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We use time-domain numerical simulations of a two-dimensional scattering system to study the interaction of a collection of emitters resonantly coupled to an Anderson-localized mode. For a low electric-field intensity, we observe strong coupling between the emitters and the mode, which is characterized by linear Rabi oscillations. Remarkably, a higher intensity induces a nonlinear interaction between the emitters and the mode, referred to as the dynamical Stark effect, resulting in nonlinear Rabi oscillations. The transition between the two regimes is observed and an analytical model is proposed which accurately describes our numerical observations.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The development of optical emitters at the micro- and nanoscales, such as quantum dots, has allowed the investigation of fundamental phenomena of light-matter interaction in practical experiments. Among them, cavity quantum electrodynamics (cQED) is devoted to the problem of coupling atoms with the field of a resonant cavity. When the coupling is weak, the energy is irreversibly transferred from the emitters to the cavity and immediately radiates to the far field [1]. In contrast, in the strong-coupling regime, the energy is reversibly exchanged and quantum effects can be observed [2]. For single emitters, such oscillating exchange of energy results in so-called vacuum Rabi splitting (VRS) in the spectral domain of the joint frequency of the atomic transition and the cavity mode. While the strong-coupling regime was extensively investigated in the 1980s for a collection of two-level atoms in two-mirror cavities [3], experimental achievement of VRS has remained a major challenge for many years. After its observation for a single atom in the 1990s, genuine VRS was finally achieved with a single quantum dot in 2004 [4]. To reach the strong-coupling regime, the exchange rate, i.e., the Rabi frequency, must be higher than the mean of the atomic and the cavity decay rates. This condition is usually difficult to fulfill experimentally with a single quantum emitter because of the weakness of a single-photon field. This is why the first observations of Rabi splitting were realized with a collection of emitters rather than a single one [5,6]. Unlike single-emitter strong coupling, which is fundamentally quantum, the Rabi splitting obtained for many emitters is semiclassical [7]. In the literature, this semiclassical regime is referred to as non-perturbative normal-mode coupling (NPNMC), to distinguish it from true-mode coupling [8]. NPNMC has been achieved with different types of resonators (e.g., photonic crystal defect, micropillar, microdisk cavities [6]).

A collection of two-level atoms resonantly excited by an intense electric field is another interesting example of light-matter interaction. In the early 1970s, Mollow [9] derived the rate absorption of two-level atoms driven by a strong excitation field. He predicted a nonlinear atomic response resulting in stimulated emission in the absence of population inversion. His prediction was confirmed experimentally several years later [10]. From a physical point of view, the intense electric field is responsible for oscillations of the atomic population. Such oscillations induce Stark shifts in the energy-level structure of the atoms, leading to new resonances and therefore to a nonlinear susceptibility. This effect is also known as the dynamic Stark effect (DSE) [11,12]. Emission resulting from the DSE should not be confused with the resonance fluorescence of a two-level atom, also introduced by Mollow [13]. Even though the two effects are closely related [11], DSE can be described semiclassically and is based on stimulated emission, while resonance fluorescence relies on spontaneous emission.

It was recently recognized that disordered systems offer a unique platform for exploration of the physics of light-matter interaction, opening a new paradigm in the field of cQED [14–17]. In disordered media the modal confinement may be solely driven by the scattering strength. When the scattering becomes sufficiently strong, the spatial extension of the modes is reduced within the limit of the system [18]. Similarly to conventional cavities, Anderson-localized modes have demonstrated remarkable abilities to support different physical effects (e.g., mode coupling [19,20] and lasing amplification [21–23]). These high-$Q$ and small-volume open cavities offer the major advantage of being intrinsically robust [14]. This is in contrast with classical optical cavities, which are inherently extremely sensitive to fabrication imperfections: nanometer precision is required to observe strong coupling in high-$Q$-factor and small-volume cavities engineered in photonic crystals [4]. Actually, the complexity of disordered systems turns out to be an advantage, as it provides extra degrees of freedom and more flexibility in experiments investigating light-matter coupling. By varying the refractive index in the medium, the extension of the mode can be varied and the openness of the random cavity can be finely adjusted; modes can be hybridized to extend further in the system and open transmission channels [19,24].
Not only this, but the degree of coupling with a source can be controlled experimentally by tuning the resonance frequency of the mode with a small perturbation of the local index [25]. In cold-atom cQED experiments, control of the scattering medium is even easier to achieve, as the disordered potential is realized by laser interference [26] and speckle correlation can be easily controlled [27]. While NPNMC between localized modes and emitters was recently demonstrated [14–17], the DSE, in contrast, was proposed as a coherent amplification mechanism in a cold-atom cloud, which is a weakly scattering medium at transition frequency [28]. Therefore this raises two main questions. First, can the DSE be supported by the modes of a strongly disordered system, similarly to NPNMC? Second, can the DSE and NPNMC coexist in a single Anderson-localized mode?

