



Twisted geometric Satake equivalence: reductive case

Sergey Lysenko

► To cite this version:

| Sergey Lysenko. Twisted geometric Satake equivalence: reductive case. 2014. hal-01227123

HAL Id: hal-01227123

<https://hal.science/hal-01227123>

Preprint submitted on 10 Nov 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

TWISTED GEOMETRIC SATAKE EQUIVALENCE: REDUCTIVE CASE

SERGEY LYSENKO

ABSTRACT. In this paper we extend the twisted Satake equivalence established in [8] for almost simple groups to the case of split reductive groups.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let G be a connected reductive group over an algebraically closed field. Brylinski-Deligne have developed the theory of central extensions of G by K_2 . According to Weissman [16], this is a natural framework for the representation theory of metaplectic groups over local and global fields (allowing to formulate a conjectural extension of the Langlands program for metaplectic groups). One may hope the geometric Langlands program could also naturally extend to this setting. As a step in this direction, in this paper we extend the twisted Satake equivalence established in [8] for almost simple groups to the case of reductive groups. Our input data model an extension of G by K_2 (and cover all the isomorphism classes of such extensions).

2. MAIN RESULT

2.1. Notations. Let k be an algebraically closed field. Let G be a split reductive group over k , $T \subset B \subset G$ be a maximal torus and a Borel subgroup. Let Λ (resp., $\tilde{\Lambda}$) denote the coweights (resp., weights) lattice of T . Let W denote the Weyl group of (T, G) . Set $\mathcal{O} = k[[t]] \subset F = k((t))$. As in ([12], Section 3.2), we denote by $\mathcal{E}^s(T)$ the category of pairs: a symmetric bilinear form $\kappa : \Lambda \otimes \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, and a central super extension $1 \rightarrow k^* \rightarrow \tilde{\Lambda}^s \rightarrow \Lambda \rightarrow 1$ whose commutator is $(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)_c = (-1)^{\kappa(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}$.

Let X be a smooth projective connected curve over k . Write Ω for the canonical line bundle on X . Fix once and for all a square root $\Omega^{\frac{1}{2}}$ of Ω .

Let $\mathcal{P}^\theta(X, \Lambda)$ denote the category of theta-data ([3], Section 3.10.3). Recall the functor $\mathcal{E}^s(T) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^\theta(X, \Lambda)$ defined in ([12], Lemma 4.1). Let $(\kappa, \tilde{\Lambda}^s) \in \mathcal{E}^s(T)$, so for $\gamma \in \Lambda$ we are given a super line ϵ^γ and isomorphisms $c^{\gamma_1, \gamma_2} : \epsilon^{\gamma_1} \otimes \epsilon^{\gamma_2} \xrightarrow{\sim} \epsilon^{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2}$. For $\gamma \in \Lambda$ let $\lambda^\gamma = (\Omega^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\otimes -\kappa(\gamma, \gamma)} \otimes \epsilon^\gamma$. For the evident isomorphisms $'c^{\gamma_1, \gamma_2} : \lambda^{\gamma_1} \otimes \lambda^{\gamma_2} \xrightarrow{\sim} \lambda^{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2} \otimes \Omega^{\kappa(\gamma_1, \gamma_2)}$ then $(\kappa, \lambda, 'c) \in \mathcal{P}^\theta(X, \Lambda)$. This is the image of $(\kappa, \tilde{\Lambda}^s)$ by the above functor.

Let Sch/k denote the category of k -schemes of finite type with Zarisky topology. The n -th Quillen K-theory group of a scheme form a presheaf on Sch/k as the scheme varies. As in [5], K_n denotes the associated sheaf on Sch/k for the Zariski topology.

Denote by Vect the tensor category of vector spaces. Pick a prime ℓ invertible in k , write $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ for the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$. We work with (perverse) $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ -sheaves for étale topology.

2.2. Motivation. According to Weissman [16], the metaplectic input datum is an integer $n \geq 1$ and an extension $1 \rightarrow K_2 \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ as in [5]. It gives rise to a W -invariant quadratic form $Q : \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$, for which we get the corresponding even symmetric bilinear form $\kappa : \Lambda \otimes \Lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ given by $\kappa(x_1, x_2) = Q(x_1 + x_2) - Q(x_1) - Q(x_2)$, $x_i \in \Lambda$.

The extension E yields an extension

$$1 \rightarrow K_2(F) \rightarrow E(F) \rightarrow G(F) \rightarrow 1$$

The tame symbol gives a map $(\cdot, \cdot)_{st} : K_2(F) \rightarrow k^*$. The push-out by this map is an extension

$$1 \rightarrow k^* \rightarrow \mathbb{E}(k) \rightarrow G(F) \rightarrow 1$$

It is the set of k -points of an extension of group ind-schemes over k

$$(1) \quad 1 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{E} \rightarrow G(F) \rightarrow 1$$

Assume $n \geq 1$ invertible in k . For a character $\zeta : \mu_n(k) \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^*$ denote by $\mathcal{L}_\zeta$ the corresponding Kummer sheaf on $\mathbb{G}_m$.

Pick an injective character $\zeta : \mu_n(k) \rightarrow \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^*$. For a suitable section of (1) over $G(\mathcal{O})$, we are interested in the category $\text{Perv}_{G, \zeta}$ of $G(\mathcal{O})$ -equivariant $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ -perverse sheaves on $\mathbb{E}/G(\mathcal{O})$ with $\mathbb{G}_m$ -monodromy ζ , that is, equipped with $(\mathbb{G}_m, \mathcal{L}_\zeta)$ -equivariant structure. One wants to equip it with a structure of a symmetric monoidal category (and actually a structure of a chiral category as in [9]), and prove a version of the Satake equivalence for it.

2.2.1. One has the exact sequence $1 \rightarrow T_1 \rightarrow T \rightarrow G/[G, G] \rightarrow 1$, where $T_1 \subset [G, G]$ is a maximal torus. Write Λ_{ab} (resp., $\check{\Lambda}_{ab}$) for the coweights (resp., weights) lattice of $G_{ab} = G/[G, G]$. The kernel of $\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda_{ab}$ is the rational closure in Λ of the coroots lattice. Let J denote the set of connected components of the Dynkin diagram, $\mathcal{J}_j$ denote the set of vertices of the j -th connected component of the Dynkin diagram, $\mathcal{J} = \cup_{j \in J} \mathcal{J}_j$ the set of vertices of the Dynkin diagram. For $i \in \mathcal{J}$ let α_i (resp., $\check{\alpha}_i$) be the corresponding simple coroot (resp., root). One has $G_{ad} = \prod_{j \in J} G_j$, where G_j is a simple group. Let $\mathfrak{g}_j = \text{Lie } G_j$.

Write Λ_{ad} for the coweights lattice of G_{ad} . Write R_j (resp., $\check{R}_j$) for the set coroots (resp., roots) of G_j . Let R (resp., $\check{R}$) denote the set of coroots (resp., roots) of G . For $j \in J$ let $\kappa_j : \Lambda_{ad} \otimes \Lambda_{ad} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ denote the Killing form for G_j , that is,

$$\kappa_j = \sum_{\check{\alpha} \in \check{R}_j} \check{\alpha} \otimes \check{\alpha}$$

Note that $\frac{\kappa_j}{2} : \Lambda_{ad} \otimes \Lambda_{ad} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. We also view κ_j if necessary as a bilinear form on Λ .

There is $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $m\kappa$ is of the form

$$\bar{\kappa} = -\beta - \sum_{j \in J} c_j \kappa_j$$

for some $c_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and some even symmetric bilinear form $\beta : \Lambda_{ab} \otimes \Lambda_{ab} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. So, relaxing our assumption on the characteristic, the following setting is sufficient.

2.3. Input data. For each $j \in J$ let $\mathcal{L}_j$ be the $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded purely of parity zero) line bundle on Gr_G whose fibre at $gG(\mathcal{O})$ is $\det(\mathfrak{g}_j(\mathcal{O}) : \mathfrak{g}_j(\mathcal{O})^g)$. Write E_j^a for the punctured total space of the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_j$ over $G(F)$. This is a central extension

$$(2) \quad 1 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow E_j^a \rightarrow G(F) \rightarrow 1,$$

here a stands for ‘adjoint’. It splits canonically over $G(\mathcal{O})$. The commutator of (2) on $T(F)$ is given by

$$(\lambda_1 \otimes f_1, \lambda_2 \otimes f_2)_c = (f_1, f_2)_{st}^{-\kappa_j(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}$$

for $\lambda_i \in \Lambda_{ab}$, $f_i \in F^*$. Recall that for $f, g \in F^*$ the tame symbol is given by

$$(f, g)_{st} = (-1)^{v(f)v(g)}(g^{v(f)}f^{-v(g)})(0)$$

Assume also given a central extension

$$(3) \quad 1 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow E_\beta \rightarrow G_{ab}(F) \rightarrow 1$$

in the category of group ind-schemes whose commutator $(\cdot, \cdot)_c : G_{ab}(F) \times G_{ab}(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ satisfies

$$(\lambda_1 \otimes f_1, \lambda_2 \otimes f_2)_c = (f_1, f_2)_{st}^{-\beta(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}$$

for $\lambda_i \in \Lambda_{ab}$, $f_i \in F^*$. Here $\beta : \Lambda_{ab} \otimes \Lambda_{ab} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is an even symmetric bilinear form. This is a Heisenberg β -extension ([3], Definition 10.3.13). Its pull-back under $G(F) \rightarrow G_{ab}(F)$ is also denoted E_β by abuse of notations. Assume also given a splitting of E_β over $G_{ab}(\mathcal{O})$.

Let $N \geq 1$, assume N invertible in k . Let $\zeta : \mu_N(k) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_\ell^*$ be an injective character. Assume given $c_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $j \in J$.

The sum of the extensions $(E_j^a)^{c_j}$, $j \in J$ and the extension E_β is an extension

$$(4) \quad 1 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{E} \rightarrow G(F) \rightarrow 1$$

equipped with the induced section over $G(\mathcal{O})$. Set $\mathrm{Gra}_G = \mathbb{E}/G(\mathcal{O})$. Let $\mathrm{Perv}_{G, \zeta}$ denote the category of $G(\mathcal{O})$ -equivariant perverse sheaves on Gra_G with $\mathbb{G}_m$ -monodromy ζ . This means, by definition, a $(\mathbb{G}_m, \mathcal{L}_\zeta)$ -equivariant structure. Set

$$\mathrm{Perv}_{G, \zeta} = \mathrm{Perv}_{G, \zeta}[-1] \subset \mathrm{D}(\mathrm{Gra}_G)$$

Let $\mathbb{G}_m$ act on $\mathbb{E}$ via the homomorphism $\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$, $z \mapsto z^N$. Let $\widetilde{\mathrm{Gr}}_G$ denote the stack quotient of Gra_G by this action of $\mathbb{G}_m$. We view $\mathrm{Perv}_{G, \zeta}$ as a full category of the category of perverse sheaves on $\widetilde{\mathrm{Gr}}_G$ via the functor $K \mapsto \mathrm{pr}^* K$. Here $\mathrm{pr} : \mathrm{Gra}_G \rightarrow \widetilde{\mathrm{Gr}}_G$ is the quotient map. As in [8], the above cohomological shift is a way to avoid some sign problems.

