Microsatellite analysis in museum samples reveals inbreeding before the regression of *Bombus veteranus*

Kevin Maebe, Ivan Meeus, Jafar Maharramov, Patrick Grootaert, Denis Michez, Pierre Rasmont, Guy Smagghe

► To cite this version:

Kevin Maebe, Ivan Meeus, Jafar Maharramov, Patrick Grootaert, Denis Michez, et al.. Microsatellite analysis in museum samples reveals inbreeding before the regression of *Bombus veteranus* . *Apidologie*, 2013, 44 (2), pp.188-197. 10.1007/s13592-012-0170-9 . hal-01201286

HAL Id: hal-01201286

<https://hal.science/hal-01201286>

Submitted on 17 Sep 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Microsatellite analysis in museum samples reveals inbreeding before the regression of *Bombus veteranus*

KEVIN MAEBE¹, IVAN MEEUS¹, JAFAR MAHARRAMOV¹, PATRICK GROOTAERT²,
DENIS MICHEZ³, PIERRE RASMONT³, GUY SMAGGHE¹

¹Laboratory of Agrozoology, Department of Crop Protection, Faculty of Bioscience Engineering, Ghent University, Coupure links 653, 9000 Ghent, Belgium

²Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences, Department Entomology, Vautierstraat 29, 1000 Brussels, Belgium

³Laboratoire de Zoology, Université de Mons-Hainaut, Place du Parc 20, 7000 Mons, Belgium

Received 15 May 2012 – Revised 18 August 2012 – Accepted 27 September 2012

Abstract – The worldwide decline of pollinators is an emerging threat and is a matter both for ecological and economic concerns. Population genetics can be used to correlate bumblebee decline with genetic parameters. In order to do so, historical data are needed. We used eight microsatellite loci to genotype pinned museum specimens of the declining bumblebee *Bombus veteranus*. Bumblebee samples were collected spanning a period of three decades (1895–1923). We detected low genetic diversity and inbreeding in the samples collected during the respective time periods. Inbreeding was also confirmed by the occurrence of sterile diploid males. Based on the data obtained, we speculate that low genetic diversity and inbreeding did not directly result in the collapse of *B. veteranus* in Belgium. However, inbreeding might still play an indirect role in the decline of bumblebee populations because of the appearance of diploid males and because a low H_E might reduce the capacity to react to the drivers of bumblebee decline.

microsatellites / ancient DNA / museum collections / genetic diversity / bumblebee decline

1. INTRODUCTION

The decline of pollinator species is an emerging threat that is gaining attention worldwide and is a matter for both ecological and economic concerns (e.g., Klein et al. 2007; Goulson and Osborne 2010). Generalist foragers like bumblebees, which are essential pollinators in natural and managed ecosystems, are also undergoing decline (Williams 1982; Cameron et al. 2011).

A good example of a bumblebee species in decline in Belgium is *Bombus veteranus* (*Thoracobombus*) (Fabricius, 1793). *B. veteranus* lives in the plains of Northern Europe and has a highly patchy distribution as described in Rasmont and Iserbyt (2010). It was one of the most abundant bumblebees in Belgium one century ago, but it started to decline in 1950 and to date this species is almost vanished (Rasmont and Mersch 1988; Rasmont et al. 1993).

The main hypotheses to explain the observed declines in bee populations are: the impact of pathogen infections and pathogen spill-over from reared pollinators (as reviewed in Meeus et al. 2011), the use of pesticides (Thompson 2001; Blacquière et al. 2012), diet specialization (Rasmont and Mersch 1988; Connop et al.

Electronic supplementary material The online version of this article (doi:10.1007/s13592-012-0170-9) contains supplementary material, which is available to authorized users.

Corresponding author: G. Smagghe,
guy.smagghe@ugent.be
Manuscript editor: Marina Meixner

2010), and landscape modification (Hines and Hendrix 2005; Kremen et al. 2007).

As a consequence of this decline, bumblebee populations become increasingly small, generating new threats. One, a reduced genetic diversity may lead to a more limited evolutionary potential against future changes in the environment, such as new pathogens, climate change, and habitat loss (Frankham 2005; Zayed 2009; Goulson and Osborne 2010). Two, inbreeding (mating with relatives) can cause a decrease in polymorphism of the loci involved in the sex determination which leads to the presence of sterile diploid or triploid males (Duchateau et al. 1994; Whitehorn et al. 2009). Furthermore, inbreeding can lead to inbreeding depression caused by the expression of deleterious recessive alleles (Frankham 2005; Zayed 2009). Although, it has been reported that haplodiploid species, as is the case for bumblebees, are considered not to be as sensitive to genetic pauperization as diploid species because deleterious alleles are purged from the population in the haploid males (Sorati et al. 1996; Packer and Owen 2001). So the question remains: whether populations of haplodiploid bumblebees are under danger of extinction when severe inbreeding is detected as has been reported before for mammals (or diploid species) (i.e., Keller and Waller 2002), or can bumblebees sustain several years of inbreeding?

