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Wild grapes, considered as the putative ancestor of the cultivated form, represent the only endemic taxon of the Vitaceae in Europe and the Maghreb. The species has become highly threatened because of habitat loss and intensive forest exploitation.

In this work, we analyzed genetic aspects that could shed light on the evolution and conservation of wild grapes that still be found in forests along river banks in Tunisia. We examined the genetic structure of twenty populations using 10 nuclear SSR loci. Vitis sylvestris has a high level of genetic variation with 84.5% of the loci assayed being polymorphic, a mean number of alleles of 10.4, and a mean heterozygosity per population of 0.7546. We calculated Wright’s FST statistic to estimate gene flow indirectly and to evaluate whether or not there was genetic structuring among populations. We found a marked differentiation among populations according to FST values and clustering. AMOVA has shown that most of the variation was partitioned between rather than among populations. A significant pattern of isolation by distance was found which implies that each population would constitute a distinct pool of genetic variation that warrants conservation.

Finally, the exponential curve of the relationship between genetic distances and demographic data strongly suggests that due to populations’ small sizes and fragmentation, V. sylvestris is actually at high risk of extinction in Tunisia. Therefore, all studied populations are valuable for conservation.

© 2013 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.
were also described (Zoghlami, 2007). For instance, wild grapes are hermaphrodite. The general shape and the opening/male or female (Zoghlami et al., 2003) while cultivated accessions are diocious (sex separate: either male or female). The wild grapevine accessions have also been collected and are grown in a greenhouse in the CBBC centre. This study is based on 58 grapevine wild accessions subdivided onto 20 populations selected as representatives of the distribution range of the subspecies V. vinifera ssp. sylvestris in Tunisia. The analyzed accessions were discriminated based on several traits including mainly the mating system (flower sex; OIV. 151) which is the most discriminating criterion between the wild and the cultivated grapes. In fact, wild grapevines are dioecious (sex separate: either male or female) (Zoghlami et al., 2003) while cultivated accessions are hermaphrodite. The general shape (OIV. 079) and the opening/overlapping of the petiolar sinus of mature leaves (OIV. 079-1) were also described (Zoghlami et al., 2007). For instance, wild grapes possessed a U shaped petiolar sinus opening, whereas leaves of Tunisian cultivated grapes were characterized by overlapping petiolar sinus. The habitat preference was also considered since wild accessions grow in humid soils, while cultivars prefer dry habitats (Zoghlami et al., 2003). The wild grapevine accessions have also been collected and are grown ex situ in a greenhouse in the CBBC centre. Origin, sample size and geographical characteristics for the sampled populations of Tunisian wild grapes are presented in Table 1.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Plant material

This study is based on 58 grapevine wild accessions subdivided onto 20 populations selected as representatives of the distribution range of the subspecies V. vinifera ssp. sylvestris in Tunisia. The analyzed accessions were discriminated based on several traits including mainly the mating system (flower sex; OIV. 151) which is the most discriminating criterion between the wild and the cultivated grapes. In fact, wild grapevines are dioecious (sex separate: either male or female) (Zoghlami et al., 2003) while cultivated accessions are hermaphrodite. The general shape (OIV. 079) and the opening/overlapping of the petiolar sinus of mature leaves (OIV. 079-1) were also described (Zoghlami et al., 2007). For instance, wild grapes possessed a U shaped petiolar sinus opening, whereas leaves of Tunisian cultivated grapes were characterized by overlapping petiolar sinus. The habitat preference was also considered since wild accessions grow in humid soils, while cultivars prefer dry habitats (Zoghlami et al., 2003). The wild grapevine accessions have also been collected and are grown ex situ in a greenhouse in the CBBC centre. Origin, sample size and geographical characteristics for the sampled populations of Tunisian wild grapes are presented in Table 1.

