Bridging Amines with CO₂: Organocatalyzed Reduction of CO₂ to Amins

Xavier Frogneux, Enguerrand Blondiaux, Pierre Thuéry, Thibault Cantat

► To cite this version:

Xavier Frogneux, Enguerrand Blondiaux, Pierre Thuéry, Thibault Cantat. Bridging Amines with CO₂: Organocatalyzed Reduction of CO₂ to Amins. ACS Catalysis, 2015, 5, pp.3983-3987. 10.1021/acscatal.5b00734 . hal-01228582

HAL Id: hal-01228582

<https://hal.science/hal-01228582>

Submitted on 30 Jan 2019

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Bridging Amines with CO₂: Organocatalyzed Reduction of CO₂ to Aminals

Xavier Frogneux,[‡] Enguerrand Blondiaux,[‡] Pierre Thuéry and Thibault Cantat*

CEA, IRAMIS, NIMBE, CNRS UMR 3685 91191 Gif-Sur-Yvette Cedex, France

Fax: (+33) 1.6908.6640 E-mail: thibault.cantat@cea.fr Homepage: <http://iramis.cea.fr/Pisp/thibault.cantat/index.html>

Supporting Information Placeholder

ABSTRACT: The 4-electron reduction of CO₂ in the presence of secondary aromatic amines is described for the first time to access aminals. Under metal-free hydrosilylation conditions, the four C–O bonds of CO₂ are cleaved and the organocatalysts are able to balance the reactivity of CO₂ to promote the selective formation of two C–N and two C–H bonds. The methodology enables the formation of various symmetrical and unsymmetrical aminals.

KEYWORDS: CO₂ – organocatalysis – amines – hydrosilylation – reduction – aminal

Because CO₂ is a renewable, cost-efficient and non-toxic resource, it is a desirable carbon feedstock for the production of value-added chemicals and a lot of groups have focused their attention on designing new transformations involving CO₂ over the last few years.¹ In particular, the reductive functionalization of CO₂ with nitrogen reagents has known tremendous developments using various types of reductants such as hydrosilanes, hydroboranes and dihydrogen.² These reactions have enabled the conversion of CO₂ into formamides,^{2b, 3} formamidines⁴ and methylamines.⁵ Notably, the carbon oxidation state in these products is either +2 or –2 and the formation of C⁰ organic functional groups from CO₂ remains a challenge. This trend reflects the higher electrophilicity of C⁰ groups compared to C^{+II} functions in carbonyl derivatives. It is indeed well established that upon hydrogenation of CO₂, formate derivatives can be accumulated while formaldehyde is an elusive species because its reduction to methanol is more rapid than the hydrogenation of formic acid.^{1a} As a consequence of this limitation, only a few reports have tackled the formation of C⁰ species from CO₂. Under hydrosilylation conditions, the selective reduction of CO₂ into a bis(silyl)acetal species with triethylsilane has been revealed.⁶ Using a hydroborane reductant, Bontemps, Sabo-Etienne *et al.* successfully trapped transient formaldehyde, obtained from CO₂, with 2,6-diisopropylaniline, yielding the corresponding imine.⁷ To unlock new 4-electron reduction transformations of CO₂, one should focus on the use of well-balanced catalysts, able to finely control the kinetics of CO₂ reduction. In nature, acetogenic bacteria are able to produce over 10⁹ tons of acetic acid annually, following the Wood-Ljungdahl pathway (Scheme 1).⁸ In this biochemical cycle, CO₂ is anchored to a diamine moiety and undergoes successive 2-electron reduction steps to yield a methylamine (C^{–II}) after formation of the corresponding formamide (C^{+II}), formamidine (C^{+II}) and aminal

(C⁰) intermediates. While CO₂ conversion to formamides, formamidines and methylamines has been described, the synthesis of aminals⁹ directly from CO₂ remains unknown and was only suggested as a possible intermediate in the Ru-based methylation of amines.^{5b} To open up the variety of products accessible from CO₂, we describe herein the first catalytic synthesis of aminals by intermolecular coupling of two amines using CO₂ as a C1-bridge.