In this project, we used microsatellites to genotype a set of pin-mounted museum specimens of *B. veteranus*. Samples were collected spanning a period of three decades (1895–1923), before the decline occurred, and we analyzed how the allelic richness, heterozygosity and inbreeding coefficients responded over this period. These findings can increase our understanding of genetic parameters of bumblebee populations before their actual decline or extinction.

2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

2.1. Museum specimens

Belgian specimens of *B. veteranus* were selected from the Banque de Données Fauniques de Gembloux

& Mons (Pauly and Rasmont 2010). Between 1890 and 1950, *B. veteranus* represents 10 % of all bumblebees. This proportion decreased rapidly towards 2 % between 1950 and 1970 and less than 0.5 % after 1970. Rasmont et al. (1993, 2005) assessed a strong decrease of the abundance of the species by a statistical analysis of the museum collections using the Stroot and Depiereux's method (1989). The regression of the distribution is also obvious when having a look on the maps of Belgium compared to the maps of most bumblebee species (Pauly and Rasmont 2010). Multiple bumblebee workers (BV1–BV111; Electronic supplementary material (ESM), S1) present in the museum collection of The Royal Belgian Institute of Natural Sciences were chosen for three different time periods before the actual decline in 1950: 1895 ($n=10$), 1915 ($n=47$), and 1923 ($n=32$). For each of these time periods, a maximum distribution of this species was created (Figure 1). In addition, 10, 20, and 20 drones were selected out of each respective time period.

2.2. DNA extraction and microsatellite protocol

Bumblebee DNA was extracted from one middle leg of each selected museum specimen (ESM, S2). Workers were then genotyped at eight microsatellite loci that have a range lower or around 200 bp to avoid the chance of null alleles (Wandeler et al. 2007) and that gave a reliable signal in the museum samples: B11, B126, and B132 (Estoup et al. 1993) and BT04, BT08, BT10, and BT11 (Reber Funk et al. 2006) originally developed from *Bombus terrestris*, and BL02 (Reber Funk et al. 2006) derived from *Bombus lucorum*. Microsatellites were amplified by PCR and were visualized with capillary electrophoreses (ESM, S3). To ensure data quality, some museum specimens were amplified twice at each locus; there was no evidence of amplification or scoring error based on those repeated genotyping.

2.3. Data analysis

Because there is a possibility of sampling multiple sisters from the same colony, which could potentially affect estimates of population genetic parameters, we

Figure 1. Distribution of the *Bombus veteranus* specimen collected for each year in the microsatellite analysis.

used the program Colony 1.2 (Wang 2004) to examine family relationships for each time period, employing corrections for genotyping errors (5 % per locus). We checked our data also with the program Kinalyzer (Ashley et al. 2009) with both the “2 allele” algorithm and the “consensus” method to excluded problems using Colony 1.2 on populations with low genetic variability (Ashley et al. 2008). All further analyses were made after removal of the identified sisters.

Tests for genotypic linkage disequilibrium and departures from Hardy–Weinberg (HW) equilibrium were performed for each population with randomization methods implemented in FSTAT 2.9.3 (Goudet 2001). The program GenAlEx 6.3 (Peakall and Smouse 2006) was used for testing genotype frequencies against HW equilibrium expectations. When excess homozygosity was found, the program MicroChecker 2.2.3 (van Oosterhout et al. 2004) was used to check for evidence of null alleles and their frequencies at different loci were estimated with the program FreeNA (Chapuis and Estoup 2007).

2.4. Genetic diversity and inbreeding

The program GenAlEx 6.3 (Peakall and Smouse 2006) was also used to calculate expected and observed heterozygosities (H_E and H_O , respectively) for each microsatellite loci. We estimated genetic diversity in each population using Nei’s unbiased expected hetero-

zygosity (Nei 1978) because these statistics are unbiased by sample size and do not appear to be seriously affected by null alleles (Chapuis et al. 2008). The allelic richness (N_A) corrected for sampling size (El Mousadik and Petit 1996) and the inbreeding coefficient (F_{IS}) were estimated in FSTAT 2.9.3 (Goudet 2001). We used a paired Student’s t test in SPSS (version 20.0.0.1) to examine whether the mean genetic diversity and allelic richness significantly differed between different time periods. As null alleles can reach high levels when studying old museum specimens (Wandeler et al. 2007; Strange et al. 2009), the inbreeding coefficients were corrected for null allele frequencies based on the individual inbreeding model (IIM) using the program INEst (Chybicki and Burczyk 2009). The estimated distribution was used to estimate corrected allele frequencies and inbreeding coefficients using 10,000 iterations (Chybicki and Burczyk 2009).

