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Abstract

The robust stability analysis of asynchronous and uncertain sampled-data systems with constant incremental input delay is addressed in the looped-functional framework. These functionals have been shown to be suitable for the analysis of impulsive systems as they allow one to express discrete-time stability conditions in an affine way, enabling then the consideration of uncertain and time-varying systems. The stability conditions are obtained by first reformulating the sampled-data system as an impulsive system, and by then considering a tailored looped-functional along with Wirtinger’s inequality, a recently introduced inequality that has been shown to be less conservative than Jensen’s inequality. Several examples are given for illustration.
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1 Introduction

Sampled-data systems with periodic sampling have been extensively studied in the literature (Chen and Francis, 1995; Zhang et al., 2001) and this field is now very mature. Tools for designing robust controllers, which possibly optimize some performance criteria, are nowadays also well-established. In the case of asynchronous sampled-data system, however, these problems are still open. This is particularly important in the context of Networked Control Systems (Hespanha et al., 2007) where the presence of a network or, more generally the use of a shared resource, can corrupt the constancy of the sampling-period, making it time-varying, and the overall control system asynchronous. Several approaches to deal with stability analysis and/or control synthesis have been developed until now: discrete-time approaches (Suh, 2008; Heemels et al., 2010), input-delay approaches (Mikheev et al., 1988; Teel et al., 1998; Fridman et al., 2004; Fridman, 2010; Liu and Fridman, 2012), robust analysis techniques (Kao and Fujikura, 2013), and the use of looped-functionals either considering directly the sampled-data system formulation (Seuret, 2012) or the impulsive system formulation (Briat and Seuret, 2012).

The problem addressed in this paper pertains on the robust stability analysis of uncertain and asynchronous sampled-data systems with input delay. A simpler instance of this problem has been studied in (Liu and Fridman, 2012) where only synchronous sampled-data systems are considered. The contribution of this paper is therefore twofold. The first one lies in the development of new stability conditions for linear impulsive systems with delays acting on the discrete-time part of the system. These stability conditions are obtained using a tailored looped-functional together with a new integral inequality introduced in (Seuret et al., 2013) in the context of time delay systems. The main differences with previous works on the topic, such as (Briat and Seuret, 2012)(Seuret et al., 2013), lie in 1) the use of a more advanced functional allowing us to cope with systems with time-varying matrices and; 2) the use of a new integral inequality that notably reduces the conservativeness over the use of Jensen’s inequality. By then relying on the equivalent reformulation of a sampled-data system into an impulsive system, the obtained stability conditions are applied to our specific problem, that is, the analysis of uncertain and asynchronous sampled-data systems with incremental delays. It is no-
naturally emphasized that the current approach improves over the method of (Liu and Fridman, 2012) in the case of synchronous samplings while being also able to deal with asynchrony. Examples are given for illustration.

Notations: For symmetric matrices $A, B, A - B \preceq 0$ means that $A - B$ negative definite. The sets of symmetric and symmetric positive definite matrices of dimension $n$ are denoted by $S^n$ and $S^n_+$ respectively. The set of whole numbers is denoted by $\mathbb{N}$. Given a square matrix $A$, we define $\text{Sym}[A] = A + A^T$. For some vectors $\alpha, \beta$, the notation $\text{col}\{\alpha, \beta\}$ denotes the vector obtained by stacking $\alpha$ and $\beta$ on the top of each others. Finally the notation $0_{m \times n}$ denotes the $m \times n$ zero-matrix.

2 Problem formulation

Let us consider linear systems of the form

$$\dot{x}(t) = A_0 x(t) + B_0 u(t), \quad t \geq 0,$$

$$x(0) = x_0, \quad (1)$$

where $x, x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $u \in \mathbb{R}^m$ are the state of the system, the initial condition and the control input, respectively. The matrices $A_0$ and $B_0$ are not necessarily perfectly known but may be uncertain and/or time-varying. The control input $u$ obeys the following equation

$$u(t) = K x(t_{k-d}), \quad t \in [t_k, t_{k+1}), \quad k \in \mathbb{N}, \quad (2)$$