2.2. Molecular analysis

DNA was isolated from young leaves frozen in liquid nitrogen and ground in a mortar according to the protocol for DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). PCR amplifications were performed in 20 μl reactions consisting of 2.25 mM MgCl₂, 250 μM of each dNTP, 10 pmol of each primer, 1X PCR buffer, 0.5 U of Taq DNA polymerase (Qiagen), and 20 ng DNA. PCR conditions were 35 cycles of a 1 min denaturation at 94 °C, a 1 min annealing at 56 °C, and a 2 min extension at 72 °C. Electrophoresis was carried out using an automated ABI PRISM™ 3100 DNA capillary sequencing apparatus (Applied Biosystems) running GENESCAN software through ABI PRISM™ 3100 GA POP 4TM. A total of 10 nuclear SSR loci were selected: VVS2 (Thomas and Scott, 1993), VVMD5, VVMD7, VVMD21, VVMD24, VVMD27, VVMD28, VVMD32 (Bowers et al., 1996, 1999), and VVIP60 and VVIP31 for their position on 10 different linkage groups (Adam-Blondon et al., 2004). Four of these markers (VVS2, VVMD5, VVMD7 and VVMD27) have been chosen as a core set for the screening of grapevine collections in Europe (This et al., 2004).

2.3. Data analysis

All estimates were made based on ten microsatellite loci. We obtained allele and genotype mean number, observed and expected heterozygosities and genetic identities using identity 4.0 software (Wagner and Sefc, 1999).

Fixation indices (Wright, 1965) for each locus and population were determined using POPGENE 1.32 (Yeh et al., 1995). Gene flow (Nm) was estimated according to (Whitlock and McCauley, 1999). Mean Nm value was used to obtain indirect estimates of effective population size per neighborhood (Nb; Slatkin and Barton, 1989).

The component of within and between population diversity was estimated using AMOVA analysis of Arlequin ver. 3.5 software (Excoffier and Lischer, 2010).

Isolation by distance was analyzed with the method proposed by Slatkin (1993). Thus, gene flow (log (FST/(1–FST))) was plotted against the geographic distances log (km).

To describe the genetic relationships among populations, the UPGMA derived dendrogram (Sneath and Sokal, 1973) was obtained using the matrix of pair-wise Fst values loaded in Darwin software (5.0.148 version; available through: http://darwin.cirad.fr/darwin). The reconstructed tree was used to describe the demographic status of the species as proposed by Moritz (1996) for conservation studies. This method is easily applied if phylogenetic relations among populations can be established. It is based on the idea that expanding populations are expected to show a star-like phylogeny that would result in a parabolic relation between the genetic distance and the logarithm of the size of the populations following the UPGMA analysis. On the contrary, stable populations would show a strongly structured phylogeny and would result in an exponential relationship.

To support the considerations made on genetic exchange, population structure and isolation by distance within wild grapes, a Bayesian analysis using STRUCTURE version 2.3.3 (Pritchard et al., 2000; Kumar et al., 2009) was also performed following the admixture model with a burn in of 50,000 and a number of MCMC repetitions of 50,000. The objective was to test the hypothesis of the genetic distinctiveness of the studied populations.

3. Results

3.1. Genetic polymorphism

For the accessions under study, all 10 tested SSRs generated multiple fragments. The number of alleles ranged from 6 (VVMD5) to 17 (VVMD28) with a total of 104 alleles and an average of 10.4 alleles per locus. The number of observed genotypes ranged from 11 (VVMD21, VVMD5) to 24 (VVMD28) with an average of 17.4 and a total of 174 (Table 2). The expected heterozygosity varied between 0.621 (VVIP60) and 0.855 (VV52). Except VVMD28, VVIP60, VVMD24 and VVMD7 loci, observed heterozygosity was lower than expected indicating heterozygote deficiency across Tunisian wild grapes in the other studied loci.
Number of observed alleles, expected heterozygosity and PI values indicate VVMD28 as the most informative marker and VVMDC21 as the least informative (Table 2).

The probability of sampling identical genotypes (PI) varied between 0.071 for VVMDC28 and 0.374 for VVMDC21 locus and the overall probability of genetic identity (IC) was $4.86 \times 10^{-10}$, indicating a high discriminating power of the analyzed microsatellite markers (Table 2). Markers VVMDC28, VVMDC32 and VIP60 proved indicating a high discriminating power of the analyzed microsatellite markers (Table 2).

