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Many parasites modify their host behaviour to improve their own transmission and survival, but the proximate mechanisms remain poorly understood. An original model consists of the parasitoid Dinocampus coccinellae and its coccinellid host, Coleomegilla maculata; during the behaviour manipulation, the parasitoid is not in contact with its host anymore. We report herein the discovery and characterization of a new RNA virus of the parasitoid (D. coccinellae paralysis virus, DcPV). Using a combination of RT-qPCR and transmission electron microscopy, we demonstrate that DcPV is stored in the oviduct of parasitoid females, replicates in parasitoid larvae and is transmitted to the host during larval development. Next, DcPV replication in the host’s nervous tissue induces a severe neuropathy and antiviral immune response that correlate with the paralytic symptoms characterizing the behaviour manipulation. Remarkably, virus clearance correlates with recovery of normal coccinellid behaviour. These results provide evidence that changes in ladybeetle behaviour most likely result from DcPV replication in the cerebral ganglia rather than by manipulation by the parasitoid. This offers stimulating prospects for research on parasitic manipulation by suggesting for the first time that behaviour manipulation could be symbiont-mediated.

1. Introduction

Parasites have the capacity to alter the biology of their hosts in many ways to improve their own fitness [1,2], host behaviour manipulation being one of the most striking outcomes. Behaviour manipulations may favour completion of the parasite’s life cycle by (i) rendering intermediate hosts more susceptible to predation by definitive hosts, (ii) inducing the parasitized host to move to habitats suitable for the parasite and/or its progeny, (iii) increasing the appetite of vectors in cases of vector-borne transmission, and (iv) providing protection to the developing parasite against biotic or abiotic factors, a condition called bodyguard manipulation [1,3].

Understanding how manipulation of host behaviour works remains a challenge. Until recently, the study of proximate mechanisms has mostly focused on neuromodulatory systems [4] and experimental evidence of parasite genes inducing a direct change in host behaviour is very limited [5,6]. Bodyguards have
only been reported in hosts of parasitic wasps (parasitoids) that puate outside of their hosts [7,8], and the mechanisms involved have never been explored. We investigated this question using the Dinocampus coccinellae—Coleomegilla maculata association as a model system [8].

The female D. coccinellae lays its eggs in the ladybeetle and the parasitoid larvae develop inside the body of the coccinellid host. After about 20 days, a single prepupa egresses and spins a cocoon between the ladybeetle’s legs. At this time, the ladybeetle’s behaviour is modified: it remains static and displays tremors. Throughout parasitoid pupation, the host remains alive and positioned on top of the parasitoid cocoon, serving as a bodyguard to protect the parasitoid cocoon from predation [8]. After a week, the adult parasitoid emerges from the cocoon. Some ladybeetles recover from the paralysis, resume feeding and can even reproduce [9,10].

Endoparasitoid larvae grow inside their hosts and rely on a variety of weapons, including polydnaviruses and venom proteins that are typically injected with parasitoid eggs and disturb the host’s immune defence, or development [11,12]. Dinocampus coccinellae is a Braconidae from the Helconoid subfamily in which no polydnavirus had been found. However, we identified a virus, named D. coccinellae paralysis virus (DcPV), in the head of parasitized ladybeetles. Given (i) the delay between oviposition by D. coccinellae and the onset of bodyguard behaviour in C. maculata, (ii) that the parasitoid is no longer in physical contact with its host during the behaviour manipulation, and (iii) the frequent and diverse roles played by viruses in host–parasitoid relationships, we hypothesized that DcPV could be associated with D. coccinellae and infective for the nervous tissue of the coccinellid host, thus participating in the behaviour manipulation.

2. Material and methods
The detailed material and methods are available in the electronic supplementary material.
(a) The bodyguard behaviour: a neurological disorder
We initially used behavioural assays to analyse the symptoms that characterize the bodyguard behaviour (electronic supplementary material, Note S1). Parasitized ladybeetles are partially paralysed and exhibit tremors, gait disturbance and slow limited movements. These symptoms suggest a severe neurological disorder (electronic supplementary material, Note S1, figure S1 and Videos S1–S6).