2.5. Population structure

Pairwise differentiation values (F_{ST}) among the different time periods were calculated using 1,000 permutations in FSTAT 2.9.3 (Goudet 2001). Because null alleles may affect F statistics (Chapuis and Estoup 2007) the pairwise F_{ST} values were recalculated after applying the ENA correction for null alleles as implemented in FRENA. We also estimated Jost’s D (Jost 2008). This recently developed

statistic provides a true measure of differentiation for highly variable markers, such as microsatellites, using the software SMOGD v2.6 (Crawford 2010).

2.6. Bottleneck presence

Evidence of recent genetic bottlenecks in the temporal samples was tested using Garza and Williamson (M) statistic (Garza and Williamson 2001). The program assumes that a reduction in population size has a stronger effect on the number of alleles (k) than the range of allele sizes (rs), leading to a smaller M ratio ($=k/rs$) in size-reduced populations compared to equilibrium populations (Garza and Williamson 2001). In order to evaluate the likelihood of a bottleneck occurrence (95 % criterion), the M ratios calculated and averaged across loci were compared with the distribution of simulated M_C ratios of a population in equilibrium. The M_C ratios were simulated based on parameters describing the evolution of the analyzed microsatellite loci (μ , the mutation rate/locus/generation; Δ_g , the mean size of larger mutations; and p_s , fraction of mutations larger than a single step) and the effective population size of pre-bottlenecked populations (N_e). Each sample estimate of M ratio (M critical or M_C) was thus tested under different evolutionary scenarios as suggested by Guinand and Scribner (2003).

2.7. Simulation of gene diversity over time

We simulated how the genetic variation would be affected by a change in population size by use of the program BayeSSC (Excoffier et al. 2000; Anderson et al. 2005). We used the same parameters as described in Lozier and Cameron (2009) except that we let the growth factor range from 0 to 5 % over 28 generations and the ancestral effective population sizes (N_{Ae}) from 15,000 to 100 (ESM, S4).

3. RESULTS

3.1. Microsatellite data

Of the eight microsatellite loci screened, seven (B11, B126, B132, BT04, BT10, BT11, and BL02) amplified strongly and were consistent across replicates. The locus BT08 could not

be scored in a reliable manner and was therefore excluded from further analyses (Table I). Analysis with Colony 1.2, and controlled with Kinalyzer, revealed that most of the populations contained some full-sib pairs (data not shown). For populations with identified sisters, we randomly selected one individual for further analysis. Of the originally selected numbers of bumblebees: 1895 ($n=10$), 1915 ($n=47$), and 1923 ($n=32$), we used in all further analyses only the numbers after removal of the identified sisters: 1895 ($n=6$), 1915 ($n=34$), and 1923 ($n=18$). Furthermore, we based all our analyses and conclusions on the time periods 1915 and 1923 as the numbers of specimens in the time period 1895 became too low. However, we still used the information obtained for the time period 1895 as indicative.

Six of the seven loci displayed heterozygote deficits under the Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium that could be indicative for inbreeding or the presence of null alleles. However, Micro-Checker 2.2.3 revealed only low null allele frequencies for those loci over the different time periods ($<10\%$). A significant linkage disequilibrium ($P<0.05$) was found between three pairs of loci: BL02–BT10, BL02–B11, and B11–B132, when testing each locus pair across populations. The exclusion of locus BL02 and/or B11 had no major effect on the results.

Table I. Overview of the selected microsatellite loci for the two multiplexes, their range, number of alleles and used fluorescent dyes.

Locus	Label	Multiplex	Range	N_A
BT11	6-FAM	MP1	92–118	14
BL02	NED	MP1	148–158	5
BT10	VIC	MP1	112–140	13
BT08	PET	MP1	160–210 ^a	3 ^a
BT04	NED	MP1	154–180	10
B11	NED	MP2	124–136	6
B132	VIC	MP2	144–158	6
B126	PET	MP2	146–176	13

With label fluorescent dye, N_A number of alleles

^a Not completed because of scoring difficulties

3.2. Changes in genetic diversity

The allelic richness (N_A) and expected heterozygosity (H_E) varied widely among loci, although differences between time periods were less pronounced (Table II). The mean H_E was 0.607 ± 0.164 (mean $\pm$ SD) in 1895, 0.577 ± 0.310 in 1915, and 0.578 ± 0.313 in 1923, with the difference being not significant for 1915–1923 (paired t test, $t = -0.034$, $d.f. = 6$, $P = 0.98$). The allelic richness estimate showed a slight increase from 3.47 ± 0.91 in 1895 over 3.68 ± 1.66 in 1915 to 3.71 ± 1.71 in 1923, although this difference was not significant for 1915–1923 (paired t test, $t = -0.119$, $d.f. = 6$, $P = 0.91$).

