EXEMPLAR BASED METRIC LEARNING FOR ROBUST VISUAL LOCALIZATION

Cédric Le Barz, Nicolas Thome, Matthieu Cord, Stéphane Herbin, Martial Sanfourche

To cite this version:
Cédric Le Barz, Nicolas Thome, Matthieu Cord, Stéphane Herbin, Martial Sanfourche. EXEMPLAR BASED METRIC LEARNING FOR ROBUST VISUAL LOCALIZATION. IEEE International Conference on Image Processing, ICIP 2015, Sep 2015, Québec, Canada. pp.388-w6mP-151, 2015. hal-01198662

HAL Id: hal-01198662
https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01198662
Submitted on 14 Sep 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L’archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire HAL, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d’enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.
EXEMPLAR BASED METRIC LEARNING FOR ROBUST VISUAL LOCALIZATION

C. Le Barz  N. Thome, M. Cord  S. Herbin, M. Sanfourche

Thales  Sorbonne University  ONERA - The French
Theresis  UPMC Univ. Paris 06  Aerospace Lab
91767 Palaiseau, France  75005 Paris, France  91123 Palaiseau, France

ABSTRACT

This paper presents an exemplar based metric learning framework dedicated to robust visual localization in complex scenes, e.g. street images. The proposed framework learns off-line a specific (local) metric for each image of the database, so that the distance between a database image and a query image representing the same scene is smaller than the distance between the current image and other images of the database. To achieve this goal, we generate geometric and photometric transformations as proxies for query images. From the generated constraints, the learning problem is cast as a convex optimization problem over the cone of positive semi-definite matrices, which is efficiently solved using a projected gradient descent scheme. Successful experiments, conducted using a freely available geo-referenced image database, reveal that the proposed method significantly improves results over the metric in the input space, while being as efficient at test time. In addition, we show that the model learns discriminating features for the localization task, and is able to gain invariance to meaningful transformations.

Index Terms— content-based image retrieval, supervised metric learning, visual localization, place recognition

1. CONTEXT

The problem tackled in this paper is visual localization at a street level [1] [2] [3]. Visual localization methods differ from each other by the type of features extracted online (2D only [2] and/or 3D [4]), the matching method (dedicated or not to large scale [5] [6]), and the a priori information used (geo-referenced database image [2], 3D model [3], 2D roadmap [7], mobile cell phone information [6],...). Our typical scenario is the precise localization of a vehicle whose approximate localization is known. Our problem is cast to an image retrieval (IR) problem using only 2D features extracted from acquired images and 2D geo-referenced database image (Fig. 1). Exploiting only image content is challenging because, even if query and database images depict the same scene, camera-view points, illumination and colorimetry are different, the scene itself may have changed over time and been occluded. Preliminary experiments made clear that standard image retrieval approaches may not always be selective enough for street areas because the same features tend to be shared by several neighbour images. Standard matching methods can be classified into voting-based strategies [8] and methods relying on the Bag of Words (BOW) model [9]. Voting-based methods [8] search for each query image descriptor the N nearest descriptors belonging to database descriptors. Each of these N nearest descriptors votes for a database image. The images having the highest vote number are likely to be similar images. Ultimately, geometrical verification is often used to further improve performances. These methods are effective, but are very time consuming and do not scale well to large databases. BOW-based methods [9] quantify local descriptors of images with a codebook of visual words to generate a visual words histogram. The codebook is previously learned by clustering feature space of a learning image database in K visual words. Several extensions have been proposed, in terms of coding (Vector of Locally Aggregated Descriptors (VLAD) [10] or Fischer Vector (FV) [11]), or pooling [12], or integration of spatial information [13]. BOW-based methods are fast, and have further been optimized to find images in huge database images.

The choice of the visual similarity is a key ingredient for effective image retrieval systems. A common choice is the Euclidean or $\chi^2$ distance to find the images that are most sim-
ilar to the query image. Another appealing solution is metric learning, which proves to be useful for many image processing tasks, such as image classification, retrieval or face verification. Metric learning algorithms learn a transformation of data which is optimized for a prediction task, such as nearest-neighbour classification. The metric learning literature is very abundant, and an exhaustive review of existing methods is outside the scope of the paper. The reader can refer to [14]. Basically, methods can be classified according to the type of training data, e.g. pairs [15], triplets [16] or quadruplets [17], and the distance parametrization.

