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Ersu (Illustrations of the IPA)
Katia Chirkova, Wang Dehe, Yiya Chen, Angélique Amelot, and Tanja Kocjančič Antolik

The Ersu language (/ʃ-ʂʰ xõ/, 尔苏语 ērsūyǔ, ISO-639 code ers) is spoken by approximately 16,800 people who reside in five counties in Sichuan Province (四川省) in the People’s Republic of China: (i) Ganluo (甘洛县), and (ii) Yuexi (越西县) counties of Liangshan Yi Autonomous Prefecture (凉山彝族自治州), (iii) Shimian (石棉县) and (iv) Hanyuan (汉源县) counties of Ya’an Municipality (雅安市), and (iv) Jiulong (九龙县, Written Tibetan, hereafter WT brgyad zur) county of Ganzi (甘孜, WT dkar mdzes) Tibetan Autonomous Prefecture.¹ Ersu has two closely related sister languages: Lizu (/lü⁵⁵-зу⁵⁵- STDERR: wrong tone input: hũ⁵⁵/ or /ly⁵⁵-зу⁵⁵- STDERR: wrong tone input: hũ⁵⁵/) and Duoxu (/du⁵⁵-ɕu⁵⁵-na⁴²/),多须语 duōxūyǔ or 多须语 duōxūyǔ).² Lizu is spoken in the neighbouring counties of (i) Muli Tibetan Autonomous County (木里藏族自治县, WT smi li rang skyong rdzong), (ii) Mianning (冕宁县), and (iii) Jiulong; whereas Duoxu is spoken in the county of Mianning, all in Sichuan province (see Map 1).
Map 1. Distribution of the Ersu, Lizu, and Duoxu languages

In present classifications of Tibeto-Burman languages spoken in Southwest China, Ersu, Lizu, and Duoxu are viewed as three dialects of one Ersu language. The Ersu language is, in turn, classified as a member of the Qiangic subgroup of the Tibeto-Burman language family (for more details, see Bradley 1997:36-37, Sun 2001, Chirkova 2012). In this conception, Lizu is the western dialect of the Ersu language, Duoxu is its central dialect, and Ersu proper is the eastern dialect of the Ersu language. In contrast to this received view and in accordance with the fact that differences between Lizu, Duoxu, and Ersu surpass the limit of mutual intelligibility (Sun 1982, Chirkova 2014), we consider Lizu, Duoxu, and Ersu as separate languages, and not as dialects of one Ersu language (Yu 2012: 1). The phonological, lexical, and morphosyntactic differences between Ersu, Lizu, and Duoxu are likely to be in part due to the competing influences of the languages with which they are in contact. More specifically, Ersu has been historically influenced by Pumi (普米), Nuosu (Northern Ngwi or Yi 彝), and Mandarin Chinese (the local variety of Southwest Mandarin, hereafter SW Mandarin) (Wu Da 2010:3). By contrast, Lizu has been influenced by Tibetan, Pumi, and Namuzi (纳木兹) languages (Chirkova & Chen 2013). Finally, Duoxu has been essentially influenced by SW Mandarin as well as by Nuosu (Chirkova 2014).
The main focus of this illustration is on a synchronic analysis of Ersu proper. (For more comparatively- and diachronically-oriented studies of Ersu, Lizu, and Duoxu, the interested reader is referred to Yu, 2012; Chirkova & Handel, 2013a; Chirkova, 2014.)

Ersu is relatively little researched, but the group and its language and culture have been receiving increasing attention in recent years (e.g. Wang 2010, Wu Da 2010, Schmidt 2011, Zhang 2013, 2014). Early linguistic accounts include Sun (1982, 1983) and Liu (2007 [1983]), which focus on the Ersu as spoken in Ganluo county.

Ersu is an endangered language. It is essentially used as the primary language of oral communication in family and community events. Older Ersu speakers (typically above their sixties) are mostly trilingual (Ersu, SW Mandarin, Nuosu). Over the last three decades, most Ersu speakers have been bilingual using SW Mandarin in daily life. The current trend for the school-going generation is to become practically monolingual in Mandarin. Ersu has its own pictographic writing system, known as shaba 沙巴 (Ersu /ʂăpá/ ‘ritual priest’) writing, which is chiefly used by Bon priests (e.g. Sun 2009, Wang 2011).

