

Affine-ruled varieties without the Laurent cancellation property

Adrien Dubouloz, Pierre-Marie Poloni

▶ To cite this version:

Adrien Dubouloz, Pierre-Marie Poloni. Affine-ruled varieties without the Laurent cancellation property. Bulletin of the London Mathematical Society, 2016, 48 (5), pp.822-834. 10.1112/blms/bdw045. hal-01205277

HAL Id: hal-01205277

https://hal.science/hal-01205277

Submitted on 25 Sep 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

AFFINE-RULED VARIETIES WITHOUT THE LAURENT CANCELLATION PROPERTY

ADRIEN DUBOULOZ AND PIERRE-MARIE POLONI

ABSTRACT. We describe a method to construct hypersurfaces of the complex affine n-space with isomorphic \mathbb{C}^* -cylinders. Among these hypersurfaces, we find new explicit counterexamples to the Laurent Cancellation Problem, i.e. hypersurfaces that are nonisomorphic, although their \mathbb{C}^* -cylinders are isomorphic as abstract algebraic varieties. We also provide examples of nonisomorphic varieties X and Y with isomorphic cartesian squares $X \times X$ and $Y \times Y$.

Introduction

In this paper, we consider the following cancellation problem:

Laurent Cancellation Problem. Suppose that the \mathbb{C}^* -cylinders $X \times \mathbb{C}^*$ and $Y \times \mathbb{C}^*$ over two complex affine varieties X and Y are isomorphic. Does it follow that X and Y are isomorphic as abstract algebraic varieties?

This question can of course be equivalently reformulated as the question of the uniqueness of the coefficient ring in a Laurent polynomial ring. Indeed, if we let $X = \operatorname{Spec}(A)$ and $Y = \operatorname{Spec}(B)$ for some finitely generated complex algebras, then the Laurent Cancellation Problem simply asks whether having isomorphic Laurent polynomial rings $A[t, t^{-1}] \simeq B[t, t^{-1}]$ implies that A and B are isomorphic themselves.

The answer is known to be positive in many cases. First of all, it is easy to see that tori have the Laurent Cancellation property, i.e. that the Laurent Cancellation Problem has a positive answer, when X is isomorphic to an algebraic torus $(\mathbb{C}^*)^d$ (see e.g. Lemma 4.5 in [1]). Then, Gene Freudenburg [5] has proved that affine curves (i.e. complex affine algebraic varieties X of dimension 1) have also the Laurent Cancellation property. Moreover, using ideas similar to that for Iitaka and Fujita's strong cancellation theorem [7], the first author provided in [3] an affirmative answer for large classes of varieties. In particular, Laurent Cancellation does hold if X is of log-general type [3, Proposition 2] or if X is a smooth factorial affine surface with logarithmic Kodaira dimension different from 1 (see [3, Proposition 12]). On the other hand, counterexamples were given in [3] in the form of pairs of nonisomorphic smooth factorial affine varieties X and Y of dimension $d \geq 2$ and logarithmic Kodaira dimension d - 1 such that $X \times \mathbb{C}^*$ and $Y \times \mathbb{C}^*$ are isomorphic [3, Propositions 6 and 9].

The main purpose of the present paper is to provide a general method to construct explicit counterexamples to the Laurent Cancellation Problem. All these examples will be realized as hypersurfaces of affine space \mathbb{A}^{n+1} that are defined by an equation of the form $t^{\ell}f(x_1,\ldots,x_n)=1$, where f is a regular function which is semi-invariant for some action of the algebraic multiplicative group \mathbb{G}_m on $\mathbb{A}^n = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[x_1,\ldots,x_n])$. We will show that some of the examples in [3] can actually be reinterpreted as being particular cases of our construction, and will also obtain new examples of varieties that fail the Laurent Cancellation property, notably affine algebraic varieties of negative logarithmic Kodaira dimension.

As a byproduct of our construction, we will also get explicit examples illustrating the following result.

Theorem. There exist smooth affine algebraic varieties (of every dimension $d \ge 2$) X and Y which are nonisomorphic, although their cartesian product with themselves, $X \times X$ and $Y \times Y$, are isomorphic.

The paper is organized as follows:

In the first section, we study hypersurfaces $\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell}$ of \mathbb{C}^{n+1} that are defined by the equation $t^{\ell}f = 1$, where $f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ is a polynomial which is semi-invariant for an effective algebraic action of \mathbb{C}^* on \mathbb{C}^n . We

 $^{2010\} Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification.\ 14R05,\ 14R25,\ 14L30.$

Key words and phrases. Laurent Cancellation Problem; hypersurfaces; affine-ruled varieties.

This research was supported in part by the ANR Grant BirPol ANR-11-JS01-004-01. The second author was supported by the Universität Basel Forschungsfonds.

establish sufficient conditions under which the \mathbb{C}^* -cylinders $\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell} \times \mathbb{C}^*$ and $\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell'} \times \mathbb{C}^*$ are isomorphic. We also develop a general strategy to prove that two given $\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell}$ and $\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell'}$ are not isomorphic.

The second section is devoted to the case of surfaces $X_{f,\ell}$ where $f \in \mathbb{C}[x,y]$ is of the form $f = x^p + y^q$. Our main result is the following.

Theorem. Let $p \geq 2$ be a prime number, let $q \geq 3$ be an integer relatively prime with p and let $\ell \geq 2$ be relatively prime with m = pq. Then, the smooth factorial affine surfaces of respective equation $t(x^p + y^q) = 1$ and $t^{\ell}(x^p + y^q) = 1$ are not isomorphic, although they have isomorphic \mathbb{C}^* -cylinders.

In Section 3, we focus on the case of varieties of negative logarithmic Kodaira dimension. We first improve a result of [3] by showing that Laurent Cancellation does hold for all smooth affine surfaces of negative Kodaira dimension, and then construct explicit higher dimensional examples that fail Laurent Cancellation.

Finally, examples of nonisomorphic affine varieties with isomorphic squares are given in Section 4.

Acknowledgments. We are grateful to Jérémy Blanc and Jean-Philippe Furter for their helpful suggestions about Proposition 23.

1. Semi-invariants of \mathbb{G}_m -actions and \mathbb{A}^1_* -cylinders

Unless otherwise specified, we will work over the field \mathbb{C} of complex numbers. We will denote by $\mathbb{A}^n = \mathbb{A}^n = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n])$ the affine *n*-space and by $\mathbb{A}^1 = \mathbb{A}^1 \setminus \{0\} = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}])$ the affine line minus the origin.

1.1. Isotrivial fibrations associated to semi-invariant regular functions. Let X be a complex affine variety endowed with an effective action $\mu: \mathbb{G}_m \times X \to X$ of the multiplicative group $\mathbb{G}_m = \mathbb{G}_{m,\mathbb{C}} = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}])$. Its coordinate ring $A = \mathcal{O}(X)$ is then equipped with a natural \mathbb{Z} -grading by the subspaces $A_m = \{f \in A, f(\mu(t,x)) = t^m f(x) \forall x \in X\}$ of semi-invariants of weight $m \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Notation 1. Given a semi-invariant f of weight $m \neq 0$, we denote by X_f the principal open subset of X where f does not vanish and, for every $\ell \geq 1$, by $\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell}$ the closed subvariety of $X \times \mathbb{G}_m = \operatorname{Spec}(A[t^{\pm 1}])$ defined by the equation $t^{\ell}f = 1$. Note that $\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell}$ can also be seen as the closed subvariety of $X \times \mathbb{A}^1$ given by the same equation $t^{\ell}f = 1$.

