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## 1 Discussions on Theorem 5

In this section, we give explicit bounds for ULA which are consequences of Theorem 5 . First note that, if $\left(\gamma_{k}\right)_{k \geq 1}$ is a non-increasing sequence of step sizes with $\gamma_{1}<(m+L)^{-1}$, we have by (9) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}^{(2)}(\gamma) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\mathrm{~A}_{0} \gamma_{i}^{2}+\mathrm{A}_{1} \gamma_{i}^{3}\right\} \prod_{k=i+1}^{n}\left(1-\kappa \gamma_{k} / 2\right), \tag{S1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\kappa$ is given by (42), and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{A}_{0}=2 L^{2} \kappa^{-1} d,  \tag{S2}\\
& \mathrm{~A}_{1}=2 L^{2} d+d L^{4}\left(\kappa^{-1}+(m+L)^{-1}\right)\left(m^{-1}+6^{-1}(m+L)^{-1}\right) . \tag{S3}
\end{align*}
$$

### 1.1 Explicit bounds for fixed step size and fixed precision

If $\left(\gamma_{k}\right)_{k \geq 1}$ is a constant step size , $\gamma_{k}=\gamma$ for all $k \geq 1$, then a straightforward consequence of Theorem 5 and (S1) is the following result, which gives the minimal number of iterations $n_{\varepsilon}$ and a step size $\gamma_{\varepsilon}$ to get $W_{2}\left(\delta_{x^{\star}} Q_{\gamma}^{n}, \pi\right)$ smaller than $\varepsilon>0$.

[^0]Corollary S1 (of Theorem 5). Assume $\boldsymbol{H} 1$ and $\boldsymbol{H}$ 2. Let $x^{\star}$ be the unique minimizer of $U$. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $\varepsilon>0$. Set for all $k \in \mathbb{N}, \gamma_{k}=\gamma$ with

$$
\begin{align*}
\gamma & =\frac{-\mathrm{A}_{0}+\left(\mathrm{A}_{0}^{2}+\varepsilon^{2} \kappa \mathrm{~A}_{1}\right)^{1 / 2}}{2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}} \wedge(m+L)^{-1}  \tag{S4}\\
n & =\left\lceil\log ^{-1}(1-\kappa \gamma / 2)\left\{-\log \left(\varepsilon^{2} / 2\right)+\log (2 d / m)\right\}\right\rceil .
\end{align*}
$$

Then $W_{2}\left(\delta_{x^{\star}} R_{\gamma}^{n}, \pi\right) \leq \varepsilon$.
Note that if $\gamma$ is given by (S4), and is different from $1 /(m+L)$, then $\gamma \leq \varepsilon\left(4 \mathrm{~A}_{1} \kappa^{-1}\right)^{-1 / 2}$ and $2 \kappa^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{0} \gamma+\mathrm{A}_{1} \gamma^{2}\right)=\varepsilon^{2} / 2$. Therefore,

$$
\gamma \geq\left(\varepsilon^{2} \kappa / 4\right)\left\{\mathrm{A}_{0}+\varepsilon\left(\mathrm{A}_{1} \kappa / 4\right)^{1 / 2}\right\}^{-1}
$$

It is shown in [1, Corollary 1, Proposition 2] that under $\mathbf{H} 2$, for constant step size for any $\varepsilon>0$, we can choose $\gamma$ and $n \geq 1$ such that if for all $k \geq 1, \gamma_{k}=\gamma$, then $\left\|\nu^{\star} Q_{n}^{\gamma}-\pi\right\|_{\mathrm{TV}} \leq \varepsilon$ where $\nu^{\star}$ is the Gaussian measure on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ with mean $x^{\star}$ and covariance matrix $L^{-1} \mathrm{I}_{d}$ or a warm start. We stress that the results in [1, Corollary 1, Proposition 2] hold only for particular choices of the initial distribution $\nu^{\star}$, (which might seem a rather artificial assumption) whereas Theorem 5 holds for any initial distribution in $\mathcal{P}_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$.

We compare the optimal value of $\gamma$ and $n$ obtained from Corollary S1 with those given in [1, Corollary 1, Proposition 2] and [2, Table 2] for the total variation distance and established under the same conditions as Theorem 5. This comparison is summarized in Table 1; for simplicity, we provide only the dependencies of the minimal number of simulations $n$ as a function of the dimension $d$, the precision $\varepsilon$ and the constants $m, L$. It can be seen that the dependency on the dimension is significantly better than those in [1, Corollary 1]. The dependency on the dimension and the precision is same compared to [1, Proposition 2] (up to logarithmic terms), but this result only holds if the initial distribution is a warm start. In addition, the dependency on $L$ and $m$ is not explicit in [1, Proposition 2], and that is why we do indicate it in Table 1. On the other hand, we get the same dependency on $\varepsilon$ and $d$ as [2, Table 2]. Note that however the result of [2] holds for the total variation and not the Wasserstein distance.

| Parameter | $d$ | $\varepsilon$ | $L$ | $m$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Corollary S1 | $d \log (d)$ | $\varepsilon^{-2}\|\log (\varepsilon)\|$ | $L^{2}$ | $\|\log (m)\| m^{-3}$ |
| [1, Corollary 1] Gaussian start | $d^{3}$ | $\varepsilon^{-2}\|\log (\varepsilon)\|$ | $L^{3}$ | $\|\log (m)\| m^{-2}$ |
| [1, Proposition 2] warm start | $d$ | $\varepsilon^{-2}\|\log (\varepsilon)\|$ | - | - |
| [2, Table 2] | $d \log (d)$ | $\varepsilon^{-2}\|\log (\varepsilon)\|$ | $L^{2}$ | $m^{-2}$ |

Table 1: Dependencies of $n$

### 1.2 Explicit bounds for $\gamma_{k}=\gamma_{1} k^{\alpha}$ with $\alpha \in(0,1]$

We give here a bound on the sequences $\left(u_{n}^{(1)}(\gamma)\right)_{n \geq 0}$ and $\left(u_{n}^{(2)}(\gamma)\right)_{n \geq 0}$ for $\left(\gamma_{k}\right)_{k \geq 1}$ defined by $\gamma_{1}<1 /(m+L)$ and $\gamma_{k}=\gamma_{1} k^{-\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in(0,1]$. Also for that purpose we introduce
for $t \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}$,

$$
\boldsymbol{\psi}_{\beta}(t)= \begin{cases}\left(t^{\beta}-1\right) / \beta & \text { for } \beta \neq 0  \tag{S5}\\ \log (t) & \text { for } \beta=0\end{cases}
$$

We easily get for $a \geq 0$ that for all $n, p \geq 1, n \leq p$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\psi}_{1-a}(p+1)-\boldsymbol{\psi}_{1-a}(n) \leq \sum_{k=n}^{p} k^{-a} \leq \boldsymbol{\psi}_{1-a}(p)-\boldsymbol{\psi}_{1-a}(n)+1 \tag{S6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and for $a \in \mathbb{R}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=n}^{p} k^{-a} \leq \boldsymbol{\psi}_{1-a}(p+1)-\boldsymbol{\psi}_{1-a}(n)+1 . \tag{S7}
\end{equation*}
$$

1. For $\alpha=1$, using that for all $t \in \mathbb{R},(1+t) \leq \mathrm{e}^{t}$ and by (S6) and (S7), we have

$$
u_{n}^{(1)}(\gamma) \leq(n+1)^{-\kappa \gamma_{1} / 2}, u_{n}^{(2)}(\gamma) \leq(n+1)^{-\kappa \gamma_{1} / 2} \sum_{j=0}^{1} \mathrm{~A}_{j}\left(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{\kappa \gamma_{1} / 2-1-j}(n+1)+1\right)
$$

2. For $\alpha \in(0,1)$, by (S6) and Lemma 23 applied with $\ell=\lceil n / 2\rceil$, where $\lceil\cdot\rceil$ is the ceiling function, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{n}^{(1)}(\gamma) \leq \exp \left(-\kappa \gamma_{1} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{1-\alpha}(n+1) / 2\right) \\
& u_{n}^{(2)}(\gamma) \leq \sum_{j=0}^{1} \mathrm{~A}_{j}\left[2 \kappa^{-1} \gamma_{1}^{j+1}(n / 2)^{-\alpha(j+1)}+\gamma_{1}^{j+2}\left\{\boldsymbol{\psi}_{1-\alpha(j+2)}(\lceil n / 2\rceil)+1\right\}\right. \\
& \left.\quad \times \exp \left(-\left(\kappa \gamma_{1} / 2\right)\left\{\boldsymbol{\psi}_{1-\alpha}(n+1)-\boldsymbol{\psi}_{1-\alpha}(\lceil n / 2\rceil)\right\}\right)\right] \tag{S8}
\end{align*}
$$

### 1.3 Optimal strategy with a fixed number of iterations

Corollary S2. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ be a fixed number of iteration. Assume $\boldsymbol{H} 1$, H2, and $\left(\gamma_{k}\right)_{k \geq 1}$ is a constant sequence, $\gamma_{k}=\gamma$ for all $k \geq 1$. Set

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \gamma^{+}=2(\kappa n)^{-1}\left[\log (\kappa n / 2)+\log \left(2\left(\left\|x-x^{\star}\right\|^{2}+d / m\right)\right)-\log \left(2 \kappa^{-1} \mathrm{~A}_{0}\right)\right] \\
& \gamma_{-}=2(\kappa n)^{-1}\left[\log (\kappa n / 2)+\log \left(2\left(\left\|x-x^{\star}\right\|^{2}+d / m\right)\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\log \left\{2 \kappa^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{0}+2 \mathrm{~A}_{1}(m+L)^{-1}\right)\right\}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Assume $\gamma^{+} \in\left(0,(m+L)^{-1}\right)$. Then, the optimal choice of $\gamma$ to minimize the bound on $W_{2}\left(\delta_{x} R_{\gamma}^{n}, \pi\right)$ given by Theorem 5 belongs to $\left[\gamma_{-}, \gamma^{+}\right]$. Moreover if $\gamma=\gamma_{+}$, then there exists $C \geq 0$ independent of the dimension such that the bound on $W_{2}^{2}\left(\delta_{x} R_{\gamma}^{n}, \pi\right)$ given by Theorem 5 is equivalent to $C d n^{-1} \log (n)$ as $n$ goes to $+\infty$.

