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Abstract

The aim of this paper is to present a preliminary work about the enhancement of information retrieval systems by exploring tags coming from social networks. We discuss how to obtain folksonomies (social indexing) and their use on a search approach that models tags assigned to documents. For this, a document is represented by formal concept then we construct a bipartite graph. From this later, we retrieve emergent tags that describe better a document.

I. Introduction

Since the arise of numerical social networks (such as Facebook1, Flickr2, Twitter3, etc.), users got the possibility to create their own content (photos, movies,...) and share it. These new interactions allow users to be more actives. Cognitive intelligence emerges and social information with added valued is produced such as tags (labels, keywords), comments, judgments, bookmarks...[6]. Figure 1, shows an example of these interactions on a social tagging system Twitter.

We can see a message (a tweet4) with URL «capdigital.com/strategies/big... » described with a tag #BigDataLive shared between 5 users (@Cap Digital, @dataiku, @opendatasoft, @fdouetteau et @jmlazard) on the date of Novembre, 18th.

Other situations of tagging task may be considered in different fields (political, e-trade, scientific domain,...) where comments on events, persons, products, organizations,... can be annotated by many hundred of tags but some of them do not reflect really the described elements.

Our purpose is to find which tags represent better a resource. Are they the most recent ones? Or the most popular ones?

Representing a document is one of the most important steps of the Information retrieval process. This leads us to exploit social tags, in our work, to give a new model of document based on concepts that could enhance an information retrieval system.

II. Our approach description

Our study aims to find tags that represent well a document. For this purpose, we propose an approach based on the steps presented as follow:

First, we collect information on a social network (ex. Flickr) about tags, documents and users. These components constitute a tag cloud or a folksonomy [11] (see Figure 2 bellow).

In a folksonomy, a document r_i is describes by the tags t_1,t_2,t_3,t_4 and t_5. These tags do not exist alone, they depend on the users u_1, u_2, u_3, u_4, u_5 and u_6. We see on the figure (Figure 2) that the user u_4 does not annotate the document r_1.

For modeling a document r_i we need to apply clustering methods on our folksonomy. Formal Concepts Analysis (FCA5) [4] is a good mean to do this. A formal concept c_i is a set of all documents shared by a set of users and sharing a set of tags c_i=({d_i}, {t_i}, {u_i}).

Let’s define a formal context K=(D,T,U,R) with RDXTU a relation (boolean) defined on a set of documents D (the extent), a set of properties (les tags) T the intent of a concept and a set of users U. A formal context is generally represented by an adjacency matrix.

A concept formal c_i=(d_i, {t_i}, {u_i}) is a tri-concept that represents all documents {d_i} shared between users {u_i} using tags {t_i}.

Figure 3 : An example of tripartite graph

1  https://www.facebook.com
2  http://flickr.com
3  http://twitter.com
4  Messages of maximum 140 caracters.
5  AFC : Analyse des Concepts Formels.
The next step is to represent the formal context by graphs that we know manipulating. So, to the three dimensional formal context K constituted by tri-concepts, can correspond a tripartite graph \( G=(V,E) \) (as shown in Figure 3), where \( V=(U,T,D) \) is a set of vertices and \( E \subseteq D \times T \times U \) a set of edges between the different nodes sets.

If we project this tripartite graph on two dimensional axes without loss of information between sets, we might obtain three bipartite graphs \( G_1=((D,T),E_1) \) with \( E_1 \subseteq D \times T \), \( G_2=((D,U),E_2) \) with \( E_2 \subseteq D \times U \) and \( G_3=((T,U),E_3) \) with \( E_3 \subseteq T \times U \).

On the next steps we focus our work on the bipartite graph \( G_1 \) describing relations between documents and tags, but the same process will be applied to the others bipartite graphs \( (G_2 \text{ and } G_3) \).

The bipartite graph \( G_1 \) represents all tags \( \{t_i\} \) describing a document \( d_i \). On this graph, we search emergent patterns that gather tags which represent better a document. Searching \( G_1 \) will be more efficient using the bipartite graph Document-Concept proposed by Navarro et al. [8] based on Infomap algorithm [9] that allows fast retrieval. In his study [8], he proves that the searching results are more significant than if the document-tag graph is used.

## III. Related work

In the last decades, work on Information Retrieval (IR) does not base only on traditional models [3]. Several studies enhance IR process by using concepts of social networks like folksonomies, friendship, comments, votes, judgments, and so on, to do recommendations or search personalization.

Folksonomy’s components modelisation (documents, users and tags) attracted many researchers [7]. Some users characteristics like social influence, authorship were of interest [2]. Lot of studies concentrate on tags exist. Some researchers use bayesian networks (probabilist models) to model social annotations [11] [12]. Others use conceptual models [4] matching documents concepts (represented by tags which are document topics) with users concepts which elements are tags (user’s interests) [4].

In literature, graphs [10] are used to represent folksonomies. Data mining technics are used to analyse folksonomies and to extract knowledge from great data sets.

## IV. Conclusion and outlooks

In this paper, we presented a preliminary strategy to give a document’s social representation based on tags assigned by users on social networks. A lot of tags may be attributed to a single document, from which we can find those are useful to describe a document, those are more representative for a document or others non important.

More representative tags for a document appear on emergent concepts given by emergent patterns.

As future work, we intend to study other social factors like trends, votes, likes. We also intend to implement a complete information retrieval system using the discussed ideas.
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