The present illustration provides a preliminary description of Ersu on the basis of data from three speakers: two male speakers in their early sixties; and one female speaker, in her early forties; all born and raised in Ganluo county (Zela Township 则拉, Liangshan group 凉山组, Mofanggou village 磨坊沟村 /dʑělắ lò, ʐáká́ʐgy fy, nónDʑỳ pá/). Given the phonetic complexity of the consonant and vowel sounds of Ersu (including a number of typologically uncommon trilled retroflex sounds and phonemic fricative vowels), further research, based on more speakers is required for a comprehensive analysis of this language. In the present illustration, the basic phonetic characteristics of Ersu are described through acoustic, palatographic, aerodynamic, electroglottographic, and video data. We have chosen to illustrate the discussion with audio files (the word list and the text provided with the paper)
as read by the second author, a male native speaker of Ersu. This is because we were in the fortunate position of recording him in a phonetics laboratory, yielding high quality audio recordings. Conversely, palatographic images in the text are from the female speaker. This is because, as the youngest speaker among our language consultants, her dental and palatal condition yielded the clearest images.

**Consonants**

The Ersu consonant inventory consists of 38 phonemes, listed in Table 1. There is a general three-way manner distinction in stops and affricates: voiceless unaspirated, voiceless aspirated, and voiced. Ersu has an extensive system of coronal consonant contrasts in affricates at the dental, alveolar, alveoopalatal, and retroflex places of articulation. Alveoopalatals are marginal. They mainly occur in (recent) loanwords from Mandarin Chinese, such as /kʰà-tɕà-tɕá/ ‘to pick up with chopsticks’ (Chinese jiā 夹, SW Mandarin /tɕia⁴⁴/), /kòtɕó/ ‘legging, puttee’ (Chinese guōjiāo 裹脚, SW Mandarin /ko⁵³tɕio²¹/), /ɕá/ ‘incense’ (Chinese xiāng 香, SW Mandarin /ɕian⁴⁴/).³ In the native vocabulary, alveoopalatals have a restricted distribution, co-occurring only with the vowels /i, o, a/. Examples include /tɕí-tɕí/ ‘to squeeze, to pick up with chopsticks; to cut with scissors’ (possibly, a loanword from Chinese jiǎn 剪 ‘to cut with scissors’, SW Mandarin /tɕian⁵³/), /tɕó/ ‘to wrap, to bind’, /ódzá/ ‘pear’ (see below on vowels).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Bilabial</th>
<th>Labio-</th>
<th>Dental</th>
<th>Alveolar</th>
<th>Alveolo-</th>
<th>Retroflex</th>
<th>Velar</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1: Ersu consonant phonemes
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>dental</th>
<th></th>
<th>palatal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Plosive</td>
<td>p   pʰ b</td>
<td>t</td>
<td>tʰ d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Affricate</td>
<td>tɕ tɕʰ dz</td>
<td>tʂ tʂʰ dz</td>
<td>tɕ tɕʰ dz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nasal</td>
<td>m</td>
<td>n</td>
<td>η</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trill</td>
<td>ʈ</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fricative</td>
<td>f v ʂ ʐ ɕ ʑ x</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Approximant</td>
<td>w ɭ</td>
<td></td>
<td>j</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral</td>
<td></td>
<td>l</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral fricative</td>
<td>ɬ</td>
<td>ɭ́ ɮá</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- p ʰ | pé ʰ | ‘to give as a present’ | ʂ | ʂé ʰ | ‘louse’
- pʰ ʰ | pʰé ʰ | ‘male’ | ʐ | ʐé ʰ | ‘to flow’
- b | bé | ‘insect’ | ɭ | ɭá | ‘fertilizer, manure’
- m | mé | ‘ink’ | ɭ́ | ɭá | ‘month’
- w | wá | ‘Chinese yam’ | tɕ | tɕó | ‘to wrap, to bind’
- f | ff | ‘mildew’ | tɕʰ | tɕʰó | ‘pepper’
- v | ví | ‘tinder’ | dz | dzó | ‘to push’
- t | té | ‘one’ | ɕ | ɕó | ‘to sweep’
- tʰ | tʰé | ‘he, she, it’ | ʐ | ʐó | ‘to soften (skin)’
We studied the four-way contrast in coronals using palatographic analysis techniques (as described in Marchal 1988, Ladefoged 2003: 36-42, and Anderson 2008). The list of words used in the palatographic study consisted of monosyllabic words in common use in the language, each of which included only one coronal consonant. The palatography procedures were to paint the tip, blade, and front of the tongue with a solution of one part olive oil and one part of finely ground activated charcoal. After each word was pronounced, a mirror was placed in the speaker’s mouth, resting against the lower teeth and the reflection of the upper palate and teeth was recorded using a video camera.