Similarly, we have the following result.

Corollary S3. Assume $\boldsymbol{H} 1$ and $\boldsymbol{H}$ 2. Let $\left(\gamma_{k}\right)_{k \geq 1}$ be the decreasing sequence, defined by $\gamma_{k}=\gamma_{\alpha} / k^{\alpha}$, with $\alpha \in(0,1)$. Let $n \geq 1$ and set

$$
\gamma_{\alpha}=2(1-\alpha) \kappa^{-1}(2 / n)^{1-\alpha} \log (\kappa n /(2(1-\alpha)))
$$

Assume $\gamma_{\alpha} \in\left(0,(m+L)^{-1}\right)$. Then there exists $C \geq 0$ independent of the dimension such that the bound on $W_{2}^{2}\left(\delta_{x} Q_{\gamma}^{n}, \pi\right)$ given by Theorem 5 is equivalent to $C d n^{-1} \log (n)$ as $n$ goes to $+\infty$.

Proof. Follows from (S1), (S8) and the choice of $\gamma_{\alpha}$.

## 2 Discussion on Theorem 8

Based on Theorem 8, we can follow the same discussion as for Theorem 5. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n}^{(3)}(\gamma) \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\{\mathrm{~B}_{0} \gamma_{i}^{3}+\mathrm{B}_{1} \gamma_{i}^{4}\right\} \prod_{k=i+1}^{n}\left(1-\kappa \gamma_{k} / 2\right) \tag{S9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\kappa$ is given by (42), and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{B}_{0}=d\left[2 L^{2}+(4 /(3 \kappa))\left\{d \tilde{L}^{2}+L^{4} / m\right\}\right]  \tag{S10}\\
& \mathrm{B}_{1}=d\left[\kappa^{-1} L^{4}+L^{4} /(6(m+L))+m^{-1}\right] \tag{S11}
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.1 Explicit bounds for fixed step size and fixed precision

The following result gives for a target precision $\varepsilon>0$, the minimal number of iterations $n_{\varepsilon}$ and a step size $\gamma_{\varepsilon}$ to get $W_{2}\left(\delta_{x^{\star}} Q_{\gamma}^{n}, \pi\right)$ smaller than $\varepsilon$, when $\left(\gamma_{k}\right)_{k \geq 1}$ is constant, $\gamma_{k}=\gamma_{\varepsilon}$ for all $k \geq 1$.

Corollary S4. Assume $\boldsymbol{H} 1, \boldsymbol{H}_{2}$ and $\boldsymbol{H}$ 3. Let $x^{\star}$ be the unique minimizer of $U$. Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $\varepsilon>0$. Set for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\gamma_{k}=\gamma$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
\gamma & =\left[(\varepsilon /(2 \kappa))\left\{\mathrm{B}_{0}+\mathrm{B}_{1}(m+L)^{-1}\right\}^{-1 / 2}\right] \wedge(1 /(m+L)) \\
n & =\left\lceil\log ^{-1}(1-\kappa \gamma / 2)\left\{-\log \left(\varepsilon^{2} / 2\right)+\log (2 d / m)\right\}\right\rceil
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $W_{2}\left(\delta_{x^{\star}} R_{\gamma}^{n}, \pi\right) \leq \varepsilon$.
We provide the dependencies of t minimal number of simulations $n_{\varepsilon}$ as a function of the dimension $d$, the precision $\varepsilon$ and the constants $m, L, \tilde{L}$ in Table 2.

| Parameter | $d$ | $\varepsilon$ | $L$ | $m$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Corollary S4 | $d \log (d)$ | $\varepsilon^{-1}\|\log (\varepsilon)\|$ | $L^{2}$ | $\|\log (m)\| m^{-2}$ |

Table 2: Dependencies of $n$

### 2.2 Explicit bounds for $\gamma_{k}=\gamma_{1} k^{\alpha}$ with $\alpha \in(0,1]$

We give here a bound on the sequence $\left(u_{n}^{(3)}(\gamma)\right)_{n \geq 0}$ for $\left(\gamma_{k}\right)_{k \geq 1}$ defined by $\gamma_{1}<1 /(m+L)$ and $\gamma_{k}=\gamma_{1} k^{-\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in(0,1]$. Bounds for $\left(u_{n}^{(1)}(\gamma)\right)_{n \geq 0}$ have already been given in Section 1.2. Recall that the function $\boldsymbol{\psi}$ is defined by ( S 5 ). For $\alpha \in(0,1]$, by ( S 6 ) and Lemma 23 applied with $\ell=\lceil n / 2\rceil$, where $\lceil\cdot\rceil$ is the ceiling function, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
u_{n}^{(3)}(\gamma) \leq \sum_{j=1}^{2} \mathrm{~B}_{j-1}[2 & \kappa^{-1} \gamma_{1}^{j+1}(n / 2)^{-\alpha(j+1)}+\gamma_{1}^{j+2}\left(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{1-\alpha(j+2)}(\lceil n / 2\rceil)+1\right) \\
\times & \left.\exp \left(-\left(\kappa \gamma_{1} / 2\right)\left\{\boldsymbol{\psi}_{1-\alpha}(n+1)-\boldsymbol{\psi}_{1-\alpha}(\lceil n / 2\rceil)\right\}\right)\right] \tag{S12}
\end{align*}
$$

### 2.3 Optimal strategy with a fixed number of iterations

Corollary S5. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$ be a fixed number of iteration. Assume $\boldsymbol{H} 1, \boldsymbol{H}_{2}$ 2, $\boldsymbol{H} 3$ and $\left(\gamma_{k}\right)_{k \geq 1}$ is a constant sequence, $\gamma_{k}=\gamma^{\star}$ for all $k \geq 1$, with

$$
\gamma^{\star}=4(\kappa n)^{-1}\left\{\log (\kappa n / 2)+\log \left(2\left(\left\|x-x^{\star}\right\|^{2}+d / m\right)\right)\right\} .
$$

Assume $\gamma^{\star} \in\left(0,(m+L)^{-1}\right)$. Then there exists $C \geq 0$ independent of the dimension such that the bound on $W_{2}^{2}\left(\delta_{x} R_{\gamma}^{n}, \pi\right)$ is equivalent to $C d^{2} n^{-2} \log ^{2}(n)$ as $n$ goes to $+\infty$.

Similarly, we have the following result.
Corollary S6. Assume $\boldsymbol{H} 1, \boldsymbol{H} 2$ and $\boldsymbol{H} 3$. Let $\left(\gamma_{k}\right)_{k \geq 1}$ be the decreasing sequence, defined by $\gamma_{k}=\gamma_{\alpha} / k^{\alpha}$, with $\alpha \in(0,1)$. Let $n \geq 1$ and set

$$
\gamma_{\alpha}=2(1-\alpha) \kappa^{-1}(2 / n)^{1-\alpha} \log (\kappa n /(2(1-\alpha))) .
$$

Assume $\gamma_{\alpha} \in\left(0,(m+L)^{-1}\right)$. Then there exists $C \geq 0$ independent of the dimension such that the bound on $W_{2}^{2}\left(\delta_{x} R_{\gamma}^{n}, \pi\right)$ is equivalent to $C d^{2} n^{-2} \log ^{2}(n)$ as $n$ goes to $+\infty$.

Proof. Follows from (S9), (S12) and the choice of $\gamma_{\alpha}$.
Note that in Corollary S5 and Corollary S6, we do not find the optimal convergence rates obtained for the sequence of step sizes $\gamma_{k}=\gamma_{1} / k$, for $k \geq 1$ and $\gamma_{1}>0$, up to a $\operatorname{logarithmic}$ factor $\log (n)$. This most likely due to the fact that the bounds (for example (S12)) used to compute the optimal parameters $\gamma^{\star}$ and $\gamma_{\alpha}$ are not the most appropriate.

## 3 Generalization of Theorem 5 and Theorem 8

In this section, we weaken the assumption $\gamma_{1} \leq 1 /(m+L)$ of Theorem 5 and Theorem 8 . We assume now:

G1. The sequence $\left(\gamma_{k}\right)_{k \geq 1}$ is non-increasing, and there exists $n_{1}$ such that $\gamma_{n_{1}} \leq 1 /(m+$ L).

