Mass balance and decontamination times of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons in rural nested catchments of an early industrialized region (Seine River basin, France)

David Gateuille^{1,2*}, Olivier Evrard¹, Irène Lefevre¹, Elodie Moreau-Guigon²,

Fabrice Alliot², Marc Chevreuil², Jean-Marie Mouchel²

¹ Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement (LSCE), Unité Mixte
de Recherche 8212 (CEA-CNRS-UVSQ/IPSL), Gif-sur-Yvette, France

² Structure et fonctionnement des systèmes hydriques continentaux (Sisyphe),
Unité mixte de Recherche 7619 (UPMC-CNRS-EPHE), Paris, France

* david.gateuille@lsce.ipsl.fr

Abstract Accumulation of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) in soils and their subsequent release in rivers constitute a major environmental and public health problem in industrialized countries. In the Seine River basin (France), some PAHs exceed the target concentrations, and the objectives of good chemical status required by the European Water Framework Directive might not to be achieved. This investigation was conducted in an upstream subcatchment where atmospheric fallout (n=42), soil (n=33), river water (n=26) and sediment (n=101) samples were collected during one entire hydrological year. PAH concentrations in atmospheric fallout appeared to vary seasonally and to depend on the distance to urban areas. They varied between 60 ng.L⁻¹ (in a remote site during autumn) and 2380 ng.L⁻¹ (in a built-up area during winter). PAH stocks in soil of the catchment were estimated based on land use, as mean PAH concentrations varied between 110 ng.g⁻¹ under woodland and 2120 ng.g⁻¹ in built-up areas. They ranged from 12 to 220 kg.km². PAH contamination in the aqueous phase of rivers remained homogeneous across the catchment (72±38

ng.L⁻¹). In contrast, contamination of suspended solid was heterogeneous depending on hydrological conditions and population density in the drainage area. Moreover, PAH concentrations appeared to be higher in sediment (230-9210 ng.g⁻¹) than in the nearby soils. Annual mass balance calculation conducted at the catchment scale showed that current PAH losses were mainly due to [dissipation \(biodegradation, photo-oxidation and volatilization\) within the catchments](#) (about 80%) whereas exports due to soil erosion and riverine transport appeared to be of minor importance. Based on the calculated fluxes, PAHs appeared to have long [decontamination](#) times in soils (40 to 1850 years) thereby compromising the achievement of legislative targets. [Overall, the study highlighted the major role of legacy contamination that supplied the bulk of PAHs that are still found nowadays in the environment.](#)

Keywords Contamination; Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs); catchment; transfers; Seine River; [decontamination](#) time.

1. Introduction

Reducing environmental contamination constitutes a major challenge for industrialized and developing countries. Certain contaminants such as Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs), a large family of organic substances that are mainly released by the incomplete combustion of organic matter ([OM](#)), are problematic because of their high toxicity and their known carcinogenic properties for animals and humans (Rocher et al., 2006; Srogi, 2007). This public health [and environmental](#) imperative is reinforced by [European](#) law. In the European Union, the Water Framework Directive (WFD; Directive 2000/60/EC) requires that the member state water bodies reach good ecological and chemical status by 2015, 2021 or 2027 ([see Suppl. Mat., section 1](#)). PAH contamination is widespread in Western Europe (Nam et al., 2008), and this issue is particularly emblematic in the Seine River basin where [high PAH](#) concentrations are being measured in sediment or water (Ollivon et al., 1995;

Gasperi et al., 2009) in more than 50% of water bodies, thereby compromising the achievement of the good chemical status (AESN, 2010).

Although emissions have decreased during the last decades in Western Europe (Pacyna et al., 2003), a large amount of PAHs have been released into the atmosphere for the last two centuries (Fernández et al., 2000). In these regions, the current release of these compounds is mainly associated with household heating and road traffic (Baek et al., 1991; Pacyna et al., 2003). Depending on their volatility, PAHs delivered to the atmosphere exist in free vapor form or in association with atmospheric particles (Lohmann & Lammel, 2004; Galarneau et al., 2006) and the gas/particle partition is a critical parameter to understand their deposition on soils, vegetation or impervious surfaces (Demircioglu et al., 2011; Simonich et Hites, 1994).

Once deposited onto the ground, PAHs tend to be sorbed by the organic matter (Soares et al., 2013). Several degradation and transport processes may take place in soils such as microbial activities, humification, volatilization, chemical and photo-oxidation. The rates of the degradation processes depend on the conditions (O₂, temperature, pH, etc.) under which they occur, and PAH half-life in the environment is generally reported to vary between a few days and several years (Aprill et al., 1990). In addition to those degradation losses, PAHs may also be leached from impervious surfaces (Krein and Schorer, 2000; Bomboi and Hernandez, 1991), or removed from soils through the erosion of particles to which they are bound (Zheng et al., 2012). At the catchment scale, fluxes of PAHs bound to the suspended solid (SS) mainly depend on sedimentary dynamics with main export of PAHs occurring during floods (Mourhi et al., 2008; Conaway et al., 2012).

In recent years, studies dealing with PAHs have grown in number but most of them were restricted to the measurement of PAH concentrations in one of the different compartments of the environment (air, soil, sediment, water, vegetation) (e.g. Blanchard et al., 2007; Van Metre et al., 2008; Gasperi et al., 2009; Villanneau et al., 2013). In this context, there remains a lack of knowledge about the transfers between environmental compartments and, consequently, about the persistence of these compounds in the environment. To our knowledge, there have been few attempts in the literature to draw up a total PAH budget calculated at the catchment scale (Bressy et al., 2012; Motelay-Massei et al., 2007). Due to the spatial distribution of human activities, PAH contamination may be very

heterogeneous within catchments. Furthermore, the wide range of sources emitting PAHs complicates the complete understanding of transfers of these compounds in the environment. In order to better constrain them, differences in their chemical signatures and in the relative abundance of the distinct compounds may be used to better understand their origin and pathways in the environment (Guo, 2003; Soclo et al., 2000; Yunker et al., 2002). More importantly, so far and to our knowledge, the relative contribution of dissipation (biodegradation, photo-oxidation and volatilization) and hydrosedimentary processes to PAH losses at the catchment scale has not been quantified.

By monitoring PAH concentrations in different compartments along a network of nested subcatchments (draining areas from 4.7 km² to 12,192 km²) for an entire hydrological year, this study aims at addressing this knowledge gap and at providing a better understanding of PAH transfer pathways at the catchment scale. To this end, (1) PAH concentrations were measured in the different compartments of a catchment, (2) the stocks were quantified, (3) the dynamics of PAHs were assessed in space and time and (4) the decontamination times of PAHs in soils were estimated by calculating a mass balance and by quantifying the relative contribution of dissipation and hydrosedimentary processes to PAH losses in the catchment. Overall, this study may be representative of the contaminant concentrations and the processes involved in their transfer in lowland rural catchments of early industrialized countries. The results of this study provide new insights on PAH transfers in the environment, which will have significant implications for the potential achieving of water/sediment quality guidelines required by the European Union.

2. Materials and methods

Details on analysis methods, stocks and mass balance calculations, as well as estimation of uncertainties are provided in the supplementary material.

