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Evidence and modeling of mechanoluminescence in a transparent glass particulate composite
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Mechanoluminescence of a transparent alkali-phosphate glass composite with SrAl2O4:Eu, Dy particles is reported. Uniaxial compression experiments show the linear dependence of the mechanoluminescence intensity with the mechanical power. A theoretical model, based on the physics of delayed processes (in analogy of viscoelasticity), is proposed. This model accurately predicts the ML intensity changes induced by a complex mechanical loading and provides a convincing description of the mechanoluminescence response.
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Mechanoluminescence (ML) is the phenomenon of light emission generated by a mechanical loading. Elastico mechanoluminescence (EML), plastico mechanoluminescence (PML) and fracto mechanoluminescence (FML) are ML phenomena respectively induced by elastic deformation, plastic flow and fracture of solids. ML was already reported by Bacon\(^1\) in the begining of 17\(^{th}\) century when he scratched lumps of sugar in the dark. Some aluminate based ceramic components are also well-known to be mechanoluminescent, among which \(\text{SrAl}_2\text{O}_4:Eu,\ Dy\) (SAOED) exhibits very intense EML, PML and FML\(^2\). In the past 30 years, many reports were devoted to the EML of strontium aluminate particles codoped with Eu and Dy. The EML intensity was found to depend linearly on the applied stress making this material promising for stress sensor applications\(^3\). Moreover, the SAOED particles can be used to synthesize polymer composites in the form of thin films\(^4\), epoxy disks\(^5\), fibers\(^6\) and also paints\(^7\). Nevertheless, no attempt to elaborate a transparent SAOED particulate inorganic glass composite was reported so far.

In the present study, a transparent material consisting of an alkali-phosphate glass matrix and SAOED particles was elaborated by melt-quenching method. A phosphate glass with a low melting temperature has been chosen as a vitreous matrix to avoid denaturing (over-heating) SAOED particles during the synthesis. \(\text{SrAl}_2\text{O}_4:Eu,\ Dy\) particles were introduced in a glass with the following stoichiometric composition \(0.25 \text{Na}_2\text{O - 0.50 P}_2\text{O}_5 - 0.25 \text{Li}_2\text{O}\). An uniaxial loading experiment in compression revealed that the particles keep their ML properties after the synthesis of the composite at high temperature. The peculiarities of the ML phenomenon in such system were then explored by means of different mechanical loading cycles, and a model relating the light intensity to the mechanical power is proposed.

Composite materials with composition \((1-x)(0.25 \text{Na}_2\text{O - 0.50 P}_2\text{O}_5 - 0.25 \text{Li}_2\text{O}), x\) \(\text{SrAl}_2\text{O}_4:Eu,\ Dy\) with \(x = 0.03\), were synthesized by the melt-quenching method. NaH\(_2\)PO\(_4\) (Aldrich, \(\geq 99\%\)), LiH\(_2\)PO\(_4\) (Aldrich, 99\%) and \(\text{SrAl}_2\text{O}_4:Eu,\ Dy\) (Aldrich, 99\%) powders were mixed in a platinum crucible and were prefired until \(300\,^\circ\text{C}\) for \(3\) h to remove water from the powder mixture. Then the crucible was placed for 2 min into a furnace preheated at \(800\,^\circ\text{C}\). The glass was poured into a stainless steel mold which was preheated at \(240\,^\circ\text{C}\), corresponding to the glass transition (\(T_g\)) of the glassy matrix and further annealed at this temperature for \(2\) h to reduce the residual stresses. Composite batches were cut into rectangular samples with a diamond saw and polished to mirror surface finish (0.5 \(\mu\text{m}\) grade diamond suspension).
Compression experiments were conducted on parallelepiped specimen (4 x 4 x 4.47 mm$^3$) along its longest size, using an universal testing machine (LLoyd LR 50 K). Specimens were exposed to UV irradiations for 30 s prior to the mechanical testing and loading was applied 10 min later (i.e. when the photoluminescence background appeared to be negligible in comparison with the ML intensity). The ML intensity was recorded every second by a high sensitivity camera (Zyla sCMOS 5.5, Andor Technology).

Figure 1 shows the bulk composite sample as observed by optical microscopy and the particles as observed by scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The synthesized composite is translucent and transparent at thickness below 1 mm (Fig.1(a)), and the strontium aluminate particles are visible to the naked eye. A green luminescence and a long afterglow are observed when the composite is set in the dark after UV illumination (Fig.1(b)). The excitation and emission band maximums were respectively measured at 370 nm and 511 nm. This result shows that the particles keep their photoluminescent property after the melt-quenching step.

As seen in Fig.1(c), the elaboration process allows to obtain a vitreous material where the particles are homogeneously dispersed. The water from the hydrated compounds NaH$_2$PO$_4$ and LiH$_2$PO$_4$ is assumed to play a key role in the dispersion. Indeed two treatments were necessary to remove water: a first treatment on the powder mixture at 300$^\circ$C for 3 h, and a second one at 800$^\circ$C for 2 min. A foam developed inside the crucible which is due to the evaporation of the residual water from the glass forming melt. This foam helps homogenizing the melt and is hence supposed to favour the dispersion of the particles in the glass forming melt thanks to the agitation induced by water bubbles. The particles size varies between approximately 10 µm and 50 µm in the glass matrix and is close to that of the starting SAOED powder as observed by SEM (Fig.1(d)). Although these latter observations suggest a weak reaction between the SAOED particles and the melt, particles were found to entirely dissolve into the melt for longer synthesis times.

