



HAL
open science

On isoperimetric inequality in Arakelov geometry

Huayi Chen

► **To cite this version:**

| Huayi Chen. On isoperimetric inequality in Arakelov geometry. 2015. hal-01152356

HAL Id: hal-01152356

<https://hal.science/hal-01152356>

Preprint submitted on 16 May 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

ON ISOPERIMETRIC INEQUALITY IN ARAKELOV GEOMETRY

Huayi Chen

Abstract. — We establish an isoperimetric inequality in an integral form and deduce a strong Brunn-Minkowski inequality in the Arakelov geometry setting.

(MSC2010 classification: 14G40, 11G30)

Contents

1. Introduction.....	1
2. Reminder on adelic divisors.....	6
3. Relative isoperimetric inequality.....	12
4. Relative Brunn-Minkowski inequality.....	16
References.....	18

1. Introduction

The isoperimetric inequality in Euclidean geometry asserts that, for any convex body Δ in \mathbb{R}^d , one has

$$(1) \quad \text{vol}(\partial\Delta)^d \geq d^d \text{vol}(B) \text{vol}(\Delta)^{d-1},$$

where B denotes the closed unit ball in \mathbb{R}^d . From the point of view of convex geometry, the isoperimetric inequality can be deduced from the Brunn-Minkowski inequality: for two Borel subsets A_0 and A_1 in \mathbb{R}^d , one has

$$(2) \quad \text{vol}(A_0 + A_1)^{1/d} \geq \text{vol}(A_0)^{1/d} + \text{vol}(A_1)^{1/d},$$

where

$$A_0 + A_1 := \{x + y \mid x \in A_0, y \in A_1\}$$

is the Minkowski sum of A_0 and A_1 . The proof consists of taking $A_0 = \Delta$ and $A_1 = \varepsilon B$ in (2) with $\varepsilon > 0$ and let ε tend to 0. We refer the readers to [30] for a presentation on the history of the isoperimetric inequality and to the page 1190 of *loc. cit.* for more details on how to deduce (1) from (2). The same method actually leads to a lower bound for the mixed volume of convex bodies:

$$(3) \quad \text{vol}_{d-1,1}(\Delta_0, \Delta_1)^d \geq \text{vol}(\Delta_0)^{d-1} \cdot \text{vol}(\Delta_1),$$

where Δ_0 and Δ_1 are two convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^d and $\text{vol}_{d-1,1}(\Delta_0, \Delta_1)$ is the mixed volume of index $(d-1, 1)$ of them, which is equal to

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\text{vol}(\Delta_0 + \varepsilon \Delta_1) - \text{vol}(\Delta_0)}{\varepsilon d}.$$

We refer the readers to the work of Minkowski [27] for the notion of mixed volumes in convex geometry. See [8, §7.29] for more details.

Note that (3) is one of the inequalities of Alexandrov-Fenchel type for mixed volumes, which is actually equivalent to Brunn-Minkowski inequality (see for example [32, §7.2] for a proof). Note that the above inequalities in convex geometry are similar to some inequalities of intersection numbers in algebraic geometry. By using toric varieties, Teissier [33] and Khovanskii (see [12, §4.27]) have given proofs of Alexandrov-Fenchel inequality by using the Hodge index theorem.

In the arithmetic geometry setting, Bertrand [6, §1.2] has established a lower bound for the height function on an arithmetic variety, and interpreted it as an arithmetic analogue of the isoperimetric inequality. In [13], the author has proposed the notion of positive intersection product in Arakelov geometry and proved an analogue of the isoperimetric inequality in the form of (3), by using the arithmetic Brunn-Minkowski inequality established by Yuan [35].

The purpose of this article is to propose a refinement of the arithmetic isoperimetric inequality and Brunn-Minkowski inequality as follows.

Theorem 1.1. — *Let K be a number field and X be a geometrically integral projective scheme of dimension $d \geq 1$ over $\text{Spec } K$. If \bar{D}_0 and \bar{D}_1 are adelic arithmetic \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisors on X which are nef and big, then one has*

$$(4) \quad (d+1)(\bar{D}_0^d \cdot \bar{D}_1) \geq d \left(\frac{\text{vol}(D_1)}{\text{vol}(D_0)} \right)^{1/d} \widehat{\text{vol}}(\bar{D}_0) + \frac{\text{vol}(D_0)}{\text{vol}(D_1)} \widehat{\text{vol}}(\bar{D}_1).$$

Moreover, if $(\bar{D}_i)_{i=1}^n$ is a family of nef and big adelic arithmetic \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisors, then one has

$$(5) \quad \frac{\widehat{\text{vol}}(\bar{D}_1 + \cdots + \bar{D}_n)}{\text{vol}(D_1 + \cdots + D_n)} \geq \varphi(D_1, \dots, D_n)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\widehat{\text{vol}}(\bar{D}_i)}{\text{vol}(D_i)},$$

where

$$(6) \quad \varphi(D_1, \dots, D_n) := d + 1 - d \frac{\text{vol}(D_1)^{1/d} + \dots + \text{vol}(D_n)^{1/d}}{\text{vol}(D_1 + \dots + D_n)^{1/d}}.$$

Compared to the direct arithmetic analogue of Brunn-Minkowski inequality (see [35, Theorem B]), the inequality (19) distinguishes the contribution of the geometric structure of the \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisors D_1, \dots, D_n . In the above theorem, $\text{vol}(\cdot)$ and $\widehat{\text{vol}}(\cdot)$ denote respectively the volume function of \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisors and the arithmetic volume function of adelic arithmetic \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisors (see [29]). Recall that for an adelic arithmetic \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisor \overline{D} on X one has

$$\text{vol}(D) := \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\dim_K(H^0(X, nD))}{n^d/d!}$$

and

$$\widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D}) := \lim_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log \# \widehat{H}^0(X, n\overline{D})}{n^{d+1}/(d+1)!},$$

where $H^0(X, nD) = \{s \in K(X)^\times : \text{div}(s) + D \geq 0\} \cup \{0\}$, and

$$\widehat{H}^0(X, nD) = \{s \in H^0(X, nD) : \|s\|_{\text{sup}} \leq 1\}$$

If \overline{D} is a nef adelic arithmetic \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisor, then $\text{vol}(D)$ and $\widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D})$ can be expressed as (arithmetic) intersection numbers:

$$\text{vol}(D) = (D^d), \quad \widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D}) = (\overline{D}^{d+1}).$$