Under G1, we denote by

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{0}=\min \left\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid \gamma_{k} \leq 2 /(m+L)\right\} \tag{S13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We first give an extension of Proposition 2-(i). Denote in the sequel $(\cdot)_{+}=\max (\cdot, 0)$. Recall that under $\mathbf{H} 2, x^{\star}$ is the unique minimizer of $U$, and $\kappa$ is defined in (A)

Theorem S7. Assume $\boldsymbol{H}_{1}, \boldsymbol{H}_{2}$ and $\boldsymbol{G}$ 1. Then for all $n, p \in \mathbb{N}^{*}, n \leq p$

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left\|x-x^{\star}\right\|^{2} \mu_{0} Q_{n}^{p}(\mathrm{~d} x) \leq \mathrm{G}_{n, p}\left(\mu_{0}, \gamma\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{G}_{n, p}\left(\mu_{0}, \gamma\right)=\exp \left(-\sum_{k=n}^{p} \gamma_{k} \kappa+\sum_{k=n}^{n_{0}-1} L^{2} \gamma_{k}^{2}\right) \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left\|x-x^{\star}\right\|^{2} \mu_{0}(\mathrm{~d} x) \\
& +2 d \kappa^{-1}+2 d\left\{\prod_{k=n}^{n_{0}-1}\left(\gamma_{n_{0}-1} L^{2}\right)^{-1}\left(1+L^{2} \gamma_{k}^{2}\right)\right\} \exp \left(-\sum_{k=n}^{p} \kappa \gamma_{k}+\sum_{k=n}^{n_{0}-1} \gamma_{k}^{2} m L\right) \tag{S14}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. For any $\gamma>0$, we have for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{d}$ :

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left\|y-x^{\star}\right\|^{2} R_{\gamma}(x, \mathrm{~d} y)=\left\|x-\gamma \nabla U(x)-x^{\star}\right\|^{2}+2 \gamma d
$$

Using that $\nabla U\left(x^{\star}\right)=0,(42)$ and $\mathbf{H} 1$, we get from the previous inequality:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left\|y-x^{\star}\right\|^{2} R_{\gamma}(x, \mathrm{~d} y) \\
& \leq(1-\kappa \gamma)\left\|x-x^{\star}\right\|^{2}+\gamma\left(\gamma-\frac{2}{m+L}\right)\left\|\nabla U(x)-\nabla U\left(x^{\star}\right)\right\|^{2}+2 \gamma d \\
& \leq \eta(\gamma)\left\|x-x^{\star}\right\|^{2}+2 \gamma d
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\eta(\gamma)=\left(1-\kappa \gamma+\gamma L(\gamma-2 /(m+L))_{+}\right)$. Denote for all $k \geq 1, \eta_{k}=\eta\left(\gamma_{k}\right)$. By a straightforward induction, we have by definition of $Q_{n}^{p}$ for $p, n \in \mathbb{N}, p \leq n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left\|x-x^{\star}\right\|^{2} \mu_{0} Q_{n}^{p}(\mathrm{~d} x) \leq \prod_{k=n}^{p} \eta_{k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{d}}\left\|x-x^{\star}\right\| \mu_{0}(\mathrm{~d} x)+(2 d) \sum_{i=n}^{p} \prod_{k=i+1}^{p} \eta_{k} \gamma_{i} \tag{S15}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the first term of the right hand side, we simply use the bound, for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $(1+x) \leq \mathrm{e}^{x}$, and we get by $\mathbf{G} 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{k=n}^{p} \eta_{k} \leq \exp \left(-\sum_{k=n}^{p} \kappa \gamma_{k}+\sum_{k=n}^{n_{0}-1} L^{2} \gamma_{k}^{2}\right) \tag{S16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{0}$ is defined in (S13). Consider now the second term in the right hand side of (S15).

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{i=n}^{p} \prod_{k=i+1}^{p} \eta_{k} \gamma_{i} & \leq \sum_{i=n_{0}}^{p} \prod_{k=i+1}^{p}\left(1-\kappa \gamma_{k}\right) \gamma_{i}+\sum_{i=n}^{n_{0}-1} \prod_{k=i+1}^{p} \eta_{k} \gamma_{i} \\
& \leq \kappa^{-1} \sum_{i=n_{0}}^{p}\left\{\prod_{k=i+1}^{p}\left(1-\kappa \gamma_{k}\right)-\prod_{k=i}^{p}\left(1-\kappa \gamma_{k}\right)\right\} \\
& +\left\{\sum_{i=n}^{n_{0}-1} \prod_{k=i+1}^{n_{0}-1}\left(1+L^{2} \gamma_{k}^{2}\right) \gamma_{i}\right\} \prod_{k=n_{0}}^{p}\left(1-\kappa \gamma_{k}\right) \tag{S17}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left(\gamma_{k}\right)_{k \geq 1}$ is non-increasing, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=n}^{n_{0}-1} \prod_{k=i+1}^{n_{0}-1}\left(1+L^{2} \gamma_{k}^{2}\right) \gamma_{i} & =\sum_{i=n}^{n_{0}-1}\left(\gamma_{i} L^{2}\right)^{-1}\left\{\prod_{k=i}^{n_{0}-1}\left(1+L^{2} \gamma_{k}^{2}\right)-\prod_{k=i+1}^{n_{0}-1}\left(1+L^{2} \gamma_{k}^{2}\right)\right\} \\
& \leq \prod_{k=n}^{n_{0}-1}\left(\gamma_{n_{0}-1} L^{2}\right)^{-1}\left(1+L^{2} \gamma_{k}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Furthermore for $k<n_{0} \gamma_{k}>2 /(m+L)$. This implies with the bound $(1+x) \leq \mathrm{e}^{x}$ on $\mathbb{R}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\prod_{k=n_{0}}^{p}\left(1-\kappa \gamma_{k}\right) & \leq \exp \left(-\sum_{k=n}^{p} \kappa \gamma_{k}\right) \exp \left(\sum_{k=n}^{n_{0}-1} \kappa \gamma_{k}\right) \\
& \leq \exp \left(-\sum_{k=n}^{p} \kappa \gamma_{k}\right) \exp \left(\sum_{k=n}^{n_{0}-1} \gamma_{k}^{2} m L\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Using the two previous inequalities in (S17), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=n}^{p} \quad \prod_{k=i+1}^{p} \eta_{k} \gamma_{i} \\
& \quad \leq \kappa^{-1}+\left\{\prod_{k=n}^{n_{0}-1}\left(\gamma_{n_{0}-1} L^{2}\right)^{-1}\left(1+L^{2} \gamma_{k}^{2}\right)\right\} \exp \left(-\sum_{k=n}^{p} \kappa \gamma_{k}+\sum_{k=n}^{n_{0}-1} \gamma_{k}^{2} m L\right) \tag{S18}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (S16) and (S18) in (S15) concluded the proof.
We now deal with bounds on $W_{2}\left(\mu_{0} Q_{\gamma}^{n}, \pi\right)$ under $\mathbf{G} 1$. But before we preface our result by some techincal lemmas.

Lemma S8. Assume $\boldsymbol{H} 1$ and $\boldsymbol{H}$ 2. Let $\zeta_{0} \in \mathcal{P}_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d} \times \mathbb{R}^{d}\right),\left(Y_{t}, \bar{Y}_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ such that $\left(Y_{0}, \bar{Y}_{0}\right)$ is distributed according to $\zeta_{0}$ and given by (50). Let $\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}^{\prime}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ be the filtration associated with $\left(B_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ with $\mathcal{F}_{0}^{\prime}$, the $\sigma$-field generated by $\left(Y_{0}, \bar{Y}_{0}\right)$.
(i) For all $n \geq 0, \epsilon_{1}>0$ and $\epsilon_{2}>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_{n}}^{\prime}}\left[\left\|Y_{\Gamma_{n+1}}-\bar{Y}_{\Gamma_{n+1}}\right\|^{2}\right] \\
& \quad \leq\left\{1-\gamma_{n+1}\left(\kappa-2 \epsilon_{1}\right)+\gamma_{n+1} L\left(\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right) \gamma_{n+1}-2 /(m+L)\right)_{+}\right\}\left\|Y_{\Gamma_{n}}-\bar{Y}_{\Gamma_{n}}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\gamma_{n+1}^{2}\left(1 /\left(2 \epsilon_{1}\right)+\left(1+\epsilon_{2}^{-1}\right) \gamma_{n+1}\right)\left(d L^{2}+\left(L^{4} \gamma_{n+1} / 2\right)\left\|Y_{\Gamma_{n}}-x^{\star}\right\|^{2}+d L^{4} \gamma_{n+1}^{2} / 12\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) If in addition $\boldsymbol{H} 3$ holds then for all $n \geq 0, \epsilon_{1}>0$ and $\epsilon_{2}>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbb{E}_{\Gamma_{\Gamma_{n}}^{\prime}}^{\mathcal{F}_{n}}\left[\left\|Y_{\Gamma_{n+1}}-\bar{Y}_{\Gamma_{n+1}}\right\|^{2}\right] \\
& \leq\left\{1-\gamma_{n+1}\left(\kappa-2 \epsilon_{1}\right)+\gamma_{n+1} L\left(\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right) \gamma_{n+1}-2 /(m+L)\right)_{+}\right\}\left\|Y_{\Gamma_{n}}-\bar{Y}_{\Gamma_{n}}\right\|^{2} \\
& \quad+\left(2 \epsilon_{1}\right)^{-1} \gamma_{n+1}^{3}\left\{\left(2 L^{4} / 3\right)\left\|Y_{\Gamma_{n}}-x^{\star}\right\|^{2}+L^{4} d \gamma_{n+1} / 2+2 d^{2} \tilde{L}^{2} / 3\right\} \\
& \quad+\gamma_{n+1}^{3}\left(1+\epsilon_{2}^{-1}\right)\left(d L^{2}+\left(L^{4} \gamma_{n+1} / 2\right)\left\|Y_{\Gamma_{n}}-x^{\star}\right\|^{2}+d L^{4} \gamma_{n+1}^{2} / 12\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. (i) Let $n \geq 0$ and $\epsilon_{1}>0$, and set $\Delta_{n}=Y_{\Gamma_{n}}-\bar{Y}_{\Gamma_{n}}$ by definition we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_{n}}^{\prime}}\left[\left\|\Delta_{n+1}\right\|^{2}\right]=\left\|\Delta_{n}\right\|^{2}+\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_{n}}^{\prime}}\left[\left\|\int_{\Gamma_{n}}^{\Gamma_{n+1}}\left\{\nabla U\left(Y_{s}\right)-\nabla U\left(\bar{Y}_{\Gamma_{n}}\right)\right\} \mathrm{d} s\right\|^{2}\right]  \tag{S19}\\
& -2 \gamma_{n+1}\left\langle\Delta_{n}, \nabla U\left(Y_{\Gamma_{n}}\right)-\nabla U\left(\bar{Y}_{\Gamma_{n}}\right)\right\rangle-2 \int_{\Gamma_{n}}^{\Gamma_{n+1}} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_{n}}^{\prime}}\left[\left\langle\Delta_{n},\left\{\nabla U\left(Y_{s}\right)-\nabla U\left(Y_{\Gamma_{n}}\right)\right\}\right\rangle \mathrm{d} s\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Using the two inequalities $|\langle a, b\rangle| \leq \epsilon_{1}\|a\|^{2}+\left(4 \epsilon_{1}\right)^{-1}\|b\|^{2}$ and (42), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}_{\Gamma_{n}}^{\mathcal{T}_{n}^{\prime}}\left[\left\|\Delta_{n+1}\right\|^{2}\right] \leq & \left\{1-\gamma_{n+1}\left(\kappa-2 \epsilon_{1}\right)\right\}\left\|\Delta_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& -2 \gamma_{n+1} /(m+L)\left\|\nabla U\left(Y_{\Gamma_{n}}\right)-\nabla U\left(\bar{Y}_{\Gamma_{n}}\right)\right\|^{2}  \tag{S20}\\
& +\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_{n}}^{\prime}}\left[\left\|\int_{\Gamma_{n}}^{\Gamma_{n+1}}\left\{\nabla U\left(Y_{s}\right)-\nabla U\left(\bar{Y}_{\Gamma_{n}}\right)\right\} \mathrm{d} s\right\|^{2}\right] \\
& +\left(2 \epsilon_{1}\right)^{-1} \int_{\Gamma_{n}}^{\Gamma_{n+1}} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_{n}}^{\prime}}\left[\left\|\nabla U\left(Y_{s}\right)-\nabla U\left(Y_{\Gamma_{n}}\right)\right\|^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} s . \tag{S21}
\end{align*}
$$