Table 2: Total number of alleles and genotypes, expected heterozygosity (He), observed heterozygosity (Ho), probability of identity (PI), FIS, FST, FIT, Nm, and Nb estimates across 10 SSR primers in 20 wild grapevine populations from Tunisia.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SSR</th>
<th>Alleles</th>
<th>Genotypes</th>
<th>He</th>
<th>Ho</th>
<th>Pl</th>
<th>Fis</th>
<th>Fit</th>
<th>Fst</th>
<th>Nm</th>
<th>Nb</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>VVMDC28</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.778</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.071</td>
<td>-0.38963</td>
<td>0.1089</td>
<td>0.43943</td>
<td>0.3189</td>
<td>2.0026</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VVMDC27</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.668</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>-0.15738</td>
<td>0.27372</td>
<td>0.37248</td>
<td>0.4211</td>
<td>2.6445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VVMDC21</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.742</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.374</td>
<td>-0.54738</td>
<td>0.14856</td>
<td>0.44075</td>
<td>0.3058</td>
<td>1.9204</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIP60</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.621</td>
<td>0.616</td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td>-0.38209</td>
<td>0.12507</td>
<td>0.36736</td>
<td>0.4305</td>
<td>2.7035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VVMDC5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.829</td>
<td>0.48</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td>-0.23602</td>
<td>0.4133</td>
<td>0.52533</td>
<td>0.2258</td>
<td>1.418</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VIPF31</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.833</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>-0.08762</td>
<td>0.29927</td>
<td>0.35573</td>
<td>0.4527</td>
<td>2.8429</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VVMDC32</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.773</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>-0.14818</td>
<td>0.1102</td>
<td>0.22503</td>
<td>0.8609</td>
<td>5.4064</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VVMDC24</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.778</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.107</td>
<td>-0.18002</td>
<td>0.0439</td>
<td>0.12131</td>
<td>1.8108</td>
<td>11.3718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VSVS2</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.855</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.094</td>
<td>-0.46461</td>
<td>0.05045</td>
<td>0.35167</td>
<td>0.4608</td>
<td>2.8938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VVMDC7</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.791</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>0.102</td>
<td>-0.36005</td>
<td>0.07864</td>
<td>0.20691</td>
<td>0.9583</td>
<td>6.0181</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mean: 10.4 | 17.4 | 0.76 | 0.68 | 0.134 | (-0.40343 to -0.20372) | (0.05010 - 0.2374) | (0.25577 - 0.41064) | 0.62456 | 3.9222

3.2. Population structure

Primers varied in their ability to detect variation at within population level. The within populations Ho varied from 37% for VVMDC21 primer to 87% for VVMDC7 (Table 2). On average over all primers, the population with the smallest sample size (population 15) exhibited the lowest level of within-population genetic diversity (mean Ho 0.4159), while the other populations displayed mean Ho ranging between 0.4852 and 1.1348 (Table 3). Population 1 with the highest size was the most variable (mean Ho 1.1348).

Per population, percentage of polymorphic loci varied from 60% (population 20) to 100% (populations 1, 5, 11 and 18), with a mean value of 84.5%. Observed heterozygosity varied from 0.5333 (Population 20) to 0.9 (populations 4, 9, 10, 13 and 17), with an average of 0.75, and expected heterozygosity ranged between 0.3867 (population 20) and 1 (population 18), with an average of 0.6648.

Except FIS values, all Wright’s F statistics for polymorphic loci were positive and significantly (P < 0.01; Table 2) different from zero. The mean FIS value is quite low (–0.316, with a 99% confidence interval from (–0.40343 to –0.20372)), which suggests that on average there is an excess of heterozygotes. FST values were very heterogeneous (0.121–0.525; Table 2) with an average value of 0.34. That is, 34% of the genetic variation is explained by differences among populations. All FST values were significantly different from zero. Therefore, many of the values obtained for FIT are due to differences among populations. FIT values ranged from 0.0439 to 0.299, with a mean of 0.165 and a confidence interval between 0.05010 and 0.23748 (P < 0.05). Gene flow (Nm) estimates ranged between 0.2258 and 1.8108 (average 0.62456), which suggests that there is relatively little genetic exchange among V. sylvestris populations. Effective neighborhood size (Nb; Slatkin and Barton, 1989) was moderate (1.418 to 11.3718, with a mean of 3.9222), suggesting that few individuals are contributing allelic variants and that gene flow may be insufficient to prevent differentiation among populations (Table 2).

This moderate differentiation was best displayed by the UPGMA FST derived dendrogram (Fig. 1) on which, population 6 was released as the most differentiated from the others and populations 4, 7, 8, 9, 13, 15, 18 and 19, with the smallest sample sizes were the least differentiated ones.
The variance components of within and between populations detected with AMOVA were 29.43% and 70.57% of the total variance, respectively, which were both significant (p < 0.001) (Table 4). It seems clear that while most of the variation is partitioned between populations, there is still considerable variation within populations.