where $K \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n_0}$ is a controller gain and the sequence \{\{t_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\} is the sequence of sampling instants. This sequence is assumed to be strictly increasing and does not admit any accumulation point, that is, we have that $t_k \to \infty$ as $k \to \infty$. We also make the additional assumption that the difference $T_k := t_{k+1} - t_k$ belongs, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, to the interval $[T_{\min}, T_{\max}]$ where $T_{\min} \leq T_{\max}$. The incremental delay $d \in \mathbb{N}$ is assumed to be constant and known. The closed-loop system obtained from the interconnection of (1) and (2) is given, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, by

$$\dot{x}(t) = A_0 x(t) + B_0 K x(t_{k-d}), \quad t \in [t_k, t_{k+1}),$$

$$x(\theta) = x_0, \quad \theta \leq 0. \quad (3)$$

In the above model, the initial condition has been adapted in order to guarantee existence and uniqueness of solutions. Note that any other extension of the initial condition could have been done since stability of linear systems does not depend on initial conditions. When the sampling is periodic, i.e. $T_k \equiv T, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}$, and the matrices $A_0$ and $B_0$ are known and constant, the system (3) can be easily analyzed using the Lyapunov-Krasovskii theorem or by augmenting the state vector; see e.g. (Hetel et al., 2008). The case of aperiodic sampling and uncertain matrices is, however, more difficult since discrete-time methods do not extend smoothly to this kind of systems, mainly due to the presence of exponential terms of the form $e^{-A_0 T}$ in the LMI conditions; see the extensive discussions in (Briat and Seuret, 2012). Several approaches have been developed to overcome these difficulties. The input-delay approach first introduced in (Teel et al., 1998) for nonlinear systems consists of rewriting the sampled state $x(t_{k-d})$ as a delayed term of the form $x(t - \tau_d(t))$ with sawtooth delay $\tau_d(t)$. The original sampled-data system is, in this case, transformed into a time-delay system. In this respect, the paper (Fridman et al., 2004) addresses the analysis of such systems using Lyapunov-Krasovskii functionals. Most of these previous approaches consider the particular case where the incremental delay $d$ is equal to 0. When a computational delay indeed affects the computation of the control law, the analysis turns out to be more complex.

A distinct approach from the delay-based ones relies on the equivalent formulation of sampled-data systems as impulsive systems (Sun et al., 1991). Recently, hybrid techniques based on Lyapunov functionals have been developed to these systems (Naghshitabrizi et al., 2008; Goebel et al., 2009), yielding a second breath to this formulation. A linear impulsive system is defined by

$$\dot{y}(t) = Ay(t), \quad t \neq t_k, \quad y(t_k^+) = J y(t_k), \quad t = t_k, \quad (4)$$

for some state $y$ and with matrices $A, J \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$. The sequence \{\{t_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}\} is assumed to be the same as for the sampled-data system (3). Above, the notation $y(t_k^+)$ is the right-limit of $y(s)$ at $s = t_k$, i.e. $y(t_k^+) := \lim_{s \to t_k^+} y(s)$.

In this framework, the system (3) can be represented as (4) with $y(t) := \text{col}\{x(t), x(t_k), \ldots, x(t_{k-d})\}$,

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} A_0 & B_0 K \\ 0_{d_0 \times n_0} & 0_{d_0} \end{bmatrix}, \quad J := \begin{bmatrix} I_{n_0} & 0_{n_0 \times (n_0-1)} & 0_{n_0} \\ 0_{d_0 \times n_0} & I_{d_0} & 0_{d_0 \times n_0} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (5)$$

where $K := [K_0 \ K_1 \ \ldots \ K_d]$. Of course the dimension of the impulsive system grows linearly according to the size of the delay $d$. Stated as such, there seems to be no striking difference between a standard discrete-time approach and an impulsive approach, and we may question the benefits of using the latter over the former. The main difference actually lies in the class of tools that will be used, i.e. looped-functionals, that will allow us to express a discrete-time stability condition in terms of continuous-time data $A$ and $J$ in a convex way, facilitating then the analysis of aperiodic sampled-data systems in the uncertain and time-varying case. The looped-functional based approach relies on the characterization of the trajectories of system (4) in a lifted domain (Yamamoto,
1990; Briat and Seuret, 2012). Therefore, we view the entire state-trajectory as a sequence of functions

$$\chi_k(\tau) := y(t_k + \tau) \quad \text{with} \quad \chi_k(0) = \lim_{s \downarrow t_k} y(s). \quad (6)$$

Looped-functionals then consider this definition of state for assessing stability in a novel manner. Notably, the positivity requirement of the functional can be shown to be relaxed.

