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The first years of life are a critical period in the development of a child; it is a period of rapid growth, with major changes in motor and cognitive function. This early period is punctuated by specific milestones in which infants acquire gross motor skills such as sitting without help to fine motor skills, including those related to feeding from reaching for foods to self-feeding (Carruth & Skinner, 2007). The subject of infant feeding is emotive as it is complex. In contrast to the consensus that “breast is best”, there has been much debate around the “what, when and how” of solid food introduction and how best to feed infants beyond the weaning period. Governments produce guidelines to facilitate infant feeding from reaching for foods to self-feeding (Schwartz, Scholtens, Lalanne, Weenen, & Nicklaus, 2002). The subject of infant feeding is emotive as it is complex. In contrast to the consensus that “breast is best”, there has been much debate around the “what, when and how” of solid food introduction and how best to feed infants beyond the weaning period. Governments produce guidelines to facilitate infant feeding from reaching for foods to self-feeding (Schwartz, Scholtens, Lalanne, Weenen, & Nicklaus, 2002) but whether or not these are heeded appears variable (Caton, Ahern, & Hetherington, 2011).

The experience which can shape this early period occurs before birth (Cooke & Fildes, 2011). Gestation is a time when the developing foetus is exposed to components of the maternal diet and this is then followed by a milk feeding period which can complement and expand the infant’s sensory experience through breast-feeding (Cooke & Fildes, 2011). The period of weaning when complementary foods are introduced is a crucial time of transition from milk to culturally appropriate family foods. This period using specially prepared foods is unique to humans (Sellen, 2007); other mammals tend to experience suckling then independent foraging with little reliance on transitional feeding. Unlike other mammals, the human infant is persuaded, cajoled and rewarded for trying new foods and so uniquely parenting styles impact on this early feeding experience (Blissett, 2011). Parents can use a variety of ways to encourage children to eat well through taste and repeated exposure, but as infants become more neophobic they may accept or refuse to taste foods on the basis of visual recognition (Heath, Kennedy, & Houston-Price, 2011). The journey made by the developing foetus to the self-feeding child is the focus of this Special Issue of Appetite. This period determines the pattern of acquiring eating habits throughout life (Nicklaus, Boggio, Chabanet, & Issanchou, 2004, 2005) and is crucial for establishing the foundation of a healthy diet.

A start before birth

The Barker hypothesis has transformed the ways in which foetal origins and later health risks are understood (Barker, 1992). In much the same way, early infant nutrition is identified as crucial for both the immediate growth and well-being of the infant and for later “programming” of health status (Lucas, 2005). Early food experience has therefore been studied to identify critical stages in the acquisition of food habits (Cooke & Fildes, 2011; Nicklaus, 2011). It has been proposed that these early stages in feeding will have long lasting and profound effects on appetite.
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Following a workshop on infant feeding held at the Rowett Institute of Nutrition and Health, University of Aberdeen on March 17, 2010 experts were invited to exchange ideas and to review evidence on both pre and post natal dietary environments in shaping children’s eating habits. A central theme during the workshop was the idea of “sensitive periods” during infancy for learning about foods and a particular focus was developed around acceptance and intake of fruits and vegetables. Presentations covered the guidelines provided by various governments on how to feed infants during weaning; the importance of the in utero experience; the impact of varying the sensory experience at weaning; the effect of parenting styles and practices on children’s eating habits; the use of visual experience in promoting intake of vegetables; and reports from mothers regarding their decisions about weaning and the introduction of vegetables. This collection of papers seeks to review guidance from governments on feeding infants and to consider current evidence on parental feeding practices with the aim of enhancing insight into best practice in establishing healthy eating in children.
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regulation, food preferences and food intake. Even before birth, the developing foetus experiences the food environment through volatile compounds passed from the mother to the amniotic fluid (Schaal, Marlier, & Soussignan, 1998, 2000; Mennella, Johnson, & Beauchamp, 1995). Thus, although babies are adapted to accept breast milk which is the perfect fit for their needs and provides a complex taste environment, they have already had exposure to features of the maternal diet. Mothers who choose to breastfeed their babies continue to expose them to features of their diet (good and bad) and this link between the in utero and postnatal periods constitutes a form of chemosensory learning or chemical continuity (Schaal & Orgeur, 1992).

