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Abstract: During the last 10 years, a lot of interests have been devoted to the so-called CDC (colossal dielectric constant) materials. The first materials exhibiting this behavior were the perovskite-based ceramics on the CCTO (CaCu\textsubscript{3}Ti\textsubscript{4}O\textsubscript{12}) composition. Relative dielectric permittivity can attain values up to (or even larger than) $10^5$. Nevertheless, their dielectric losses are too high, the lower values ranging 10\%, in a narrow frequency range, thus limiting their applications. The underlying physical mechanisms at the origin of the CDC are still under study. The analysis of broadband impedance spectroscopy measurements leads most of the authors to propose an interfacial polarization mechanism (at the electrodes or at internal barriers), there is a limited number of complementary electrical characterization techniques, which, up to now, comfort the proposed interfacial polarization mechanisms. In the present work, I-V and time-domain polarization are used to characterize these materials. One of the main results is the observation of a non-symmetrical response of these materials related to the direction of the polarization. These results are observed for both macroscopic level on bulk polycrystalline material and within individual grains of the same samples. These results do not fit current accepted models for polarization for CDC materials.
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1. Introduction

Materials presenting the so-called colossal dielectric permittivity continue to attract a lot of attention since they were observed in CCTO (CaCu\textsubscript{3}Ti\textsubscript{4}O\textsubscript{12}) ceramics \citep{1}. These show a relative dielectric permittivity ($\varepsilon_r$) of up to $10^5$ (at room temperature) that remains constant over a large temperature range with no apparent ferroelectric transition or phase transformations below 732 K \citep{2}. These interesting properties that are stable with temperature make these materials suitable candidates for miniaturized capacitive elements in microelectronics. Despite the intensive works carried out to understand the physical origins of the high dielectric permittivity in polycrystalline ceramics \citep{3}, or in single crystals \citep{4}, or in thick and thin film layers \citep{5}, the exact role of both composition and microstructure on the electric properties of CCTO are still under study.

The earliest works intended to relate an intrinsic polarization to the crystalline structure. After inconclusive results \citep{6}, an extrinsic origin was proposed, assuming that interfaces were the key parameter on the polarization mechanism of CCTO.

First, these interfaces can be associated to the contact of the material to the electrodes \citep{7}, where the
differences in the metal work functions modify the
dielectric response of the electrode-ceramic-electrode
assembly. Supporting this hypothesis, Deng et al. [8]
observed significant modification on the $I$-$V$
(current-voltage) characteristics related to the surface
treatments on the samples. On the other hand, the
characterization of polycrystalline CDC (colossal
dielectric constant) materials by means of BIS
(broadband impedance spectroscopy) identifies at least
two distinct responses by equivalent circuit modeling [9].
It was suggested that one response corresponded to
semiconducting grains, and the other to insulating grain
boundaries. This analysis opened the way to another
intrinsic barrier-induced polarization scheme, where the
internal barriers formed by the grain boundaries acted as
in IBLC (internal barrier layer capacitors). BIS remains
the most used characterization technique for CDC
materials [4, 9-13].

Only a limited number of studies using other
coloration methods than BIS analysis in polycrystalline CDC materials have been reported.
Local current-voltage ($I$-$V$) measurements using
microelectrodes and local probe on polished CCTO
confirmed the IBLC hypothesis, when Chung et al. [14]
measured a barrier height at the grain boundary with a
strong non-linear response. Scanning impedance
microscopy and C-AFM (conductive atomic force
microscopy), showed an insulating secondary-phase
inclusion within a single CCTO grain [15]. Recently a
controversy appeared [16], when Fu et al. [17] found
that grain boundaries were also semiconducting and
that grains were a mixture of semiconducting and
insulating regions.

Further complementary characterization performed
on colossal permittivity materials allowed to identify
non-symmetrical response related to the applied voltage
that is not taken into account by current accepted models
[18]. In the present work, CCTO ceramics were
analyzed by additional electrical characterization
techniques, such as time-domain polarization at the bulk
samples and $I$-$V$ characterization, both for the bulk and
by locally probing the characteristics at the grain and
grain boundary scale. Such studies were undertaken in
order to add further elements to the discussion of the
mechanisms involved in CDC materials polarization.

2. Experimental Procedure

The powders were prepared using a soft chemistry
method described previously [19]. They were then
pressed into pellets (5 mm diameter and 1.2 mm
thickness) under 250 MPa and sintered in air at
1,050 °C for 24 h. The surfaces of the CCTO sample
were polished (final thickness, 1.1 mm) and sputtered
gold was applied as electrode.

Impedance spectroscopy measurements confirmed
that the apparent permittivity of the samples presents
the previously described characteristics of CDC
materials [9, 20, 21].

In order to determine the influence of the electric field
orientation on the electric response of the sample, each
electrode was clearly identified “A” and “B”. A Keithley
2410 sourcemeter is used for $I$-$V$ measurements, and in
the measurement set-up, when the positive terminal of
the sourcemeter is connected to the electrode on the
sample surface “A”, the position is said to be “A (+)"
and i “B (+)” in the opposite case (Fig. 1).

To perform local $I$-$V$ characterizations at the grain
and grain boundary scale, the CCTO pellet is sawed
with a diamond wire cutting machine (ESCIL, W3241
model). The section was polished and thermally etched
at 950 °C for 20 min to reveal the grain boundaries for
optical observation. Scanning Electron Microscope
(SEM-FEG, Jeol JSM 6700-F) coupled with an Energy
Dispersive X-ray detector (EDX, Princeton Gamma
Tech) and optical microscopy observations show large
grain size distribution (up to 150 µm) with some pores
at the surface (Fig. 2).

