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Abstract

In this paper, a new physics-based model for the prediction of NO\textsubscript{x} emissions produced by diesel engines is presented. The aim of this work is to provide a Mean-Value Model (MVM) adapted for control design and online estimation. The proposed approach is based on the simplification of a zero-dimensional thermodynamic model. Only the main phenomena taking part in NO\textsubscript{x} formation are kept and they are described at a time scale of one engine cycle. A new model is proposed to estimate the burned gas temperature, known to have a strong impact on thermal NO\textsubscript{x} formation rate, from the first-order input variables on NO\textsubscript{x} emissions: burned gas ratio and start of combustion. This model is based on a discrete variable: the maximum burned gas temperature. The MVM for the maximum burned gas temperature, associated with a mean-value NO\textsubscript{x} formation kinetic model, constitutes our mean-value NO\textsubscript{x} model. The adaptation of the burned gas temperature model enables to highly improve the accuracy of our crank-angle based model compared to purely physical models, and this accuracy is kept despite the simplification to a MVM. Thus, our MVM presents a sufficient accuracy for engine control purposes in both steady-state and transient operating conditions. This accuracy is achieved with only four parameters identified using a limited number of experimental data.
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1. Introduction

Automotive vehicles equipped with diesel engines have become more and more popular in the last decade. Their popularity is largely due to their high efficiency but these engines constitute a major source of Nitrogen Oxides (NO\textsubscript{x}) emissions. Future pollutant emission legislations are expected to impose stringent constraints on NO\textsubscript{x} emissions with a low emission limit (80 mg/km for Euro 6 standard) and the introduction of a new driving cycle — the Worldwide harmonized Light-duty Test Cycle (WLTC) — which will be more representative of real driving conditions than the New European Driving Cycle (NEDC) currently employed in Europe. To reduce NO\textsubscript{x} emissions produced by diesel engines, advanced combustion technologies such as Low Temperature Combustion (LTC) systems based on high levels of Exhaust Gas Recirculation (EGR), vehicle hybridization and NO\textsubscript{x} aftertreatment devices are often considered. Control strategies have been developed to optimize the benefits of these technologies. However, NO\textsubscript{x} emissions are not directly taken into account in the controllers. To improve engine control in terms of NO\textsubscript{x} emissions produced during transient operations, one possible solution is to integrate NO\textsubscript{x} oriented controllers based on suitable NO\textsubscript{x} models \cite{1, 2}. State estimation of cylinder-out NO\textsubscript{x} emissions is also required in order to reduce the sensor cost for the control of NO\textsubscript{x} aftertreatment systems based on Selective Catalytic Reduction (SCR).

Several characteristics are required for a NO\textsubscript{x} model adapted for control design. First, we search to describe NO\textsubscript{x} formation at a time-scale of one engine cycle, using a so-called Mean-Value Model (MVM) \cite{3}. The mathematical formulation of the model must be simple and compact. The calibration effort has to remain limited: the model must have only a few parameters and must require a few experimental data for its identification. It has to be easily adaptable for any kind of diesel engine. The model also must accurately estimate NO\textsubscript{x} emissions in steady-state operating conditions and also during engine transients. Note that for MVM, a relative error of about 5 to 10% is expected. The model must ensure a smooth interpolation and a physically plausible extrapolation.
Finally, the input variables of the model have to be measured or estimated from measured variables obtained using sensors available in mass production engines.

A large number of NO\textsubscript{x} models can be found in the literature, from complex multi-dimensional Computational Fluid Dynamic (CFD) models to static maps. A state-of-the-art of NO\textsubscript{x} modeling is presented in \cite{4}. The development of NO\textsubscript{x} models adapted for designing control strategies is oriented towards the use of mean-value semi-physical models, which appear to be a good compromise between simplicity and genericity \cite{2, 5, 6}. These models are well accepted in the field of air system control. However, apart from some MVM, the existing NO\textsubscript{x} models are usually not able to fulfill all the objectives previously mentioned, and thus, are generally not adapted for control design. This is mainly due to the complexity of the phenomena involved in NO\textsubscript{x} production that makes the existing models valid for engine simulation but too complex for designing controllers. Moreover, the model requirements may change according to the objectives: NO\textsubscript{x} estimation for SCR control \cite{7}, control of the start of injection to limit NO\textsubscript{x} emissions produced during transient operations \cite{2, 8}, transient correction of the engine torque setpoint in hybrid vehicles \cite{9}.

The aim of this paper is to propose a mean-value NO\textsubscript{x} model adapted for control purposes. The development of this model is based on the simplification of a physic-based model. This paper is organized as follows. First, a two-zone zero-dimensional thermodynamic model is described, where NO\textsubscript{x} formation is completely decoupled from the combustion process. The limitations of such a purely physical approach in terms of complexity and accuracy in NO\textsubscript{x} estimation are discussed. Then, an adaptation of this model is proposed: a semi-physical model is developed to estimate the burned gas temperature while the rest of the model — in particular the calculation of the concentrations in the burned gas zone and of NO\textsubscript{x} formation rate — remains unchanged. The key point of the burned gas temperature model is the estimation of the maximum temperature — which is the single parameterized variable in the complete model — from the first-order input variables on NO\textsubscript{x} emissions. The choice of the input variables relies on a sensitivity analysis of NO\textsubscript{x} emissions to injection settings and ther-
modynamic conditions in the intake manifold. A MVM is then derived from this adapted crank-angle based model. The MVM for the maximum burned gas temperature is kept and the NO\textsubscript{x} formation model is simplified to obtain a MVM estimating NO\textsubscript{x} emissions from the maximum burned gas temperature. Finally, the developed MVM is validated in both steady-state and transient operating conditions.

2. Zero-dimensional thermodynamic model

In this section, the zero-dimensional thermodynamic reference model is introduced to calculate NO\textsubscript{x} emissions produced by diesel engines using the extended Zeldovich mechanism. This model is employed to determine the key variables responsible for NO\textsubscript{x} production.

2.1. Principle

Zero-dimensional thermodynamic models are employed to simulate engine performances in terms of engine torque and pollutant emissions. These performances are computed from injection settings and thermodynamic conditions in the cylinder at Intake Valve Closing (IVC). The evolutions of the pressure \(p_{cyl}\) and of the temperature \(T_{cyl}\) in the cylinder are described by a system of first-order differential equations with two state variables

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dp_{cyl}}{d\theta} &= \gamma - \frac{1}{V_{cyl}} \left[ \frac{\delta Q_{comb}}{d\theta} + \frac{\delta Q_{w}}{d\theta} + \sum_j \frac{dn_j}{d\theta} h_{n,j} \right] - \gamma \frac{p_{cyl}}{V_{cyl}} \frac{dV_{cyl}}{d\theta}, \\
\frac{dT_{cyl}}{d\theta} &= T_{cyl} \left[ \frac{1}{p_{cyl}} \frac{dp_{cyl}}{d\theta} + \frac{1}{V_{cyl}} \frac{dV_{cyl}}{d\theta} \right],
\end{align*}
\]

obtained from the conservation of mass and energy applied to the open system represented by the combustion chamber. The crank angle \(\theta\) is the independent variable of the model, \(V_{cyl}\) represents the volume of the combustion chamber and \(\gamma\) is the ratio of specific heats. \(\frac{\delta Q_{comb}}{d\theta}, \frac{\delta Q_{w}}{d\theta}\) and \(\sum_j \frac{dn_j}{d\theta} h_{n,j}\) are the Rate Of Heat Release (ROHR), the heat flow transferred at walls and the heat flow exchanged at intake and exhaust valves, respectively. With these models, the
combustion process is described using identified or predictive models for the ROHR \[10, 11\].

In this paper, we focus on NO\(_x\) emissions and on thermodynamic conditions in the burned gases where NO\(_x\) are produced. As NO\(_x\) are formed during the combustion process, when both the intake and exhaust valves are closed, only the phenomena occurring during the closed part of the engine cycle are modeled. Moreover, the ROHR is no more modeled but directly computed from the experimental cylinder pressure signal which is thus an input variable of our model. As a result, the system (1) becomes

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{\delta Q_{\text{comb}}}{d\theta} &= \frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1} p_{\text{cyl}} \frac{dV_{\text{cyl}}}{d\theta} + \frac{V_{\text{cyl}}}{\gamma - 1} \frac{dp_{\text{cyl}}}{d\theta} - \frac{\delta Q_{\text{w}}}{d\theta} \\
T_{\text{cyl}} &= \frac{p_{\text{cyl}} V_{\text{cyl}}}{R n_{\text{cyl}}},
\end{align*}
\]  

where \(R\) is the universal gas constant and \(n_{\text{cyl}}\) the total molar quantity of gas in the cylinder. The use of the cylinder pressure as input enables not to cumulate NO\(_x\) formation and combustion modeling errors. The cylinder pressure can be employed as input variable since this pressure is independent of NO\(_x\) formation. Indeed, NO\(_x\) production does not have any impact on the combustion process as the quantity of oxygen used for NO\(_x\) production is negligible compared to the quantity spent for fuel oxidation (figure 1).