In this paper, we propose an exemplar-based metric learning framework, Exabal, dedicated to robust visual localization in complex scenes such as street images. Our solution is dedicated to street scale localization instead of city scale localization as proposed in [18]. The rationale of Exabal is to learn a local pseudo-distance matrix for each image of the database, so that the distance between a database image and the given query acquired from the same scene is smaller than the distance between this query and other (close) images in the database. To achieve this goal, our method encompasses the following contributions:

- During training, we generate sensible geometric and photometric transformations to model images similar to unknown query images. This offers the possibility to learn features able to discriminate a given dataset image from its neighbours, and at the same time to learn invariance to common transformations occurring at test time.
- The learning of a local metric for each dataset image is cast as a convex optimization problem, which is efficiently solved with a projected gradient descent scheme. Once this off-line procedure is carried out, computing the similarity at test time is very efficient, e.g. much faster than methods based on kNN votes like [1]. It can also benefit from existing fast indexing structures (e.g. inverted files, search trees).
- Successful experiments reveal the ability of the method to effectively retrieve images in complex street scenes. We show that the model learns sensible invariances and discriminating features for localization.

2. EXABAL METHOD FOR METRIC LEARNING

We consider here the widely used Mahalanobis distance metric \( D_M \) that is parameterized by the positive semi-definite matrix (PSD matrix) \( M \in \mathbb{S}^d_+ \) such that the distance between vectorial representations \( (x_j, x_k) \in \mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^d \) of the images \( (I_j, I_k) \) is written as follows:

\[
D_M^2(x_j, x_k) = (x_j - x_k)^\top M (x_j - x_k)
\] (1)

Learning a pseudo-distance matrix using Eq. (1) is equivalent to learning a linear transformation of data, since any PSD matrix \( M \) can be decomposed as: \( M = L^\top L \) where \( L \in \mathbb{R}^{e \times d} \).

The method can be easily extended to non-linear metric learning using kernel methods [14].

The overall pipeline of the proposed metric learning scheme is illustrated in Figure 2. The rationale of the method is to learn a local distance matrix \( M_k \), as defined in Eq. (1), for each image of the database \( I_k \), leading to an exemplar-based metric learning scheme.

2.1. Exemplar-Based Constraints

Basically, we require that the distance between each image signature \( x_k \) and other neighbour image signatures of the database \( x_{k'} \), \( k' \neq k \), is larger than the distance between \( x_k \) and a query signature \( x_q \), representing the same scene as \( I_k \). During training, the main challenge is to find images which are representative of the unknown query images that we have to localize. To achieve this goal, we propose to generate geometric and photometric transformations from \( I_k \) as proxies for potential test query images.

Formally, let us denote as \( T \) the set of considered transformations. For \((T^{(i)}_s, T^{(i)}_d) \in T \times T\), we obtain the transformed images \( T^{(i)}_s(I_k) \) and \( T^{(i)}_d(I_{k'}) \) for \( I_k \) and \( I_{k'} \), respectively. We note \( T_s(x_k) \) and \( T_d(x_{k'}) \) the vectorial signature of \( T^{(i)}_s(I_k) \) and \( T^{(i)}_d(I_{k'}) \), respectively. During training, we enforce the following constraint:

\[
\mathcal{D}_{M_k}(x_k, T_d(x_{k'})) \geq \mathcal{D}_{M_k}(x_k, T_s(x_k)) + 1
\] (2)

The constraint in Eq. (2) promotes matrices \( M_k \) that discriminate \( I_k \) images from \( I_{k'} \) images, at the same time as taking into account potential transformations. An appealing property of our constraint generation approach is the ability to produce a large number of constraints by sampling different \( T^{(i)}_s \) and \( T^{(i)}_d \) transformations, making the optimization of \( M_k \) (with a potentially large number of parameters) robust to over fitting.
In this paper, we focus on rotations and cropping operations, but $\mathcal{T}$ could easily be enriched if required. Each image $I_k$ is described by a feature $x_k$ corresponding to a BOW vector [9] encoding spatial information [13]. We validate in the experiments (section 3) that the method learn a distance that selects discriminative and spatially localized features, making the similarity measure much more powerful than the distance in the input space.