2.1. Study site

In this study, we conducted a nested approach by installing sediment traps along a network of nested subcatchments within the 104-km² Orgeval catchment. The network was completed by a set of traps along the Grand Morin River, further downstream of the Orgeval catchment outlet. The Orgeval

catchment is located 70 km eastward from Paris City (Fig. 1). Climate is semi-oceanic. Mean annual rainfall measured since 1963 amounts to 646 mm, but the 12-month study period was drier than the average with 540 mm. The prevailing winds blow mainly from the southwest and the west, or from the northeast. Monthly mean temperature ranges from 0.6 °C (January) up to 18 °C (August), and the annual mean temperature is about 11 °C. The Orgeval catchment is dominated by cropland and grassland (covering 82% of the total surface), the rest of the surface being occupied by woodland (17%) and built-up areas (including roads, <1%). However, the catchment is likely to be influenced by major urban areas as potential atmospheric inputs from Paris conurbation may be expected (Blanchard et al., 2007). About 90 % of the cultivated area is tile drained. The catchment is characterized by gentle slopes (2.5%) with a mean altitude of 148 m.

2.2. Monitoring of hydrological and meteorological parameters

Hydrological and meteorological parameters have been monitored by IRSTEA (Institut national de Recherche en Science et Technologies pour l'Environnement et l'Agriculture) since 1963 in the Orgeval catchment (Tallec, 2012). SS and surface water sampling sites were located close to existing gauging stations. Flows were measured using ultrasonic flow sensors. Automatic samplers collected 500 mL of water daily. Determination of SS concentrations in water was made by filtering every 2-day composite samples on borosilicate glass microfiber filters with a 0.7-µm porosity (Whatman ® GF / F). Rainfall depth was measured at 3 stations across the catchment, located close to C1, C2 and T2* sampling sites.

2.3. Sampling

In order to better understand the pathways of PAHs at the catchment scale, atmospheric fallout, soil, river water and suspended sediment samples have been collected in several locations inside the nested subcatchments for 7 to 12 months. Sampling sites are shown in Fig. 2, most stations were located within the Orgeval catchment, but additional river stations were installed along the downstream Grand Morin and Marne Rivers to better investigate the spatial changes of PAH

contamination in river water and sediment. Input fluxes from the atmosphere were estimated by measuring the total concentration of PAHs in atmospheric fallout (n=42) and rainfall depth in the catchment from June 2011 to April 2012. Three 0.06 m² and one 0.36 m² collectors have been installed across the catchment to collect the total deposition and to document the spatial variability of atmospheric fallout (Fig. 2). The 0.36-m² collector was placed on the highest point, i.e. the Butte de Doue [C2] (180 m altitude). The 3 others collectors were respectively situated at Boissy-le-Châtel [C3] (garden in a small town), Melarchez [C1] (rural grassland) and Chantemerle [C4] (organic cropland). Samples were collected every two weeks to limit contaminant volatilization (Gladkte et al., 2012; Ollivon et al., 2002). Samples were taken back to the laboratory inside 1-L liter glass bottles placed in an ice box at 4°C. The extraction protocol was carried out within the week that followed sampling.

Soils samples (n=33) were collected at several sites selected in order to cover the entire range of land uses observed in the catchment (i.e., intensive farming [S1] and organic cropland field [S4], grassland [S2], woodland [S5] and urban soils [S3]). At each site, 10 subsamples of surface material (top 8 cm) were collected and mixed to provide a homogeneous sample from which 3 aliquots were taken. Coarse organic fragments were removed from the surface layer. Soils were sampled at a minimum distance of 50 m from the closest road to avoid short range PAH deposition and to be representative of the entire plot of land. Once collected, samples were placed in glass recipients over ice, and carried back to the laboratory to be freeze-dried.

Finally, PAH concentrations in aqueous phase (n=26) and suspended sediment (SS) (n=101) were measured. Suspended sediment samples were collected at 9 nested stations between 30 March 2011 and 10 April 2012. Metallic cylindrical traps submerged into the water were used to collect monthly SS. After collection, samples were kept at 4°C for 24h to allow decantation. After this period, the supernatant was removed and decanted solids were freeze-dried. Filtrations carried out on supernatant showed that more than 99% of suspended sediment were recovered during decantation. Suspended sediment sample collection was carried out at 9 stations: Upstream Melarchez [T1] (4.2 km²), Melarchez [T2] (5.9 km²), La Loge [T2*] (6.4 km²), Les Avenelles [T3] (46 km²), Le Theil [T4] (104 km²), Coubertin [T5] (721 km²), Montry [T6] (1206 km²), Condé-Ste-Libiaire [T6*] (10,946

km²) and Trilbardou [T7] (12,192 km²). Figure 3 illustrates the main characteristics (i.e. air temperature, rainfall and river discharge) that prevailed during and between the successive fieldwork campaigns.

2.4. Determination of PAHs

After extraction and purification, PAHs were analyzed by gas chromatography (Agilent GC system 7890A) coupled with a mass spectrometer (Agilent 5975C inert XL MSD). MS signal acquisition was performed in Selected Ion Monitoring (SIM) mode. Thirteen US EPA PAHs could be determined: Acenaphtylene (ACY), Acenaphtene (ACE), Fluorene (FLU), Phenanthrene (PHE), Anthracene (ANT), Fluoranthene (FTH), Pyrene (PYR), Benzo(a)anthracene (BaA), Chrysene (CHR), Benzo(b)fluoranthene + Benzo(k)fluoranthene (BbF+BkF), Benzo(a)pyrene (BaP), and Benzo(g,h,i)perylene (BghiP). Organic carbon was measured using a CHN Elemental Analyzer to determine the carbon weight percentage in soil samples after carbonate removal.

2.5. Stock, mass balance and decontamination time calculations

Stock calculation

PAH stocks in soils of four upstream catchments were calculated based on land use (farmland, woodland or built-up areas) using the following equation (Eq. 1). Areas covered by each land use in the catchment were determined using the CORINE Land Cover 2006 database.

$$St = \sum_{i=1}^3 1350 \times U_i \times D_i \times C_i \quad (1)$$

Where St (g) is the PAH stock in the catchment. U_i is the area (m²) of the catchment under the i^{th} land use and D_i (m) is the corresponding soil contaminated layer depth. Observed ploughing depth within the Orgeval catchment vary between 0.10 and 0.40 m. Consequently, thickness of contaminated soils was estimated to be equal to the mean ploughing depth (0.25 m) in arable fields and to the sampling depth (0.08 m) in areas under other land uses, thereby neglecting a potential PAH transfer to the deeper layers. The latter assumption is supported by previous results (Krauss et al., 2000) showing that PAH concentration in soils decreased rapidly with depth under woodland. Doick et al. (2005) reported

that PAH contamination in soils under cropland was homogenous in the ploughed layer, and that it rapidly decreased below. C_i (g.kg^{-1}) is the mean value of PAH concentration in samples collected in soils under the i^{th} land use and 1350 (kg.m^{-3}) is the estimated bulk density of dry soil (McGrath and Lane, 1989).

Mass balance calculation

Mass balance calculations were performed in four upstream subcatchments based on an estimation of daily fluxes between 11 April 2011 and 10 April 2012. These catchments were chosen because their limited size allowed a good estimation of incoming fluxes, and direct urban releases were considered to be negligible as built-up areas occupied only a small proportion ($< 0.2\%$) of the catchment surface (Eq. 2).

$$\Phi_{at.fallout} = \Phi_{riv.export} + \Phi_{dissipation} + \Delta St \quad (2)$$

Where $\Phi_{at.fallout}$ is the atmospheric fallout (g.yr^{-1}) and it was hypothesized to represent the total incoming fluxes. Under this assumption, PAH supply by local sources (especially roads) was neglected. $\Phi_{riv.export}$ is the flux of PAHs exported (g.yr^{-1}) by the river in both particulate and aqueous phases. ΔSt was the stock variation (g.yr^{-1}) calculated between the beginning (February 2011) and the end (March 2012) of the sampling period. A dissipation term $\Phi_{dissipation}$, corresponding to the losses of PAHs (g.yr^{-1}) within the catchment (mainly biodegradation, photo-oxidation and volatilization), was added to close the mass balance and to decipher the difference measured between input and export fluxes that could not be explained by the stock variation.