### 3.3. Evidence for isolation by distance pattern in wild grapes

The tests for isolation by distance (IBD) are more clear-cut with the log-transformed data. Thus, to illustrate the relationship between gene flow and geographic distance, log \([F_{ST}/(1−F_{ST})]\) was plotted against log (km) according to Slatkin (1993) (Fig. 2). Despite from the low coefficient of determination \(R^2 = 0.0214\), the regression line \(y = 0.3115x\) shows a significant relationship between gene flow and geographic distance. That is, increasing exchange of genes between populations grows in close proximity and little gene flow occurs between geographically distant populations, as proposed for natural populations by the isolation-by-distance model (Slatkin, 1993). Conversely, values of \(F_{ST}/(1−F_{ST})\) ranged from a null value of 0 to a moderate value of 1.2809, averaging 0.5129, which also indicated moderate gene flow between the twenty studied wild grapevine populations (data not shown).

Estimated population structure of wild grapes and surface population using STRUCTURE (Pritchard et al., 2000; Kumar et al., 2009) for \(K = 20\) (Fig. 3) have shown that the most distinct populations were 2, 3, 5, 6, 14, 17 and 20 (Table 1). In accordance to cluster analysis, the latter were also released as the most differentiated ones when based upon the Fst derived dendrogram (Fig. 1).
For the remaining populations, they do not seem to derive from the hybridization of the former populations, since per population, each individual is represented by a thin vertical line which is partitioned into K segments (that represent its estimated population group membership fractions) shaded by other different colors each. Hence, the hypothesis of the occurrence of private admixture patterns per each wild grapevine population can be forwarded and the isolation by distance model can therefore be supported.

4. Discussion

Currently, wild grapevines (*V. sylvestris*) are endangered throughout all their distribution range (Di Vecchi-Staraz et al., 2009), and conservation efforts are required to maintain the genetic integrity and survival of the remnant populations. Within this context, in the present study, our aim was to apply population genetics methods to decipher the population structure in *V. sylvestris*. The first goal was to use recently developed microsatellite markers (Zoghlami et al., 2009) to characterize the genetic diversity and structure in wild grapes and the second was to assess the relationship between geographic and genetic (*FST*) distances in order to determine whether or not there was an isolation by distance pattern (IBD). The information on the amount and distribution of wild grapevine genetic diversity would guide various plans for the setting up of rational conservation strategies.

4.1. Genetic variation and structure

Nuclear microsatellite markers are frequently used to estimate genetic variation in cultivated grapes (Grassi et al., 2008), but have rarely been applied in the study of wild plants, although unlinked microsatellites are informative as far as they represent independent chromosomal markers.

We expected that *V. sylvestris* with small and fragmented populations would have low levels of genetic variation. However, it shows genetic variation levels close to those found for cultivated grapes (Zoghlami et al., 2009). Mean allele number per locus (10.4) is comparable to values found in *V. sylvestris* (Grassi et al., 2008), though *V. sylvestris* is neither wide-ranging nor with large population sizes. Expected heterozygosity (0.76) also falls within the range of values calculated for wild grapes (Grassi et al., 2008).

The mean FIS value was quite low (–0.316) and suggests that on average there is an excess of heterozygotes. Besides, FST and FIT values indicate that 34% of the genetic variation is explained by differences among populations. The average Gene flow (*Nm*) estimate (0.62456) suggests that there is relatively little genetic exchange among *V. sylvestris* populations and the moderate effective neighborhood size (N; Slatkin and Barton, 1989) implies that few individuals are contributing allelic variants and that gene flow may be insufficient to prevent differentiation among populations (Table 2).

The aforementioned low gene flow was also revealed between the wild grapevine populations analyzed here and the set of Tunisian autochthonous grapevines (61 cv.) previously genotyped by the same 10 nSSR markers (Zoghlami et al., 2009) while searching for possible parent-off-spring relationships (Zoghlami, 2007). The unsuccessful characterization of parentage between both grapevine sub-species, though old and extinct cultivars were included in this study may be due to physical barriers between both grapevine compartments. Indeed, wild grapes occur in natural closed landscape of forests, whereas the cultivated forms occur very far from the former. Therefore, there seems to be less correlation between reproductive success (gene flow) and distance. Even so, conservation efforts should focus on the remnant populations of wild grapevine to maintain their genetic integrity as well as their survival. In fact, maintenance of allelic richness especially rare alleles must be prioritized because these genotypes may harbor rare characteristics being potentially useful for breeding purposes or for the identification of molecular markers associated to particular environmental adaptations as well as some resistances to crop diseases.