*Stops and affricates*
Ersu dental stops and affricates are both produced in the dental region. Dental stops involve contact on both the teeth and most of the alveolar ridge, making them (laminal) denti-alveolar. By contrast, dental affricates involve a smaller contact area, which includes the upper front teeth and the front part of the alveolar ridge. This is illustrated in Figure 1 with the words /dé/ ‘to weave’ and /dzé/ ‘rooster (zodiac sign)’.

Figure 1: Palatograms of the words /dé/ ‘to weave’ and /dzé/ ‘rooster (zodiac sign)’

Alveolar affricates are produced with the tongue touching the middle of the alveolar ridge (laminal flat alveolar). The contrast between dental and alveolar affricates is illustrated in Figure 2 with the minimal pair /dzó/ ‘to lay bricks’ and /dzó/ ‘water’.

Figure 2: Palatograms of the words /dzó/ ‘to lay bricks’ and /dzó/ ‘water’
Alveolopalatal affricates are produced with the blade of the tongue behind the alveolar ridge and the body of the tongue raised toward the palate, thus involving simultaneous alveolar and palatal articulation (cf. “laminal palatalized post-alveolar”, as described in Ladefoged & Maddieson 1996: 153-154). The contrast between alveolar and alveolopalatal affricates is illustrated in Figure 3 with the words /ḍzdó/ ‘water’ and /dzó/ ‘to push’.

Figure 3: Palatograms of the alveolar and alveolopalatal affricates in the words /ḍzdó/ ‘water’ and /dzó/ ‘to push’
Ersu retroflex affricates are produced with the point of contact on the roof of the mouth, that is, in the hard palate. The contact is made with the underside of the tongue (subapical). The articulation of retroflex affricates involves lateral bracing of the tongue against the teeth, so that the tongue tip is free to move to and from the hard palate. Figure 4 contains palatograms of the words /ʈɽò/ ‘gallbladder’ and /ɖɽò/ ‘pot, pan’. As we did not paint the underside of the tongue with a mixture of olive oil and activated charcoal powder, the area of contact is not visible on the image.

Figure 4: Palatograms of the words /ʈɽò/ ‘gallbladder’ and /ɖɽò/ ‘pot, pan’
Ersu retroflex affricates have a trill release. They involve an aerodynamically induced movement of the tip of the tongue, causing intermittent contact between the tip of the tongue and the roof of the mouth. Ersu retroflex affricates are typically single-contact trills. This is illustrated in Figure 5 with the words /ʈɽò/ ‘gallbladder’ and /ɖɽò/ ‘pot, pan’. A contact, that is, a moment of closure of the oral cavity, is reflected on the spectrograms by a period of white space.

Figure 5: Waveforms and spectrograms of /ʈɽò/ ‘gallbladder’ and /ɖɽò/ ‘pot, pan’ (arrows indicate contacts between the tip of the tongue and the roof of the mouth)

When retroflex affricates are followed by fricative vowels, the number of contacts between the tip of the tongue and the roof of the mouth may be increased to three. This is illustrated in Figure 6 with the words /ʈɽ̃v/ ‘sweat’ and /ɖɽ̃v/ ‘tile’.
Figure 6: Waveforms and spectrograms of /ʈɽ̃v̩́/ ‘sweat’ and /Ndɽ̃v̩́/ ‘tile’ (arrows indicate contacts between the tip of the tongue and the roof of the mouth)

Fricatives

Ersu contrasts fricatives at five places of articulation: (i) labiodental, (ii) dental, (iii) alveolar, (iv) alveolopalatal (palatalized laminal post-alveolar), and (v) velar. All but velar show a two-way contrast between voiceless and voiced: /f, v/ (e.g. /fí/ ‘mildew’, /ví/ ‘tinder’), /ʃ, z̪/ (e.g. /ʃɛ́/ ‘air’, /z̪è/ ‘wife’), /ʃ, z̪/ (e.g. /ʃð̪/ ‘blood’, /z̪ό/ ‘to scold; to be in debt’), /ɕ, z̪/ (e.g. /ɕó/ ‘to sweep’, /z̪ό/ ‘to soften (skin)’), /ɕ/ (e.g. /ɕì/ ‘bamboo’).