Decontamination times

According to the WHO (1998), the half-life of PAHs in soils can vary from a few days for the lightest compounds up to several years for the heaviest ones. In this study, decontamination times were calculated in each catchment by dividing the estimated stocks accumulated in soils by the sum of the annual riverine export fluxes, in both stream water and suspended solid, and the estimated annual dissipation (Eq. 3).

$$DT = \frac{St}{\Phi_{riv.export} + \Phi_{dissipation}} \quad (3)$$

Where DT is the decontamination time (year), St is the PAH stocks in soils, $\Phi_{riv.export}$ is the annual riverine export and $\Phi_{dissipation}$ is the annual losses in the catchment. These decontamination times are equivalent to the time required to remove all PAHs from soil when hypothesizing that there is no additional PAH supply.

Uncertainties

In this study, some uncertainties were related to the hypotheses made to close the mass balance calculation. First, we assumed that the wet and dry deposition of PAHs represented the total atmospheric input therefore neglecting the gaseous exchange (both deposition and volatilization). Few studies compared the order of magnitude of gaseous exchanges and the contribution of dry and wet deposition (Demircioglu et al., 2011; Park et al., 2001). However, gaseous exchange values were not directly measured but estimated based on models and the studies were conducted in specific environmental conditions (i.e. high PAH atmospheric concentration and a low soil contamination). It appeared that gaseous exchanges could be responsible for a significant fraction of the air-soil transfer, especially for low molecular weight PAHs. Based on the results reported by Demircioglu et al. (2011)), an order of magnitude of the gaseous exchange had been estimated and the influence of this hypothesis on the calculated decontamination times is discussed below (section 3.6). Secondly, due to the contamination heterogeneity within the plots, uncertainties might also have arisen from the stock variation calculation as change in mean concentration could have been detected only if higher than 10%. Mass balance calculations were therefore carried out by assuming that there was no change in PAH stocks. This assumption is discussed below (section 3.5) based on historical trends of atmospheric deposition.

Uncertainties may also arise from the flux measurements and they can be quantified (see Suppl. Mat., section 5). Overall, uncertainties on the SS flux, the aqueous riverine export of PAHs and the atmospheric input were respectively below 16, 11 and 5 % (except for T2* where uncertainties on aqueous export reached 35%).

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Atmospheric fallout

Fallout of PAHs in the Orgeval catchment was quantified ($n=42$) and results are reported in Table 1. Although there were some local differences in atmospheric fallout, time-averaged concentration in rainwater (295 ng.L^{-1} , SD: 40 ng.L^{-1}) remained uniform across the catchment with the exception of the collector located in the built-up area [C3] where periods with higher contamination were observed. This spatial homogeneity suggested that a significant fraction of the contamination originated from regional sources located outside of the catchment. The mean estimated deposition flux ($157 \mu\text{g.m}^{-2} \cdot \text{yr}^{-1}$) was of the same order of magnitude as previously reported by Motelay-Massei et al. (2007) ($70 \mu\text{g.m}^{-2} \cdot \text{yr}^{-1}$) and Blanchard et al. (2007) ($78 \mu\text{g.m}^{-2} \cdot \text{yr}^{-1}$) in other rural areas of the Seine River basin. In contrast to this rather good spatial homogeneity, large temporal variations in fallout were observed. Maximal incoming fluxes were measured during the first intense rainfall event of the cold period in early December and during the cold spell of February. The temporal trends observed at the 4 study sites were very similar and showed a significant increase in PAH atmospheric fallout during winter ($p<0.001$; Mann-Whitney test). Such a rise in winter was reported in other studies, and it may be related to the increase in use of household heating (Bozlaker et al., 2008; Motelay-Massei et al., 2003). Individual heating devices using fossil fuels are known to be a major source of PAHs in urban areas (Orasche et al., 2012). Moreover, low temperatures lead to a shift in the gas/particle distribution of PAHs (Kaupp et MacLachlan, 1999; Liu et al., 2013) and concentrations of PAHs bound to particles are therefore usually higher in winter (Galarneau et al., 2006).

3.2. Soils

The total PAH concentrations were measured in soils ($n = 33$) from plots under different land uses (Table 2). The highest contamination was observed in Boissy-le-Châtel town (S3) with a mean concentration in PAHs of $2120 \pm 1590 \text{ ng.g}^{-1}$ ($n = 8$). Surprisingly, PAH concentrations in soils from this small town (3110 inhab. in 2010) were in the same order of magnitude as reported from more industrialized parts of the Seine River basin ($450\text{-}5650 \text{ ng.g}^{-1}$) (Motelay-Massei et al., 2004). In

contrast, the most contaminated rural areas displayed PAH concentrations that were about 5 times lower ($395 \pm 190 \text{ ng.g}^{-1}$ at the S1 conventional farming site), and the difference was even 20-fold lower at the less contaminated sites ($120 \pm 30 \text{ ng.g}^{-1}$ at S2 and $110 \pm 20 \text{ ng.g}^{-1}$ at S5). The high variability of PAH concentration observed at S3 urban site suggested a highly significant influence of soil disturbance as well as very local pollutant sources.

PAH concentrations measured under conventional (S1) and organic farming cropland (S4, $250 \pm 20 \text{ ng.g}^{-1}$) were two- to threefold higher than the ones measured under grassland (S2) and woodland (S5). Cropland was not amended with sewage sludge so that the difference may be explained by the direct pollution generated by the use of agricultural machinery for farming operations (Phoungthong et al., 2013). This may also explain the high variability of PAH concentrations observed within the cultivated plot as ploughing operations may lead to short-scale redistribution of surface particles (Chartin et al., 2013). Finally, the strong similarity of PAH concentrations measured in soils collected under forests and grassland (110 ng.g^{-1}) suggested that they corresponded to the regional contamination background signal supplied by atmospheric inputs alone.

Additional information about sources was provided by calculating several diagnostic PAH ratios (see Suppl. Mat. Table F). The different ratios provided consistent results in all the samples, and showed that PAH contamination in the Orgeval catchment mainly originated from pyrolytic sources (Yunker et al., 2002; Hu et al., 2012). Some statistical differences were detected between soils under different land use types especially for the PHE/(ANT+PHE) ratio for which values were significantly lower ($p < 0.001$) in urban and agricultural soils (0.72 ± 0.05) compared to other land uses (0.83 ± 0.02). This difference highlights a larger contribution of residential heating sources to urban soil contamination whereas the higher values found in agricultural soils may be due to a stronger influence of petroleum combustion sources (Sicre et al., 1987). When calculating the FLH/(FLH+PYR) ratio, values appeared to be significantly higher ($p \leq 0.001$) under conventional farming (0.56 ± 0.01 % at S1) than under other land uses (0.54 ± 0.01 %). This may be due to the contribution of an additional petrogenic source in cropland.

Measurement of PAH concentrations in soils under different land uses allowed to estimate the PAH stocks in the subcatchments. The largest stocks (220 kg.km^{-2}) were found in the vicinity of built-

up areas where local emission sources are concentrated. The stocks estimated in cropland were lower on average than in built-up areas, even though they remained of the same order of magnitude (130 kg.km⁻²). This may be explained by mechanical burying of contaminants during ploughing (Wild et al., 1990). Indeed, PAHs found in deep horizons may be partially protected from soil erosion and the changes in physico-chemical parameters (absence of light, lower nutrient content, etc.) may limit their biodegradation (Levanon et al., 1994). In contrast, surface horizons with high organic matter content under woodland and grassland may prevent PAH from migration in the soil (Krauss et al., 2000). In this context, PAHs are likely trapped in layers where the highest biodegradation rates are observed. This might explain the limited stocks estimated under grassland and woodland.