In the particular case where $d = 2$ (namely X is an arithmetic surface), the inequality (4) becomes a strong form of the arithmetic Hodge index inequality

$$2(\overline{D}_0 \cdot \overline{D}_1) \geq \frac{\deg(D_1)}{\deg(D_0)} \widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D}_0) + \frac{\deg(D_0)}{\deg(D_1)} \widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D}_1),$$

established in [14, Theorem 6.14], generalizing previous works of Faltings [16] and Hriljac [21]. Similarly to [14], we also use the interpretation of the arithmetic volume of a big adelic arithmetic \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisor \overline{D} as the integral of a concave function on the Okounkov body $\Delta(D)$ of the \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisor D , which is a convex body in \mathbb{R}^d . However the proof of Theorem 1.1 follows a strategy which is different from the way indicated in [14], where the author has introduced for any couple (Δ_1, Δ_2) of convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^d a number $\rho(\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$ (called the correlation index of Δ_1 and Δ_2) which measures the degree of uniformity in the Minkowski sum $\Delta_1 + \Delta_2$ of the sum of two uniform random variables valued in Δ_1 and Δ_2 respectively (for any convex body $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, a Borel probability measure on \mathbb{R}^d is called the *uniform distribution* on Δ if it is absolutely continuous with respect to the Lebesgue measure, and the corresponding Radon-Nikodym density is $1/\text{vol}(\Delta)$, where $\text{vol}(\Delta)$ is the Lebesgue measure of Δ ; a random variable valued in \mathbb{R}^d is called uniformly

distributed in Δ if it follows this measure as its probability law). It has been established the inequality

$$\frac{\widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D}_1 + \overline{D}_2)}{\text{vol}(\Delta(D_1) + \Delta(D_2))} \geq \rho(\Delta(D_1), \Delta(D_2))^{-1} \left(\frac{\widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D}_1)}{\text{vol}(\Delta(D_1))} + \frac{\widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D}_2)}{\text{vol}(\Delta(D_2))} \right)$$

for any couple $(\overline{D}_1, \overline{D}_2)$ of big and nef adelic arithmetic \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisors on X , and been suggested that the estimation of the correlation index $\rho(\Delta(D_1), \Delta(D_2))$ should lead to more concrete inequalities of the form of (19). However, the main point in this approach is to construct a suitable correlation structure between two random variables which are uniformly distributed in $\Delta(D_1)$ and $\Delta(D_2)$ such that the sum of the random variables is as uniform as possible in the Minkowski sum $\Delta(D_1) + \Delta(D_2)$. We can for exemple deduce from a work of Bobkov and Madiman [7] the following uniform upper bound (where we choose independent random variables) (see [14, Proposition 2.9])

$$\rho(\Delta(D_1), \Delta(D_2)) \leq \binom{2d}{d}.$$

This upper bound is larger than $\varphi(D_1, D_2)$, which is clearly bounded from above by $d + 1$ by the classical Brunn-Minkowski inequality.

The strategy of this article is inspired by the works of Knothe [25] and Brenier [10, 11] on measure preserving diffeomorphism between two convex bodies (see also the works of Gromov [19], Alesker, Dar and Milman [2] for more developments of this method and for applications in Alexandrov-Fenchel type inequalities in the convex geometry setting, and the memoire of Barthe [3] for diverse applications of this method in functional inequalities). Given a couple (Δ_0, Δ_1) of convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^d , one can construct a C^1 diffeomorphism $f : \Delta_0 \rightarrow \Delta_1$ which transports the uniform probability measure of Δ_0 to that of Δ_1 , namely the determinant of the Jacobian J_f is constant on the interior of Δ_0 . Such diffeomorphism is not unique: in the construction of Knothe, the Jacobian J_f is upper triangle, while in the construction of Brenier, J_f is symmetric and positive definite.

If Z_0 is a random variable which is uniformly distributed in Δ_0 , then $Z_1 := f(Z_0)$ is uniformly distributed in Δ_1 . One may expect that the random variable $Z_0 + Z_1$ follows a probability law which is close to the uniform probability measure on $\Delta_0 + \Delta_1$. In fact, the random variable $Z_0 + Z_1$ can also be expressed as $Z_0 + f(Z_0)$. Its probability law identifies with the direct image of the uniform probability measure on Δ_0 by the map $\text{Id} + f$, which admits $\text{Id} + J_f$ as its Jacobian, the determinant of which can be estimated in terms of the determinant of J_f . In the case where $\text{Id} + f$ is injective (for example the Knothe map), this lower bound leads to the following upper bound for the

correlation index

$$(7) \quad \rho(\Delta_0, \Delta_1) \leq \frac{\text{vol}(\Delta_0 + \Delta_1)}{(\text{vol}(\Delta_0)^{1/d} + \text{vol}(\Delta_1)^{1/d})^d}.$$

By this method we obtain a weaker version of the inequality (19) in the case where $n = 2$ by replacing $\varphi(D_0, D_1)^{-1}$ by

$$\frac{(\text{vol}(D_0)^{1/d} + \text{vol}(D_1)^{1/d})^d}{\text{vol}(D_0 + D_1)}.$$

This function is in general not bounded when D_0 and D_1 vary.

The main idea of the article is to use an infinitesimal variant of the above argument. Instead of considering the map $\text{Id} + f : \Delta_0 \rightarrow \Delta_0 + \Delta_1$, we consider $\text{Id} + \varepsilon f : \Delta_0 + \varepsilon \Delta_1$ for $\varepsilon > 0$ sufficiently small, and use it to establish an isoperimetric inequality in an integral form (see Theorem 3.1). By this method we obtain the strong form of the arithmetic isoperimetric inequality as in (4) and then deduce the arithmetic relative Brunn-Minkowski inequality (5). Note that this does not signify that we improve the inequality (7) by replacing the right hand side of the inequality by

$$d + 1 - d \frac{\text{vol}(\Delta_0)^{d/1} + \text{vol}(\Delta_1)^{1/d}}{\text{vol}(\Delta_0 + \Delta_1)^{1/d}}.$$

For example, it remains an open question to determine if the correlation index $\rho(\Delta_0, \Delta_1)$ is always bounded from above by $d + 1$.

Finally, I would like to cite several refinements of the Brunn-Minkowski inequality in convex geometry, where the results are also expressed in a relative form similarly to (5), either with respect to an orthogonal projection in a hyperplane [20] or in terms of a comparison between the volume and the mixed volume [17] in the style of Bergstrom's inequality [4]. It is not excluded that the method presented in this article will bring new ideas to the researches in these directions.

The article is organized as follows. In the second section, we recall the notation and basic facts about adelic arithmetic \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisors. In the third section, we prove a relative version of isoperimetric inequality in convex geometry and deduce the arithmetic isoperimetric inequality (4). In the fourth and last section, we proved the relative arithmetic Brunn-Minkowski inequality (5).

Acknowledgements. — I would like to thank Omid Amini, June Huh, Raphaël Rossignol for discussions.

2. Reminder on adelic divisors

Throughout the article, K denotes a field. Let X be a geometrically integral projective scheme over K and d be its Krull dimension.

2.1. \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisors. — In this subsection, we recall some notions and facts about \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisors on a projective variety.

2.1.1. Denote by $\text{Div}(X)$ the group of all Cartier divisors on X and by $\text{Div}^+(X)$ the sub-semigroup of $\text{Div}(X)$ of all effective divisors. Let $\text{Div}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ be the vector space $\text{Div}(X) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$, the elements of which are called \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisors. An \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisor D is said to be *effective* if it belongs to the positive cone generated by effective Cartier divisors on X . By abuse of notation, we still use the expression $D \geq 0$ to denote the effectivity of an \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisor D .