**Breast is best**

Breastfeeding for at least 4 months confers many benefits to the developing infant (such as improved immunological function; Oddy et al., 2011), and to the mother (including lower retention of weight gain postpartum (Oxby, Krause, Swamy, & Lovelady, 2010) compared to formula feeding. Breastfeeding has the potential to protect against obesity for the child (Arenz & von Kries, 2009); and it may also encourage and enhance infants’ ability to express nutritional wisdom, or the self-regulation of energy intake (e.g. Heinig, Nommsen, Peerson, Lonnerdal, & Dewey, 1993; Li, Fein, & Grummer-Strawn, 2010). Breastfed babies are more willing to try and to accept novel foods than formula fed babies perhaps as a function of early exposure to such flavours via breast milk (Sullivan & Birch, 1994). This phenomenon described as a “flavour bridge” may make later transitions in feeding smoother especially during weaning (Mennella & Beauchamp, 2005; Mennella, Jagnow, & Beauchamp, 2001). This kind of early flavour learning could even last until adulthood as illustrated by the impact of consuming a vanillin flavoured formula in infancy on the preference for a ketchup flavoured with vanillin in adults (Haller, Rummel, Henneberg, Pollmer, & Köster, 1999).

The extent to which maternal dietary cues are transmitted seem to be variable across individuals and highly dependent on the type of compound (Hausner, Bredie, Mølgaard, Petersen, & Møller, 2008). Due to variations in the composition of volatiles in mother’s milk, breastfed infants are more familiar with novel flavours and changing flavours than formula fed infants partly explaining the facilitative effect of breastfeeding on food acceptance (Hausner, Nicklaus, Issanchou, Mølgaard, & Møller, 2010). Breastfeeding encourages acceptance of novel foods as a result of exposure to specific flavour compounds. Given the sensory advantage of breastfeeding and the potential long lasting effects of some milk feeding experiences on later dietary outcomes, promoting a varied and healthy diet during pregnancy and lactation will benefit the baby. Early flavour learning through breastfeeding may confer an advantage to the infant by early exposure to healthy foods and increase the quantity as the child gets older, while maintaining frequent breastfeeding” (page 5, WHO, 2003). Exclusive breastfeeding for at least 4 months provides the best opportunity for the developing foetus to adapt to specific tastes, textures and forms of food in order to promote healthy eating.

**Sensitive periods**

It has been suggested that infants accept with more ease certain tastes and textures at specific times during early life (e.g. Harris, 1993) so called “sensitive periods” (Cashdan, 1994). These correspond to a time frame during which experience will impact strongly with long lasting effects, and may influence the development of later food preferences. These sensitive periods are not well described in the literature, but emerging evidence is beginning to shed light on these periods. For example, the timing and introduction of textured foods by 6 months affects later dietary choices and food fussiness (Coulthard, Harris, & Emmett, 2009, 2010). Infants offered lumpy foods after 9 months consumed fewer fruits and vegetables and had more feeding problems at age 7 years than those offered these foods between 6 and 9 months (Coulthard et al., 2009). Also, babies appear to accept novel flavours early on in life, thus exposure to a protein hydrolysate formula (characterised by a relatively bitter taste) improves acceptance if offered before 3.5 months when there appears to be a “window” after which this taste is rejected (Mennella, Lukasewycz, Castor, & Beauchamp, 2011). Notions of “sensitive periods” in the introduction of solid foods are discussed in more detail by Nicklaus (2011).