This result demonstrates the relevance of considering land use to provide quantitative estimations of the stocks accumulated within the subcatchments. This shows the strong effect of local urban sources, even in small towns, and the impact of agricultural activities on soil contamination.

3.3. Surface waters

Although PAHs are preferentially found in the particulate phase, the aqueous phase may play an important role in PAH transfers across catchments characterized by low sediment yields. Concentrations of PAHs measured in the aqueous phase at 5 sampling sites are shown in Table 3. The mean value of PAH contamination in all samples was 72 ± 38 ng.L⁻¹. No spatial trend could be found along the upstream/downstream transect and no statistical difference was detected between the low stage and the flood periods. The present results allowed to quantify the order of magnitude of the PAH fluxes transported in the aqueous phase (3.9 - 6.9 g.km⁻².yr⁻¹).

3.4. Suspended Solid

PAH concentrations measured in SS during the study period are reported in Table 4 (see Suppl. Mat. Table G). The contamination in SS was very high and mean concentrations systematically exceeded 1000 ng.g⁻¹, except at one upstream station (T2*) that mainly drains a forested area (230 ± 260 ng.g⁻¹). During the low stage period, the lowest concentrations were measured at the sampling sites located in the uppermost parts of the catchment, T1 (2330 ± 590 ng.g⁻¹), T2 (1090 ± 310 ng.g⁻¹)

and T2* draining respectively 4.2, 5.9 and 6.4 km², which can be explained by the virtual absence of built-up areas in these subcatchments. However, on a regional scale, these concentrations cannot be considered as low since they are of the same order of magnitude than those measured in estuarine sediment from other French rivers (1000 to 2000 ng.g⁻¹) (Bouloubassi and Saliot, 1991; Budzinski et al., 1997; Ollivon et al., 1995) where the impact of industrialisation is obvious. This result shows the importance of PAH contamination in this rural catchment.

Generally, the concentrations increased in downstream direction with the progressively higher density of population living in the catchment. A strong relationship (Fig. 4: $r^2 = 0.55$; $p < 0.05$) was found between PAH concentrations in SS and density of population in the drainage areas. However, there were some local differences that did not follow an upstream-downstream gradient. For example, lower levels were observed during low flow period at T2 and T4 (3460 ± 985 ng.g⁻¹) than at upstream stations (respectively [T1] and [T3] (4530 ± 1890 ng.g⁻¹)). This may be explained by the occurrence of different physical processes such as particles size sorting or different dominant soil erosion processes in those river sections. At T2, bank erosion was observed whereas surface soil erosion dominated at T1. Bank erosion leads to the input of particles from deeper soil horizons that were assumed to be less contaminated than surface layers. Therefore, upstream sediment was likely diluted with less contaminated SS at this station. At T4, the dilution of contaminated SS may be due to the input of sediment coming from the western part of the catchment, in which population density is about two-fold lower. The maximum time-averaged concentration was observed during the low water period at T5 (9205 ± 3770 ng.g⁻¹) just downstream of Coulommiers in the Grand Morin River, the largest urban area in the study site (i.e., 14,544 inhabitants in 2010). Although the PAH concentrations were lower at T6 than at T5, they remained higher than the ones measured at T6* (3001 ± 560 ng.g⁻¹) and T7 (2940 ± 370 ng.g⁻¹). This might be explained by the fact that the Grand Morin River catchment (drained at T5 and T6) is more contaminated than most upstream parts of the Marne River catchment (drained at T6*). This is consistent with the higher population density observed in the Grand Morin River catchment than in the rest of the area.

During the flood period, the upstream-downstream gradient (mean of $1970 - 8280$ ng.g⁻¹) in PAH contamination was much more obvious. Values at T1, T2* and T5 are not available for this

period because high water velocity carried away the SS traps. For all other stations with the exception of T3, an increase in PAH concentrations was observed during floods compared to the low stage period. However, this difference was only significant for T2, T6 and T7 ($p < 0.05$). The contribution of the Grand Morin River catchment (drained at T6) to the contamination of the Marne River sediment (drained at T7) was also detected during the flood period and it may be explained by the proportionally larger contribution of the Grand Morin tributary to the total sedimentary flux conveyed by the Marne.

Two hypotheses can be made to explain the much higher PAH concentrations measured in SS than in soils. First, both the erosion and the transportation processes may lead to changes in the physico-chemical properties of the particles (Koiter et al, 2013; Zheng et al., 2012). Indeed, PAH concentrations were assumed to be higher in upper layers of the soils, and surface erosion would lead to preferential erosion of contaminated particles and to higher PAH levels in sediment (Krauss et al., 2000). This was supported by a significant increase in (OC) contents observed from soils (mean: 1.71 ± 0.64 %) to sediments (mean: 4.93 ± 1.48 %) even though it remained lower than the rise measured in PAH concentrations. In the same way, size sorting of the particles may occur during transportation (Motha et al., 2002), therefore leading to a change in PAH concentration as pollutants may preferentially be bound to different size fractions (Kukkoken et Landrum, 1996; Piérard et al., 1996; Wang et al., 2001). Second, supplementary sources (e.g. urban waste) or more contaminated areas (e.g. roadside area) that were not integrated in the initial sampling scheme may supply additional PAH loads to the rivers and result in enrichment of SS in PAHs within the river. However, none of these hypotheses allowed explaining all the spatial and the temporal changes observed during our study. Those variations are likely to be driven by a combination of processes and further investigation is required to investigate their relative contribution. Using fingerprinting methods based on radionuclides may provide information about the sources and the residence times of particles within catchments and rivers, and about their relation with PAH concentration in sediment (Evrard et al., 2010).

3.5. Annual mass balances of PAHs at the catchment scales

Mass balance calculations are synthesized in Table 5. Although atmospheric fallout of PAHs was larger in winter, there was a supply of PAHs throughout the year. In contrast, exports of PAHs in the aqueous and particulate phases were only significant during the flood period as $79 \pm 13\%$ of the total riverine PAH fluxes were exported during flood period (125 days). The mass balance of PAHs indicates that PAH inputs were higher than riverine outputs within all investigated subcatchments during the study period. However, the study period was characterized by a lower cumulative annual rainfall amount (540 mm) than the long-term average records (648 mm). The return period of the largest observed flood (in early January) was about 7 months. Consequently, the mass balance calculated in this study is likely to be representative of the behaviour of PAHs during dry years. Except at La Loge (T2*), particle-bound PAHs represented more than 70% of the total amount of PAHs exported by rivers during the sampling period. This highlights the importance of sediment-borne transfers in the riverine export of contaminants with low water solubility. Particle-bound PAH fluxes were relatively low at T2* despite a sediment yield similar to the one observed in other subcatchments (Table 5). Although highly variable, PAH concentrations in SS collected at this station appeared to be systematically lower than at the other stations. This difference between T2* and the other sampling sites may be explained by the fact that woodland covered 67% of the catchment surface draining to this station or by the occurrence of different erosion processes in this area. Additional fingerprinting investigations are ongoing to better discriminate the SS sources and outline the dominant erosion processes prevailing in the different subcatchments. Annual PAH loads exported by the river at T4 reached 3.2 kg, i.e. $0.03 \text{ kg.km}^{-2}.\text{yr}^{-1}$. In contrast, Motelay-Massei et al. (2006) reported much higher fluxes in an urban catchment of the downstream part of the Seine River basin ($5.2 \text{ kg.km}^{-2}.\text{yr}^{-1}$).