## 3 Stability analysis of linear impulsive systems

### 3.1 Main Result

We have the following result:

**Theorem 3.1** The impulsive system (4) with $$T_k := t_k+1 - t_k \in [T_{\min}, T_{\max}]$$, $$k \in \mathbb{N}$$, is asymptotically stable if there exist matrices $$P, Z \in \mathbb{S}_+^n$$, $$Q, S, X \in \mathbb{S}^n$$, $$R, U \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$ and $$Y_1, Y_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times 3n}$$ such that the LMIs

$$\Psi(\theta) \prec 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \Phi(\theta) \prec 0 \quad (7)$$

hold for all $$\theta \in \{T_{\min}, T_{\max}\}$$ where

$$\Psi(\theta) := F_0(\theta) + \theta (F_1 + F_3), \quad \Phi(\theta) := \begin{bmatrix} F_0(\theta) + \theta (F_1 - F_3) & \theta Y_1^T & \theta Y_2^T \\ \star & -\theta Z & 0 \\ \star & \star & -\frac{\theta}{3} \end{bmatrix} \quad (8)$$

with $$M_y = [I \ 0 \ 0]$$, $$M_c = [I - J \ 0]$$, $$M_v = [I \ J - 2I]$$, $$M_n = [0 \ 0 \ 0]$$, $$F_1 = -\text{Sym}[M_u U J M_-]$$, $$F_3 = M_t^T J X J M_-$$ and

$$F_0(\theta) = F_{00}(\theta) - \text{Sym}[Y_1^T M_c + 3Y_2^T M_v]$$,
$$F_{00}(\theta) = \theta M_y^T (A^T P + PA) M_y - M_l^T Q M_c - \theta M_l^T S M_+ + (M_l^T J P J - P) M_- - \text{Sym}[M_l^T R M_g]$$,
$$F_2 = \text{Sym}[M_y^T A^T Q M_c + M_l^T A^T R M_g + M_l^T R A^T M_y + M_l^T S M_+ + M_y^T U J M_- + M_l^T A^T Z A M_y]$$.

When the above conditions are satisfied, then there exist matrices $$F_{00}(T_k) + \tau F_1 + (T_k - \tau^2) F_3$$ such that the quadratic form $$V(y) = y^T P y$$ is a Lyapunov function for the aperiodic impulsive system $$y(t_{k+1}) = e^{A T_k} J y(t_k)$$ with $$T_k \in [T_{\min}, T_{\max}]$$.

**Proof**: First we recall the Wirtinger-based integral inequality from (Seuret et al., 2013).

**Lemma 3.2** Let $$\omega : [a, b] \to \mathbb{R}^n$$ be a differentiable function over $$(a, b)$$ having square integrable first order derivative. Then, for all $$Z \in \mathbb{S}_+^n$$, we have

$$\int_a^b \dot{\omega}(u) ^T Z \dot{\omega}(u) du \geq \frac{1}{b-a} \Omega_1^T Z \Omega_1 + \frac{3}{b-a} \Omega_2^T Z \Omega_2,$$

where $$\Omega_1 = \omega(b) - \omega(a)$$ and $$\Omega_2 = \omega(b) + \omega(a) - 2/(b-a) \int_a^b \omega(u) du$$.

Following Theorem 2.4 from (Briot and Seuret, 2012), let us consider the functional $$\mathcal{W}_K$$ as

$$\mathcal{W}_K(\tau, \chi_k, \chi_{k-1}) := \tau \Lambda_k + T_k [V(\chi_k(\tau)) + V(\tau, \chi_k, T_k)],$$

where $$\Lambda_k := V(\chi_k(0)) - V(\chi_{k-1}(T_{k-1}))$$, $$V(y) = y^T P y$$ and $$V$$ such that