Scheme 1. Simplified mechanism of the Wood-Ljungdahl pathway for acetogenesis with CO₂

Hydrosilanes are mild reductants, cheap, non-toxic with a redox potential well poised for CO₂ reduction. Additionally, their slightly polar Si–H bond can be activated with metal-free catalysts, using either Lewis bases or Lewis acids.¹⁰ Using phenylsilane as reductant, the reactivity between *N*-methylaniline (**1a**) and CO₂ has been explored using a variety

of organocatalysts able to form adducts with CO₂¹¹ or promote its reduction, such as guanidines, amidines, *N*-heterocyclic carbenes (NHCs) and phosphorus-bases.^{3a, 3b, 5f, 5g, 12} Using 10 mol% of the NHC **ItBu**, CO₂ undergoes hydrosilylation in the presence of *N*-methylaniline (**1a**) in CH₃CN, and after 2.5 h at 80 °C, 76% of **1a** was converted to the expected aminal **2a** in 47% yield (Scheme 2). Nonetheless, methylamine **3a** is also produced at a similar rate reaching 25% yield. Interestingly, after prolonged reaction time (24 h) methylamine **3a** is formed as the main nitrogen product (>95%), indicating that the aminal is an intermediate in the formation of **3a** and that the catalyst is unable to prevent over-reduction of the C⁰ carbon center in **2a**. Reducing the quantity of CO₂ in the reaction vessel to *circa* 1 equiv. per amine somewhat improves the **2a/3a** ratio of the reaction from 1.9 to 2.9 (Scheme 2).

Scheme 2. Formation of aminal 2a via CO₂ hydrosilylation

Table 1. Catalyst screening for the coupling of 1a to 2a

Entry	Catalyst (mol%)	Reductant	Time (h)	Yield 2a (%)	Yield 3a (%)
1	ItBu (10)	PhSiH ₃	2.5	52	18
2	IPr (10)	PhSiH ₃	4.5	79	3
3	VB^{Me} (10)	PhSiH ₃	2.5	71	0
4	Me-TBD	PhSiH ₃	4.5	78	0
5	DBU (10)	PhSiH ₃	2.5	93	4
6	TBD (10)	PhSiH ₃	2.5	93	7
7	TBD (5)	PhSiH ₃	3.0	91	6
8	TBD (1)	PhSiH ₃	7.0	92	6
9	TBD (5)	PMHS	96	5	0
10	TBD (5)	TMDS	96	0	0
11 ^[a]	TBD (5)	PhSiH ₃	48	5	0
12 ^[b]	TBD (5)	PhSiH ₃	48	7	0
13 ^[c]	TBD (5)	PhSiH ₃	19	26	0

Reaction conditions: NMR tube (2.5 mL), catalyst, amine (0.10 mmol), hydrosilane (6 eq. “Si–H”), solvent (0.30 mL), CO₂ (1 bar). Yields determined by ¹H NMR with Ph₂CH₂ as internal standard. ^[a] in toluene-*d*₈ ^[b] in THF-*d*₈, ^[c] at RT.

Although encouraging, these results stress the need for a catalyst having a balanced reactivity in CO₂ hydrosilylation, to