Let us make a stronger assumption that we are given a central extension

$$(5) \quad 1 \rightarrow K_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{V}_\beta \rightarrow G_{ab} \rightarrow 1$$

as in [5] such that passing to F -points and further taking the push-out by the tame symbol $K_2(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ yields the extension (3). Recall that on the level of ind-schemes the tame symbol map

$$(6) \quad (\cdot, \cdot)_{st} : F^* \times F^* \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$$

is defined in [6], see also ([1], Sections 3.1-3.3). Assume that the splitting of (3) over $G(\mathcal{O})$ is the following one. The composition $K_2(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow K_2(F)$ with the tame symbol map factors through $1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$, hence a canonical section $G_{ab}(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow E_\beta$ of (3). Denote by

$$(7) \quad 1 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow V_\beta \rightarrow \Lambda_{ab} \rightarrow 1$$

the pull-back of (3) by $\Lambda_{ab} \rightarrow G_{ab}(F)$, $\lambda \mapsto t^\lambda$. This is the central extension over k corresponding to (5) by the Brylinski-Deligne classification [5]. The extension (7) is given by a line ϵ^γ (of parity zero as $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded) for each $\gamma \in \Lambda_{ab}$ together with isomorphisms

$$c^{\gamma_1, \gamma_2} : \epsilon^{\gamma_1} \otimes \epsilon^{\gamma_2} \xrightarrow{\sim} \epsilon^{\gamma_1 + \gamma_2}$$

for $\gamma_i \in \Lambda_{ab}$ subject to the conditions in the definition of $\mathcal{E}^s(T)$ ([12], Section 3.2.1). Let

$$(8) \quad 1 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow V_\mathbb{E} \rightarrow \Lambda \rightarrow 1$$

be the pull-back of (4) under $\Lambda \rightarrow G(F)$, $\lambda \mapsto t^\lambda$. The commutator in (8) is given by $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)_c = (-1)^{\bar{\kappa}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}$, where

$$\bar{\kappa} = -\beta - \sum_{j \in J} c_j \kappa_j$$

Let $\mathbb{G}_m$ act on $V_\mathbb{E}$ via the homomorphism $\mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$, $z \mapsto z^N$. Let $\bar{V}_\mathbb{E}$ be the stack quotient of $V_\mathbb{E}$ by this action of $\mathbb{G}_m$. It fits into an extension of group stacks

$$(9) \quad 1 \rightarrow B(\mu_N) \rightarrow \bar{V}_\mathbb{E} \rightarrow \Lambda \rightarrow 1$$

Set

$$\Lambda^\sharp = \{\lambda \in \Lambda \mid \bar{\kappa}(\lambda) \in N\check{\Lambda}\}$$

We further assume that (8) is the push-out of the extension

$$(10) \quad 1 \rightarrow \mu_2 \rightarrow V_{\mathbb{E},2} \rightarrow \Lambda \rightarrow 1$$

Recall that the exact sequence

$$(11) \quad 1 \rightarrow \mu_N \rightarrow \mu_{2N} \rightarrow \mu_2 \rightarrow 1$$

yields a morphism of abelian group stacks $\mu_2 \rightarrow B(\mu_N)$, and the push-out of (10) by this map identifies canonically with (9). For N odd the sequence (11) splits canonically, so we get a morphism of group stacks

$$(12) \quad \Lambda \rightarrow \bar{V}_\mathbb{E},$$

which is a section of (9). Our additional input datum is a morphism for any N of group stacks $\mathbf{t}_\mathbb{E} : \Lambda^\sharp \rightarrow \bar{V}_\mathbb{E}$ extending $\Lambda^\sharp \hookrightarrow \Lambda$. For N odd $\mathbf{t}_\mathbb{E}$ is required to coincide with the restriction of (12). For N even such $\mathbf{t}_\mathbb{E}$ exists, because the restriction of (8) to $\Lambda^\sharp$ is abelian in that case.

2.4. Category $\text{Perv}_{G,\zeta}$.

2.4.1. Let $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O})$ be the group ind-scheme over k such that, for a k -algebra B , $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O})(B)$ is the automorphism group of the topological B -algebra $B \hat{\otimes} \mathcal{O}$ (as in [8], Section 2.1). Let $\text{Aut}^0(\mathcal{O})$ be the reduced part of $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{O})$. The group scheme $\text{Aut}^0(\mathcal{O})$ acts naturally on the exact sequence (2) acting trivially on $\mathbb{G}_m$ and preserving $G(\mathcal{O})$. The group scheme $\text{Aut}^0(\mathcal{O})$ acts naturally on F , and the tame symbol (6) is $\text{Aut}^0(\mathcal{O})$ -invariant. So, by functoriality, $\text{Aut}^0(\mathcal{O})$ acts on (3) acting trivially on $\mathbb{G}_m$. By functoriality, this gives an action of $\text{Aut}^0(\mathcal{O})$ on (4) such that $\text{Aut}^0(\mathcal{O})$ acts trivially on $\mathbb{G}_m$.

2.4.2. For $\lambda \in \Lambda$ let $t^\lambda \in \text{Gr}_G$ denote the image of t under $\lambda : F^* \rightarrow G(F)$. The set of $G(\mathcal{O})$ -orbits on Gr_G identifies with the set Λ^+ of dominant coweights of G . For $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ write Gr^λ for the $G(\mathcal{O})$ -orbit on Gr_G through t^λ . The G -orbit through t^λ identifies with the partial flag variety $\mathcal{B}^\lambda = G/P^\lambda$, where P^λ is a parabolic subgroup whose Levi has the Weyl group $W^\lambda \subset W$ coinciding with the stabilizer of λ in W . For $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ let Gra^λ be the preimage of Gr^λ in Gra_G .

The action of the loop rotation group $\mathbb{G}_m \subset \text{Aut}^0(\mathcal{O})$ contracts Gr^λ to $\mathcal{B}^\lambda \subset \text{Gr}^\lambda$, we denote by $\tilde{\omega}_\lambda : \text{Gr}^\lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{B}^\lambda$ the corresponding map.

For a free $\mathcal{O}$ -module $\mathcal{E}$ write $\mathcal{E}_{\bar{e}}$ for its geometric fibre. Let Ω be the completed module of relative differentials of $\mathcal{O}$ over k . For a root $\check{\alpha}$ let $\mathfrak{g}^{\check{\alpha}} \subset \mathfrak{g}$ denote the corresponding root subspace. We fix a pinning Φ of G giving trivializations $\phi_{\check{\alpha}} : \mathfrak{g}^{\check{\alpha}} \xrightarrow{\sim} k$ for all $\check{\alpha} \in \check{R}$.

If $\check{\nu} \in \check{\Lambda}$ is orthogonal to all coroots α of G satisfying $\langle \check{\alpha}, \lambda \rangle = 0$ then we denote by $\mathcal{O}(\check{\nu})$ the G -equivariant line bundle on $\mathcal{B}^\lambda$ corresponding to the character $\check{\nu} : P^\lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$. The line bundle $\mathcal{O}(\check{\nu})$ is trivialized at $1 \in \mathcal{B}^\lambda$.

Sometimes, we view β as $\beta : \Lambda \rightarrow \check{\Lambda}$, similarly for $\kappa_j : \Lambda \rightarrow \check{\Lambda}$. The group $\text{Aut}^0(\mathcal{O})$ acts on $\Omega_{\bar{e}}$ by the character denoted $\check{\epsilon}$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$.*

i) *For each $j \in J$ the pinning Φ yields a uniquely defined $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded $\text{Aut}^0(\mathcal{O})$ -equivariant isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{L}_j|_{\text{Gr}^\lambda} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_{\bar{e}}^{\frac{\kappa_j(\lambda, \lambda)}{2}} \otimes \tilde{\omega}_\lambda^* \mathcal{O}(\kappa_j(\lambda))$$

ii) *The restriction of the line bundle $E_\beta/G(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow \text{Gr}_G$ to Gr^λ is constant with fibre $\epsilon^{\bar{\lambda}}$, where $\bar{\lambda} \in \Lambda_{ab}$ is the image of λ . The group $G(\mathcal{O})$ acts on it by the character $G(\mathcal{O}) \rightarrow G \xrightarrow{\beta(\lambda)} \mathbb{G}_m$, and $\text{Aut}^0(\mathcal{O})$ acts on it by $\check{\epsilon}^{\frac{\beta(\lambda, \lambda)}{2}}$.*

Proof We only give the proof of the last part of ii), the rest is left to a reader. Pick a bilinear form $B : \Lambda_{ab} \otimes \Lambda_{ab} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ such that $B + {}^t B = \beta$, where ${}^t B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = B(\lambda_2, \lambda_1)$ for $\lambda_i \in \Lambda_{ab}$. For this calculation we may assume $E_\beta = \mathbb{G}_m \times G_{ab}(F)$ with the product given by $(z_1, u_1)(z_2, u_2) = (z_1 z_2 \bar{f}(u_1, u_2), u_1 u_2)$ for $u_i \in G_{ab}(F)$, $z_i \in \mathbb{G}_m$. Here $\bar{f} : G_{ab}(F) \times G_{ab}(F) \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$ is the unique bimultiplicative map such that

$$\bar{f}(\lambda_1 \otimes f_1, \lambda_2 \otimes f_2) = (f_1, f_2)_{st}^{-B(\lambda_1, \lambda_2)}$$

Let $g \in \text{Aut}^0(\mathcal{O})$ and $b = \check{\epsilon}(g)$. Then g sends $(1, t^\lambda)$ to $(1, b^\lambda t^\lambda) \in (\bar{f}(t^\lambda, b^\lambda)^{-1}, 1)(1, t^\lambda)G_{ab}(\mathcal{O})$. Finally, $\bar{f}(t^\lambda, b^\lambda) = b^{-\frac{\beta(\lambda, \lambda)}{2}}$. $\square$

Set $\Lambda^{\sharp,+} = \Lambda^{\sharp} \cap \Lambda^+$. For $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ the scheme Gra^{λ} admits a $G(\mathcal{O})$ -equivariant local system with $\mathbb{G}_m$ -monodromy ζ if and only if $\lambda \in \Lambda^{\sharp,+}$.