The restriction to $\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell}$ of the first projection pr_X is an étale Galois cover $\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell} \to X_f \simeq \widetilde{X}_{f,1}$ with Galois group \mathbb{Z}_ℓ . On the other hand, the second projection $\operatorname{pr}_{\mathbb{G}_m}$ restricts on $\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell}$ to an isotrivial fibration $\rho_{f,\ell}:\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell}\to\mathbb{G}_m$ with fiber $F=f^{-1}(1)=\operatorname{Spec}(A/(f-1))$, which becomes trivial after the finite étale base change $\psi:C=\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[v^{\pm 1}])\to\mathbb{G}_m, v\mapsto v^m$. Indeed, since f is a semi-invariant of weight m, the morphism

$$F \times C \to \widetilde{X}_{f,\ell} \times_{\mathbb{G}_m} C, \ (x,v) \mapsto ((\mu(v^{-\ell},x),v^m),v)$$

is an isomorphism of schemes over C.

Proposition 2. With the notation above, the following hold:

- (1) If ℓ' is congruent to ℓ or $-\ell$ modulo m, then the fibrations $\rho_{f,\ell}: \widetilde{X}_{f,\ell} \to \mathbb{G}_m$ and $\rho_{f,\ell'}: \widetilde{X}_{f,\ell'} \to \mathbb{G}_m$ are isomorphic up to an automorphism of \mathbb{G}_m .
- (2) If the residue classes modulo m of ℓ and ℓ' generate the same subgroup of \mathbb{Z}_m , i.e. if $\gcd(\ell, m) = \gcd(\ell', m)$, then $\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell} \times \mathbb{A}^1_*$ and $\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell'} \times \mathbb{A}^1_*$ are isomorphic as abstract algebraic varieties.

Proof. Indeed, if $\ell = \pm \ell' + km$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, then the morphism $\Phi : \widetilde{X}_{f,\ell} \to \widetilde{X}_{f,\ell'}$, $(x,t) \mapsto (\mu(t^k,x), t^{\pm 1})$ is an isomorphism for which we have a commutative diagram

$$\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell} \xrightarrow{\Phi} \widetilde{X}_{f,\ell'}
\rho_{f,\ell} \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \rho_{f,\ell'}
\mathbb{G}_m \xrightarrow{t \mapsto t^{\pm 1}} \mathbb{G}_m.$$

For the second assertion, let us identify $\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell} \times \mathbb{A}^1_*$ and $\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell'} \times \mathbb{A}^1_*$ with the closed subvarieties of $X \times (\mathbb{A}^1_*)^2 = \operatorname{Spec}(A[t^{\pm 1}, u^{\pm 1}])$ defined by the equations $t^\ell f - 1 = 0$ and $t^{\ell'} f - 1 = 0$, respectively. Let $d = \gcd(\ell, m) = 0$

 $\gcd(\ell',m)$. Then, there exist integers $a,b\in\mathbb{Z}$ satisfying $\ell'=a\ell+bm$ such that a is coprime with $\frac{m}{d}$. This guarantees in turn the existence of a matrix

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & \frac{m}{d} \\ \alpha & \beta \end{pmatrix} \in \mathrm{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$$

corresponding to an automorphism $\sigma(t,u)=(t^au^{m/d},t^\alpha u^\beta)$ of the torus $(\mathbb{A}^1_*)^2=\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1},u^{\pm 1}])$. Finally, a straightforward computation shows that the automorphism of $X\times(\mathbb{A}^1_*)^2$ defined by $(x,t,u)\mapsto (\mu(t^bu^{-\ell/d},x),\sigma(t,u))$ maps $\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell'}\times\mathbb{A}^1_*$ isomorphically onto $\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell}\times\mathbb{A}^1_*$.

Remark 3. Note that the isomorphism constructed in the proof of Proposition 2 does not preserve the induced isotrivial fibration $\rho_{f,\ell} \circ \operatorname{pr}_1 : \widetilde{X}_{f,\ell} \times \mathbb{A}^1_* \to \mathbb{G}_m$ with fiber $F \times \mathbb{A}^1_*$.

In particular, observe that Proposition 2 implies that if f is a semi-invariant regular function of weight $m \neq 0$, then the varieties $\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell} \times \mathbb{A}^1_*$ are isomorphic to $\widetilde{X}_{f,1} \times \mathbb{A}^1_* = X_f \times \mathbb{A}^1_*$ for all integers $\ell \geq 1$ relatively prime with m. Let us list some consequences of this observation.

Corollary 4. Suppose that the variety X_f is not \mathbb{A}^1_* -uniruled (for instance, that X_f is smooth of log-general type). Then for all $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ relatively prime with m the varieties $\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell}$ are isomorphic.

Proof. Indeed, by a strong cancellation theorem due to Iitaka and Fujita [7] (see also [3]), every isomorphism between $\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell} \times \mathbb{A}^1_*$ and $\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell'} \times \mathbb{A}^1_*$ descends to an isomorphism between $\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell}$ and $\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell'}$.

Corollary 5. Suppose that X_f is a smooth factorial affine surface of logarithmic Kodaira dimension $\kappa(X_f)$ different from 1. Then the surfaces $\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell}$ are isomorphic for all $\ell \geq 1$ relatively prime with m.

Proof. Since $\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell} \times \mathbb{A}^1_*$ is isomorphic to $X_f \times \mathbb{A}^1_*$ for all $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ relatively prime with m, we deduce that $\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell}$ is smooth, factorial, of the same Kodaira dimension as X_f . So the assertion follows from Proposition 12 in [3] which asserts that \mathbb{A}^1_* -cancellation holds for smooth factorial affine surfaces of logarithmic Kodaira dimension different from 1.

1.2. Semi-invariant hypersurfaces of affine spaces. In this subsection, we consider more specifically the case of principal open subsets $X_f \subset \mathbb{A}^n$ associated to semi-invariant polynomials $f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. As a third application of Proposition 2, we determine the groups $\mathcal{O}(\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell})^*$ of invertible regular functions on the varieties $\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell}$ when f is irreducible and ℓ is coprime with m.

Lemma 6. Let $n, m, \ell \geq 1$ be integers, let $f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be semi-invariant of weight $m \geq 1$ under an effective regular action of the multiplicative group \mathbb{G}_m on $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ and let $\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell} \subset \mathbb{A}^n \times \mathbb{A}^1_* = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n][t^{\pm 1}])$ be the hypersurface defined by the equation $t^{\ell}f = 1$. If f is irreducible and ℓ and m are coprime, then

$$\mathcal{O}(\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell})^* = \{\lambda t^i \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*, i \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

Proof. First note that since the polynomial $f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$ is irreducible, we have

$$\mathcal{O}(\widetilde{X}_{f,1})^* = (\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(tf-1))^{\times} = \{\lambda t^i \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*, i \in \mathbb{Z}\} \simeq \mathbb{C}^* \cdot \mathbb{Z}.$$

By Proposition 2, if ℓ is coprime with m, then the varieties $\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell} \times \mathbb{A}^1_*$ and $\widetilde{X}_{f,1} \times \mathbb{A}^1_*$ are isomorphic and have thus isomorphic unit groups. On the other hand, denoting $\mathcal{O}(X \times \mathbb{A}^1_*) = \mathcal{O}(X)[u, u^{-1}]$, we have that $\mathcal{O}(X \times \mathbb{A}^1_*)^* = \{a \cdot u^i \mid a \in \mathcal{O}(X)^*, i \in \mathbb{Z}\} \simeq \mathcal{O}(X)^* \cdot \mathbb{Z}$ for every affine algebraic variety X. Therefore, we get that $\mathcal{O}(\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell} \times \mathbb{A}^1_*)^*/\mathbb{C}^* \simeq \mathcal{O}(\widetilde{X}_{f,1} \times \mathbb{A}^1_*)^*/\mathbb{C}^* \simeq \mathbb{Z}^2$ if ℓ is coprime with m, and the lemma follows. \square

Remark 7. We believe that one can drop the hypothesis $gcd(m, \ell) = 1$ in the previous lemma and that the equality $\mathcal{O}(\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell})^* = \{\lambda t^i \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{C}^*, i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ holds for all $\ell \geq 1$. Nevertheless, this seems to be a difficult question (see e.g. Conjecture 2.9 in [4]).