2.1.2. Let D be an \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisor on X . We denote by $H^0(D)$ the set

$$\{f \in K(X)^{\times} \mid (f) + D \geq 0\} \cup \{0\},$$

where $K(X)$ is the field of all rational functions on X , and (f) denotes the principal divisor associated to the rational function f . This is a K -vector subspace of finite rank of $K(X)$. We denote by $h^0(D)$ its rank over K . Recall that the *volume* of D is defined as

$$\text{vol}(D) := \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{h^0(nD)}{n^d/d!}.$$

If $\text{vol}(D) > 0$, then the \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisor D is said to be *big*. The big \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisors form an open cone in $\text{Div}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$, denoted by $\text{Big}_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$.

2.1.3. A Cartier divisor D is said to be *ample* if the associated invertible sheaf $\mathcal{O}(D)$ is ample. An \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisor is said to be ample if it belongs to the open cone $\text{Amp}_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ in $\text{Div}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$ generated by ample Cartier divisors. The closure of the ample cone is denoted by $\text{Nef}_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$. The \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisors which belong to $\text{Nef}_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ are said to be *nef*.

2.1.4. Recall that the function of self-intersection number $D \mapsto (D^d)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of degree d on the vector space $\text{Div}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}$. Its polar form

$$(D_1, \dots, D_d) \in \text{Div}(X)_{\mathbb{R}}^d \longmapsto (D_1 \cdots D_d)$$

is the function of intersection number. Note that the volume of a nef \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisor D coincides with the self-intersection number of D . In particular, the volume function is a homogeneous polynomial of degree d on the nef cone.

2.1.5. Let D be an \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisor on X . We call *linear system* of D any K -vector subspace of $H^0(D)$. We call *graded linear series* of D any \mathbb{N} -graded sub- K -algebra of $V_\bullet(D) := \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H^0(nD)$. If $V_\bullet = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_n$ is a graded linear series of D , its *volume* is defined as

$$\text{vol}(V_\bullet) := \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\dim_K(V_n)}{n^d/d!}.$$

Therefore the volume of the total graded linear series $V_\bullet(D)$ is equal to the volume of the \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisor D .

Following [26, Definition 2.9], we say that a graded linear series V_\bullet of an \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisor D *contains an ample \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisor* if there exists an ample \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisor A such that $V_\bullet(A) \subset V_\bullet$ (see also [14, Remark 3.2] for some equivalent forms of it). This condition implies that the volume of V_\bullet is > 0 .

We assume that X contains at least a regular rational point. By the works of Lazarsfeld and Mustaa [26] and Kaveh and Khovanskii [24, 23], to each graded linear series V_\bullet of some \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisor, which contains an ample \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisor, we can attach a convex body $\Delta(V_\bullet)$ (called the *Newton-Okounkov body* of V_\bullet), upon the choice (which we will fix throughout the article) of a regular rational point of X and a regular sequence in the local ring of the scheme X on this point, such that

$$\text{vol}(\Delta(V_\bullet)) = d! \text{vol}(V_\bullet),$$

where $\text{vol}(\Delta(V_\bullet))$ denotes the Lebesgue measure of the convex body $\Delta(V_\bullet)$. We refer the readers to [26, Theorem 2.13] for more details.

2.1.6. Let V_\bullet and V'_\bullet be two graded linear series of two \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisors D and D' respectively. Let W_\bullet be a graded linear series of $D + D'$ such that

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \{fg \mid f \in V_n, g \in V'_n\} \subset W_n.$$

Assume that the graded linear series V_\bullet and V'_\bullet contain ample \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisors, then also is the graded linear series W_\bullet . Moreover, one has

$$\Delta(V_\bullet) + \Delta(V'_\bullet) \subset \Delta(W_\bullet).$$

Therefore the Brunn-Minkowski theorem (in classical convex geometry setting) leads to

$$(8) \quad \text{vol}(W_\bullet)^{1/d} \geq \text{vol}(V_\bullet)^{1/d} + \text{vol}(V'_\bullet)^{1/d}.$$

2.2. Adelic \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisors. — In this subsection, we recall some notions and facts about adelic \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisors. The references are [18, 29]. We assume that K is a number field. Let M_K be the set of all places of K . For any place $v \in M_K$, let $|\cdot|_v$ be the absolute value on K in the equivalence class v which extends either the usual absolute value on \mathbb{Q} or some p -adic absolute value (such that $|p|_v = p^{-1}$), where p is a prime number. Denote by K_v the

completion of the field K with respect to the topology corresponding to the place v , on which the absolute value $|\cdot|_v$ extends in a unique way.

2.2.1. Let X be a geometrically integral K -scheme. For any $v \in M_K$, let X_v^{an} be the Berkovich analytic space associated to the K_v -scheme $X_v := X \otimes_K K_v$. As a set, it can be realized as the colimit of the functor from the category of all valued extensions of K_v (namely fields extensions of K_v equipped with absolute values extending $|\cdot|_v$) to that of sets, which sends any valued extension K'_v/K_v to the set of all K'_v -points of X_v valued in K'_v . We denote by $j_v : X_v^{\text{an}} \rightarrow X_v$ the map which sends any element $x \in X_v^{\text{an}}$ to its underlying point in X_v . The most coarse topology on X_v^{an} which makes the map j_v continuous is called the *Zariski topology* on X_v^{an} .

Berkovich [5] defines another topology on X_v^{an} which is finer than the Zariski topology. If U is a Zariski open subset of X_v and f is a regular function on U , then for each point $x \in U^{\text{an}} := j_v^{-1}(U)$, the regular function f defines by reduction an element $f(x)$ in the residue field of $j_v(x)$. Note that, by the construction of the Berkovich analytic space X_v^{an} , this residue field is equipped with an absolute value (depending on x) which extends $|\cdot|_v$. We denote by $|f|_v(x)$ the absolute value of $f(x)$. Thus we obtain a real-valued function $|f|_v$ on $j_v^{-1}(U)$. The *Berkovich topology* is then defined as the most coarse topology which makes continuous the map j_v and all functions of the form $|f|_v$ (where f is a regular function on some Zariski open subset of X_v). The set X_v^{an} equipped with the Berkovich topology is separated and compact.

2.2.2. Let v be a place of K . We denote by $\mathcal{C}_{X_v^{\text{an}}}^0$ the sheaf of continuous real-valued functions on the topological space X_v^{an} (equipped with the Berkovich topology). For any Berkovich open subset V of X_v^{an} , denote by $C^0(V)$ the set of all sections of $\mathcal{C}_{X_v^{\text{an}}}^0$ over V . It is a vector space over \mathbb{R} . Let $\widehat{C}^0(X_v^{\text{an}})$ be the colimit of the vector spaces $C^0(U^{\text{an}})$, where U runs over the (filtered) ordered set of all non-empty Zariski open subsets of X_v . Note that any non-empty Zariski open subset of X_v^{an} is dense in X_v^{an} for the Berkovich topology (see [5, Proposition 3.4.5]). Therefore, for any non-empty Zariski open subset U of X_v , the natural map $C^0(U^{\text{an}}) \rightarrow \widehat{C}^0(X_v^{\text{an}})$ is injective. If an element in $\widehat{C}^0(X_v^{\text{an}})$ belongs to the image of this map, we say that it *extends* to a continuous function on U^{an} .