3.3. Population structure

Comparison of the different time periods revealed no significant genetic differentiation (F_{ST}) between the years (Table III). In agreement, the genetic differentiation grouped over all different time periods was also small and not significantly different from zero ($F_{ST} = 0.039$, CI: -0.008 – 0.090 , one sample t test against 0, $t = 0.861$, $d.f. = 6$, $P = 0.42$). Correction for the occurrence of null alleles, i.e., the ENA correction, had no effect on the genetic differentiation and was not significantly different from zero ($F_{ST} = 0.024$, CI: -0.058 – 0.071 , one sample t test against 0, $t = 0.739$, $d.f. = 6$, $P = 0.48$). Calculation of Jost D , another statistic to measure

Table II. After removal of identified sisters, the number of workers (n), the number of alleles (N), allelic richness (N_A), observed heterozygosity (H_O), expected heterozygosity (H_E), inbreeding coefficient (F_{IS}), and the for null alleles corrected inbreeding coefficient (F_{IS} IIM; Cybicki and Burczyk, 2009) for all microsatellite loci over the populations for each time period, with mean values and SD.

Population	BT11	BL02	BT10	BT04	B11	B132	B126	Mean	SD
1895 ($n=6$)									
N	4.0	2.0	6.0	3.0	4.0	4.0	4.0	3.9	± 1.2
N_A	4.00	1.91	4.89	3.00	3.58	3.33	3.58	3.47	± 0.91
H_O	0.000	0.000	0.833	0.250	0.600	0.500	0.600	0.398	± 0.322
H_E	0.750	0.278	0.778	0.656	0.580	0.625	0.580	0.607	± 0.164
F_{IS}	1.000	1.000	-0.071	0.619	-0.034	0.200	-0.034	0.383	± 0.484
F_{IS} IIM	0.530	0.464	0.095	0.266	0.132	0.178	0.153	0.260*	± 0.171
1915 ($n=34$)									
N	10.0	3.0	11.0	7.0	5.0	4.0	10.0	7.1	± 3.2
N_A	5.60	1.77	5.18	4.19	2.57	1.64	4.79	3.68	± 1.66
H_O	0.545	0.000	0.706	0.421	0.379	0.042	0.654	0.392	± 0.279
H_E	0.872	0.213	0.841	0.745	0.406	0.157	0.804	0.577	± 0.301
F_{IS}	0.374	1.000	0.161	0.435	0.066	0.765	0.187	0.423*	± 0.338
F_{IS} IIM	0.194	0.718	0.068	0.245	0.087	0.256	0.117	0.241*	± 0.223
1923 ($n=18$)									
N	9.0	3.0	7.0	8.0	5.0	2.0	7.0	5.9	± 2.6
N_A	5.00	1.62	4.84	5.87	2.80	1.61	4.24	3.71	± 1.71
H_O	0.231	0.056	0.529	0.500	0.529	0.000	0.692	0.362	± 0.267
H_E	0.820	0.156	0.824	0.859	0.471	0.165	0.749	0.578	± 0.313
F_{IS}	0.718	0.644	0.357	0.418	-0.125	1.000	0.075	0.441*	± 0.386
F_{IS} IIM	0.445	0.342	0.195	0.228	0.061	0.647	0.071	0.284*	± 0.211

* $P < 0.05$; inbreeding coefficient significantly different from 0

Table III. Pairwise F_{ST} for the different time periods (with ENA correction) under the diagonal and the harmonic mean of $Dest$ across loci above the diagonal.

$Dest/F_{ST}$	1895	1915	1923
1895	–	0.039	0.045
1915	0.055	–	0.005
1923	0.037	–0.003	–

differentiation, among the different time periods was 0.034 and this was not significantly different from zero (CI: -0.047 – 0.113 , one sample t test against 0, $t=1.768$, $d.f.=6$, $P=0.13$).

3.4. Inbreeding and presence of diploid males

We detected high inbreeding coefficients (F_{IS}) across all loci (0.415 ± 0.387 , mean $\pm$ SD) (Table II). Both the years 1915 and 1923 were significantly different from zero (one sample t test against 0, $d.f.=6$, $t=3.31$, $P=0.028$ and $t=3.03$, $P=0.038$, respectively). The inbreeding corrected for null alleles based on IIM (F_{IS} IIM) across all loci was much lower: 0.262 ± 0.194 (Table II), but was still significantly different from zero for each time period (one sample t test against 0, $d.f.=6$, $t=5.75$, $P=0.001$ for 1915; $t=4.86$, $P=0.003$ for 1923; and $t=5.81$, $P=0.001$ for 1895) (Table II). Significant inbreeding was supported by the occurrence of diploid males in each time period: one in 1895 ($n=10$) and three in both the years 1915 ($n=20$) and 1923 ($n=20$).