In this article, we investigate the dynamic competition between NPNMC and the DSE resulting from the coupling between an Anderson-localized mode and a collection of two-level atoms. We demonstrate that both effects lead to Rabi oscillations, but of different kinds, namely, linear and nonlinear Rabi oscillations. Time-domain numerical simulations are run to observe both regimes. An analytical model is proposed to describe each regime and the transition from nonlinear to linear oscillations. This work confirms that the strong coupling between a single emitter and a two-dimensional (2D) Anderson-localized mode investigated in [17] can be observed for a collection of atoms. It also supports the idea that Anderson-localized modes, similarly to conventional cavities, may serve as an interesting platform for study of nonlinear effects resulting from strong energetic confinement.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec. II, we use exact numerical simulations in the time domain to study the interaction between a spatially confined population of two-level atoms and a single Anderson-localized mode in a 2D disordered medium. All the emitters are initially in the ground state and the mode is excited by a monochromatic light pulse which induces oscillations of the atomic population inversion. At a high intensity, the population inversion saturates and gives rise to DSE splitting of the electric field in the frequency domain. This regime is referred to as the nonlinear Rabi regime. At lower intensities, the population difference no longer saturates. The oscillations of the population inversion decrease and slow down: The NPNMC regime between the collection of atoms and the mode is reached. This regime is referred to as the linear Rabi regime. In Secs. III and IV, we investigate theoretically the nonlinear and linear regimes of Rabi oscillations, respectively. We derive analytically the splitting amplitude and the conditions for reaching both regimes. In Sec. V, we analytically explain the nonlinear and linear oscillations observed in Sec. II and describe the transition observed between these two regimes. In Sec. VI, we summarize and emphasize potential applications of this work.

II. NUMERICAL INVESTIGATION

In this section, we use exact numerical simulations in the time domain to highlight the existence of the different regimes of oscillations. A collection of two-level atoms is introduced in a 2D disordered system, where an Anderson-localized mode exists. An external source excites the localized mode. The resulting high intensity of the mode induces nonlinear Rabi oscillations which are characterized by simultaneous oscillations of the polarization and of the population inversion. When the excitation is turned off, the amplitude of the mode decays, leading to a decline in the field at the position of the atoms. The oscillations of the population inversion decay and eventually vanish, to give way to the strong-coupling regime, i.e., to linear Rabi oscillations of the polarization and of the field in quadrature.

A. Description of the model

We consider a 2D random collection of circular dielectric particles with radius $r = 60$ nm and optical index $n = 2$ embedded in a background medium of index $n = 1$ [see Fig. 1(a)]. The volume fraction is 40% and the system size is $L^2 = 6.6 \times 6.6 \mu m^2$. With the above choice of parameters, the system is known to be in the localized regime [29,30]. The dipole which will interact with one of the localized modes of the system corresponds to a collection of two-level atoms located at one node of the numerical system [see Figs. 1(a) and 1(c)]. Its density is given by $N/dx^2$, where $N$ is the total number of atoms and $dx$ is the space increment of the numerical grid [31]. Using the notation of [29] and [30], the corresponding population equations read

\[ \frac{dN_1}{dt} = N_2/\tau_21 - (E/\hbar \omega_a) \cdot dP/dt, \]
\[ \frac{dN_2}{dt} = -N_2/\tau_21 + (E/\hbar \omega_a) \cdot dP/dt, \]

where $N_i, i = 1, 2,$ are the populations of the two levels.

The angular frequency of the atomic transition between level 1 and level 2 is $\omega_a = 2\pi \nu_a$ [see Fig. 1(c)]. $E$ and $P$ are the electric field and the polarization vector, respectively.

![FIG. 1. (Color online) (a) A 2D disordered system composed of a randomly distributed ensemble of circular scatterers (radius $r = 60$ nm and index $n = 2$) embedded in a vacuum. The system area size is $L^2 = 6.6 \mu m^2$. An ensemble of two-level-atom dipoles is inserted at position $r_s$ (red circle) and a point-like source is located at $r_{s0t}$ (green circle). (b) Field spatial distribution of an Anderson-localized mode $M$ at $\lambda_M = 453.68$ nm, namely, $\Psi_M(r)$. (c) Energy-level structure of a two-level atom. Each atom is composed of a ground state of energy $E_1 = \hbar \omega_1$ and an excited state of energy $E_2 = \hbar \omega_2$. The transition between the two states, namely, $\omega_a$, is resonant with mode $M$ frequency, $\omega_M = \omega_a$.](image)
are the electric field and the polarization density, respectively. Electrons in level 2 can decay to level 1 either spontaneously, with time constant $\tau_{21} = 1$ ns, or through stimulated emission, at the rate $(E/\hbar \omega_a) \cdot dP/dt$ [32]. Conversely, electrons in level 1 can jump to level 2 at the absorption rate $(E/\hbar \omega_a) \cdot dP/dt$. The polarization obeys the equation

$$d^2P/dt^2 + \Delta \omega_a dP/dt + \omega_0^2 P = \kappa \cdot \Delta N \cdot E,$$

where $\Delta N = N_1 - N_2$ is the population inversion. The width of the atomic transition is given by the relaxation time of the polarization $\Delta \omega_a = 1/\tau_{21} + 2/\tau_\Phi$, where $\tau_\Phi = 1$ ns is the dephasing time of the collection of atoms. The constant $\kappa$ is given by $\kappa = 6\pi \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_0 \omega_0^3 / (\omega_0^2 \tau_{21})$ [33]. Finally, the polarization is a source term in the Maxwell equations,

$$\mu_0 \partial H/\partial t = -\nabla \times E,$$

$$\varepsilon_0 \partial E/\partial t = \nabla \times H - \partial P/\partial t,$$

where $H$ stands for the magnetic field, $\varepsilon(\mathbf{r}) = n^2(\mathbf{r})$ is the dielectric function of the scatterers assumed nondispersive, and $\mu_0$ and $\varepsilon_0$ are the vacuum permeability and permittivity, respectively.