2.2. Structure and main hypotheses

The proposed model is composed of four sub-models (figure 2):

1. determination of the thermodynamic conditions (pressure, temperature, gas composition, mass of gas) in the cylinder at IVC which correspond to the initial conditions of the system;

2. computation of the ROHR and of the mean thermodynamic conditions in the cylinder which are required for the knowledge of the combustion progress and thus, for the modeling of the thermodynamic conditions in the burned gases;
3. modeling of the thermodynamic conditions in the unburned and burned gases, and especially of the volume $V_b$, the temperature $T_b$ and of the concentrations $[i]_{eq}$ of the species $i$ in the burned gases, which are the input variables of NO$_x$ formation model;

4. computation of NO$_x$ emissions.

The main considered hypotheses are the following:

- The quasi-dimensional approach classically employed to describe the combustion process in internal combustion engines [12, 13] is used. The gases present in the cylinder are composed of unburned or burned gases. The combustion in diesel engines is inhomogeneous and is initiated at different places in the combustion chamber. However, in a final perspective of using the developed models for engine control, we do not search to describe the spatial repartition of NO$_x$ production in the cylinder so that all the zones where the combustion occurs are assimilated to a single zone. Consequently, the combustion chamber is described using an unburned gas zone, composed of fresh air and EGR, and a burned gas zone.
Figure 2: Structure of the reference model.
The pressure and temperature are homogeneous in each zone (no spatial variations).

- The **pressure** is supposed to be **homogeneous in the combustion chamber**.

- A **stoichiometric Air-Fuel Ratio (AFR)** is considered in the reaction zone since diesel combustion occurs in the zones where the relative AFR is close to one [13].

- **Combustion and dissociation reactions** are supposed to be at equilibrium. This hypothesis is justified by the slow kinetics of NO\textsubscript{x} formation compared to the one of the combustion process [13, 14].

- NO\textsubscript{x} are assimilated to nitrogen monoxide (NO). NO\textsubscript{x} produced by diesel engines are composed of NO and nitrogen dioxide (NO\textsubscript{2}). However, NO\textsubscript{2} is mainly produced from NO and the molar quantity of NO\textsubscript{2} formed is equal to the molar quantity of NO spent so that the molar quantity of NO\textsubscript{x} can be supposed equal to the molar quantity of NO produced [15].

- NO\textsubscript{x} formation is modeled using only the thermal mechanism proposed by Zeldovich. Four mechanisms have been identified for NO formation [13]: a thermal mechanism, a prompt mechanism, NO\textsubscript{x} formation via nitrous oxide (N\textsubscript{2}O) and NO\textsubscript{x} formation from fuel nitrogen. In standard diesel engine, the combustion occurs at high pressure and high temperature. Consequently, NO is mainly produced by the thermal mechanism which was proposed by Zeldovich [16] and extended by Lavoie et al. [17]. Thus, for sake of simplicity, only this mechanism is taken into account.

To summarize, the input variables of the model are the intake pressure \(p_1\), the intake temperature \(T_1\), intake Burned Gas Ratio (BGR) \(F_1\), the total mass of injected fuel \(m_f\), the engine speed \(N_e\) and the cylinder pressure \(p_{cyl}\). The
volume $V_b$, the temperature $T_b$ and the equilibrium concentrations $[i]_{eq}$ of the species $i$ in the burned gases are the input variables of NO$_x$ formation model (figure 2). Finally, NO$_x$ molar fraction in the cylinder exhaust $X_{NO_x}$ constitutes the output of the model.

The four sub-models are detailed in [18] and [19]. Only the main aspects are presented in this paper. In the following, the indices $u$ and $b$ refer to the unburned and burned gases, respectively.

2.3. Thermodynamic conditions at IVC

From the thermodynamic conditions in the intake manifold ($p_1$, $T_1$, $F_1$), the first sub-model (figure 2) computes the variables describing the state of the mixing in the cylinder at IVC, and in particular the aspirated mass $m_{in}$ and the mass fractions $x_{ivc}^i$ of the species $i$ present in the cylinder. Note that these conditions correspond to the initial conditions of the system since the combustion chamber is isolated from the air system between IVC and Exhaust Valve Opening (EVO).

The aspirated mass is computed from a cylinder filling model [13], according to

$$m_{in} = \eta_v V_d \frac{p_1}{r_{air} T_1},$$

where $V_d$ is the displaced volume, $\eta_v$ the volumetric efficiency and $r_{air}$ is the gas constant.

The composition of the gases in the cylinder at IVC corresponds to the one in the intake manifold. Intake gases are composed of fresh air and recirculated gases, the residual gases being neglected. The composition of the gases present in the cylinder at IVC results from the mixing between fresh air and EGR. Only the main species are considered in the aspirated gases: dioxygen O$_2$ and dinitrogen N$_2$ present in fresh air and in recirculated gases, carbon dioxide CO$_2$ and water H$_2$O product by the combustion and present in the recirculated gases. The mass fraction of each species initially present in the cylinder is thus given by

$$x_{ivc}^i = x_{air}^i (1 - F_1) + x_{b}^i F_1,$$
where \( x_i^{\text{air}} \) and \( x_i^b \) are the mass fractions of a species \( i \) in fresh air and burned gases, respectively. \( F_1 \) is the intake BGR defined as

\[
F_1 = 1 - \frac{m_{\text{air},1}}{m_1},
\]

where \( m_1 \) and \( m_{\text{air},1} \) are the total mass of gas and the mass of air in the intake plenum, respectively. Assuming a local stoichiometric AFR in the burned gases, the initial mass fractions of the species are given by

\[
x_{O_2}^{ivc} = x_{O_2}^{air} (1 - F_1)
\]

\[
x_{N_2}^{ivc} = x_{N_2}^{air} (1 - F_1) + \frac{k_{n,air} \left( y + \frac{z}{4} \right) M_{N_2}}{y + \frac{z}{4} + 2 k_{n,air} + \frac{z}{2} M_b} F_1
\]

\[
x_{CO_2}^{ivc} = \frac{y \left( y + \frac{z}{4} \right) k_{n,air} + y + \frac{z}{2}}{y + \frac{z}{4} + 2 k_{n,air} + \frac{z}{2} M_b} M_{CO_2} F_1
\]

\[
x_{H_2O}^{ivc} = \frac{z}{2} \left( y + \frac{z}{4} \right) k_{n,air} + y + \frac{z}{2} M_{H_2O} F_1,
\]

where \( x_{O_2}^{air} = 0.23 \) and \( x_{N_2}^{air} = 0.77 \) correspond to the mass fractions of \( O_2 \) and \( N_2 \) in fresh air, respectively. \( k_{n,air} = \frac{X_{N_2}^{air}}{X_{O_2}^{air}} \) is the ratio between the molar fractions of \( N_2 \) (\( X_{N_2}^{air} = 0.79 \)) and \( O_2 \) (\( X_{O_2}^{air} = 0.21 \)) in air. \( C_yH_z \) is the general formula for a hydrocarbon, \( y \) and \( z \) being the number of carbon and hydrogen atoms, respectively. \( M_i \) is the molar mass of a species or a mixture \( i \). Note that the molar masses of the aspirated gases (\( M_{in} \)) and of the burned gases (\( M_b \)) are supposed equal to the one of air (\( M_{air} \)).

### 2.4. Rate Of Heat Release and mean thermodynamic conditions in the cylinder

The second sub-model (figure 2) computes the ROHR as well as the mean thermodynamic conditions in the cylinder from the cylinder pressure \( p_{cyl} \), the aspirated mass \( m_{in} \) and the injected mass \( m_f \).
As the combustion occurs during the closed part of the engine cycle, the ROHR is given by

\[ \frac{\delta Q_{\text{comb}}}{d\theta} = \frac{\delta Q_n}{d\theta} - \frac{\delta Q_w}{d\theta}. \]  

(10)

The net heat release rate is calculated from the cylinder pressure using the first law of thermodynamics \[13\] according to

\[ \frac{\delta Q_n}{d\theta} = \frac{\gamma}{\gamma - 1} p_{\text{cyl}} \frac{dV_{\text{cyl}}}{d\theta} + \frac{1}{\gamma - 1} V_{\text{cyl}} \frac{dp_{\text{cyl}}}{d\theta}. \]  

(11)

The calculation of the heat transfer between the mass trapped in the cylinder and the surrounding walls only takes into account a convective contribution, the convective coefficient being modeled by the Woschni correlation \[20\].