(b) Comparative transcriptomic analysis identifies a RNA virus in parasitoids and parasitized ladybeetles
RNA sequencing data were used to generate *de novo* the transcriptomes of *C. maculata* and *D. coccinellae*. Then, for each sample, reads were mapped against the reference transcriptomes and differentially expressed transcripts were identified (data not shown). Among the transcripts significantly upregulated in the head of parasitized ladybeetles, we identified numerous sequences highly similar to picorna-like virus polyproteins. These partial sequences were aligned and used to generate primers to sequence the complete virus genome (figure 2). Interestingly, DcPV was also present in parasitoid larvae.

(c) Molecular characterization of *Dinocampus coccinellae* paralysis virus
PCR, RACE-PCR, cloning and sequencing of the DcPV genome yielded a continuous sequence of 10 138 nucleotides, excluding the poly(A) tail (GenBank KF843822) (electronic supplementary material, Note S2 and table S2). The genome has one large open reading frame (ORF), from nucleotides 820 to 9840, that encodes a predicted polyprotein of 3007 residues (figure 2; electronic supplementary material, figure S2). The structural proteins are encoded by the N-terminal part of the precursor, and the non-structural helicase, protease and RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (RdRp) were encoded by the C-terminal part (electronic supplementary material, Note S2). The predicted proteins share functional motifs characteristic of picorna-like viruses and picornaviruses (electronic supplementary material, Note S2, and figures S3 and S4). The 5′ non-translated region was highly structured and included a cloverleaf-like structure and a 5′-UUUA-3′ loop similar to hairpin structures found in other picorna-like viruses (electronic supplementary material, Note S3 and figure S5). The phylogenetic analysis of conserved RdRp domains of viruses of Iflaviridae, Dicistroviridae and Picornaviridae further confirmed that DcPV is a member of the Iflaviridae family; it is closely related to VcPLV and NvitV-1, which have been found in the ichneumonid *Venturia canescens* [15] and the ptetomalid *Nasonia vitripennis* [16], respectively (figure 3; electronic supplementary material, table S3).

### Supplementary material
- [Note S1](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/)
- [Figure S1](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/)
- [Videos S1–S6](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/)
- [Table S1](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/)
- [Table S2](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/)
- [Table S3](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/)
- [Table S4](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/)
- [Figure S2](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/)
- [Figure S3](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/)
- [Figure S4](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/)
- [Figure S5](http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/)
Dinocampus coccinellae paralysis virus is associated to the parasitoid wasp Dinocampus coccinellae

In early development (e.g. eggs collected five days after oviposition, Be D5), DcPV genomes were below detectable level, suggesting that very few virus particles are present at this stage (figure 4). Thirteen days post-oviposition (Be D13), we collected either eggs or larva. Interestingly, virus was absent in eggs but abundant following egg hatching, resulting in a high variance at this time point. During larval development, virus load increased significantly (figure 4a,b, Be D20). In the adult stage, viral genome abundance was significantly higher than in larva, whereas the replication intermediates were significantly lower (figure 4). It resulted in a ratio of positive-strand to negative-strand genomes of about 100 : 1 in larva and 3000 : 1 in adult wasps, suggesting a high viral replication.
in larva and the storage of non-replicating viruses in adults. This is different from other described vertically transmitted endoparasitoid viruses that mainly replicate in the female oviducts and are produced in abundance to be injected within the host at oviposition [12]. As Iflaviruses are known to be released by virus-induced cell lysis or autophagy [18,19], this low replication in adult parasitoids could limit its pathogenicity towards D. coccinellae.