If f is a rational function on X_v^{an} , then it identifies with a regular function on some non-empty Zariski open subset U of X_v . Therefore the function $|f|_v$ determines an element in $\widehat{C}^0(X_v^{\text{an}})$. If f is non-zero, by possibly shrinking the Zariski open set U , we may assume that $f(x) \neq 0$ for any $x \in U$. Therefore the continuous function $\log |f|_v$ on U^{an} also determines an element $\widehat{C}^0(X_v^{\text{an}})$, which we still denote by $\log |f|_v$ by abuse of notation. Thus we obtain an additive map from $K(X_v)^\times$ (where $K(X_v)$ denotes the field of all rational

functions on X_v) to $\widehat{C}^0(X_v)$, which induces an \mathbb{R} -linear homomorphism from $K(X_v)_{\mathbb{R}}^{\times} := K(X_v)^{\times} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ to $\widehat{C}^0(X_v^{\text{an}})$.

2.2.3. Let D be an \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisor on X . For any $v \in M_K$, it induces by extension of fields an \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisor D_v on X_v . We say that an element $f \in K(X_v)_{\mathbb{R}}^{\times}$ defines D_v locally on a Zariski open subset U of X_v if one can write D_v as

$$\lambda_1 D_1 + \cdots + \lambda_n D_n,$$

where D_1, \dots, D_n are Cartier divisors on X_v , and there exist elements f_1, \dots, f_n of $K(X_v)^{\times}$ such that f_i defines D_i on U and that $f = f_1^{\lambda_1} \cdots f_n^{\lambda_n}$. We call *v-Green function* of D any element $g_v \in \widehat{C}^0(X_v^{\text{an}})$ such that, for any element $f \in K(X_v)_{\mathbb{R}}^{\times}$ which defines D_v locally on a Zariski open subset U , the element $g_v + \log |f|_v$ extends to a continuous function on U^{an} . Note that for each element $s \in H^0(D)$, the element $|s|_v e^{-g_v} \in \widehat{C}^0(X_v)$ extends to a continuous function on X_v^{an} (see [29, Proposition 2.1.3], see also [14, Remark 4.2]). Attention, our choice of normalization for the Green function is different from that in [29]. Moreover, the map

$$s \longmapsto \|s\|_{g_v, \text{sup}} := \sup_{x \in X_v^{\text{an}}} |s|_v(x) e^{-g_v(x)}$$

is a norm on $H^0(D)$, which extends naturally to a norm on $H^0(D) \otimes_K K_v$.

2.2.4. In the case where v is a non-archimedean place of K , a typical example of *v-Green function* is that arising from an integral model. Let D be an \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisor on X . An *integral model* of (X, D) consists of a projective and flat \mathcal{O}_K -scheme \mathcal{X} such that $\mathcal{X}_K = X$, and an \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisor \mathcal{D} on \mathcal{X} such that $\mathcal{D}|_X = D$, where \mathcal{O}_K denotes the ring of all algebraic integers in K .

Let x be a point in X_v^{an} and $\kappa(x)$ be the residue field of $j_v(x)$. Then $\kappa(x)$ is naturally equipped with an absolute value which extends the absolute value $|\cdot|_v$ on K_v . Let $\kappa(x)^{\circ}$ be the valuation ring of $\kappa(x)$. Then the valuative criterion of properness leads to a unique morphism $\text{Spec } \kappa(x)^{\circ} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ which extends the K -morphism $\text{Spec } \kappa(x) \rightarrow X$ determined by the point x . In the case where $j_v(x)$ is outside of $\text{Supp}(D_v)$, the pull-back of \mathcal{D} by the morphism $\text{Spec } \kappa(x)^{\circ} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$ is well defined, and is proportional to the divisor on $\text{Spec } \kappa(x)^{\circ}$ corresponding to the closed point of $\text{Spec } \kappa(x)^{\circ}$. We denote by $g_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}), v}(x)$ this ratio. Note that the element in $\widehat{C}^0(X_v^{\text{an}})$ determined by the map $g_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}), v}$ is a *v-Green function* of D (see [29, Proposition 2.1.4]), called the *v-Green function* associated to the integral model $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$.

2.2.5. By *adelic \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisor* on X , we refer to any data \overline{D} of the form $(D, (g_v)_{v \in M_K})$, where D is an \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisor on X and each g_v is a *v-Green function* of D . We also require that there exists an integral model $(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D})$ of (X, D) such that $g_v = g_{(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{D}), v}$ for all but a finite number of $v \in M_K$.

If $\overline{D}_1 = (D_1, (g_{1,v})_{v \in M_K})$ and $\overline{D}_2 = (D_2, (g_{2,v})_{v \in M_K})$ are two adelic \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisors, λ and μ are two real numbers, then

$$\lambda \overline{D}_1 + \mu \overline{D}_2 := (\lambda D_1 + \mu D_2, (\lambda g_{1,v} + \mu g_{2,v})_{v \in M_K})$$

is an adelic \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisor. Therefore the set $\widehat{\text{Div}}_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ of all adelic \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisors forms a vector space over \mathbb{R} .

If \overline{D} is an adelic \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisor on X , the set

$$\widehat{H}^0(\overline{D}) := \{s \in H^0(D) : \forall v \in M_K, \|s\|_{g_v, \text{sup}} \leq 1\}$$

is finite (see §2.2.3 for the definition of $\|\cdot\|_{g_v, \text{sup}}$). The *arithmetic volume* of \overline{D} is defined as (see [28] and [29, §4.3])

$$(9) \quad \widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D}) := \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\log \#\widehat{H}^0(n\overline{D})}{n^{d+1}/(d+1)!}.$$

The adelic \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisor \overline{D} is said to be *big* if $\widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D}) > 0$. We denote by $\widehat{\text{Big}}_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ the cone of all big adelic \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisors. It is an open cone in $\widehat{\text{Div}}_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$.

2.2.6. Recall that an *adelic vector bundle* on $\text{Spec } K$ is defined as any data of the form $\overline{E} = (E, (\|\cdot\|_v)_{v \in M_K})$, where E is a vector space of finite rank over K , and for any $v \in M_K$, $\|\cdot\|_v$ is a norm on $E \otimes_K K_v$, which is ultrametric if v is non-archimedean. We also require that, for all but a finite number of places $v \in M_K$, the norm $\|\cdot\|_v$ arises from a common integral model of E , or equivalently, there exists a basis $(e_i)_{i=1}^r$ of E over K such that, for all but a finite number of $v \in M_K$, one has

$$\forall (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_r) \in K_v^r, \|\lambda_1 e_1 + \dots + \lambda_r e_r\|_v = \max(|\lambda_1|_v, \dots, |\lambda_r|_v).$$

We refer the readers to [18, §3] for more details. If $\overline{D} = (D, (g_v)_{v \in M_K})$ is an adelic \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisor on X , then

$$\overline{H^0(D)} := (H^0(D), (\|\cdot\|_{g_v})_{v \in M_K})$$

is an adelic vector bundle on $\text{Spec } K$ (see [14, Corollary 5.14]).