3.5. Test for bottleneck presence

The calculated M ratios averaged across loci, were 0.650 in 1895, 0.673 in 1915, and 0.662 in 1923. Based on the generally accepted critical M ratio of $M_C \leq 0.680$ as described by Garza and Williamson (2001), the population of all three time periods showed evidence of a bottleneck. When comparing the calculated M ratios averaged across loci with the here simulated M_C ratios, which ranged from 0.639 to 0.831, each

population showed also signs of having passed through a bottleneck except for combinations using extreme parameter values. The parameter settings of the calculation were $\Delta_g=3.5$, $\mu=0.20$ and $p_s=5$ and 10, and the resulting M_C ratios were 0.639 and 0.643, respectively. It should be noticed that for small data sizes, as is here the case for the year 1895, the interpretation of the results can be problematic because of stochastic effects (Garza and Williamson 2001); however, the generated data are valid for the other time periods.

3.6. Simulation of H_E evolution in declining populations

The simulations for different ancestral effective population sizes ($N_{Ac}=100$ to 15,000) over 28 generations resulted in marginal losses of H_E of around or less than 0.05 even when starting with a strong negative growth factor of 5 %.

4. DISCUSSION

In this project, we used a set of eight microsatellites to genotype museum specimens of *B. veteranus*. Then, we analyzed how genetic parameters of bumblebee populations (i.e., allelic richness, observed and expected heterozygosities, genetic differentiation, and inbreeding) evolved over a period of three decades (1895–1923). In all the time periods, we detected low heterozygosities and positive inbreeding coefficients (the F_{IS} values ranged from 0.383 to 0.441) which can be caused by several factors such as the presence of null alleles, population subdivision, and inbreeding (Callen et al. 1993). For null alleles, the program MicroChecker 2.2.3 confirmed the presence of null alleles in our data, but the frequencies were low in all loci. After we corrected for null alleles based on the individual inbreeding model IIM, the inbreeding coefficients stayed high (F_{IS} IIM ranging from 0.241 to 0.284) which is indicating that the high inbreeding coefficients cannot be explained by the occurrences of null alleles. Similarly, population subdivision can be excluded as a factor

here for our data since the genetic differentiation observed in *B. veteranus* ($F_{ST}=0.024$) was small. In continuation of our analysis, it seemed to be more likely that the significant positive inbreeding coefficients have been influenced by high levels of inbreeding. Indeed the presence of inbreeding was confirmed by the occurrence of sterile diploid males in the three time periods. Our data demonstrated that the population of *B. veteranus* in Belgium showed inbreeding between 1915 and 1923, with the indication that this phenomenon was already present since 1895. Thus inbreeding was already present 25–30 years before the actual decline of *B. veteranus* that started in Belgium around 1950 (Rasmont and Mersch 1988; Rasmont et al. 1993). As a consequence, we believe that the data obtained therefore suggest that the observed inbreeding did not directly result in the collapse of *B. veteranus*.

As reported by Goulson et al. (2008), it is expected that populations of declining species become rare and isolated. As a consequence, populations of declining species exhibit a loss of genetic diversity (drop in heterozygosity and allelic richness) and gene flow over time, while for stable populations such changes are less likely to occur (Goulson et al. 2008). In this context, we ran a simulation of over 28 generations with *B. veteranus*. However, these simulations demonstrated that in most of the cases, a reduction in population size (simulating bumblebee decline) resulted in a marginal loss of H_E of around or less than 0.05. Interestingly, our simulation data agree with those of Lozier and Cameron (2009) as these authors could also not detect a major drop in H_E in a simulation of over 38 generations in the declining bumblebee species *Bombus pensylvanicus*. So both simulations do not show a major drop in H_E over time. In their review, Goulson et al. (2008) presented the hypothesis that the genetic diversity (N_A and H_E) in current declined species is reduced as compared to other common *Bombus* species. But without actually knowing the ancestral H_E , it is difficult to conclude if a drop of H_E really occurred. Indeed, our data are strong indicatives that *B. veteranus* already had a low H_E before its decline. This agrees with a low H_E in the old

specimens of *B. pensylvanicus* that is a declined bumblebee species in the USA (Lozier and Cameron 2009).