Quantifying biodegradation, photo-chemical oxidation and volatilization rates of PAHs in the field at a scale which is consistent with our study may be difficult, and most of the studies dealing with these processes were conducted either in laboratory or at the small plot scale (Johnsen et al., 2005; Wild et al., 1991). Mass balance calculation was carried out assuming that there was no change in

PAH stocks in soils (Table 5) as no significant variation in PAH concentrations in soils was detected during our study ($\Delta St = 0$ in Eq. (2)). Therefore, dissipation was computed as the difference between measured atmospheric input and riverine exports. The dissipation within the catchments (mainly degradation and volatilization processes) therefore appeared to be the main process of PAH removal from soils, thereby supporting previous results: e.g. Wild and Jones (1995) stated that biodegradation and photo-oxidation as the main PAH removal processes in the environment. Those losses were found to be higher in T2* subcatchment where forests cover 67% of the drainage area. Indeed, material rich in fulvic acids can result in higher rates of contaminant degradation (Bogan and Sullivan, 2003). Even though they represent the main PAH removal fluxes in the investigated catchments, the dissipation rates (cumulating all loss processes) calculated at the scale of the Orgeval catchment and subcatchments remained much lower than degradation rates reported in the literature suggesting that PAH dissipation processes may be lower than expected when examined at a catchment scale. Several explanations may be put forward to explain this difference. First, experimental parameters may strongly differ depending on the local environmental conditions (Shuttleworth and Cerniglia, 1995) and degradation process may be reduced by chemical or physical conditions in the soil, such as temperature, pH, moisture, or aeration (Bogan and Sullivan, 2003; Kästner et al, 1998). Then, several processes may limit PAH degradation such as bacteria consortium competitions, limited bioavailability and toxicity (Haritash and Kaushic, 2009; Nzila, 2013). Long-term storage in soils may also prevent PAHs from degradation as aging and sequestration are more likely to occur at those timescales (Mahmoudi et al. 2013). Our results on dissipation revealed that the measured annual PAH input (and output) only represents a small proportion ($< 0.5\%$) of the total estimated stocks accumulated in the catchment, which is in agreement with our observation regarding the stock extent. However, low changes in PAH stocks at a catchment scale cannot be precisely assessed without long term monitoring results, and only very high dissipation rates could have been assessed from a detectable drop in PAH concentrations in soils during this study.

An estimation of stocks in soils cumulated throughout the years was carried out based on historical deposition rates of atmospheric PAHs reported by Fernández et al. (2000). Their results were consistent with another work dealing with emissions (Pacyna et al., 2003) and showed that deposition

rates in France increased from 1883-1890 to reach a peak in the 1960s and then decreased to the current values. An order of magnitude of the PAH legacy stocks was calculated based on current deposition rates and assuming that PAH fallout in the studied catchments followed the same temporal trend (maximum of $1600 \mu\text{g.m}^{-2}.\text{yr}^{-1}$ in 1965). When hypothesizing that the PAH losses in the catchment were proportional to the cumulated stock, the annual loss rate should reach a maximum of about 0.5 % to match with the current stocks. Based on this value, PAH losses during our study should have been twice higher ($360 \mu\text{g.m}^{-2}.\text{yr}^{-1}$) than the one estimated ($157 \mu\text{g.m}^{-2}.\text{yr}^{-1}$). Given the rough hypothesis that was made, both values must be considered as similar. This result supports the assumption that the yearly variations of PAH stock at the catchments scale are limited.

3.6. Estimation of decontamination times

Estimated decontamination times for all the compounds in the 4 subcatchments are reported in Table 6. They appeared to be much higher (44 – 1850 yrs) compared to half-lives (< 10 yrs) reported in the literature (e.g., WHO, 1998) and several assumptions have been suggested above to explain these differences. Results suggested that heaviest PAHs have longer decontamination times in the investigated catchments (550–1850 yrs), because they are strongly bound to particles and they may therefore remain trapped in the soils. In contrast, the lightest compounds associated with decontamination times estimated between 44 and 670 years may either be transferred faster to the rivers or degraded.

In order to investigate the influence of the hypotheses we made on decontamination times, we calculated 3 sets of DT for T2 sampling site as an example, following 3 scenarios (Table 6). The first is the reference scenario (1), DT were calculated without taking into account the gaseous deposition and using the hydrological parameters measured during the study period. In the second scenario (2), the gaseous deposition was considered using the ratio between gaseous exchange and the total deposition reported by Demircioglu et al. (2011). Consequently, mass balance calculations were modified, resulting in an increase of the dissipation flux in the catchment. Finally, the third scenario (3) investigated the impact of hydrology. Indeed, the study period was rather dry, and river discharge and SS flux remained limited (Max. flow: $545 \text{ m}^3.\text{s}^{-1}$). In this third scenario, the dissipation flux was

assumed to be equal to the one calculated in 2012, but riverine exports were calculated based on flow and SS concentrations measured in 1999 (Max. flow: $1800 \text{ m}^3 \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$).

Inclusion of gaseous exchanges in the calculation had a strong impact on decontamination times of light PAHs. Those decontamination times were much shorter for those compounds than according to the first scenario, but the effect on the heaviest PAHs was less significant. In contrast, the third scenario had a larger impact on the decontamination times of the heaviest compounds. The precise evaluation of atmospheric deposition of gaseous PAHs is a highly complex issue, therefore the main conclusion we can derive from scenario (2) is that depollution time for lightest PAHs may be shorter than estimated with the reference scenario (1). However, the conclusion obtained from the reference scenario remains robust with depollution times of the order of hundreds years rather than tens of years, which is of major concern regarding the attainment of WFD objectives. The effect of hydrology (scenario 3) does not significantly modify this conclusion as decontamination times calculated following 3 remained of the same order of magnitude.

They also underline that the soils play a crucial role in buffering the transfer of PAHs at the catchment scale (Sehili and Lammel, 2007; Wild and Jones, 1995). The results also highlighted the important role played by legacy contamination that supplied the bulk of PAHs that are still found in the environment.

4. Conclusions

From a management perspective, any decontamination strategy should require both the decrease in PAH emissions and the optimization of biodegradation and oxidation processes. However, given the long decontamination times estimated in this study, the WFD concentration targets are unlikely to be reached for PAHs by 2015, 2022 or 2027. This is underlined by the weight of the legacy PAH contamination reflected by the dominant pyrolytic origin of PAHs found in soils and sediment. Although more investigations are required on PAH sources and their transfer processes in rivers, actions may be proposed to avoid the local release of highly contaminated material or wastewaters into rivers. Identification of the precise location of those sources and their isolation from the river network

may limit their supply of PAHs to the river and alleviate the contamination peaks. In addition, as cropland plays a major role in PAH storage at the catchment scale, soil erosion control measures should be implemented in those areas to limit as much as possible their supply of contaminated material to the rivers. In future, a more detailed work on the discrimination of PAH sources is required to better constrain PAH pathways in the environment. In particular, the use of sediment fingerprinting methods could offer a solution to better quantify the processes responsible for the enrichment of PAHs in sediment and to better constrain their transit times in rivers and catchments.

Acknowledgements

Research in the upstream catchments was conducted in the framework of the PIREN Seine and GIS ORACLE long term environmental monitoring and research programmes. David Gateuille received PhD funding from the R2DS programme (Ile-de-France Region). We greatly acknowledge the technical team at the observatories for its help to conduct sample collection. This is LSCE contribution No.