The mass of fuel burned since the beginning of the combustion \( m_{f,b} \) is given by

\[ m_{f,b}(\theta) = \frac{1}{Q_{\text{lhv}}} \int_{\theta_{\text{soc}}}^{\theta} \delta Q_{\text{comb}} d\theta, \]  

(12)

where \( Q_{\text{lhv}} \) refers to the lower heating value of the fuel and \( \theta_{\text{soc}} \) is the value of the crank angle at the Start Of Combustion (SOC).

The mean temperature in the cylinder is obtained from the perfect gas law

\[ T_{\text{cyl}} = \frac{p_{\text{cyl}} V_{\text{cyl}}}{R n_{\text{cyl}}}. \]  

(13)

The total molar quantity of gases in the cylinder \( n_{\text{cyl}} \) is assumed to be constant during the closed part of the engine cycle and equal to the sum of the molar quantity of aspirated gases and of the molar quantity of injected fuel, that is

\[ n_{\text{cyl}} = \frac{m_{\text{in}}}{M_{\text{in}}} + \frac{m_{f}}{M_{f}}. \]  

(14)

\( M_{f} \) refers to the molar mass of the fuel.

2.5. Thermodynamic conditions in the burned gas zone

The third sub-model (figure 2) computes the thermodynamic conditions in the unburned and burned gases from the mass of fuel burned and from the mean thermodynamic conditions in the cylinder. In this paper, only the calculation of the burned gas temperature and of the equilibrium concentrations in the burned
gas zone are presented since these variables constitute the main input variables of NOx formation model.

Before the SOC, the temperature in the burned gas zone $T_b$ is supposed to be equal to the mean cylinder temperature $T_{cyl}$. The equation describing the dynamics of the burned gas temperature after the SOC

$$\frac{dn_b h_{n,b}}{d\theta} = \frac{dn_u h_{n,u}}{d\theta} + \frac{n_b R T_b dp_{cyl}}{d\theta} + \frac{\delta Q_{w,b}}{d\theta}$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)$$

is obtained from the conservation of energy and takes into account energy variations due to the combustion of fuel with unburned gases, to pressure variations in the cylinder induced by the displacement of the piston, and to heat transfer at walls. $n$ and $h$ represent the molar quantity and the molar enthalpy, respectively. The molar enthalpy of the burned gases is given by

$$h_{n,b} = \sum_i X_{i,b} h_{n,i}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)$$

$X_{i,b}$ refers to the molar fraction of a species $i$ in the burned gas zone. The molar enthalpy $h_{n,i}$ of a species $i$ is expressed as a second-order polynomial function of the temperature \cite{21}. Consequently, the molar enthalpy of the burned gases depends on the composition and of the temperature of the gases in the burned gas zone, so that the burned gas temperature $T_b$, computed from equation (15), depends on the composition of the burned gases.

The concentration of the species in the burned gases are calculated by adopting a chemical equilibrium scheme for the C-H-O-N system, using the approach proposed by Rakopoulos et al. \cite{22}. Only eleven species are considered in the burned gases, that are the ten species (O$_2$, N$_2$, CO$_2$, H$_2$O, H, H$_2$, NO, O, OH et CO) with the highest molar fractions (between $10^{-5}$ and $10^0$) during the combustion \cite{12} and the nitrogen radical N whose molar fraction is lower (around $10^{-8}$) \cite{13} but which is involved in NOx formation. The equilibrium concentration of a species $i$ in the burned gas zone is obtained from its molar fraction $X_{i,b}$ in the burned gas zone, that is,

$$[i]_{eq} = X_{i,b} \frac{n_b}{V_b}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)$$
The molar fractions of the species in the burned gases are computed offline and are tabulated as functions of burned gas temperature and cylinder pressure according to

\[ X_{i,b} = f_{X_{i,b}}(T_b, p_{cyl}). \]  

(18)

2.6. Kinetics of NO\textsubscript{x} formation

The fourth sub-model computes NO\textsubscript{x} emissions from the thermodynamic conditions in the burned gas zone. NO\textsubscript{x} formation is modeled using the thermal mechanism which consists of three chemical reactions

\[ \text{N}_2 + \text{O} \rightleftharpoons \text{NO} + \text{N} \]  

(19)

\[ \text{N} + \text{O}_2 \rightleftharpoons \text{NO} + \text{O} \]  

(20)

\[ \text{N} + \text{OH} \rightleftharpoons \text{NO} + \text{H} \]  

(21)

between O\textsubscript{2}, N\textsubscript{2} and dissociations products. Under the equilibrium assumption, thermal NO formation rate can be expressed as

\[ \frac{d n_{\text{NO,cyl}}}{d\theta} = \frac{2 V_b}{\omega_e} \frac{R_1}{1 + \frac{[\text{NO}]}{[\text{NO}]_{eq}} \frac{R_1}{R_2 + R_3}} \]  

(22)

where

\[ R_1 = k_1^+ [\text{O}]_{eq} [\text{N}_2]_{eq} = k_1^- [\text{NO}]_{eq} [\text{N}]_{eq} \]

\[ R_2 = k_2^+ [\text{N}]_{eq} [\text{O}_2]_{eq} = k_2^- [\text{NO}]_{eq} [\text{O}]_{eq} \]  

(23)

\[ R_3 = k_3^+ [\text{OH}]_{eq} [\text{N}]_{eq} = k_3^- [\text{NO}]_{eq} [\text{H}]_{eq}. \]

\( \omega_e = 6 \) N\textsubscript{e} is the rotational speed of the engine. The kinetical constants \( k_i \) of equations (19) to (21) are expressed according to the Arrhenius equation

\[ k_i = A_i T_b^{\delta_i} \exp\left(-\frac{E_{a_i}}{R T_b}\right). \]  

(24)
Table 1: Coefficients of the kinetical constant of equations (19) to (21).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>$A$ (m$^3$/mol/s)</th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
<th>$E_a$ (J/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>production</td>
<td>$7.6 \times 10^7$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>315950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dissociation</td>
<td>$1.6 \times 10^7$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>production</td>
<td>$6.4 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>26200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dissociation</td>
<td>$1.5 \times 10^3$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>162100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>production</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^8$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>dissociation</td>
<td>$2.0 \times 10^8$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>196600</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The values of the pre-exponential factors $A_i$, of the exponents $\beta_i$ and of the energies of activation $E_{a,i}$ provided by Bowman [23] are summarized in Table 1.

The evolution of NO$_x$ molar quantity, which is supposed equal to NO molar quantity, is computed from NO formation rate according to

$$n_{NO_x,cyl}(\theta) = n_{NO,cyl}(\theta) = \int_{\theta_{soc}}^{\theta} \frac{dn_{NO,cyl}}{d\theta} d\theta.$$  \hspace{1cm} (25)

NO$_x$ molar fraction in the cylinder exhaust is then calculated from NO$_x$ molar quantity achieved at EVO

$$X_{NO_x} = \frac{n_{NO_x,cyl}(\theta_{evo})}{n_{cyl}}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (26)

2.7. Results and discussion

The results obtained with the model are compared with experimental data measured in a two-liter, four-cylinder, four-stroke, direct-injection diesel engine (engine A presented in Appendix A). The model has only physical parameters such as physical constants (universal gas constant $R$, etc.), parameters used to compute the kinetical constants of NO$_x$ formation, etc. Only the geometrical parameters (cylinder displacement, stroke, etc.) are adapted to the considered
engine. The experimental data set is composed of around 500 steady-state operating points corresponding to the nominal operating points used for the engine calibration, and to engine setting variations (SOC and BGR). Only the engine-out NO\textsubscript{x} emissions are measured since the evolution of NO\textsubscript{x} concentration during the engine cycle is not available. A detailed description of the experimental setup and of the measurement procedure is given in Appendix A.