Using $\|a+b\|^{2} \leq\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right)\|a\|^{2}+\left(1+\epsilon_{2}^{-1}\right)\|b\|^{2}$ and the Jensen's inequality, we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_{n}}^{\prime}}\left[\left\|\int_{\Gamma_{n}}^{\Gamma_{n+1}}\left\{\nabla U\left(Y_{s}\right)-\nabla U\left(\bar{Y}_{\Gamma_{n}}\right)\right\} \mathrm{d} s\right\|^{2}\right] \leq\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right) \gamma_{n+1}^{2}\left\|\nabla U\left(Y_{\Gamma_{n}}\right)-\nabla U\left(\bar{Y}_{\Gamma_{n}}\right)\right\|^{2} \\
+\left(1+\epsilon_{2}^{-1}\right) \gamma_{n+1} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_{n}}^{\prime}}\left[\int_{\Gamma_{n}}^{\Gamma_{n+1}}\left\|\nabla U\left(Y_{s}\right)-\nabla U\left(Y_{\Gamma_{n}}\right)\right\|^{2} \mathrm{~d} s\right] . \tag{S22}
\end{array}
$$

This result and H1 imply,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_{n}}^{\prime}}\left[\left\|\Delta_{n+1}\right\|^{2}\right] \leq\left\{1-\gamma_{n+1}\left(\kappa-2 \epsilon_{1}\right)+\gamma_{n+1} L\left(\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right) \gamma_{n+1}-2 /(m+L)\right)_{+}\right\}\left\|\Delta_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
\quad+\left(\left(1+\epsilon_{2}^{-1}\right) \gamma_{n+1}+\left(2 \epsilon_{1}\right)^{-1}\right) \int_{\Gamma_{n}}^{\Gamma_{n+1}} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_{n}}^{\prime}}\left[\left\|\nabla U\left(Y_{s}\right)-\nabla U\left(Y_{\Gamma_{n}}\right)\right\|^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} s . \quad \text { (S23 } \tag{S23}
\end{align*}
$$

By $\mathbf{H} 1$, the Markov property of $\left(Y_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ and Lemma 21, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{\Gamma_{n}}^{\Gamma_{n+1}} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_{n}}^{\prime}}\left[\| \nabla U\left(Y_{s}\right)\right. & \left.-\nabla U\left(Y_{\Gamma_{n}}\right) \|^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} s \\
\leq & L^{2}\left(d \gamma_{n+1}^{2}+d L^{2} \gamma_{n+1}^{4} / 12+\left(L^{2} \gamma_{n+1}^{3} / 2\right)\left\|Y_{\Gamma_{n}}-x^{\star}\right\|^{2}\right) \tag{S24}
\end{align*}
$$

Plugging this bound in (S23) concludes the proof.
(ii) Let $n \geq 0$ and $\epsilon>0$, and set $\Theta_{n}=Y_{\Gamma_{n}}-\bar{Y}_{\Gamma_{n}}$. Using Itô's formula, we have for all $s \in\left[\Gamma_{n}, \Gamma_{n+1}\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla U\left(Y_{s}\right)-\nabla U\left(Y_{\Gamma_{n}}\right)=\int_{\Gamma_{n}}^{s}\left\{\nabla^{2} U\left(Y_{u}\right) \nabla\right. & \left.U\left(Y_{u}\right)+\vec{\Delta}(\nabla U)\left(Y_{u}\right)\right\} \mathrm{d} u \\
& +\sqrt{2} \int_{\Gamma_{n}}^{s} \nabla^{2} U\left(Y_{u}\right) \mathrm{d} B_{u} \tag{S25}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\Theta_{n}$ is $\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_{n}}$-measurable and $\left(\int_{0}^{s} \nabla^{2} U\left(Y_{u}\right) \mathrm{d} B_{u}\right)_{s \in\left[0, \Gamma_{n+1}\right]}$ is a $\left(\mathcal{F}_{s}\right)_{s \in\left[0, \Gamma_{n+1}\right]}$-martingale under $\mathbf{H} 1$, by (S25) we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mid \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_{n}}}\left[\left\langle\Theta_{n}, \nabla U\left(Y_{s}\right)-\right.\right. & \left.\left.\nabla U\left(Y_{\Gamma_{n}}\right)\right\rangle\right] \mid \\
& =\left|\left\langle\Theta_{n}, \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_{n}}}\left[\int_{\Gamma_{n}}^{s}\left\{\nabla^{2} U\left(Y_{u}\right) \nabla U\left(Y_{u}\right)+\vec{\Delta}(\nabla U)\left(Y_{u}\right)\right\} \mathrm{d} u\right]\right\rangle\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

Combining this equality, (S22) and $|\langle a, b\rangle| \leq \epsilon_{1}\|a\|^{2}+\left(4 \epsilon_{1}\right)^{-1}\|b\|^{2}$ in we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_{n}}}\left[\left\|\Theta_{n+1}\right\|^{2}\right] \leq & \left\{1-\gamma_{n+1}\left(\kappa-2 \epsilon_{1}\right)+\gamma_{n+1} L\left(\left(1+\epsilon_{2}\right) \gamma_{n+1}-2 /(m+L)\right)_{+}\right\}\left\|\Theta_{n}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\left(2 \epsilon_{1}\right)^{-1} A+\left(1+\epsilon_{2}^{-1}\right) \gamma_{n+1} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_{n}}}\left[\int_{\Gamma_{n}}^{\Gamma_{n+1}}\left\|\nabla U\left(Y_{s}\right)-\nabla U\left(Y_{\Gamma_{n}}\right)\right\|^{2} \mathrm{~d} s\right] \tag{S26}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
A=\int_{\Gamma_{n}}^{\Gamma_{n+1}}\left\|\mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_{n}}}\left[\int_{\Gamma_{n}}^{s} \nabla^{2} U\left(Y_{u}\right) \nabla U\left(Y_{u}\right)+\vec{\Delta}(\nabla U)\left(Y_{u}\right) \mathrm{d} u\right]\right\|^{2} \mathrm{~d} s
$$

We now separately bound the two last terms of the right hand side. By H1, the Markov property of $\left(Y_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ and Lemma 21, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{\Gamma_{n}}^{\Gamma_{n+1}} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_{n}}}\left[\left\|\nabla U\left(Y_{s}\right)-\nabla U\left(Y_{\Gamma_{n}}\right)\right\|^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} s \\
& \leq L^{2}\left(d \gamma_{n+1}^{2}+d L^{2} \gamma_{n+1}^{4} / 12+(1 / 2) L^{2} \gamma_{n+1}^{3}\left\|Y_{\Gamma_{n}}-x^{\star}\right\|^{2}\right) \tag{S27}
\end{align*}
$$

We now bound $A$. We get using Jensen's inequality, Fubini's theorem, $\nabla U\left(x^{\star}\right)=0$ and (10)

$$
\begin{align*}
A \leq & \leq \int_{\Gamma_{n}}^{\Gamma_{n+1}}\left(s-\Gamma_{n}\right) \int_{\Gamma_{n}}^{s} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_{n}}}\left[\left\|\nabla^{2} U\left(Y_{u}\right) \nabla U\left(Y_{u}\right)\right\|^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} u \mathrm{~d} s \\
& +2 \int_{\Gamma_{n}}^{\Gamma_{n+1}}\left(s-\Gamma_{n}\right) \int_{\Gamma_{n}}^{s} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_{n}}}\left[\left\|\vec{\Delta}(\nabla U)\left(Y_{u}\right)\right\|^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} u \mathrm{~d} s \\
\leq & 2 \int_{\Gamma_{n}}^{\Gamma_{n+1}}\left(s-\Gamma_{n}\right) L^{4} \int_{\Gamma_{n}}^{s} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_{n}}}\left[\left\|Y_{u}-x^{\star}\right\|^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} u \mathrm{~d} s+2 \gamma_{n+1}^{3} d^{2} \tilde{L}^{2} / 3 . \tag{S28}
\end{align*}
$$

By Lemma 21-(i), the Markov property and for all $t \geq 0,1-\mathrm{e}^{-t} \leq t$, we have for all $s \in\left[\Gamma_{n}, \Gamma_{n+1}\right]$,

$$
\int_{\Gamma_{n}}^{s} \mathbb{E}^{\mathcal{F}_{\Gamma_{n}} c \gamma_{n+1}^{3}}\left[\left\|Y_{u}-x^{\star}\right\|^{2}\right] \mathrm{d} u \leq(2 m)^{-1}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-2 m\left(s-\Gamma_{n}\right)}\right)\left\|Y_{\Gamma_{n}}-x^{\star}\right\|^{2}+d\left(s-\Gamma_{n}\right)^{2} .
$$

Using this inequality in (S28) and for all $t \geq 0,1-\mathrm{e}^{-t} \leq t$, we get

$$
A \leq\left(2 L^{4} \gamma_{n+1}^{3} / 3\right)\left\|Y_{\Gamma_{n}}-x^{\star}\right\|^{2}+L^{4} d \gamma_{n+1}^{4} / 2+2 \gamma_{n+1}^{3} d^{2} \tilde{L}^{2} / 3 .
$$

Combining this bound and (S27) in (S26) concludes the proof.