A variant of the arithmetic volume function has been introduced by Yuan [34] (see also [29, §4.3]), where he replaces $\log \#\widehat{H}^0(n\overline{D})$ in the formula (9) by the Euler-Poincaré characteristic of $\overline{H^0(nD)}$:

$$(10) \quad \widehat{\text{vol}}_{\chi}(\overline{D}) := \limsup_{n \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{\chi(\overline{H^0(nD)})}{n^{d+1}/(d+1)!}.$$

This function is called the χ -*volume* function.

2.2.7. We assume that the K -scheme X admits at least a regular rational point so that the theory of Newton-Okounkov bodies can apply (see §2.1.5). Let \overline{D} be an adelic \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisor on X such that D is big. Then the family

$$V_{\bullet}(\overline{D}) := (\overline{H^0(nD)})_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

forms an adelically normed graded linear series in the sense of [9]. By using the filtration by minima, we have constructed a concave and upper semicontinuous function $G_{\overline{D}}$ on $\Delta(D)$ such that

$$(11) \quad (d+1)! \widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D}) = \int_{\Delta(D)} \max(G_{\overline{D}}(x), 0) dx,$$

and

$$(12) \quad (d+1)! \widehat{\text{vol}}_{\chi}(\overline{D}) = \int_{\Delta(D)} G_{\overline{D}}(x) dx.$$

This function is positively homogeneous in the following sense: for any $\overline{D} \in \widehat{\text{Big}}_{\mathbb{R}}(X)$ and any $\lambda > 0$ one has

$$\forall x \in \Delta(D), G_{\lambda \overline{D}}(\lambda x) = \lambda G_{\overline{D}}(x).$$

Moreover, if \overline{D}_1 and \overline{D}_2 are two adelic \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisors on X , then for any $(x, y) \in \Delta(D_1) \times \Delta(D_2)$ one has

$$G_{\overline{D}_1 + \overline{D}_2}(x + y) \geq G_{\overline{D}_1}(x) + G_{\overline{D}_2}(y).$$

We refer the readers to [9, §2.4] for more details, see also [14, §3.6 and §6.2] for the super-additivity of the filtration by minima.

2.2.8. The arithmetic volume function is differentiable on the cone of big adelic \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisors. More precisely, if \overline{D} and \overline{E} are adelic \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisors on X , where \overline{D} is big, then the limit

$$\langle \overline{D}^d \rangle \cdot \overline{E} := \lim_{t \rightarrow 0} \frac{\widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D} + t\overline{E}) - \widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D})}{(d+1)t}$$

exists in \mathbb{R} , and defines a linear form on $\overline{E} \in \widehat{\text{Div}}(X)$. This result has firstly been proved in the case where D and E are Cartier divisors (cf. [13]), and then be extended to the general case of adelic \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisors by Ikoma [22] (the normality hypothesis on the arithmetic variety in the differentiability theorem in *loc. cit.* is not necessary since the arithmetic volume function is invariant by pull-back to a birational modification).

2.2.9. Let $\overline{D} = (D, (g_v)_{v \in M_K})$ be an adelic \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisor on X . We say that \overline{D} is *relatively nef* if the \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisor D is nef and all v -Green functions g_v are plurisubharmonic. In the case where v is non-archimedean, the plurisubharmonicity of g_v signifies that the Green function g_v is a uniform limit of v -Green functions of D arising from relatively nef integral models. We refer the readers to [29, §§2.1-2.2, §4.4] for more details.

The arithmetic intersection number has been defined in [29, §4.5] for relatively nef adelic \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisors. It is a $(d+1)$ -linear form on the cone of such adelic \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisors. If $\overline{D}_0, \dots, \overline{D}_d$ is a family of relatively nef adelic \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisors, we use the expression $\overline{D}_0 \cdots \overline{D}_d$ to denote the intersection number of the adelic \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisors $\overline{D}_0, \dots, \overline{D}_d$.

If \overline{D} is a relatively nef adelic \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisor, one can identify the arithmetic self-intersection number $\overline{D}^{(d+1)}$ with the χ -volume function. This follows from the arithmetic Hilbert-Samuel theorem [1, 31] and the continuity of the arithmetic intersection number on the relatively nef cone. In particular, we deduce from (12) that, if \overline{D} is an adelic \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisor which is relatively nef, then one has

$$(13) \quad (d+1)! (\overline{D}^{d+1}) = \int_{\Delta(D)} G_{\overline{D}}(x) dx.$$

2.2.10. Given an adelic \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisor \overline{D} on X , one can define a height function $h_{\overline{D}}$ on the set of all closed points of X . In particular, when x is a closed point of X which does not lie in the support of D , the height $h_{\overline{D}}(x)$ is the Arakelov degree of the restriction of \overline{D} on x . The adelic \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisor \overline{D} is said to be *nef* if it is relatively nef and if the height function $h_{\overline{D}}$ is non-negative (see [29, §4.4]). If \overline{D} is nef, one has (see [22, Proposition 3.11])

$$(14) \quad \langle \overline{D}^d \rangle \cdot \overline{D} = (\overline{D}^{(d+1)}) = \widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D}).$$

The comparison between (11) and (13) shows that, if \overline{D} is nef, then the function $G_{\overline{D}}$ is non-negative almost everywhere on $\Delta(D)$, and hence is non-negative since it is upper semicontinuous.

3. Relative isoperimetric inequality

The purpose of this section is to establish an integral form of isoperimetric inequality and apply it to the study of the arithmetic volume function. Throughout the section, we fix an integer $d \geq 1$.

3.1. Integral isoperimetric inequality. — Let Δ_0 and Δ_1 be two convex bodies in \mathbb{R}^d . For any $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$, let S_ε be the Minkowski sum

$$\Delta_0 + \varepsilon \Delta_1 := \{x + \varepsilon y : x \in \Delta_0, y \in \Delta_1\}.$$

It is also a convex body in \mathbb{R}^d .

Theorem 3.1. — *Let G_0 and G_1 be two Borel functions on Δ_1 and Δ_2 respectively. We assume that they are integrable with respect to the Lebesgue measure. Suppose given, for any $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$, a non-negative function H_ε on S_ε such that*

$$(15) \quad \forall (x, y) \in \Delta_0 \times \Delta_1, H_\varepsilon(x + \varepsilon y) \geq G_0(x) + \varepsilon G_1(y).$$

Then the following inequality holds

$$(16) \quad \liminf_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\int_{S_\varepsilon} H_\varepsilon(z) dz - \int_{\Delta_0} G_0(x) dx}{\varepsilon} \geq d \left(\frac{\text{vol}(\Delta_1)}{\text{vol}(\Delta_0)} \right)^{1/d} \int_{\Delta_0} G_0(x) dx + \frac{\text{vol}(\Delta_0)}{\text{vol}(\Delta_1)} \int_{\Delta_1} G_1(y) dy.$$

Proof. — The key point of the proof is to choose a suitable map $f : \Delta_0 \rightarrow \Delta_1$ as an auxiliary tool to relate Δ_0 , Δ_1 and S_ε . We consider the Knothe map $f : \Delta_0 \rightarrow \Delta_1$ which is a homeomorphism and is of class C^1 on Δ_0° , whose Jacobian Df is upper triangle with a positive diagonal everywhere on Δ_0° , and such that $\det(Df)$ is constant (and which is equal to $\text{vol}(\Delta_1)/\text{vol}(\Delta_0)$). We refer the readers to [25] and [3, §2.2.1] for details on the construction of this map. We just point out that we can write the map f in the form

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_d) = (f_1(x_1), f_2(x_1, x_2), \dots, f_d(x_1, \dots, x_d)),$$

where for each $k \in \{1, \dots, d\}$, f_k is a function from \mathbb{R}^k to \mathbb{R} which is increasing in the variable x_k when other coordinates (x_1, \dots, x_{k-1}) are fixed. Moreover, this monotonicity is strict on the interval of all points $x_k \in \mathbb{R}$ such that (x_1, \dots, x_k) lies in the projection of Δ_0 by taking the first k coordinates.