**Weaning and complementary feeding**

Weaning or complementary feeding (although not synonymous these two words are often used as if they were), constitutes the next major feeding transition. It corresponds to two particular changes: the cessation of lactation and suckling and the progressive introduction of foods other than milk in the infant diet (Humphrey, 2010). The WHO emphasizes that complementary feeding should be timely, safe, adequate in terms of variety of foods, frequency, amounts and consistency and complementary foods should be given in an appropriate way (Weaver & Michaelsen, 2001). Interestingly from an evolutionary perspective, humans show a unique pattern: a prolonged period of post weaning dependency because infants lack sufficient motor and cognitive skills necessary to sustain themselves by locating and procuring an appropriate food supply to match their immature than 1% of mothers who manage this (Bolling, Grant, Hamlyn, & Thornton, 2007); suggesting that the advice should be reappraised (Fewtrell et al., 2010).

The European Food Safety Authority (EFSA, 2009) states that for healthy term infants across the European Union the introduction of complementary foods between 4 and 6 months is safe and poses little risk either in the short term (risk of infection) or long term (development of allergies or obesity). An emerging literature supports this assertion by EFSA (see Fewtrell et al., 2010; for a full discussion). Whilst it is safe to introduce solid foods between 4 and 6 months there is convincing evidence that providing solid foods before 4 months can increase the risk of obesity in later life (Ong et al., 2006; Huh, Rifa-Shiman, Taveras, Oken, & Gillman, 2011). The transition to solid foods is a crucial period for the child preparing the ground for a varied and omnivorous diet to optimise growth, but the advice on the process of weaning (what, how, when) varies between countries and cultures (Schwartz, Scholtens et al., 2011). Experts disagree about the optimal duration of exclusive breastfeeding and the lack of consensus across government agencies regarding weaning practices may serve to confuse parents. For example, UK mothers are guided by the needs of their infants rather than agency guidelines (Caton et al., 2011). Nevertheless, there appear to be optimal periods to introduce specific tastes, textures and forms of food in order to promote healthy eating.
The transition to family food is also charged with emotion since it marks an important social achievement (i.e. readiness of the infant to join the family at mealtimes. Mothers are encouraged to enjoy this process, not to rush it and to respond to the needs of their babies. They are offered guidance on the “when, what and how” in infant feeding (Schwartz, Scholtens et al., 2011).

The optimal duration of exclusive breastfeeding and the timing of solid food introduction have been subject to debate (Frewtrell et al., 2010; Reilly & Wells, 2005), with recommendations ranging from “not before 17 weeks and not later than 26 weeks” (Agostoni et al., 2008, p. 99) to “after the age of 6 months, never before the age of 4 months” (WHO, 2001, 2003) (see Schwartz, Scholtens et al., 2011; for a review of selected international and national feeding guidelines). In practice, a review of 113 ethnographical and demographical reports from non-industrial societies back to 1873 showed that average age at introduction of non breast milk liquids was around 4.5 months; of solids was 5 months and the duration of breastfeeding was 29 months (Sellen, 2001). Prospective studies of the timing of solid food introduction in industrialised countries reveal the tendency for mothers to introduce solid foods before Government sanctioned guidelines. For example, despite receiving advice not to introduce solid foods to their babies before 4 months around 40% of a cohort of mothers from North East Scotland had already introduced solids by 12 weeks (Alder et al., 2004). Interviews with mothers had specifically identified characteristics of the baby as a determinant of the timing of solid food introduction, such as being a “big” or “hungry” baby (Anderson et al., 2001). According to Wright, Parkinson, & Drewett, 2004 most babies are offered solid foods between 12 and 16 weeks, with only 6% receiving solids after 16 weeks. In this study, just over 60% mothers completed weaning diaries and the median age of solid food introduction was 14.6 weeks. The research by Wright et al. (2004) indicated that mothers were responding to avid infant appetites and the observation that bigger, faster growing boys were more likely to be weaned before 3 months appears to support the assertion that this is biologically driven by need; for example, boys tend to be bigger and therefore have greater energy requirements than girls. Caton et al. (2011) reported that mothers welcome the transition to solid foods as a means of “filling” their babies with a view to encouraging longer sleep duration. It is not clear if temperamental differences in infants are due to underlying or residual hunger, but mothers report that they believe infant distress is hunger related (Redsell et al., 2010) and feed them accordingly.