The previous lemma turns out to be a useful tool to decide when a variety $\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell}$ is nonisomorphic to $\widetilde{X}_{f,1}$, since it allows us to reduce the problem to the study of the generic fibers of the projections $\rho_{f,\ell}:\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell}\to\mathbb{A}^1_*$ for all ℓ coprime with m. Namely, we have the following result:

Proposition 8. Let $f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ be irreducible and semi-invariant of weight $m \geq 1$ under an effective regular action μ of the multiplicative group \mathbb{G}_m on $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$. Let $\mathbf{k} = \mathbb{C}(t)$ and denote, for every $\ell \geq 1$, by Y_ℓ the closed subvariety of $\mathbb{A}^n_{\mathbf{k}}$ defined by the equation $f = t^{-\ell}$. Suppose that the varieties $\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell}$ and $\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell'}$ are isomorphic for some integers ℓ, ℓ' both coprime with m. Let further $\ell'' \geq 1$ be congruent to $-\ell'$ modulo m. Then, Y_ℓ is isomorphic to $Y_{\ell'}$ or to $Y_{\ell''}$.

Proof. By Lemma 6, the groups $\mathcal{O}(\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell})^*/\mathbb{C}^*$ and $\mathcal{O}(\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell'})^*/\mathbb{C}^*$ are both isomorphic to \mathbb{Z} , generated by the image of t. So every isomorphism $\Phi: \widetilde{X}_{f,\ell} \to \widetilde{X}_{f,\ell'}$ induces an automorphism φ of $\mathbb{A}^1_* = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}])$ of the form $t \mapsto at^{\pm 1}$ for some $a \in \mathbb{C}^*$. Composing Φ with the automorphism of $\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell}$ defined by $(x,t) \mapsto (\mu(a^{\ell/m},x),a^{-1}t)$, where $a^{\ell/m}$ denotes a m-th root of a^{ℓ} , we get an isomorphism $\Phi_2: \widetilde{X}_{f,\ell} \to \widetilde{X}_{f,\ell'}$ which fits into a commutative diagram

$$\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell} \xrightarrow{\Phi_2} \widetilde{X}_{f,\ell'} \\
\rho_{f,\ell} \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \rho_{f,\ell'} \\
\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]) \xrightarrow{\varphi_2: t \mapsto t^{\pm 1}} \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]).$$

If $\varphi_2(t) = t$, then we get an isomorphism between the generic fibers of $\rho_{f,\ell}$ and $\rho_{f,\ell'}$, which are isomorphic to Y_ℓ and $Y_{\ell'}$, respectively. If $\varphi_2(t) = t^{-1}$, then composing Φ_2 further with the isomorphism between $\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell'}$ and $\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell''}$ defined by $(x,t) \mapsto (\mu(t^q,x),t^{-1})$, where $q \geq 1$ satisfies the equality $\ell'' = -\ell' + qm$, we get an isomorphism $\Phi_3: \widetilde{X}_{f,\ell'} \to \widetilde{X}_{f,\ell''}$ which fits into a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \widetilde{X}_{f,\ell} & \stackrel{\Phi_3}{-\!\!\!-\!\!\!-\!\!\!-\!\!\!-\!\!\!-\!\!\!-} & \widetilde{X}_{f,\ell''} \\ & & & & \downarrow \rho_{f,\ell''} \\ \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]) & \stackrel{t\mapsto t}{-\!\!\!\!-\!\!\!\!-\!\!\!\!-} & \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}]). \end{array}$$

This concludes the proof, since the above diagram implies that Y_{ℓ} and $Y_{\ell''}$ are isomorphic.

2. FACTORIAL AFFINE SURFACES FAILING LAURENT CANCELLATION

As a first application of the previous techniques, we present new explicit examples of factorial surfaces failing Laurent cancellation. They are all realized as hypersurfaces $\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell}$ for irreducible polynomials $f \in \mathbb{C}[x,y]$ which are semi-invariant under a faithful linear \mathbb{G}_m -action on $\mathbb{A}^2 = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[x,y])$ with positive weights. More precisely, given positive integers $p, q \geq 1$, we consider the polynomial $f = x^p + y^q$ which is semi-invariant of weight m = pq under the action $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \times \mathbb{A}^2 \to \mathbb{A}^2$ defined by $\mu(\lambda, (x, y)) = (\lambda^q x, \lambda^p y)$.

Note that if p or q is equal to 1, then the complements X_f of the zero loci of polynomials f are isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^1 \times \mathbb{A}^1_*$, and so are all the associated surfaces $\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell}$, $\ell \in \{1,\ldots,m\}$. Therefore, let p,q be both greater than 1, and relatively prime. Then X_f is isomorphic to the product of the smooth affine Fermat type curve $C = \{x^p + y^q = 1\} \subset \mathbb{A}^2$ with \mathbb{A}^1_* . Recall that a smooth projective model \overline{C} of C has genus $g(\overline{C}) = \frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{2} > 0$. Consequently, the logarithmic Kodaira dimension of $\widetilde{X}_{f,1} \simeq X_f$ is equal to 1. Since the logarithmic Kodaira dimension is invariant under finite étale covers, all associated surfaces $\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell}$ are also of logarithmic Kodaira dimension 1.

All surfaces X_f obtained in this way are factorial and the associated surfaces $\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell}$ are \mathbb{A}^1_* -uniruled. They are in fact canonically \mathbb{A}^1_* -ruled over \mathbb{A}^1 by the restriction $q_\ell: \widetilde{X}_{f,\ell} \to \mathbb{A}^1$ of the rational map $V_\ell \dashrightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ defined by the mobile part of the divisor $K_{V_\ell} + B_\ell$ on a smooth projective completion V_ℓ of $\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell}$ with reduced SNC boundary B_ℓ (see e.g. [8, Chapter 2, Section 6]). In view of Corollaries 4 and 5 we thus expect to find counterexamples to the Laurent Cancellation Problem among these surfaces.

The first case to consider is the case (p,q)=(2,3). Nevertheless, it turns out to be deceptive. Indeed, on the one hand, Proposition 2 implies that every surface $\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell}$ with $f=x^2+y^3$ is isomorphic to $\widetilde{X}_{f,1}$, to $\widetilde{X}_{f,2}$ or to $\widetilde{X}_{f,3}$. On the other hand, we have the following result.

Lemma 9. Let $f = x^2 + y^3 \in \mathbb{C}[x,y]$, $n \geq 1$, and let $\ell, \ell' \in \{1,2,3\}$ be distinct. Then for every $n \geq 0$, the varieties $\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell} \times (\mathbb{A}^1_*)^n$ and $\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell'} \times (\mathbb{A}^1_*)^n$ are not isomorphic.