For any $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$, let $F_\varepsilon := \text{Id} + \varepsilon f : \Delta_0 \rightarrow S_\varepsilon$ which sends $x \in \Delta_0$ to $x + \varepsilon f(x)$. Note that the map F_ε has the same monotonicity property as f . In particular, the map F_ε is injective. Therefore one has

$$\int_{S_\varepsilon} H_\varepsilon(z) dz \geq \int_{F_\varepsilon(\Delta_0)} H_\varepsilon(z) dz = \int_{\Delta_0^\circ} H_\varepsilon(F_\varepsilon(x)) |\det(DF_\varepsilon)(x)| dx.$$

Note that one has $DF_\varepsilon = \text{Id} + \varepsilon Df$ on Δ_0° . Since Df is upper triangle with a positive diagonal, one has

$$|\det(DF_\varepsilon)| = \det(\text{Id} + \varepsilon Df) \geq \left(1 + \varepsilon \left(\frac{\text{vol}(\Delta_1)}{\text{vol}(\Delta_0)} \right)^{1/d} \right)^d.$$

Hence we obtain

$$\int_{S_\varepsilon} H_\varepsilon(z) dz \geq \left(1 + \varepsilon \left(\frac{\text{vol}(\Delta_1)}{\text{vol}(\Delta_0)} \right)^{1/d} \right)^d \int_{\Delta_0} H_\varepsilon(F_\varepsilon(x)) dx.$$

Now by the super-additivity assumption (15), one has

$$H_\varepsilon(F_\varepsilon(x)) = H_\varepsilon(x + \varepsilon f(x)) \geq G_0(x) + \varepsilon G_1(f(x)).$$

Therefore

$$\int_{S_\varepsilon} H_\varepsilon(z) dz \geq \left(1 + \varepsilon \left(\frac{\text{vol}(\Delta_1)}{\text{vol}(\Delta_0)}\right)^{1/d}\right)^d \left(\int_{\Delta_0} G_0(x) dx + \varepsilon \int_{\Delta_0} G_1(f(x)) dx\right).$$

Since f is a homeomorphism between Δ_0 and Δ_1 , and $\det(Df) = \text{vol}(\Delta_1)/\text{vol}(\Delta_0)$ is constant, one has

$$\int_{\Delta_0} G_1(f(x)) dx = \frac{\text{vol}(\Delta_0)}{\text{vol}(\Delta_1)} \int_{\Delta_1} G_1(y) dy.$$

Combining with the above inequality, we obtain that

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left(\int_{S_\varepsilon} H_\varepsilon(z) dz - \int_{\Delta_0} G_0(x) dx \right)$$

is bounded from below by

$$\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \left[\left(\text{vol}(\Delta_0)^{\frac{1}{d}} + \varepsilon \text{vol}(\Delta_1)^{\frac{1}{d}} \right)^d \left(\frac{\int_{\Delta_0} G_0(x) dx}{\text{vol}(\Delta_0)} + \varepsilon \frac{\int_{\Delta_1} G_1(y) dy}{\text{vol}(\Delta_1)} \right) - \int_{\Delta_0} G_0(x) dx \right].$$

By taking the inf limit when ε tends to $0+$, we obtain the lower bound as announced in the theorem. \square

Remark 3.2. — The inequality (16) can be considered as a natural generalization of the classical isoperimetric inequality. In fact, if we take G_0 and G_1 to be the constant function of value 1 on Δ_0 and Δ_1 respectively, and let $H_\varepsilon(z) = 1 + \varepsilon$ for any $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$ and any $z \in S_\varepsilon$. Then these functions verify the conditions of Theorem 3.1. Moreover, one has

$$\int_{S_\varepsilon} H_\varepsilon(z) dz = (1 + \varepsilon) \text{vol}(S_\varepsilon).$$

Hence

$$\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0+} \frac{\int_{S_\varepsilon} H_\varepsilon(z) dz - \int_{\Delta_0} G_0(x) dx}{\varepsilon} = d \text{vol}_{d-1,1}(\Delta_0, \Delta_1) + \text{vol}(\Delta_0),$$

where $\text{vol}_{d-1,1}(\Delta_0, \Delta_1)$ is the mixed volume of index $(d-1, 1)$ of Δ_0 and Δ_1 . Therefore the inequality (16) leads to

$$\text{vol}_{d-1,1}(\Delta_0, \Delta_1) \geq \text{vol}(\Delta_0)^{(d-1)/d} \cdot \text{vol}(\Delta_1)^{1/d},$$

which is the isoperimetric inequality in convex geometry.

3.2. Strong arithmetic isoperimetric inequality. — Let K be a number field and X be an geometrically integral projective scheme of Krull dimension $d \geq 1$ over $\text{Spec } K$. The purpose of this subsection is to establish the following theorem.

Theorem 3.3. — *Let \overline{D}_0 and \overline{D}_1 be two adelic \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisors on X which are nef and such that D_0 and D_1 are big. Then one has*

$$(17) \quad (d+1)\overline{D}_0^d \cdot \overline{D}_1 \geq d \left(\frac{\text{vol}(D_1)}{\text{vol}(D_0)} \right)^{1/d} \widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D}_0) + \left(\frac{\text{vol}(D_0)}{\text{vol}(D_1)} \right) \widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D}_1)$$

Proof. — The two sides of the inequality are invariant by any birational modification. Hence we may assume without loss of generality that the scheme X contains at least a regular rational point and hence can apply the method of Newton-Okounkov bodies and concave transform resumed in §2.1.5 and §2.2.7. For each $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$, let \overline{E}_ε be the adelic \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisor $\overline{D}_0 + \varepsilon \overline{D}_1$ and $\Delta(E_\varepsilon)$ be the Newton-Okounkov body of E_ε . Recall that one has

$$\Delta(E_\varepsilon) \supset S_\varepsilon := \Delta(D_0) + \varepsilon \Delta(D_1).$$

One can construct, for any $\varepsilon \in [0, 1]$ a non-negative concave function $G_{\overline{E}_\varepsilon}$ on $\Delta(E_\varepsilon)$, such that (see §§2.2.7 – 2.2.10)

$$(d+1)! \widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{E}_\varepsilon) = \int_{\Delta(E_\varepsilon)} G_{\overline{E}_\varepsilon}(z) dz \geq \int_{S_\varepsilon} G_{\overline{E}_\varepsilon}(z) dz.$$

Moreover, for any $x \in \Delta(D_0)$ and any $y \in \Delta(D_1)$ one has

$$G_{\overline{E}_\varepsilon}(x + \varepsilon y) \geq G_{\overline{D}_0}(x) + \varepsilon G_{\overline{D}_1}(y).$$

Therefore Theorem 3.1 leads to

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \frac{\widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{E}_\varepsilon) - \widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D}_0)}{\varepsilon} &= (d+1)(\overline{D}_0^d \cdot \overline{D}_1) \\ &\geq d \left(\frac{\text{vol}(D_1)}{\text{vol}(D_0)} \right)^{1/d} \widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D}_0) + \frac{\text{vol}(D_0)}{\text{vol}(D_1)} \widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D}_1), \end{aligned}$$

as claimed in the theorem. \square

By the inequality between arithmetical and geometric means, we deduce from Theorem 3.3 an arithmetic isoperimetric inequality in a similar form to the classical one.