2.2. Optimization

The objective of our learning scheme is to minimize the number of misclassified constraints in Eq. (2). Since the direct resolution of this problem is NP-hard, we introduce a standard hinge loss function $\ell_d$ for penalizing the violation of each constraint in Eq. (2): $\ell_d(x_k, T_s(x_k), T_d(x_k')) = \max[0, 1 - (D_{M_k}(x_k, T_d(x_k')) - D_{M_k}(x_k, T_s(x_k)))]$.

In addition, we incorporate into our objective function the following convex loss $\ell_s$ for each pair $(I_k, T_s(I_k))$: $\ell_s(x_k, T_s(x_k)) = D_{M_k}(x_k, T_s(x_k))$. $\ell_s$ aims at minimizing the distance between each image and its transformed version, i.e. between similar images. As in [16], it can be interpreted as a regularization prior. Note that other regularization schemes could also be used, e.g. based on the Frobenius or nuclear norm [19].

Our final objective $\mathcal{P}(M_k)$ function combines the loss $\ell_s$ and $\ell_d$ over the whole set of constraints, with a weighting parameter $\mu$:

$$\mathcal{P}(M_k) = (1 - \mu) \sum_{T_s \in \mathcal{T}} \ell_s(x_k, T_s(x_k)) + \mu \sum_{k' \neq k, (T_s, T_d) \in \mathcal{T} \times \mathcal{T}} \ell_d(x_k, T_s(x_k), T_d(x_k'))$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

The objective function in Eq. (3) is convex with respect to $M_k$. To solve it, we use a stochastic projected gradient descent scheme. After each gradient computation\(^1\), the matrix $M_k$ is updated and projected onto the PSD cone if necessary. The algorithm is guaranteed to converge to the global minimum, up to a well-chosen gradient step. In practise, the optimization is fast with reasonable number of constraints and quickly converges.

2.3. Localization Model

Once a metric $M_k$ is learned for each image $I_k$ of the database, the goal of our system at test time is to localize a given query image $I_q$, with vectorial representation $x_q$. To achieve this goal, we assume here that we want to match $I_q$ against $N$ given images of the database $\{I_1, ..., I_N\}$ (for example selected using GPS information). Our system thus output the image $I_{k^*}$, which is the closest to $I_q$, as follows:

$$k^* = \arg\min_{k \in [1,N]} D_{M_k}(x_k, x_q)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

where $D_{M_k}(x_k, x_q)$ is the distance between representations of $I_q$ and $I_k$ images computed with the matrix $M_k$, obtained after training as described in section 2.2. When computing the minimization in Eq (4), there is no obvious guarantee that the different distances $D_{M_k}(x_k, x_q)$ for different $M_k$ are comparable, since each optimization has been performed independently. To alleviate this problem, we normalize each $M_k$, as a post-processing learning step, so that the Frobenius norm of $M_k$ is equal to 1. We could use more advanced normalization schemes as proposed in [20], but we found it sufficient in our experiments.

3. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

3.1. Experimental setup

We built an image corpus from Google Pittsburgh dataset [21] for image database, and from Google Streetview images for query images [22]. These image dataset have been acquired at different time, resulting in strong visual changes for the same scenes. Camera fields of view are also different. From the original corpus, we keep one image every 5m resulting in a corpus of 2215 images. Query images are downloaded from Google Streetview website (resolution of 640x480, field of view of 100°, camera tilt of 5°). We requested one image every 15m resulting in 846 query images.

BOW are computed from SIFT descriptors densely extracted: four scales are used 1, 1.5, 2, 2.5 and the step between each descriptor is 4. BOW parameters are the followings: Hard assignment, Sum pooling, L2 Normalization, no tf-idf weighting. Spatial Pyramidal Matching configuration for BOW is 1x1, 2x2. The size of the codebook has been chosen to be 100. The trade-off parameter $\mu$ between the two terms $\ell_s$ and $\ell_d$ of the objective function, was set to 0.5. Image retrieval is performed among N=11 database images, which is equivalent to a localization uncertainty of 50m.

We compared our solution (Exabal) with state of the art IR solutions: a BOW based method with spatial pyramidal matching (BOW), and a kNN votes method like [1] with and without a geometric consistency verification, respectively noted (kNN+RANSAC) and (kNN).