The evolution of cylinder pressure, temperature in each zone and NO\textsubscript{x} molar fraction during an engine cycle are represented in figure 3. The energy released by the combustion leads to an important increase in the cylinder pressure (figure 3a) and in the mean cylinder temperature (figure 3b). The temperature in the burned gas zone increases suddenly at the SOC and is much higher than the mean cylinder temperature (figure 3b). In particular, the burned gas temperature exceeds the activation temperatures of NO\textsubscript{x} formation reactions (around 2200 K) at the beginning of the combustion (from $\theta = 362$ to 395 deg for the case shown in figure 3a), so that NO\textsubscript{x} are mainly produced during this phase (figure 3c).

As the evolution of NO\textsubscript{x} concentration is not measured during the engine cycle, the estimated NO\textsubscript{x} molar fraction $X_{\text{est NO}_x}$ in the cylinder exhaust is compared to the measured value $X_{\text{mes NO}_x}$ for each operating point. The model reproduced the decrease in NO\textsubscript{x} emissions when the BGR increases (figure 4). The Root Mean Squared Error (RMSE) and the correlation factor $r_p$ calculated from the Bravais-Pearson equation [24] are used for a quantitative estimation of the model accuracy. The model is unable to accurately estimate NO\textsubscript{x} emissions, the RMSE obtained for the complete data set being about 60% (figure 5).

The proposed model presents some drawbacks. First, it is too complex for control applications. The computations of the burned gas temperature and of the equilibrium concentrations of the species in the burned gas zone are coupled. Second, the model is unable to accurately estimate NO\textsubscript{x} emissions and has many physical parameters but no parameter to tune to fit with experimental data. However, this model has enabled to highlight the huge sensitivity of NO\textsubscript{x} emissions to the burned gas temperature, also shown by Bowman [23] and
Figure 3: Evolution of cylinder pressure, temperature in each zone and NO\textsubscript{x} molar fraction during an engine cycle. Operating conditions: \( N_e = 2000 \) rpm; IMEP = 10 bar; \( F_1 = 12\% \); \( \theta_{soc} = 362 \) deg.
Figure 4: Comparison of estimated and measured NO\textsubscript{x} molar fraction for a variation of the BGR. Operating conditions: \( N_e = 2750 \) rpm; IMEP = 7 bar; \( \theta_{soc} = 368 \) deg.

Figure 5: NO\textsubscript{x} molar fraction estimated with the reference model versus experimental data. The red dotted line represents the first bisector of the plan. The grey dotted lines represent the lines of equations \( y = 1.2 \, x \) and \( y = 0.8 \, x \) corresponding to an error of \( \pm 20\% \) on NO\textsubscript{x} emissions.
Heywood. This high sensitivity is illustrated in figure 6 where a variation of the burned gas temperature of 200 K leads to a huge variation of NO\textsubscript{x} molar fraction of about 1000 ppm.

![Figure 6: Illustration of NO\textsubscript{x} sensitivity to the burned gas temperature.](image)

3. Simplification of the crank-angle based model to a mean-value NO\textsubscript{x} model

In this section, a mean-value NO\textsubscript{x} model is derived from the reference model. This simplification is realized in two steps.

In the first step, an adaptation of the reference model is proposed to overcome its limits (section 3.1). Since the burned gas temperature has a major
impact on NO$_x$ emissions, we propose to introduce a new burned gas temperature model with a limited number of tunable parameters to fit with experimental data. The evolution of the burned gas temperature obtained with the reference model is shown in figure 7: the burned gas temperature increases suddenly at the SOC and then, increases more slowly during a few degrees before undergoing a relaxation during the expansion phase. This evolution is approximated by considering an instantaneous increase in temperature at the SOC to the maximum burned gas temperature $\hat{T}_b$ followed by a temperature relaxation from the maximum temperature to the mean cylinder temperature $T_{cyl}$ (figure 7).

![Figure 7: Evolution of the burned gas temperature estimated with the reference model and with the adapted model.](image)

The idea is to replace the Ordinary Differential Equation (ODE) describing the burned gas temperature dynamics by a static model. We search to introduce a model composed of a discrete variable that enables to decouple NO$_x$ emissions from the first-order input variables, and of a model describing the temperature relaxation from the temperature achieved at the SOC. NO$_x$ formation mainly occurs at the beginning of the combustion, when the burned gas temperature achieves its highest values. Added to the high dependency of NO$_x$ formation rate to the temperature, it leads us to consider the maximum burned gas temperature $\hat{T}_b$ as the discrete variable that drives NO$_x$ production. Figure 8 represents the evolution of NO$_x$ molar fraction $X_{NO_x}$ versus $\hat{T}_b$. 
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when two main input variables (BGR and SOC) vary for a given speed \( N_e = 2500 \text{ rpm} \) and a given torque (IMEP = 10 bar) of the engine. Note that similar trends can be observed for other values of the engine speed and torque. The evolution of NO\(_x\) molar fraction with \( \hat{T}_b \) is the same whatever the values of the BGR and of the SOC. This discrete temperature variable is thus adapted to our problem.

![Graph](image)

Figure 8: Evolution of NO\(_x\) molar fraction versus the maximum burned gas temperature \( \hat{T}_b \), when \( F_1 \) varies for four values of \( \theta_{soc} \) (a) and when \( \theta_{soc} \) varies for four values of \( F_1 \) (b). Operating conditions: \( N_e = 2500 \text{ rpm} \); IMEP = 10 bar.
The second step consists in simplifying the crank-angle based NO\textsubscript{x} formation model based on the Zeldovich mechanism (section 2.6) in order to get a MVM that computes NO\textsubscript{x} emissions from the maximum burned gas temperature (section 3.2).

3.1. Adaptation of the burned gas temperature model

The proposed static model for the burned gas temperature is adapted from the one developed by Heider [25]. The expression of the burned gas temperature is given by \([18, 19]\)

\[
T_b(\theta) = \max \left( \hat{T}_b \left( 1 - \frac{\int_{\theta_{soc}}^{\theta} (p_{cyl}(\theta) - p_m(\theta)) m_b(\theta) d\theta}{\int_{\theta_{soc}}^{\theta} (p_{cyl}(\theta) - p_m(\theta)) m_b(\theta) d\theta} \right) , T_{cyl}(\theta) \right) \tag{27}
\]

where \(p_m\) stands for the motored pressure.

The burned gas temperature is identified so that NO\textsubscript{x} emissions estimated using the Zeldovich mechanism correspond to the experimental values. The maximum burned gas temperature \(\hat{T}_b\) is considered as a tunable parameter. For each operating point, the value of \(\hat{T}_b\) is identified by minimizing the error

\[
\epsilon = \| X^{est}_{NO_x} - X^{mes}_{NO_x} \| \tag{28}
\]

between the estimated NO\textsubscript{x} molar fraction \(X^{est}_{NO_x}\) (equation (26)) and the measured one \(X^{mes}_{NO_x}\) (figure 9).

3.1.1. MVM for the maximum burned gas temperature

A setpoint-relative model is then introduced to estimate the maximum burned gas temperature, according to

\[
\hat{T}_b = \hat{T}_b^{ss} (N_e, m_f) + \Delta \hat{T}_b. \tag{29}
\]

A static map \(\hat{T}_b^{ss}\) is thus employed to compute \(\hat{T}_b\) in nominal operating conditions from engine speed and injected fuel mass. This term enables to accounts for engine calibration. In order to take into account the temperature variations
caused by air path and combustion phasing errors during transient operations, a correction term $\Delta \hat{T}_b$ is introduced.

We search to express the correction term $\Delta \hat{T}_b$ as a function of the first-order input variables impacting NO$_x$ emissions. The choice of these input variables is based on a deterministic sensitivity analysis of NO$_x$ emissions to thermodynamic conditions in intake manifold (intake pressure $p_1$ and BGR $F_1$), to the SOC $\theta_{soc}$ and to injection settings (injection pressure $p_f$; injected fuel mass $m_{f,pil}$ and $m_{f,post}$ during pilot and post injections, respectively; delays $T_{s,pil}$ and $T_{s,post}$ between pilot and main injections, and between main and post injections, respectively). The vector $u$ of input variables is

$$u = (F_1, p_1, \theta_{soc}, p_f, m_{f,pil}, m_{f,post}, T_{s,pil}, T_{s,post})^T.$$  

The method employed consists in three steps. The first step consists in determining the amplitude of each input variation depending on the phenomena we want to describe: for instance, an amplitude representative of a BGR error during transient operations is considered for $F_1$. The amplitudes considered in this study are summarized in table 2. In the second step, experimental mono-parametric variations of each input are realized for given engine speed and torque setpoint. The third step consists in determining the sensitivity of

![Figure 9: Methodology of burned gas temperature identification.](image)
the output to each input, which corresponds to the variation \( \Delta X_{NO_x}^i \) of \( NO_x \) molar fraction obtained by varying only the input variable \( u_i \) with an amplitude \( \Delta u_i \). This variation \( \Delta X_{NO_x}^i \) is obtained from

\[
\Delta X_{NO_x}^i = \left. \frac{\partial X_{NO_x}}{\partial u_i} \right|_{u_i \Delta u_i} \Delta u_i.
\] (31)

This study is performed for two operating points \( (N_e = 1500 \text{ rpm}; \text{IMEP} = 5 \text{ bar} \) and \( N_e = 2000 \text{ rpm}; \text{IMEP} = 8 \text{ bar} \). A high sensitivity of \( NO_x \) emissions to \( F_1 \) is found (figure 10), as observed by Alfieri [26], Arrègle et al. [27] and Vigild et al. [28]. The variation of \( NO_x \) emissions when \( \theta_{soc} \) varies can also be significant depending on the operating point (figure 10b). However, with the considered amplitudes of variation of the input variables, the intake pressure \( p_1 \), the injection pressure \( p_f \), the repartition of the injected fuel mass between the different injections and the delay between the injections are found to have only a negligible impact on \( NO_x \) emissions. Consequently, we choose to consider only \( F_1 \) and \( \theta_{soc} \) as input variables and to neglect the impact of the other variables.