To assess if DcPV is transmitted to eggs, we studied the ultrastructure of the ovary and oviduct of parasitoid females by transmission electron microscopy (TEM). Virus particles of about 27 nm in diameter were found in large unilamellar vesicles of up to 2 μm in diameter in cells lining the oviductal lumen (figure 4c). Some vesicles were packed full of viruses, leading to their arrangement into a crystal-like structure characteristic of picorna-like viruses (figure 4d). However, no virus particle was observed within the oviduct lumen. This is the first observation of unilamellar vesicles within which viruses are packed in abundance. This new structure may have arisen from parasitoid and Iflavirus coevolution. Symbiosis has been shown to favour the formation of specialized and well-adapted structures including organs containing specialized cells hosting symbionts called bacteriocytes (inhabited by bacteria), mycetocytes (inhabited by yeast), virocytes (inhabited by viruses) or algocytes (inhabited by algae) [20]. These cells contain symbiosomes, i.e. intracellular vacuoles that contain one or more endocytebiotes [21]. Mechanistic studies would be necessary to demonstrate the adaptive value of the vesicles containing viruses found in the oviduct of D. coccinellae.

Overall, we provide numerous clues suggesting that DcPV is associated to D. coccinellae. Iflaviruses were first discovered in insects of economic importance (honeybee and silkworm); they are highly pathogenic and may cause colony collapse [17]. However, regarding DcPV, potential pathogenicity has not been observed in adult D. coccinellae, and similar observations were made for non-pathogenic VcPLV and NvitV-1 [12,15,16]. Nonetheless, the impact of DcPV, VcPLV and NvitV-1 on the parasitoid’s physiology has not been investigated yet. Therefore, efforts should be made to generate non-infected parasitoid control lines to compare the life-history traits of control and natural individuals and decide on either parasitism (negative impact on parasitoid fitness), commensalism (no effect on parasitoid fitness) or mutualism (beneficial effects on parasitoid fitness). Alternatively, it would be possible to compare the fitness of individuals with different virus loads to assess how the virus impacts its host.

(e) Dinocampus coccinellae paralysis virus replicates in the cerebral ganglia of parasitized ladybeetles

To investigate if DcPV could be involved in the neurological disorder that characterizes manipulated coccinellid hosts, positive and negative strand genomes were quantified within the heads of parasitized ladybeetles—as this tissue was expected to be globally enriched in nervous cells—and data compared with that obtained in the abdomen. Before parasite egression (Be D20, figure 5), a significant increase in virus load in heads and abdomens indicated that the parasitoid larva, in which the virus is actively replicating at this time (see above; figure 4c), transmits DcPV to the ladybeetle. Note that in resistant ladybeetles where parasitoid

Figure 6. Ultrastructure of the neuropile of parasitized ladybeetles before larval egression. Virus particles were abundant in the cytoplasm of glial cells. (a,c) Numerous vacuoles formed within the neuropile. (b) Viruses were always abundant around lipid droplets and (c) in vacuoles that formed within glial cells. (c) Multilamellar structures were seen surrounding virus particles. a, axon; m, mitochondria; g, glia; t, trachea; l, lipid droplet; arrow heads, virus particles; black arrows, vacuoles; thin arrows, multilamellar structures. Scale bars, 500 nm.
eggs had been encapsulated (figure 5), no DcPV was detected, suggesting that DcPV do not replicate in the absence of a developing parasitoid larva. In addition, the quantity of viral genomes was significantly higher in abdomens than in heads (figure 5b, Be D20, p < 0.001), whereas in contrast the quantity of replication intermediates was not significantly different in heads and in abdomens. It resulted in a ratio of positive-strand to negative-strand genomes of 2500 : 1 in abdomens and 150 : 1 in heads, suggesting a higher replication of DcPV in heads, and a certain affinity of the virus for nervous tissues (neurotropism). Interestingly, neurotropism has been associated with paralytic symptoms of other picorna-like viruses such as Poliovirus [22,23], Aphid Lethal Paralysis Virus [24] and Chronic bee paralysis virus [25]. Remarkably, recovery of normal behaviour is associated with a significant reduction in virus load (figure 5).