By Lemma 2.1, for $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ there is a $\text{Aut}^0(\mathcal{O})$ -equivariant isomorphism between Gra^{λ} and the punctured (that is, with zero section removed) total space of the line bundle

$$\Omega_{\bar{c}}^{-\frac{\bar{\kappa}(\lambda,\lambda)}{2}} \otimes \tilde{\omega}_{\lambda}^* \mathcal{O}(-\bar{\kappa}(\lambda))$$

over Gr^{λ} . Write $\Omega^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathcal{O})$ for the groupoid of square roots of Ω . For $\mathcal{E} \in \Omega^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathcal{O})$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda^{\sharp,+}$ define the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,\mathcal{E}}$ on Gr^{λ} as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{E}_{\bar{c}}^{-\frac{\bar{\kappa}(\lambda,\lambda)}{N}} \otimes \tilde{\omega}_{\lambda}^* \mathcal{O}\left(-\frac{\bar{\kappa}(\lambda)}{N}\right)$$

Let $\mathring{\mathcal{L}}_{\lambda,\mathcal{E}}$ denote the punctured total space of $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,\mathcal{E}}$. Let $p_{\lambda} : \mathring{\mathcal{L}}_{\lambda,\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \text{Gra}^{\lambda}$ be the map over Gr^{λ} sending z to z^N . Let $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\lambda}$ be the $G(\mathcal{O})$ -equivariant rank one local system on Gra^{λ} with $\mathbb{G}_m$ -monodromy ζ equipped with an isomorphism $p_{\lambda}^* \mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\lambda} \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{\mathcal{Q}}_{\ell}$. Let $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\lambda} \in \mathbb{Perv}_{G,\zeta}$ be the intermediate extension of $\mathcal{W}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\lambda}[\dim \text{Gr}^{\lambda}]$ under $\text{Gra}^{\lambda} \hookrightarrow \text{Gra}_G$. The perverse sheaf $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\lambda}$ is defined up to a scalar automorphism (for G semi-simple it is defined up to a unique isomorphism).

Let $\widetilde{\text{Gr}}^{\lambda}$ denote the restriction of the gerb $\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_G$ to Gr^{λ} . For $\lambda \in \Lambda^{\sharp,+}$ the map p_{λ} yields a section $s_{\lambda} : \text{Gr}^{\lambda} \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{Gr}}^{\lambda}$.

Lemma 2.2. *If $\lambda \in \Lambda^{\sharp,+}$ then $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\lambda}$ has non-trivial usual cohomology sheaves only in degrees of the same parity.*

Proof Let $\mathcal{Fl}_G$ denote the affine flag variety of G , $q : \mathcal{Fl}_G \rightarrow \text{Gr}_G$ the projection, write $\tilde{q} : \mathcal{Fl}_G \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{Gr}}_G$ for the map obtained from q by the base change $\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_G \rightarrow \text{Gr}_G$. It suffices to prove this parity vanishing for $\tilde{q}^* \mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{E}}^{\lambda}$, this is done in [10]. $\square$

Lemma 2.2 implies as in ([2], Proposition 5.3.3) that the category $\mathbb{Perv}_{G,\zeta}$ is semisimple.

2.5. Convolution. Let τ be the automorphism of $\mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{E}$ sending (g, h) to (g, gh) . Let $G(\mathcal{O}) \times G(\mathcal{O}) \times \mathbb{G}_m$ act on $\mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{E}$ so that (α, β, b) sends (g, h) to $(g\beta^{-1}b^{-1}, \beta bh\alpha)$. Write $\mathbb{E} \times_{G(\mathcal{O}) \times \mathbb{G}_m} \text{Gra}_G$ for the quotient of $\mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{E}$ under this free action. Then τ induces an isomorphism

$$\bar{\tau} : \mathbb{E} \times_{G(\mathcal{O}) \times \mathbb{G}_m} \text{Gra}_G \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Gr}_G \times \text{Gra}_G$$

sending $(g, hG(\mathcal{O}))$ to $(\bar{g}G(\mathcal{O}), ghG(\mathcal{O}))$, where $\bar{g} \in G(F)$ is the image of $g \in \mathbb{E}$. Let m be the composition of $\bar{\tau}$ with the projection to Gra_G . Let $p_G : \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \text{Gra}_G$ be the map $h \mapsto hG(\mathcal{O})$. As in [8], we get a diagram

$$\text{Gra}_G \times \text{Gra}_G \xleftarrow{p_G \times \text{id}} \mathbb{E} \times \text{Gra}_G \xrightarrow{q_G} \mathbb{E} \times_{G(\mathcal{O}) \times \mathbb{G}_m} \text{Gra}_G \xrightarrow{m} \text{Gra}_G,$$

where q_G is the quotient map under the action of $G(\mathcal{O}) \times \mathbb{G}_m$.

For $K_i \in \mathbb{Perv}_{G,\zeta}$ define the convolution $K_1 * K_2 \in D(\text{Gra}_G)$ by $K_1 * K_2 = m_! K \in D(\text{Gra}_G)$, where $K[1]$ is a perverse sheaf on $\mathbb{E} \times_{G(\mathcal{O}) \times \mathbb{G}_m} \text{Gra}_G$ equipped with an isomorphism

$$q_G^* K \xrightarrow{\sim} p_G^* K_1 \boxtimes K_2$$

Since q_G is a $G(\mathcal{O}) \times \mathbb{G}_m$ -torsor, and $p_G^* K_1 \boxtimes K_2$ is naturally equivariant under $G(\mathcal{O}) \times \mathbb{G}_m$ -action, K is defined up to a unique isomorphism. As in ([8], Lemma 2.6), one shows that $K_1 * K_2 \in \mathbb{Perv}_{G,\zeta}$.

For $K_i \in \mathbb{Perv}_{G,\zeta}$ one similarly defines the convolution $K_1 * K_2 * K_3 \in \mathbb{Perv}_{G,\zeta}$ and shows that $(K_1 * K_2) * K_3 \xrightarrow{\sim} K_1 * K_2 * K_3 \xrightarrow{\sim} K_1 * (K_2 * K_3)$ canonically. Besides, $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^0$ is a unit object in $\mathbb{Perv}_{G,\zeta}$.

2.6. Fusion. As in [8], we are going to show that the convolution product on $\mathbb{Perv}_{G,\zeta}$ can be interpreted as a fusion product, thus leading to a commutativity constraint on $\mathbb{Perv}_{G,\zeta}$.

Fix $\varepsilon \in \Omega^{\frac{1}{2}(\mathcal{O})}$. Let $\text{Aut}_2(\mathcal{O}) = \text{Aut}(\mathcal{O}, \varepsilon)$ be the group scheme defined in ([8], Section 2.3), let $\text{Aut}_2^0(\mathcal{O})$ be the preimage of Aut^0 in $\text{Aut}_2(\mathcal{O})$.

Let $\lambda \in \Lambda^{\sharp,+}$. Since $p_\lambda : \mathring{\mathcal{L}}_{\lambda,\varepsilon} \rightarrow \text{Gra}^\lambda$ is $\text{Aut}_2^0(\mathcal{O})$ -equivariant, the action of $\text{Aut}^0(\mathcal{O})$ on Gra_G lifts to a $\text{Aut}_2^0(\mathcal{O})$ -equivariant structure on $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^\lambda$. As in ([8], Section 2.3) one shows that the corresponding $\text{Aut}_2^0(\mathcal{O})$ -equivariant structure on each $\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^\lambda$ is unique.

For $x \in X$ let $\mathcal{O}_x$ be the completed local ring at $x \in X$, F_x its fraction field. Write $\mathcal{F}_G^0$ for the trivial G -torsor on a base. Write $\text{Gr}_{G,x} = G(F_x)/G(\mathcal{O}_x)$ for the corresponding affine grassmanian. Recall that $\text{Gr}_{G,x}$ can be seen as the ind-scheme classifying a G -torsor $\mathcal{F}$ on X together with a trivialization $\nu : \mathcal{F} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}_G^0|_{X-x}$.

For $m \geq 1$ let Gr_{G,X^m} and G_{X^m} be defined as in ([8], Section 2.3). Recall that Gr_{G,X^m} is the ind-scheme classifying $(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in X^m$, a G -torsor $\mathcal{F}_G$ on X , and a trivialization $\mathcal{F}_G \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}_G^0|_{X-\cup x_i}$. Here G_{X^m} is a group scheme over X^m classifying $\{(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in X^m, \mu\}$, where μ is an automorphism of $\mathcal{F}_G^0$ over the formal neighbourhood of $D = \cup x_i$ in X .

For $j \in J$ let $\mathcal{L}_{j,X^m}$ be the $(\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})$ -graded purely of parity zero) line bundle on Gr_{G,X^m} whose fibre at $(\mathcal{F}_G, x_i)$ is

$$\det \text{R}\Gamma(X, (\mathfrak{g}_j)_{\mathcal{F}_G^0}) \otimes \det \text{R}\Gamma(X, (\mathfrak{g}_j)_{\mathcal{F}_G})^{-1}$$

Here for a G -module V and a G -torsor $\mathcal{F}_G$ on a base S we write $V_{\mathcal{F}_G}$ for the induced vector bundle on S .

As in Section 2.1, our choice of $\Omega^{\frac{1}{2}}$ yields a functor

$$(13) \quad \mathcal{E}^s(G_{ab}) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}^\theta(X, \Lambda_{ab})$$

Let $\theta_0 \in \mathcal{P}^\theta(X, \Lambda_{ab})$ denote the image under this functor of the extension (7) with the bilinear form $-\beta$.

For a reductive group H write Bun_H for the stack of H -torsors on X . Write $\text{Pic}(\text{Bun}_H)$ for the groupoid of super line bundles on Bun_H . For $\mu \in \pi_1(H)$ write Bun_H^μ for the connected component of Bun_H classifying H -torsors of degree $-\mu$. Similarly, for $\mu \in \pi_1(G)$ we denote by Gr_G^μ the connected component containing $t^\lambda G(\mathcal{O})$ for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$ over μ .