Lemma S9. Let $\left(\gamma_{k}\right)_{k \geq 1}$ be a non-increasing sequence of positive numbers. Let $\varpi, \beta>0$ be positive constants satisfying $\varpi^{2} \leq 4 \beta$ and $\tau>0$. Assume there exists $N \geq 1, \gamma_{N} \leq \tau$ and $\gamma_{N} \varpi \leq 1$. Then for all $n \geq 0, j \geq 2$
(i)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \prod_{k=i+1}^{n+1}\left(1-\gamma_{k} \varpi+\gamma_{k} \beta\left(\gamma_{k}-\tau\right)_{+}\right) \gamma_{i}^{j} \leq \sum_{i=N}^{n+1} \prod_{k=i+1}^{n+1}\left(1-\gamma_{k} \varpi\right) \gamma_{i}^{j} \\
&+\left\{\beta^{-1} \gamma_{1}^{j-2} \prod_{k=1}^{N-1}\left(1+\gamma_{k}^{2} \beta\right)\right\} \prod_{k=N}^{n+1}\left(1-\varpi \gamma_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) For all $\ell \in\{N, \ldots, n\}$,

$$
\sum_{i=N}^{n+1} \prod_{k=i+1}^{n+1}\left(1-\gamma_{k} \varpi\right) \gamma_{i}^{j} \leq \exp \left(-\sum_{k=\ell}^{n+1} \varpi \gamma_{k}\right) \sum_{i=N}^{\ell-1} \gamma_{i}^{j}+\frac{\gamma_{\ell}^{j-1}}{\varpi}
$$

Proof. (i) By definition of $N$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=1}^{n+1} \prod_{k=i+1}^{n+1}\left(1-\gamma_{k} \varpi+\gamma_{k} \beta\left(\gamma_{k}-\tau\right)_{+}\right) \gamma_{i}^{j} \\
& \leq \sum_{i=N}^{n+1} \prod_{k=i+1}^{n+1}\left(1-\gamma_{k} \varpi\right) \gamma_{i}^{j}+\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \prod_{k=i+1}^{N-1}\left(1+\gamma_{k}^{2} \beta\right) \gamma_{i}^{j}\right\} \prod_{k=N}^{n+1}\left(1-\gamma_{k} \varpi\right) . \tag{S29}
\end{align*}
$$

Using that $\left(\gamma_{k}\right)_{k \geq 1}$ is non-increasing, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \prod_{k=i+1}^{N-1}\left(1+\gamma_{k}^{2} \beta\right) \gamma_{i}^{j} & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{N-1} \frac{\gamma_{i}^{j-2}}{\beta}\left\{\prod_{k=i}^{N-1}\left(1+\gamma_{k}^{2} \beta\right)-\prod_{k=i+1}^{N-1}\left(1+\gamma_{k}^{2} \beta\right)\right\} \\
& \leq \beta^{-1} \gamma_{1}^{j-2} \prod_{k=1}^{N-1}\left(1+\gamma_{k}^{2} \beta\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Plugging this inequality in (S29) concludes the proof of (i).
(ii) Let $\ell \in\{N, \ldots, n+1\}$. Since $\left(\gamma_{k}\right)_{k \geq 1}$ is non-increasing and for every $x \in \mathbb{R}$, $(1+x) \leq \mathrm{e}^{x}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=N}^{n+1} \prod_{k=i+1}^{n+1}\left(1-\gamma_{k} \varpi\right) \gamma_{i}^{j} & =\sum_{i=N}^{\ell-1} \prod_{k=i+1}^{n+1}\left(1-\gamma_{k} \varpi\right) \gamma_{i}^{j}+\sum_{i=\ell}^{n+1} \prod_{k=i+1}^{n+1}\left(1-\gamma_{k} \varpi\right) \gamma_{i}^{j} \\
& \leq \sum_{i=N}^{\ell-1} \exp \left(-\sum_{k=i+1}^{n+1} \varpi \gamma_{k}\right) \gamma_{i}^{j}+\gamma_{\ell}^{j-1} \sum_{i=\ell}^{n+1} \prod_{k=i+1}^{n+1}\left(1-\gamma_{k} \varpi\right) \gamma_{i} \\
& \leq \exp \left(-\sum_{k=\ell}^{n+1} \varpi \gamma_{k}\right) \sum_{i=N}^{\ell-1} \gamma_{i}^{j}+\frac{\gamma_{\ell}^{j-1}}{\varpi}
\end{aligned}
$$

## (iii)

Lemma S10. Let $\left(\gamma_{k}\right)_{k \geq 1}$ be a non-increasing sequence of positive numbers, $\varpi, \beta, \tau>0$ be positive real numbers, and $N \geq 1$ satisfying the assumptions of Lemma S9. Let $\mathrm{P} \in \mathbb{N}^{*}, C_{i} \geq 0, i=0, \ldots, \mathrm{P}$ be positive constants and $\left(u_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ be a sequence of real numbers with $u_{0} \geq 0$ satisfying for all $n \geq 0$

$$
u_{n+1} \leq\left(1-\gamma_{n+1} \varpi+\beta \gamma_{n+1}\left(\gamma_{n+1}-\tau\right)_{+}\right) u_{n}+\sum_{i=0}^{\mathrm{P}} C_{j} \gamma_{n+1}^{j+2}
$$

Then for all $n \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u_{n} \leq\left\{\prod_{k=1}^{N-1}\left(1+\beta \gamma_{k}^{2}\right)\right\} \prod_{k=N}^{n}\left(1-\gamma_{k} \varpi\right) u_{0}+\sum_{j=0}^{\mathrm{P}} C_{j} \sum_{i=N}^{n} \prod_{k=i+1}^{n}\left(1-\gamma_{k} \varpi\right) \gamma_{i}^{j+2} \\
&+\left\{\sum_{j=0}^{\mathrm{P}} C_{j} \beta^{-1} \gamma_{1}^{j} \prod_{k=1}^{N-1}\left(1+\gamma_{k}^{2} \beta\right)\right\} \prod_{k=N}^{n}\left(1-\varpi \gamma_{k}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. This is a consequence of a straightforward induction and Lemma S9-(i).
Theorem S11. Assume $\boldsymbol{H} 1, \boldsymbol{H} 2$ and $\boldsymbol{G} 1$.
(i) For all $\mu_{0} \in \mathcal{P}_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and $n \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{2}^{2}\left(\mu_{0} Q_{\gamma}^{n}, \pi\right) \leq \tilde{u}_{n}^{(1)}(\gamma) W_{2}^{2}\left(\mu_{0}, \pi\right)+\tilde{u}_{n}^{(2)}(\gamma), \tag{S30}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{u}_{n}^{(1)}(\gamma) & =\left\{\prod_{k=1}^{n_{1}-1}\left(1+2 L^{2} \gamma_{k}^{2}\right)\right\} \prod_{k=n_{1}}^{n}\left(1-\kappa \gamma_{k} / 2\right),  \tag{S31}\\
\tilde{u}_{n}^{(2)}(\gamma) & =\sum_{i=n_{1}}^{n} \gamma_{i}^{2} \mathrm{~b}\left(\gamma_{i}\right) \prod_{k=i+1}^{n}\left(1-\kappa \gamma_{k} / 2\right)  \tag{S32}\\
& +\mathrm{b}\left(\gamma_{1}\right)\left(2 L^{2}\right)^{-1}\left\{\prod_{k=1}^{n_{1}-1}\left(1+2 \gamma_{k}^{2} L^{2}\right)\right\} \prod_{k=n_{1}}^{n}\left(1-\kappa \gamma_{k} / 2\right),
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\mathrm{b}(\gamma)=L^{2} d\left\{\kappa^{-1}+\gamma\right\}\left(2+L^{2} \gamma / m+L^{2} \gamma^{2} / 6\right) .
$$

(ii) If in addition $\boldsymbol{H} 3$ holds, for all $\mu_{0} \in \mathcal{P}_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ and $n \geq 1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{2}^{2}\left(\mu_{0} Q_{\gamma}^{n}, \pi\right) \leq \tilde{u}_{n}^{(1)}(\gamma) W_{2}^{2}\left(\mu_{0}, \pi\right)+\tilde{u}_{n}^{(3)}(\gamma), \tag{S33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{u}_{n}^{(3)}(\gamma) & =\sum_{i=n_{1}}^{n} \gamma_{i}^{3} c\left(\gamma_{i}\right) \prod_{k=i+1}^{n}\left(1-\kappa \gamma_{k} / 2\right)  \tag{S34}\\
& +\gamma_{1} c\left(\gamma_{1}\right)\left(2 L^{2}\right)^{-1}\left\{\prod_{k=1}^{n_{1}-1}\left(1+2 \gamma_{k}^{2} L^{2}\right)\right\} \prod_{k=n_{1}}^{n}\left(1-\kappa \gamma_{k} / 2\right),
\end{align*}
$$

with

$$
\mathrm{c}(\gamma)=d\left\{2 L^{2}+\gamma_{i} L^{4}\left(\frac{\gamma_{i}}{6}+m^{-1}\right)+\kappa^{-1}\left(\frac{4 d \tilde{L}^{2}}{3}+\gamma_{i} L^{4}+\frac{4 L^{4}}{3 m}\right)\right\} .
$$