To confirm DcPV neurotropism, we examined the ultrastructure of the nervous system over the course of parasitoid development using TEM. We initially studied the architecture and ultrastructure of cerebral ganglia of the healthy ladybeetle (electronic supplementary material, figure S7). It was very similar to Drosophila cerebral ganglia: a neural lamella surrounds the outer cortex of neural cell bodies and the central neuropile formed by neurites (electronic supplementary material, figure S7a) [26]. The neuropile is made up of a mass of nervous fibres crossing in all directions [26]. Glia between axons was observed as thin sections of cytoplasm. Glia cytoplasm protruded into the neuropile, where it could wrap around small axon bundles or individual axons (electronic supplementary material, figure S7b). In TEM, axons appear off-white and granular while glial cytoplasm is grey (electronic supplementary material, figure S7b). Lipid droplets were observed as well as trachea (electronic supplementary material, figure S7c).

Before larva egression, the overall ultrastructure of the neuropile was unchanged (figure 6a). However, glial cells in the periphery of the neuropile were packed with virus particles of about 27 nm (figure 6). Visual observations suggest variations in the density of virus particles in the tissue, with a higher infection in the neuropile’s periphery (not shown). No virus particle was found within axons. However, viruses were always associated with lipid droplets (figure 6b). Interestingly, all positive-strand viruses rely on lipids to replicate, mostly from the organelle membrane but sometimes from lipid droplets [27]. For example, lipid droplets play a key role in hepatitis C virus and rotavirus replication [28,29]. Thus, DcPV may use lipid droplets in a similar way to replicate in the ladybeetle nervous tissues. In addition, we observed the appearance of vacuoles within glial cells (figure 6a,c) and multilamellar structures surrounding the viruses (figure 6c).

Following larva egression, the predicted neuropathy was confirmed. Glial cells were highly vacuolated (figure 7), and axon swelling was sometimes observed (figure 7b). Multilamellar membranous structures accumulated in axons (figure 7c) and phagosomes were observed within the soma of neurons (figure 7d), confirming neuron degeneration. Autophagolysosomes observed after parasitoid egression could
also be associated with antiviral immune defence [30–32]. Indeed, autophagy is a key feature of innate antiviral immunity, although mechanisms are poorly understood [30–32].

Finally, vacuolization was limited in ladybeetles that recovered from bodyguard manipulation and survived parasitism, and we found few phagosomes and phagolysosomes. However, highly electron-dense glial cells expanded between the cortex and neuropile (figure 8a,b). These glial cells were observed surrounding axons and axon bundles (figure 8c,d). Images were characteristic of a strong glial regenerative response, i.e. the expansion of electron-dense glial cells around axons and axon bundles [33]. In Drosophila and cockroach, stabbing injury induces proliferation of glial cells and phagocytosis of cellular debris, which restore glial numbers, axonal enwrapping and normal nervous system function [33–37]; glia promote axonal regrowth and protect against axonal degeneration and neuronal death. Therefore, the spreading of glia in the neuropile of ladybeetles and engulfment of axons could explain how normal brain functions are restored and how the ladybeetle recovers from paralysis.

DePV replication in glia may directly or indirectly alter the behaviour of the ladybeetle by glial cell lysis. Indeed, insect glia play a role in behavioural regulation via neurotransmitter clearance. Thus, glial cell lysis could ultimately affect vision, locomotion, sexual behaviour and host survival [38]. Also, neurons greatly depend on glia, so when glia integrity is threatened by DePV replication, it would indirectly affect neuronal functioning. Alternatively, the virus may have been transmitted to neurons, which would explain the induction of autophagosis involved in virus clearing (xenophagosis) [30–32]. It has been demonstrated that xenophagic degradation allows virus clearance and limits virus replication [30,37,39]. Therefore, DePV spread to neurons could result in a transient alteration of the central nervous system that is restored once the viruses have been eliminated. The impaired locomotion and overall lack of ladybeetle motricity are the most remarkable symptoms of the bodyguard behaviour. Based on our observations of the cerebral ganglia, we assume that the DePV induced neuropathy spread to the entire nervous system, including the abdominal and thoracic ganglia. The latter is involved in the control of locomotion by innervating the legs and wings. Some aspects of the bodyguard behaviour, including the tremors and reduced reflex, may also be owing to other indirect side effects of the neuropathy, such as changes in ion concentration in haemolymph owing to malphighian tubule malfunction, endocrine disruption or an impairment of the processing of sensory information collected by antennae and eyes.