Proof. Let us identify for each $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ the surface $\widetilde{X}_{f,i}$ with the closed subvariety of $\operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[x, y, t^{\pm 1}])$ defined by the equation, $t^i(x^2 + y^3) = 1$. The log-canonical \mathbb{A}^1_* -fibration $q_i : \widetilde{X}_{f,i} \to \mathbb{A}^1$ of $\widetilde{X}_{f,i}$ coincides with the algebraic quotient morphism of the \mathbb{G}_m -action $\lambda \cdot (x, y, t) = (\lambda^3 x, \lambda^2 y, \lambda^{-6/i}t)$. More concretely, we have

$$\begin{cases} q_1: \widetilde{X}_{f,1} \to \mathbb{A}^1, & (x,y,v) \mapsto x^2t, \\ q_2: \widetilde{X}_{f,2} \to \mathbb{A}^1, & (x,y,v) \mapsto xt, \\ q_3: \widetilde{X}_{f,3} \to \mathbb{A}^1, & (x,y,v) \mapsto yt. \end{cases}$$

Furthermore, since $\kappa(\widetilde{X}_{f,i} \times (\mathbb{A}^1_*)^n) = \kappa(\widetilde{X}_{f,i})$, the log-canonical fibration of $\widetilde{X}_{f,i} \times (\mathbb{A}^1_*)^n$ coincides with $\tau_i = q_i \circ \operatorname{pr}_{\widetilde{X}_{f,i}} : \widetilde{X}_{f,i} \times (\mathbb{A}^1_*)^n \to \mathbb{A}^1$. It is straightforward to check that the \mathbb{A}^1_* -fibrations q_i , hence the $(\mathbb{A}^1_*)^{n+1}$ -fibrations τ_i , have different types of degenerate fibers: all their fibers are isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^1_* when equipped with their reduced structures, but q_1 has two multiple fibers of multiplicities 2 and 3, respectively, q_2 has two multiple fibers of multiplicity 3 while q_3 has three multiple fibers of multiplicity 2. The lemma follows then, since an isomorphism $\widetilde{X}_{f,i} \times (\mathbb{A}^1_*)^n$ and $\widetilde{X}_{f,j} \times (\mathbb{A}^1_*)^n$, i,j=1,2,3, must be compatible with these log-canonical fibrations.

The next simplest case, namely the case (p,q)=(2,5), does lead to counterexamples.

Proposition 10. The hypersurfaces $X_1, X_3 \subset \mathbb{A}^3 = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[x, y, t])$ defined by the equation $t(x^2 + y^5) = 1$ and $t^3(x^2 + y^5) = 1$, respectively, are counterexamples to the Laurent Cancellation Problem.

Proof. Consider the polynomial $f = x^2 + y^5 \in \mathbb{C}[x,y]$ and observe that X_1 and X_3 are isomorphic to the hypersurfaces $\widetilde{X}_{f,1}$ and $\widetilde{X}_{f,3}$, respectively. Since f is semi-invariant of weight 10 for the linear \mathbb{G}_m -action on \mathbb{A}^2 with weights (5,2), the \mathbb{A}^1_* -cylinders $X_1 \times \mathbb{A}^1_*$ and $X_3 \times \mathbb{A}^1_*$ are isomorphic by Proposition 2. To show that X_1 and X_3 are not isomorphic, it is enough, by virtue of Proposition 8, to check that the curve $Y_1 \subset \mathbb{A}^2_{\mathbb{C}(t)} = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}(t)[x,y])$ given by the equation $x^2 + y^5 = t^{-1}$ is isomorphic over $\mathbb{C}(t)$ neither to the curve Y_3 of equation $x^2 + y^5 = t^{-3}$, nor to that Y_7 of equation $x^2 + y^5 = t^{-7}$. This can be seen using elementary geometric arguments as follows.

A $\mathbb{C}(t)$ -isomorphism $\psi: Y_1 \overset{\sim}{\to} Y_i$ between Y_1 and Y_i , i=3,7, must (if it exists) extend to an isomorphism $\Psi: \overline{Y}_1 \overset{\sim}{\to} \overline{Y}_i$ between the respective normalizations \overline{Y}_1 and \overline{Y}_i of the projective closures of Y_1 and Y_i in $\mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbb{C}(t)} = \operatorname{Proj}(\mathbb{C}(t)[x,y,z])$. A direct computation shows that all these curves have genus 2 and that their respective canonical degree 2 covers $\overline{\pi}_i: \overline{Y}_j \to \mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}(v)}$ defined by the complete linear systems $|K_{\overline{Y}_j}|$, j=1,3,7, coincide with the maps induced by the projection from the point [1:0:0] in $\mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbb{C}(t)}$. The restriction of $\overline{\pi}_i$ to Y_i coincides with the projection $\pi_i = \operatorname{pr}_y: Y_i = \{x^2 + y^5 - t^{-i} = 0\} \to \mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}(t)} = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}(t)[y])$, and since $\Psi^*K_{\overline{Y}_i} = K_{\overline{Y}_1}$, it follows that there exists a $\mathbb{C}(t)$ -automorphism θ of $\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}(t)}$ for which the following diagram commutes

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_1 & \stackrel{\psi}{----} & Y_i \\ \pi_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi_3 \\ & \mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}(t)} & \stackrel{\theta}{----} & \mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}(t)}. \end{array}$$

So θ is an affine transformation of the form $y \mapsto a(t)y + b(t)$ for some pair $(a(t), b(t)) \in \mathbb{C}(t)^* \times \mathbb{C}(t)$ which maps the branch locus $B_1 = \{y^5 - t^{-1} = 0\}$ of π_1 isomorphically onto that $B_i = \{y^5 - t^{-i} = 0\}$ of π_i , i = 3, 7. Thus b(t) = 0 necessarily and $a(t)^5 = t^{-i+1}$, which is absurd since neither t^{-2} nor t^{-6} admits a fifth root in $\mathbb{C}(t)$. This shows that X_1 and X_3 are not isomorphic.

Remark 11. The above surfaces X_1 and X_3 are precisely those constructed in a more geometric fashion in [3, Subsection 2.2]. This can be seen by considering the structure of their log-canonical \mathbb{A}^1_* -fibrations. With the same notation as in the proof of Proposition 10, these fibrations coincide with the morphisms

$$q_1: X_1 \to \mathbb{A}^1, \ (x, y, t) \mapsto y^5 t$$
 and $q_3: X_3 \to \mathbb{A}^1, \ (x, y, t) \mapsto y^5 t^3.$

Note that for the same reason as in the proof of Lemma 9, every isomorphism Ψ between $X_3 \times \mathbb{A}^1_*$ and $X_1 \times \mathbb{A}^1_*$ must be compatible with the log-canonical $(\mathbb{A}^1_*)^2$ -fibrations $q_1 \circ \operatorname{pr}_1 : X_1 \times \mathbb{A}^1_* \to \mathbb{A}^1$ and $q_3 \circ \operatorname{pr}_1 : X_3 \times \mathbb{A}^1_* \to \mathbb{A}^1$.

This does of course hold for the explicit isomorphism $\Psi: X_3 \times \mathbb{A}^1_* \xrightarrow{\sim} X_1 \times \mathbb{A}^1_*$ constructed via the procedure described in the proof of Proposition 2, which is obtained as follows. With the notation of this proof, we have in our case $\ell=1, \ \ell'=3, \ m=10, \ d=1, \ \text{and}$ we can take $a=3, \ b=0, \ \alpha=-1$ and $\beta=-3$. This gives the isomorphism $\Psi: (x,y,t,u) \mapsto (u^{-5}x,u^{-2}y,t^3u^{10},t^{-1}u^{-3})$. One thus has $\Psi^*(y^5t)=(u^{-10}y^5)(t^3u^{10})=y^5t^3,$ hence a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X_3 \times \mathbb{A}^1_* & \stackrel{\Psi}{-----} & X_1 \times \mathbb{A}^1_* \\ q_3 \circ \operatorname{pr}_1 & & & \downarrow q_1 \circ \operatorname{pr}_1 \\ \mathbb{A}^1 & = & \mathbb{A}^1. \end{array}$$

Let us emphasize two ingredients which played a crucial role in the proof of the fact that the above surfaces X_1 and X_3 are not isomorphic. The first one is that the curves Y_i , i = 1, 3, 7, are cyclic covers of $\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}(t)}$. The second one is that every isomorphism between them descends to an automorphism of $\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}(t)}$. Generalizing this type of arguments allows us to obtain the following infinite families of counterexamples.