Figure captions

Fig. 1 Location of the study sites in (a) France and (b) the Seine River basin.

Fig. 2 Location of the sampling sites and land use patterns (CORINE Land Cover 2006) within the Orgeval catchment and along the Grand Morin River and its junction with the Marne River.

Fig. 3 Hydrological and meteorological parameters measured at Boissy-le-Châtel (C3 – Rainfall and temperature) and at Le Theil (T4 – River flow).

Fig. 4 Relationship between the mean PAH concentrations measured at the SS sampling sites and the population density living within the corresponding drainage areas.

References

- AESN, Agence de l'Eau Seine-Normandie. Rapport d'activité 2010. <http://www.eau-seine-normandie.fr/> [in French].
- Aprill W, Sims RC, Sims JL, Matthews JE. Assessing detoxification and degradation of wood preserving and petroleum wastes in contaminated soil. *Waste Manage Res* 1990;8:45–65.
- Baek SO, Field RA, Goldstone ME, Kirk PW, Lester JN, Perry R. A review of atmospheric polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons: sources, fate and behavior. *Water Air Soil Poll* 1991;60:279–300.
- Blanchard M, Teil M-J, Guigon E, Larcher-Tiphagne K, Ollivon D, Garban B, Chevreuil M. Persistent toxic substance inputs to the river Seine basin (France) via atmospheric deposition and urban sludge application. *Sci Total Environ* 2007;375:232–43.
- Bogan BW, Sullivan WR. Physicochemical soil parameters affecting sequestration and mycobacterial biodegradation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in soil. *Chemosphere* 2003;52:1717–26.
- Bomboi MT, Hernandez A. Hydrocarbons in urban runoff: their contribution to the wastewaters. *Water Res* 1991;25(5):557–65.
- Bouloubassi I, Saliot A. Composition and Sources of Dissolved and Particulate Pah in Surface Waters from the Rhone Delta (nw Mediterranean). *Mar Pollut Bull* 1991;22(12):588–594.
- Bozlaker A, Muezzinoglu A, Odabasi M. Atmospheric concentrations, dry deposition and air–soil exchange of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in an industrial region in Turkey. *J Hazard Mater* 2008;153:1093–102.

Bressy A, Gromaire M-C, Lorgeoux C, Saad M, Leroy F, Chebbo G. Towards the determination of an optimal scale for stormwater quality management: Micropollutants in a small residential catchment. *Water Res* 2012;46(20):6799-6810.

Budzinski H, Jones I, Bellocq J, Pierard C, Garrigues P. Evaluation of sediment contamination by polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in the Gironde estuary. *Mar Chem* 1997;58:85-97.

Chartin C, Evrard O, Salvador-Blanes S, Hinschberger F, Van Oost K, Lefèvre I, et al. Quantifying and modelling the impact of land consolidation and field borders on soil redistribution in agricultural landscapes (1954-2009). *CATENA*. 2013;110:184-195.

Conaway CH, Draut AE, Echols KR, Storlazzi CD, Ritchie A. Episodic suspended sediment transport and elevated polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon concentrations in a small, mountainous river in coastal California. *River Res Appl* 2012. doi: 10.1002/rra.2582.

Demircioglu E, Sofuoglu A, Odabasi M. Particle-phase dry deposition and air-soil gas exchange of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in Izmir, Turkey. *J Hazard Mater* 2011;186(1):328-335.

Doick KJ, Klingelmann E, Burauel P, Jones KC, Semple KT. Long-term fate of polychlorinated biphenyls and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in an agricultural soil. *Environ Sci Technol* 2005;39(10):3663-70.

Evrard O, Némery J, Gratiot N, Duvert C, Ayrault S, Lefèvre I, Poulenard J, Prat C, Bonté P, Esteves M. Sediment dynamics during the rainy season in tropical highland catchments of central Mexico using fallout radionuclides. *Geomorphology* 2010;124:42-54.

Fernández P, Vilanova RM, Martínez C, Appleby P, Grimalt JO. The Historical Record of
 Atmospheric Pyrolytic Pollution over Europe Registered in the Sedimentary PAH from Remote
 Mountain Lakes. *Environ Sci Technol* 2000;34:1906–13.

Galarneau E, Bidleman TF, Blanchard P. Seasonality and interspecies differences in particle/gas
 partitioning of PAHs observed by the Integrated Atmospheric Deposition Network (IADN). *Atmos
 Environ* 2006;40:182–97.

Gasperi J, Garnaud S, Rocher V, Moilleron R. Priority pollutants in surface waters and settleable
 particles within a densely urbanised area: Case study of Paris (France). *Sci Total Environ*
 2009;407:2900–8.

Gladtko D, Bakker F, Biaudet H, Brennfleck A, Coleman P, Creutzmacher H, et al. Different collector
 types for sampling deposition of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons – comparison of measurement
 results and their uncertainty. *J Environ Monitor* 2012;14:2054.

[Guo H. Particle-associated polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in urban air of Hong Kong. *Atmos
 Environ* 2003;37\(38\):5307–5317.](#)

[Haritash AK, Kaushik CP. Biodegradation aspects of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons \(PAHs\): A
 review. *J Hazard Mater* 2009;169\(1-3\):1–15.](#)

Hu J, Liu CQ, Zhang GP, Zhang YL. Seasonal variation and source apportionment of PAHs in TSP in
 the atmosphere of Guiyang, Southwest China. *Atmos Res* 2012;118:271–9.

Johnsen AR, Wick LY, Harms H. Principles of microbial PAH-degradation in soil. *Environ Pollut*
 2005;133:71–84.

596

1
2 597 Kästner M, Breuer-Jammali M, Mahro B. Impact of inoculation protocols, salinity, and pH on the
3
4 598 degradation of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and survival of PAH-degrading bacteria
5
6 599 introduced into soil. Appl Environ Microbiol 1998;64(1):359-62.
7

8
9 600

10
11 601 Kaupp H, McLachlan MS. Gas/particle partitioning of PCDD/Fs, PCBs, PCNs and PAHs.
12
13 602 Chemosphere 1999;38(14):3411-3421.
14

15
16 603

17
18 604 Koiter AJ, Lobb DA, Owens PN, Petticrew EL, Tiessen KHD, Li S. Investigating the role of
19
20 605 connectivity and scale in assessing the sources of sediment in an agricultural watershed in the
21
22 606 Canadian prairies using sediment source fingerprinting. J Soil Sediment 2013. doi: 10.1007/s11368-
23
24 607 013-0762-7
25

26
27 608

28
29 609 Kukkonen J, Landrum PF. Distribution of organic carbon and organic xenobiotics among different
30
31 610 particle-size fractions in sediments. Chemosphere 1996;32(6):1063-76.
32

33
34 611

35
36 612 Krauss M, Wilcke W, Zech W. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and polychlorinated biphenyls in
37
38 613 forest soils: depth distribution as indicator of different fate. Environ Pollut 2000;110:79-88.
39

40
41 614

42
43 615 Krein A, Schorer M. Road runoff pollution by polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and its contribution
44
45 616 to river sediments. Water Res 2000;34(16):4110-4115.
46

47
48 617

49
50 618 Levanon D, Meisinger JJ, Codling EE, Starr JL. Impact of tillage on microbial activity and the fate of
51
52 619 pesticides in the upper soil. Water Air Soil Pollut 1994;72(1-4):179-89.
53

54
55 620

Liu J, Li J, Lin T, Liu D, Xu Y, Chaemfa C, Qi S, Liu F, Zhang G. Diurnal and nocturnal variations of
 PAHs in the Lhasa atmosphere, Tibetan Plateau: Implication for local sources and the impact of
 atmospheric degradation processing. *Atmos Res* 2013;124:34–43.