As reported by Rasmont and Mersch (1988), Rasmont et al. (1993), and Goulson et al. (2008), general drivers like the reduction in floral resources by agricultural intensification acted around 1950 for bumblebee decline. With the data obtained in this project, we can postulate the hypothesis that bumblebees with a low genetic diversity were then the first to decline. Hence, they were less prepared to face these troubled times or less adapted to this new environment. Furthermore, the low H_E we found in all populations could also be explained by the fact that *B. veteranus* was a source-sink population in Belgium, as this species is well-known for its sudden appearance in different parts in Europe (Söderman 1999; Rasmont and Iserbyt 2010). Indeed in agreement with the low genetic diversity, each time period demonstrated signs of the occurrence of a genetic bottleneck. Here, the presence of a bottleneck is based on the M values; however, we notice here that some M values should be interpreted with some caution since they can be sensitive to outliers in small data sets. In addition to those general drivers affecting the bumblebee populations, Voeikov (1953) described that *B. veteranus* is often inquilines of other *Thoracobombus* species such as *Bombus sylvarum*, *Bombus muscuorum*, *Bombus humilis*, and *Bombus ruderalis*. This phenomenon of *B. veteranus* being dependent on the nesting behavior of other species, could have made this species even more vulnerable toward extinction. Our data showed that *B. veteranus* remained abundantly present in Belgium until the 1950 and this in spite of the high inbreeding coefficients and the low genetic diversity presented in the population. This is unexpected in the case that inbreeding would have had major effects on the species success. Nonetheless, no inbreeding depression was detected here. These results are similar to those of *B. terrestris* in Tasmania (Schmid-Hempel et al. 2007). The latter study demonstrated that despite a drastic genetic bottleneck, *B. terrestris* could successfully invade and colonize Tasmania. Therefore, we

believe that this population was robust against the possible effects of a low genetic diversity and/or associated inbreeding. But it has also to be noted that in Tasmania, there was a very favorable environment with no direct inter-species competition and no pathogens. However, negative effects of inbreeding have been reported, like the production of diploid males. In the case this happens, then the queens who mate with diploid males are unable to initiate a colony and also diploid males do not work for the colony which will also have a negative effect on the population growth rate (Cook and Crozier 1995; Gerloff and Schmid-Hempel 2005; Whitehorn et al. 2009). Furthermore, our result of detecting inbreeding without inbreeding depression could be explained by the hypothesis that the haplodiploid sex determination system of Hymenoptera is leading to a strong effect of purging selection against recessive deleterious alleles in the haploid males (Sorati et al. 1996; Packer and Owen 2001).

In conclusion, our data with *B. veteranus* demonstrated inbreeding over a period of 1895 to 1923 while the population remained stable, implying that inbreeding does not directly trigger the actual decline and/or extinction of bumblebees. However, inbreeding might still play an indirect role in the decline of bumblebee populations because of the appearance of diploid males and because a low H_E might reduce the capacity of the bumblebee population to react on environmental changes.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This project was supported by the Special Research Fund of Ghent University, the Fund for Scientific Research-Flanders (FWO-Vlaanderen), and the Fonds de la Recherche Scientifique-Fonds de la Recherche Fondamentale Collective (FNRS-FRFC) (FRFC convention no. 2.4618.12).

***Bombus veteranus* : l'analyse de microsatellites de cette espèce à partir d'échantillons d'un museum révèle une consanguinité avant leur régression.**

Microsatellites / ADN ancien / collections muséographiques / diversité génétique / déclin des bourdons

Mikrosatelliten-Analyse von Museumsproben verrät das Auftreten von Inzucht bereits vor dem Rückgang von *Bombus veteranus*.

Mikrosatelliten / ancient DNA / Museumssammlungen / geneRückgang von Hummeln / Rückgang von Hummeln

REFERENCES

- Anderson, C.N.K., Ramakrishnan, U., Chan, Y.L., Hadly, E.A. (2005) Serial SimCoal: a population genetic model for data from multiple populations and points in time. *Bioinformatics* **21**, 1733–1734
- Ashley, M.V., Berger-Wolf, T.Y., Caballero, I.C., Chaovalitwongse, W., Dasgupta, B., et al. (2008) Full siblings reconstruction in wild populations from microsatellite genetic markers. *Nova, Hauppauge. Computational Biology: New Research*
- Ashley, M.V., Caballero, I.C., Chaovalitwongse, W., Dasgupta, B., Govindan, P., Sheikh, S.I., Berger-Wolf, T.Y. (2009) KINALYZER, a computer program for reconstructing sibling groups. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* **9**, 1127–1131
- Blacquière, T., Smagghe, G., Van Gestel, C.A.M., Mommaerts, V. (2012) Neonicotinoids in bees: a review on concentrations, side-effects and risk-assessment. *Ecotoxicology* **21**, 973–992
- Callen, D.F., Thompson, A.D., Shen, Y., Phillips, H.A., Richards, R.L., Mulley, J.C., Sutherland, G.R. (1993) Incidence and origin of “null” alleles in the (AC)_n microsatellite markers. *Am. J. Hum. Genet* **52**, 922–927
- Cameron, S.A., Lozier, J.D., Strange, J.P., Koch, J.B., Cordes, N., Solter, L.F., Griswold, T.L. (2011) Patterns of widespread decline in North American bumble bees. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **18**, 662–667
- Chapuis, M.-P., Estoup, A. (2007) Microsatellite null alleles and estimation of population differentiation. *Mol. Biol. Evol* **24**, 621–631
- Chapuis, M.-P., Lecoq, M., Michalakakis, Y., Loiseau, A., Sword, G.A., Piry, S., Estoup, A. (2008) Do outbreaks affect genetic population structure? A worldwide survey in *Locusta migratoria*, a pest plagued by microsatellite null alleles. *Mol. Ecol* **17**, 3640–3653
- Chybicki, I.J., Burczyk, J. (2009) Simultaneous estimation of null alleles and inbreeding coefficients. *J. Hered* **100**, 106–113
- Connop, S., Hill, T., Steer, J., Shaw, P. (2010) The role of dietary breadth in national bumblebee (*Bombus*) declines: simple correlation? *Biol. Conserv* **143**, 2739–2746