Recall the functor $\mathcal{P}^\theta(X, \Lambda_{ab}) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(\text{Bun}_{G_{ab}})$ defined in ([12], Section 4.2.1, formula (18)). Let $\mathcal{L}_\beta \in \text{Pic}(\text{Bun}_{G_{ab}})$ denote the image of θ_0 under this functor. It is purely of parity zero as $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded. For $\mu \in \Lambda_{ab}$ we have a map $i_\mu : X \rightarrow \text{Bun}_{G_{ab}}$, $x \mapsto \mathcal{O}(\mu x)$. By definition,

$$i_\mu^* \mathcal{L}_\beta \xrightarrow{\sim} (\Omega^{\frac{1}{2}})^{\beta(\mu, \mu)} \otimes \epsilon^\mu$$

For $m \geq 1$ let $\mathcal{L}_{\beta, X^m}$ be the pull-back of $\mathcal{L}_\beta$ under $\text{Gr}_{G, X^m} \rightarrow \text{Bun}_{G_{ab}}$. Let Gra_{G, X^m} denote the punctured total space of the line bundle over Gr_G

$$\mathcal{L}_{\beta, X^m} \otimes \left(\bigotimes_{j \in J} (\mathcal{L}_{j, X^m})^{c_j} \right)$$

Remark 2.1. The line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{\beta, X^m}$ is G_{X^m} -equivariant. For $(x_1, \dots, x_m) \in X^m$ let

$$\{y_1, \dots, y_s\} = \{x_1, \dots, x_m\}$$

with y_i pairwise different. Let $\mu_i \in \Lambda$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$. Consider a point $\eta \in \text{Gr}_{G, X^m}$ over $\bar{\eta} \in \text{Gr}_{G_{ab}, X^m}$ given by $\mathcal{F}_{G_{ab}}^0(-\sum_{i=1}^s \mu_i y_i)$ with the evident trivialization over $X - \cup_i y_i$. The fibre of G_{X^m} at $(x_1, \dots, x_m)$ is $\prod_{i=1}^s G(\mathcal{O}_{y_i})$, this group acts on the fibre $(\mathcal{L}_{\beta, X^m})_\eta$ by the character

$$\prod_{i=1}^s G(\mathcal{O}_{y_i}) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^s G_{ab}(\mathcal{O}_{y_i}) \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^s G_{ab} \xrightarrow{\prod_i \beta(\mu_i)} \mathbb{G}_m$$

Since the line bundles $\mathcal{L}_{j, X^m}$ are also G_{X^m} -equivariant, the action of G_{X^m} on Gr_{G, X^m} is lifted to an action on Gra_{G, X^m} .

Let $\text{Perv}_{G, \zeta, X^m}$ be the category of G_{X^m} -equivariant perverse sheaves on Gra_{G, X^m} with $\mathbb{G}_m$ -monodromy ζ . Set

$$\text{Perv}_{G, \zeta, X^m} = \text{Perv}_{G, \zeta, X^m}[-m-1] \subset \text{D}(\text{Gra}_{G, X^m})$$

For $x \in X$ let $D_x = \text{Spec } \mathcal{O}_x$, $D_x^* = \text{Spec } F_x$. The analog of the convolution diagram from ([8], Section 2.3) is the following one, where the left and right squares are cartesian:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{Gra}_{G, X} \times \text{Gra}_{G, X} & \xleftarrow{\tilde{p}_{G, X}} & \tilde{C}_{G, X} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{q}_{G, X}} & \widetilde{\text{Conv}}_{G, X} & \xrightarrow{\tilde{m}_X} & \text{Gra}_{G, X^2} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Gr}_{G, X} \times \text{Gr}_{G, X} & \xleftarrow{p_{G, X}} & C_{G, X} & \xrightarrow{q_{G, X}} & \text{Conv}_{G, X} & \xrightarrow{m_X} & \text{Gra}_{G, X^2} \end{array}$$

Here the low row is the convolution diagram from [8]. Namely, $C_{G, X}$ is the ind-scheme classifying collections:

$$(14) \quad x_1, x_2 \in X, \text{ } G\text{-torsors } \mathcal{F}_G^1, \mathcal{F}_G^2 \text{ on } X \text{ with } \nu_i : \mathcal{F}_G^i \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}_G^0|_{X-x_i}, \mu_1 : \mathcal{F}_G^1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}_G^0|_{D_{x_2}}$$

The map $p_{G, X}$ forgets μ_1 . The ind-scheme $\text{Conv}_{G, X}$ classifies collections:

$$(15) \quad x_1, x_2 \in X, \text{ } G\text{-torsors } \mathcal{F}_G^1, \mathcal{F}_G^2 \text{ on } X,$$

$$\text{isomorphisms } \nu_1 : \mathcal{F}_G^1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}_G^0|_{X-x_1} \text{ and } \eta : \mathcal{F}_G^1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}_G^1|_{X-x_2}$$

The map m_X sends this collection to $(x_1, x_2, \mathcal{F}_G)$ together with the trivialization $\eta \circ \nu_1^{-1} : \mathcal{F}_G^0 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}_G|_{X-x_1-x_2}$.

The map $q_{G,X}$ sends (14) to (15), where $\mathcal{F}_G$ is obtained by gluing $\mathcal{F}_G^1$ on $X - x_2$ and $\mathcal{F}_G^2$ on D_{x_2} using their identification over $D_{x_2}^*$ via $\nu_2^{-1} \circ \mu_1$.

For $j \in J$ there is a canonical $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded isomorphism

$$(16) \quad q_{G,X}^* m_X^* \mathcal{L}_{j,X^2} \xrightarrow{\sim} p_{G,X}^* (\mathcal{L}_{j,X} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_{j,X})$$

Lemma 2.3. *There is a canonical $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded isomorphism*

$$(17) \quad q_{G,X}^* m_X^* \mathcal{L}_{\beta,X^2} \xrightarrow{\sim} p_{G,X}^* (\mathcal{L}_{\beta,X} \boxtimes \mathcal{L}_{\beta,X})$$

Proof This isomorphism comes from the corresponding isomorphism for G_{ab} , so for this proof we may assume $G = G_{ab}$. For a point (14) of $C_{G,X}$ consider its image under $q_{G,X}$ given by (15). Note that $\mathcal{F}_G = \mathcal{F}_G^1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_G^2$ with the trivialization $\nu_1 \otimes \nu_2 : \mathcal{F}_G^1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_G^2 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}_G^0|_{X-x_1-x_2}$. One gets by ([12], Proposition 4.2)

$$(\mathcal{L}_\beta)_{(\mathcal{F}_G^1, \nu_1)} \otimes (\mathcal{L}_\beta)_{(\mathcal{F}_G^2, \nu_2)} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{L}_\beta)_{\mathcal{F}_G^1} \otimes (\mathcal{L}_\beta)_{\mathcal{F}_G^2} \otimes (-^\beta \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}_G^1, \mathcal{F}_G^2}^{univ}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{L}_\beta)_{\mathcal{F}_G^1 \otimes \mathcal{F}_G^2}$$

with the notations of *loc.cit.* Here we used the following trivialization $(-^\beta \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}_G^1, \mathcal{F}_G^2}^{univ}) \xrightarrow{\sim} k$. Forgetting about nilpotents for simplicity, we may assume $\mathcal{F}_G^2 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}_G^0(\nu x_2)$ for some $\nu \in \Lambda$ with the evident trivialization over $X - x_2$. Then

$$(-^\beta \mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}_G^1, \mathcal{F}_G^2}^{univ}) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{F}_G^1}^{-\beta(\nu)})_{x_2} \xrightarrow{\sim} k,$$

the latter isomorphism is obtained from $\mu_1 : \mathcal{F}_G^1 \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}_G^0|_{D_{x_2}}$. $\square$

The isomorphisms (16) and (17) allow to define the map $\tilde{q}_{G,X}$ exactly as in ([8], Section 2.3), this is the product of the corresponding maps.

Now for $K_i \in \mathbb{Perv}_{G,\zeta,X}$ there is a (defined up to a unique isomorphism) perverse sheaf $K_{12}[3]$ on $\widetilde{\text{Conv}}_{G,X}$ equipped with $\tilde{q}_{G,X}^* K_{12} \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{p}_{G,X}^* (K_1 \boxtimes K_2)$. Moreover K_{12} has $\mathbb{G}_m$ -monodromy ζ . We let

$$K_1 *_X K_2 = \tilde{m}_X^* K_{12}$$

As in ([8], Section 2.3) one shows that $K_1 *_X K_2 \in \mathbb{Perv}_{G,\zeta,X^2}$.

Let $\mathcal{E} \in \Omega^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathcal{O})$. As in *loc.cit.*, one has the $\text{Aut}_2^0(\mathcal{O})$ -torsor $\hat{X}_2 \rightarrow X$ whose fibre over x is the scheme of isomorphisms between $(\Omega_x^{\frac{1}{2}}, \mathcal{O}_x)$ and $(\mathcal{E}, \mathcal{O})$. One has the isomorphisms

$$\text{Gr}_{G,X} \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{X}_2 \times_{\text{Aut}_2^0(\mathcal{O})} \text{Gr}_G \quad \text{and} \quad \text{Gra}_{G,X} \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{X}_2 \times_{\text{Aut}_2^0(\mathcal{O})} \text{Gra}_G$$

Since any $K \in \mathbb{Perv}_{G,\zeta}$ is $\text{Aut}_2^0(\mathcal{O})$ -equivariant, we get the fully faithful functor

$$\tau^0 : \mathbb{Perv}_{G,\zeta} \rightarrow \mathbb{Perv}_{G,\zeta,X}$$

sending K to the descent of $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \boxtimes K$ under $\hat{X}_2 \times \text{Gra}_G \rightarrow \text{Gra}_{G,X}$.

Let $U \subset X^2$ be the complement to the diagonal. Let $j : \text{Gra}_{G,X^2}(U) \hookrightarrow \text{Gra}_{G,X^2}$ be the preimage of U . Let $i : \text{Gra}_{G,X} \rightarrow \text{Gra}_{G,X^2}$ be obtained by the base change $X \rightarrow X^2$. Recall that $\tilde{m}_X$ is an isomorphism over $\text{Gra}_{G,X^2}(U)$. For $F_i \in \mathbb{Perv}_{G,\zeta}$ letting $K_i = \tau^0 F_i$ define

$$K_{12}|_U := K_{12}|_{\text{Gra}_{G,X^2}(U)}$$

as above, it is placed in perverse degree 3. Then $K_1 *_X K_2 \xrightarrow{\sim} j_{!*}(K_{12} |_U)$ and $\tau^0(F_1 * F_2) \xrightarrow{\sim} i^*(K_1 *_X K_2)$. So, the involution σ of Gra_{G,X^2} interchanging x_i yields

$$\tau^0(F_1 * F_2) \xrightarrow{\sim} i^* j_{!*}(K_{12} |_U) \xrightarrow{\sim} i^* j_{!*}(K_{21} |_U) \xrightarrow{\sim} \tau^0(F_2 * F_1),$$

because $\sigma^*(K_{12} |_U) \xrightarrow{\sim} K_{21} |_U$ canonically. As in [8], the associativity and commutativity constraints are compatible, so $\mathbb{P}\mathrm{erv}_{G,\zeta}$ is a symmetric monoidal category.