(f) The role of the antiviral immune response

Presence of virus particles in the ladybeetle is expected to induce an antiviral response. We followed transcript levels of key genes involved in antiviral autophagy (Toll 7 and PI3K) and in antiviral RNA interference (Dicer 2, Ago 2, R2D2 and C3PO) throughout the infectious process (figure 9; electronic supplementary material, table S5 and figure S8) [32,39,40]. In abdomens, no significant variation of gene expression was observed except for a downregulation of Dicer 2 after egression and an upregulation of C3PO, a homologue of R2D2 [41], in recovering individuals. However,
Ago 2, R2D2, Toll7 and PI3K were found significantly upregulated in ladybeetles resistant to parasitism (figure 9). Of significance, Dicer 2, Ago2, Toll 7 and PI3K expression was significantly downregulated in parasitized ladybeetle heads at D5 and D13 post-oviposition (figure 9). Their expression in heads was re-established from D20 onward, whereas R2D2 expression was significantly downregulated from D20 onward. Thus, the transient downregulation of multiple genes involved in the antiviral response in the ladybeetle nervous tissue could allow DcPV neurotropism in the first stages of the infectious process. Then, the re-establishment of an antiviral immune response in ladybeetle nervous tissue correlates with the appearance of phagolysosomes and with the onset of the bodyguard behaviour and result in the virus elimination. Thus, healthy ladybeetles are equipped to recognize and eliminate the virus. The antiviral immune suppression (that may be induced by the developing D. coccinellae larva or by DcPV itself) could trigger the observed transient pathogenic infection and accumulation of DcPV in the nervous tissue. Then, elimination of the consequently high virus load could trigger the neuropathy responsible for the paralysis. A link between antiviral immune responses in nervous tissues and behavioural changes has previously been observed in other host–virus interactions. Immune response and associated widespread inflammatory response are known to be involved in behavioural changes induced by the Borna disease virus [42] or in patients with rabies [43]. Here, the antiviral immune response could result in the accumulation of phagosomes that induce paralytic symptoms characterizing the bodyguard behaviour.

4. Concluding remarks

Until now, studies of the mechanisms responsible for host behaviour manipulation have mostly focused on neuro-modulatory systems [1,4,44,45]. On rare occasions, a single parasite gene explains the extended phenotype [5]. Here, we revealed the involvement of a third protagonist, a symbiotic virus of the wasp—called DcPV—that is transmitted to the host during parasite larval development and is neurotropic. The presence of unilamellar vesicles containing large amounts of DcPV particles within the oviduct cells of D. coccinellae suggests that DcPV could be transmitted to the wasp eggs. Our results suggest that changes in ladybeetle behaviour most likely result from DcPV replication in the cerebral ganglia rather than by a direct manipulation by the parasitic wasp. We propose a theoretical scenario within which DcPV is employed as a biological weapon by D. coccinellae (life cycles in figure 10).

Further experiments are now necessary to characterize the nature of the symbiosis between D. coccinellae and DcPV. It remains unknown if symbiotic individuals display a better fitness than asymbiotic individuals and if the...
symbiosis is obligate for the host. In addition, and in order to validate the proposed scenario, the effect of DcPV and D. coccinellae on C. maculata physiology should be tested independently via a combination of RNA interference and injection of purified viruses. These complementary analyses will also provide information regarding the surprisingly precise simultaneous timing of larvae egression, re-establishment of antiviral immune response, accumulation of phagosomes and the induction of bodyguard behaviour by determining which mechanisms is responsible for the induction of the others.

The role of associated microorganisms, including eukaryotes, bacteria and viruses, in all components of organisms’ biology has been recently revealed [46]. This study contributes to the realization that host–parasite interactions should be considered as holobiont–holobiont interactions [47] by revealing that parasite-associated microorganisms could participate in all components of host exploitation strategies, including behaviour manipulation.
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