$$T_k V(\tau, \chi_k, \chi_{k-1}) = (T_k - \tau) \zeta_k(\tau)^T [Q \zeta_k(\tau) + 2R \chi_k(\tau)]$$
$$+ (T_k - \tau) \nu_k(\tau)^T [S \nu_k(\tau) + 2U J \chi_k(0)]$$
$$+ (T_k - \tau) \chi_k(0)^T J T X J \chi_k(0)$$
$$+ (T_k - \tau) \int_0^\tau \dot{\chi}_k(s)^T Z \dot{\chi}_k(s) ds,$$

where $$\zeta_k(\tau) = \chi_k(\tau) - \chi_k(0)$$, $$\dot{\chi}_k(\tau) = A \chi_k(\tau)$$, $$\nu(\tau) = \frac{1}{\tau} \int_0^\tau \chi_k(s)^T ds$$, $$P, Z \in \mathbb{S}_+^n$$, $$Q, S, X \in \mathbb{S}^n$$ and $$U, R \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$$. It is important to point out that the matrices $$Q, R, S$$ and $$U$$ are indefinite. Since $$\mathcal{V}(0, z, T_k) = \mathcal{V}(T_k, z, T_k) = 0$$ for all $$z \in \mathcal{S}(0, T_k, \mathbb{R}^n)$$ and for all $$T_k \in [T_{\min}, T_{\max}]$$, Theorem 2.4 from (Briot and Seuret, 2012) can be applied to get that

$$\mathcal{W}_K = T_k \frac{d}{dT} [V(\chi_k(\tau)) + V(\tau, \chi_k, T_k)] + \Lambda_k$$
$$= \xi_k(\tau)^T \left[ F_{00}(T_k) + \tau F_1 + (T_k - \tau^2) F_3 \right]$$
$$+ (T_k - 2\tau) F_3 \xi_k(\tau) - \int_0^\tau \dot{\chi}_k(s)^T Z \dot{\chi}_k(s) ds,$$

where $$F_{00}(T_k)$$, $$F_2$$ and $$F_3$$ are given in (9) and $$\xi_k(\tau) := \text{col}(\chi_k(\tau), \chi_{k-1}(T_{k-1}), \nu_k(\tau))$$. Noting that $$\chi_k(0) = J \chi_{k-1}(T_{k-1})$$, Lemma 3.2 yields the inequality

$$- \int_0^\tau \dot{\chi}_k(s)^T Z \dot{\chi}_k(s) ds \leq -\left(1/\tau\right) \xi_k(\tau)^T \left( M_l^T Z M_c + 3M_l^T Z M_v \right) \xi_k(\tau).$$

Following (Briot, 2011), both computational tractability and accuracy are improved by turned the RHS into an affine expression of $$\tau$$. This can be performed by using the bounds discussed in the same paper to get

$$-\left(1/\tau\right) \xi_k(\tau)^T \left[ M_l^T Z M_c + 3M_l^T Z M_v \right] \xi_k(\tau) \leq -\xi_k(\tau)^T \left[ \text{Sym}(Y_1^T M_c + 3Y_2^T M_v) \right] \xi_k(\tau)$$
$$- \left(\tau(Y_1^T Z^{-1} Y_1 - 3Y_2^T Z^{-1} Y_2)\right) \xi_k(\tau).$$

3
Combining the previous statements all together, we can state that the system (4) with $T$-periodic impulses is asymptotically stable if $W_k$ is negative definite over $\tau \in [0, T]$. A sufficient condition of asymptotic stability is then that the parameter-dependent LMI

$$F_0(T) + \tau \hat{F}_1 + (T - \tau) F_2 + (T - 2\tau) F_3 < 0,$$

holds for all $\tau \in [0, T]$ where $\hat{F}_1 = F_1 + Y_1^T Z^{-1} Y_1 + 3 Y_2^T Z^{-1} Y_2$. Since this LMI is affine in $\tau$ (hence convex), to check its negative definiteness over the entire interval $[0, T_k]$, it is necessary and sufficient to check it at the vertices of the set, that is only over the finite set $\tau \in \{0, T_k\}$. A Schur complement on the quadratic terms finally yields $\Phi(T_k) < 0$ and $\Phi(T_k) < 0$ are affine in $T_k \in [T_{\min}, T_{\max}]$, we can apply the same arguments as above to show that we just need to check them at the values in the finite set $\{T_{\min}, T_{\max}\}$.