Lohmann R, Lammel G. Adsorptive and Absorptive Contributions to the Gas-Particle Partitioning of
 Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons: State of Knowledge and Recommended Parametrization for
 Modeling. *Environ Sci Technol* 2004;38:3793–803.

McGrath SP, Lane PW. An explanation for the apparent losses of metals in a long-term field
 experiment with sewage sludge. *Environ Pollut* 1989;60(3):235–56.

Mahmoudi N, Slater GF, Juhasz AL. Assessing Limitations for PAH Biodegradation in Long-Term
 Contaminated Soils Using Bioaccessibility Assays. *Water Air Soil Poll* 2013 ;224(2).

Motelay-Massei A, Ollivon D, Garban B, Chevreuil M. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in bulk
 deposition at a suburban site: assessment by principal component analysis of the influence of
 meteorological parameters. *Atmos Environ* 2003;37:3135–46.

Motelay-Massei A, Ollivon D, Garban B, Teil MJ, Blanchard M, Chevreuil M. Distribution and spatial
 trends of PAHs and PCBs in soils in the Seine River basin, France. *Chemosphere* 2004;55:555–65.

Motelay-Massei A, Garban B, Tiphagne-larcher K, Chevreuil M, Ollivon D. Mass balance for
 polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in the urban watershed of Le Havre (France): Transport and fate of
 PAHs from the atmosphere to the outlet. *Water Res* 2006;40:1995–2006.

Motelay-Massei A, Ollivon D, Garban B, Tiphagne-Larcher K, Chevreuil M. Fluxes of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in the Seine estuary, France: mass balance and role of atmospheric deposition. *Hydrobiologia* 2007;588:145–57.

Motha JA, Wallbrink PJ, Hairsine PB, Grayson RB. Tracer properties of eroded sediment and source material. *Hydrol Process* 2002;16:1983–2000.

Mouhri A, Motelay-Massei A, Massei N, Fournier M, Laignel B. Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon transport processes on the scale of a flood event in the rural watershed of Le Bebec, France. *Chemosphere* 2008;73:443–50.

Nam JJ, Thomas GO, Jaward FM, Steinnes E, Gustafsson O, Jones KC. PAHs in background soils from Western Europe: Influence of atmospheric deposition and soil organic matter. *Chemosphere* 2008;70:1596–602.

[Nzila A. Update on the cometabolism of organic pollutants by bacteria. *Environ Pollu* 2013;178:474-482.](#)

Ollivon D, Blanchoud H, Motelay-Massei A, Garban B. Atmospheric deposition of PAHs to an urban site, Paris, France. *Atmos Environ* 2002;36:2891–900.

Ollivon D, Garban B, Chesterikoff A. Analysis of the distribution of some polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in sediments and suspended matter in the river Seine (France). *Water Air Soil Pollut* 1995;81:135–52.

Orasche J, Seidel T, Hartmann H, Schnelle-Kreis J, Chow JC, Ruppert H, Zimmermann R. Comparison of Emissions from Wood Combustion. Part 1: Emission Factors and Characteristics from Different Small-Scale Residential Heating Appliances Considering Particulate Matter and Polycyclic

Aromatic Hydrocarbon (PAH)-Related Toxicological Potential of Particle-Bound Organic Species.
 Energ Fuel 2012;26:6695–704.

Pacyna JM, Breivik K, Münch J, Fudala J. European atmospheric emissions of selected persistent organic pollutants, 1970–1995. Atmos Environ 2003;37:119–31.

Park JS, Wade TL, Sweet S. Atmospheric distribution of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and deposition to Galveston Bay, Texas, USA. Atmos Environ 2001;35(19):3241–3249.

Pierard C, Budzinski H, Garrigues P. Grain-size distribution of polychlorobiphenyls in coastal sediments. Environ Sci Technol 1996;30(9):2776–83.

Phoungthong K, Tekasakul S, Tekasakul P, Prateepchaikul G, Jindapetch N, Furuuchi M, et al. Emissions of particulate matter and associated polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons from agricultural diesel engine fueled with degummed, deacidified mixed crude palm oil blends. J Environ Sci 2013;25(4):751–757.

Rocher B, Le Goff J, Peluhet L, Briand M, Manduzio H, Gallois J, et al. Genotoxicant accumulation and cellular defence activation in bivalves chronically exposed to waterborne contaminants from the Seine River. Aquat Toxicol 2006;79:65–77.

Sehili AM, Lammel G. Global fate and distribution of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons emitted from Europe and Russia. Atmos Environ 2007;41(37):8301–8315.

Shuttleworth KL, Cerniglia E. Environmental aspects of PAH biodegradation. Appl biochem and biotech 1995;54:291–302.

Sicre M, Marty J, Saliot A, Aparicio X, Grimalt J, Albaiges J. Aliphatic and Aromatic-Hydrocarbons in Different Sized Aerosols Over the Mediterranean-Sea - Occurrence and Origin. Atmos Environ 1987;21:2247–59.

Simonich SL, Hites RA. Vegetation-atmosphere partitioning of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons. Environ Sci Tech. 1994;28(5):939–43.

Soares AA, Moldrup P, Minh LN, Vendelboe AL, Schjonning P, de Jonge LW. Sorption of Phenanthrene on Agricultural Soils. Water Air Soil Pollut 2013;224:1519–29.

Soclo HH, Garrigues PH, Ewald M. Origin of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in coastal marine sediments: case studies in Cotonou (Benin) and Aquitaine (France) areas. Marine Pollut Bull 2000;40:387–96.

Srogi K. Monitoring of environmental exposure to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons: a review. Environ Chem Lett 2007;5:169–95.

Tallec, G. (2012). 1962-2012 : cinquante ans d'observations, un bien précieux pour la recherche et les services opérationnels. Sciences, Eaux et Territoires, in Le bassin versant de l'Orgeval, 50 ans de recherche au service des acteurs de terrain. Cahier spécial n°3. [in French]

Van Metre PC, Mesnage V, Laignel B, Motelay A, Deloffre J. Origins of Sediment-Associated Contaminants to the Marais Vernier, the Seine Estuary, France. Water Air Soil Pollut 2008;191:331–44.

Villanneau EJ, Saby NPA, Orton TG, Jolivet CC, Boulonne L, Caria G, Barriuso E, Bispo A, Briand O, Arrouays D. First evidence of large-scale PAH trends in French soils. *Environ Chem Lett* 2013;11:99–104.

Wang X-C, Zhang Y-X, Chen RF. Distribution and Partitioning of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons (PAHs) in Different Size Fractions in Sediments from Boston Harbor, United States. *Marine Pollut Bull* 2001;42(11):1139–1149.

WHO. World Health Organization. Environmental Health Criteria N°202: Polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, selected non-heterocyclic. 1998.

Wild SR, Waterhouse KS, McGrath SP, Jones KC. Organic contaminants in an agricultural soil with a known history of sewage sludge amendments: polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons. *Environ Sci Technol* 1990;24(11):1706–11.

Wild SR, Obbard JP, Munn CI, Berrow ML, Jones KC. The long-term persistence of polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in an agricultural soil amended with metal-contaminated sewage sludges. *Sci Total Environ* 1991;101:235–53.

Wild SR, Jones KC. Polynuclear aromatic hydrocarbons in the United Kingdom environment: a preliminary source inventory and budget. *Environ Pollut* 1995;88:91–108.