Conversely, *V. sylvestris* has significant levels of genetic differentiation, with 70.57% of the total variation due to differences between populations, as shown by AMOVA. This is consistent with the findings from other analyses of woody plant populations showing that considerable genetic diversity is partitioned between, rather than among populations (Turpein et al., 2001).

The mean FST values found for wild grapes are within the range of values reported for species with discontinuous distributions where topography could act as a natural barrier to gene flow (Grassi et al., 2008).

4.2. Genetic relations and isolation by distance

The isolation by distance analysis clearly shows a significant pattern, suggesting that the distribution of genetic variation is explained by the geographic distances separating the populations (Fig. 2). The three populations (1, 11 and 12) with the highest levels of genetic variation (highest index of genotypic diversity H0; Table 3) formed one group (Fig. 1). The rest of the populations formed a second group and include the ones with the least genetic variation values.

The reduced population sizes and geographic isolation might underlie the observed genetic structure. Therefore, one historical scenario may account for our results. Here, genetic differentiation would depend on the low gene flow levels, population sizes, and the degree of geographical isolation; a particular spatial genetic structure, called the isolation by distance (IBD) pattern. Indirect estimates of dispersal, based on population genetics, provide several means to test for IBD (Rousset, 1997; Smouse and Peakall, 1999; Hardy and Vekemans, 1999; Fenster et al., 2003), namely by testing the null hypothesis of increasing population genetic differentiation with geographic distance (Epperson et al., 1999). In the
absence of selection, departure from the IBD pattern may indicate stochastic dispersal processes resulting either in a panmictic unit, where intense random gene flow tends to erase population differentiation (Zeller et al., 2004).

In nature, isolation by distance (IBD) is often observed in natural plant species where the likelihood of gene flow is inversely related to distance (Grassi et al., 2008). It is the result of limited gene flow, where the probability of gene flow between 2 populations is a function of the geographical distance between them (Slatkin, 1993).

Our results on the overall pattern of genetic differentiation conform to isolation by distance model (Fig. 2). Therefore, due to geographic barriers, each of the studied populations would constitute an independent source of genetic variation and a distinct gene pool that warrants conservation.

4.3. Conservation implications

The information available warrants two management and conservation strategies. The first one would consist of an in situ conservation plan that would define core areas completely free from perturbation for at least the most genetically diverse populations (namely populations 1 (H0 = 1.1348) and 11 (H0 = 1.0351)). This would guarantee the maintenance of most of the species’ genetic variation. Nevertheless, FST values suggest that except populations 4, 7, 8, 9, 13, 15, 18 and 19, with the smallest sample sizes, all remaining others are important for conservation because they are differentiated. Conservation plans for wild species should also consider demographic traits of populations such as population sizes (Moritz, 1996). In that respect, we present a simple analysis (Fig. 4) to get an insight about V. sylvestris historical demography. It is based on the idea that expanding populations are expected to show a star-like phylogeny (Moritz, 1996) that would result in a parabolic relation between the genetic distance, determined following the UPGMA analysis and the sample size of populations. On the contrary, stable populations would show a strongly structured phylogeny and would result in an exponential relationship. Our results show that since the curve is exponential, V. sylvestris populations have decreased historically. These results highlight the importance of man produced habitat fragmentation that has affected this endangered species by changing both its demography and its genetic structure and thus it appears as the most critical aspect for an in situ conservation strategy. The second management strategy aims towards ex situ conservation via the establishment of wild grapevine nurseries and national collections that should preserve all studied populations. The genetic and demographic analyses of this study strongly suggest that due to the small size and population fragmentation of the populations, V. sylvestris is actually at risk of extinction. An encouraging fact is that proposals for the establishment of areas free of anthropogenic perturbation have been made by the government forestry officials. However, due to the information gathered in this study, the ex situ conservation still be urgently recommended.
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Fig. 4. Population sample sizes versus genetic distance estimates for Tunisian wild grapes following Moritz (1996).


