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There is an area in the atmosphere called the tropopause where the temperature is constant and equal to -56.5 °C on a thickness of a few kilometers\(^1\). The heat transfer can make it only at constant temperature: single the radiative effect and the phase change of a pure substance are then possible. The blackbody radiation laws thus apply, including the law Stefan says that the radiated energy depend only on the absolute temperature. To -56.5° C, so this one is 120W / m\(^2\).\(^2\)
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The tropopause causes an effect greenhouse for radiation absorbed and re-emitted by the black body to its temperature. This radiation upwards as downwards therefore need a total energy of 240W / m\(^2\) to guarantee the duration of the phenomenon. That's about that measured at the surface of the Earth from the sun\(^3\). On the other hand, the existence for millions of years with a temperature relatively constant on Earth imposes an almost balance between the solar energy received and that emitted to space by the tropopause. As the energy irradiated in space can not exceed 120W / m\(^2\) legislated Stefan, energy solar received continuously is only 120W / m\(^2\) instead of 240W / m\(^2\). This
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\(^1\) René Moreau L'air et l'eau edpsciences université de Grenoble 20
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\(^2\) A.Bouvenot Transferts de chaleur Masson 1981 36.

\(^3\) R.Delmas,G.Mégie,V.Peuch Physique et chimie de l'atmosphère 2ème Edition Belin 2005 117
difference is easily explained by the fact that the Sun illuminates only the day and must halve the energy. The atmosphere leads in its movements a heat distribution. The greenhouse effect that doubles\(^4\) the energy radiated by the ground raise it to the temperature of \(-18\) °C corresponding to an energy of \(240\text{W/m}^2\). A second greenhouse from such as \(-18\) °C proposed in the IPCC is impossible.

The soil increase greenhouse temperature is constant and equal to \(+38.5\) °C\(^5\).

In this scheme applies in a vacuum, we see that the exchange of heat causing warming will always warmer to the colder. The vectors 3 and 5 are compensated and causes no warming. They match radiative exchange that always exists between a hot body and a colder one equal to the radiation of cold body. It is therefore the tropopause existence forming a selective diffusing screen corresponding to the IR blackbody at \(-56.5\) °C which is the cause of the greenhouse effect. Said gas effect greenhouse IPCC do are absolutely not responsible.

In the presence of atmosphere the same heat balance are sustained through forming a distillation effect to infinite reflux\(^6\). Indeed flows 2.3 and 5 which correspond to IR radiation is completely absorbed in the troposphere because their energy is too low to pass through. There are in air circulation against the current between the warm moist air that rises and cold and dry air denser going down: heat and material exchange between these two flows characterizes infinite reflux distillation\(^7\). When that distillation takes place adiabatically the amount of heat provides the boiler is reflected totally in the heat absorbed by the condenser. So heat flows from the ground in the tropopause. As the distillation is not adiabatic, the excess heat is concentrated to

\[^{4}\text{Ibid. 2 46}\]
\[^{5}\text{http://archives-ouvertes.hal.fr/hal-0106000}\]
\[^{6}\text{http://archives-ouvertes.hal.fr/hal-00943666}\]
\[^{7}\text{Techniques de l'Ingénieur Méthodes de calcul Génie des Procédés J2 611 and next}
\text{Techniques de l'Ingénieur contrôle et régulation Génie des Procédés J2 615 and next}\]
the bottom of the column to form a decreasing temperature evolution when one rises in the column.

This corresponds to the observation of temperature in the troposphere. There is more separation component in depending on their boiling point: this explains why dioxide carbon is at the top as evidenced by the temperature of the tropopause which is equal to one tenth of a degree to its condensation temperature. This thus turns excess heat in the troposphere and do not heat. The troposphere isolates the Earth by creating a furnace effect comparable to what is happens when we insulating a home.

The above analysis does not cause any accumulation or loss of heat in the troposphere whatever the soil temperature. We justify global warming by the fact that solar energy formed on the ground changes very slowly over millions of years and is not strictly equal to 120 W/m² which are discharged into space to ensure balance climate. In addition, any heat not of solar origin is maintained in the troposphere and raises its temperature and that of the ground. This is the case of nuclear and fossil fuels that are not derived from solar radiation. The explanation of the IPCC loop the terrestrial heat balance by sending into space an energy of 235 W/m². The tropopause with a fixed temperature of -56.5 °C can absolutely not shine these: it is impossible.

Next to a false analysis of the greenhouse effect and of loss of energy radiated into space too significant, the explanation of the IPCC presents dubious claims hard to believe. How gas proportion so low in the troposphere can provide energy able to warm it up so that the phenomenon is deemed non-cumulative with the time: indeed stabilizing quantity of greenhouse gas would be sufficient to stop global warming? May we say that IR can go directly from the ground to space by «atmospheric window» without being totally absorbed (40W/m²)? May we believe that heat can
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8 Ibid. 1
moving from a low temperature to a higher contradict the Clausius principle\textsuperscript{9,10}? Although it may seem unlikely, it is time to understand the explanation of global warming by greenhouse IPCC is an scientific error.

*This matching is not explain by IPCC
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