A thermo-regulated, microprobe station (Signatone
S-1160 model) with tungsten probes (10µm in radius)
is used to ensure local contact on the surface of the
grains at 25 °C (Fig. 3). The two tungsten probes are
connected to the Keithley 2410 sourcemeter.
3. Results and Discussion

Fig. 4 shows the $I$-$V$ measurements at room temperature. A non-linear characteristic is observed [14, 22]. However, when superposing the $I$-$V$ responses of the “A (+)” and “B (+)” relative to the positive terminal of the sourcemeter, a non-symmetrical response of the sample is observed.

These data are reproducible, either by changing the polarity of the applied voltage, when changing the wiring configuration, or when sample is turned upside down in the measured device cell. No memory effect can subsist since the sample is short-circuited for 5 min between every measurement (the current reaches a value of 0.2 pA).

The non linear $I$-$V$ response has already been treated in other work and is assumed to be related to a Schottky contact allowing a potential barrier at the interface between grain and grain boundary [12, 23] or between the metal and the material [13, 24]. If the material is considered to be homogenous, only the interfaces between the CCTO ceramic and the metal electrode can explain the non-symmetrical phenomena. To check this assumption, the compositions determined from EDX analysis from each of the two sides (“A” and “B”) to the middle of the pellet were compared. Figs. 5a and 5b show that there is no significant difference in elemental composition of the pellet. So, if the chemical composition is homogeneous, the electrode contact can be one possible source for the difference of the electrical responses between the “A” and “B” configurations.

Deng et al. [5] have already observed this difference when applying platinum electrodes on thin-film CCTO with different PLD (pulsed laser deposition) conditions.

Fig. 6 shows $I$-$t$ measurements for very low applied voltage (0.1 V). A quasi steady-state current is reached after $10^2$ s. In conventional dielectric materials, when a step voltage is applied, the current evolution as function of time is composed of two phases:
polarization and depolarization currents, as represented in Fig. 7. The polarization current is also composed of two parts, the absorption current mainly due to the polarization of dipoles in the dielectric, which dominates at short time, whereas the second part of the curve corresponds to the conduction current ($I_c$). The absorption current of the CCTO sample (without $I_c$ contribution) and the absolute values of the depolarization current are super-imposable.

This behavior means that the stored charges in the material during polarization are restored during the depolarization.

In CCTO bulk sample, when absorption and depolarization currents are compared at low voltage, a dielectrical-like behavior as observed (Fig. 8a). This behavior exists up to 2 V (Figs. 8b and 8c).

For higher applied voltages ($V > 2$ V), the currents are no longer super-imposable (Fig. 9).

The very high values of the conduction current are shown in Fig. 10 (0.3 mA for 5 V), they are related to the strong non-linear $I-V$ behavior of CCTO.

When probing the $I-V$ response at a local level, care should be taken when interpreting surface measurement results, as the exact current path and the
field distribution are unknown. The $I-V$ responses of the grains and of the grain boundaries (Fig. 11) are both strongly non-linear. Furthermore, when reversing the voltage direction, thus, the current direction also, a non-symmetrical response is observed. Although different current values were observed between grain and across grain boundaries, due to the complexity of the measurements, only attention is given to their non-symmetrical behavior relative to the current direction.

When performing $I-V$ probes measurement through gold electrodes contact, Chung et al. [14], where gold electrodes were previously applied to the sample. A strongly non-linear response of the $I-V$ characteristic is observed whatever measurements are carried out through a grain boundary or in the grain. This behavior can be explained either by the intrinsic response of the CCTO or from a non-ohmic contact between the metallic tip and the specimen wherever it is contacted (inside a grain or between grain boundaries).

Surprisingly, it is also observed, as in the case in bulk materials, a non-symmetrical response on both grain and across grain boundaries that is dependent on the polarity applied with the sourcemeter (Fig. 11). Care was taken in order to avoid moving tips in between the measurements, and polarity is changed by inverting wires connected to the voltage source. This result means that the total current passing in one direction in the measurement setup (probe contact, then ceramic) is different from the one in the opposite direction.

One possible explanation of all these measurements could be that the contact between an electrode and the material whatever the scale level, can be considered as non-ohmic. The material could behave in that case as a MIS (metal-insulator-semiconductor) structure and the IBLC model is no more necessary to explain the different behaviors.

4. Conclusions

The use of characterization techniques that are complementary to impedance spectroscopy measurements, allowed to identify a non-symmetrical response relative to the applied voltage, in both bulk ceramic materials, and at the local level.
I-t measurements performed at different voltage levels, showed a high current related to the strong non-linear $I$-$V$ response of CCTO. When the applied voltage step is higher than 2 V, absorption and desorption currents are no longer super-imposable in the CCTO ceramics. $I$-$t$ measurement should complete, in future works, the panel of electrical characterization applied to this type of materials.

In regards to the $I$-$V$ responses, for bulk ceramics, or for the grains and grain boundaries, the interfaces contact between the sample and the metal electrode seems to be the main key to explain the non-linear and non-symmetrical observed behaviors and a model like MIS structure can be a plausible explanation of these characteristics.

Further investigations concerning the interfaces CCTO/electrodes can lead to a better understanding of the non-linear and non-symmetrical $I$-$V$ characteristics. The current models describing interfacial polarization, either electrode/surface polarization or IBLC do not take into account the non-symmetrical phenomena, meaning that a more comprehensible model is yet to be built.
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