This set of equations is equivalent to the Maxwell-Bloch equations that are commonly used in standard semiclassical laser theory [34]. We have considered a 2D transverse magnetic field so that the electric field has only one component orthogonal to the plane of the 2D system. Maxwell’s equations are solved using the finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) method and C-PML absorbing boundary conditions are used in order to model an open system [35].

**B. Numerical results**

We carried out the numerical study in the following way. First, we study the spectrum and the modes of a random collection of scatterers according to the procedure which is described in detail in [30]. Among the modes, we deliberately choose a localized mode with a good quality factor and well isolated spectrally from the others in order to facilitate strong coupling. An example of such a mode (referred to in the text as mode $M$) is displayed in Fig. 1(b).

The frequency $\nu_M$ of this mode (corresponding to the wavelength $\lambda_M = 453.68$ nm) is known from the spectrum of the impulse response of the random system (not shown). Though this mode is well located inside the random system, there exists some leakage through the system boundaries. Hence if this mode oscillates freely without external excitation, its amplitude decays as a function of time. For this mode, the decay time is about 15 ps, which corresponds to a quality factor $Q_M = 2.9 \times 10^5$.

In order to improve the coupling between the mode and the dipoles, the next step is to introduce the collection of atoms at one node of the numerical system where the amplitude of the localized mode $M$ is large as shown in Figs. 1(a) and 1(b) (referred to in the text as position $r_\text{ex}$). Moreover, the atomic frequency $\nu_a$ is deliberately set at the value $\nu_M$ of the localized mode. In other words, we have chosen $\omega_a = 2\pi \nu_M$ in Eq. (2). Here we assume that the local perturbation of the disorder system resulting from the introduction of the atoms is negligible. This assumption is validated by our simulations, which fully account for the influence of the atoms: the eigenvalue of the selected mode remains unchanged, in agreement with [36].

Finally, a constant-amplitude monochromatic point source [37] excites the system at position $r_\text{ex}$ in the vicinity of the dipoles [see Fig. 1(a)]. Its frequency $\omega_\text{ext}$ matches the joint frequency of the atomic transition, $\nu_a$, and of the localized mode, $\nu_M$. The duration $T_\text{ext} = 100$ ps of the source and of its Gaussian transients is sufficient to avoid the excitation of the other modes of the system which are spectrally close [the envelope of the excitation pulse $P_{\text{ext}}(r_\text{ex},t)$ is displayed in Fig. 2]. This results in the simultaneous excitation of the localized mode $M$ and of the atomic dipoles as shown by the next steps.

![Figure 2](image-url) (Color online) Time evolution of the field recorded at the dipole position (blue curve), of the polarization (green curve), and of the population inversion (red curve). For better clarity, oscillations at the optical frequency of the field and of the polarization are not displayed. Actually, the blue and green curves are envelopes of the time evolution of the field and the polarization. The purple line (bottom) is the envelope of the source. The vertical units are arbitrary since the different curves have been rescaled in order to share the same vertical scale. Similar vertical rescaling has been applied to all figures which display the time evolution of the field, the polarization, and the population inversion.
time evolution of the field, the polarization, and the population inversion recorded at the position of the dipoles (Fig. 2).

At times earlier than $t \approx 110$ ps, when the source is still on, the electric field $E(r_{a,t})$ is almost monochromatic. Such monochromatic excitation of the atoms results in oscillations of the population inversion, $\Delta N(t)$, and of the envelope of the polarization, $P(r_{a,t})$. The modulation of the envelope of the polarization $P(r_{a,t})$ for a constant amplitude of the electric field indicates that the response of the atoms is nonlinear. Oscillations are referred to as nonlinear Rabi oscillations.

After the external source is progressively turned off, starting from time $t \approx 110$ ps, a transient regime takes place as shown in Fig. 2, where the amplitudes of the field and of the polarization slowly decay due to field leakage through the system boundaries. Correspondingly, the oscillation frequency of the envelope of the polarization and the population inversion decrease until these oscillations vanish. At the end of the transient regime after $t \approx 200$ ps, the population inversion is almost completely stabilized. Then one observes Rabi oscillations of the field amplitude and of the polarization in quadrature [see Fig. 3(a)]. This regime is referred to as linear since the oscillations of both envelopes are similar to the frequency beating originating from two coupled oscillators.

For a better illustration of the three regimes mentioned above, enlargements of the time evolution of the field, the polarization, and the population inversion are shown in Fig. 3 at three time intervals. In the first time interval, [99–106] ps, the source is active [bottom (purple) curve in Fig. 2]. While the field intensity displays a fixed dc level, one observes nonsinusoidal oscillations of the polarization which are associated with strong oscillations of the population inversion. The strong oscillations of $\Delta N$ are Rabi oscillations, which are induced by the high amplitude of the field. The corresponding spectra of the electric field and polarization are displayed in Fig. 4(a) and exhibit three peaks instead of a single peak at $\omega_M = 2\pi c/\lambda M \approx 4.15 \times 10^{13} \text{ s}^{-1}$.