avoid the methylation of the amine. While **IPr**, Verkade’s base **VB^{Me}** or guanidine **Me-TBD** enable the formation of **2a** in up to 79% yield, the best activities and selectivities were obtained with 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene (**DBU**) and 1,5,7-triazabicyclo[4.4.0]dec-5-ene (**TBD**) as catalysts for which the reaction was complete after 2.5 h, yielding 93% of the desired aminal **2a** and **3a** as side-product (7%) (Entries 2–6, Table 1). As expected, no reaction occurred after 24 h in the absence of CO₂ or catalyst. Importantly, with a low catalyst loading of 1 mol% **TBD**, aminal **2a** was still obtained in 92% yield after 7 h at 80 °C (Entry 8, Table 1). Whereas polymethylhydrosiloxane (PMHS) or tetramethyldisiloxane (TMDS) are unreactive in the formation of **2a**, Ph₂SiH₂ exhibits a reactivity close to that of PhSiH₃ (Entries 9–10, Table 1 and SI). The polarity of the solvent also has a positive influence on the transformation. Although **2a** is formed in <10% yield from **1a** in toluene or THF (ε<7.5), the corresponding yield increases to 91% in MeCN (ε=37.5) under analogous conditions (Entries 7, 11–12, Table 1). Finally, the conversion of **1a** can proceed at RT, yet only 26% of the desired aminal could be obtained under these conditions and 49% of *N*-methylformanilide was also formed (Entry 13, Table 1).

Reaction conditions: NMR tube (2.5 mL), TBD (0.0050 mmol), amine (0.10 mmol), PhSiH₃ (0.20 mmol), CD₃CN (0.30 mL), CO₂ (1 bar). Yields determined by ¹H NMR with Ph₂CH₂ as internal standard. ^[a] amine (0.050 mmol).

Scheme 3. Synthesis of aminals starting from secondary aromatic amines

Having in hand a selective and efficient catalytic system for this novel reaction, the coupling of various amines to aminals was attempted with CO₂ (Schemes 3). Introducing electron-

donating groups (EDGs) or electron-withdrawing groups (EWGs) on the aromatic ring of *N*-methylaniline, the corresponding amins **2b–2k** were obtained in good yields (55–98%), after 4 h at 80 °C in CH₃CN, in the presence of 2 equiv. PhSiH₃ and 5 mol% **TBD**; and negligible over-reduction was observed within 18 h. Importantly, the reduction of CO₂ to amins is also chemoselective and oxidizing groups such as a nitrile or ketone are tolerated, as exemplified in the formation of **2j** (95% yield) and **2k** (98% yield). Indeed, crystals of **2k** grown from the crude mixture confirmed the presence of the untouched carbonyl group and, hence, the ability of the catalyst to avoid over-reduction (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Molecular structure of **2k** with displacement ellipsoids drawn at the 50% probability level

In order to widen the scope of amina compounds available from the present methodology, the influence of the substituent on the nitrogen atom has been investigated. *N*-ethylaniline (**1l**) and *N*-allylaniline (**1m**) were converted in good 73% and 77% yields to **2l** and **2m**, respectively. The bulky *N*-benzylaniline gave the desired product **2o** in 94% after 2 h at 80 °C. *N,N*-diphenylaniline only furnished 24% of **2n** after 21 h, presumably because of its poor nucleophilicity. Cyclic amines such as indoline (**1p**) and 1,2,3,4-tetrahydroquinoline (**1q**) were converted to their amina analogues **2p** and **2q** in good 70% and 87% yields. The reaction is also viable with secondary heteroaromatic amines: the formation of **2s** from 2-methylaminopyridine was accomplished quantitatively and **2s** was isolated in 88% yield after removal of the siloxanes by-products. In contrast, the reaction with 4-methylaminopyridine resulted in the formation of the corresponding formamide as a major product (85%) and only 14% of the amina **2t** were observed. Naturally, the formation of the heterocycle is favored over an intermolecular reaction for diamino substrates, thus providing **2r** and **2u** with excellent 88 and 95% yield, respectively. However, starting from an aliphatic amine such as morpholine, only the corresponding formamide was yielded and no trace of the amina product could be detected by ¹H NMR. This observation may be due to the stronger nucleophilicity of aliphatic amines which facilitates the production of a formamide that is unproductive in the formation of amins.