Finally, if the LMI condition (7) are satisfied for all $\theta \in [T_{\min}, T_{\max}]$, this implies that $W < 0$, which, according to Theorem 4.2 from (Briat and Seuret, 2012), ensures the asymptotic stability of the system (4).

3.2 An example on impulsive systems

**Example 1** (Briat and Seuret, 2012) Let us consider the system (4) with matrices

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} -1 & 0.1 \\ 0 & 1.2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad J = \begin{bmatrix} 1.2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0.5 \end{bmatrix}.$$ (14)

Note that, the continuous-time dynamics of the first state is stable while the second is unstable. Conversely, the matrix $J$ has a stable eigenvalue for the second state and an unstable one for the first state. It is hence expected that the range of admissible inter-impulse distances is a connected interval excluding 0 and $+\infty$. An eigenvalue analysis gives the admissible range $[0.1824, 0.5776]$ of inter-impulse periods. Table 1 presents the results. It is sowed that the Theorem 3.1 precises the condition from (Briat and Seuret, 2012). The improvements for the periodic and the aperiodic cases are due to the use of the integral inequality Lemma 3.2.

3.3 Stability of delayed sampled-data systems

In the following, we will consider more specifically the case of sampled-data systems affected by an incremental delay $d$. Therefore, from now on, the matrices $A$ and $J$ are given by those in (5). We also denote by $n$ the actual dimension of the state of the impulsive system representing the sampled-data system, that is $n = n_0 (d + 1)$. An important difference with respect to Theorem 3.1, is that the particular structure of the matrices $A$ and $J$ are exploited in order to reduce the number of variables in the LMI conditions and lower the computational complexity of the approach.

Let us assume now that the sampled-data system (1) is uncertain and subject to time-varying uncertainties, i.e.

$$[A_0, B_0] \in \mathcal{C}_o([A_0^1, B_0^1], \ldots, [A_0^M, B_0^M]),$$ (15)

where $\mathcal{C}_o$ denotes the convex hull operator. Based on the impulsive system formulation, we are in position to provide the main result on the robust stability of aperiodic sampled-data systems:

**Theorem 3.3** The uncertain aperiodic sampled-data system (3)-(15) with $T_k \in [T_{\min}, T_{\max}], k \in \mathbb{N}$, is asymptotically stable if there exist matrices $P \in \mathcal{S}_+^n, \bar{Z} \in \mathbb{S}_+^m, \bar{Q}, \bar{S}, \bar{R}, \bar{U} \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} \times \mathbb{R}^{m \times n}$ such that the LMIs $\tilde{\Psi}(\theta, A_0, B_0, K) < 0$ and $\tilde{\Phi}(\theta, A_0, B_0, K) < 0$ hold for all $\theta \in \{T_{\min}, T_{\max}\}$ and all $j = 1, \ldots, M$ where for all matrices $A_0, B_0, K$ of appropriate dimension,

$$\tilde{\Psi}(\theta, A_0, B_0, K) := G_0(\theta) + \theta G_2 + G_3,$$

$$\tilde{\Phi}(\theta, A_0, B_0, K) := \begin{bmatrix} G_0(\theta) + \theta (G_1 - G_3) & \theta \bar{Y}_1^T \\
* & -\theta \bar{Z} \end{bmatrix}.$$

Proof : Due to space limitations, the proof is only sketched. When considering the uncertain sampled-data system (3)-(15), the corresponding impulsive system (4)-(5) has redundant information in its formulation. It is, indeed, possible to show that there exists a matrix $W$ of appropriate dimensions such that $\xi_k(\tau) = W [\rho_k(\tau)]^T X_k^T x(t_k - \tau) y_k(\tau)]^T$, where $\rho_k(\tau)$ and $y_k(\tau)$ contain the first $n_0$ entries of $\chi_k(\tau)$ and $\nu_k(\tau)$, respectively. The vector $X_k$ is defined, as before, as

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Methods</th>
<th>Periodic</th>
<th>Aperiodic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Briat et al. (2012)</td>
<td>$[0.1824, 0.5760]$</td>
<td>$[0.1907, 0.5063]$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Remark 1 The conditions of Theorem 3.3 deals with aperiodic samplings. It is however possible to address the problem of periodic samplings by selecting \( T_{\min} = T_{\max} \).

