by interfering with HS biosynthetic/modifying enzymes. By performing complex HS biochemical characterization using three different approaches, we provide further evidence of a complex interplay between HS biosynthesis and post-synthetic modifications. Furthermore, this work contributes to elucidating the intricate role of SDC1 in signaling by revealing that SDC1 acts through the PI3K and MAPK signaling pathways in MM cells, ultimately leading to cell cycle disruption by G1 arrest. Our results suggest a potential role as a diagnostic marker of SULF1 in pleural malignancies and provide further insight into the complex role of SDC1 and SULF1 in cancer.

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cellsig.2015.07.017.
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