In the second time interval, [143–174] ps, after the excitation pulse has been turned off, the amplitude of the field has significantly decreased due to leakage through the open boundaries. The frequency of the Rabi oscillations has also

![FIG. 3. (Color online) Time evolution of the field (blue curve), the polarization (green curve), and the population inversion (red curve) recorded at the dipole position, $r_a$, for three intervals: (a) [99–106] ps, (b) [143–174] ps, and (c) [200–226] ps. As in Fig. 2, the blue and green curves are envelopes of the time evolution of the field and of the polarization.](image)

![FIG. 4. (Color online) (a) Spectra of the electric field (blue curve) and the polarization (green curve) in the time interval [99–106] ps. Both spectra exhibit three components but the contribution of sidebands in the electric field is weak. The electric field is almost monochromatic at frequency $\omega_M$. (b) Spectra of the electric field (blue curve) and the polarization density (green curve) in the time interval [200–226] ps. Both spectra exhibit similar splitting around the resonant frequency $\omega_a = \omega_M$. The presence of a third peak in the electric field, at $\approx 4.16 \times 10^{13} \text{ s}^{-1}$, corresponds to another Anderson-localized mode initially excited by the monochromatic source.](image)
suspensibility induces sideband frequencies in the polarization and the electric field at \( \omega \pm \Omega_R^{NL} \) (see Fig. 4).

The nonlinear Rabi pulsation \( \Omega_R^{NL} \) can be derived in different ways (e.g., dressed states [38,39] or bare states [39–41]). Here, we consider an approach based on the rate equation [33].

To saturate the two-level atoms, the intensity of the field must be very high at the position of the atoms, namely, \( E_r \). As illustrated in Figs. 3(a) and 4(a), the sideband contribution is therefore weak and the electric field is almost monochromatic:

\[
E(r_a, t) \approx \frac{E_0}{2} e^{-i \omega t} + \text{c.c.}.
\]

In contrast, nonlinear susceptibility of the atoms greatly affects the polarization, which reads

\[
P(r_a, t) = p(t) e^{-i \omega t},
\]

where \( p(t) \) stands for the envelope amplitude. The envelope of polarization is assumed to vary slowly with respect to the optical frequency: \( \omega^2 |p(t)|^2 \gg \omega |dp(t)/dt| \gg |d^2 p(t)/dt|^2 \).

Under this assumption, the second derivative of the polarization can be derived from Eq. (5):

\[
\frac{d^2 P}{dt^2}(r_a, t) \approx -2i \omega \frac{d P}{dt}(r_a, t) + \omega^2 P(r_a, t).
\]

The evolution of the polarization, driven by Eq. (2), can be simplified using Eq. (6) and the rotation wave approximation \( (\omega_a - \omega) \approx 2\omega_a(\omega - \omega_0) \),

\[
\frac{d P(r_a, t)}{dt} \approx -\left( i \omega_a + \frac{\Delta \omega_a}{2} \right) p(r_a, t) + i \frac{\kappa}{2\omega_a} \Delta N(t) E(r_a, t).
\]

Injecting the expression of the electric field given by Eq. (4) and neglecting the nonresonant terms, Eq. (7) reads

\[
\frac{d p(t)}{dt} = \left( i (\omega - \omega_0) - \frac{\Delta \omega_a}{2} \right) p(t) + i \frac{\kappa}{4\omega_a} \Delta N(t) E_0.
\]

From Eq. (1) we derive the population inversion evolution:

\[
\frac{d \Delta N(t)}{dt} = 2 \frac{N_2}{\tau_2} - \frac{1}{2\hbar \omega_a} \frac{E_0 e^{-i \omega t} + \text{c.c.}}{\Delta} \left( \frac{d P(r_a, t)}{dt} + \text{c.c.} \right).
\]

For a slow envelope, Eq. (9) can be simplified:

\[
\frac{d \Delta N(t)}{dt} = \frac{N}{\tau_2} - \frac{\Delta N}{\tau_2} = E_0 \text{ Im}(p(t)).
\]

The set formed by Eqs. (8) and (10) can be recast in a matrix form,

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix} \text{Re}(p) \\ \text{Im}(p) \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{\Delta \omega_a}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & -\frac{\Delta \omega_a}{2} - \frac{\kappa}{2\omega_a} \frac{E_0}{\hbar} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \text{Re}(p) \\ \text{Im}(p) \end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ \kappa \tau_2 \end{bmatrix}.
\]

