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Synergistic Cu–amine catalysis for the enantioselective synthesis of chiral cyclohexenones†

A. Quintard* and J. Rodriguez*

An unprecedented utilization of 1,3-acetonedicarboxylic acid as a 1,3-bis-pro-nucleophile and a reactive acetone surrogate in enantioselective catalysis has been reported. By synergistically activating the ketodiacid by copper catalysis and an α,β-unsaturated aldehyde by amine catalysis, an efficient domino di-decarboxylative Michael/aldol/dehydration sequence takes place leading to valuable chiral cyclohexenones in one single operation in 94 to 99% ee.

To fill the gap, we initiated a research program to selectively activate this unexploited 1,3-bis-pro-nucleophile in enantioselective synthesis. We first focused on its unaddressed reactivity towards α,β-unsaturated aldehydes as electrophiles in a di-decarboxylative Michael/aldol/dehydration sequence leading to synthetically useful cyclohexenone derivatives, a class of versatile molecules used in natural product synthesis. Earlier reports on the preparation of these chiral cyclohexenones required multiple steps such as kinetic resolution of chiral compounds. Several groups reported on the organocatalytic condensation of functionalized ketones on α,β-unsaturated aldehydes, leading after cascade cyclization by Knoevenagel or Wittig reaction to chiral cyclohexenones. Unfortunately, in all these examples, additional functional groups are present on the obtained cyclohexenone backbones and require subsequent steps for their removal (tert-butyl ester for example). Given the utility of this chiral cyclohexenone motif and its numerous applications, alternative direct access to this structure remains attractive and desirable.

To efficiently apply 1, we initially hypothesized that a secondary amine organocatalyst might activate the electrophile through its iminium ion while a copper salt catalyst, known to promote deacryloxyative aldolizations, would activate ketodiacid 1 triggering the overall domino sequence initiated by a deacryloxyative Michael addition (Scheme 1). Herein we present our results on the development of such biomimetic transformation as well as supplementary successive cascade functionalization of the formed cyclohexenone.

We initiated our research by condensing 1 on cinnamaldehyde 2a in the presence of an aminocatalyst able to promote the direct Michael addition via the corresponding iminium intermediate. Selected optimizations are presented in Table 1. Among all the solvents tested, MeOH gave the best results. Without any co-catalyst, diaryl prolinol silyl ether cat1 gave the expected product 3a in only 12% yield and a promising 90% ee (entry 1). Gratifyingly and as expected in our proposal, addition
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of a co-catalyst such as a copper salt considerably increased both yield and enantiocontrol. In the presence of 4 mol% of copper acetate, \(3a\) could be obtained in 37% yield and an excellent 97% ee (entry 2). The increase both in terms of yield and stereocontrol indicates that the copper salt efficiently activates \(1\), facilitating the enolate formation as well as modifying the transition state of the C–C bond-forming event. Use of other substituents on the diaryl prolinol silyl ether did not improve this result (37–46% yield, 94–96% ee, entries 3–5).

Imidazolidinone \(\text{cat5}\) also catalyzed the process albeit in a lower 12% yield and 88% ee (entry 6).

We next turned our attention to the nature of the co-catalyst used to activate the pro-nucleophile \(1\). Acidic as well as diverse basic additives provided the expected cyclohexenone in lower yields (13 to 29%) as well as decreased enantiocontrol (84 to 90% ee) highlighting the crucial role of the Lewis acid additive (entries 7–11). Other Lewis acids such as iron acetylacetonate and copper iodide or thiophene carboxylate (entries 12–14) were also efficient albeit the results were still lower than with copper acetate (22 to 38% yield and 91–95% ee). Fortunately, turning to copper i-butyrate, both yield and enantiocontrol could be improved (entry 15) and were found to be optimal using 1.6 equivalents of \(2a\) on a 1.6 mmol scale providing \(3a\) in 50% yield and >98% ee (entry 16).

With these optimized conditions in hand, we next investigated the scope of this new dual catalytic domino cycloalkylation. Different \(\alpha,\beta\)-unsaturated aldehydes with diverse substitution patterns could be applied in the transformation (Scheme 2). Electron-withdrawing as well as electron-donating groups could be placed either in the \(\text{ortho}\), \(\text{para}\) or \(\text{meta}\) position on the aromatic substituent providing the corresponding cyclohexenones \(3b\)–\(g\) in 40 to 51% yield and 96 to 99% ee. In the case of electron-withdrawing nitro substituents (\(3d\), \(3f\) and \(3g\)), the temperature had to be decreased to 20 °C to obtain the product probably by limiting both substrate and product decomposition. The aromatic substituent of the aldehyde could be replaced by an ester even though product \(3h\) was obtained with a slightly decreased yield but still a very good enantiocontrol (29% yield and 94% ee). Finally, under the optimized conditions, products starting from aliphatic substituents on the aldehyde, \(3i\), could not be isolated. Crude NMR showed the formation of the product at around 15–20% together with other unidentified impurities. This indicates that conditions must be further optimized for this family of substrates.