The voiced labiodental fricative /v/ is contrastive with the voiced labial-velar approximant /w/ before /a/ and /o/, as in /vá/ ‘net’, /wá/ ‘to be full, satisfied’. /f/ has a restricted distribution, occurring only before /i/ (as above) and /ṽ̩/ (as in /f̩v̩́/ ‘garlic’). In the
latter environment, /f/ can be alternatively regarded as the allophone of /x/, as is also the case in SW Mandarin, with which Ersu is in close contact. Consider, for example, the Ersu word for ‘kettle’: /fỳ-fỳ/, which is a loanword from SW Mandarin /fu²¹-fu⁴⁴/, corresponding to Standard Mandarin hú ㄏㄨˊ [xu³⁵].

Ersu exploits contrasts between grooved fricatives at the dental place of articulation and flat fricatives at the alveolar place of articulation. Figure 7 illustrates the three-way contrast between the dental, alveolar, and alveolopalatal places of articulation in fricatives with the words /ʒè/ ‘wife’, /ʒó/ ‘to scold; to be in debt’, and /ʒó/ ‘to soften (skin)’.

Figure 7: Palatograms of the words /ʒè/ ‘wife’, /ʒó/ ‘to scold; to be in debt’, and /ʒó/ ‘to soften (skin)’

/ʒè/ ‘wife’ /ʒó/ ‘to scold; to be in debt’ /ʒó/ ‘to soften (skin)’

Before /o/, /x/ has an allophone [ç], which we analyse phonemically as a sequence of /x/ and the palatal approximant /j/, e.g. /xjó/ [çó] ‘to cry out’ (see below on clusters with approximants).
**Nasals**

Ersu has nasals at three places of articulation: (i) bilabial (/m/), (ii) dental (denti-alveolar) (/n/) (see Figure 8), and (iii) velar (/ŋ/).

Figure 8: Palatogram of the word /né/ ‘you, thou’

Ersu has one syllabic nasal, /ŋ/, as in /ʂ-ŋ/ ‘seven’, /lwáŋká/ ‘ridge of a building’, /ʃŋdzé/ ‘satin, silk’ (possibly from Chinese *lingzi* 绫子 ‘damask silk’, SW Mandarin /nin⁵tsî⁵/).

** Liquids**

Ersu laterals are made with an occlusion in the alveolar region extending back to the back molars. This is illustrated in Figure 9 with the minimal pair /lá/ ‘fertilizer, manure’ and /ľá/ ‘month’.

Figure 9: Palatograms of the words /lá/ ‘fertilizer, manure’ and /ľá/ ‘month’
Similarly to retroflex affricates, Ersu retroflex trill (ɭ/) is produced with the point of contact on the roof of the mouth in the hard palate and with the tongue body braced against the sides of the teeth to allow for an aerodynamically induced movement of the tongue tip (cf. McGowan 1992:2903, Spajić et al. 1996:3; see Figure 10). Similarly to the retroflex trill in Toda (Spajić et al. 1996: 13), the first contact of the tongue tip is made back of the alveolar ridge, whereas subsequent contacts are made slightly further forward near or at the alveolar ridge, so that the trill is realized as [ɽr].

Figure 10: Palatogram of the word ɭɛ́ ‘earth, soil’
Ersu trill typically has two contacts. However, similarly to trilled retroflex affricates, a trill followed by a fricative vowel may have a larger number of contacts (four to five). This is illustrated in Figure 11.

Figure 11: Waveforms and spectrograms of the words /ɽá/ ‘chicken’, /ɽó/ ‘bone; horn’, and /ɽž/ ‘to laugh’ (arrows indicate contacts between the tip of the tongue and the roof of the mouth)
Clusters

Ersu has a rich inventory of clusters, including (i) clusters with approximants, (ii) prenasalized clusters, and (iii) clusters with a schwa-like segment.

Clusters with approximants

The approximants /w/ and /j/ may occur after a broad range of initials and they may be realized as secondary labialization or palatalization of the first position consonant. Of the two approximants, /w/ has the broadest distribution, occurring after bilabial, dental, and velar stops, dental affricates, and laterals. However, most of these clusters with /w/ can only be followed by /a/ (as in /kwá/ ‘to take off’, compare to /ká/ ‘to be stupid’). In addition, clusters with velar stops and /w/ can also be followed by /a/ (as in /nà-kwá/ ‘to put inside’, compare to /dè-źź-kà/ ‘to bear a grudge’).