Theorem 12. Let $p \geq 2$ be a prime number, let $q \geq 3$ be an integer relatively prime with p and let $f = x^p + y^q \in \mathbb{C}[x,y]$. Then for every $\ell \geq 2$ relatively prime with m = pq, the surfaces $\widetilde{X}_{f,1}$ and $\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell}$ are nonisomorphic, with isomorphic \mathbb{A}^1_* -cylinders.

Proof. The polynomial f being an irreducible semi-invariant of weight m for the linear action of \mathbb{G}_m on \mathbb{A}^2 with weight (q,p), the fact that $X_1 = \widetilde{X}_{f,1}$ and $X_\ell = \widetilde{X}_{f,\ell}$ have isomorphic \mathbb{A}^1_* -cylinders follows again from Proposition 2.

On the other hand, by virtue of Proposition 8, X_1 and X_ℓ are nonisomorphic provided that the curve $Y_1 = \{x^p + y^q - t^{-1} = 0\}$ in $\mathbb{A}^2_{\mathbb{C}(t)}$ is isomorphic over $\mathbb{C}(t)$ neither to $Y_\ell = \{x^p + y^q - t^{-\ell} = 0\}$ nor to $Y_{\ell''} = \{x^p + y^q - t^{-\ell''} = 0\}$ for some integer $\ell'' \geq 1$ congruent to $-\ell'$ modulo m. This can be proved in exactly the same way as for Proposition 10 as soon as we show that, if it exists, a $\mathbb{C}(t)$ -isomorphism $\psi: Y_1 \stackrel{\sim}{\to} Y_j$, $j = \ell, \ell''$ descends to a $\mathbb{C}(t)$ -automorphism θ of $\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}(t)}$ making the following diagram commutative

$$Y_{1} \xrightarrow{\psi} Y_{j}$$

$$\pi_{1} = \operatorname{pr}_{y} \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \pi_{j} = \operatorname{pr}_{y}$$

$$\mathbb{A}^{1}_{\mathbb{C}(t)} \xrightarrow{\theta} \mathbb{A}^{1}_{\mathbb{C}(t)}.$$

Again, every $\mathbb{C}(t)$ -isomorphism $\psi:Y_1\stackrel{\sim}{\to}Y_j$ uniquely extends to a $\mathbb{C}(t)$ -isomorphism $\Psi:\overline{Y}_1\stackrel{\sim}{\to}\overline{Y}_j$ between the normalizations \overline{Y}_i of the respective projective closures of the curves Y_i in $\mathbb{P}^2_{\mathbb{C}(t)}$. The latter are smooth curves of genus $g=\frac{(p-1)(q-1)}{2}\geq 2$ on which π_i extends to a cyclic Galois cover $\overline{\pi}_i:\overline{Y}_i\to\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}(t)}$ of prime order p, which is totally ramified over the $\mathbb{C}(t)$ -rational point $\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}(t)}\setminus\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}(t)}$. Base changing to the algebraic closure K of $\mathbb{C}(t)$, it follows from [6, Theorem 1] and [10, Main Theorem], which are actually stated for complex curves but whose proofs carry on verbatim to smooth curves defined over an algebraically closed field of characteristic zero, that $\Psi_K:\overline{Y}_{1,K}\overset{\sim}{\to}\overline{Y}_{j,K}$ descends to a K-automorphism $\overline{\theta}_K:\mathbb{P}^1_K\overset{\sim}{\to}\mathbb{P}^1_K$ that maps the branch locus of $\overline{\pi}_{1,K}$ isomorphically onto that of $\overline{\pi}_{j,K}$. Furthermore, since $q\geq 2$, the branch locus of $\overline{\pi}_{i,K}$ consists of at least three points, implying that the K-automorphism $\overline{\theta}_K$ for which $\overline{\pi}_{j,K}\circ\Psi_K=\overline{\theta}_K\circ\overline{\pi}_{1,K}$ is unique, hence descends to a $\mathbb{C}(t)$ -automorphism $\overline{\theta}$ such that $\overline{\pi}_j\circ\Psi=\overline{\theta}\circ\overline{\pi}_1$. Since $\overline{Y}_i\setminus Y_i$ consists of a unique $\mathbb{C}(t)$ -rational point, say ∞_i , and since $\Psi(\infty_1)=\infty_j$, $\overline{\theta}$ restricts to an isomorphism $\theta:\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}(t)}=\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}(t)}\setminus\overline{\pi}_1(\infty_1)\overset{\sim}{\to}\mathbb{A}^1_{\mathbb{C}(t)}=\mathbb{P}^1_{\mathbb{C}(t)}\setminus\overline{\pi}_j(\infty_j)$ for which the diagram above commutes, as desired.

3. On the case of negative logarithmic Kodaira dimension

The aim of this section is to construct explicit examples of smooth affine varieties of negative logarithmic Kodaira dimension failing the Laurent Cancellation property. Let us first remark that such examples must be of dimension at least three. Indeed, we can extend a result of [3], that states that Laurent Cancellation does

hold for smooth factorial affine surfaces of negative logarithmic Kodaira dimension, to the case of arbitrary smooth affine surfaces of negative logarithmic Kodaira dimension.

Theorem 13. Two smooth affine surfaces S and S' of negative logarithmic Kodaira dimension have isomorphic \mathbb{A}^1_* -cylinders $S \times \mathbb{A}^1_*$ and $S' \times \mathbb{A}^1_*$ if and only if they are isomorphic.

Proof. By [9], a smooth affine surface of negative logarithmic Kodaira dimension admits a faithfully flat morphism $\rho: S \to B$ over a smooth curve B, with generic fiber isomorphic to the affine line over the function field of B, called an \mathbb{A}^1 -fibration. Thus $\Gamma(S, \mathcal{O}_S^*) = \rho^*\Gamma(B, \mathcal{O}_B^*)$ and combined with the fact that cancellation holds when all invertible functions on S or S' are constant (see [5, 3]), we can restrict from now on to the case where S and S' admit \mathbb{A}^1 -fibrations $\rho: S \to B$ and $\rho': S' \to B'$ over smooth curves B and B' respectively, admitting non constant invertible functions. In particular B and B' are affine, of nonnegative logarithmic Kodaira dimension, implying that every morphism from \mathbb{A}^1 to $B \times \mathbb{A}^1_*$ or $B' \times \mathbb{A}^1_*$ is constant. Since all irreducible components of fibers of $\rho: S \to B$ over closed points of B are isomorphic to \mathbb{A}^1 , it follows that every isomorphism $\Psi: S \times \mathbb{A}^1_* \xrightarrow{\sim} S' \times \mathbb{A}^1_*$ descends to an isomorphism $\psi: B \times \mathbb{A}^1_* \to B' \times \mathbb{A}^1_*$ making the following diagram commutative

$$S \times \mathbb{A}^{1}_{*} \xrightarrow{\Psi} S' \times \mathbb{A}^{1}_{*}$$

$$\pi = (\rho, \operatorname{pr}_{2}) \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \pi' = (\rho', \operatorname{pr}_{2})$$