Corollary 3.4. — *With the notation and the hypotheses of the previous theorem, one has*

$$(18) \quad (\overline{D}_0^d \cdot \overline{D}_1) \geq \widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D}_0)^{d/(d+1)} \cdot \widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D}_1)^{1/(d+1)}.$$

The comparison between the inequalities (17) and (18) shows that, Theorem 3.3 can be considered as a refinement of the isoperimetric inequality where we take into account the information of X relatively to the arithmetic curve $\text{Spec } K$. The same method can also be applied to the functional setting, which leads to the following relative form of the isoperimetric inequality in algebraic geometry. We refer the readers to [15, §8] for the construction of the concave transform in the function field setting.

Theorem 3.5. — *Let k be a field, C be a regular projective curve over $\text{Spec } k$, and $\pi : X \rightarrow C$ be a flat and projective k -morphism of relative dimension $d \geq 1$. If L and M are two nef and big line bundles on X , then one has*

$$(d+1)(c_1(L)^d \cdot c_1(M)) \geq d \left(\frac{c_1(M_\eta)^d}{c_1(L_\eta)^d} \right)^{1/d} c_1(L)^{d+1} + \left(\frac{c_1(L_\eta)^d}{c_1(M_\eta)^d} \right) c_1(M)^{d+1},$$

where η is the generic point of C , and L_η and M_η are respectively the restrictions of L and M on the generic fiber of π .

4. Relative Brunn-Minkowski inequality

The purpose of this section is to establish the following relative form of Brunn-Minkowski inequality in the arithmetic geometry setting.

Theorem 4.1. — *Let K be a number field and X be a geometrically integral projective scheme over $\text{Spec } K$. If $\bar{D}_1, \dots, \bar{D}_n$ are nef adelic \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisors on X such that D_1, \dots, D_n are big, then one has*

$$(19) \quad \frac{\widehat{\text{vol}}(\bar{D}_1 + \dots + \bar{D}_n)}{\text{vol}(D_1 + \dots + D_n)} \geq \varphi(D_1, \dots, D_n)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\widehat{\text{vol}}(\bar{D}_i)}{\text{vol}(D_i)},$$

where

$$(20) \quad \varphi(D_1, \dots, D_n) := d + 1 - d \frac{\text{vol}(D_1)^{1/d} + \dots + \text{vol}(D_n)^{1/d}}{\text{vol}(D_1 + \dots + D_n)^{1/d}}.$$

Proof. — Since $\bar{D}_1, \dots, \bar{D}_n$ are nef, one has

$$\widehat{\text{vol}}(\bar{D}_1 + \dots + \bar{D}_n) = (\bar{D}_1 + \dots + \bar{D}_n)^{d+1} = \sum_{i=1}^n (\bar{D}_1 + \dots + \bar{D}_n)^d \cdot \bar{D}_i.$$

By Theorem 3.3, one has

$$(d+1)((\bar{D}_1 + \dots + \bar{D}_n) \cdot \bar{D}_i) \geq d \left(\frac{\text{vol}(D_i)}{\text{vol}(D_1 + \dots + D_n)} \right)^{1/d} \widehat{\text{vol}}(\bar{D}_1 + \dots + \bar{D}_n) + \left(\frac{\text{vol}(D_1 + \dots + D_n)}{\text{vol}(D_i)} \right) \widehat{\text{vol}}(\bar{D}_i).$$

Therefore we obtain

$$(d+1)\widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D}_1 + \cdots + \overline{D}_n) \geq d \frac{\text{vol}(D_1)^{1/d} + \cdots + \text{vol}(D_n)^{1/d}}{\text{vol}(D_1 + \cdots + D_n)^{1/d}} \widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D}_1 + \cdots + \overline{D}_n) \\ + \text{vol}(D_1 + \cdots + D_n) \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D}_i)}{\text{vol}(D_i)},$$

which leads to (19). \square

By using the same argument, we deduce from Theorem 3.5 the following relative Brunn-Minkowski inequality in the algebraic geometry setting.

Theorem 4.2. — *Let k be a field, C be a regular projective curve over $\text{Spec } k$, and $\pi : X \rightarrow C$ be a flat and projective k -morphism of relative dimension $d \geq 1$. If L_1, \dots, L_n is a family of nef and big line bundles on X , then one has*

$$\frac{\text{vol}(L_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes L_n)}{\text{vol}(L_{1,\eta} \otimes \cdots \otimes L_{n,\eta})} \geq \varphi(L_{1,\eta}, \dots, L_{n,\eta})^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\text{vol}(L_i)}{\text{vol}(L_{i,\eta})},$$

where η is the generic point of C , $L_{i,\eta}$ is the restrictions of L_i on the generic fiber of π , and

$$\varphi(L_{1,\eta}, \dots, L_{n,\eta}) := d + 1 - d \frac{\text{vol}(L_{1,\eta})^{1/d} + \cdots + \text{vol}(L_{n,\eta})^{1/d}}{\text{vol}(L_{1,\eta} \otimes \cdots \otimes L_{n,\eta})^{1/d}}.$$

Remark 4.3. — The infinitesimal argument in Theorem 3.3 is a key step for the strong Brunn-Minkowski inequality (19). In fact, if we apply directly the map of Knothe as in the proof of Theorem 3.1 with $\varepsilon = 1$, we obtain that, for nef adelic \mathbb{R} -Cartier divisors \overline{D}_1 and \overline{D}_2 such that D_1 and D_2 are big, one has

$$\widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D}_1 + \overline{D}_2) \geq \left(1 + \left(\frac{\text{vol}(D_2)}{\text{vol}(D_1)}\right)^{1/d}\right)^d \left(\widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D}_1) + \frac{\text{vol}(D_1)}{\text{vol}(D_2)} \widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D}_2)\right),$$

which leads to

$$\frac{\widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D}_1 + \overline{D}_2)}{\text{vol}(D_1 + D_2)} \geq \frac{(\text{vol}(D_1)^{1/d} + \text{vol}(D_2)^{1/d})^d}{\text{vol}(D_1 + D_2)} \left(\frac{\widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D}_1)}{\text{vol}(D_1)} + \frac{\widehat{\text{vol}}(\overline{D}_2)}{\text{vol}(D_2)}\right).$$

However, one has

$$\varphi(D_1, D_2) \leq \frac{\text{vol}(D_1 + D_2)}{(\text{vol}(D_1)^{1/d} + \text{vol}(D_2)^{1/d})^d},$$

and the inequality is in general strict.

