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Figure 1. (a) An artist works on layers of physical paper with a home-made light table. The artist draws the panels of a page for a graphic novel by using earlier sketches as guides. (b-d) The same artist uses our system to add details to different parts of her illustration through partial scaled views.

ABSTRACT
Illustrators are advanced users of both traditional and computer-assisted drawing tools, and therefore, observing their strategies is very valuable for research on drawing interfaces. We interviewed four professional illustrators in their work environment. We also followed the work of an artist for a two-year period. We observed that artists mix a variety of techniques that involve specialized computer software and hardware such as Adobe Photoshop, a graphics tablet and a scanner, and traditional physical tools such as pencils, paper, and customized light tables. Our findings inspired BricoSketch, an augmented paper interface that enables illustrators to zoom into parts of their drawings and work at different levels of detail on paper. Our early results demonstrate that BricoSketch supports real tasks, improving productivity on paper while enhancing illustrators’ creative ways of working.
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INTRODUCTION
Interactive technologies have radically changed the way visual artists work, and a large portion of the artistic production has now moved from paper to the computer. Powerful drawing and image-processing software such as Adobe Photoshop, InDesign, Illustrator and Corel Painter as well as pen displays such as the Wacom Cintiq series have played an important role in this change. However, many artists still work on paper and keep using traditional painting and drawing tools. This is not only due to resistance to progress or due to the well-known usability properties of physical tools [7]; despite the progress of artistic stroke-rendering techniques [11], existing computer tools fail to fully capture the richness and variety of artistic styles supported by physical media [21]. Therefore, artists still rely heavily on paper for styles and techniques that software tools currently do not offer.

We interview four professional illustrators to understand how they mix physical and electronic media in their creative process. We also follow the work of one of these illustrators for a period of two years. We observe that professional illustrators do not have a unique process of work but adapt their style and tools depending on the requirements of their different projects. All of them use both physical and computer drawings tools at different phases of a project, from early sketches to final illustrations. We also learn that productivity has a major role in the selection of their tools as they often deal with pressing deadlines. Unfortunately, although commercial drawing software can increase productivity, much of
its power does not apply when creative work takes place on paper. In particular, we observe that switching from traditional tools to software and vice-versa often involves significant manual effort. Previous work [8, 18, 23, 24] has introduced augmented-paper technologies and projection-based systems to enhance traditional drawing and painting techniques. Yet, these systems either target novices [8, 18] or non-artistic tasks [23, 24].

Our goal is to fill in this gap with smoother transitions that could help artists take advantage of the power of computer tools earlier in their creative process. We designed BricoS- ketch, an augmented-paper system that enables professional illustrators to define alternative views of their drawings on paper and then use these views to draw at different levels of detail through multiple drawing scales (see Figure 1b-d). We show how users can combine such views to explore variations and produce final illustrations. Our system gets inspiration from a real work scenario and was designed in interaction with a professional artist.

Our contribution is twofold:

1. We explore how professional illustrators use traditional and computer tools in their work and look at their motivations. Our results reveal new challenges for the design of novel drawing systems.

2. We introduce a novel system that helps illustrators work on paper while taking advantage of computer functionality. We show how artists can divide their workspace into layers and work at different scales through transposed views of their paper drawings.

RELATED WORK

Interactive Drawing Assistance

Previous HCI work has examined a range of interactive tools for drawing and animation. Several of these tools target professional artists such as illustrators, animators, and designers. For example, Vignette [17] facilitates the creation of textures in pen-and-ink illustrations. ILoveSketch [2] assists professional designers in sketching 3D models. Draco [15] allows artists (but also casual users) to add animation to drawings.


Here, we focus on professionals users, and therefore, providing guidance is out of our system’s scope. We find, however, that professional illustrators use a range of personal scaffolding techniques to guide their drawing. Our system gets inspiration from these work practices.

Physical vs. Virtual Tools and Materials

We identify three categories of drawing and painting systems with respect to how they mix traditional and computer-based techniques and tools.

Simulate the Physical World. The first category draws inspiration from how artists manipulate physical materials and applies their observed practices to the digital world. For example, SandCanvas [16] is a tabletop system that gets inspiration from sand-animation performances. By simulating sand-manipulation gestures with a virtual environment, the system enhances animation with new operations, e.g., video recording and playback, that are not available in the physical world. However, the approach deprives artists of the richness of tangible manipulation provided by physical materials and tools.