Remark 2.2. Let $\mathrm{P}_{G(\mathcal{O})}(\mathrm{Gra}_G)$ denote the category of $G(\mathcal{O})$ -equivariant perverse sheaves on Gra_G . One has the covariant self-functor $\star$ on $\mathrm{P}_{G(\mathcal{O})}(\mathrm{Gra}_G)$ induced by the map $\mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$, $z \mapsto z^{-1}$. Then $K \mapsto K^\vee := \mathbb{D}(\star K)[-2]$ is a contravariant functor $\mathbb{P}\mathrm{erv}_{G,\zeta} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\mathrm{erv}_{G,\zeta}$. As in ([8], Remark 2.8), one shows that $\mathrm{RHom}(K_1 * K_2, K_3) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{RHom}(K_1, K_3 * K_2^\vee)$. So, $K_3 * K_2^\vee$ represents the internal $\mathcal{H}\mathrm{om}(K_2, K_3)$ in the sense of the tensor structure on $\mathbb{P}\mathrm{erv}_{G,\zeta}$. Besides, $\star(K_1 * K_2) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\star K_2) * (\star K_1)$ canonically.

2.7. Main result. Below we introduce a tensor category $\mathbb{P}\mathrm{erv}_{G,\zeta}^\natural$ obtained from $\mathbb{P}\mathrm{erv}_{G,\zeta}$ by some modification of the commutativity constraint. Let $\tilde{T}_\zeta = \mathrm{Spec} k[\Lambda^\natural]$ be the torus whose weight lattice is $\Lambda^\natural$.

For $a \in \mathbb{Q}^*$ written as $a = a_1/a_2$ with $a_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ prime to each other and $a_2 > 0$, say that a_2 is the denominator of a . Recall that we assume N invertible in k .

Theorem 2.1. *There is a connected reductive group $\check{G}_\zeta$ over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ and a canonical equivalence of tensor categories*

$$\mathbb{P}\mathrm{erv}_{G,\zeta}^\natural \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Rep}(\check{G}_\zeta).$$

There is a canonical inclusion $\tilde{T}_\zeta \subset \check{G}_\zeta$ whose image is a maximal torus in $\check{G}_\zeta$. The Weyl groups of G and $\check{G}_\zeta$ viewed as subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(\Lambda^\natural)$ are the same. Our choice of a Borel subgroup $T \subset B \subset G$ yields a Borel subgroup $\tilde{T}_\zeta \subset \tilde{B}_\zeta \subset \check{G}_\zeta$. The corresponding simple roots (resp., coroots) of $(\check{G}_\zeta, \tilde{T}_\zeta)$ are $\delta_i \alpha_i$ (resp., $\check{\alpha}_i / \delta_i$) for $i \in \mathcal{I}$. Here δ_i is the denominator of $\frac{\bar{\kappa}(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)}{2N}$.

Remark 2.3. i) The root datum described in Theorem 2.1 is defined uniquely. The roots are the union of W -orbits of simple roots. For $\alpha \in R$ let δ_α denote the denominator of $\frac{\bar{\kappa}(\alpha, \alpha)}{2N}$. Then $\delta_\alpha \alpha$ is a root of $\check{G}_\zeta$. Any root of $\check{G}_\zeta$ is of this form. Compare with the metaplectic root datum appeared in ([13], [16], [15]).

ii) We hope there could exist an improved construction, which is a functor from the category of central extensions $1 \rightarrow K_2 \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 1$ over k to the 2-category of symmetric monoidal categories, $E \mapsto \mathbb{P}\mathrm{erv}_{G,E}$ such that $\mathbb{P}\mathrm{erv}_{G,E}$ is tensor equivalent to the category $\mathrm{Rep}(\check{G}_E)$ of representations of some connected reductive group E .

iii) A similar monoidal category has been studied in [15]. However, only the case when k is of characteristic zero was considered in [15], and it contains some imprecisions, for example, ([15], Proposition II.3.6) is wrong as stated.

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 2.1

3.1. **Functors F'_P .** Let $P \subset G$ be a parabolic subgroup containing B . Let $M \subset P$ be its Levi factor containing T . Let $\mathcal{J}_M \subset \mathcal{J}$ be the subset parametrizing the simple roots of M . Write

$$1 \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_M \rightarrow M(F) \rightarrow 1$$

for the restriction of (4) to $M(F)$. It is equipped with an action of $\text{Aut}^0(\mathcal{O})$ and a section over $M(\mathcal{O})$ coming from the corresponding objects for (4).

Write Gr_M, Gr_P for the affine grassmanians for M, P respectively. For $\theta \in \pi_1(M)$ write Gr_M^θ for the connected component of Gr_M containing $t^\lambda M(\mathcal{O})$ for any $\lambda \in \Lambda$ over $\theta \in \pi_1(M)$. The diagram $M \leftarrow P \rightarrow G$ yields the following diagram of affine grassmanians

$$\text{Gr}_M \xleftarrow{\mathfrak{t}_P^P} \text{Gr}_P \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{s}_P^P} \text{Gr}_G.$$

Let Gr_P^θ be the connected component of Gr_P such that $\mathfrak{t}_P$ restricts to a map $\mathfrak{t}_P^\theta : \text{Gr}_P^\theta \rightarrow \text{Gr}_M^\theta$. Write $\mathfrak{s}_P^\theta : \text{Gr}_P^\theta \rightarrow \text{Gr}_G$ for the restriction of $\mathfrak{s}_P$. The restriction of $\mathfrak{s}_P^\theta$ to $(\text{Gr}_P^\theta)_{\text{red}}$ is a locally closed immersion.

The section $M \rightarrow P$ yields a section $\mathfrak{r}_P : \text{Gr}_M \rightarrow \text{Gr}_P$ of $\mathfrak{t}_P$. By abuse of notations, write

$$\text{Gra}_M \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{r}_P^P} \text{Gra}_P \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{s}_P^P} \text{Gra}_G$$

for the diagram obtained from $\text{Gr}_M \xleftarrow{\mathfrak{t}_P^P} \text{Gr}_P \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{s}_P^P} \text{Gr}_G$ by the base change $\text{Gra}_G \rightarrow \text{Gr}_G$. Note that $\mathfrak{t}_P$ lifts naturally to a map denoted $\mathfrak{t}_P : \text{Gra}_P \rightarrow \text{Gra}_M$ by abuse of notations.

Let $\text{Perv}_{M,G,\zeta}$ denote the category of $M(\mathcal{O})$ -equivariant perverse sheaves on Gra_M with $\mathbb{G}_m$ -monodromy ζ . Set

$$\text{Perv}_{M,G,\zeta} = \text{Perv}_{M,G,\zeta}[-1] \subset \text{D}(\text{Gra}_M).$$

Define the functor

$$F'_P : \text{Perv}_{G,\zeta} \rightarrow \text{D}(\text{Gra}_M)$$

by $F'_P(K) = \mathfrak{t}_P! \mathfrak{s}_P^* K$. Write Gra_M^θ for the connected component of Gra_M over Gr_M^θ , similarly for Gra_P^θ . Write

$$\text{Perv}_{M,G,\zeta}^\theta \subset \text{Perv}_{M,G,\zeta}$$

for the full subcategory of objects that vanish off Gra_M^θ . Set

$$\text{Perv}'_{M,G,\zeta} = \bigoplus_{\theta \in \pi_1(M)} \text{Perv}_{M,G,\zeta}^\theta[\langle \theta, 2\check{\rho}_M - 2\check{\rho} \rangle].$$

As in [8], one shows that F'_P sends $\text{Perv}_{G,\zeta}$ to $\text{Perv}'_{M,G,\zeta}$. This is a combination of the hyperbolic localization argument ([14], Theorem 3.5) or ([11], Proposition 12) with the dimension estimates of ([14], Theorem 3.2) or ([4], Proposition 4.3.3).

For the Borel subgroup B the above construction gives $F'_B : \text{Perv}_{G,\zeta} \rightarrow \text{Perv}'_{T,G,\zeta}$.

Let $B(M) \subset M$ be a Borel subgroup such that the preimage of $B(M)$ under $P \rightarrow M$ equals B . The inclusions $T \subset B(M) \subset M$ yield a diagram

$$(18) \quad \text{Gr}_T \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{r}_{B(M)}} \text{Gr}_{B(M)} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{s}_{B(M)}} \text{Gr}_M.$$

Write

$$\mathrm{Gra}_T \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{t}_{B(M)}} \mathrm{Gra}_{B(M)} \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{s}_{B(M)}} \mathrm{Gra}_M$$

for the diagram obtained from (18) by the base change $\mathrm{Gra}_M \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_M$. The projection $B(M) \rightarrow T$ yields $\mathfrak{t}_{B(M)} : \mathrm{Gr}_{B(M)} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_T$, it lifts naturally to the map denoted $\mathfrak{t}_{B(M)} : \mathrm{Gra}_{B(M)} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gra}_T$ by abuse of notations. For $K \in \mathbb{P}\mathrm{erv}'_{M,G,\zeta}$ set

$$F'_{B(M)}(K) = (\mathfrak{t}_{B(M)})! \mathfrak{s}_{B(M)}^* K.$$

As in [8], this defines the functor $F'_{B(M)} : \mathbb{P}\mathrm{erv}'_{M,G,\zeta} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\mathrm{erv}'_{T,G,\zeta}$, and one has canonically

$$(19) \quad F'_{B(M)} \circ F'_P \xrightarrow{\sim} F'_B.$$

3.1.1. For $j \in J$ let $\mathcal{L}_{j,M}$ denote the restriction of $\mathcal{L}_j$ under $\mathfrak{s}_P \mathfrak{t}_P : \mathrm{Gr}_M \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_G$. Let Λ_M^+ denote the coweights dominant for M . For $\lambda \in \Lambda_M^+$ denote by Gr_M^λ the $M(\mathcal{O})$ -orbit through $t^\lambda M(\mathcal{O})$. Let Gra_M^λ be the preimage of Gr_M^λ under $\mathrm{Gra}_M \rightarrow \mathrm{Gr}_M$. The M -orbit through $t^\lambda M(\mathcal{O})$ is isomorphic to the partial flag variety $\mathcal{B}_M^\lambda = M/P_M^\lambda$, where the Levi subgroup of P_M^λ has the Weyl group coinciding with the stabilizer of λ in W_M . Here W_M is the Weyl group of M . As for G , we have a natural map $\tilde{\omega}_{M,\lambda} : \mathrm{Gr}_M^\lambda \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_M^\lambda$.