As a result, the maximum burned gas temperature is expressed as

\[
\hat{T}_b = \hat{T}_{bss}^s (N_e, m_f) + \Delta \hat{T}_b (\Delta F_1, \Delta \theta_{soc})
\] (32)

where

\[
\Delta F_1 = F_1 - F_{ss1}^s (N_e, m_f)
\] (33)

and

\[
\Delta \theta_{soc} = \theta_{soc} - \theta_{soc}^{ss} (N_e, m_f),
\] (34)

are the absolute deviations of the BGR and of the SOC from their nominal values \( F_{ss1}^s \) and \( \theta_{soc}^{ss} \), respectively. \( F_{ss1}^s \) and \( \theta_{soc}^{ss} \) are given by static maps depending on engine speed and injected fuel mass.

The expression of the correction term \( \Delta \hat{T}_b \) is determined by analyzing the evolution of the maximum burned gas temperature with the BGR and the SOC for given engine speeds and torques. Figure 11a represents the evolution of \( \hat{T}_b \) when \( F_1 \) varies at constant \( \theta_{soc} \) for four values of \( \theta_{soc} \). \( \hat{T}_b \) decreases when
Table 2: Amplitudes of variation of the input variables.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Notation</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amplitude of variation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$F_1$</td>
<td>BGR</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_1$</td>
<td>Intake pressure</td>
<td>0.2 bar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta_{soc}$</td>
<td>Start of main combustion</td>
<td>5 deg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$p_f$</td>
<td>Injection pressure</td>
<td>100 bar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_{f,pil}$</td>
<td>Injected mass (pilot injection)</td>
<td>1 mg/cp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_{f,post}$</td>
<td>Injected mass (post-injection)</td>
<td>1 mg/cp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{s,pil}$</td>
<td>Delay between pilot and</td>
<td>100 µs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>main injections</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_{s,post}$</td>
<td>Delay between main and</td>
<td>100 µs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>post injections</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 10: Variations of NO$_x$ emissions caused by variations of intake manifold thermodynamic conditions and of injection settings. The amplitudes of variation of input variables are given in table 2.

(a) $N_e = 1500$ rpm; IMEP = 5 bar

(b) $N_e = 2000$ rpm; IMEP = 8 bar
The temperature decrease is one of the most expected effects of EGR: the recirculation of exhaust gases leads to an increase in the mean heat capacity of the gases present in the cylinder and tends to decrease the combustion speed. Moreover, the evolution of $\hat{T}_b$ with $F_1$ is independent of $\theta_{soc}$. Similarly, figure 11b represents the evolution of $\hat{T}_b$ when $\theta_{soc}$ varies at constant $F_1$ for four values of $F_1$. $\hat{T}_b$ decreases when $\theta_{soc}$ increases (delayed start of combustion). Moreover, the evolution of $\hat{T}_b$ with $\theta_{soc}$ does not depend on $F_1$. These properties, that can be observed for other values of engine speed and torque, enable to build a model for the variation of the maximum burned gas temperature composed of two separated terms depending on variations of the BGR ($\Delta F_1$) and of the SOC ($\Delta \theta_{soc}$), that is

$$\Delta \hat{T}_b = \mu_{F_1} \Delta F_1 + \mu_{soc} \Delta \theta_{soc}. \quad (35)$$

Moreover, the evolutions of $\hat{T}_b$ with $F_1$ and $\theta_{soc}$ can be supposed affine, so that $\Delta \hat{T}_b$ is approximated by a first-order limited development on BGR and SOC variations. The expression of the maximum burned gas temperature thus becomes

$$\hat{T}_b = \hat{T}_{b_{ss}} (N_e, m_f) + \frac{\partial \hat{T}_b}{\partial F_1} \Delta F_1 \mu_{F_1} + \frac{\partial \hat{T}_b}{\partial \theta_{soc}} \Delta \theta_{soc} \mu_{soc}. \quad (36)$$

### 3.1.2. Identification

The identification of the model for the burned gas temperature consists in building the three static maps for the maximum burned gas temperature $\hat{T}_{b_{ss}}$, the BGR $F_{1_{ss}}$ and the SOC $\theta_{soc}$, as well as to identify two sensitivity functions

$$\mu_{F_1} = \left. \frac{\partial \hat{T}_b}{\partial F_1} \right|_{\theta_{soc}} < 0 \quad (37)$$

and

$$\mu_{soc} = \left. \frac{\partial \hat{T}_b}{\partial \theta_{soc}} \right|_{F_1} < 0 \quad (38)$$
Figure 11: Sensitivity of the maximum burned gas temperature to the BGR and the SOC: evolution of $\hat{T}_b$ versus $F_1$ for four values of $\theta_{soc}$ (a) and versus $\theta_{soc}$ for four values of $F_1$ (b). Operating conditions: $N_e = 3500$ rpm; IMEP = 10 bar.
representing the sensitivity of the maximum burned gas temperature to the BGR and to the SOC, respectively.

The static maps depending on engine speed and injected fuel mass are built from experimental data measured in nominal operating conditions tested during the engine calibration phase, so that it does not require any specific tests. The sensitivity functions $\mu_{F_1}$ and $\mu_{soc}$ are identified from experimental data measured for mono-parametric variations of the BGR and of the SOC. Figure 12 represents the evolution of the maximum burned gas temperature versus the BGR for five operating points defined by the engine torque and the engine speed. For each operating points, the SOC remains equal to its nominal value. The derivative of the maximum burned gas temperature with respect to the BGR is almost constant whatever the engine speed and torque (figure 12b). Consequently, the sensitivity of the maximum burned gas temperature to the BGR is supposed to be constant and it is defined by only one parameter, that is

$$\mu_{F_1} = \mu_{F_1,0}. \quad (39)$$

Figure 13 represents the evolution of the maximum burned gas temperature versus the SOC for five operating points defined by the engine torque and the engine speed. For each operating points, the BGR remains equal to its nominal value. The derivative of the maximum burned gas temperature with respect to the SOC tends to decrease when the nominal value of the SOC increases (figure 13b) and we choose to approximate it by an affine function of $\theta_{soc}^{ss}$, according to

$$\mu_{soc} = \mu_{soc,0} + \mu_{soc,1}\theta_{soc}^{ss}. \quad (40)$$

The values of the parameters $\mu_{F_1}$, $\mu_{soc,1}$ and $\mu_{soc,0}$ identified for two diesel engines are summarized in table 3.