Model the Footprint of Traditional Tools. The second category combines interactive surfaces and physical tools. As an example, FluidPaint [28] captures the footprint of real wet brushes and simulates it with a virtual brush. Vogel and Casiez [29] follow a similar approach and study how artists manipulate a real Conté crayon to inform the design of a new multimodal device for drawing on tabletops. Otsuki et al. [22] push this approach further by allowing users to paint on arbitrary physical objects through a mixed reality system. Such systems preserve the physical properties of the drawing or painting tools, but the artistic result is still less natural, as rendering is based on an imperfect model rather than a precise image of their physical footprint.

Change the Traditional Workflow by Mixing the Two Worlds. The third category keeps intact both the use of traditional tools and their natural footprint. In this case, the goal of the interactive system is to change the traditional workflow of the artist and improve artistic production. The work by Nakajima et al. [21] is a representative example of this direction. Their system provides a computer interface for creating animation sequences through sketches. The artist prints the sketches and paints them with physical tools such as pencils or oil paints. The system then creates the final animation sequences by compiling the scanned paintings.

Our system belongs to the last category. We preserve the footprint of physical drawing tools by creating a new workflow that enhances traditional illustration techniques.

Augmented Surfaces and Paper Interaction

Early work on interactive paper dates almost 25 years back [30], and Video Mosaic [20] was seminal in supporting creative tasks with augmented paper. More recent work has studied the use of interactive paper in domains such as architectural design [1, 23, 24], music composition [9], and collaborative design [10]. Much of this work is based on front-projection setups [30, 20, 1, 23, 24, 10], while others [25] use portable devices as displays. In some of these systems [23, 9], users only interact with a pen. Others [3, 24, 25] examine bi-manual interaction techniques, e.g., Pen+Touch.
Our work gets ideas from these systems but is especially influenced by PenLight [23] and MouseLight [24]. For example, we also support above-the-surface interaction for command use, overlaid layers of physical and virtual ink, and copy-and-paste actions over physical content. However, our interaction design is adapted to professional illustration rather than architectural design and focuses on a more specific drawing approach and task. We also introduce a more lightweight approach and allow for more personal drawing tools, e.g., pencils, that previous work did not support.

INTERVIEWS
We wanted to better understand the process of illustration and, particularly, learn how professional artists use technology and traditional tools in their workflow. Books and online videos provide rich information about drawing and illustration techniques, and a lot of previous work [5, 12, 16, 17] has used them as main sources of reference for their solutions. Other approaches [9, 13] were based on a direct contact with professional artists. This allowed the researchers to investigate very specific scenarios and tasks but also get valuable information about the environment in which these people work as well as their problems and constraints.

We chose to follow the second approach for our design. In this section, we present our interviews with four professional illustrators and discuss our results and insights.

Participants
We interviewed two men and two women, 31 to 36 years old. We refer to them with the initials of their first name (M, C, S, and E). They were all freelancers with 4 to 11 years experience as professional illustrators. They shared the same studio but each worked independently on their own projects. Their projects included illustrations for books, magazines, and newspapers. Three of them (C, S, and E) also worked as writers of graphic novels.

Method
The interviews took place in June 2013 on two different days in the artists’ studio. Participants first answered a pre-questionnaire about their profile and experience. We then invited them to speak about their current or recent projects. We asked them to go through the whole creative process for each of their project, focusing on the materials and tools that they use, both traditional and digital, hardware or software. We also encouraged them to show their drawings on either paper or the computer and demonstrate the techniques that they used through concrete examples from their work. Each session lasted 30 to 50 minutes. All sessions were videotaped.

Results
The Lifecycle of an Illustration Project
A regular illustration project consists of the following stages:

Task Assignment. An editor or art director contacts the artist and provides the details of the project. The illustrator can then negotiate the price and the time constraints proposed by the client. The art director usually provides precise instructions about the size and placement of the illustrations on a page.

However, the level of detail can vary significantly among different projects. For example, C talked about her experience with a book. She mentioned that she found this project hard, as she was not given enough information at the beginning, and she then spent a lot of time iterating with the editor.

Sketches. The illustrator starts by exploring and sketching ideas, which finally take the form of rough concept sketches. For smaller projects, the artist usually produces more than one idea for each illustration. Sketches are communicated to the art director. The art director selects one of the proposed ideas, makes suggestions and sometimes asks for changes.