Yunker MB, Macdonald RW, Vingarzan R, Mitchell RH, Goyette D, Sylvestre S. PAHs in the Fraser River basin: a critical appraisal of PAH ratios as indicators of PAH source and composition. *Org Geochem* 2002;33:489–515.

753 Zheng Y, Luo X, Zhang W, Wu B, Han F, Lin Z, et al. Enrichment behavior and transport mechanism
1
2 754 of soil-bound PAHs during rainfall-runoff events. Environ Pollut 2012;171:85-92.
3
4 755
5
6 756 Zhou JL, Fileman TW, House WA, Long JLA, Mantoura RFC, Meharg AA, et al. Fluxes of organic
7
8 757 contaminants from the river catchment into, through and out of the Humber Estuary, UK. Marine
9
10 Pollut Bull 1999;37(3):330-42.
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

Table 1

Concentrations of $\Sigma 13$ PAHs (ng.L⁻¹) measured in atmospheric fallout between 28 June 2011 and 21 February 2012. Samples were considered to be collected during the cold period when mean temperature measured during the sampling period was below 10°C (i.e. from 8 December 2011 to 21 February 2012).

Sampling Sites	n	Warm Period			Cold Period		
		Min	Max	Mean $\pm$ SD	Min	Max	Mean $\pm$ SD
C1	10	80	260	190 $\pm$ 70	260	1275	620 $\pm$ 420
C2	10	130	400	250 $\pm$ 100	90	1270	615 $\pm$ 460
C3	12	120	640	250 $\pm$ 180	170	2380	740 $\pm$ 760
C4	10	60	330	180 $\pm$ 100	200	950	480 $\pm$ 285

Table 2

Concentrations of $\sum 13$ PAHs (ng.g^{-1}) and organic carbon measured in soils between 22 February 2011 and 3 April 2012 and corresponding estimated stocks.

Sampling Sites	n	Land Use	OC $\pm$ SD (%)	Min	Max	Mean $\pm$ SD	Stocks (kg.km^{-2})
S1	8	Intensive Cropland	1.15 $\pm$ 0.22	190	760	395 $\pm$ 190	130
S2	8	Grassland	1.54 $\pm$ 0.45	60	160	120 $\pm$ 30	12
S3	8	Built-up area	2.56 $\pm$ 0.35	340	5305	2120 $\pm$ 1590	220
S4	4	Organic cropland	1.44 $\pm$ 0.35	230	270	250 $\pm$ 20	80
S5	5	Woodland	2.18 $\pm$ 0.38	90	150	110 $\pm$ 20	12

Table 3

Concentrations of $\Sigma 13$ PAHs (ng.L^{-1}) measured in surface waters between 5 October 2011 and 10 April 2012. Samples collected in January, February and March were considered as flood samples.

Sampling Sites	n	Low water period			Flood Period		
		Min	Max	Mean $\pm$ SD	Min	Max	Mean $\pm$ SD
T2	6	16	96	62 $\pm$ 29	61	100	80 $\pm$ 20
T3	6	15	93	62 $\pm$ 33	33	135	68 $\pm$ 47
T4	5	22	97	70 $\pm$ 34	25	85	55 $\pm$ 30
T6	5	33	167	112 $\pm$ 57	67	73	70 $\pm$ 3
T7	4	21	88	54 $\pm$ 33	75	81	78 $\pm$ 3

1 **Table 4**
2 Concentrations of $\Sigma 13$ PAHs (ng.g⁻¹) measured in SS between 30 March 2011 and 10 April 2012.

Sampling Sites	n	Population density (inh.km ⁻²)	Low water stage Period				Flood Period			
			OC (%)	Min	Max	Mean $\pm$ SD	OC (%)	Min	Max	Mean $\pm$ SD
T1	8	16.2	4.94 $\pm$ 1.07	1250	3390	2330 $\pm$ 590	-	-	-	-
T2	13	24.1	4.59 $\pm$ 1.09	675	1480	1090 $\pm$ 310	3.09 $\pm$ 0.80	1205	3030	1970 $\pm$ 710
T2*	8	18.0	3.73 $\pm$ 0.83	50	860	230 $\pm$ 260	-	-	-	-
T3	11	56.5	6.54 $\pm$ 1.12	2000	8110	4530 $\pm$ 1890	4.05 $\pm$ 1.80	2730	3760	3310 $\pm$ 430
T4	14	28.9	7.52 $\pm$ 1.98	2310	5250	3460 $\pm$ 985	5.83 $\pm$ 0.78	3055	4420	3800 $\pm$ 560
T5	8	68.8	4.39 $\pm$ 0.76	3980	14080	9205 $\pm$ 3770	-	-	-	-
T6	13	85.3	4.45 $\pm$ 1.37	3230	7400	4760 $\pm$ 1160	5.27 $\pm$ 0.14	5480	10690	8280 $\pm$ 2150
T6*	13	60.5	5.13 $\pm$ 0.71	2420	4060	3000 $\pm$ 560	5.14 $\pm$ 1.02	3000	4870	3935 $\pm$ 940
T7	13	64.7	4.73 $\pm$ 0.62	2340	3520	2940 $\pm$ 370	5.55 $\pm$ 0.60	5500	9600	7570 $\pm$ 1630

1 **Table 5**

2 Mass balances (g.yr⁻¹) of Σ13 PAHs calculated over a year in the 4 upstream subcatchments and corresponding sediment yields. Fluxes and losses within the
3 catchments are provided in g.year⁻¹. Percentages of the total export fluxes are provided between brackets and estimated decontamination times (DT) are in
4 years.

Sampling Sites	Sediment yield (t.km ⁻² .yr ⁻¹)	PAH stocks (kg)	Input fluxes	Aqueous exports	Particle-borne fluxes	Losses in the catchments	DT
T2	18.9	410	910	40 (4%)	160 (18%)	710 (78%)	450
T2*	6.68	240	1030	30 (3%)	20 (2%)	980 (95%)	230
T3	4.88	3430	7380	290 (4%)	770 (10%)	6320 (86%)	460
T4	7.38	7640	16,320	510 (3%)	3160 (19%)	12,650 (78%)	470

1 **Table 6**
2 Estimated decontamination times (DT; years) of the 13 PAHs in the 4 upstream subcatchments.

	ACY	ACE	FLU	PHE	ANT	FLH	PYR	BaA	CHR	BbF+BkF	BaP	BghiP
T2 (1)	200	220	160	80	320	630	460	1800	1130	1140	1610	1290
T2* (1)	100	170	90	40	140	310	230	850	550	510	700	630
T3 (1)	210	240	170	90	330	670	490	1840	1150	1080	1520	1310
T4 (1)	210	240	160	80	310	640	460	1850	1170	1100	1590	1340
T2 (2)	-	-	25	11	52	60	76	1020	310	990	1400	1090
T2 (3)	100	140	90	60	160	180	160	240	190	180	310	530

- 3 (1) DT calculated with the hydrological parameters measured in 2011-2012 (dry year) but gaseous deposition was not taken into account
- 4 (2) DT calculated with the hydrological parameters measured in 2011-2012 (dry year) and gaseous deposition was taken into account based on Demircioglu et
- 5 al. (2011).
- 6 (3) DT calculated with the hydrological parameters measured in 1999 (wet year) but gaseous deposition was not taken into account

Figure

[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

Figure

[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

Figure

[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

Figure

[Click here to download high resolution image](#)

Supplementary Material

[Click here to download Supplementary Material: Org_DG_SuppMat.docx](#)