Reaction conditions: NMR tube (2.5 mL), TBD (0.0050 mmol), amine **1** (0.050 mmol), amine **1'** (0.050 mmol), PhSiH₃ (0.20 mmol), CD₃CN (0.30 mL), CO₂ (1 bar). Average amines conversion determined by ¹H NMR with Ph₂CH₂ as internal standard (see SI).

Scheme 4. Synthesis of unsymmetrical amins

The coupling of two different amines was identified as the next challenge facing this 4-component reaction, to access unsymmetrical amins (Scheme 4). Reacting two amines with similar electronic properties (**1b** and **1f**) with CO₂, PhSiH₃ and 5 mol% **TBD** led to a statistical distribution of all possible amins **4a**, **2b** and **2f** (44/44/44%). Nonetheless, when two amines of different nucleophilic character are used, the selectivity to the unsymmetrical amins increases. For example, amins **4b**, **4c**, **4d** and **4e** were obtained as the major products in 40, 82, 69 and 61% yield, respectively, with the symmetrical amins being formed as side products.

Scheme 5. Proposed pathway to access amins. The conversion of CO₂ to amins is a rare example of a catalytic reaction leading to the complete deoxygenation of CO₂.^{4, 13} Overall, it involves the cleavage of four C–O bonds and the formation of two C–H bonds (reduction) and two C–N bonds (functionalization). The nature of the organic intermediates involved in this reaction was investigated so as to explain the observed reactivities and facilitate future catalysts design. Possible pathways are depicted in Scheme 5.¹⁴ The reductive functionalization of CO₂ to formamides is well established, **TBD** being a known catalyst for this transformation.^{3a} Nonetheless, no

reaction is observed when *N*-methylformanilide **5a** is reacted with PhSiH₃ and 5 mol% **TBD**, at 80 °C, in the presence (step **F**) or absence (step **E**, under Ar or CO₂) of *N*-methylaniline. Formamides thus appear as competition products in CO₂ conversion to amins. Classically, amins can be prepared by condensation of amines onto paraformaldehyde and an alternative pathway for the formation of amins from CO₂ could thus rely on catalytic hydrosilylation of CO₂ to a C⁰ bis(silyl)acetal species and subsequent nucleophilic addition of the two amine reagents. Using NHCs as catalysts, Zhang and Ying *et al.* have indeed detected successfully bis(silyl)acetal derivatives upon hydrosilylation of CO₂, prior to the formation of silylmethoxides end-products.^{12b} These considerations suggest that the conversion of CO₂ to amins proceeds *via* reduction of CO₂ to a silylacetal species (steps **A** and **B**) which undergoes two successive nucleophilic attacks (steps **C** and **D**). Although steps **C** and **D** are similar processes, they involve different electrophiles, namely a bis(silyl)acetal and an aminosilylacetal derivative. Because the bis(silyl)acetal is a stronger electrophile, the most nucleophilic amine should be rapidly consumed in step **C**, so that unsymmetrical amins can be selectively formed. According to this mechanism, the reduction and functionalization steps are consecutive but their relative rates are important to ensure CO₂ conversion to the desired aminal while avoiding formamide and silylmethoxide competition products. Experimentally, highly nucleophilic amines (*e.g.* morpholine) indeed prevent the formation of amins because they are readily converted to their corresponding formamides (*vide supra*) and catalysts able to promote the rapid conversion of CO₂ to silylacetals will be necessary to access amins from aliphatic amines. Conversely, electron poor amines such as diphenylamine are not nucleophilic enough to trap the bis(silyl)acetal intermediate and the latter is reduced to a silylmethoxide product prior to the formation of C–N bonds (steps, **A**, **B** and **G**). Experimentally, silylmethoxide species (CH₃OSi(O)Ph) were indeed observed as the major product in the conversion of diphenylamine with CO₂, PhSiH₃ and 5 mol% **TBD**.