3.1.3. Results

The proposed model of the burned gas temperature is integrated in the physical model presented in section 2. NOx emissions estimated with the adapted
Figure 12: Sensitivity of the maximum burned gas temperature to the BGR for five operating points: variation of $\hat{T}_b$ when $F_1$ varies (a); derivative of $\hat{T}_b$ with respect to $F_1$ for a given $\theta_{soc}$ (b).
Figure 13: Sensitivity of the maximum burned gas temperature to the BGR for five operating points: variation of $\hat{T}_b$ when \( \theta_{soc} \) varies (a); derivative of $\hat{T}_b$ with respect to $\theta_{soc}$ for a given $F_1$ (b).
Table 3: Parameters of the mean-value NO\textsubscript{x} model composed of the maximum burned gas temperature model and of the mean-value NO\textsubscript{x} formation model.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Engine A</th>
<th>Engine B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_{F,0}$</td>
<td>K/%</td>
<td>Sensitivity of $\hat{T}_b$ to $F_1$</td>
<td>-10.5</td>
<td>-8.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_{soc,1}$</td>
<td>K/deg$^2$</td>
<td>Sensitivity of $\hat{T}<em>b$ to $\theta</em>{soc}$</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu_{soc,0}$</td>
<td>K/deg</td>
<td>Sensitivity of $\hat{T}<em>b$ to $\theta</em>{soc}$</td>
<td>-252</td>
<td>-465</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_{M_1}$</td>
<td>J/mol</td>
<td>Sensitivity of $X_{NOx}$ to $\hat{T}_b$</td>
<td>502600</td>
<td>510200</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reference model are compared with the experimental data for the same data set as the one used in section 2.7 to validate the reference model (figure 14). The introduction of a new burned gas temperature model enables to greatly improve the accuracy of the complete model by adding three tunable parameters: while the RMSE is about 60% with the reference model (section 2), it is around 10% with the adapted model. Note that a similar accuracy is achieved using the data set obtained on engine B and that this accuracy is sufficient for engine control applications as mentioned in section 1.

![Figure 14: NO\textsubscript{x} molar fraction estimated with the adapted reference model versus experimental data (engine A).](image)
The ability of the model to reproduce the sensitivity of the system to BGR is also evaluated. The estimated NO\textsubscript{x} molar fraction is compared with the experimental data when the BGR varies for given engine speed and torque setpoint (figure [15a]). The model reproduces the evolution of NO\textsubscript{x} emissions with the BGR. Moreover, the order of magnitude of the derivative of NO\textsubscript{x} molar fraction with respect to the BGR, estimated with the adapted reference model, corresponds to the one computed from experimental data on about fifteen operating points (figure [15b]). The model is thus able to reproduce the sensitivity of the system to BGR in terms of NO\textsubscript{x} emissions.

3.1.4. Synthesis

In this subsection, the reference model proposed in section 2 was adapted in order to overcome its limits. A new burned gas temperature sub-model was introduced. The ODE (15) describing the dynamics of the burned gas temperature was replaced by a static model composed of a discrete variable — the maximum burned gas temperature — and of a model for the temperature relaxation (equation (27)). The maximum burned gas temperature \( \hat{T}_b \) is the key intermediate variable that enables to decoupled NO\textsubscript{x} emissions from the first-order input variables (BGR and SOC). The introduction of this new burned gas temperature sub-model permitted to improve the accuracy of the complete model by adding tunable parameters. The calibration effort of the model remains limited since only three parameters are introduced, that can be identified using experimental data measured on about 100 operating points (in addition to the nominal operating points tested during the engine calibration). However, this adapted reference model is still a crank-angle based model.

3.2. Mean-value NO\textsubscript{x} formation model

In this subsection, the crank-angle resolved NO\textsubscript{x} formation model based on the Zeldovich mechanism is simplified to get a mean-value NO\textsubscript{x} formation model. Only discrete combustion variables are kept as input of the model: we search to compute NO\textsubscript{x} emissions from the maximum burned gas temperature and no
Figure 15: Comparison of estimated and measured NO\textsubscript{x} molar fraction when the BGR varies for given engine speed (N\textsubscript{e} = 1000 rpm) and engine torque (IMEP = 7 bar) (a); comparison of the derivative of NO\textsubscript{x} molar fraction as respect to the BGR, estimated with the adapted reference model, with the derivative computed from experimental data on several operating points (b) (engine A).
more from the evolution of the burned gas temperature during the engine cycle.

With the crank-angle based model, the total quantity of NO\textsubscript{x} produced during an engine cycle (equation (25)) is calculated from the NO\textsubscript{x} formation rate modeled using the Zeldovich mechanism (equation (22)).

\[ \frac{dn_{NO_x\,eq}}{d\theta} = k_{NO_x}(\theta) \, g_{NO_x}(\theta), \quad (41) \]

where

\[ k_{NO_x} = 2 \, A^+ \, \exp \left( -\frac{E^+}{R \, T_b} \right) \, \left( 1 - \frac{[NO]}{[NO]_{eq}} \right)^2 \, \frac{1 + [NO]}{[NO]_{eq} \, R_1 \, (R_2 + R_3)} \quad (42) \]

is equivalent to a kinetical constant and

\[ g_{NO_x} = [O]_{eq} \, [N_2]_{eq} \, V_b \, \frac{1}{\omega_e} \quad (43) \]

depends on the equilibrium concentrations of reagent species involved in NO\textsubscript{x} formation (N\textsubscript{2} and O).

\[ k_{NO_x} \] is then replaced by a discrete variable

\[ \bar{k}_{NO_x} = \frac{1}{\theta_f - \theta_0} \int_{\theta_0}^{\theta_f} k_{NO_x}(\theta) \, d\theta, \quad (44) \]

corresponding to the mean value of \( k_{NO_x} \) during the phase of NO\textsubscript{x} production. \( \theta_0 \) and \( \theta_f \) corresponds to the beginning and the end of NO\textsubscript{x} production phase. The total molar quantity of NO\textsubscript{x} produced during an engine cycle

\[ n_{NO_x} = \int_{\theta_{soc}}^{\theta_{eoc}} k_{NO_x}(\theta) \, g_{NO_x}(\theta) \, d\theta \quad (45) \]

is thus approximated by

\[ n_{NO_x} = \bar{k}_{NO_x} \int_{\theta_0}^{\theta_f} g_{NO_x}(\theta) \, d\theta. \quad (46) \]

The total molar quantity of NO\textsubscript{x} computed from \( \bar{k}_{NO_x} \) (equation (46)) is compared with the one obtained from \( k_{NO_x} \) (equation (45)) for a data set composed
the nominal operating points and of BGR and SOC variations (figure 16). NO\textsubscript{x} emissions estimated from $\bar{k}_{NO_x}$ present a very good correlation with the emissions estimated from $k_{NO_x}$ ($r_p^2 = 1$). The mean error committed on $n_{NO_x}$ by averaging the kinetical constant $k_{NO_x}$ is 0.4 mmol, that is 8\% of the molar quantity estimated from $k_{NO_x}$.

As a result, the total molar quantity of NO\textsubscript{x} $n_{NO_x}$ is expressed using two terms: $k_{NO_x}$ and

$$I_{NO_x} = \int_{\theta_i}^{\theta_f} g_{NO_x}(\theta) \, d\theta. \quad (47)$$

$I_{NO_x}$ corresponds to a source term and depends on equilibrium concentrations of reagents in NO\textsubscript{x} formation reactions (O et N\textsubscript{2}). This source term is multiplied by $\bar{k}_{NO_x}$ which is equivalent to a kinetical constant and represents the intensity of NO\textsubscript{x} formation.

This two terms are expressed in terms of the maximum burned gas temperature $\hat{T}_b$ and are identified separately from the values estimated with the adapted reference model.

The evolution of $\bar{k}_{NO_x}$ as a function of $\hat{T}_b$ is thus analyzed for variations of

\[\text{Fig. 16: Comparison of the total molar quantity of NO}_x \text{ produced during an engine cycle computed from } k_{NO_x} \text{ with the one calculated from } k_{NO_x}.\]
the BGR and of the SOC. These variations are realized for several operating points defined by the engine speed and torque setpoint. For each operating point, the BGR is varied at constant SOC for four values of the SOC, and the SOC is varied at constant BGR for four values of the BGR. For given engine speed and torque setpoint, \( k_{NO_x} \) is strictly increasing with \( \hat{T}_b \) (figure 17) and its evolution is independent of \( F_1 \) and of \( \theta_{soc} \). Moreover, the evolution of \( k_{NO_x} \) with \( \hat{T}_b \) does not depend on the engine operating point either.

The logarithm of \( k_{NO_x} \) follows an affine evolution with respect the inverse of the maximum burned gas temperature (figure 18), so that \( k_{NO_x} \) is expressed as

\[
\ln(k_{NO_x}) = C \exp\left(-\frac{E_a^s}{R \hat{T}_b}\right), \tag{48}
\]

where \( C \) and \( E_a^s \) are constants. Note that the exponential dependency of \( k_{NO_x} \) with the inverse of the temperature can be explained by the fact that \( k_{NO_x} \) is equivalent to a kinetical constant.