Proof. (i) Let $\zeta_{0}$ be an optimal transference plan of $\mu_{0}$ and $\pi$. Let $\left(Y_{t}, \bar{Y}_{t}\right)_{t \geq 0}$ with ( $Y_{0}, \bar{Y}_{0}$ ) distributed according to $\zeta_{0}$ and defined by (50). By definition of $W_{2}$ and since for all $t \geq 0, \pi$ is invariant for $P_{t}, W_{2}^{2}\left(\mu_{0} Q^{n}, \pi\right) \leq \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|Y_{\Gamma_{n}}-X_{\Gamma_{n}}\right\|^{2}\right]$. Then the proof follows from Lemma S8-(i) and Lemma S10 using that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{E}\left[\left\|Y_{\Gamma_{k}}-x^{\star}\right\|\right] \leq d / m$ by since $Y_{0}$ is distributed according to $\pi$.
(ii) The proof follows the same line as the first statement using Lemma S8-(ii) instead of Lemma S8-(i).

### 3.1 Explicit bound based on Theorem S11 for $\gamma_{k}=\gamma_{1} / k$

We give here a bound on the sequences $\left(\tilde{u}_{n}^{(1)}(\gamma)\right)_{n \geq 1}$ and $\left(\tilde{u}_{n}^{(2)}(\gamma)\right)_{n \geq 1},\left(\tilde{u}_{n}^{(3)}(\gamma)\right)_{n \geq 1}$ for $\left(\gamma_{k}\right)_{k \geq 1}$ defined by $\gamma_{1}>0$ and $\gamma_{k}=\gamma_{1} / k$. Recall that $\boldsymbol{\psi}_{\beta}$ is given by (S5). First note, since $\left(\gamma_{k}\right)_{k \geq 1}$ is non-increasing, for all $n \geq 1$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{u}_{n}^{(2)}(\gamma) \leq \sum_{j=0}^{1} \mathrm{C}_{j} \sum_{i=n_{1}}^{n} \gamma_{i}^{j+2} \prod_{k=i+1}^{n}\left(1-\kappa \gamma_{k} / 2\right) \\
&+\sum_{j=0}^{1} \mathrm{C}_{j}\left(2 L^{2}\right)^{-1} \gamma_{1}^{j}\left\{\prod_{k=1}^{n_{1}-1}\left(1+2 \gamma_{k}^{2} L^{2}\right)\right\} \prod_{k=n_{1}}^{n}\left(1-\kappa \gamma_{k} / 2\right) \tag{S35}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{C}_{1}=2 \mathrm{~b} d L^{2}, \mathrm{C}_{2}=\mathrm{b}\left(d L^{4} / m+\gamma_{1} d L^{4} / 6\right), \mathrm{b}=\kappa^{-1}+\gamma_{1}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{u}_{n}^{(3)}(\gamma) \leq \sum_{j=0}^{1} \mathrm{D}_{j} \sum_{i=n_{1}}^{n} \gamma_{i}^{j+3} \prod_{k=i+1}^{n}\left(1-\kappa \gamma_{k} / 2\right) \\
& \quad+\sum_{j=0}^{1} \mathrm{D}_{j}\left(2 L^{2}\right)^{-1} \gamma_{1}^{j+1}\left\{\prod_{k=1}^{n_{1}-1}\left(1+2 \gamma_{k}^{2} L^{2}\right)\right\} \prod_{k=n_{1}}^{n}\left(1-\kappa \gamma_{k} / 2\right) \tag{S36}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\mathrm{D}_{1}=d\left[2 L^{2}+(4 /(3 \kappa))\left\{d \tilde{L}^{2}+L^{4} / m\right\}\right], \mathrm{D}_{2}=d\left[\kappa^{-1} L^{4}+L^{4} \gamma_{1} /(m+L)+m^{-1}\right]
$$

1. We first give explicit bound based on Theorem S11-(i). For $n_{1}=1$, by (S6) and (S7), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{u}_{n}^{(1)}(\gamma) \leq(n+1)^{-\kappa \gamma_{1} / 2} \\
& \tilde{u}_{n}^{(2)}(\gamma) \leq(n+1)^{-\kappa \gamma_{1} / 2} \sum_{j=0}^{1} \mathrm{C}_{j}\left\{\gamma_{1}^{j+2}\left(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{\kappa \gamma_{1} / 2-1-j}(n+1)+1\right)+\left(2 L^{2}\right)^{-1} \gamma_{1}^{j}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

For $n_{1}>1$, since $\left(\gamma_{k}\right)_{k \geq 0}$ is non increasing, using again (S6), (S7), and the bound for $t \in \mathbb{R},(1+t) \leq \mathrm{e}^{t}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{u}_{n}^{(1)}(\gamma) & \leq(n+1)^{-\kappa \gamma_{1} / 2} \exp \left\{\kappa \gamma_{1} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{0}\left(n_{1}\right) / 2+2 L^{2} \gamma_{1}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{-1}\left(n_{1}-1\right)+1\right)\right\} \\
\tilde{u}_{n}^{(2)}(\gamma) & \leq(n+1)^{-\kappa \gamma_{1} / 2} \sum_{j=0}^{1} \mathrm{C}_{j}\left(\gamma_{1}^{j+2}\left(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{\kappa \gamma_{1} / 2-1-j}(n+1)-\boldsymbol{\psi}_{\kappa \gamma_{1} / 2-1-j}\left(n_{1}\right)+1\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\gamma_{1}^{j} /\left(2 L^{2}\right)\right) \exp \left\{\kappa \gamma_{1} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{0}\left(n_{1}\right) / 2+2 L^{2} \gamma_{1}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{-1}\left(n_{1}-1\right)+1\right)\right\}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, for $\gamma_{1}>2 \kappa^{-1}$, the bound given by Theorem S11-(i) is of order $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{-1}\right)$.
2. We first give explicit bound based on Theorem S11-(ii). Note that bounds on $\left(\tilde{u}_{n}^{(1)}(\gamma)\right)_{n \geq 1}$ have been obtained below. We just need to give some bounds on $\left(\tilde{u}_{n}^{(3)}(\gamma)\right)_{n \geq 1}$. For $n_{1}=1$, by (S6), (S7), we have

$$
\tilde{u}_{n}^{(3)}(\gamma) \leq(n+1)^{-\kappa \gamma_{1} / 2} \sum_{j=0}^{1} \mathbf{D}_{j}\left\{\gamma_{1}^{j+3}\left(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{\kappa \gamma_{1} / 2-2-j}(n+1)+1\right)+\left(2 L^{2}\right)^{-1} \gamma_{1}^{j+1}\right\}
$$

For $n_{1}>1$, since $\left(\gamma_{k}\right)_{k \geq 0}$ is non increasing, using again (S6), (S7), and the bound for $t \in \mathbb{R},(1+t) \leq \mathrm{e}^{t}$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{u}_{n}^{(3)}(\gamma) & \leq(n+1)^{-\kappa \gamma_{1} / 2} \sum_{j=0}^{1} \mathrm{D}_{j}\left(\gamma_{1}^{j+3}\left(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{\kappa \gamma_{1} / 2-2-j}(n+1)-\boldsymbol{\psi}_{\kappa \gamma_{1} / 2-2-j}\left(n_{1}\right)+1\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\gamma_{1}^{j+1} /\left(2 L^{2}\right)\right) \exp \left\{\kappa \gamma_{1} \boldsymbol{\psi}_{0}\left(n_{1}\right) / 2+2 L^{2} \gamma_{1}^{2}\left(\boldsymbol{\psi}_{-1}\left(n_{1}-1\right)+1\right)\right\}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, for $\gamma_{1}>4 \kappa^{-1}$, the bound given by Theorem S11-(i) is of order $\mathcal{O}\left(n^{-1}\right)$.

## 4 Explicit bounds on the MSE

Without loss of generality, assume that $\|f\|_{\text {Lip }}=1$. In the following, denote by $\Omega(x)=$ $\left\|x-x^{\star}\right\|^{2}+d / m$ and $C$ a constant (which may take different values upon each appearance), which does not depend on $m, L, \gamma_{1}, \alpha$ and $\left\|x-x^{\star}\right\|$.