Capitalizing on this mechanism, we envisioned that other nucleophiles, such as malonates, could efficiently replace the amine reagents to promote the challenging formation of C–C bonds from CO₂.¹⁵ In fact, addition of 2 equiv. PhSiH₃ to diethylmalonate, under an atmosphere of CO₂, resulted in the formation of 58% **6** after 5 h at 80 °C, in the presence of 5 mol% **TBD** (Eq. 1). **6** formally results from the methylenation of two malonate moieties with CO₂ and, to the best of our knowledge, it represents the first example of a homogeneous catalytic reaction leading to the formation of 2 C–C bonds at the CO₂ carbon atom. This reaction is under further investigation in our laboratories.

In conclusion, we have described herein a novel catalytic transformation to promote the conversion of CO₂ to aminal derivatives *via* a 4-component reaction. The organocatalysts are able to balance the reactivity of CO₂ reduction and selectively stabilize carbon(0) products for the formation of both symmetric and unsymmetric amins.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Details of experimental procedures, physical properties of new compounds, tables of crystal data, atomic positions and displacement parameters, anisotropic displacement parameters, and bond lengths and bond angles in CIF format. This information is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*thibault.cantat@cea.fr

Author Contributions

‡These authors contributed equally.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

For financial support of this work, we acknowledge CEA, CNRS, ADEME (Fellowship to E.B.), the CHARMMMAT Laboratory of Excellence, the University Paris-Saclay (Fellowship to X.F.) and the European Research Council (ERC Starting Grant Agreement n.336467). T.C. thanks the Foundation Louis D. – Institut de France for its support.

REFERENCES

- (a) Goeppert, A.; Czaun, M.; Jones, J.-P.; Surya Prakash, G. K. Olah, G. A., *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2014**, *43*, 7995-8048. (b) Fernandez-Alvarez, F. J.; Aitani, A. M. Oro, L. A., *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *4*, 611-624. (c) Perathoner, S. Centi, G., *ChemSusChem* **2014**, *7*, 1274-1282. (d) Darensbourg, D. J., *Chem. Rev.* **2007**, *107*, 2388-2410. (e) Fiorani, G.; Guo, W. Kleij, A. W., *Green Chem.* **2015**, *17*, 1375-1389.
- (a) Yang, Z.-Z.; He, L.-N.; Gao, J.; Liu, A.-H. Yu, B., *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2012**, *5*, 6602-6639. (b) Tlili, A.; Blondiaux, E.; Frogneux, X. Cantat, T., *Green Chem.* **2015**, *17*, 157-168.
- (a) Das Neves Gomes, C.; Jacquet, O.; Villiers, C.; Thuery, P.; Ephritikhine, M. Cantat, T., *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 187-190. (b) Jacquet, O.; Das Neves Gomes, C.; Ephritikhine, M. Cantat, T., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 2934-2937. (c) Motokura, K.; Takahashi, N.; Kashiwame, D.; Yamaguchi, S.; Miyaji, A. Baba, T., *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2013**, *3*, 2392-2396. (d) Shintani, R. Nozaki, K., *Organometallics* **2013**, *32*, 2459-2462.
- (a) Jacquet, O.; Das Neves Gomes, C.; Ephritikhine, M. Cantat, T., *ChemCatChem* **2013**, *5*, 117-120. (b) Yu, B.; Zhang, H.; Zhao, Y.; Chen, S.; Xu, J.; Huang, C. Liu, Z., *Green Chem.* **2013**, *15*, 95-99. (c) Hao, L.; Zhao, Y.; Yu, B.; Zhang, H.; Xu, H. Liu, Z., *Green Chem.* **2014**, *16*, 3039-3044.
- (a) Jacquet, O.; Frogneux, X.; Das Neves Gomes, C. Cantat, T., *Chem. Sci.* **2013**, *4*, 2127-2131. (b) Li, Y.; Fang, X.; Junge, K. Beller, M., *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 9568-9571. (c) Beydoun, K.; vom Stein, T.; Klankermayer, J. Leitner, W., *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 9554-9557. (d) Li, Y.; Sorribes, I.; Yan, T.; Junge, K. Beller, M., *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 12156-12160. (e) Cui, X.; Zhang, Y.; Deng, Y. Shi, F., *Chem. Commun.* **2014**, *50*, 13521-13524. (f) Blondiaux, E.; Pouessel, J. Cantat, T., *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 12186-12190. (g) Das, S.; Bobbink, F. D.; Laurenczy, G. Dyson, P. J., *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 12876-12879. (h) Cui, X.; Dai, X.; Zhang, Y.; Deng, Y. Shi, F., *Chem. Sci.* **2014**, *5*, 649-655.
- (a) Jiang, Y.; Blaque, O.; Fox, T. Berke, H., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 7751-7760. (b) LeBlanc, F. A.; Piers, W. E.