Detailed Drawings. The artist works on the detailed drawing by using the selected sketch as a reference. This is the most time-consuming phase as the artist pays attention on the details and the artistic quality of the result.

Colors and Refinements. Colors and textures are usually added at the very end of the process. Again, the illustrator iterates with the art director, who can again ask for small changes or refinements.

A project can consist of a single or a small number of illustrations, e.g., for a newspaper article. The artist is often asked to complete the task in very limited time, sometimes within the same or the following day. Thus, being able to produce results fast is important for illustrators. Larger projects can take several weeks or several months, where the artist may have to illustrate an entire book.

Looking for Sources of Inspiration
Before starting a project, all our participants search for documentation related to the subject. If the project is a book, a graphic novel or an article, they first read the text that is provided by the publisher so as to extract important information about the context of the project. They regularly search images and videos on the Web. For large projects, they often buy and read related books or create folders with all the material they gather. C explained that she even visits specific places for her projects and takes photos and draws the scenery and related objects. She then sticks this material around her desk to recreate the atmosphere needed to get inspired while working. E often looks for inspiration in films of specific directors.

Drawing on Paper vs. Drawing on the Computer
Over the years, illustrators have developed their personal artistic styles that differentiate them from other artists. An editor or art director contacts an illustrator knowing his or her previous work and expecting a good match between the proposed project and a specific work style of the artist. Yet, our participants explained that their styles evolve from one project to the next. For example, E mentioned that he likes "changing techniques for every new project" and added: "If I see something that I like, I want to try it". The medium plays an important role in the development of an artistic style, and interestingly, we observed very diverse working practices, where traditional (e.g., paper, pencils, ink-drawing pens) and digital tools (e.g., graphics tablet, scanner, printer, Adobe Photoshop) are often intermixed.
Keeping the Real Original. M completes all his drawings on paper and moves to a graphics tablet and Photoshop only to add colors. He explained that he wants to keep the “real original” and “preserve the paper and manual aspect” of his work so he usually goes back to paper to make corrections by erasing and “retouching” the drawing with his pencils. According to the artist, this process is more time consuming than making changes directly in Photoshop as it requires additional scanning. However, he prefers it as it ensures that there is a “true correspondence” between the original drawing on paper and its digital version.

Mixing Sketches on Paper. S exhibited a number of different working styles but explained that she usually starts by sketching on paper. Her sketches often evolve in multiple steps. Rough sketches help her develop and think about ideas while more developed sketches communicate detailed ideas. They also serve as scaffolds for drawing the final picture. She gave an interesting example from her ongoing work for a book for children that demonstrates this evolution of sketches. She starts with a rough ideation sketch and then moves to a more detailed but small-scale sketch. She then scans this sketch and prints it in a larger scale corresponding to the target illustration size. She creates a more detailed sketch on top of that, which then serves as the model for drawing the final picture. She uses pencil for her sketches and an ink pen for the final polished drawing. As a final step, she scans the final drawing and adds colors in Photoshop with the graphics tablet. She also uses the tablet to make small corrections.

S also explained that she also frequently uses extra pieces of paper to draw specific parts of a picture, e.g., the face of a character (Figure 2), in more detail and then merge them with the rest of the scene on separate layers on the computer. We see that in contrast to M, S is only concerned about the final digital version sent to the editor, not the original on paper, which in some cases consists of several different pieces. The light table has a prominent role in this process, as it allows the artist to incrementally move from lower to higher-fidelity drawings. Light tables are viewing devices that evenly illuminate a subject, e.g., an early sketch, from below by emitting fluorescent light. Artists use light tables to trace their final images on new pages. Figure 1a shows S drawing the final panels of a graphic novel on a home-made light table.

Sketching on Paper vs. Detailed Drawing on the Computer. In addition to the above method, S often uses the graphics tablet to work on the final version of a drawing, where the scanned sketches appear in a separate Photoshop layer in transparency. This is a common approach that simulates physical drawing over sketches with a light table. S explained that each method produces a different artistic style. She liked more her illustrations produced with a pencil or an ink pen on paper. However, some clients contacted her for her illustrations created with the graphics tablet.