### 4.1 Explicit bounds based on Theorem 5

1. First for $\alpha=0$, recall by Theorem 5 and (S1) we have for all $p \geq 1$,

$$
W_{2}^{2}\left(\delta_{x} R_{\gamma}^{p}, \pi\right) \leq 2 \Omega(x)\left(1-\kappa \gamma_{1} / 2\right)^{p}+2 \kappa^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~A}_{0} \gamma_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{1} \gamma_{1}^{2}\right)
$$

where $A$ and $A_{1}$ are given by (S2) and (S3) respectively. Set

$$
\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{A} \vee\left(\mathrm{~A}_{1} /(m+L)\right)
$$

So by (27) and Lemma 23, we have the following bound for the bias

$$
\left\{\mathbb{E}_{x}\left[\hat{\pi}_{n}^{N}(f)\right]-\pi(f)\right\}^{2} \leq C\left(\frac{\kappa^{-1} \exp \left(-\kappa N \gamma_{1} / 2\right) \Omega(x)}{\gamma_{1} n}+\kappa^{-1} \mathrm{~A} \gamma_{1}\right)
$$

Therefore plugging this inequality and the one given by Theorem 15 implies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{MSE}_{f}^{N, n} \leq C\left(\kappa^{-1} \mathrm{~A} \gamma_{1}+\frac{\kappa^{-2}+\kappa^{-1} \exp \left(-\kappa N \gamma_{1} / 2\right) \Omega(x)}{n \gamma_{1}}\right) \tag{S37}
\end{equation*}
$$

So with fixed $\gamma_{1}$ this bound is of order $\gamma_{1}$. If we fix the number of iterations $n$, we can optimize the choice of $\gamma_{1}$. Set

$$
\gamma_{\star, 0}(n)=\left(\kappa^{-1} \mathrm{~A}\right)^{-1}\left(C_{\mathrm{MSE}, 0} / n\right)^{1 / 2}, \text { where } C_{\mathrm{MSE}, 0}=\kappa^{-3} \mathrm{~A},
$$

and (S37) becomes if $\gamma_{1} \leftarrow \gamma_{\star, 0}(n)$,

$$
\operatorname{MSE}_{f}^{N, n} \leq C\left(C_{\mathrm{MSE}, 0} n\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(\kappa^{-1} \exp \left(-\kappa N \gamma_{\star, 0}(n) / 2\right) \Omega(x)+C_{\mathrm{MSE}, 0}\right)
$$

Setting $N_{0}(n)=2\left(\kappa \gamma_{\star, 0}(n)\right)^{-1} \log (\Omega(x))$, we end up with

$$
\operatorname{MSE}_{f}^{N_{0}(n), n} \leq C\left(C_{\mathrm{MSE}, 0} / n\right)^{1 / 2} .
$$

Note that $N_{0}(n)$ is of order $n^{1 / 2}$.
2. For $\alpha \in(0,1 / 2)$ by Theorem 5, Lemma 23, (S6) and (S8), we have the following bound for the bias

$$
\left\{\mathbb{E}_{x}\left[\hat{\pi}_{n}^{N}(f)\right]-\pi(f)\right\}^{2} \leq C\left(\frac{\kappa^{-1} \mathrm{~A} \gamma_{1}}{(1-2 \alpha) n^{\alpha}}+\frac{\kappa^{-1} \exp \left\{-\kappa \gamma_{1} N^{1-\alpha} /(2(1-\alpha))\right\} \Omega(x)}{\gamma_{1} n^{1-\alpha}}\right)
$$

Plugging this inequality and the one given by Theorem 15 implies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{MSE}_{f}^{N, n} \leq C\left(\frac{\kappa^{-1} \mathrm{~A} \gamma_{1}}{(1-2 \alpha) n^{\alpha}}+\frac{\kappa^{-1} \exp \left\{-\kappa \gamma_{1} N^{1-\alpha} /(2(1-\alpha))\right\} \Omega(x)+\kappa^{-2}}{\gamma_{1} n^{1-\alpha}}\right) \tag{S38}
\end{equation*}
$$

At fixed $\gamma_{1}$, this bound is of order $n^{-\alpha}$, and is better than (S37) for $\left(\gamma_{k}\right)_{k \geq 1}$ constant. If we fix the number of iterations $n$, we can optimize the choice of $\gamma_{1}$ again. Set
$\gamma_{\star, \alpha}(n)=\left(\kappa^{-1} \mathrm{~A} /(1-2 \alpha)\right)^{-1}\left(C_{\mathrm{MSE}, \alpha} / n^{1-2 \alpha}\right)^{1 / 2}$, where $C_{\mathrm{MSE}, \alpha}=\kappa^{-3} \mathrm{~A} /(1-2 \alpha)$,
(S38) becomes with $\gamma_{1} \leftarrow \gamma_{\star, \alpha}(n)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{MSE}_{f}^{N, n} \\
& \quad \leq C\left(C_{\mathrm{MSE}, \alpha} n\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(\kappa^{-1} \exp \left\{-\kappa N^{1-\alpha} \gamma_{\star, \alpha}(n) /(2(1-\alpha))\right\} \Omega(x)+C_{\mathrm{MSE}, \alpha}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Setting $N_{\alpha}(n)=\left\{2(1-\alpha)\left(\kappa \gamma_{\star, \alpha}(n)\right)^{-1} \log (\Omega(x))\right\}^{1 /(1-\alpha)}$, we end up with

$$
\operatorname{MSE}_{f}^{N_{\alpha}(n), n} \leq C\left(C_{\mathrm{MSE}, \alpha} / n\right)^{1 / 2} .
$$

It is worthwhile to note that the order of $N_{\alpha}(n)$ in $n$ is $n^{(1-2 \alpha) /(2(1-\alpha))}$, and $C_{\mathrm{MSE}, \alpha}$ goes to infinity as $\alpha \rightarrow 1 / 2$.
3. If $\alpha=1 / 2$, by Theorem 5, Lemma 23, (S6) and (S8), we have the following bound for the bias

$$
\left\{\mathbb{E}_{x}\left[\hat{\pi}_{n}^{N}(f)\right]-\pi(f)\right\}^{2} \leq C\left(\frac{\kappa^{-1} \mathrm{~A} \gamma_{1} \log (n)}{n^{1 / 2}}+\frac{\kappa^{-1} \exp \left\{-\kappa \gamma_{1} N^{1 / 2} / 4\right\} \Omega(x)}{\gamma_{1} n^{1 / 2}}\right)
$$

Plugging this inequality and the one given by Theorem 15 implies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{MSE}_{f}^{N, n} \leq C\left(\frac{\kappa^{-1} \mathrm{~A} \gamma_{1} \log (n)}{n^{1 / 2}}+\frac{\kappa^{-1} \exp \left\{-\kappa \gamma_{1} N^{1 / 2} / 4\right\} \Omega(x)+\kappa^{-2}}{\gamma_{1} n^{1 / 2}}\right) \tag{S39}
\end{equation*}
$$

At fixed $\gamma_{1}$, the order of this bound is $\log (n) n^{-1 / 2}$, and is the best bound for the MSE. Fix the number of iterations $n$, and we now optimize the choice of $\gamma_{1}$. Set

$$
\gamma_{\star, 1 / 2}(n)=\left(\kappa^{-1} \mathrm{~A}\right)^{-1}\left(C_{\mathrm{MSE}, 1 / 2} / \log (n)\right)^{1 / 2}, \text { where } C_{\mathrm{MSE}, 1 / 2}=\kappa^{-3} \mathrm{~A}
$$

and (S39) becomes with $\gamma_{1} \leftarrow \gamma_{\star, 1 / 2}(n)$,

$$
\mathrm{MSE}_{f}^{N, n} \leq C\left(\frac{\log (n)}{n C_{\mathrm{MSE}, 1 / 2}}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\kappa^{-1} \exp \left\{-\kappa N^{1 / 2} \gamma_{\star, 1 / 2}(n) / 4\right\} \Omega(x)+\frac{C_{\mathrm{MSE}, 1 / 2}}{\log (n)}\right)
$$

Setting $N_{1 / 2}(n)=\left(4\left(\kappa \gamma_{\star, 1 / 2}(n)\right)^{-1} \log (\Omega(x))\right)^{2}$, we end up with

$$
\operatorname{MSE}_{f}^{N_{1 / 2}(n), n} \leq C\left(\frac{\log (n) C_{\mathrm{MSE}, 1 / 2}}{n}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

4. For $\alpha \in(1 / 2,1]$, by Theorem 5, Lemma 23, (S6) and (S8), we have the following bound for the bias

$$
\left\{\mathbb{E}_{x}\left[\hat{\pi}_{n}^{N}(f)\right]-\pi(f)\right\}^{2} \leq C\left(\frac{\kappa^{-1} \mathrm{~A} \gamma_{1}}{n^{1-\alpha}}+\frac{\kappa^{-1} \exp \left\{-\kappa \gamma_{1} N^{1-\alpha} /(2(1-\alpha))\right\} \Omega(x)}{\gamma_{1} n^{1-\alpha}}\right)
$$

Plugging this inequality and the one given by Theorem 15 implies:

$$
\operatorname{MSE}_{f}^{N, n} \leq C\left(\frac{\kappa^{-1} \mathrm{~A} \gamma_{1}}{n^{1-\alpha}}+\frac{\kappa^{-1} \exp \left\{-\kappa \gamma_{1} N^{1-\alpha} /(2(1-\alpha))\right\} \Omega(x)+\kappa^{-2}}{\gamma_{1} n^{1-\alpha}}\right)
$$

For fixed $\gamma_{1}$, the MSE is of order $n^{1-\alpha}$, and is worse than for $\alpha \in[0,1 / 2]$. For a fixed number of iteration $n$, optimizing $\gamma_{1}$ would imply to choose $\gamma_{1} \rightarrow+\infty$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$. Therefore, in that case, the best choice of $\gamma_{1}$ is the largest possible value $1 /(m+L)$.
5. For $\alpha=1$, by Section 3.1, for $\gamma_{1}>2 \kappa^{-1}$ there exists $\tilde{C}_{1} \geq 0$, independent of $d$ and $n$ such that the bias is upper bounded by

$$
\left\{\mathbb{E}_{x}\left[\hat{\pi}_{n}^{N}(f)\right]-\pi(f)\right\}^{2}=\tilde{C}_{1} / \log (n)
$$