- Cook, J.M., Crozier, R.H. (1995) Sex determination and population biology in the Hymenoptera. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **10**, 281–286
- Crawford, N.G. (2010) SMOGD: software for the measurement of genetic diversity. *Mol. Ecol. Resour.* **10**, 556–557
- Duchateau, M.J., Hishiba, H., Velthuis, H.H.W. (1994) Diploid males in the bumble bee *Bombus terrestris*. *Entomol. Exp. Appl.* **71**, 263–269
- El Mousadik, A., Petit, R.J. (1996) Chloroplast DNA phylogeography of the argan tree of Morocco. *Mol. Ecol.* **5**, 547–555
- Estoup, A., Solignac, M., Harry, M., Cornuet, J.-M. (1993) Characterization of (GT)_n and (CT)_n microsatellites in two insect species *Apis mellifera* and *Bombus terrestris*. *Nucleic. Acid. Res.* **21**, 1427–1431
- Excoffier, L., Novembre, J., Schneider, S. (2000) SimCoal: a general coalescent program for simulation of molecular data in interconnected populations with arbitrary demography. *J. Hered.* **91**, 506–509
- Frankham, R. (2005) Genetics and extinction. *Biol. Conserv.* **126**, 131–140
- Garza, J.C., Williamson, E. (2001) Detection of reduction in population size using data from microsatellite DNA. *Mol. Ecol.* **10**, 305–318
- Gerloff, C.U., Schmid-Hempel, P. (2005) Inbreeding depression and family variation in a social insect, *Bombus terrestris* (Hymenoptera: Apidae). *Oikos* **111**, 67–80
- Goudet, J. (2001) Fstat: a program to estimate and test gene diversities and fixation indices (version 2.9.3). Updated from Goudet, J. (1995): Fstat (version 1.2): a computer program to calculate F-statistics. *J. Hered.* **86**, 485–486
- Goulson, D., Osborne, J.L. (2010) Foraging economics. Bumblebees: behaviour, ecology and conservation (ed. by D. Goulson), p. 96. Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK
- Goulson, D., Lye, G.C., Darvill, B. (2008) Decline and conservation of bumble bees. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* **53**, 191–208
- Guinand, B., Scribner, K.T. (2003) Evaluation of methodology for detection of genetic bottlenecks: inferences from temporally replicated lake trout populations. *C. R. Biol.* **326**(Supplement 1), 61–67
- Hines, H.M., Hendrix, S.D. (2005) Bumble bee (Hymenoptera: Apidae) diversity and abundance in tallgrass prairie patches: effects of local and landscape floral resources. *Environ. Entomol.* **34**, 1477–1484
- Jost, L. (2008) G(ST) and its relatives do not measure differentiation. *Mol. Ecol.* **17**, 4015–4026
- Keller, L.F., Waller, D.M. (2002) Inbreeding effects in wild populations. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **17**, 230–241
- Klein, A.-M., Vaissière, B.E., Cane, J.H., Steffan-Dewenter, I., Cunningham, S.A., et al. (2007) Importance of pollinators in changing landscapes for world crops. *Proc. R. Soc. B.* **274**, 303–313
- Kremen, C., Williams, N.M., Aizen, M.A., Gemmill-Herren, B., Le Buhn, G., et al. (2007) Pollination and other ecosystem services produced by mobile organisms: a conceptual framework for the effects of land-use change. *Ecol. Lett.* **10**, 299–314
- Lozier, J.D., Cameron, S.A. (2009) Comparative genetic analyses of historical and contemporary collections highlight contrasting demographic histories for the bumblebees *Bombus pensylvanicus* and *B. impatiens* in Illinois. *Mol. Ecol.* **18**, 1875–1886
- Meeus, I., Brown, M.J.F., de Graaf, D.C., Smagghe, G. (2011) Effects of invasive parasites on bumble bee declines. *Conserv. Biol.* **25**, 662–671
- Nei, M. (1978) Estimation of average heterozygosity and genetic distance from a small number of individuals. *Genetics* **89**, 583–590
- Packer, L., Owen, R. (2001) Population genetic aspects of pollinator decline. *Conserv. Ecol.* **5**, 4
- Pauly, A., Rasmont, P. (2010) Les bourdons de la Belgique. Website Atlas Hymenoptera, Gembloux Agro-Biotech, Université de Mons, Gembloux, Mons. <http://www.zoologie.umh.ac.be/hymenoptera/page.asp?id=160>. Accessed 10 January, 2012.
- Peakall, R., Smouse, F. (2006) GENALEX 6: Genetic Analysis in Excel. Population Genetic Software for Teaching and Research. Australian National University, Canberra, Australia
- Rasmont, P., Iserbyt, I. (2010) Atlas of the European Bees: genus *Bombus*. STEP Project, *Atlas Hymenoptera*, Mons, Gembloux. <http://www.zoologie.umh.ac.be/hymenoptera/page.asp?ID=169>. Accessed 25 December, 2011.
- Rasmont, P., Mersch, P. (1988) Première estimation de la dérive faunique chez les bourdons de la Belgique (Hymenoptera, Apidae). *Ann. Soc. Royal Zool. Bel.* **118**, 141–147
- Rasmont, P., Leclercq, J., Jacob-Remacle, A., Pauly, A., Gaspar, C. (1993) The faunistic drift of *Apoidea* in Belgium. pp. 65–87, in Bruneau, E., Bees for pollination, Commission of the European Communities, Brussels, 237 pp.
- Rasmont, P., Pauly, A., Terzo, M., Patiny, S., Michez, D., Iserbyt, S., Barbier, Y., Haubruge, E. (2005) The survey of wild bees (Hymenoptera, Apoidea) in Belgium and France. FAO, Roma, 18 pp.
- Reber Funk, C., Schmidt-Hempel, R., Schmid-Hempel, P. (2006) Microsatellite loci for *Bombus* spp. *Mol. Ecol. Notes* **6**, 83–86
- Schmid-Hempel, P., Schmid-Hempel, R., Brunner, P.C., Seeman, O.D., Allen, G.R. (2007) Invasion success of the bumblebee, *Bombus terrestris*, despite a drastic genetic bottleneck. *Heredity* **99**, 414–422