Figure 2 shows the stress dependence of EML at two loading rates (1.65 MPa.s$^{-1}$, 3.45 MPa.s$^{-1}$). The ML starts to be detected by the camera at around 0.625 MPa (10 N) and keeps growing in intensity on loading to 62.5 MPa (1000 N) as observed on the inset images (Fig.2). In the literature, the linear relationship was suggested between the ML intensity of SrAl$_2$O$_4$:Eu, Dy and the applied pressure or the applied stress$^9$. In an earlier study$^{10}$, the ML intensity was proposed to scale with $\sigma \dot{\sigma}$ ($\sigma$: stress). In agreement with this latter
observation, our experiments led us to suggest that the ML intensity scales with the actual mechanical power \( P \) which, for a linear elastic body is given by:

\[
P = \frac{\sigma \dot{\sigma}}{E}
\]

where \( E \) is Young’s modulus, \( \sigma \) the uniaxial stress (\( E = 53 \pm 1 \) GPa, as measured by ultrasonic wave velocities) and \( \dot{\sigma} \) the time derivative of \( \sigma \).

A potential application for EML materials is the development of stress sensors. It is obvious that to meet this objective the relationship between the ML intensity and the stress needs to be elucidated, especially for complex loading histories. Until now, the proposed relationships are limited to rather simple loading conditions (typically constant stress rate)\(^{11,12}\). The model we proposed in this study intends to reproduce the following ML intensity changes observed in some materials, where the mechanisms of EML are similar to the one of the SrAl\(_2\)O\(_4\):Eu, Dy crystals. Under stress increase, with constant stress rate the ML intensity continuously increases linearly with \( P^{10,13} \). If the stress rate becomes null or negative, the ML intensity continuously decays\(^{14} \), and the kinetic of this decay depends on the stress rate\(^{9,15} \). When a sharp stress decrease occurs, a ML intensity peak occurs\(^{16} \). The physics of the EML phenomenon must also be taken into account. The EML, in SrAl\(_2\)O\(_4\):Eu, Dy crystals is supposed to occur as follows: the UV illumination induces the excitation of the Eu electrons from the 4f level to the 5d level and the trapping of some carriers into deep traps. These carriers cannot be detrapped within the experimental duration since thermal energy is too small at room temperature and at reasonable time scales to induce the relaxation process efficiently. The stress (as an example thanks to a piezoelectric field, according to the piezoelectric model\(^{9,11} \)) eases the detrapping of the carriers (here electrons). Then the detrapped electrons return on the excited state and this is the de-excitation of these electrons that subsequently produces the light emission.

Since the ML intensity still remains when the stress \( (\sigma) \) is removed or kept constant, some remanence has to be accounted for in the model. The delay is similar to the one between stress and strain in the case of linear viscoelastic solids for which a so-called “hereditary integral” is commonly used\(^{17} \). We assume first that the ML intensity \( (I_{ML} \geq 0) \) is proportional to a function \( f \) defined as:
\begin{equation}
f(t) = \left| \frac{d}{dt} \int_0^t \frac{\varphi(t-s) \partial \sigma^2(s)}{2E} \frac{\partial}{\partial s} ds \right| \tag{2}
\end{equation}

where \( \varphi \) is a retardation function (\( \dot{\varphi}(t) \geq 0, \varphi(t \leq 0) = 0 \) and \( \varphi(t) = 1 \) when \( t \to +\infty \)). Indeed, \( f \) is simply proportional to the time derivative of the stored elastic energy (\( \sigma^2/2E \)), so that \( f \) can be reviewed as the volume density of mechanical power and is given in W.m\(^{-3}\).

Consequently, the relationship between \( f \) and the elastic energy is linear. In the absence of any delay (\( \varphi \sim 1 \)) or if the stress rate is large enough to prevent from any retardation effect, \( f(t) = P \). The detrapping rate of trapped carriers (\( \dot{N}_{dc} \)) has the same stress dependence: \( \dot{N}_{dc} = \alpha f(t) \). Nevertheless, in this situation, \( N_{dc} \) is not bounded. Since UV irradiation leads to a limited number of trapped carriers (\( N_{tc} \)), we have: \( 0 \leq N_{dc} \leq N_{tc} \). For sake of simplicity, we assume that the detrapping probability of a carrier is proportional to the relative fraction of the remaining trapped carriers, even if the traps are not uniformly distributed with energy. Only one additional fitting parameter is then required (\( \alpha \) in Eq. (3)), so that:

\begin{equation}
\dot{N}_{dc} = \alpha f(t) \frac{N_{tc} - N_{dc}(t)}{N_{tc}} \tag{3}
\end{equation}