Plugging this inequality and the one given by Theorem 15 implies there exists $\tilde{C} \geq 0$, independent of $d$ and $n$ such that the bias is upper bounded by

$$
\operatorname{MSE}_{f}^{N, n}=\tilde{C}_{2} / \log (n)
$$

### 4.2 Explicit bound based on Theorem 8

1. First for $\alpha=0$, recall by Theorem 8 and (S9) we have for all $p \geq 1$,

$$
W_{2}^{2}\left(\delta_{x} R_{\gamma}^{p}, \pi\right) \leq 2 \Omega(x)\left(1-\kappa \gamma_{1} / 2\right)^{p}+2 \kappa^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~B}_{0} \gamma_{1}+\mathrm{B}_{1} \gamma_{1}^{2}\right),
$$

where $B_{0}$ and $B_{1}$ are given by (S10) and (S11) respectively. Set

$$
\mathrm{B}=\mathrm{B}_{0} \vee\left(\mathrm{~B}_{1} /(m+L)\right)
$$

So by and Lemma 23, we have the following bound for the bias

$$
\left\{\mathbb{E}_{x}\left[\hat{\pi}_{n}^{N}(f)\right]-\pi(f)\right\}^{2} \leq C\left(\frac{\kappa^{-1} \exp \left(-\kappa N \gamma_{1} / 2\right) \Omega(x)}{\gamma_{1} n}+\kappa^{-1} \mathrm{~B} \gamma_{1}^{2}\right) .
$$

Therefore plugging this inequality and the one given by Theorem 15 implies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{MSE}_{f}^{N, n} \leq C\left(\kappa^{-1} \mathrm{~B} \gamma_{1}^{2}+\frac{\kappa^{-2}+\kappa^{-1} \exp \left(-\kappa N \gamma_{1} / 2\right) \Omega(x)}{n \gamma_{1}}\right) . \tag{S40}
\end{equation*}
$$

So with fixed $\gamma_{1}$ this bound is of order $\gamma_{1}$. If we fix the number of iterations $n$, we can optimize the choice of $\gamma_{1}$. Set

$$
\gamma_{\star, 0}(n)=(\kappa \mathrm{B} n)^{-1 / 3},
$$

and (S37) becomes if $\gamma_{1} \leftarrow \gamma_{\star, 0}(n)$,

$$
\operatorname{MSE}_{f}^{N, n} \leq C\left(\mathrm{~B}^{-1 / 2} n\right)^{-2 / 3}\left(\kappa^{-4 / 3} \exp \left(-\kappa N \gamma_{\star, 0}(n) / 2\right) \Omega(x)+\kappa^{-5 / 3}\right)
$$

Setting $N_{0}(n)=2\left(\kappa \gamma_{\star, 0}(n)\right)^{-1} \log (\Omega(x))$, we end up with

$$
\operatorname{MSE}_{f}^{N_{0}(n), n} \leq C\left(\mathrm{~B}^{-1 / 2} \kappa^{5 / 2} n\right)^{-2 / 3} .
$$

Note that $N_{0}(n)$ is of order $n^{1 / 3}$.
2. For $\alpha \in(0,1 / 3)$ by Theorem 8 , Lemma 23, (S6) and (S12), we have the following bound for the bias

$$
\left\{\mathbb{E}_{x}\left[\hat{\pi}_{n}^{N}(f)\right]-\pi(f)\right\}^{2} \leq C\left(\frac{\kappa^{-1} \mathrm{~B} \gamma_{1}^{2}}{(1-3 \alpha) n^{2 \alpha}}+\frac{\kappa^{-1} \exp \left\{-\kappa \gamma_{1} N^{1-\alpha} /(2(1-\alpha))\right\} \Omega(x)}{\gamma_{1} n^{1-\alpha}}\right) .
$$

Plugging this inequality and the one given by Theorem 15 implies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{MSE}_{f}^{N, n} \leq C\left(\frac{\kappa^{-1} \mathrm{~B} \gamma_{1}^{2}}{(1-3 \alpha) n^{2 \alpha}}+\frac{\kappa^{-1} \exp \left\{-\kappa \gamma_{1} N^{1-\alpha} /(2(1-\alpha))\right\} \Omega(x)+\kappa^{-2}}{\gamma_{1} n^{1-\alpha}}\right) . \tag{S41}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we fix the number of iterations $n$, we can optimize the choice of $\gamma_{1}$ again. Set

$$
\gamma_{\star, \alpha}(n)=\left(n^{1-3 \alpha} \kappa \mathrm{~B} /(1-3 \alpha)\right)^{-1 / 3}
$$

(S41) becomes with $\gamma_{1} \leftarrow \gamma_{\star, \alpha}(n)$,
$\operatorname{MSE}_{f}^{N, n} \leq C\left(\mathrm{~B}^{-1 / 2} n\right)^{-2 / 3}\left(\kappa^{-4 / 3} \exp \left(-\kappa N \gamma_{\star, 0}(n) /(2(1-\alpha))\right) \Omega(x)+\kappa^{-5 / 3}(1-3 \alpha)^{-1 / 3}\right)$.
Setting $N_{\alpha}(n)=\left\{\left(\kappa \gamma_{\star, \alpha}(n)\right)^{-1} \log (\Omega(x))\right\}^{1 /(1-\alpha)}$, we end up with

$$
\operatorname{MSE}_{f}^{N_{\alpha}(n), n} \leq C\left(\mathrm{~B}^{-1 / 2} \kappa^{5 / 2} n\right)^{-2 / 3}
$$

It is worthwhile to note that the order of $N_{\alpha}(n)$ in $n$ is $n^{(1-3 \alpha) /(3(1-\alpha))}$.
3. If $\alpha=1 / 3$, by Theorem 8 , Lemma 23, (S6) and (S12), we have the following bound for the bias

$$
\left\{\mathbb{E}_{x}\left[\hat{\pi}_{n}^{N}(f)\right]-\pi(f)\right\}^{2} \leq C\left(\frac{\kappa^{-1} \mathrm{~B} \gamma_{1}^{2} \log (n)}{n^{2 / 3}}+\frac{\kappa^{-1} \exp \left\{-\kappa \gamma_{1} N^{2 / 3} / 4\right\} \Omega(x)}{\gamma_{1} n^{2 / 3}}\right)
$$

Plugging this inequality and the one given by Theorem 15 implies:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{MSE}_{f}^{N, n} \leq C\left(\frac{\kappa^{-1} \mathrm{~B} \gamma_{1}^{2} \log (n)}{n^{2 / 3}}+\frac{\kappa^{-1} \exp \left\{-\kappa \gamma_{1} N^{2 / 3} / 4\right\} \Omega(x)+\kappa^{-2}}{\gamma_{1} n^{2 / 3}}\right) \tag{S42}
\end{equation*}
$$

At fixed $\gamma_{1}$, the order of this bound is $\log (n) n^{-2 / 3}$, and is the best bound for the MSE. Fix the number of iterations $n$, and we now optimize the choice of $\gamma_{1}$. Set

$$
\gamma_{\star, 1 / 2}(n)=(\kappa \mathrm{B} \log (n))^{-1 / 3}
$$

and (S42) becomes with $\gamma_{1} \leftarrow \gamma_{\star, 1 / 2}(n)$,

$$
\operatorname{MSE}_{f}^{N, n} \leq C\left(\frac{\log (n) \mathrm{B}}{n^{2}}\right)^{1 / 3}\left(\kappa^{-4 / 3} \exp \left\{-\kappa N^{1 / 2} \gamma_{\star, 1 / 2}(n) / 4\right\} \Omega(x)+\kappa^{-5 / 3}\right)
$$

Setting $N_{1 / 2}(n)=\left(4\left(\kappa \gamma_{\star, 1 / 2}(n)\right)^{-1} \log (\Omega(x))\right)^{3 / 2}$, we end up with

$$
\operatorname{MSE}_{f}^{N_{1 / 2}(n), n} \leq C\left(\frac{\log (n) \mathrm{B}}{\kappa^{5} n^{2}}\right)^{1 / 3}
$$

We can see that we obtain a worse bound than for $\alpha=0$ and $\alpha \in(0,1 / 3)$.
4. For $\alpha \in(1 / 3,1]$, by Theorem 8, Lemma 23, (S6) and (S12), we have the following bound for the bias

$$
\left\{\mathbb{E}_{x}\left[\hat{\pi}_{n}^{N}(f)\right]-\pi(f)\right\}^{2} \leq C\left(\frac{\kappa^{-1} \mathrm{~B} \gamma_{1}}{n^{1-\alpha}}+\frac{\kappa^{-1} \exp \left\{-\kappa \gamma_{1} N^{1-\alpha} /(2(1-\alpha))\right\} \Omega(x)}{\gamma_{1} n^{1-\alpha}}\right)
$$

Plugging this inequality and the one given by Theorem 15 implies:

$$
\operatorname{MSE}_{f}^{N, n} \leq C\left(\frac{\kappa^{-1} \mathrm{~B} \gamma_{1}}{n^{1-\alpha}}+\frac{\kappa^{-1} \exp \left\{-\kappa \gamma_{1} N^{1-\alpha} /(2(1-\alpha))\right\} \Omega(x)+\kappa^{-2}}{\gamma_{1} n^{1-\alpha}}\right)
$$

For fixed $\gamma_{1}$, the MSE is of order $n^{1-\alpha}$, and is worse than for $\alpha=1 / 2$. For a fixed number of iteration $n$, optimizing $\gamma_{1}$ would imply to choose $\gamma_{1} \rightarrow+\infty$ as $n \rightarrow+\infty$. Therefore, in that case, the best choice of $\gamma_{1}$ is the largest possible value $1 /(m+L)$.
5. For $\alpha=1$, by Section 3.1, for $\gamma_{1}>2 \kappa^{-1}$ there exists $\tilde{C}_{1} \geq 0$, independent of $d$ and $n$ such that the bias is upper bounded by

$$
\left\{\mathbb{E}_{x}\left[\hat{\pi}_{n}^{N}(f)\right]-\pi(f)\right\}^{2}=\tilde{C}_{1} / \log (n)
$$

Plugging this inequality and the one given by Theorem 15 implies there exists $\tilde{C} \geq 0$, independent of $d$ and $n$ such that the bias is upper bounded by

$$
\operatorname{MSE}_{f}^{N, n}=\tilde{C}_{2} / \log (n)
$$
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