![Figure 17: Evolution of \( k_{NO_x} \) with respect to \( \hat{T}_b \) when \( F_1 \) and \( \theta_{soc} \) vary for given engine speeds and torque setpoints.](image)

In the same way, the evolution of \( I_{NO_x} \) with \( \hat{T}_b \) is analyzed for BGR and SOC variations. For given engine speed and torque setpoint, \( I_{NO_x} \) is strictly increasing with \( \hat{T}_b \) (figure 19) and its evolution is independent of \( F_1 \) and of \( \theta_{soc} \). However, contrary to the one of \( k_{NO_x} \), the evolution of \( I_{NO_x} \) with \( \hat{T}_b \) depends on the engine operating point.
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\[ I_{NO_x} \] is thus computed relatively to its nominal value \( I_{NO_x}^{ss} \) obtained in nominal operating conditions. The logarithm of \( \frac{I_{NO_x}}{I_{NO_x}^{ss}} \) follows a linear evolution with \( \frac{1}{T_b} - \frac{1}{T_b^{ss}} \) (figure 20), so that \( \frac{I_{NO_x}}{I_{NO_x}^{ss}} \) is expressed as

\[
\frac{I_{NO_x}}{I_{NO_x}^{ss}} = \exp \left( - \frac{E''_a}{R} \left( \frac{1}{T_b} - \frac{1}{T_b^{ss}} \right) \right),
\]

(49)

where \( E''_a \) is a constant. Note that \( I_{NO_x} \) depends on the equilibrium concentrations of \( N_2 \) and \( O \), and thus, on equilibrium molar fractions of these species which are highly sensitive to the burned gas temperature (equation (18)), justifying the expression of \( I_{NO_x} \) as a function of \( T_b \). Moreover, \( I_{NO_x} \) also depends on the duration of \( NO_x \) formation phase, and thus, on the operating point defined by engine speed and injected fuel mass.

Consequently, the total molar quantity of \( NO_x \) produced during an engine cycle, \( n_{NO_x} = k_{NO_x} I_{NO_x} \), is computed relatively to its nominal value \( n_{NO_x}^{ss} = k_{NO_x}^{ss} I_{NO_x}^{ss} \). Taking into account the expressions (48) and (49) of \( k_{NO_x} \) and \( I_{NO_x} \) as functions of \( T_b \), the expression (46) of the total molar quantity of \( NO_x \) becomes

\[
n_{NO_x} = n_{NO_x}^{ss} \exp \left( - \frac{C_{M_1}}{R} \left( \frac{1}{T_b} - \frac{1}{T_b^{ss}} \right) \right),
\]

(50)

where \( C_{M_1} = E'_a + E''_a \) is a constant equivalent to an activation energy. \( n_{NO_x}^{ss} \).
Figure 19: Evolution of $I_{NO_x}$ with respect to $\hat{T}_b$ when $F_1$ and $\theta_{soc}$ vary for given engine speeds and torque setpoints.

Figure 20: Evolution of $\frac{I_{NO_x}}{I_{NO_x}}$ with respect to the maximum burned gas temperature.
and $\hat{T}_{b}^{ss}$ depend on engine speed and injected fuel mass.

From the total molar quantity $n_{NO_x}$, NO$_x$ molar fraction is computed according to equation (26), as a function of engine speed $N_e$, injected fuel mass $m_f$, aspirated mass $m_{in}$ and maximum burned gas temperature $\hat{T}_b$, that is

$$X_{NO_x} = X_{NO_x}^{ss} \frac{m_{in}^{ss}(N_e,m_f)}{m_{in}} \exp \left( -\frac{C_{M_1}}{R} \left( \frac{1}{\hat{T}_b} - \frac{1}{\hat{T}_{b}^{ss}(N_e,m_f)} \right) \right).$$

(51)

### 3.2.1. Identification

The identification of the mean-value NO$_x$ formation model consists in building the three steady-state maps for the maximum burned gas temperature $\hat{T}_{b}^{ss}$, the aspirated mass $m_{in}^{ss}$ and NO$_x$ molar fraction $X_{NO_x}^{ss}$ and to identify the parameter $C_{M_1}$. The steady-state maps depend on engine speed and injected fuel mass, and are built from experimental data measured in nominal operating conditions tested during the engine calibration phase. The parameter $C_{M_1}$, which is equivalent to an activation energy and represents the sensitivity of NO$_x$ emissions to $\hat{T}_b$, is identified from experimental data measured for monoparametric variations of the BGR and of the SOC. The values of the parameter $C_{M_1}$ identified for two diesel engines are summarized in table 3.

### 3.3. Mean-value NO$_x$ model

Finally, a mean-value NO$_x$ model $M_1$ is obtained by associating the model computing the maximum burned gas temperature $\hat{T}_b$ from first-order input variables (BGR and SOC) (equation (36)), with the mean-value NO$_x$ formation model calculating NO$_x$ molar fraction $X_{NO_x}$ from $\hat{T}_b$ (equation (51)). The structure of the model $M_1$ is represented in figure 21.

The model takes as input the engine speed $N_e$, the injected fuel mass $m_f$, the BGR $F_1$, the SOC $\theta_{soc}$ and the aspirated mass $m_{in}$. The maximum burned gas temperature $\hat{T}_b$ is the key intermediate variable that enables to decoupled NO$_x$ emissions from the first-order input variables. This model uses five static
Figure 21: Structure of the mean-value NO\textsubscript{x} model $\mathcal{M}_1$.

maps for the BGR, the SOC, the maximum burned gas temperature, the aspirated mass and NO\textsubscript{x} molar fraction. It has four parameters that are the three parameters of the burned gas temperature model ($\mu_{F_1,0}$, $\mu_{\text{soc},0}$ and $\mu_{\text{soc},1}$) and the parameter $C_{\mathcal{M}_1}$ of the mean-value NO\textsubscript{x} formation model.

4. Results

This section is dedicated to the validation of the mean-value NO\textsubscript{x} model $\mathcal{M}_1$ in both steady-state and transient operating conditions.

4.1. Steady-state results

The estimated NO\textsubscript{x} emissions are compared with the experimental data for the same data set as the one used in sections 2.7 and 3.1.3 to validate the reference and the adapted reference models (figure 22). The model estimates NO\textsubscript{x} emissions with a correct accuracy for engine control applications, the RMSE being about 10%. A similar accuracy is achieved using the data set obtained on engine B. Note that the mean-value NO\textsubscript{x} model presents the same accuracy as the adapted reference model despite the simplification of the NO\textsubscript{x} formation model that enables to compute NO\textsubscript{x} emissions from discrete combustion variables and no more from the evolution of the cylinder pressure during the engine cycle. Moreover, the conservation of the accuracy of the model is obtained with
only one additional parameter identified using the same experimental data as those employed for the identification of the burned gas temperature model.

The ability of the mean-value model to reproduce the sensitivity of the system to BGR is evaluated as it was done for the adapted reference model in section 3.1.3. NO\textsubscript{x} molar fractions estimated with the adapted reference model and with the mean-value NO\textsubscript{x} model are compared with the experimental data when the BGR varies for given engine speed and torque setpoint (figure 23a). Both models reproduce the evolution of NO\textsubscript{x} emissions with the BGR. Moreover, the order of magnitude of the derivatives of NO\textsubscript{x} molar fraction with respect to the BGR, estimated with the both models, corresponds to the one computed from experimental data on about fifteen operating points (figure 23b). Consequently, the MVM presents the same sensitivity to BGR as the crank-angle based model. The simplification of the adapted reference model to derive the MVM has not degraded the ability of the model to reproduce the sensitivity of the system to BGR.

4.2. Transient results

The ability of the mean-value NO\textsubscript{x} model to estimate NO\textsubscript{x} emissions during transient operations is evaluated on driving cycles realized on a 1.5-liter,
Figure 23: Comparison of estimated and measured NO\textsubscript{x} molar fraction when the BGR varies for given engine speed (\(N_e = 1000\) rpm) and engine torque (IMEP= 7 bar) (a); comparison of the derivative of NO\textsubscript{x} molar fraction as respect to the BGR, estimated with the adapted reference model and with the mean-value NO\textsubscript{x} model, with the derivative computed from experimental data on several operating points (b) (engine A).
four-cylinder, four-stroke, direct-injection diesel engine (engine B presented in Appendix A).

The estimated and measured NO\textsubscript{x} mass flow $\dot{m}_{NO_x}$ are represented in figure 24 for a portion of a WLTC cycle. The BGR does not converge instantaneously to its setpoint value during transients due to the slow dynamics of the air path causes by the transportation duration of exhaust gases to the intake manifold (figure 25a). The lack of BGR leads to large overshoots in NO\textsubscript{x} emissions compared with the steady-state values given by a static map depending on engine speed and injected fuel mass (figure 25b). Note that NO\textsubscript{x} emissions are measured in the exhaust line using a HORIBA gas analyser and that the measured signal is then deconvoluated to get the signal at the cylinder exhaust. The model correctly reproduces NO\textsubscript{x} evolution during transients (figure 24d).

