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Abstract—The advent of smart grids have urged a radical reappraisal of distribution networks and power quality requirements, and effective use of the network are indexed as the most important keys for smart grid expansion and deployment regardless. One of the most efficient ways of effective use of these grids would be to continuously monitor their conditions. This allows for early detection of power quality degeneration facilitating therefore a proactive response, prevent a fault ride-through the renewable power sources, minimizing downtime, and maximizing productivity. In this smart grid context, this paper proposes the evaluation of signal processing tools, namely the Hilbert transform and the linear Kalman filter to estimate voltage phasor for voltage sags detection.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Despite accumulated experienced in the conventional electric distribution networks, the task of power quality in distributed networks is still an art. It has become more challenging as far as the generation system is moved nearby the distribution level and this is achieved by using a set of micro grids and energy islands based on renewable sources, connected to the main grid [1-3]. This allows better utilization of the grid by transforming the actual distribution network into intelligent, robust energy delivery system. Therefore, key considerations when deploying smart grids are their availability, reliability, resiliency and profitability. Figure 1 depicts an overview of this complex infrastructure. Today, research is focused on the use of state estimation concept in power quality issues and in particular power quality state estimators (PQSE) [4], [20] (Fig. 2). The PQSE is covering many power quality areas such as harmonic state estimation, frequency estimation [5], transient estimation [4], and voltage sags estimation. In the context of smart grids, it is then difficult to deal with such a complex system through conventional procedures used in classical distribution networks for keeping it under control, operating at the desired quality of the service (QoS), and dealing with reliability regarding a smart grid complexity and uncertainty [6]. This requires much more sophisticated computer-oriented monitoring than in a classical grid [2]. In terms of PQ standards, voltage, frequency and harmonics are the three main parameters that must be considered and controlled to acceptable standards whilst the power and energy balance is maintained. In this context, voltage sags estimation is indexed as an essential requirement for a condition monitoring system in order to meet PQ standards [7-8].

For voltage sag detection, there are various methods used in contemporary power systems. These methods are based on electrical quantity signatures analysis (current, voltage, power, etc.) [9-12]; and usually involves the use of reference frame transformations such as Park’s vector [13] or space vector [8-14]; other methods are based on advanced signal processing tools [15-17] where the EEMD is investigated; but the well-known method is based on the symmetrical components transformation [18-19]. This work attempts to highlight the use of signal processing techniques for phasor estimation in the context of smart grids.

Fig. 1. A smart grid topology [© SAET].
II. VOLTAGE SAGS DETECTION AND CHARACTERIZATION

Voltage events are characterized by their magnitude and duration. Depending on the magnitude of the variation they are classified as sags, swells or interruptions, and, depending on their duration they can be classified as a transient event, short term event or long term event as depicted in Fig. 3. Voltage sags are indexed as the most important power quality issue. They are classified as a short-term event and characterized as a deviation of the RMS supply voltage from a reference value with typical dip depths ranging from 0.9 to 0.5 p.u. of a 1 p.u. nominal [9]; lasting from few milliseconds to few cycles. Voltage sags are caused by abrupt increases in loads such as phase-to-phase or phase to ground short circuits, they are also caused by abrupt increases in source impedance during a loose of connection [21].

Voltage sag characterization concerns events quantification through a limited number of parameters. These parameters depend on the field of study. However, main characterization methods use two parameters to determine the severity of a voltage sag: magnitude (or “remaining voltage”) and duration [3]. In the context of a smart grid, it is therefore important to know whether voltage sag exists and afterward estimate its duration. The most usual voltage sags signatures are presented in Fig. 4 [8]. During a voltage sag three-phase system balanced conditions are no longer valid leading to possible disastrous consequences on the user end-loads and on the smart grid itself. So, for voltage sag detection, let us consider the mathematical model of three phase voltages, during the sag voltage the phase to ground voltages are expressed by (1) [7]:

\[
\begin{align*}
V_a(t) &= \sqrt{2} V_s \sin(\omega t + \alpha_a) \\
V_b(t) &= \sqrt{2} V_s \sin(\omega t + \alpha_b) \\
V_c(t) &= \sqrt{2} V_s \sin(\omega t + \alpha_c)
\end{align*}
\]  