Sketching with the Graphics Tablet. In contrast, C always uses a graphics tablet for sketching. She explained that she can sketch very fast with the tablet as the digital tool allows her to erase easily, copy-paste and quickly explore ideas. In her usual workflow, she exclusively uses the tablet for the whole creative process, from sketching to the very final step. Nevertheless, she expressed the desire to return more often to the traditional drawing method on paper and presented an example from her previous work for a book where she mixed both digital and physical drawing. For this project, she completed all her sketches in Photoshop. She then printed them on paper and used a light table to draw the detailed illustrations with an ink pen. She then scanned the final drawing and added colors in Photoshop. C explained that the ink pen allowed her to draw fast without paying too much attention on the details. The graphics tablet imposes a different working style, where the artist has to frequently erase and zoom in to refine the drawing or draw additional details.

Working with Masses on Photoshop. Our fourth participant, E, usually creates his sketches on the computer. However, he demonstrated a variety of different styles. He showed his project for a book cover, where he used “masses” (i.e., black volumes) rather than contours to draw in Photoshop. The technique allowed him to define detailed forms incrementally by drawing volumes and then sculpturing them with the eraser tool. E was very effective with this technique and reused it in several projects both for quick sketches and final illustrations.
Printing Sketches in Blue. For another comic-book project, the artist started by creating his sketches on the computer with the “masses” technique. He then printed the sketches on paper in a light blue color and used them as templates to draw the detailed contours with the ink pen. The scanner filtered out the blue ink of the printed sketches but preserved the black ink of the pen. This technique replaces the light table: “I cannot support the lamp on my eyes”. As a final step, E used Photoshop to add volume and color.

Summary
All our four participants have experience with both traditional and digital drawing tools. However, we identified a variety of different ways in which they use these tools in their projects. Sometimes, almost all the work is done on paper. For other projects, paper is never used. In other scenarios, artists switch from paper to software but also from software to paper (see Figure 4) in different variations. Which strategy to choose each time depends on the artistic style that the artist wants to achieve, the quality of the expected result, as well as the drawing speed that each approach affords. These observations are in support of previous approaches [21] that enhance artists’ workflow without affecting their artistic styles.

We also found that projects evolve at multiple stages where early sketches and drawings serve as reference and templates for higher-fidelity ones. Artists use either physical layers on top of a light table or virtual ones in computer software to make copies of their images and draw on top of them new versions. Finally, we discovered that although artists develop very personal artistic styles during the years, most of them are still very open to novel technologies and continuously look for new tools and techniques.

LONGER-TERM OBSERVATIONS AND CONCEPT
We continued working with S and have observed the evolution of her work practices during the last two years. We can identify two major milestones in her personal work during this period, very related to the focus of our research.

Six months after our initial interview, the illustrator acquired a 13HD Wacom Cintiq, which replaced her graphics tablet and became her primary computer drawing device. In addition, she started adopting the same strategy as C and E, producing most of her early sketches on the computer. According to the artist, this approach helped her to significantly improve her productivity. Yet, she kept drawing on paper for most of her projects.

Her major project during this time was the illustration of an 175 page graphic novel in collaboration with a writer. The project was funded by a large publisher and was subject to two major constraints:

1. The artist had eight months to complete all the sequences in the book. As she worked on other projects in parallel, this deadline was not easy to meet.

2. The artist was required to draw all the images with a pencil and rarely use color on selected images. Unfortunately, producing high-quality images with this style was very time consuming for her.

She experimented with various solutions to accelerate production while struggling to preserve the quality of her final images. She ended up developing an interesting workflow, which eventually became the basis of our system design.

Workflow
Comic-book artists often draw their panels in a scale larger than the size of the printed pages. This gives them more precision in drawing details. However, drawing larger images takes more time. S tried to balance between drawing precision and speed by splitting the image on multiple layers where drawing takes place at different scales. For example, she drew the global scene at a smaller scale and completed details such as faces of people at a larger scale. This approach is similar to the one shown in Figure 2 but involves additional steps:

Step 1: The artist scans the initial drawing, adjusts its size on the computer, and prints it at larger scale.

Step 2: She draws details on a different page. She works on the light table by using the printed page as reference.

Step 3: She scans the new page and blends the partial drawings together with Adobe Photoshop.

Although efficient according to the given time and style constraints, this process required significant manual effort as the artist had to scan and print her drawings several times in order to switch between paper and electronic versions of her work.

The above drawing technique forms the basis of our design concept whose goal is to enable the artist to work seamlessly at different scales on paper through multiple physical layers. To achieve this goal, we had to leverage the best of the two worlds, traditional and digital, and to augment paper with functionalities, e.g., copy, paste, scale, and superimpose, that are only available in computer drawing software. The next section introduces BricoSketch, which realizes this approach.