/j/ has a more restricted distribution, occurring only after (bilabial and dental) nasals and laterals, and it can only be followed by the vowels /o/ and /a/. (/j/ may also occur after /x/, which combination can only be followed by /o/. The cluster /xjo/ is realized as [ç], as in /xjó/ [çó] ‘to cry out’, see above). Consider the following (near) minimal pairs: /má/ ‘mother, female’ vs. /mjábó/ ‘tear’; /nà-ná/ ‘to occupy’ vs. /njá/ ‘child’; /lá/ ‘fertilizer, manure’ vs. /ljá/ ‘to paint’; and /Ntsʰðó/ ‘flea’ vs. /mē-ļjó/ ‘lightning’.

It is important to note that the realization of /w/ and /j/ in clusters ranges between a separate segment with a clear segmental boundary (mostly before /a/) and a segment with a
secondary articulation, that is, a segment with a lesser degree of stricture that accompanies a primary articulation of a higher degree (mostly before /o/) (cf. Ladefoged & Maddieson 1996: 354). Examples include /njá/ [njá] ‘child’, /ljá/ [ljá] ‘to paint’, /njó/ or /n̪jó/ [n̪ó] ‘day’, /ljò/ or /l̪jò/ [ʎò] ‘arm spread (measure of length)’. We note that the addition of the lip rounding gesture (in the case of /w/) and raising of the body of the tongue (in the case of /j/) have a strong acoustic effect on both the preceding consonant and the following vowel. Overall, compared to clusters with /w/ and /j/ in the closely related Lizu and Duoxu languages, Ersu medials /w/ and /j/ exhibit stronger assimilatory influence on neighboring segments (see Chirkova & Handel 2013b for a detailed discussion).

In light of the above, Ersu alveolopalatal affricates and fricatives, which only occur in native vocabulary before the vowels /i, o, a/, can be alternatively analysed as palatalized allophones of dentals, alveolars and/or velars, followed by the high front vowel or the palatal approximant /j/.

Prenasalized clusters

Prenasalization in Ersu is contrastive and occurs not only before voiced stops and affricates, but also before voiceless aspirated ones. Prenasalized stops and affricates are found in all places of articulation. The place of articulation is always homorganic with that of the obstruent in the cluster. So, we use the archiphoneme “N” to refer to the homorganic nasal in prenasalized clusters. Compare the contrast between plain onsets in the following minimal pairs: /bò/ ‘string’ vs. /Nbò/ ‘horse’, /pʰó/ ‘to escape’ vs. /Npʰó/ ‘to steal’, /dzǎ/ ‘fodder’ vs.
/Ndʒà/ ‘Chinese people’, /tʃʰá/ ‘hot’ vs. /Ntʃʰá/ ‘mark, sign’, /dɾò/ ‘pot, pan’ vs. /Ndɾò/ ‘dirt, filth’, /(mɛ́lí) tɛ́ʈɽʰó/ ‘one piece (of land)’ vs. /tɛ́Nʈɽʰó/ ‘one handful (e.g. of rice)’.

In prenasalized voiceless aspirated stops and affricates, we observe regular glottal pulsing during the nasal section but not during the voiceless stop, as detailed in Figure 12. This figure shows that the nasal section is produced with a complete closure within the oral tract (no oral airflow) and with air moving through the nasal cavity (nasal airflow for the entire duration of the segment). It is therefore a characteristic nasal.

Figure 12: Audio waveforms, spectrograms, electroglottograph waveforms (EGG), nasal airflows (NAF), and oral airflows (OAF) for the pairs /pʰó/ ‘to escape’ vs. /Npʰó/ ‘to steal’ and /tʰwá/ ‘mule’ vs. /Ntʰwá/ ‘to be sharp’
Clusters with a schwa-like segment

Ersu has seven voiceless (unaspirated) stops, affricates, and fricatives (/t̪p, t̪t, t̪ʃ, t̪ʃ, tɕ, tɕ, tɕ/) and seven voiced stops, fricatives, and nasals (/b, d, ɡ, z̪, m, n, ŋ/) that can be preceded by a segment with a schwa-like formant structure. Compare the minimal pairs /t̪ʃɛ́/ ‘interest’ vs. /t̪sɛ́/ ‘cloud’, and /ənɛ́/ ‘to be heavy; to be deep’ vs. /nɛ́/ ‘two’ in Figures 13 and 14.

