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Sir: A reverse selective CO2/N2 membrane material has been recently reported by Kumar et al. in this journal.1 We would like to follow up on this publication with additional information that is related to this unusual experimental observation. To our knowledge, a CO2/N2 reverse selective membrane material has not been reported previously and would be a breakthrough both from the scientific and technological point of view.

Such a N2 selective membrane would be of major interest for postcombustion carbon dioxide capture (i.e., from flue gas) which requires a purity of 0.9 (or more) together with a capture ratio of 0.9 (or more). We show in Figure 1 some simulation results performed through classical design computations for gas permeation processes2 where a reverse selective material is compared to a classical one for a single stage permeation situation. First, when a pressure ratio of 0.01 is applied (Figure 1a), a selectivity of 300 or more is needed for a normal selective material to reach key targets, while a selectivity of 50 is enough with a reverse selectivity material. The most interesting performance of a reverse selective material can be pointed out when a moderate pressure ratio is applied. This condition is necessary in order to minimize the energy consumption, which is of primarily importance for the separation process to be selected for this application. Calculations classically show that a pressure ratio in the range of 0.1 can be tolerated.3 In that case (Figure 1b), a reverse selective material is the only one which achieves the target performance, again with a separation factor around 50. Additionally, carbon dioxide will be recovered in that later case on the high pressure side (i.e., retentate side). This capability is very interesting since carbon dioxide has to be compressed afterward to up to 80–150 bar for transport purposes in a carbon capture and storage framework. A supplementary energy gain is thus obtained, compared to CO2 selective materials which require permeate vacuum pumping to be operated.

Despite its attractiveness, to our knowledge, no theoretical basis for a nitrogen selective permeation process through a membrane material, be it dense or porous, has been reported. The report by Kumar et al.1 does not offer a real mechanistic explanation of the basis for a faster N2 permeability compared to CO2. Concerns that existed in explaining such results included the following:

1. Given the kinetic diameters of CO2 and N2, CO2 should always show a higher diffusion coefficient in materials showing molecular sieving properties or any conventional activated diffusion process that we can imagine.

2. On the basis of point 1, it seems that in a dense polymeric matrix (i.e., in the case of a complete filling of the pores of the material by polyetherimide (PEI)), no steady state selectivity in favor of nitrogen can be expected, be it in rubbery or glassy polymers.

3. Any surface effect (adsorption, surface flow) or phase change (capillary condensation) would be again in favor of CO2 due to its larger condensability.

4. The influence of a chemical reactivity between CO2 and PEI could lead to some specific retardation effect, but this would take place only under transient state conditions. To that respect, we report in Figure 2 an example of experimental results obtained on a PEI containing dense polymeric membrane operated under cyclic unsteady state conditions (repeated time-lag experiments). A very large time lag is observed for the first

Figure 1. Simulation of the separation performance of a single stage membrane module operated under cross plug flow conditions for the recovery of carbon dioxide diluted in nitrogen (feed composition 10% mol fraction CO2) with a CO2 selective (lines) and a N2 selective material (dotted lines). Carbon dioxide outlet purity corresponds to the permeate composition (y) for the CO2 selective material and to the retentate composition (x) for the N2 selective material. For carbon capture application, a purity of 0.9 and a recovery ratio of 0.9 are needed. Two different values of the pressure ratio ψ (downstream/upstream pressure) have been tested: (a) ψ = 0.01; (b) ψ = 0.1.
cycle, due to the retardation effect exerted by the CO$_2$ specific chemical reaction. N$_2$ will breakthrough faster than CO$_2$ under these conditions, and a reverse selectivity is temporarily obtained. This effect is annihilated afterward, and a classical CO$_2$ selectivity is obtained when further cycles are operated without integral regeneration of the sorption sites. Nevertheless, given the time scale of the experiments reported by Kumar et al. (i.e., 170 h), transient behavior could be expected to be unlikely to take place.

5. The only mechanism that we can currently suggest that predicts a faster N$_2$ permeability is Knudsen flow, but with a very low selectivity (ca. 1.28) compared to the results which are reported (selectivity up to 80).

The above analysis may be overlooking some multicomponent effects or subtle coupling phenomena (i.e., role of water) in the process. We hope that this note will stimulate the curiosity and the efforts of the scientific community to explore what we consider to be an important and challenging scientific issue.
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