BRICO SKETCH
The name of the system (bricolage + sketch) is inspired by the observation that professional illustration is not a straightforward process. Artists often invent new techniques for their projects. Such techniques involve not only sketching and drawing but, sometimes, a lot of engineering, scaffolding, and remixing. BricoSketch extends traditional light ta-
bles by combining interactive paper technology with a front-projection augmented-reality system.

Overview
Figure 1b-d shows a scenario of use of the system that captures the workflow presented in the previous section. The artist’s workspace contains the main illustration, drawn on paper with a pencil, and a number of rectangular areas around it. These areas represent partial virtual views of the original drawing. The user creates and transposes the views interactively by moving the pencil over the drawing surface. In this specific example, the artist has created transposed views to decorate the labels of ingredient boxes. The scale of these views is larger so that the artist can draw small parts of the image with finer control and higher detail.

As shown in Figure 1, the physical ink of the pencil within a given view is blended with projected copies of ink drawn in other views. In order to produce the final illustration, we need to blend the partial images of the physical ink together. We discuss how blending can be performed manually, but we also provide computer tools to automate this process.

Hardware and Software Platform
We implemented our first prototype with Anoto paper technology but we fast start looking for a different solution. Anoto is a mature technology, allowing for the detection of the position of a pen on paper with high precision. Nevertheless, it requires the use of a very limited set of digital pens, and unfortunately, these pens have not been designed with professional illustration in mind. Wacom’s Inkling is better adapted to design and sketching tasks, but again, it does not let users draw with their own pens or pencils.

We opted for the new iSketchnote pen tracking technology. iSketchnote uses a 7.1 × 9.7 inch magnetic surface (the slate) that captures the 3D position and 3D orientation of small magnetic rings with a latency of about 50 ms. A ring can be fixed on any physical object, and thus, it can serve to detect the position of a pen’s or pencil’s tip on a piece of paper placed on the slate’s surface. iSketchnote has recently released an SDK (April 2015) that provides low level events about the 3D position of a pen moving on or over the slate within a limited distance range of about 10 cm. However, the iSketchnote SDK is still in progress and presents several problems. According to our own tests, the space of detected events is deformed, which makes calibration highly problematic. We tried to reduce deformation by applying bi-polynomial interpolation functions, but results were not satisfying. We finally tackled the problem by exhaustive 2D sampling on a 15 × 22 grid on the slate’s surface, resulting in cells with a size of 9.4 × 9.4 mm² each. We find the position of the pen within this grid and then estimate its coordinates with respect to the coordinates of the four closest samples. This solution minimizes the problem of deformation at a global scale. On the other hand, it does not deal with local deformations within individual cells of the grid.

The slate is connected with a 13 inch MacBook Pro through USB. Our application is written in Java 2D 1.8 and we use Open Sound Control to receive pen events from the native iSketchnote SDK. To reduce the noise of detected pen events, we use OpenCV’s implementation of a Kalman filter. An ACER K11 mini projector mounted 50 cm above the table displays the virtual space on paper. We apply a perspective transformation to calibrate the virtual with the physical space.

Illustrations and Transposed Views
Illustrations take a single A5 page that corresponds to the active surface of a slate. Each illustration I can consist of multiple views 

\[ V_i = T_i(I) \]

where a view can be created anywhere on the page. We define \( T_i \) as a transposition function:

\[ T_i(I) = (s_i \circ t_i)(c_i(l_i(I))) \]  

where \( l_i \) contains a subset of the illustration’s strokes as a distinct layer, \( c_i \) crops an area (rectangular in our implementation) of the illustration surface, while \( s_i \) and \( t_i \) are scale and translate transformations performed in any order.

Users can split their work between views. Partial views are then blended together to compose the full illustration:

\[ I = \bigcup_i (s_i \circ t_i)^{-1}(V_i) \]

According to the above equation, we apply an inverse scale and translate transformation to each view before blending all the views together. Views are synchronized, which means that when the user draws within the space of a view, the other views are updated by rendering the virtual image of new strokes. Users can deactivate, reactivate or delete a view.

\[ \text{http://www.anoto.com} \]
\[ \text{http://inkling.wacom.com} \]
\[ \text{http://www.isketchnote.com} \]
\[ \text{http://www.opensoundcontrol.com} \]
They can also nest views by creating new ones over existing ones. These functionalities allow for great flexibility as they let users create alternative versions of different parts of an illustration by working at multiple scales.