$$B \times \mathbb{A}^{1}_{*} \xrightarrow{\psi} B' \times \mathbb{A}^{1}_{*}.$$

If either $\kappa(B) \neq 0$ or $\kappa(B') \neq 0$ then, by Iitaka-Fujita strong cancellation Theorem [7], ψ descends further to an isomorphism $\overline{\psi}: B \to B'$ such that $\operatorname{pr}_{B'} \circ \psi = \overline{\psi} \circ \operatorname{pr}_{B}$. In the case where $\kappa(B) = \kappa(B') = 0$, B and B' are both isomorphic to $\mathbb{A}^1_* = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[u^{\pm 1}])$ and we have the following alternative: either $\rho: S \to B$ is a locally trivial, hence trivial, \mathbb{A}^1 -bundle and then so is $\rho': S' \to B'$ by the commutativity of the above diagram or $\rho: S \to B$ has at least a degenerate fiber. In the second case, letting $b_1, \ldots, b_s \in B$ and $b'_1, \ldots, b'_{s'} \in B'$ be the closed points over which the fibers or ρ and ρ' respectively are degenerate, the morphism π and π' degenerate respectively over the sections $\{b_i\} \times \mathbb{A}^1_*$ and $\{b'_i\} \times \mathbb{A}^1_*$ of the projections $\operatorname{pr}_{\mathbb{A}^1_*}: B \times \mathbb{A}^1_* \to \mathbb{A}^1_*$ and $\operatorname{pr}_{\mathbb{A}^1_*}: B' \times \mathbb{A}^1_* \to \mathbb{A}^1_*$. Identifying $B \times \mathbb{A}^1_*$ and $B' \times \mathbb{A}^1_*$ with the torus $\mathbf{T}^2 = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[u^{\pm 1}, t^{\pm 1}])$, the automorphism ψ has the form $(u,t) \mapsto (\lambda_1 u^{\alpha} t^{\beta}, \lambda_2 u^{\gamma} t^{\delta})$ where $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{C}^*$ and $\begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta \end{pmatrix} \in \operatorname{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. The condition $\psi(\{b_i\} \times \mathbb{A}^1_*) = \{b'_{j(i)}\} \times \mathbb{A}^1_*, i = 1, \ldots, s, j(i) \in \{1, \ldots, s'\}$ implies that $\beta = 0$ hence that $\alpha \delta = \pm 1$. It follows that ψ descends to an isomorphism $\overline{\psi}: B \to B'$ of the form $u \mapsto \lambda_1 u^{\pm 1}$. Summing up, either S and S' are both isomorphic to the trivial \mathbb{A}^1 -bundle over \mathbb{A}^1_* and we are done already, or we have a commutative diagram

$$S \times \mathbb{A}^{1}_{*} \xrightarrow{\Psi} S' \times \mathbb{A}^{1}_{*}$$

$$\pi = (\rho, \operatorname{pr}_{2}) \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \pi' = (\rho', \operatorname{pr}_{2})$$

$$B \times \mathbb{A}^{1}_{*} \xrightarrow{\psi} B' \times \mathbb{A}^{1}_{*}$$

$$\operatorname{pr}_{B} \downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow \operatorname{pr}_{B'}$$

$$B \xrightarrow{\overline{\psi}} B'$$

for some isomorphism $\overline{\psi}: B \xrightarrow{\sim} B'$. Replacing S' by the isomorphic surface $S' \times_{B'} B$, we may assume further that B' = B and that $\overline{\psi} = \mathrm{id}_B$. The commutativity of the above diagram then implies that ψ maps the section $C = B \times \{1\} \subset B \times \mathbb{A}^1_*$ isomorphically onto a section $C' \subset B' \times \mathbb{A}^1_*$ or $\mathrm{pr}_{B'}$ while Ψ maps $\pi^{-1}(C)$ isomorphically onto ${\pi'}^{-1}(C')$. The assertion follows since $\pi^{-1}(C)$ and ${\pi'}^{-1}(C')$ are isomorphic to S and S' respectively.

From now on, we will use the following notation.

Notation 14. Given integers $n \ge 1$ and $m \ge 2$, we denote by $f_{n,m}$ the polynomial

$$f_{n,m} = (\prod_{i=1}^{n} x_i^2)z - y^m \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n][y, z].$$

Since $f_{n,m}$ is a semi-invariant of weight m for the linear \mathbb{G}_m -action on $\mathbb{A}^{n+2} = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n][y, z])$ with weights $(1, \dots, 1, 1, m-2n)$, we will follow the notation of the previous sections and consider, for every $\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$, the hypersurface $X_{n,m,\ell} = \widetilde{X}_{f_{n,m},\ell}$ of $\mathbb{A}^{n+3} = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n][y, z, t])$ defined by the equation

$$t^{\ell}\left(x_1^2\cdots x_n^2z-y^m\right)=1.$$

Lemma 15. Every hypersurface $X_{n,m,\ell}$ defined above is smooth and has negative logarithmic Kodaira dimension. Moreover, $X_{n,m,\ell}$ is factorial provided that ℓ is relatively prime with m.

Proof. Consider the open subset $\mathcal{U} = X_{n,m,\ell} \setminus \{x_1 \cdots x_n = 0\}$ of $X_{n,m,\ell}$. Since

$$\mathcal{U} = \{(x_1, \dots, x_n, y, z, t) \in \mathbb{A}^{n+3} \mid x_1 \cdots x_n t \neq 0, z = x_1^{-2} \cdots x_n^{-2} (t^{-\ell} + y^m)\} \simeq (\mathbb{A}_*^1)^{n+1} \times \mathbb{A}^1$$

it follows that \mathcal{U} has negative logarithmic Kodaira dimension, hence that $X_{n,m,\ell}$ has negative logarithmic Kodaira dimension too.

From now on, we suppose that ℓ is coprime with m. Then, since

$$\mathcal{O}(X_{n,m,\ell})/(x_n) \simeq \mathbb{C}[x_1,\ldots,x_{n-1},y,z,t]/(t^l y^m + 1)$$

is an integral domain, when ℓ is coprime with m, it follows that (x_n) is a prime ideal of $\mathcal{O}(X_{n,m,\ell})$. We further consider the localization $\mathcal{O}(X_{n,m,\ell})_{x_n}$ of $\mathcal{O}(X_{n,m,\ell})$ with respect to this ideal (x_n) . If n=1, we can express $z=x_1^{-2}(y^m+t^{-\ell})$ and we thus get that $\mathcal{O}(X_{n,m,\ell})_{x_1}\simeq \mathbb{C}[y,x_1^{\pm 1},t^{\pm 1}]$ is factorial. By a well-known result of Nagata (see e.g. [12]), this implies that $\mathcal{O}(X_{n,m,\ell})$ is factorial for n=1. By induction on n, it follows that

$$\mathcal{O}(X_{n,m,\ell})_{x_n} \simeq \mathbb{C}[x_n^{\pm 1}][x_1,\dots,x_{n-1},y,z,t]/(t^{\ell}(\prod_{i=1}^{n-1}x_i^2z-y^m)-1)$$

is factorial for all $n \geq 1$, and so Nagata's result allows us to conclude that $\mathcal{O}(X_{n,m,\ell})$ is factorial.

The next theorem is the main result of this section. It shows that we can find counterexamples to the Laurent Cancellation Problem among the above varieties $X_{n,m,\ell}$.

Theorem 16. Let $n \ge 1$, $m \ge 2$ and let $\ell, \ell' \ge 1$ relatively prime with m be such that ℓ' is not congruent to $\pm \ell$ modulo m. Then the hypersurfaces

$$X_{n,m,\ell} = \{ t^{\ell} (x_1^2 \cdots x_n^2 z - y^m) = 1 \} \subset \mathbb{A}^{n+3}$$

and

$$X_{n,m,\ell'} = \{ t^{\ell'} (x_1^2 \cdots x_n^2 z - y^m) = 1 \}$$

are nonisomorphic factorial affine varieties of dimension d = n + 2 with isomorphic \mathbb{A}^1_* -cylinders

$$X_{n,m,\ell} \times \mathbb{A}^1_* \simeq X_{n,m,\ell'} \times \mathbb{A}^1_*.$$

Proof. On the one hand, the \mathbb{A}^1_* -cylinders $X_{n,m,\ell} \times \mathbb{A}^1_*$ and $X_{n,m,\ell'} \times \mathbb{A}^1_*$ are isomorphic by Proposition 2. On the other hand, the first assertion of the theorem follows directly from Lemma 8 and Proposition 17 below. Indeed, there exists an element $\alpha \in \mathbf{k} = \mathbb{C}(t)$ such that $t^{-\ell} = \alpha^m t^{-(\pm \ell')}$ if and only if ℓ is congruent to $\pm \ell'$ modulo m.