whose eigenvalues read

\[
\left\{ -\frac{\Delta \omega_a}{2}, -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\Delta \omega_a}{2} + \frac{1}{\tau_2} \right) \pm \sqrt{\left( \frac{\Delta \omega_a}{2} - \frac{1}{\tau_2} \right)^2 - \frac{\kappa^2}{4\omega_a^2}} \right\}.
\]
Hence, if the electric field intensity is high enough to fulfill
\[ \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{\hbar\omega_0}}|E_0| > \left| \frac{\Delta \omega_\delta}{2} - \frac{1}{\tau_{21}} \right|, \]
the polarization envelope and the population inversion oscillate synchronously at pulsation:
\[ \Omega_{NL}^R = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\kappa E_0^2}{\hbar\omega_0}} - \left( \frac{\Delta \omega_\delta}{2} - \frac{1}{\tau_{21}} \right)^2. \] (14)
For high field intensities, the contribution of the relaxation rate of polarization \( \Delta \omega_\delta \) and the spontaneous decay time rate \( 1/\tau_{21} \) are negligible and the nonlinear Rabi pulsation reads
\[ \Omega_{NL}^R \approx \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\frac{\kappa |E_0|}{\hbar\omega_0}}. \] (15)
Equation (15) states that \( \Omega_{NL}^R \) is linearly triggered by the amplitude of the electric field, which can be derived from Maxwell equations. In the time interval [99–106] ps, the source (assumed monochromatic) drives the amplitude of the electric field. Combining Eqs. (3) for a transverse magnetic field, the electric field satisfies
\[ \Delta E(r,t) - \frac{e(r)}{c^2} \frac{\partial^2 E(r,t)}{\partial t^2} = \mu_0 \frac{\partial^2 P(r,t)}{\partial t^2}, \] (16)
where \( c \) is the vacuum speed of light fulfilling \( \mu_0 c^2 \varepsilon = 1 \). In the polarization density we neglect the contribution of the collections of two-level atoms and consider only the polarization enforced by the point source at position \( r_{ext} \). In the frequency domain, Eq. (16) reads
\[ \Delta E(\omega,r) + \frac{e(r)}{c^2} \omega^2 E(\omega,r) = \mu_0 \frac{\partial^2 P(\omega,r)}{\partial \omega^2}, \] (17)
where \( \omega_{ext} \) and \( P_{ext} \) stand for the frequency and amplitude of the point source excitation, respectively. The electric field can be expanded along the modes \( \{|\Psi_i\rangle\}_{2} \) of the passive system, which are defined as the eigenstates of Eq. (17),
\[ \Delta |\Psi_i\rangle + \frac{e(r)}{c^2} \Omega_i^2 |\Psi_i\rangle = 0, \] (18)
with Siegert’s outgoing boundary condition [42],
\[ \left( \frac{d}{dr} - i \Omega_i \right) |\Psi_i\rangle \bigg|_{r=\infty} = 0. \] (19)
Complex frequencies \( \Omega_i \) read
\[ \Omega_i = \nu_i - i \frac{\Gamma_i}{2}, \] (20)
where \( \nu_i \) stands for the frequency and \( \frac{\Gamma_i}{2} > 0 \) the damping of mode \( i \). The modes are in the regime of Anderson localization [18] and thus exponentially small leakage see Fig. 1(b). Therefore, we assume the modes to be almost orthogonal:
\[ \langle \Psi_q | \varepsilon(r) | \Psi_p \rangle \approx \delta_{pq}. \] (21)
Expansion of the electric field along the modes reads
\[ E(r,\omega) = \sum a_i(\omega) |\Psi_i\rangle. \] (22)
Inserting electric-field expansion, Eq. (17) reads
\[ \sum a_i(\omega) \left( \Delta |\Psi_i\rangle + \frac{e(r)}{c^2} \omega^2 |\Psi_i\rangle \right) = -\mu_0 \omega_{ext}^2 P_{ext} \delta(\omega - \omega_{ext}) |\Psi_i\rangle \] (23)
From the definition of modes provided by Eq. (18), Eq. (23) reads
\[ \sum a_i(\omega) \frac{e(r)}{c^2} (\omega^2 - \Omega_i^2) |\Psi_i\rangle = -\mu_0 \omega_{ext}^2 P_{ext} \delta(\omega - \omega_{ext}) |\Psi_i\rangle. \] (24)
Now, Eq. (24) is projected along mode \( \langle \Psi_i \rangle \)
\[ a_i(\omega)(\omega^2 - \Omega_i^2) = -\mu_0 \omega_{ext}^2 P_{ext} \delta(\omega - \omega_{ext}) \Psi_i^* (r_{ext}), \] (25)
where \( \Psi_i (r_{ext})^* \) is the conjugate amplitude of mode \( i \) at the position of the point source. As a result, the electric field reads
\[ E(r,\omega) = \sum \mu_0 c^2 \omega_{ext}^2 P_{ext} \frac{\Psi_i^* (r_{ext})}{\Omega_i^2 - \omega^2} \delta(\omega - \omega_{ext}) |\Psi_i\rangle. \] (26)
The point source excites resonantly the Anderson-localized mode \( \Omega_{NL}^R \omega = \omega_{ext} \), which is the only excited mode: \( \mu_0 \lambda_{NL} = 0 \). Therefore, the field at the position of the atoms \( r_a \) reads
\[ E(r_a,\omega) = \frac{\mu_0 c^2 \omega_{ext}^2 P_{ext}}{\Omega_i^2 - \omega_{ext}^2} \Psi_i^* (r_{ext}) \Psi_M (r_a) \delta(\omega - \omega_{ext}). \] (27)
Since mode \( M \) has a large quality factor, \( Q_M = \omega_M / \Gamma_M >> 1 \), Eq. (27) reads
\[ E(r_a,\omega) \approx i \mu_0 c^2 Q_M P_{ext} \Psi_i^* (r_{ext}) \Psi_M (r_a) \delta(\omega - \omega_{ext}) = E_0 \delta(\omega - \omega_{ext}). \] (28)
From Eq. (28) we identify the electric-field amplitude \( |E_0| \) and deduce the nonlinear Rabi pulsation by using Eq. (15):
\[ \Omega_{NL}^R = \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{4\epsilon_0^2 \hbar \omega_0}} Q_M P_{ext} |\Psi_M (r_{ext})| |\Psi_M (r_a)|. \] (29)