**Interaction Design**

**Drawing vs. Command Layer of Interaction**

Traditional pens and pencils leave a permanent trace on paper, which constrains interaction design. For example, moving the pen on paper to make a selection and create a new view is not desirable. Our initial Anoto version of BricoSketch avoided this problem by making use of additional inkless pens dedicated to interaction. Other approaches deal with the problem by introducing tiny command gestures that leave minimal trace on paper [26] or using a transparent ink-resistant piece of plastic underneath the pen to avoid writing on paper [25].

Our final design follows PenLight’s [23] approach. More specifically, we distinguish between a command and a physical layer of interaction, where the command layer takes place above the drawing surface. The artist uses the same pen both for drawing, when the pen touches the page, and for carrying out complementary tasks, e.g., creating a transposed view, by interacting over the page (see Figure 5). PenLight uses Anoto technology to detect the 2D position of the pen on paper but also enhances Anoto pens with a Polhemus magnetic tracker that captures their 3D position. In contrast, we take advantage of iSketchnote’s ability to capture 3D pen events above the slate’s surface.

Note that the iSketchnote slate has been designed for indirect only input. Deber et al. [4] showed recently that humans perceive lower latencies with direct input that are considerably lower than the minimum latency (50 ms) of the slate. This could be considered as an obstacle to high-quality drawing. In our case, however, the latency problem does not affect the quality of the drawing as artists draw directly on paper with traditional zero-latency tools. Latency is only an issue for virtual copies of the ink shown in views other than the current view and for user actions taking place above the slate.

**Handling Interaction Modes**

Related work supports interaction on paper by means of contextual toolglass palettes and menus [23, 24] or touch sensitive devices used in combination with the pen [25]. Although our approach does not exclude such solutions, we decided to concentrate on expert use of the system and base our interaction design on the use of command shortcuts. We have observed that illustrators make heavy use of both hands as they work on the computer, where the non-dominant hand controls command shortcuts and drawing modes. Graphics tablets and pen displays like Wacom Cintiq are equipped with hard buttons to be used as control keys. However, several artists still prefer using the shortcuts of the keyboard. As S explained, the number of the hard buttons in these devices is very limited and cannot cover the diverse range of functionality required by common illustration tasks. In line with such practices, we rely on key shortcuts to support interaction.

We avoid persistent modes by making use of *quasi-modes*. The state diagram in Figure 6 describes our interaction design. To enter the command mode (S1), the user presses and holds the Command (Cmd) key. This action displays a pointer. The user can move the pen or pencil above the page to control the position of the pointer and select a view. As shown in Figure 5, the pointer appears left to the tip of the pencil to avoid occlusion. The user can then hold an additional key to activate specialized tools: Cmd-C to activate a selection tool (S2) and select a rectangular area in the current view to transpose, Cmd-V to activate a transposition tool (S3) and create a new view by copying the selected area to a new position, and Cmd-V to activate a scaling tool (S4) to change the size of the view.

Figure 5 illustrates the use of the transposition and the scaling tool. In addition to the above functions, users can deactivate or re-activate selected views (Cmd-A), delete them (Cmd-D), and save their drawing sessions (Cmd-S).

**Blending Transposed Views Together**

The final illustration can be created from its partial views by first scanning the page and then aligning the drawn images with specialized image-processing software. For example, the user can perform this task in Adobe Photoshop by following three main steps: (1) select an area of interest, (2) copy and then paste it on a new layer by using the *multiply* blend mode, and (3) use the transformation tool to align it with the image of other layers.

We built a Java 1.8 Swing tool (see Figure 7b-c) to automate the above process. As shown in Figures 1b and 7a, pages contain a red rectangular frame. This printed frame must be aligned with a virtual frame projected on paper. This allows our tool to automatically calculate the exact correspondence between the virtual workspace and the scanned illustration. We use the OpenCV library to detect the red frame of the page and, then based on its boundaries, apply a perspective correction to the image. Users import their saved session containing the detailed description of all the view transpositions. The tool uses this description to automatically crop the image into separate images, where each represents a user-defined view of the illustration. The images are then blended together according to Equation 2. Our tool currently preserves the strokes of all blended views. As we discuss later, future implementations should consider additional blending techniques.
EARLY USER FEEDBACK
We ran two drawing sessions with S to test the system and get early feedback about our design.