- Söderman, G. (1999) Diversity of pollinator communities in Eastern Fennoscandia and Eastern Baltics. Results from pilot monitoring with yellow traps in 1997–1998. The Finnish Environment 355, Finnish Environment Institute, Helsinki.
- Sorati, M., Newman, M., Hovman, A.A. (1996) Inbreeding and incompatibility in *Trichogramma brassicae*: evidence and implications for quality control. Entomol. Exp. Appl. **78**, 283–290
- Strange, J.P., Knoblett, J., Griswold, T. (2009) DNA amplification from pin-mounted bumble bees (*Bombus*) in a museum collection: effects of fragment size and specimen age on successful PCR. Apidologie **40**, 134–139
- Stroot, P., Depiereux (1989) Proposition d'une méthodologie pour établir des listes rouges d'invertébrés menacé. Biol. Conserv **48**, 163–179
- Thompson, H.M. (2001) Assessing the exposure and toxicity of pesticides to bumblebees (*Bombus* sp.). Apidologie **32**, 305–321
- Van Oosterhout, C., Hutchinson, W.F., Wills, D.P.M., Shipley, P. (2004) MICROCHECKER: software for identifying and correcting genotyping errors. Mol. Ecol. Notes **4**, 535–538
- Voveikov, G.S. (1953) Estestvennaya smena samok vo cem'ya shchmelej (Hym. Bomb.). Russkoe entomol obozrenie **33**, 174–184
- Wandeler, P., Hoeck, P.E.A., Keller, L.F. (2007) Back to the future: museum specimens in population genetics. Trends Ecol. Evol **22**, 634–642
- Wang, J.L. (2004) Sibship reconstruction from genetic data with typing errors. Genetics **166**, 1963–1979
- Whitehorn, P.R., Tinsley, M.C., Brown, M.J.F., Darvill, B., Goulson, D. (2009) Impacts of inbreeding on bumblebee colony fitness under field conditions. BMC Evol. Biol **9**, 152
- Williams, P.H. (1982) The distribution and decline of British bumble bees (*Bombus* Latr.). J. Apic. Res **21**, 236–245
- Zayed, A. (2009) Bee genetics and conservation. Apidologie **40**, 237–262