Remark 2 The numerical burden associated with the conditions of Theorem 3.3 increases exponentially with the value of the incremental delay \( d \). In Seuret et al. (2014), a mixed continuous/discrete-time analysis is performed thanks to a Lyapunov-Krasovskii functional to deal with the discrete-time-delay system.

3.4 Examples on sampled-data systems

Example 2 (Zhang et al. (2001)) Let us consider the sampled-data system \((3)\) with matrices

\[
A_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & -0.1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ -0.1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad K = \begin{bmatrix} 3.75 & 11.5 \end{bmatrix}.
\]

An eigenvalue-based analysis shows that this sampled-data system is asymptotically stable for any constant sampling period smaller than \( T_{\max} = 1.7294, 0.7637, 0.4638 \) when \( d = 0, 1, 2 \), respectively.

Periodic sampling case: When \( d = 0 \), (Liu and Fridman, 2012) show that stability of the sampled-data system is preserved for any constant sampling-period in the intervals \((0, 1.39)\). In (Briot and Seuret, 2012), the interval \((0, 1.7239)\) is found while Theorem 3.3 (with \( T_{\min} = T_{\max} = T \)) yields that the maximal periodic sampling period for such system is 0.7996. The method of (Liu and Fridman, 2012) is not applicable for aperiodic samplings. According to Table 2, the condition from Theorem 3.3 improves again the ones form the literature on this example.

Aperiodic sampling case: When \( d = 0 \), the conditions from (Liu and Fridman, 2012) preserves stability for any sampling in the intervals \((0, 1.39)\). The approach considered in (Naghshabrizi et al., 2008) and (Fridman, 2010) indicate that stability is preserved for any aperiodic sampling in the intervals \((0, 1.112)\), and \((0, 1.69)\), respectively. The looped-functional approaches discussed in (Seuret, 2012; Briot and Seuret, 2012) yield the interval \((0, 1.7239)\). Theorem 3.3 delivers the interval \((0, 1.7293)\). The conditions yield tighter estimates of the interval. When \( d = 1, 2 \), Theorem 3.3 ensures stability for all samplings that belongs to \([10^{-3}, 0.73]\) and \([10^{-3}, 0.43]\), respectively, which, again, illustrates the efficiency of the proposed method.

Example 3 (Gu et al. (2003)) Let us consider the sampled-data system \((3)\) with \( d = 0 \) and matrices

\[
A_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -2 & 0.1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad B_0 = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}, \quad K = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.
\]

An eigenvalue-based analysis shows that this sampled-data system is asymptotically stable for any constant sampling period in the interval \([0.2007, 2.020]\). First, the stability conditions from (Naghshabrizi et al., 2008), (Fridman, 2010) fail assessing stability. According to Table 2, the condition from Theorem 3.3 improves again the ones form the literature on this example.

Example 4 (Liu and Fridman (2012)) Let us consider now the sampled-data system \((3)\)

\[
\dot{x}(t) = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ g(t) & 0 \end{bmatrix} x(t) + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} u(t)
\]

\[
u(t) = \begin{bmatrix} -0.35 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x(t_k) + \begin{bmatrix} 0.1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} x(t_{k-3}), \quad \forall t \in [t_k, t_{k+1})
\]

and where the function \( g(t) \) is such that \(|g(t)| \leq 0.1, \) for all \( t \geq 0 \). Due to the time-varying nature of the function \( g \), stability cannot be analyzed using the discrete-time stability result. For indication, a gridding approach combined with eigenvalue analysis (which is only valid in the time-invariant case) yields that the maximal periodic sampling period for such system is 0.7996. The method of (Liu and Fridman, 2012) is not applicable for aperiodic samplings. Results are presented in Table 3 and shows that Theorem 3.3 delivers less conservative results on this example. Of course these improvement is obtained at the price of an additional complexity. Indeed the number of decision variables in (Liu and Fridman, 2012) is \( 12n^2 + 6n \) while in our approach, we have \( 36n^2 + 5n \).
4 Conclusion

A new looped-functional-based approach has been proposed for analyzing the stability of periodic and aperiodic uncertain sampled-data systems with incremental delays. The conditions have been obtained using Wirtinger’s inequality along with a complexity reduction procedure. Several examples illustrate the efficiency of the approach over existing ones.
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