References

- [1] A. ABBES & T. BOUCHE – “Théorème de Hilbert-Samuel “arithmétique””, *Université de Grenoble. Annales de l’Institut Fourier* **45** (1995), no. 2, p. 375–401.
- [2] S. ALESKER, S. DAR & V. MILMAN – “A remarkable measure preserving diffeomorphism between two convex bodies in \mathbf{R}^n ”, *Geometriae Dedicata* **74** (1999), no. 2, p. 201–212.
- [3] F. BARTHE – “Autour de l’inégalité de Brunn-Minkowski”, *Annales de la Faculté des Sciences de Toulouse. Mathématiques. Série 6* **12** (2003), no. 2, p. 127–178.
- [4] H. BERGSTRÖM – “A triangle-inequality for matrices”, in *Den 11te Skandinaviske Matematikerkongress, Trondheim, 1949*, Johan Grundt Tanums Forlag, Oslo, 1952, p. 264–267.
- [5] V. G. BERKOVICH – *Spectral theory and analytic geometry over non-Archimedean fields*, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 33, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1990.
- [6] D. BERTRAND – “Minimal heights and polarizations on group varieties”, *Duke Mathematical Journal* **80** (1995), no. 1, p. 223–250.
- [7] S. BOBKOV & M. MADIMAN – “Reverse Brunn-Minkowski and reverse entropy power inequalities for convex measures”, *Journal of Functional Analysis* **262** (2012), no. 7, p. 3309–3339.
- [8] T. BONNESEN & W. FENCHEL – *Theorie der konvexen Körper*, Chelsea Publishing Co., Bronx, N.Y., 1971, Reissue of the 1948 reprint of the 1934 original.
- [9] S. BOUCKSOM & H. CHEN – “Okounkov bodies of filtered linear series”, *Compositio Mathematica* **147** (2011), no. 4, p. 1205–1229.
- [10] Y. BRENIER – “Décomposition polaire et réarrangement monotone des champs de vecteurs”, *Comptes Rendus des Séances de l’Académie des Sciences. Série I. Mathématique* **305** (1987), no. 19, p. 805–808.
- [11] ———, “Polar factorization and monotone rearrangement of vector-valued functions”, *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics* **44** (1991), no. 4, p. 375–417.
- [12] Y. D. BURAGO & V. A. ZALGALLER – *Geometric inequalities*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, vol. 285, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1988, Translated from the Russian by A. B. Sosinskii, Springer Series in Soviet Mathematics.
- [13] H. CHEN – “Differentiability of the arithmetic volume function”, *Journal of the London Mathematical Society. Second Series* **84** (2011), no. 2, p. 365–384.
- [14] ———, “Inégalité d’indice de Hodge en géométrie et arithmétique : une approche probabiliste”, preprint, 2015.
- [15] ———, “Majorations explicites des fonctions de Hilbert–Samuel géométrique et arithmétique”, *Mathematische Zeitschrift* **279** (2015), no. 1-2, p. 99–137.
- [16] G. FALTINGS – “Calculus on arithmetic surfaces”, *Annals of Mathematics. Second Series* **119** (1984), no. 2, p. 387–424.

- [17] M. FRADELIZI, A. GIANNOPOULOS & M. MEYER – “Some inequalities about mixed volumes”, *Israel Journal of Mathematics* **135** (2003), p. 157–179.
- [18] É. GAUDRON – “Pentes de fibrés vectoriels adéliques sur un corps globale”, *Rendiconti del Seminario Matematico della Università di Padova* **119** (2008), p. 21–95.
- [19] M. GROMOV – “Convex sets and Kähler manifolds”, in *Advances in differential geometry and topology*, World Sci. Publ., Teaneck, NJ, 1990, p. 1–38.
- [20] M. A. HERNÁNDEZ CIFRE & J. YEPES NICOLÁS – “Refinements of the Brunn-Minkowski inequality”, *Journal of Convex Analysis* **21** (2014), no. 3, p. 727–743.
- [21] P. HRILJAC – “Heights and Arakelov’s intersection theory”, *American Journal of Mathematics* **107** (1985), no. 1, p. 23–38.
- [22] H. IKOMA – “On the concavity of the arithmetic volumes”, to appear in *International Mathematics Research Notices*, 2015.
- [23] K. KAVEH & A. G. KHOVANSKII – “Newton-Okounkov bodies, semigroups of integral points, graded algebras and intersection theory”, *Annals of Mathematics. Second Series* **176** (2012), no. 2, p. 925–978.
- [24] K. KAVEH & A. KHOVANSKII – “Algebraic equations and convex bodies”, in *Perspectives in analysis, geometry, and topology*, Progr. Math., vol. 296, Birkhäuser/Springer, New York, 2012, p. 263–282.
- [25] H. KNOTHE – “Contributions to the theory of convex bodies”, *The Michigan Mathematical Journal* **4** (1957), p. 39–52.
- [26] R. LAZARSELD & M. MUSTĂȚĂ – “Convex bodies associated to linear series”, *Annales Scientifiques de l’École Normale Supérieure. Quatrième Série* **42** (2009), no. 5, p. 783–835.
- [27] H. MINKOWSKI – “Theorie der konvexen Körpern, insbesondere der Begründung ihres Oberflächenbegriffs”, in *Gesammelte Abhandlungen*, vol. II, Teubner, Leipzig, 1903, p. 131–229.
- [28] A. MORIWAKI – “Continuity of volumes on arithmetic varieties”, *Journal of algebraic geometry* **18** (2009), no. 3, p. 407–457.
- [29] ———, “Adelic divisors on arithmetic varieties”, to appear in *Memoirs of the American Mathematical Society*, 2014.
- [30] R. OSSERMAN – “The isoperimetric inequality”, *Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society* **84** (1978), no. 6, p. 1182–1238.
- [31] H. RANDRIAMBOLOLONA – “Métriques de sous-quotient et théorème de Hilbert-Samuel arithmétique pour les faisceaux cohérents”, *Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik* **590** (2006), p. 67–88.
- [32] R. SCHNEIDER – *Convex bodies: the Brunn-Minkowski theory*, expanded ed., Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 151, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2014.
- [33] B. TEISSIER – “Du théorème de l’index de Hodge aux inégalités isopérimétriques”, *Comptes Rendus Hebdomadaires des Séances de l’Académie des Sciences. Séries A et B* **288** (1979), no. 4, p. A287–A289.

- [34] X. YUAN – “Big line bundles over arithmetic varieties”, *Inventiones Mathematicae* **173** (2007), no. 3, p. 603–649.
- [35] ———, “On volumes of arithmetic line bundles”, *Compositio Mathematica* **145** (2009), no. 6, p. 1447–1464.

May 16, 2015

HUAYI CHEN, Université Grenoble Alpes, Institut Fourier, F-38000 Grenoble, France • *E-mail* : huayi.chen@ujf-grenoble.fr
Url : www-fourier.ujf-grenoble.fr/~huayi