B. Observation condition

The condition derived in Eq. (13) is not sufficient to ensure the observation of nonlinear Rabi oscillations in the time domain. This regime requires that the spacing between the two side frequencies in the frequency domain, namely, \( 2\nu_{NL} \), must be higher than the spectral linewidth of the central component, namely, \( F_p \Delta \omega_0 \), where \( F_p \) stands for the Purcell factor [1]. Hence, the observation condition reads
\[ 2\nu_{NL}^R > F_p \Delta \omega_0. \] (30)
From expression of \( \Omega_{NL}^R \) given by Eq. (29), this condition can be written as
\[ \sqrt{\frac{\mu_0}{\hbar \omega_0}} c^2 Q_M P_{ext} |\Psi_M (r_{ext})| |\Psi_M (r_a)| > F_p \Delta \omega_0. \] (31)
The Purcell factor \( F_p \) can be derived from the local density of states (LDOS) spectrum \( \rho(r,\omega) \) [17], which reads
\[ \rho(r,\omega) = \sum_n \frac{|\Psi_n(r)|^2}{\pi} \left( \frac{\Gamma_n}{2} \right)^2. \] (32)
where the index \( n \) stands for the contribution of the different modes. In the Anderson regime of localization, the spectral overlap between modes is weak and thus the LDOS spectrum at frequency \( \omega_M \) reads
\[
\rho(\mathbf{r}, \omega_M) = \frac{2|\Psi_M(\mathbf{r})|^2}{\pi \Gamma_M}. \tag{33}
\]
For a specific position and frequency, the Purcell factor \( F_p \) is defined as the ratio between the LDOS spectrum and the vacuum LDOS, namely, \( \rho(\omega) = \omega/(2\pi c^2) \). Therefore, from Eq. (33) we obtain
\[
F_p = 4e^2|\Psi_M(\mathbf{r}_a)|^2/\omega_M \Gamma_M. \tag{34}
\]
Combining Eqs. (31) and (34), we obtain the observation condition for the nonlinear Rabi regime:
\[
\sqrt{\frac{k}{\epsilon_0^2\hbar\omega_a}} P_{\text{ext}} |\Psi_M(\mathbf{r}_{\text{ext}})| > \frac{4e^2}{\omega_M} |\Psi_M(\mathbf{r}_a)| \Delta \omega_a. \tag{35}
\]

IV. THEORETICAL INVESTIGATION OF THE LINEAR RABI REGIME

In this section, we describe analytically the regime of oscillations observed in the time interval [200–226] ps [see Fig. 3(c)] and referred to as the linear Rabi regime. In a similar way to Sec. III, we derive the pulsation of linear oscillations and the observation condition.

A. Linear Rabi pulsation

In the time interval [200–226] ps [see Fig. 3(c)], the electric field is too small to saturate the two-level atoms. The population inversion no longer oscillates and is almost constant. Therefore, the collection of two-level atoms is characterized by a linear susceptibility. The interaction between the atoms and the Anderson-localized mode results in oscillations of the polarization density and the electric field at the linear Rabi pulsation \( \Omega^L_R \). This regime is referred to as the linear Rabi regime.

As shown by Fig. 3(c), the population inversion reads \( \Delta N \approx N \) for a low intensity of the electric field. From Eq. (7), we derive the linear relation between the electric field and the polarization density in the frequency domain at position \( \mathbf{r}_a \) of the atoms:
\[
P(\mathbf{r}_a, \omega) = \frac{i \kappa}{2\omega_a} N \frac{4e^2}{\omega_M} E(\mathbf{r}_a, \omega). \tag{36}
\]
Inserting Eq. (36) in the electric-field equation provided by Eq. (16), we obtain
\[
\Delta E(\mathbf{r}, \omega) + \omega E(\mathbf{r}, \omega) = \mu_0 \omega^2 \frac{i \kappa}{2\omega_a} N \frac{4e^2}{\omega_M} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_a). \tag{37}
\]
Using the electric-field expansion along the modes given in Eq. (22) and projecting along \( \langle \Psi_M | \), Eq. (37) reads
\[
(\omega^2 - \Omega_M^L \frac{\alpha_M(\omega)}{c^2}) \sum_i a_i(\omega) |\Psi_M(\mathbf{r}_a)|^2 \frac{4e^2}{\omega_M} \delta(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}_a). \tag{38}
\]
Since only mode \( M \) is initially excited, \( a_i \neq M = 0 \). Therefore, Eq. (38) reads
\[
(\omega^2 - \Omega_M^L)^2 = \frac{k \omega^2 N}{2\omega_a \epsilon_0} |\Psi_M(\mathbf{r}_a)|^2. \tag{39}
\]
The atomic transition is resonant with mode \( M (\omega_M = \omega_a) \), thus using the rotating wave approximation, Eq. (39) can be recast in a second-order polynomial form,
\[
(\omega - \omega_M + i \frac{\Gamma_M}{2}) (\omega - \omega_M + i \frac{\Delta \omega_a}{2}) = \frac{k \sqrt{N}}{4\epsilon_0} |\Psi_M(\mathbf{r}_a)|^2. \tag{40}
\]
whose solutions read
\[
\omega_{\pm} = \omega_M - i \frac{\Gamma_M + \Delta \omega_a}{4} \pm \sqrt{\frac{k \sqrt{N}}{4\epsilon_0} |\Psi_M(\mathbf{r}_a)|^2 - \frac{(\Gamma_M - \Delta \omega_a)^2}{16}}. \tag{41}
\]
Under the condition
\[
\frac{k \sqrt{N}}{4\epsilon_0} |\Psi_M(\mathbf{r}_a)|^2 \geq \frac{(\Gamma_M - \Delta \omega_a)^2}{16}, \tag{42}
\]
the electric field is split into two distinct frequency components [see Fig. 4(b)]. This linear Rabi splitting corresponds to oscillations in the temporal domain of the electric field and the polarization density at pulsation:
\[
\Omega_R^L = \frac{\omega_{+} - \omega_{-}}{2} = \sqrt{\frac{k \sqrt{N}}{4\epsilon_0} |\Psi_M(\mathbf{r}_a)|^2 - \frac{(\Gamma_M - \Delta \omega_a)^2}{16}}. \tag{43}
\]