Proposition 17. Let $n \ge 1$ and $m \ge 2$ be integers and let \mathbf{k} be a field of characteristic zero. Consider, for every $\lambda \in \mathbf{k}$, the ring

$$B_{\lambda} = \mathbf{k}[x_1, \dots, x_n, y, z] / (x_1^2 \cdots x_n^2 z - y^m - \lambda).$$

Two such rings B_{λ} and $B_{\lambda'}$ are isomorphic if and only if there exists a constant $\alpha \in \mathbf{k}^*$ such that $\lambda = \alpha^m \lambda'$.

Proof. If $\lambda = \alpha^m \lambda'$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbf{k}^*$, then it is obvious that B_{λ} and $B_{\lambda'}$ are isomorphic via a linear change of coordinates. It remains to prove the converse implication. For this, we use techniques from the theory of locally nilpotent derivations, that were mainly developed by Makar-Limanov and became progressively classical tools in affine algebraic geometry. Actually, our proof simply recollects arguments that were already given in [2] and [11].

Let δ be a nonzero locally nilpotent derivations on B_{λ} . From [2, Proposition 2.2 and Corollary 2.1], which remain valid over any field of characteristic zero, we have that $\operatorname{Ker}(\delta) = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ and $\operatorname{Ker}(\delta^2) \subset \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n, y]$ both hold. Then, arguing exactly as in [11, Proposition 2.3], it follows that $\operatorname{Ker}(\delta^2) = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]y + \mathbb{C}[x_1, \ldots, x_n]$ and that

$$\delta = h(x_1, \dots, x_n) \left(x_1^2 \cdots x_n^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial y} + m y^{m-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial z} \right),$$

for some $h(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \in \mathbf{k}[x_1,\ldots,x_n]$.

Now, let $\varphi: B_{\lambda} \to B_{\lambda'}$ be an isomorphism and denote by x_1, \ldots, x_n, y, z (resp. $x'_1, \ldots, x'_n, y', z'$) the images of x_1, \ldots, x_n, y, z in B_{λ} (resp. in $B_{\lambda'}$). Similarly as in [11, Proposition 2.5] we can infer from the above properties that there exist nonzero constants $a_1, \ldots, a_n \in \mathbf{k}^*$, $\alpha \in \mathbf{k}^*$, a polynomial $\beta \in \mathbf{k}[X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ and a bijection σ of the set $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $\varphi(x_i) = a_i \varphi(x'_{\sigma(i)})$ for all $1 \leq i \leq n$ and such that $\varphi(y) = \alpha y' + \beta(x'_1, \ldots, x'_n)$.

Finally, we write that

$$\varphi(x_1^2 \cdots x_n^2 z - y^m - \lambda) = (\prod_{i=1}^n a_i)^2 (x_1' \cdots x_n')^2 \varphi(z) - (\alpha y' + \beta (x_1', \dots, x_n'))^m - \lambda$$

is equal to zero in $B_{\lambda'}$. This gives the existence of polynomials R and S in $\mathbf{k}[X_1,\ldots,X_n,Y,Z]$ such that

$$(\prod_{i=1}^{n} a_i)^2 (X_1 \cdots X_n)^2 R - (\alpha Y + \beta (X_1, \dots, X_n))^m - \lambda = S \cdot (X_1^2 \cdots X_n^2 Z - Y^m - \lambda').$$

From this, we deduce that

$$-(\alpha Y + \beta(0, \dots, 0))^m - \lambda = S(0, \dots, 0, Y, 0) \cdot (-Y^m - \lambda'),$$

which implies that S(0, ..., 0, Y, 0) is in fact a nonzero constant. Therefore, we have $\beta(0, ..., 0) = 0$, $S(0, ..., 0, Y, 0) = \alpha^m$ and thus $\lambda = S(0, ..., 0, Y, 0)\lambda' = \alpha^m\lambda'$, as desired.

Example 18. The simplest case in Theorem 16 holds when $n=1, m=5, \ell=1$ and $\ell'=2$, in which case we obtain that the factorial threefolds in $\mathbb{A}^4 = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[x,y,z,t])$ defined by the equations $t(x^2z-y^5)=1$ and $t^2(x^2z-y^5)=1$, respectively, are nonisomorphic but have isomorphic \mathbb{A}^1_* -cylinders.

4. Affine varieties with nonisomorphic square roots

In this section, we construct nonisomorphic affine algebraic varieties X and Y with isomorphic cartesian products $X \times X$ and $Y \times Y$. To begin with, we adapt Proposition 2 to obtain isomorphic cartesian products.

Lemma 19. Let $n \geq 1$ and let f (resp. g) be a regular function on the affine n-space $X = \mathbb{A}^n$ which is semi-invariant of weight $m \neq 0$ for some action $\mu : \mathbb{G}_m \times X \to X$ (resp. $\nu : \mathbb{G}_m \times X \to X$) of the multiplicative group on X. Let $a, b \geq 1$ be integers such that ab is congruent to 1 modulo m^2 . Then the products $\widetilde{X}_{f,\ell} \times \widetilde{X}_{g,\ell'}$ and $\widetilde{X}_{f,a\ell} \times \widetilde{X}_{g,b\ell'}$ are isomorphic varieties for all integers $\ell, \ell' \geq 1$.

Proof. Let us identify the products $\Pi_1 = \widetilde{X}_{f,\ell} \times \widetilde{X}_{g,\ell'}$ and $\Pi_2 = \widetilde{X}_{f,a\ell} \times \widetilde{X}_{g,b\ell'}$ with the closed subvarieties of $(X \times \mathbb{A}^1_*)^2 = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[x_1 \dots, x_n, y_1, \dots, y_n][t^{\pm 1}, s^{\pm 1}])$ defined by the ideals

$$I_1 = \left(t^{\ell} f(x_1, \dots, x_n) - 1, s^{\ell'} g(y_1, \dots, y_n) - 1\right)$$

and

$$I_2 = \left(t^{a\ell} f(x_1, \dots, x_n) - 1, s^{b\ell'} g(y_1, \dots, y_n) - 1\right),$$

respectively.

Since ab is congruent to 1 modulo m^2 , there exists an integer $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} a & cm \\ m & b \end{pmatrix}$ belongs to $\operatorname{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z})$. This matrix corresponds to an automorphism $\sigma(t,s) = (t^a s^{cm}, t^m s^b)$ of the torus $(\mathbb{A}^1_*)^2 = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[t^{\pm 1}, s^{\pm 1}])$. Then, it suffices to remark that the automorphism of $(X \times \mathbb{A}^1_*)^2$ defined by $(x, y, t, s) \mapsto (\mu(s^{-c\ell}, x), \nu(t^{-\ell'}, y), \sigma(t, s))$ maps Π_2 isomorphically onto Π_1 .

Corollary 20. Let $X = \mathbb{A}^n$ and let $f \in \mathcal{O}(X)$ be semi-invariant of weight $m \neq 0$ for a \mathbb{G}_m -action on X. Suppose that there exist integers $a, b \geq 1$ satisfying the two following congruences

$$a + b \equiv 0 \mod (m)$$
 and $ab \equiv 1 \mod (m^2)$.

Then, the varieties $\widetilde{X}_{f,1} \times \widetilde{X}_{f,1}$ and $\widetilde{X}_{f,a} \times \widetilde{X}_{f,a}$ are isomorphic.

Proof. On one hand, we have that $\widetilde{X}_{f,1} \times \widetilde{X}_{f,1}$ is isomorphic to $\widetilde{X}_{f,a} \times \widetilde{X}_{f,b}$ by Lemma 19. On the other hand, it follows from Proposition 2 that $\widetilde{X}_{f,a}$ and $\widetilde{X}_{f,b}$ are isomorphic.