If $\check{\nu} \in \check{\Lambda}$ is orthogonal to all coroots α of M satisfying $\langle \check{\alpha}, \lambda \rangle = 0$ then we denote by $\mathcal{O}(\check{\nu})$ the M -equivariant line bundle on $\mathcal{B}_M^\lambda$ corresponding to the character $\check{\nu} : P_M^\lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_m$. As in Lemma 2.1, for $j \in J$ the pinning Φ yields a uniquely defined $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded $\mathrm{Aut}^0(\mathcal{O})$ -equivariant isomorphism

$$\mathcal{L}_{j,M} |_{\mathrm{Gr}_M^\lambda} \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega_{\bar{c}}^{\frac{\kappa_j(\lambda,\lambda)}{2}} \otimes \tilde{\omega}_{M,\lambda}^* \mathcal{O}(\kappa_j(\lambda))$$

So, for $\lambda \in \Lambda_M^+$ there is a $\mathrm{Aut}^0(\mathcal{O})$ -equivariant isomorphism between Gra_M^λ and the punctured total space of the line bundle

$$\Omega_{\bar{c}}^{-\frac{\bar{\kappa}(\lambda,\lambda)}{2}} \otimes \tilde{\omega}_{M,\lambda}^* \mathcal{O}(-\bar{\kappa}(\lambda))$$

over Gr_M^λ . Set $\Lambda_M^{\sharp,+} = \Lambda^\sharp \cap \Lambda_M^+$. As for G itself, for $\lambda \in \Lambda_M^+$ the scheme Gra_M^λ admits a $M(\mathcal{O})$ -equivariant local system with $\mathbb{G}_m$ -monodromy ζ if and only if $\lambda \in \Lambda_M^{\sharp,+}$.

As in Section 2.4.2 pick $\mathcal{E} \in \Omega^{\frac{1}{2}}(\mathcal{O})$. For $\lambda \in \Lambda_M^{\sharp,+}$ define the line bundle $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,M,\mathcal{E}}$ on Gr_M^λ as

$$\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,M,\mathcal{E}} = \mathcal{E}_{\bar{c}}^{-\frac{\bar{\kappa}(\lambda,\lambda)}{N}} \otimes \tilde{\omega}_{M,\lambda}^* \mathcal{O}\left(-\frac{\bar{\kappa}(\lambda)}{N}\right)$$

Let $\mathring{\mathcal{L}}_{\lambda,M,\mathcal{E}}$ be the punctured total space of $\mathcal{L}_{\lambda,M,\mathcal{E}}$. Let $p_{\lambda,M} : \mathring{\mathcal{L}}_{\lambda,M,\mathcal{E}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Gra}_M^\lambda$ be the map over Gr_M^λ sending z to z^N . Let $\mathcal{W}_{M,\mathcal{E}}^\lambda$ be the rank one $M(\mathcal{O})$ -equivariant local system $\mathcal{W}_{M,\mathcal{E}}^\lambda$ on Gra_M^λ with $\mathbb{G}_m$ -monodromy ζ equipped with an isomorphism $p_{\lambda,M}^* \mathcal{W}_{M,\mathcal{E}}^\lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. Let $\mathcal{A}_{M,\mathcal{E}}^\lambda \in \mathbb{P}\mathrm{erv}_{M,G,\zeta}$ be the intermediate extension of $\mathcal{W}_{M,\mathcal{E}}^\lambda[\dim \mathrm{Gr}_M^\lambda]$ to Gra_M , it is defined up to a scalar automorphism.

Set

$$\widetilde{\mathrm{Gr}}_M = \mathrm{Gr}_M \times_{\mathrm{Gr}_G} \widetilde{\mathrm{Gr}}_G$$

For $\lambda \in \Lambda_M^+$ let $\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_M^\lambda$ be the restriction of the gerb $\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_M$ to Gr_M^λ . As for G itself, for $\lambda \in \Lambda_M^{\sharp,+}$ the map $p_{\lambda,M}$ yields a section $s_{\lambda,M} : \text{Gr}_M^\lambda \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{Gr}}_M^\lambda$.

The analog of Lemma 2.2 holds for the same reasons. The perverse sheaf $\mathcal{A}_{M,\varepsilon}^\lambda$ has non-trivial cohomology sheaves only in degrees of the same parity. It follows that $\mathbb{P}\text{erv}_{M,G,\zeta}$ is semisimple.

3.1.2. More tensor structures. One equips $\mathbb{P}\text{erv}_{M,G,\zeta}$ and $\mathbb{P}\text{erv}'_{M,G,\zeta}$ with a convolution product as in Section 2.5. The convolution for these categories can be interpreted as fusion, and this allows to define a commutativity constraint on these categories via fusion.

Each of the line bundles $\mathcal{L}_{j,X^m}, \mathcal{L}_{\beta,X^m}$ on Gr_{G,X^m} admits the factorization structure as in ([8], Section 4.1.2).

As for G , we have the ind-scheme Gr_{M,X^m} for $m \geq 1$ and the group scheme M_{X^m} over X^m defined similarly. Let $\text{Gra}_{M,G,X^m} \rightarrow \text{Gra}_{G,X^m}$ be obtained from $\text{Gr}_{M,X^m} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{G,X^m}$ by the base change $\text{Gra}_{G,X^m} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{G,X^m}$. The group scheme M_{X^m} acts naturally on Gra_{M,G,X^m} .

Write $\text{Perv}_{M,G,\zeta,X^m}$ be the category of M_{X^m} -equivariant perverse sheaves on Gra_{M,G,X^m} with $\mathbb{G}_m$ -monodromy ζ . Set

$$\mathbb{P}\text{erv}_{M,G,\zeta,X^m} = \mathbb{P}\text{erv}_{M,G,\zeta,X^m}[-m-1].$$

Let $\text{Aut}_2^0(\mathcal{O})$ act on Gra_M via its quotient $\text{Aut}^0(\mathcal{O})$. Then every object of $\text{Perv}_{M,G,\zeta}$ admits a unique $\text{Aut}_2^0(\mathcal{O})$ -equivariant structure. One has

$$\text{Gra}_{M,G,X} \xrightarrow{\sim} \hat{X}_2 \times_{\text{Aut}_2^0(\mathcal{O})} \text{Gra}_M,$$

and as above one gets a fully faithful functor

$$\tau^0 : \mathbb{P}\text{erv}_{M,G,\zeta} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\text{erv}_{M,G,\zeta,X}$$

Define the commutativity constraint on $\mathbb{P}\text{erv}_{M,G,\zeta}$ and $\mathbb{P}\text{erv}'_{M,G,\zeta}$ via fusion as in Section 2.6. As in [8], one checks that $\mathbb{P}\text{erv}_{M,G,\zeta}$ and $\mathbb{P}\text{erv}'_{M,G,\zeta}$ are symmetric monoidal categories. Exactly as in ([8], Lemma 4.1), one proves the following.

Lemma 3.1. *The functors $F'_P, F'_{B(M)}, F'_B$ are tensor functors, and (19) is an isomorphism of tensor functors. $\square$*

3.2. Fiber functor. Recall from Section 3.1.1 that for $\lambda \in \Lambda$ the scheme Gra_T^λ admits a $T(\mathcal{O})$ -equivariant local system with $\mathbb{G}_m$ -monodromy ζ if and only if $\lambda \in \Lambda^\sharp$. View an object of $\mathbb{P}\text{erv}_{T,G,\zeta}$ as a complex on $\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_T$. The map $\mathfrak{t}_{\mathbb{E}}$ from Section 2.3 defines for each $\lambda \in \Lambda^\sharp$ a section $\mathfrak{t}_{\lambda,\mathbb{E}} : \text{Gr}_T^\lambda \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{Gr}}_T^\lambda$. For $K \in \mathbb{P}\text{erv}_{T,G,\zeta}$ the complex $\mathfrak{t}_{\lambda,\mathbb{E}}^* K$ is constant and placed in degree zero, so we view as a vector space denoted $F_T^\lambda(K)$. Let

$$F_T = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda^\sharp} F_T^\lambda : \mathbb{P}\text{erv}_{T,G,\zeta} \rightarrow \text{Vect}$$

This is a fibre functor on $\mathbb{P}\text{erv}_{T,G,\zeta}$. By ([7], Theorem 2.11) we get

$$\mathbb{P}\text{erv}_{T,G,\zeta} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Rep}(\check{T}_\zeta).$$

For $\nu \in \Lambda^\sharp$ write $F_{B(M)}^{\nu'}$ for the functor $F'_{B(M)}$ followed by restriction to $\text{Gra}_T^{\nu'}$. Write $F_M^{\nu'} : \mathbb{P}\text{erv}_{M,G,\zeta} \rightarrow \text{Vect}$ for the functor

$$F_T^{\nu'} F_{B(M)}^{\nu'} [\langle \nu, 2\check{\rho}_M \rangle]$$

In particular, this definition applies for $M = G$ and gives the functor $F_G^{\nu'} : \mathbb{P}\text{erv}_{G,\zeta} \rightarrow \text{Vect}$.

For $\nu \in \Lambda$ as in Section 3.1 one has the map $\mathfrak{t}_{B(M)}^{\nu'} : \text{Gr}_{B(M)}^{\nu'} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_T^{\nu'}$. Let $\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_{B(M)}^{\nu'}$ denote the restriction of the gerb $\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_M$ under $\text{Gr}_{B(M)}^{\nu'} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_M$. For $\nu \in \Lambda^\sharp$ the section $\mathfrak{t}_{\nu,\mathbb{E}} : \text{Gr}_T^{\nu'} \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{Gr}}_T^{\nu'}$ yields by restriction under $\mathfrak{t}_{B(M)}^{\nu'}$ the section that we denote $\mathfrak{t}_{\nu,B(M)}^{\nu'} : \text{Gr}_{B(M)}^{\nu'} \rightarrow \widetilde{\text{Gr}}_{B(M)}^{\nu'}$.