B. Observation condition

The condition derived in Eq. (42) is not sufficient to ensure that the linear Rabi splitting is larger than the linewidth of the electric field at frequencies \( \omega_a \). Hence, to observe a frequency splitting and temporal oscillation, we must satisfy
\[
\Omega_R^L \gg \frac{\Gamma_M + \Delta \omega_a}{4}. \tag{44}
\]
Using the expression of the linear Rabi pulsation provided in Eq. (43), Eq. (44) reads
\[
\frac{k \sqrt{N}}{4\epsilon_0} |\Psi_M(\mathbf{r}_a)|^2 \geq \frac{\Gamma_M^2 + \Delta \omega_a^2}{8}. \tag{45}
\]
Since the damping of mode \( M \) is much larger than the relaxation rate of polarization, \( \Gamma_M \gg \Delta \omega_a \), the linear Rabi regime condition reads
\[
\sqrt{2k \sqrt{N}} |\Psi_M(\mathbf{r}_a)| \geq \Gamma_M. \tag{46}
\]

V. SUCCESSIVE NONLINEAR AND LINEAR RABI OSCILLATIONS IN THE TRANSIENT REGIME

In this section, we investigate the transition from nonlinear to linear Rabi regimes observed numerically in Sec. II, when the external source is turned off. First, we theoretically derive the linear and nonlinear Rabi pulsations for a decaying electric-field amplitude. Theoretical predictions are consistent with
FDTD simulations presented in Sec. II. Then we explain the transition from nonlinear to linear Rabi regime observed in the time interval [143–174] ps.

A. Transient regime

We consider the system after the external source has been turned off at $t_e \sim 120$ ps. In this transient regime, the amplitude of the electric field decays as $e^{-\Gamma t/2}$. We know from Eq. (15) that the amplitude of the electric field drives the amplitude of the nonlinear Rabi pulsation $\Omega_R^{NL}$. Therefore, we can derive from Eq. (29) the time evolution of $\Omega_R^{NL}$ in this transient regime:

$$\Omega_R^{NL}(t) = \sqrt{\frac{\kappa}{4e^2t\omega_0}} Q_{ext} P_{ext} |\Psi_M(r_{ext})||\Psi_M(r_e)| e^{-\Gamma t(t-t_e)}.$$  

(47)

In contrast, the linear Rabi regime results from a linear coupling between the Anderson-localized mode and the atoms. As illustrated in Eq. (43), the amplitude of the linear Rabi pulsation $\Omega_R^L$ is independent of the amplitude of the electric field and therefore remains unchanged during the transient regime.

To confirm these predictions, we use a finite-element method to compute the modes of the disordered system presented in Fig. 1(a). In particular, we obtain the Anderson-localized mode $M : [\Omega_M^{L}, \Psi_M(r)]$. We first consider the time interval $[115–135]$ ps. In this interval, the system evolves in the nonlinear Rabi regime and the electric field exhibits three components forming a triplet (see Fig. 4(a)). In Fig. 6, we plot the positions of the triplet observed in FDTD simulation [(blue) circles; top portion] over time. Using finite-element-method computation of mode $M$, we predict the spectral position of the triplet versus time [(blue) lines; top portion] which is shown in Fig. 6 and compared to the FDTD simulations. The theoretical prediction provided by Eq. (47) proves to fit nicely with our numerical simulations. Now, we consider the time interval [200–250] ps, where a linear Rabi splitting is observed. We plot both the measured positions of the two peaks over time [(red) circles; lower portion] and the theoretical splitting provided by Eq. (43) [(red) lines; lower portion]. As predicted, the linear Rabi splitting is independent of the electric-field amplitude. Moreover, the measured linear Rabi splitting ($\Omega_R^{NL} \approx 0.6 \times 10^{12} \text{s}^{-1}$) is consistent with the prediction of Eq. (43): $\Omega_R^L = 0.67 \times 10^{12} \text{s}^{-1}$.

B. Transition from nonlinear to linear regime

The evolutions of nonlinear and linear pulsations for a decaying electric field, derived in Sec. VA, correspond to two limits. In the nonlinear regime the contribution of linear oscillations is totally neglected, and vice versa. In reality, they coexist, especially in the transient regime. Nevertheless, these two limits nicely explain the progressive transition from nonlinear to linear regime observed in Fig. 3(b).