Recent studies suggest that there may be a shift in weaning practice closer to the recommendation. This is indicated by the UK Infant Feeding Survey (Bolling et al., 2007) in which a noticeable trend towards weaning at or after 4 months has been found. According to this survey 85% of mothers had introduced solids by four months in 2000 compared to just over half by 2005. However, it is clear from studies in the US, Australia and UK that most mothers resist or reject the WHO guidance to wean around 6 months with the modal age closer to 4 months. In France, mothers tend to wean later, thus in 2005 on average mothers weaned at 5 months, but 13% of mothers had introduced solids before the age of 4 months (Turberg-Romain, Lelievre, & Le Heuzey, 2007).

Beyond the crucial question of timing (when), the type of food offered (what) is also important. The impact of food chosen and in particular the role of sensory characteristics (i.e. taste, flavour, texture) is described in the review by Nicklaus (2011). Sensory properties of foods have the potential to shape later food acceptance. Interestingly, in the early stages of the weaning period (5–7 months) most foods are accepted by infants: the most bitter- or sour-tasting foods are not clearly rejected (Schwartz, Chabanet, Lange, Issanchou, & Nicklaus, 2011). Offering infants varied textures and forms prepared at home predicts greater intake of fruits and vegetables eaten at age 7 years compared with foods that are ready-made (Coulthard et al., 2010). Thus sensory properties of foods offered at weaning influence initial food acceptance and then influence later intake patterns.

Parents are offered guidance on when, what and how to wean. However, advice on the introduction of complimentary foods has changed over the past decade and there has been some confusion especially when advice is not consistent across varied sources (see Schwartz, Scholtens et al., 2011) and/or when maternal experience conflicts with Government guidelines (see Caton et al., 2011). Parents continue to shape children’s food habits beyond the first year of life (Blissett, 2011) but explicit guidance to parents about feeding their child beyond this period tends to be more limited compared with guidance about weaning.

Parental influences on feeding

The parental role in shaping child feeding behaviour is central, influencing the intake of family foods and even the rate of weight gained in the first year of life (Farrow & Blissett, 2006). Not only as drivers of when and what to eat, parents importantly transmit their influence on how a child is fed through specific interactions known as parenting styles and practices (Darling & Steinberg, 1993). Specific styles and practices used by parents influence food acceptance through early childhood and beyond. Whereas the notion of parental ‘style’ tends to reflect a trait perspective, and has been referred to as the emotional climate of or type of parental attitude in interacting with children (Darling & Steinberg, 1992; Ventura & Birch, 2008), the term parenting ‘practice’ usually reflects a type of behavioural strategy or technique used to facilitate or limit child feeding, often depending on the context at a particular time and place (Ventura & Birch, 2008). Inconsistencies in these concepts complicate the literature, however, there is substantial evidence to suggest that an authoritative parenting style (i.e. one that is characterized by high warmth, responsiveness and provision of rules) appears to be associated with a healthier feeding outcome in early childhood (Blissett, 2011; Ventura & Birch, 2008) compared with parenting styles that are either authoritarian (low in warmth and responsiveness and highly demanding), indulgent/permisive (high in emotional warmth, low in demandingness) or neglectful (low in warmth, low in demandingness) (Blissett, 2011). Parental practices generally include behaviours or strategies to control child feeding, for example pressure to eat, restriction, monitoring and even the use of rewards. Practices such as pressure to eat and restriction have been the focus of much attention in the literature (e.g. Birch & Davidson, 2001; Fisher & Birch, 1999; Galloway, Fiorito, Francis & Birch, 2006; Paul, Bartok, Downs, Stifter, Ventura, & Birch, 2009) and have been associated with a range of undesirable child eating and weight outcomes. For example, maternal control though pressure to eat is negatively associated with consumption of fruit and vegetables in young children (Fisher, Mitchell, Smiciklas-Wright, & Birch, 2002; Gregory, Paxton, & Brozovic, 2011; Wardle, Carnell, & Cooke, 2005) and is associated with negative comments about those ‘pressured’ foods (Galloway et al., 2006). Maternal control through use of restrictive feeding practices serve to increase attention and preferences for those very foods that are ‘restricted’ and has generally been associated with poorer fruit and vegetable consumption in young children (Coulthard & Blissett, 2009). However, restriction of high fat high sugar snack items has been associated with a better quality diet in families from materially deprived areas of Scotland suggesting a positive role of moderate restriction in the development of a healthy diet (Crombie et al., 2009). Overall, the environment provided by
parents in the early stages of life set the foundation for the development of food habits in childhood.