![Scheme 1](image1.png)  
**Scheme 1** Proposed cyclohexenone synthesis by synergistic addition of \(1\) to enals.

![Scheme 2](image2.png)  
**Scheme 2** Scope of the cyclohexenone synthesis by synergistic addition of \(1\) to enals.
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**Table 1** Optimization of the dual cyclohexenone synthesis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Catalysts</th>
<th>Yield (%)</th>
<th>ee (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>cat1 (15%)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>cat1 (15%), Cu(OAc)(_2) (4%)</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>cat2 (15%), Cu(OAc)(_2) (4%)</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>cat3 (15%), Cu(OAc)(_2) (4%)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>cat4 (15%), Cu(OAc)(_2) (4%)</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>cat5 (15%), Cu(OAc)(_2) (4%)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>cat1 (15%), PhCOOH (15%)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>cat1 (15%), imidazole (15%)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>cat1 (15%), dbu (15%)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>cat1 (15%), LiOAc (15%)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>cat1 (15%), LiCl (15%)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>cat1 (15%), Fe(acac)(_3) (6%)</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>cat1 (15%), Cu (4%)</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>cat1 (15%), CuI (4%)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>cat1 (15%), Cu(i-BuCOO)(_2) (4%)</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>cat1 (15%), Cu(i-BuCOO)(_2) (6%)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>&gt;98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(a\) All reactions were run using 1 eq. of \(1\) (0.2 mmol) and 1.3 eq. of \(2\) (0.26 mmol) except for entry 16 run on 1 eq. of \(1\) (1.0 mmol) and 1.6 eq. of \(2\) (1.6 mmol).  
\(b\) Isolated yield after column chromatography.  
\(c\) Enantiomeric excess determined by chiral GC analysis.
Interestingly, when modulating the conditions by using an excess of ketodiad 1 in THF, using cat2 and copper acetate, a complementary cascade occurs by addition of a second equivalent of 1 to the enone 3a, directly providing the valuable structure 4a in 87% ee (Scheme 3a, 2:7:1 dr). In this transformation, 4a is formed via a multiple cascade process where 4 C–C bonds are destroyed and 3 new C–C and 1 C–H bonds are created. The formation of the terminal CH, after final addition on the enone is due to the reprotonation of the keto-acid, possibly through another diacid molecule. This reprotonation of the transient enolate is possible in the absence of any other potential electrophile. The enantiomeric excess is the same between 4a and a trace amount of 3a still observed in the reaction mixture. This indicates that 4a forms from cyclohexenone 3a without the intervention of the amino catalyst through copper catalyzed addition.

A mechanistic additional control experiment indicates that the second acid function on 1 is crucial for the cyclization to occur as shown by the partial conversion of ketoacid 5 to the acyclic ketoaldehyde 6 (Scheme 3b).

Mechanistically, the great differences in enantiomeric excesses (98 vs. 90% ee) and yield (50 vs. 12%) observed for cyclohexenone 3a with or without the copper catalyst clearly indicates the presence of a synergistic catalytic mode of action. It is hard to define what accounts for the moderate yields in these reactions since no defined products could be isolated besides 3. The higher enantiomeric excess obtained using copper(i)-i-butylate as compared to other Lewis acids either arises from a considerable increase in the kinetics of the reaction (limiting the background reaction) or can be due to the ligand ability of isobutylate to maximize steric repulsions in the preferred transition state. The fact that higher yields are obtained by slowly adding the ketodiad 1 to the reacting mixture probably indicates that the copper species formed during the catalytic cycle suffer from a lack of stability (possible reprotonation). Besides these preliminary mechanistic observations, we currently do not know if decarboxylation occurs prior to Michael addition or after the initial C–C bond-forming event. According to work by Shair on copper catalyzed aldolization, C–C bond formation occurs prior to decarboxylation in this process.120 But since in our case we are able to observe decarboxylation in the absence of electrophile (Scheme 3a), it is also possible that using 1, decarboxylation occurs prior to the C–C bond-forming event.14

In conclusion, we have described the first utilization of ketodiad 1 as a bis-nucleophile and a reactive acetone surrogate in enantioselective catalysis. The key is a synergistic copper/amine dual activation of the ketodiad and the α,β-unsaturated aldehyde triggering an unprecedented di-decarboxylative Michael/ aldol/dehydration domino sequence leading to valuable chiral cyclohexenones in one single operation in 94 to 99% ee. Further mechanistic investigations as well as optimization of aliphatic aldehydes should shed light on the exact reaction mechanism and would probably improve the reaction scope. We are convinced that this study will open the way for multiple applications of this particularly interesting substrate as well as the dual-copper-amineinum activation.
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See ESIF for additional details on the reaction optimization.

14 See ESIF for mechanistic hypothesis on the catalytic cycle.