Figure 13: Waveforms and spectrograms for the minimal pair /t̪ʃɛ́/ ‘interest’ vs. /t̪sɛ́/ ‘cloud’
Figure 14: Waveforms and spectrograms for the minimal pair /ətʃɛ/ ‘to be heavy; to be deep’ vs. /tʃɛ/ ‘cloud’
Clusters with a schwa-like segment can be tentatively identified with earlier consonant clusters. Independent evidence for such clusters can be found in Ersu words that etymologically correspond to Proto-Lolo-Burmese and Proto-Tibeto-Burman forms with initial clusters (cf. Bradley 1979:144, 1985:242, Matisoff 2003:37). For example, Ersu /ənɛ/ ‘to be deep’ corresponds to Proto-Loloish *ʔnak¹ ‘deep’ (Matisoff 2003:37) (see Chirkova & Handel 2013a for a detailed discussion). Clusters with a schwa-like segment are in the process of disappearing from this language, merging with corresponding simple onsets.\(^4\)

In addition to clusters with a schwa-like segment, Ersu also marginally has preaspirated clusters, which are restricted to loanwords from Tibetan. Examples include /htóNbá/ ‘to be empty’ (WT stong pa), /hkwará/ ‘to turn, to circle’ (WT skor ba). In these words, preaspiration diachronically derives from stop clusters with the preradical s- in Old Tibetan. The acoustic quality of Ersu preaspirated clusters is different from voiceless segments preceded by a schwa-like segment. The main differences include (i) the longer duration and (ii) the clear formant structure of the schwa-like segment, as compared to preaspiration. These differences are illustrated in Figure 15 with the pair /ətó/ ‘to jump’ vs. /htóNbá/ ‘to be empty’.

Figure 15: Waveforms and spectrograms for the pair /ətó/ ‘to jump’ vs. /htóNbá/ ‘to be empty’
Vowels

Ersu has eight vowel phonemes, of which four are plain (/i, e, a, o/), two are fricative (/z, y/), and two are rhotacized (/ə, a/). See the vowel chart plotted on the relative F1/F2 formant values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Vowel</th>
<th>Example 1</th>
<th>Example 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>i</td>
<td>ízá</td>
<td>‘son’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ɛ</td>
<td>ēlá</td>
<td>‘ram’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a</td>
<td>á</td>
<td>‘I’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>o</td>
<td>ódzá</td>
<td>‘pear’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>z</td>
<td>ž</td>
<td>‘snow’</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tší</td>
<td>‘hair’</td>
<td>tšé</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tšá</td>
<td>‘to tie’</td>
<td>tšó</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tšţ</td>
<td>‘to feed’</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Plain vowels

/i/ has a fairly broad range of realization. It ranges from [i] (essentially in loan vocabulary from SW Mandarin and Nuosu) to [je] (mostly after bilabial initials) and [e] (mostly after dental, alveolar, and alveolo-palatal initials). The value range for F1 is between 264 and 347 Hz, and for F2 between 1920 and 2274 Hz. Examples include: /ɕikwá/ [ɕikwá] ‘watermelon’ (Chinese xīguā 西瓜, SW Mandarin /ɕi⁴⁴kua⁴⁴/), /ítsʰʰ y/ [étsʰʰy] ‘ladle, a long handled spoon,
generally made of wood’ (Nuosu it chyp [i⁵⁵tsʰ⁶⁴];⁵ /pú/ [pjé] ‘dregs’, /mú/ [mjé] ‘monkey’,
and /ší/ [šé] ‘wood’ (compare to /šé/ ‘air’).

After alveolar affricates, dental and alveolar fricatives, and velar stops, /ɛ/ may be
realized as retroflex (characterized by lowered F3 values). For example, compare the
realization of the word /ẓé/ ‘to crawl, to climb’ in isolation and the three repetitions of that
word in the compound /Nbi ẓé/ ‘to climb mountains’.

Fricative vowels
Fricative vowels (Ersu /z/ and /ʒ/) are defined as vowels that are produced with the tongue in
essentially the same position as in the corresponding fricatives (Ladefoged & Maddieson
1990:117, 1996:314). The constriction of the tongue tip or lips produces alveolar and
labiodental frication, respectively.