**Feeding and the environment beyond infancy**

As children get older (toddler – pre-schoolers) they become more independent but parents remain a very important moderator of their feeding by modelling behaviour, feeding practices (Birch, 1999) and providing the family feeding environment. Food intake patterns of parents and young children have been shown to be related (Pérusse et al., 1988; Fisher et al., 2002) in part due to parents providing most of the foods that children of this age eat. The type of food provided is partly determined by cost and availability (Birch, 1999) but the way in which the family provide the food can influence the child feeding behaviour. Acceptance and intake of foods in children e.g. fruits and vegetables, can be increased by repeated exposure to these foods (Sullivan & Birch, 1999) and recent research suggests that even just visual exposure to food pictures may increase the willingness to taste unfamiliar fruits (Heath et al., 2011).

The amounts and types of foods offered by parents influence a child's eating habits. For instance, food portion size has been shown to influence overall intake with higher portions leading to higher intakes (Fisher, Arreola, Birch, & Rolls, 2007) and how the food is consumed within the family can have an effect such as the structure of the eating occasion. Eating in front of the TV, for example, has become commonplace and TV viewing has been linked with increased body fat in pre-schoolers (Jackson, D’fajariz, Stewart, & Speakman, 2009), increased preference for advertised foods (Borzekowski & Robinson, 2001) and increased snack consumption (Campbell, Crawford, & Ball, 2006). Children start to influence the family food environment themselves through “pester power” (Borzekowski & Robinson, 2001) and they are themselves influenced outside of the family by their peers (Salvy, Elmo, Nitecki, Kluczynski, & Roemmich, 2011), by exposure to advertising (Dovey, Taylor, Stow, Boyland, & Halford, 2011; Halford, Boyland, Hughes, Oliviera, Dovey, 2007) and to what is happening at school (Anderson et al., 2005).

Although parents shape the family food environment, with age, children become more autonomous not only influenced by the food environment within the family but also being influenced by external factors outside the family. Thus, the early stage of life (birth to pre-school) is the main focus of this special issue and of the workshop which prompted these contributions.

**The VIVA workshop March 17, 2010**

Experts on infant feeding met at the Rowett Institute of Nutrition and Health, University of Aberdeen on March 17, 2010. The event was funded by the European Commission under a Marie Curie Industry Academy Exchange Program (EU FP 7 Marie Curie Industry-Academia Partnerships and Pathways (IAPP) 230637; RCN 90766). The aim of the workshop was to provide a forum in which to exchange knowledge and information on models of early feeding, weaning practice and on the introduction of vegetables to young children. During this workshop recent research and practice on early infant feeding were discussed. The proceedings of this event were generated by some of the speakers who agreed to contribute to this issue. The aim of this issue is to review recent evidence on the influences which shape infant feeding and food acceptance.
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