Lemma 3.2. *If $\nu \in \Lambda^\sharp$, $\lambda \in \Lambda_M^{\sharp,+}$ then $F_M^{\nu'}(\mathcal{A}_{M,\varepsilon}^\lambda)$ has a canonical base consisting of those connected components of*

$$\text{Gr}_{B(M)}^{\nu'} \cap \text{Gr}_M^\lambda$$

over which the (shifted) local system $\mathfrak{t}_{\nu,B(M)}^ \mathcal{A}_{M,\varepsilon}^\lambda$ is constant. Here we view $\mathcal{A}_{M,\varepsilon}^\lambda$ as a perverse sheaf on $\widetilde{\text{Gr}}_M$. In particular, for $w \in W_M$ one has*

$$F_M^{w(\lambda)}(\mathcal{A}_{M,\varepsilon}^\lambda) \xrightarrow{\sim} \bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$$

Proof Exactly as in ([8], Lemma 4.2). $\square$

Consider the following $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -grading on $\mathbb{P}\text{erv}'_{M,G,\zeta}$. For $\theta \in \pi_1(M)$ call an object of $\mathbb{P}\text{erv}_{M,G,\zeta}^\theta[\langle \theta, 2\check{\rho}_M - 2\check{\rho} \rangle]$ of parity $\langle \theta, 2\check{\rho} \rangle \bmod 2$, the latter expression depends only on the image of θ in $\pi_1(G)$. As in [8], this $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -grading on $\mathbb{P}\text{erv}'_{M,G,\zeta}$ is compatible with the tensor structure. In particular, for $M = G$ we get a $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -grading on $\mathbb{P}\text{erv}_{G,\zeta}$. The functors F'_P and $F'_{B(M)}$ are compatible with these gradings.

Write Vect^ϵ for the tensor category of $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$ -graded vector spaces. Let $\mathbb{P}\text{erv}_{M,G,\zeta}^\natural$ be the category of even objects in $\mathbb{P}\text{erv}'_{M,G,\zeta} \otimes \text{Vect}^\epsilon$. Let $\mathbb{P}\text{erv}_{G,\zeta}^\natural$ be the category of even objects in $\mathbb{P}\text{erv}_{G,\zeta} \otimes \text{Vect}^\epsilon$. We get a canonical equivalence of tensor categories $sh : \mathbb{P}\text{erv}_{T,G,\zeta}^\natural \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{P}\text{erv}_{T,G,\zeta}$. The functors $F'_{B(M)}, F'_P, F'_B$ yields tensor functors

$$(20) \quad \mathbb{P}\text{erv}_{G,\zeta}^\natural \xrightarrow{F'_P} \mathbb{P}\text{erv}_{M,G,\zeta}^\natural \xrightarrow{F'_{B(M)}} \mathbb{P}\text{erv}_{T,G,\zeta}^\natural$$

whose composition is $F_B^\natural$. Write $F^\natural : \mathbb{P}\text{erv}_{G,\zeta}^\natural \rightarrow \text{Vect}$ for the functor $F_T \circ sh \circ F_B^\natural$. By Lemma 3.2, $F^\natural$ does not annihilate a non-zero object, so it is faithful. By Remark 2.2, $\mathbb{P}\text{erv}_{G,\zeta}^\natural$ is a rigid abelian tensor category. Since $F^\natural$ is exact and faithful, it is a fibre functor. By ([7], Theorem 2.11), $\text{Aut}^\otimes(F^\natural)$ is represented by an affine group scheme $\check{G}_\zeta$ over $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. We get an equivalence of tensor categories

$$(21) \quad \mathbb{P}\text{erv}_{G,\zeta}^\natural \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Rep}(\check{G}_\zeta)$$

An analog of Remark 2.2 holds also for M , so $F_T \circ sh \circ F_{B(M)}^\natural : \mathbb{P}\text{erv}_{M,G,\zeta}^\natural \rightarrow \text{Vect}$ is a fibre functor that yields an affine group scheme $\check{M}_\zeta$ and an equivalence of tensor categories $\mathbb{P}\text{erv}_{M,G,\zeta}^\natural \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Rep}(\check{M}_\zeta)$. The diagram (20) yields homomorphisms $\check{T}_\zeta \rightarrow \check{M}_\zeta \rightarrow \check{G}_\zeta$.

3.3. Structure of $\check{G}_\zeta$.

3.3.1. For $\lambda \in \Lambda^+$ write $\overline{\text{Gr}}^\lambda$ for the closure of Gr^λ in Gr_G . Let $\overline{\text{Gra}}_G^\lambda$ denote the preimage of $\overline{\text{Gr}}^\lambda$ in Gra_G .

Lemma 3.3. *If $\lambda, \mu \in \Lambda_M^{\sharp,+}$ then $\mathcal{A}_{M,\varepsilon}^{\lambda+\mu}$ appears in $\mathcal{A}_{M,\varepsilon}^\lambda * \mathcal{A}_{M,\varepsilon}^\mu$ with multiplicity one.*

Proof We will give a proof only for $M = G$, the generalization to any M being straightforward. Write $\mathbb{E}^\lambda$ (resp., $\overline{\mathbb{E}}^\lambda$) for the preimage of Gra_G^λ (resp., of $\overline{\text{Gra}}_G^\lambda$) in $\mathbb{E}$. As in Section 2.5, we get the convolution map $m^{\lambda,\mu} : \mathbb{E}^\lambda \times_{G(\mathcal{O}) \times \mathbb{G}_m} \overline{\text{Gra}}_G^\mu \rightarrow \overline{\text{Gra}}_G^{\lambda+\mu}$. Let W be the preimage of $\text{Gra}_G^{\lambda+\mu}$ under $m^{\lambda,\mu}$. Then $m^{\lambda,\mu}$ restricts to an isomorphism $W \rightarrow \text{Gra}_G^{\lambda+\mu}$ is an isomorphism, and $W \subset \mathbb{E}^\lambda \times_{G(\mathcal{O}) \times \mathbb{G}_m} \text{Gra}_G^\mu$ is open. $\square$

Write $\Lambda_0 = \{\lambda \in \Lambda \mid \langle \lambda, \check{\alpha} \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } \check{\alpha} \in \check{R}\}$. The biggest subgroup in $\Lambda^{\sharp,+}$ is $\Lambda^\sharp \cap \Lambda_0$. If $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r$ generate $\Lambda_M^{\sharp,+}$ as a semi-group then $\bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathcal{A}_{M,\varepsilon}^{\lambda_i}$ is a tensor generator of $\mathbb{P}\text{erv}_{M,G,\zeta}^\natural$ in the sense of ([7], Proposition 2.20), so $\check{M}_\zeta$ is of finite type over $\mathbb{Q}_\ell$.

If $\check{M}_\zeta$ acts nontrivially on $Z \in \mathbb{P}\text{erv}_{M,G,\zeta}$ then consider the strictly full subcategory of $\mathbb{P}\text{erv}_{M,G,\zeta}^\natural$ whose objects are subquotients of $Z^{\oplus m}$, $m \geq 0$. By Lemma 3.3, this subcategory is not stable under the convolution, so $\check{M}_\zeta$ is connected by ([7], Corollary 2.22). Since $\mathbb{P}\text{erv}_{M,G,\zeta}$ is semisimple, $\check{M}_\zeta$ is reductive by ([7], Proposition 2.23).

By Lemma 3.2, for $\lambda \in \Lambda_M^{\sharp,+}$, $w \in W_M$ the weight $w(\lambda)$ of $\check{T}_\zeta$ appears in $F^\natural(\mathcal{A}_{M,\varepsilon}^\lambda)$. So, $\check{T}_\zeta$ is closed in $\check{M}_\zeta$ by ([7], Proposition 2.21).

For $\nu \in \Lambda_M^{\sharp,+}$ write V_M^ν for the irreducible representation of $\check{M}_\zeta$ corresponding to $\mathcal{A}_{M,\varepsilon}^\nu$ via the above equivalence $\mathbb{P}\text{erv}_{M,G,\zeta}^\natural \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Rep}(\check{M}_\zeta)$.

Lemma 3.4. *The torus $\check{T}_\zeta$ is maximal in $\check{M}_\zeta$. There is a unique Borel subgroup $\check{T}_\zeta \subset \check{B}(M)_\zeta \subset \check{M}_\zeta$ whose set of dominant weights is $\Lambda_M^{\sharp,+}$.*

Proof First, let us show that for $\nu_1, \nu_2 \in \Lambda_M^{\sharp,+}$ the $\check{T}_\zeta$ -weight $\nu_1 + \nu_2$ appears with multiplicity one in $V_M^{\nu_1} \otimes V_M^{\nu_2}$. For $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \Lambda$ write $\lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2$ if $\lambda_2 - \lambda_1$ is a sum of some positive coroots for (G, B) . By ([14], Theorem 3.2) combined with Lemma 3.2, if $\nu \in \Lambda^\sharp$ appears in V_M^λ then $w\nu \leq \lambda$ for any $w \in W$. By Lemma 3.2, the $\check{T}_\zeta$ -weight ν appears in V_M^ν with multiplicity one. Our claim follows.

Let $T' \subset \check{M}_\zeta$ be a maximal torus containing $\check{T}_\zeta$. By Lemma 3.2, for each $\nu \in \Lambda_M^{\sharp,+}$ there is a unique character ν' of T' such that the two conditions are verified: the composition $\check{T}_\zeta \rightarrow T' \xrightarrow{\nu'} \mathbb{G}_m$ equals ν ; the T' -weight ν' appears in V_M^ν . The map $\nu \mapsto \nu'$ is a homomorphism of semigroups, so we can apply ([8], Lemma 4.4). This gives a unique Borel subgroup $\check{T}_\zeta \subset \check{B}(M)_\zeta \subset \check{M}_\zeta$ whose set of dominant weights is in bijection with $\Lambda_M^{\sharp,+}$. Since $\nu \mapsto \nu'$ is a bijection between $\Lambda_M^{\sharp,+}$ and the dominant weights of $\check{B}(M)_\zeta$, the torus $\check{T}_\zeta$ is maximal in $\check{M}_\zeta$. $\square$

For $M = G$ write $\check{B}_\zeta = \check{B}(G)_\zeta$. So, $\Lambda^{\sharp,+}$ are dominant weights for $(\check{G}_\zeta, \check{B}_\zeta)$. If $\lambda \in \Lambda^{\sharp,+}$ lies in the W -orbit of $\nu \in \Lambda_M^{\sharp,+}$ then as in Lemma 3.2 one shows that $\mathcal{A}_{M,\varepsilon}^\nu$ appears in $F_P^\sharp(\mathcal{A}_\varepsilon^\lambda)$. By ([7], Proposition 2.21) this implies that $\check{M}_\zeta$ is closed in $\check{G}_\zeta$.