Combining the above corollary with the results of the previous sections, we obtain examples of nonisomorphic affine varieties whose square are isomorphic. The simplest case occurs for m=5. Let us denote, as in Theorem 16, by $X_{n,m,\ell}$ the hypersurface of \mathbb{A}^{n+3} defined by the equation $t^{\ell}\left(x_1^2\cdots x_n^2z-y^m\right)=1$.

Proposition 21. The varieties $X = X_{n,5,1}$ and $Y = X_{n,5,2}$ are not isomorphic, although their squares $X \times X$ and $Y \times Y$ are isomorphic.

Proof. We deduce that $X \times X$ and $Y \times Y$ are isomorphic from Corollary 20 with m = 5, a = 2 and b = 13. The fact that X and Y are not isomorphic is a particular case of Theorem 16.

Corollary 20 allows us to find also two-dimensional examples. In particular, the two nonisomorphic surfaces of Proposition 10 have isomorphic squares.

Proposition 22. Let $X_1, X_3 \subset \mathbb{A}^3 = \operatorname{Spec}(\mathbb{C}[x, y, t])$ be the (nonisomorphic by Proposition 10) hypersurfaces defined by the equation $t(x^2 + y^5) = 1$ and $t^3(x^2 + y^5) = 1$, respectively. Then, $X_1 \times X_1$ and $X_3 \times X_3$ are isomorphic.

Proof. It suffices to apply Corollary 20 with m = 10, a = 3 and b = 67.

Finally, let us remark that such phenomenon can not occur for affine curves.

Proposition 23. Two smooth complex affine curves C_1 and C_2 have isomorphic squares $C_1 \times C_1 \simeq C_2 \times C_2$ if and only if they are isomorphic.

Proof. Suppose that there exists an isomorphism $\varphi: C_1 \times C_1 \xrightarrow{\sim} C_2 \times C_2$. Then the restriction of the first projection $\operatorname{pr}_i: C_2 \times C_2 \to C_2$ to the image by φ of a fiber of the first or the second projection $\operatorname{pr}_i: C_1 \times C_1 \to C_1$, i=1,2, defines a dominant morphism $\pi_2: C_1 \to C_2$. Exchanging the roles of C_1 and C_2 , we obtain in a similar way a dominant morphism $\pi_1: C_2 \to C_1$. These extend to finite morphisms $\overline{\pi}_i: \overline{C}_j \to \overline{C}_i$ between the smooth projective models of C_1 and C_2 , and we deduce from Riemann-Hurwitz formula that \overline{C}_1 and \overline{C}_2 have the same genus g. Since C_i is affine, $\overline{C}_i \setminus C_i$ is non-empty, consisting of a finite number of points $p_{i,j}$, $j=1,\ldots,r_i$, i=1,2. By Kunneth formula,

$$H_2(C_i \times C_i; \mathbb{Z}) \simeq H_1(C_i; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H_1(C_i; \mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{2g+r_i-1} \otimes \mathbb{Z}^{2g+r_i-1},$$

where we have used that $H_2(C_i;\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ because C_i is affine. Thus $r_1 = r_2 = r > 1$.

If $g \geq 2$ then Riemann-Hurwitz formula actually implies that $\overline{\pi}_1$ and $\overline{\pi}_2$ are isomorphisms. Thus $\pi_2 : C_1 \to C_2$ and $\pi_1 : C_2 \to C_1$ are both open immersions and are both surjective for otherwise $\pi_1 \circ \pi_2$ would be a strict open embedding of C_1 into itself, which is impossible. So $\pi_1 : C_2 \to C_1$ and π_2 are isomorphisms by virtue of Zariski Main Theorem.

If g=1, then by Riemann-Hurwitz formula again, $\overline{\pi}_1:\overline{C}_2\to\overline{C}_1$ is a finite unramified morphism, say of degree $d\geq 1$. Since $\overline{\pi}_1$ is the extension of a morphism $\pi_1:C_2\to C_1$, $\overline{\pi}_1^{-1}(\overline{C}_1\setminus C_1)\subset\overline{C}_2\setminus C_2$ and so $dr=d\cdot\sharp(\overline{C}_1\setminus C_1)\leq\sharp(\overline{C}_2\setminus C_2)=r$. Thus d=1, and the conclusion follows from the same argument as above.

If g=0, then $\overline{\pi}_1:\overline{C}_2\simeq\mathbb{P}^1\to\overline{C}_1\simeq\mathbb{P}^1$ is a finite morphism of degree $d\geq 1$. If d=1, then by the same argument again, $\pi_1:C_2\to C_1$ is an isomorphism. Otherwise, if d>1, then since $\overline{\pi}_1^{-1}(\overline{C}_1\setminus C_1)\subset\overline{C}_2\setminus C_2$ and $\sharp(\overline{C}_1\setminus C_1)=\sharp(\overline{C}_2\setminus C_2)=r\geq 1$, it must be that $\overline{\pi}_1$ is totally ramified over every point of $\overline{C}_1\setminus C_1$, with $\overline{\pi}_1(\overline{C}_2\setminus C_2)=\overline{C}_1\setminus C_1$. By Riemann-Hurwitz formula, we have

$$2 = 2d - \sum_{p \in \overline{C}_1 \setminus C_1} (d-1) - \delta = 2d - r(d-1) - \delta$$

for some $\delta \geq 0$. Rewriting this equality in the form $(d-1)(2-r) = \delta \geq 0$, we conclude that either r=1, in which case $C_1 \simeq C_2 \simeq \mathbb{A}^1$, or r=2 and then $C_1 \simeq C_2 \simeq \mathbb{A}^1_*$.

References

- [1] S. M. Bhatwadekar and N. Gupta, *The structure of a Laurent polynomial fibration in n variables*, J. Algebra **353** (2012), 142–157.
- [2] A. Dubouloz, Additive group actions on Danielewski varieties and the cancellation problem., Math. Z. 255 (2007), no. 1, 77–93.
- [3] A. Dubouloz, On the cancellation problem for algebraic tori, Preprint arXiv:1412.2213 [math.AG].
- [4] T. J. Ford, The group of units on an affine variety, J. Algebra Appl. 13 (2014), no. 8, 1450065, 27 pp.
- [5] G. Freudenburg, Laurent cancellation for rings of transcendence degree one over a field, Automorphisms in Birational and Affine Geometry, Springer Proceedings in Mathematics & Statistics, Volume 79, (2014), 313–326.
- [6] G. Gonzalez-Diez, On Prime Galois Coverings of the Riemann Sphere, Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. 168 (1995), 1-15.
- [7] S. Iitaka and T. Fujita, Cancellation theorem for algebraic varieties., J. Fac. Sci., Univ. Tokyo, Sect. IA Math. 24 (1977), 123–127.
- [8] M. Miyanishi, Open algebraic surfaces, CRM Monogr. Ser., 12, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001.
- [9] M. Miyanishi and T. Sugie, Affine surfaces containing cylinderlike open sets, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 20 (1980), no. 1, 11-42.
- [10] N. Nakajo, On the equivalence problem of cyclic branched covering of the Riemann sphere, Kyushu J. Math. 53 (1999), 127-131.
- [11] P.-M. Poloni, A note on the stable equivalence problem, J. Math. Soc. Japan. 67 (2015), no. 2, 753–761.
- [12] P. Samuel, Lectures on unique factorization domains. (Notes by M. Pavman Murthy) Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Lectures on Mathematics, No. 30, Bombay, (1964).

Adrien Dubouloz, IMB UMR5584, CNRS, Univ. Bourgogne Franche-Comté, F-21000 Dijon, France. E-mail address: adrien.dubouloz@u-bourgogne.fr

PIERRE-MARIE POLONI, UNIVERSITÄT BERN, MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT, SIDLERSTRASSE 5, CH-3012 BERN, SWITZER-LAND.

E-mail address: pierre.poloni@math.unibe.ch