- Parvez, M., *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 789-792. (c) Metsänen, T. T. Oestreich, M., *Organometallics* **2015**, *34*, 543-546.
7. Bontemps, S.; Vendier, L. Sabo-Etienne, S., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 4419-4425.
8. Ragsdale, S. W. Pierce, E., *Biochim. Biophys. Acta* **2008**, *1784*, 1873-1898.
9. (a) Cheng, X.; Vellalath, S.; Goddard, R. List, B., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 15786-15787. (b) Jurčík, V. Wilhelm, R., *Tetrahedron* **2004**, *60*, 3205-3210. (c) Huang, D.; Li, X.; Xu, F.; Li, L. Lin, X., *ACS Catal.* **2013**, *3*, 2244-2247. (d) Neel, A. J.; Hehn, J. P.; Tripet, P. F. Toste, F. D., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 14044-14047. (e) Guggenheim, K. G.; Toru, H. Kurth, M. J., *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 3732-3735. (f) Beifuss, U.; Ledderhose, S. Ondrus, V., *Arkivoc* **2005**, *5*, 147-173. (g) Shaibakova, M. G.; Titova, I. G.; Makhmudiyarov, G. A.; Ibragimov, A. G. Dzhemilev, U. M., *Russ. J. Org. Chem.* **2010**, *46*, 43-48.
10. (a) Oestreich, M.; Hermeke, J. Mohr, J., *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2015**, *44*, 2202-2220. (b) Berkefeld, A.; Piers, W. E. Parvez, M., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 10660-10661. (c) Wang, B. Cao, Z., *RSC Adv.* **2013**, *3*, 14007-14015. (d) Riduan, S. N.; Ying, J. Y. Zhang, Y., *ChemCatChem* **2013**, *5*, 1490-1496.
11. (a) Villiers, C.; Dognon, J. P.; Pollet, R.; Thuery, P. Ephritikhine, M., *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 3465-3468. (b) Duong, H. A.; Tekavec, T. N.; Arif, A. M. Louie, J., *Chem. Commun.* **2004**, 112-113. (c) Voutchkova, A. M.; Feliz, M.; Clot, E.; Eisenstein, O. Crabtree, R. H., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2007**, *129*, 12834-12846. (d) Pérez, E. R.; Santos, R. H. A.; Gambardella, M. T. P.; de Macedo, L. G. M.; Rodrigues-Filho, U. P.; Launay, J.-C. Franco, D. W., *J. Org. Chem.* **2004**, *69*, 8005-8011.
12. (a) Das Neves Gomes, C.; Blondiaux, E.; Thuéry, P. Cantat, T., *Chem. Eur. J.* **2014**, *20*, 7098-7106. (b) Riduan, S. N.; Zhang, Y. Ying, J. Y., *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 3322-3325.
13. Khandelwal, M. Wehmschulte, R. J., *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 7323-7326.
14. A reaction pathway involving the formation of transient urea species was ruled out as TBD is unable to promote the hydrosilylation of quaternary ureas to amins (see SI). This pathway is omitted in Scheme 6 for the sake of clarity.
15. Li, Y.; Yan, T.; Junge, K. Beller, M., *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 10476-10480.