When the amplitude of the electric field is high ($\Omega_R^{NL}(t) \gg \Omega_R^L$) the appearance of linear Rabi oscillations is hindered by nonlinear oscillations [see Fig. 3(a)]. The progressive decay of the field amplitude leads to a decrease in nonlinear splitting. When $\Omega_R^{NL}(t) \sim \Omega_R^L$ both effects coexist. In Fig. 3(b), the population inversion and the polarization oscillate synchronously (nonlinear regime), while the electric field oscillates in quadrature with the polarization (linear regime). When $\Omega_R^{NL}(t) < \Omega_R^L$, the linear Rabi pulsation prevails over the nonlinear one and the oscillations of the polarization envelope simultaneously slow down [at $t_{trans} \approx 160$ ps in Fig. 3(b)]. Finally, when the field intensity becomes too low to saturate the population inversion [see Fig. 3(c)], the nonlinear oscillations disappear ($\Omega_R^{NL}(t) \ll \Omega_R^L$) and only the linear regime remains.

Asymptotic expressions of $\Omega_R^{NL}(t)$ and $\Omega_R^L$ allow us to go further and to estimate the transition time between the two regimes by writing

$$\Omega_R^{NL}(t_{trans}) = \Omega_R^L,$$

(48)

where $t_{trans}$ stands for the transition time. The computed value $t_{trans} \approx 163$ ps is in good agreement with the transition time observed in FDTD simulation at $t_{trans} \approx 160$ ps [see Fig. 3(b)].
C. Observation condition of both regimes

In Secs. III and IV we derived successively the observation conditions for nonlinear and linear oscillations given by Eqs. (35) and (46), respectively. Both relations stress the influence of the coupling between the Anderson-localized mode and the atoms, namely, $\Psi_M(\mathbf{r}_a)$.

In the nonlinear condition provided by Eq. (35), $\Psi_M(\mathbf{r}_a)$ is responsible for the spectral linewidth of the central component of the electric field. Hence, to reach the nonlinear regime with a small external excitation, $P_{\text{ext}}$, $\Psi_M(\mathbf{r}_a)$ must be chosen as small as possible. In the linear regime, energy is reversibly exchanged between the mode and the emitter. Therefore a large coupling between the mode and the emitter is required to fulfill Eq. (46).

Remarkably, to achieve the successive observation of nonlinear and linear oscillations, the coupling between the emitter and the mode, $\Psi_M(\mathbf{r}_a)$, must be carefully chosen. The condition to observe linear and nonlinear regimes in the steady state can be derived from Eqs. (35) and (46) and reads

$$\Gamma_M \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon_0}{2kN}} \leq |\Psi_M(\mathbf{r}_a)| \leq \frac{\mu_0}{4} \sqrt{\frac{\kappa \omega_a}{\hbar}} \frac{P_{\text{ext}}}{\Delta \omega_0} |\Psi_M(\mathbf{r}_{\text{ext}})|,$$

where the left-hand (right-hand) side of Eq. (49) must be fulfilled at low (high) electric field intensities.

VI. CONCLUSION

In this article, we have studied the dynamic interaction of a collection of two-level atoms and an Anderson-localized mode. We have demonstrated that such interaction results in two kinds of Rabi oscillations of the electric field. These two regimes, referred to as the linear and nonlinear Rabi regimes, are due to two distinct physical mechanisms. The linear regime is reached at a low electric field intensity when the collection of atoms strongly couples to the Anderson-localized mode [14, 15, 17]. This semiclassical regime of strong coupling is referred to as NPNMC in the literature [8] and results in a splitting of the atomic frequency. The nonlinear regime is observed at higher intensities. In this regime, the electric field saturates the population inversion, which starts to oscillate. These oscillations are responsible for new transitions in the atomic energy structure, resulting in a nonlinear atomic susceptibility. This susceptibility induces nonlinear spectral components of the field which translate into Rabi oscillations in the time domain. This physical effect is known as DSE splitting [11, 12]. It is predicted here in the framework of disordered media and Anderson-localized modes. For a progressively decaying field intensity, we have qualitatively and quantitatively explained the transition from one regime of oscillations to the other. Moreover, we have emphasized that coupling between the mode and the collection of atoms must be adequately chosen to ensure the observation of both regimes.

In this work, we have confirmed the ability of 2D random media to support NPNMC [17], which offers promising applications for the development of disorder-robust cQED [14]. Remarkably, we have also demonstrated the capacity of Anderson-localized modes to achieve strong and nonlinear light-matter interaction characterized by DSE splitting. In terms of perspectives, this work further opens the way to various applications. For instance, sidebands resulting from the DSE were proposed to achieve coherent amplification [28] and thus could be used to achieve new random laser sources based on a nonlinear process [43, 44] (similarly to previous works on Raman-stimulated amplification [45, 46]). Anderson-localized modes can also be externally tuned by local modifications of the medium [20, 25]. Hence, one can achieve control of the nonlinear atomic emission similar to what was obtained recently in disordered media with a different gain mechanism [47–49]. Moreover, the DSE is known to be closely related to the phenomenon of resonance fluorescence [13]. Therefore, we believe that Anderson-localized modes may serve to induce resonance fluorescence and thus design cheap and robust quantum light sources (e.g., single photon source [50, 51]). In summary, we believe that this work further opens the way to the achievement of nonlinear optics (e.g., lasing without inversion or wave mixing) in strongly disordered media.
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Here, the N atoms are already prepared in a coherent state and we do not model collective dynamic effects such as Dicke sub- and super-radiance [52] predicted for short pulse excitation, as we are considering a continuous excitation.

Here, \( \tau \) is a phenomenological term in the equations which describes atomic population decay in the absence of a surrounding medium. The dynamics of the atoms is, however, modified by the local density of states. This is fully accounted for in our numerical model, as seen, e.g., in Eq. (2) [53,54].