Consequently, if \( N_{dc}(t \leq 0) = 0 \):

\begin{equation}
N_{dc}(t) = N_{tc} \left( 1 - \exp \left( -\alpha \frac{\int_0^t f(s) ds}{N_{tc}} \right) \right) \tag{4}
\end{equation}

The number of photons emitted up to a time \( t \) is proportional to \( N_{dc}(t) \), and the ML intensity (\( I_{ML} \)), is supposed to be proportional to the rate of photons emission\(^9\). Consequently:

\begin{equation}
I_{ML}(t) = \psi f(t) \exp \left( -\phi \int_0^t f(s) ds \right) \tag{5}
\end{equation}

where \( \phi = \alpha/N_{tc} \), and \( \psi \) is the proportionality factor. At this stage of our understanding, a frequently used expression (dielectric relaxation, viscoelasticity, etc.) for \( \varphi \) is considered:

\begin{equation}
\varphi(t) = 1 - \exp \left( -\left( \frac{t}{\tau} \right)^\beta \right) \tag{6}
\end{equation}

A stretched exponential form for the retardation function is consistent with an exponential distribution of traps with energy\(^{18}\) (in agreement with what is expected for a crystal). Consequently, the model has only 4 parameters: \( \phi, \psi, \tau \) and \( \beta \). According to the obtained equations, the model suggests that a fraction of the mechanical energy stored by
the composite is dissipated during light emission. However, this fraction is very small, so
that no permanent change in the specimen height is detected as a result of this dissipation,
and the material can be consequently considered to retain a pure linear elastic behaviour.
Nevertheless, the energy is dissipated with delay, because the detrapping of carriers cannot
instantaneously occur, and this delay is defined by the retardation function. Additionally,
large delays can occur because of carriers trapped in swallow traps, as proposed by Chandra
et al.⁹: it explains the ML emission observed when the stress is removed. It turns out that
including a threshold stress in the present model based on the mechanical power (Eq. (1)),
does not bring a noticeable improvement to the fit of the experimental data.

In order to validate the model, we have performed two compression tests, using the same
protocol of UV irradiation and of ML intensity recording. The stress has been increased up
to 50 MPa, but with controlled fluctuations of the stress rate. The speed of the crossing
head has been programmed to obtain the following loading rates: 3.3 MPa.s⁻¹ up to 36
MPa, 4.4 MPa.s⁻¹ up to 42 MPa and then 4.5 MPa.s⁻¹ up to 50 MPa. At each change of
loading rate, the machine decreases its loading rate in a reproducible way (as it can been see
on the stress curves on the Figure 3). The fluctuations allow a better determination of the
fitting parameters. For the first test (named ”1”), when the maximum stress was reached,
it was kept for 50 ms and then supressed. For the other test (named ”2”), the stress was
kept constant during 20 s, before being rapidly supressed (within 50 ms). We have first
determined the parameters φ, ψ, τ and β for test ”1” by a least square fitting method, and
these parameters were found to provide an excellent modeling of the second experiment.
These parameters are listed in Table I. According to the value of τ and β (see Table I), the
average retardation time, and so the average detrapping time constant under stress, given
by the integral of 1-φ(t), from 0 to ∞, is 106 seconds.

The model is able to reproduce the effects of stress rate fluctuations and the ML intensity
decay under constant stress. Additionally, when the stress starts to decrease, with a sharp
stress rate change, a ML intensity peak is observed, and the model reproduces this peak with
an equivalent height. When the stress falls down to 0, the model also provides a relatively
good fit of the ML intensity decay, somewhat faster than the experimental one. A better
fit could probably be obtained with a refined description of the detrapping rate (Eq. (3)),
accounting for an exponential distribution of traps with energy to the expense of additional
parameters. It is important to note that the model predicts the ML intensity changes in all
these different situations with only 3 parameters ($\psi$ just acts as a conversion factor between a mechanical power and the arbitrary unit of $I_{ML}$).

In conclusion, we have synthesized by melt-quenching method, a translucent glass composite exhibiting mechanoluminescence. Experiments conducted on compression have shown that the EML intensity scales with the mechanical power. An explicit relationship between the stress and the ML intensity is proposed. Based on a single equation, this model provides a smooth fit even for a rather complex loading cycle.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$</td>
<td>$0.78 \pm 0.01$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau$ (s)</td>
<td>$92 \pm 4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\log_{10}(\phi \ (J.m^{-3})^{-1})$</td>
<td>$-3.91 \pm 0.01$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\log_{10}(\psi)$</td>
<td>$2.51 \pm 0.01$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE I. Parameters used in the model to fit and predict the ML intensity.
FIG. 1. Images of the composite bulk: (a) under daylight and (b) in the dark just after UV excitation. (c) Image of the SAOED particles dispersed in the glass matrix and (d) particles observed by SEM.
FIG. 2. ML intensity of the composite as a function of the mechanical power. Images of the glass specimen are shown at 0 and 62.5 MPa (1000 N) for a load rate of 3.45 MPa.s$^{-1}$. 
FIG. 3. On the top: ML intensity recorded (blue circles) for two different mechanical loading histories on the glass composite, and their fitting by the model (red lines). On the bottom, the corresponding mechanical loading histories.