We also search to evaluate the capacity of the model to estimate the total mass of NO\textsubscript{x} produced during a driving cycle as well as the part of NO\textsubscript{x} emissions produced during transients. The total mass of NO\textsubscript{x} produced during a cycle is given by

$$m_{NO_x}^{tot} = \int_{t_0}^{t_f} \dot{m}_{NO_x}(t) \, dt$$

where $t_0$ and $t_f$ are the initial and final times of the driving cycle, respectively.

The steady-state NO\textsubscript{x} mass is obtained from

$$m_{NO_x}^{ss} = \int_{t_0}^{t_f} \dot{m}_{NO_x}^{ss}(t) \, dt,$$

where the steady-state NO\textsubscript{x} mass flow

$$\dot{m}_{NO_x}^{ss} = f_{NO_x}(N_e, m_f)$$

is given by a static map depending on engine speed and injected fuel mass. NO\textsubscript{x} mass produced during transient operations $m_{NO_x}^{t}$ is defined as the difference between the total mass of NO\textsubscript{x} $m_{NO_x}^{tot}$ and the steady-state value $m_{NO_x}^{ss}$, so that NO\textsubscript{x} transient part is expressed as

$$m_{NO_x}^{t} = \frac{m_{NO_x}^{tot} - m_{NO_x}^{ss}}{m_{NO_x}^{tot}} = \frac{m_{NO_x}^{t}}{m_{NO_x}^{tot}}.$$
Figure 24: Comparaison of estimated and measured NO$_x$ mass flow on a portion of a WLTC cycle.
The estimated NO\textsubscript{x} emissions are compared with the experimental data in terms of total mass of NO\textsubscript{x} produced during a driving cycle (figure 26a) and of NO\textsubscript{x} transient part (figure 26b). Three different driving cycles are tested: NEDC, WLTC and FTP (Federal Test Procedure). These cycles are realized on three different vehicles to obtain different trajectories of engine speed and torque setpoint for the same trajectory of vehicle speed. The model is able to accurately estimate the total mass of NO\textsubscript{x} produced during a driving cycle, the RMSE being about 5\% for the tested cycles. Moreover, it provides a correct order of magnitude of NO\textsubscript{x} transient part.

5. Conclusion

Appendix A. Experimental setup

Experimental data measured on four-cylinder, direct-injection diesel engines, equipped with a High Pressure (HP) Exhaust Gas Recirculation (EGR) loop,
Figure 26: Comparison of estimated total mass of NO\textsubscript{x} and NO\textsubscript{x} transient part with experimental data for three driving cycles realized on three vehicles. The red dotted line represents the first bisector of the plan.
are employed for the calibration and validation of the models. The main characteristics of the engines are summarized in table A.4.

Table A.4: Main characteristics of the engines.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Engine A</th>
<th>Engine B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Displacement</td>
<td>l</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displacement per cylinder</td>
<td>cm³</td>
<td>499.0</td>
<td>365.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bore</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stroke</td>
<td>mm</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>80.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compression ratio</td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Engine speed range</td>
<td>rpm</td>
<td>1000-3250</td>
<td>1000-4000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IMEP range</td>
<td>bar</td>
<td>2-14</td>
<td>2-20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The sensor setup includes standard air path sensors for the measurement of air mass flow, intake manifold pressure and temperature, as well as a fuel mass flow meter. During the experimental tests, the cylinder pressure is measured in each cylinder. As the cylinder-to-cylinder dispersion is low, the pressure is averaged on the four cylinders. A Horiba MEXA 7000 gas analyzer is used to measure NO\textsubscript{x} molar fractions in the exhaust gases.

For each engine, the steady-state experimental data set is obtained during two kinds of tests. The first data set is obtained in nominal operating conditions. These data are measured during the engine calibration phase and thus, they do not require any specific tests. During this phase, IMEP variations are realized for given engine speeds. The ranges of engine speed and IMEP variations are given in table A.4. The second data set is obtained by varying engine settings for given engine speed and torque. These tests are realized on about fifteen
operating points allotted in the engine operating range. For each operating point, the BGR and the SOC are varied from their nominal values. An example of such variations is represented in figure A.27. BGR variations are realized by modifying the air mass flow setpoint for given SOC. These variations reproduce the effects of BGR errors during transient operations. A closed-loop control of the SOC based on the cylinder pressure is used and the Start Of Injection (SOI) is adapted to reach the desired value of the SOC. SOC variations are also realized by modifying the SOI for given BGR. In both cases, pilot and post-injections phasing are modified to keep constant delays between the injections.

The complete steady-state data set are used for the model validation. The calibration data sets are much smaller than the ones used for validation (table A.25). Indeed, for each engine operating points, only a variation of \( F_1 \) for \( \theta_{soc} = \theta_{soc}^{ss} \) (red squares in figure A.27) and a variation of \( \theta_{soc} \) for \( F_1 = F_1^{ss} \) (blue diamonds in figure A.27) are kept for identification.

![Figure A.27: Example of BGR and SOC variations for given engine speed and torque.](image)

Appendix B. Nomenclature

Parameters & Variables
Table A.5: Number of operating points used for model calibration and validation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Engine A</th>
<th>Engine B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Identification</td>
<td>216</td>
<td>324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(including nominal points)</td>
<td>(25)</td>
<td>(229)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validation</td>
<td>313</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>529</td>
<td>507</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\[E_a\] Activation energy \(\text{J } \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}\)
\[F_1\] Intake burned gas ratio
\[F_2\] Exhaust equivalence ratio
\[h_n\] Molar enthalpy \(\text{J } \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}\)
\[k\] Kinetical constant \(\text{m}^3 \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}\)
\[k_{n,\text{air}}\] Ratio between molar fractions of \(\text{N}_2\) and \(\text{O}_2\) in fresh air
\[m\] Mass \(\text{kg}\)
\[\dot{m}\] Mass flow \(\text{kg} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}\)
\[M\] Molar mass \(\text{kg} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}\)
\[n\] Molar quantity \(\text{mol}\)
\[N_e\] Engine speed \(\text{rpm}\)
\[p\] Pressure \(\text{Pa}\)
\[Q\] Energy \(\text{J}\)
\[Q_{\text{lhv}}\] Lower heating value \(\text{J} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}\)
\[r\] Gas constant \(\text{J} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1} \cdot \text{K}^{-1}\)
\( R \) ........ Universal gas constant \( J \cdot \text{mol}^{-1} \cdot \text{K}^{-1} \)

\( T \) .............. Temperature \( \text{K} \)

\( t \) .................. Time \( s \)

\( V \) ....................... Volume \( \text{m}^3 \)

\( x \) ................ Mass fraction -

\( X \) .................. Molar fraction -

\([i]\) . Molar concentration of a species \( i \) \( \text{mol} \cdot \text{m}^{-3} \)

\( \gamma \) ........ Ratio of specific heats -

\( \eta_v \) ............... Volumetric efficiency -

\( \theta \) ................. Crank angle \( \text{deg} \)

\( \omega \) .................. Rotational speed \( \text{rad} \cdot \text{s}^{-1} \)

---

**Subscripts & superscripts**

- \( \text{air} \) .................................. \( \text{air} \)
- \( b \) ........................................ \( \text{burned gases} \)
- \( \text{comb} \) ................................ \( \text{combustion} \)
- \( \text{cyl} \) .................................... \( \text{cylinder} \)
- \( e \) ......................................... \( \text{engine} \)
- \( \text{egr} \) .................................. \( \text{recirculated gases} \)
- \( \text{eoc} \) ................................... \( \text{end of combustion} \)
- \( \text{eq} \) .................................... \( \text{equilibrium} \)
- \( \text{est} \) ................................... \( \text{estimated} \)
- \( \text{evo} \) .................................. \( \text{exhaust valve opening} \)
- \( f \) ........................................... \( \text{fuel or final} \)
- \( \text{in} \) ...................................... \( \text{aspirated gases} \)
- \( \text{ivc} \) ................................... \( \text{intake valve closing} \)
- \( m \) ......................................... \( \text{motored} \)
- \( \text{mes} \) .................................. \( \text{measured} \)
SCR ............... Selective Catalytic Reduction
SOC ...................... Start Of Combustion
SOI ....................... Start Of Injection
WLTC ................ Worldwide harmonized Light-duty Test Cycle
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