(1)

Where \(V_s\), \( V_a \), \( V_b \), \( V_c \), \( \omega \), \( \alpha_a \), \( \alpha_b \) and \( \alpha_c \) are respectively the three magnitudes, the frequency, and the three initial phase angles of the corresponding voltage phases. For voltage sag characterization the most common path is the use of symmetrical components [19], [22]. For the system described by (1) the symmetrical components calculation is carried out through the transform given by (2):

\[
\tilde{V}_{012} = [F] \tilde{V}_{abc}
\]

(2)

Where \(\tilde{V}_{012}\) denotes the associated phasor of the symmetrical components:

\[
\tilde{V}_{012} = \begin{bmatrix} V_0 \\ \hat{V}_1 \\ \hat{V}_2 \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{V}_{abc} = \begin{bmatrix} V_a \\ \hat{V}_b \\ \hat{V}_c \end{bmatrix}
\]

(3)

Indices 0, 1 and 2 are referring to zero, positive and negative sequences respectively. \([F]\) is the transformation matrix introduced by Fortescue, and it is expressed by (4):

\[
[F] = \frac{1}{3} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & a & a^2 \\ 1 & a^2 & a \end{bmatrix}
\]

(4)

Where \(a\) represents the rotational operator given by (5):

\[
a = e^{\frac{j\pi}{3}}
\]

(5)

However, the system given by (1) is time-dependent and expressed in time-domain. Since the computation of the symmetrical components requires the use of the phasor representation; system (1) must be represented in a complex formalism described by (6):
\[
\begin{align*}
    v_a(t) &= \sqrt{2} V_a e^{j(\omega t + \alpha_a)} \\
    v_b(t) &= \sqrt{2} V_b e^{j(\omega t + \alpha_b)} \\
    v_c(t) &= \sqrt{2} V_c e^{j(\omega t + \alpha_c)}
\end{align*}
\] (6)

Therefore, the use of symmetrical components relies on the amplitude and phase identification, in other words on phasor representation as depicted in Fig. 5.

### III. PHASOR ESTIMATION

Phasor estimation is an essential task in power grids and power quality; since the voltage phase and voltage amplitude determine the available active power and its flow. So phasors are useful tools for operating and monitoring the grid. For phasor estimation many techniques and methods are investigated according to the application [23]. The FFT is used to estimate the fundamental harmonic, but a major drawback of this method is the asynchronous sampling. To overcome this lack, an FFT-based sliding window was proposed in [6]. Also the RMS value is used to estimate the amplitude of the phasor, but RMS is based upon averaging of sampled data during one cycle, so it cannot represent instantaneous information. As voltage sags lead to transient phenomena (voltage unbalance and voltage/current waveform disturbances) [21], it is obvious that the Fast Fourier Transform (FFT) and other techniques based upon it, are no longer valid if they have been used in some cases [6]. Advanced signal processing techniques are therefore required to deal with the complexity and uncertainty associated with a smart grid. In [9-13], a Teager-Kaiser energy operator has been proposed for power system oscillations detection and analysis. However, this operator is highly affected by noises. In [25-27] and [32], wavelets and prony method were used. Wavelets however require properly windowed disturbing events to ensure accurate computations. Moreover, prony method highly depends on the system parameters and operating modes. Also the PLL algorithm was investigated too in [28], [29]. It seems that the focus should be on transient signal processing techniques. In this work, it is therefore proposed to assess two non-stationary signal processing techniques based on Kalman filter and Hilbert transform.

#### A. Kalman Filter

The Kalman filtering has been mostly applied in system control applications; it is also the basis of a large variety of power system methods such as amplitude and phase tracking even in noisy signals [4], [27]. In this section we are concerned with its application to power system signals for voltage amplitude and phase estimation.

