



Brief Announcement: Anonymous Obstruction-free (n, k) -Set Agreement with $n-k+1$ Atomic Read/Write Registers

Zohir Bouzid, Michel Raynal, Pierre Sutra

► To cite this version:

Zohir Bouzid, Michel Raynal, Pierre Sutra. Brief Announcement: Anonymous Obstruction-free (n, k) -Set Agreement with $n-k+1$ Atomic Read/Write Registers. DISC 2015, Toshimitsu Masuzawa, Oct 2015, Tokyo, Japan. hal-01207902

HAL Id: hal-01207902

<https://hal.science/hal-01207902>

Submitted on 1 Oct 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Brief Announcement: Anonymous Obstruction-free (n, k) -Set Agreement with $n - k + 1$ Atomic Read/Write Registers

Zohir Bouzid¹, Michel Raynal^{1,2}, and Pierre Sutra³

¹ IRISA, Université de Rennes, 35042 Rennes, France

² Institut Universitaire de France

³ University of Neuchâtel, Switzerland

Abstract. This paper presents an obstruction-free solution to the (n, k) -set agreement problem in an asynchronous anonymous read/write system using solely $(n - k + 1)$ registers. We then extend this algorithm into (i) a space-optimal solution for the repeated version of (n, k) -set agreement, and (ii) an x -obstruction-free solution using $(n - k + x)$ atomic registers (with $1 \leq x \leq k < n$).

1 Context & motivation

Due to failures, concurrent processes have to deal not only with finite asynchrony, i.e., finite but arbitrary process speed, but also with infinite asynchrony. In this context, mutex-based synchronization is useless, and pioneering works in *fault-tolerant* distributed computing, such as [7], have instead promoted the design of concurrent algorithms.

A first challenge: multi-writer registers. When processes communicate with *Single-Writer Multi-Reader* (SWMR) atomic registers, a concurrent algorithm usually associates each process with a register. In the case where processes communicate with *Multi-Writer Multi-Reader* (MWMR) atomic registers, as any process can write any register, the previous association is no longer granted for free. To still benefit from existing SWMR registers-based solutions, a classical reduction consists in emulating SWMR registers on top of MWMR registers. In a system of n processes, n MWMR atomic registers are needed when the simulation is non-blocking [4]. Hence, if the underlying system provides less than n MWMR registers, the simulation approach is irrelevant and novel techniques must be found.

A second challenge: anonymity. Some algorithms based on MWMR registers require processes to write control values that include their identities. On the contrary, in an *anonymous* system, processes have no identity, the same code, and the same initialization of their local variables. Hence, they are in a strong sense identical. In such a context, the core question that interests us is the following: “Is it possible to solve a given problem with MWMR registers and anonymous processes, and if the answer is “yes”, how many registers do we need ?”

Consensus and k -set agreement. This paper focuses on the k -set agreement problem in a system of n processes. This problem introduced in [3], and denoted (n, k) -set agreement in the following, is a generalization of consensus, which corresponds to the

case where $k = 1$. Assuming that each participating process proposes a value, every non-faulty process must decide a value (termination), which was proposed by some process (validity), and at most k different values are decided (agreement).

Impossibility results and the case of obstruction-freedom. When k or more processes may fail, there is no deterministic wait-free read/write solution to (n, k) -set agreement [2]. To sidestep this impossibility result, we consider a progress property weaker than wait-freedom, namely *obstruction-freedom*. This property states for (n, k) -set agreement that a process decides a value only if it executes solo during a “long enough period” without interruption. The notion of x -obstruction-freedom [8] generalizes this idea to any group of at most x processes.

2 Contributions of the paper

This paper details a *genuine obstruction-free* algorithm solving the (n, k) -set agreement problem in an *asynchronous anonymous read/write* system where any number of processes may crash. Our algorithm makes use of $(n - k + 1)$ MWMR registers, i.e., exactly n registers for consensus. In anonymous systems, (n, k) -set agreement requires $\Omega(\sqrt{\frac{n}{k}} - 2)$ MWMR registers [6]. On another hand, the best obstruction-free (n, k) -set agreement algorithm known so far requires $2(n - k) + 1$ registers [5]. Hence, our algorithm provides a gain of $(n - k)$ MWMR registers.

In the *repeated* version of the (n, k) -set agreement problem, processes participate in a sequence of (n, k) -set agreement instances. It was recently proved [6] that $(n - k + 1)$ atomic registers are necessary to solve repeated (n, k) -set agreement. This paper shows that a simple modification of our base construction solves *repeated* (n, k) -set agreement without additional atomic registers, being consequently optimal.

Our base algorithm, its extension to solve repeated (n, k) -set agreement, as well as an x -obstruction-free variation that uses $n - k + x$ MWMR registers are all detailed in our companion technical report [1].

References

1. Bouzid Z. and Raynal M. and Sutra P. Anonymous Obstruction-free (n, k) -Set Agreement with $n - k + 1$ Atomic Read/Write Registers *RR 2027, Université de Rennes 1*, (2015)
2. Borowsky E. and Gafni E., Generalized FLP impossibility result for t -resilient asynchronous computations. *STOC'93*
3. Chaudhuri S., More *Choices* Allow More *Faults*: Set Consensus Problems in Totally Asynchronous Systems. *Information and Computation*, 105:132-158, (1993)
4. Delporte C., Fauconnier H., Gafni E., and Lamport L., Adaptive register allocation with a linear number of registers. *DISC'13*
5. Delporte C., Fauconnier H., Gafni E., and Rajsbaum S., Black art: obstruction-free k -set agreement with $|MWMR \text{ registers}| < |processes|$. *NETYS'13*
6. Delporte C., Fauconnier H., Kuznetsov P. and Ruppert E., On the space complexity of set agreement. *PODC'15*
7. Lamport L., Concurrent reading while writing. *CACM*, 20(11):806-811 (1977)
8. Taubenfeld G., Contention-sensitive data structure and algorithms. *DISC'09*