3.2. Results

Achieved performances using the previously described setup, are given in Tab. 1. These results show the interest of exemplar based local metric learning for visual localization.

Compared to (BOW) method, our solution achieves a substantial gain of 12% (from 48% to 60%). Fig.3 presents some examples where Exabal makes it possible to find the database image (b) that depicts the same scene as the query image (a), whereas (BOW) solution can’t (c). Achieved performances validate our objective function defined by Eq. 3, as well as the way we generate the constraints, i.e. by applying various

\(^1\)This computation is easy since each term is (piece-wise) linear in $M_k$. 


transformations to database images in order to build representative images that likely look like to potential query images. The processing time is slightly higher as the Mahalanobis distance is more complex to compute than an Euclidean distance.

Table 1. Mean localisation error, accuracy and time to process one query for state of the art IR algorithms based on Euclidean distance for visual similarity and for our solution (Exabal) based on local learned Mahalanobis distance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Loc. error</th>
<th>Accuracy</th>
<th>Time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(kNN)</td>
<td>9.5m</td>
<td>46%</td>
<td>16.1s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(kNN+RANSAC)</td>
<td>6.0m</td>
<td>58%</td>
<td>21.5s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(BOW)</td>
<td>9.6m</td>
<td>48%</td>
<td>2.1s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Exabal)</td>
<td>5.8m</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td>3.0s</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3. (a) Query images - (b) Images retrieved with (Exabal) solution - (c) Images retrieved with (BOW) solution.

Compared to effective but time consuming methods, the proposed solution achieved better performances than (kNN) (from 46% to 58%) and is even slightly better than (kNN+RANSAC) (from 58% to 60%). At the same time, our method is faster: the processing time to process one query (measured with Matlab) is roughly reduced by a 10 factor.

Thereafter, we analyse the reasons of performance improvements. We show that they are due to the selection of discriminative features, the selection of discriminative image area(s) and, the learning of invariance to photometric and geometric transformations.

- Selection of discriminative features and discriminative image area(s)

The first advantage of our method is that it selects relevant, i.e. discriminative visual features. To visualize the most discriminative word for a given database image, we compute the eigenvector $v_1$ of the largest eigenvalue $\lambda_1$ of $M_k$ that represents the importance of each visual word. This visual word “window corner” makes it possible to retrieve the good image (b), although many features (the bricks of the wall) were common between the query image (a) and a neighbour image (c). What’s more, as the BOW hold spatial information, we also check that Exabal is able to learn where the most discriminative features are located in the image.

- Learning invariance to photometric and geometric transformations

An other advantage of our method is that we learn invariance to photometric and geometric transformations. To demonstrate it, we selected randomly 1000 database images on which various transformations have been applied (i.e. various rotations from $-18^\circ$ to $+18^\circ$ around the 3 axes and various crops from 6 to 35 pixels). Thus we generate 10000 query test images $I_q = T^{(i)}(I_k)$, that have not been used during training. The mean classification rates for these simulated query images are reported in Tab. 2 for (BOW) and (Exabal) solutions. A classification rate of more than 99% when learned Mahalanobis distance is used confirms our claim concerning invariance to photometric and geometric transformations.

Table 2. Mean classification rates computed for simulated test images.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Solution</th>
<th>Mean classification rates</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(BOW)</td>
<td>94.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(Exabal)</td>
<td>99.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4. The visual word "window corner", that has been learned to be discriminating, improves image retrieval task.

4. CONCLUSION

We proposed a new image retrieval framework dedicated to applications for which geographical position (GPS) of database images are available as well as an a priori approximate localization of the query image. The proposed solution is simple and fast: for each query image, only one BOW has to be computed and $N$ Mahalanobis distances. The proposed framework can also benefit from existing fast indexing methods. We compared our framework with state of the art image retrieval algorithms evaluated on a corpus of 846 queries and 2215 database images. Our solution improves accuracy from 48% for a traditional BOW solution to 60%, while maintaining the same processing time. We plan to incorporate this new framework improving local visual similarity measurements in the system exploiting spatio-temporal constraints induced by vehicle moving as proposed in [23]. Finally, even if we use BOW as visual signature, other visual signatures can be easily used, as the recently deep features [24] which have been demonstrated to be efficient.
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