Procedure
The sessions took place in two consecutive days. The first session lasted approximately 40 minutes. We introduced the system and asked the artist to explore its functionality. We discussed and updated our command shortcuts with her and selected a pen and a pencil to use in the second session.

The second session was approximately 1-hour long. We asked the artist to draw whatever she liked without giving further instructions. We also asked her to explain her strategies and report on any problems she found or ideas about features that she would like to see in future versions of the system.

Results
The artist worked on two pages, each for a different illustration. She used a black pen for the first page and a pencil for the second one. Figure 7 shows her first page that illustrates a woman diving under water. It consists of a main view with the global scene and several transposed views that zoom into smaller parts of the scene: the head of the woman, a fish, an urchin, a starfish, and the eyes of the woman. The artist created the views to draw the above elements with finer detail.

Figure 7c shows how our Java tool automatically blends the detailed head of the diver with the global scene. The result is certainly not optimal. The reason is that our current implementation only deals with situations in which the artist creates a view to add new strokes. In other words, it blends the pixels of the views to preserve the full set of their strokes. In the above example, however, the artist has drawn a completely new version. Figure 7d shows a better result achieved by manually blending the views using Photoshop.

Figure 8. The artist explores different uses of the user interface. Here, she creates multiple copies of the starfish and places them in different positions in the scene. According to our participant, these copies could be integrated into the final scene or, alternatively, they could serve as templates for drawing new variations of the starfish.
Finally, the artist found that the system is an excellent tool for exploring ideas and trying out alternative versions of drawings. She also proposed some new scenarios. Figure 8 shows her using the tool to create multiple copies of a starfish. She explained that such copies can be used to quickly test ideas by reusing parts of her drawings directly on paper. Alternatively, as she proposed, copies can serve as templates for drawing new variations. For example, in a sequence of panels for a comic strip, the artist could copy the face of a character and use it as model to draw its variations in other panels.

DISCUSSION AND FUTURE DIRECTIONS

We showed how BricoSketch can support real tasks and needs of a professional artist. We acknowledge that this is a preliminary study and that we need a larger group of experts to validate our approach. Our future goal is to evaluate BricoSketch with additional artists and longer projects. We are especially interested in capturing additional illustration tasks such as coloring, adding handwritten captions, and creating animated sequences [15, 21].

According to our current implementation, an illustration can be created from its partial pieces by using either our custom desktop tool or image-editing software like Photoshop. Our blending mechanisms are still primitive and lack the breadth of image-blending and image-processing algorithms of commercial software. Our plan is to replace it by a Photoshop plugin that automates the merging of the views and, at the same time, allows users to take advantage of the software’s powerful functionality. Another idea is to move some of this functionality directly on paper. As an example scenario, an artist could test the result of alternative blend modes while she draws. The artist could also add filters and effects or experiment with colors, which, as our interviews suggest, are often added with software. We believe that this approach can help the artist preview the outcome of her work earlier in the process and make faster decisions about alternatives.

We learnt from our interviews that illustrators often make use of photographs, films or even sceneries and physical objects not only for ideas and inspiration but also as direct support for their drawings. Famous illustrators like Norman Rockwell are well known to make extensive use of model photographs in their work. Software tools allow artists to reuse material like photographs in new creative ways. Figure 9 shows a representative example from the previous work of our participant (S). The artists here has created several layers in her workspace to mix sketches with photographs that serve as models. More specifically, she has created a collage of banknotes such that she can depict them with more realistic details. We are interested in letting users develop similar strategies when they draw on paper. The current version of BricoSketch does allow for such functionality, so we plan to provide support for virtual layers that accept photographs and videos in addition to sketches.

CONCLUSION

We conducted interviews with four professional illustrators and investigated how they use technology and paper in their creative process. We also studied the evolution of the work of one of these illustrators for a period of two years. In interaction with her, we designed BricoSketch, an augmented-reality system for creating illustrations on paper by working at different scales. BricoSketch enables illustrators to interactively create partial views of their drawings. Such views can be transposed and rescaled. Artists can then use them to create variations of their illustrations or add details with higher drawing precision. Our implementation is based on interactive paper technology that allows for above-the-surface interaction and supports traditional drawing tools such as common pens and pencils. We discussed how BricoSketch supports a more effective transition between paper and computer tools. Early feedback from an artist suggests that our approach can support real user needs in new creative ways.
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