For this purpose let us consider the voltage \( v_i \) \((i = a, b \text{ or } c)\), since this signal is sampled, it can be expressed by [24]:

\[
V[n] = \sum_{h=1}^{k} S_{h,\cos}
\]

and

\[
S_{h,\cos}[n] = a_h \cos(n\omega_h + \alpha_h)
\]

Where \( h \) is the harmonic component, \( k \) is the harmonic number in the model and \( \delta \) represents the noise.

So, for the sample \((n+1)^{th}\) (7) can be written as follow:

\[
S_{h,\cos}[n+1] = a_h \cos((n+1)\omega_h + \alpha_h)
\]

After trigonometric development (8) becomes:

\[
S_{h,\cos}[n+1] = S_{h,\cos}[n]\cos(\omega_h) - \cdots - a_h \frac{\sin(n\omega_h + \alpha_h)\sin(\omega_h)}{S_{h,\sin}[n]}
\]

\[
S_{h,\cos}[n+1] = S_{h,\cos}[n]\cos(\omega_h) - \cdots - S_{h,\sin}[n]\sin(\omega_h)
\]

The state equation is then:

\[
S_h[n+1] = AS_h[n] + \delta[n]
\]

Where \( A \) is a \( 2k \times 2k \) matrix expressed by:

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix}
    \cos(\alpha_h) & -\sin(\alpha_h) & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\
    \sin(\alpha_h) & \cos(\alpha_h) & \cdots & : & : \\
    : & : & \ddots & : & : \\
    0 & 0 & \cdots & \cos(\alpha_h) & -\sin(\alpha_h) \\
    0 & 0 & \cdots & \sin(\alpha_h) & \cos(\alpha_h)
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Finally the estimated amplitude and phase are:

\[
\hat{S}_h[n] = \sqrt{\left(S_{h,\cos}[n]\right)^2 + \left(S_{h,\sin}[n]\right)^2}
\]

\[
\varphi_h[n] = \arctan\left(\frac{S_{h,\sin}[n]}{S_{h,\cos}[n]}\right)
\]

and finally,
\[ \alpha_k[n] = \arctan \left( \frac{S_{h,\text{dc}}[n]}{S_{h,\text{ac}}[n]} \right) - \omega_k \] (16)

Since the principal interest in phasor measurement is to calculate the fundamental component [23], this yields to express the phasor of \( V[n] \) denoted \( \hat{V}[n] \) as fellow:

\[ \hat{V}[n] = \hat{S}[n] \cdot e^{j\phi[n]} \] (17)

### B. Hilbert Transform

Hilbert transform has been extensively applied to estimate the instantaneous amplitude and the instantaneous phase. It is usually more robust against noise. In this section, we are concerned with its application to power system for phasor voltage amplitude and phase estimation.

Let us consider the temporal description of three phase voltages given by (1).

\[ v_i(t) = \sqrt{2} V_i(t) \sin(\phi(t)) \] (18)

The Hilbert transform of the instantaneous voltages \( v_i \) is given by (19) [30]:

\[ HT[V_i(t)] = \frac{1}{\pi t} \cdot v_i(t) = \frac{1}{\pi t} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} V_i(\tau) \cdot \frac{d}{d\tau} \tau d\tau \] (19)

That yields to represent the real function \( v_i(t) \) by its analytical signal \( \hat{S}(t) \) expressed by (20) can be any voltage or current function):

\[ \hat{S}(t) = S_i(t) + j \cdot HT[S_i(t)] \] (20)

\[ \hat{S}(t) = \hat{S}(t) e^{j\phi(t)} \] (21)

Since voltages are sampled, the application of \( HT \) requires the use of Discret Hilbert transform (\( DHT \)) given by:

\[ DHT[S[n]] = TF^{-1} \left( TF \left[ S[n] \right] \right) u[n] \] (22)

where \( TF \{ \} \) and \( TF^{-1} \{ \} \) correspond to the FFT and Inverse FFT, respectively, and \( u(n) \) is defined by (21):

\[ u[n] = \begin{cases} 1, & n = 0, \frac{N}{2} \\ 2, & n = 1, 2, \ldots, \frac{N}{2} - 1 \\ 0, & n = \frac{N}{2} + 1, \ldots, N - 1 \end{cases} \] (23)

Where \( N \) is the data sample number.