3.3.2. Rank one. Let M be the standard subminimal Levi subgroup of G corresponding to the simple root $\check{\alpha}_i$. Let $j \in J$ be such that $i \in \mathcal{I}_j$. Let $\check{\Lambda}^\sharp = \text{Hom}(\Lambda^\sharp, \mathbb{Z})$ denote the coweights lattice of $\check{T}_\zeta$. Note that $\check{\alpha}_i \in \check{\Lambda}^\sharp$. Then

$$\{\check{\nu} \in \check{\Lambda}^\sharp \mid \langle \lambda, \check{\nu} \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } \lambda \in \Lambda_M^{\sharp,+}\}$$

is a $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -span of a multiple of $\check{\alpha}_i$. So, the group $\check{M}_\zeta$ is of semisimple rank 1, and its unique simple coroot is of the form $\check{\alpha}_i/m_i$ for some $m_i \in \mathbb{Q}$, $m_i > 0$.

Take any $\lambda \in \Lambda_M^{\sharp,+}$ with $\langle \lambda, \check{\alpha}_i \rangle > 0$. Write $s_i \in W$ for the simple reflection corresponding to $\check{\alpha}_i$. By Lemma 3.2, $F_M^\lambda(\mathcal{A}_{M,\varepsilon}^\lambda)$ and $F_M^{s_i(\lambda)}(\mathcal{A}_{M,\varepsilon}^\lambda)$ do not vanish, so $\lambda - s_i(\lambda)$ is a multiple of the positive root of $\check{M}_\zeta$. So, the unique simple root of $\check{M}_\zeta$ is $m_i\alpha_i$. It follows that the simple reflection for $(\check{T}_\zeta, \check{M}_\zeta)$ acts on $\Lambda^\sharp$ as $\lambda \mapsto \lambda - \langle \lambda, \frac{\check{\alpha}_i}{m_i} \rangle (m_i\alpha_i) = s_i(\lambda)$. We must show that $m_i = \delta_i$.

By ([14], Theorem 3.2) the scheme $\text{Gr}_{B(M)}^\nu \cap \text{Gr}_M^\lambda$ is non empty if and only if

$$\nu = \lambda, \lambda - \alpha_i, \lambda - 2\alpha_i, \dots, \lambda - \langle \lambda, \check{\alpha}_i \rangle \alpha_i.$$

For $0 < k < \langle \lambda, \check{\alpha}_i \rangle$ and $\nu = \lambda - k\alpha_i$ one has

$$\text{Gr}_{B(M)}^\nu \cap \text{Gr}_M^\lambda \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{A}^{\langle \lambda, \check{\alpha}_i \rangle - k - 1}.$$

Let M_0 for the simply-connected cover of the derived group of M , Let T_0 be the preimage of $T \cap [M, M]$ in M_0 . Let Gr_{M_0} denote the affine grassmanian for M_0 . Let $\Lambda_{M_0} = \mathbb{Z}\alpha_i$ denote the coweights lattice of T_0 . Write $\mathcal{L}_{M_0}$ for the ample generator of the Picard group of Gr_{M_0} . This is the line bundle with fibre $\det(V_0(\mathcal{O}) : V_0(\mathcal{O})^g)$ at $gM_0(\mathcal{O})$, where V_0 is the standard representation of M_0 . Let $f_0 : \text{Gr}_{M_0} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_G$ be the natural map

For $j' \in J$ the line bundle $f_0^*\mathcal{L}_{j'}$ is trivial unless $j' = j$, and

$$f_0^*\mathcal{L}_j \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{L}_{M_0}^{\frac{\kappa_j(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)}{2}}$$

Besides, the restriction of the line bundle $E_\beta/G_{ad}(\mathcal{O})$ under $\text{Gr}_{M_0} \xrightarrow{f_0} \text{Gr}_G \rightarrow \text{Gr}_{G_{ab}}$ is trivial.

Assume that $\lambda = a\alpha_i$ with $a > 0, a \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\lambda \in \Lambda^\sharp$. Let $\nu = b\alpha_i$ with $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $-\lambda < \nu < \lambda$.

Write $U \subset M(F)$ for the one-parameter unipotent subgroup corresponding to the affine root space $t^{-a+b}\mathfrak{g}_{\check{\alpha}_i}$. Let Y be the closure of the U -orbit through $t^\nu M(\mathcal{O})$ in Gr_M . It is a T -stable subscheme $Y \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{P}^1$. The T -fixed points in Y are $t^\nu M(\mathcal{O})$ and $t^{-\lambda} M(\mathcal{O})$. The natural map $\text{Gr}_{M_0} \rightarrow \text{Gr}_M$ induces an isomorphism $\text{Gr}_{M_0} \xrightarrow{\sim} (\text{Gr}_M^0)_{red}$ at the level of reduced ind-schemes. So, we may consider the restriction of $\mathcal{L}_{M_0}$ to Y , which identifies with $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(a+b)$.

The restriction of $\mathcal{L}_j$ to $\mathrm{Gr}_{B(M)}^\nu$ is the constant line bundle with fibre $\Omega_{\bar{c}}^{\frac{\kappa_j(\nu, \nu)}{2}}$. Let $a \in \Omega_{\bar{c}}^{\frac{\kappa_j(\nu, \nu)}{2}}$ be a nonzero element. Viewing it as a section of

$$\mathcal{L}_{M_0}^{\frac{\kappa_j(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)}{2}}$$

over Y , it will vanish only at $t^{-\lambda}M(\mathcal{O})$ with multiplicity $(a+b)\kappa_j(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)/2$. It follows that the shifted local system $\mathfrak{t}_{\nu, B(M)}^* \mathcal{A}_{M, \varepsilon}^\lambda$ will have the $\mathbb{G}_m$ -monodromy

$$\zeta^{(a+b)c_j \kappa_j(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)/2}$$

This local system is trivial if and only if $(a+b)\bar{\kappa}(\alpha_i, \alpha_i)/2 \in N\mathbb{Z}$. We may assume $a\bar{\kappa}(\alpha_i, \alpha_i) \in 2N\mathbb{Z}$. Then the above condition is equivalent to $b\bar{\kappa}(\alpha_i, \alpha_i) \in 2N\mathbb{Z}$. The smallest positive integer b satisfying this condition is δ_i . So, $m_i = \delta_i$.

3.3.3. Let now M be a standard Levi corresponding to a subset $\mathcal{J}_M \subset \mathcal{J}$. The semigroup

$$\{\check{\nu} \in \check{\Lambda}^\# \mid \langle \lambda, \check{\nu} \rangle \geq 0 \text{ for all } \lambda \in \Lambda_M^{\#, +}\}$$

is the $\mathbb{Q}_+$ -closure in $\check{\Lambda}^\#$ of the $\mathbb{Z}_+$ -span of positive coroots of $\check{M}_\zeta$ with respect to the Borel $\check{B}(M)_\zeta$. Since the edges of this convex cone are directed by $\check{\alpha}_i$, $i \in \mathcal{J}_M$, the simple coroots of $\check{M}_\zeta$ are positive rational multiples of $\check{\alpha}_i$, $i \in \mathcal{J}_M$. Since we know already that $\check{\alpha}_i/\delta_i$, $i \in \mathcal{J}_M$ are coroots of $\check{M}$, we conclude that the simple coroots of $\check{M}_\zeta$ are $\check{\alpha}_i/\delta_i$, $i \in \mathcal{J}_M$. In turn, this implies that $\check{M}_\zeta$ is a Levi subgroup of $\check{G}_\zeta$. Finally, we conclude that the Weyl groups of G and of $\check{G}_\zeta$ viewed as subgroups of $\mathrm{Aut}(\Lambda^\#)$ are the same. Theorem 2.1 is proved.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to V. Lafforgue and M. Finkelberg for fruitful discussions. The author was supported by the ANR project ANR-13-BS01-0001-01.

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Beilinson, S. Bloch, H. Esnault, ϵ -factors for Gauss-Manin determinants, Moscow Math. J., vol. 2, no. 3 (2002), p. 477-532
- [2] A. Beilinson, V. Drinfeld, Quantization of Hitchin's integrable system and Hecke eigen-sheaves, preprint available at <http://www.math.uchicago.edu/~arinkin/langlands/>
- [3] A. Beilinson, V. Drinfeld, *Chiral algebras*, Colloquium publications, vol. 51, AMS (2004)
- [4] A. Braverman, D. Gaitsgory, Geometric Eisenstein series, Inv. Math. 150 (2002), 287-384
- [5] J.-L. Brylinski, P. Deligne, Central extensions of reductive groups by K_2 , Publ. Math. de l'IHES, Vol. 94 (2001), p. 5-85
- [6] C. E. Contou-Carrère, Jacobienne locale, groupe de bivecteurs de Witt universel et symbol local modéré, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 318, série I (1994), p. 743-746
- [7] P. Deligne, J. Milne, Tannakian categories, in *Hodge cycles, motives and Shimura varieties*, Lecture Notes in Math., vol. 900 (Springer, 1982), p. 101-228
- [8] M. Finkelberg, S. Lysenko, Twisted geometric Satake equivalence, J. Inst. Math. Jussieu, 9 (2010), no. 4, p. 719-739
- [9] D. Gaitsgory, Twisted Whittaker model and factorizable sheaves. Sel. Math., New Ser. 13, No. 4, (2008), p. 617-659

- [10] G. Lusztig, Monodromic Systems on Affine Flag Manifolds, Proc. R. Soc. Lond. A, vol. 445 no. 1923 (1994), p. 231-246
- [11] S. Lysenko, Moduli of metaplectic bundles on curves and Theta-sheaves, Ann. Scient. Ecole Norm. Sup., 4 série, t. 39 (2006), p. 415-466
- [12] S. Lysenko, Twisted geometric Langlands for a torus, arXiv:1312.4310, to appear in IMRN
- [13] P. J. McNamara, Principal series representations of metaplectic groups over local fields, arXiv:0911.2274
- [14] I. Mirković, K. Vilonen, Geometric Langlands duality and representations of algebraic groups over commutative rings, Ann. of Math. (2) 166 (2007), 95-143.
- [15] R. Reich, Twisted geometric Satake equivalence via gerbes on the factorizable grassmanian, Repr. Theory, vol.16 (2012): 345-449
- [16] M. Weissman, Split metaplectic groups and their L-groups, J. für die reine und angew. Math. (to appear), arXiv:1108.1413