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1 Introduction

In this paper, we address a new hub location and routing problem. The Bounded Cardinality Capacitated Hub Routing Problem (BCCHRP) aims to determine the minimum total times transportation system to transfer goods from origins to destinations through hubs. More precisely, given a set origin-destination (O-D) pairs defined on a set of nodes, we have to partition this set into a subset of hub nodes and a subset of non-hub nodes and to design a network including arcs between hubs and directed routes serving non-hub nodes rooted at hubs. From each hub, one directed route at most can be performed by a vehicle with a limited capacity. The number of hubs used must lie within a minimum and maximum hubs. Transhipment takes place at hub nodes where freight is transferred from the hub-level transporters to the vehicles performing routes. The limited vehicle capacity influences the allocation of non-hub nodes to hub nodes.

The contribution of this paper is twofold. First, we introduce the problem, and propose a polynomial mixed integer linear model. Second, we describe a hybrid exact algorithm combining branch-and-cut methodology and Benders decomposition. The efficiency of the approach is assessed on a various testbed.

Rodriguez-Martín et al. [6] studied a similar problem. In their article, the number of hub nodes is fixed and the capacity restriction is on the maximum number of non-hub nodes served on a route. They proposed a mathematical model and a branch-and-cut approach to solve instances up to 50 nodes. The model and the cuts are based on a previous work devoted to the Plant Cycle Location Problem (PCLP) [5]. Other related papers are the followings. Gelareh et al. [4] proposed a mixed integer linear programming formulation and a Lagrangian relaxation for the simultaneous design of network and fleet deployment of a deep-sea liner service provider (p-String Planning Problem (pSPP)). Cetiner et al. [1] described a multiple allocation hub location and routing problem applied to postal delivery. Again for similar applications, Wasner et al. [8] proposed a model where direct connections between non-hub nodes are allowed. de Camargo et al. [2] tackled a similar problem where the route lengths are bounded. They proposed a Benders decomposition approach. Last, Nagy
et al. [7] addressed a hub location routing problem with capacity constraints where pick-up points and delivery points are served on distinct routes.

2 Solution methodology

First, we propose a mixed integer linear model where 2-index variables are associated with the design decisions and 4-index variables are associated with the fractions of flows traversing inter-hub or non-hub arcs for all O-D pairs. Design variables are binary while flow variables are continuous. The model has two sets of constraints: network design constraints and flow routing constraints. To strengthen the linear relaxation of this model, we present several valid inequalities involving the design variables, some of them being similar to those proposed by Rodriguez-Martín et al. [6].

Then, we describe our solution method which is based on a Benders decomposition scheme. The master problem includes the network design constraints while the subproblem includes the flow routing constraints and the linking constraints. The master problem includes also some valid inequalities which are relaxed versions of the capacity constraint imposed on each route. Other cuts are added dynamically. To accelerate the convergence, we use a heuristic based on local searches to generate feasible solutions and add the corresponding cuts to the master problem.

When no violated inequality can be identified, we generate Benders cuts. Due to capacity constraints on routes, the subproblem is still a capacitated multi-commodity flow problem parameterized in the design imposed by the master problem. In some cases, we show that the subproblem can be decomposed into one subproblem per O-D. This leads to disaggregated cuts which can improve the lower bound significantly. In addition, by exploiting symmetry, a mechanism is designed that, under certain conditions, allows extracting a relative interior point of the master problem polytope which is used later on in generating non-dominated cuts from the subproblem.

3 Computational experiments

Based on a testbed generated from the well-known Australian Post (AP) dataset (see [3]), we conducted two types of computational experiments. First, we solved the proposed model with CPLEX 12.6.1 with a time limit of 36000 seconds. Results are reported in Table 1. The first column reports the instance name in the format $nN_pP_\alpha$ where $N$ is the number of nodes, $P$ is the maximum number of hub nodes, and $\alpha$ represents the factor of economies of scale on inter-hub arcs varying in \{0.7, 0.8, 0.9\}. The second column indicates CPU times elapsed during the LP solution. The next column reports the LP objective function values followed by a column for the LP statuses. The next column report the number of hub nodes 'Nhubs' in the LP solution. In columns 'TimeIP' and 'IPobj', we report the CPU times for IP solution and the objective function values, respectively. The subsequent column reports the number of nodes processed in the branch-and-bound algorithm before termination criteria is met. The column 'IPStatus' reports the termination criterion that has been met for every instance. The next column reports the optimality gaps when CPLEX terminated.

In Table 1, one observes that the LP bound is rather weak. In the LP relaxation, there is a tendency towards opening maximum possible number of hubs (in the fractional sense). While for $n = 10$ the instances were solved in less than one hour, for $n = 15$, optimality could not be proven within 10 hours.

Our initial computational experiments show that using our solution hybrid solution approach, we
can solve to optimality up to $n = 20$. The first column in Table 2 indicates the instance name. The second and third columns reports CPU times and the best incumbent value found. The column 'Nnodes' report the number of nodes processed in the course of solution process. The column 'CplexStatus' reports the CPLEX status upon termination. The column 'Gap(%)' reports the termination gaps (the LB is also reported when the method failed). The next two columns represent number of feasibility Benders cuts ('#F. Cuts') and number of optimality Benders cuts ('#O. Cuts').
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