Using (21), the instantaneous amplitude and instantaneous phase in discrete formulation are given by:

\[ \hat{S}[n] = \sqrt{(S[n])^2 + (DHT[S[n]])^2} \] (24)

\[ \phi[n] = \arctan \left( \frac{DHT[S[n]]}{S[n]} \right) \] (25)

Finally the frequency deviation can be estimated using the relation:

\[ \Delta f[n] = \frac{F_s}{2\pi} \left( \phi(n) - \phi(n-1) \right) - f_0 \] (26)

Where \( F_s \) corresponds to the sampling frequency and \( f_0 \) the rated frequency (50 Hz for the European grid, 60 Hz for American grid).

### IV. Simulation and Results

To assess the ability of the two approaches for phasor estimation, a simulated system was investigated. The concerned system is depicted in Fig. 6.

Figure 6 case, described in [24], allows steady-state operation of wind Farm and its dynamic response to voltage sag resulting from a remote fault on the 120-kV system.

Figure 7 depicts therefore the three phase voltages \( v_a(t) \), \( v_b(t) \) and \( v_c(t) \) respectively, measured on bus bar D during a voltage drop of 30% in one phase in bus A side. A key aspect in power systems is which parameters should be measured and analyzed in order to get the best characterization.
Figure 7 clearly shows that due to transmission line impedance and transformers impedance, the sag voltage has not the same effect on both sides of the grid. In this context, and to ensure that the grid will have islanding capabilities it is more convenient to track voltages variations in wind turbine side. After applying the proposed approaches to each phase (i.e., \(v_a(t)\), \(v_b(t)\) and \(v_c(t)\)) in their sampled representation, phasors are extracted according to (14, 15) and (24, 25).

Figures (8a, 8b, 8c and 8d) illustrate estimated phasors (amplitude and phase) at given time, and the corresponding symmetrical components. These results agree with the theory of symmetrical components. In order to assess the error of both methods, the instantaneous amplitudes and instantaneous phases of phasors, \(V_a\), \(V_b\) and \(V_c\) are presented in Fig. 9 and Fig. 10 respectively. Errors are calculated assuming that the real frequency is 60 Hz and the real amplitude is one in p.u. Results are reported in Table 1.

![Fig. 8. Phasors representation and the corresponding symmetrical component.](image)

![Fig.9. Amplitude variation of phasors.](image)

![Fig.10. Instantaneous phases and frequency estimation.](image)

![Table 1: Estimation errors](table)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Kalman filter</th>
<th>Hilbert transform</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\max(\Delta \alpha)) (%)</td>
<td>0.0409</td>
<td>0.0632</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\max(\Delta f)) (%)</td>
<td>0.4165</td>
<td>0.2513</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Hence, to characterize the voltage sag, amplitudes of positive, negative, and zero components are depicted in Fig. 11. It shows that both methods can estimate the voltage phasor in order to extract the symmetrical components, and present some drawbacks. Kalman filter requires a knowledge about the fundamental frequency and it needs a convergence time. Since Hilbert transform needs no knowledge about voltage parameters, it requires a large window (more cycles) to estimate phasor parameters and presents the edge effect problem.

![Fig.11. Amplitude variation of symmetrical components.](image)

V. CONCLUSION

This paper dealt with the assessment of signal processing tools, namely the Hilbert transform and the Kalman Filter, for phasor estimation in order to detect voltage sags in a context of smart grids. The performance of both methods has been evaluated for a simulated grid. The achieved results clearly show that Hilbert Transform and linear Kalman filter can be used for voltage sag detection. However, further investigations are required to evaluate their performance of these methods regarding other electrical parameters.
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