The two fricative vowels in Ersu (/z, ʒ/) are independent phonemes that co-occur with
a broad range of initials. Therefore, they are distinct from the known cases of fricative vowels
in Mandarin or Nuosu (e.g. Chao 1972 [1948], Li & Ma 1983:36, Ladefoged & Maddieson
1996:314), where syllabic fricatives can be viewed as conditioned variants of other (high)
vowels.

Ersu fricative vowels display periodic vocal fold vibration and clear formant structure,
as is typical of vowels. The two are differentiated by the configuration of the lips: spread for
/z/ and rounded for /ʒ/. /ʒ/ is produced with a pronounced lip compression, whereby the lower
lip is raised, while the upper lip remains in a static position (as characteristic for the
‘wine’, /z̪/ ‘shoe’ and /z̩/ ‘oil’ (see also video clips ‘shoe’ and ‘oil’). Ersu fricative vowels are accompanied by fricative noise: the high frequency energy noise in the 3000Hz-6000Hz region for /z/, and a relatively flat spectrum for /v/ (as typical of labiodental fricatives) (cf. Ladefoged & Maddieson 1996:173-176). The fricative noise is more diffuse and weaker in intensity than that found for other fricatives (see Figure 16).

Figure 16: Acoustic spectra and waveforms and spectrograms of the words /z̪/ ‘snow’, /v̩/ ‘wine’ (an arrow indicates high frequency noise in the 3000-6000 Hz region)
The vowel /z/ may occur after bilabial stops, dental and alveolar affricates and fricatives, retroflex affricates, /ʈ/, and, in a very few cases, also after the voiced velar initials /ɡ, Ng, ŋ/.

It has a broad range of realization:

(1) After bilabial stop initials, /z/ is realized as [zz] after non-aspirated initials and as devoiced, [ʂ], after aspirated stop initials. Examples include /bʒ/ [bzz] ‘bee’, /pʒ-ɲʒ/ [pzʒ-ɲz] ‘to be flat’, /pʰz̪ó/ [ps̪z̪o] ‘wood shavings’.


(3) After velar initials, /z/ is realized close to [ɤ], as in /ɡəʊtʂ̪z̪/ [ɡɤʊtʂ̪z̪] ‘spine, backbone’ (compare to /ɡɿ/ ‘boat’, /ɡó-ɡó/ ‘light (adj.)’).

/ɣ/ has a broader distribution and may occur after bilabial and velar stops; dental, alveolar and retroflex affricates; nasals; and dental and alveolar fricatives. It may be realized as [ɣ] or [ɣɣ]
in free variation (compare the two realizations of the word /g̊v/ ‘boat’). Similarly to /z/, /v/ has a fairly broad range of realization:

(1) After bilabial stop initials and retroflex affricates, /v/ is realized close to [ɓ]. This is similarly to its realization in the closely related Lizu language. Examples include /p̊v/ [p̊] ‘potato’, /tʰ̑e-p̊v/ [tʰ̑e-p̊ɓ] ‘to change, to turn into, to transform’, /b̊v/ [ɓ] ‘wild cat’, /t̑v/ ‘sweat’, /t̑b̊v/ ‘six’, /Nɖt̑v/ ‘tile’ (see also Figure 6 and video clips ‘potato’, ‘to change, to turn into, to transform’, ‘wild cat’). The bilabial trill is particularly evident in the minimal contrastive pair /b̊v/ [ɓ] ‘wild cat’ vs. /bó/ [b̊] ‘to have, to possess’.

(2) After /m/, /v/ is realized as a voiced syllabic bilabial nasal ([m]). For example: /m̊t́s̊ɛ/ [m̊t̑s̊ɛ] ‘carpenter’, /m̊t̑s̊z̊/ [m̊t̑s̊z̊] ‘cat’.6

Rhotacized vowels

Ersu has two rhotacized vowels: /ə/ (as in /ə/ ‘ashes; year; to be white; to bark’, /xəmǐNt̑cʰi/ ‘south’, reportedly from Nuosu (yyx) hmy [(z̃34)m̥’33] ‘south’) and /a/ (as in /v̊a/ ‘slave’, /x̄a/ ‘bear; needle’). Compared to their oral counterparts (/z/ and /a/, respectively), /ə/ and /a/ have a lowered frequency of the third formant. This is illustrated in Figure 17 with the minimal pair /v̊a/ ‘net’ vs. /v̊a/ ‘slave’.

Figure 17: Spectrograms of /v̊a/ ‘net’ vs. /v̊a/ ‘slave’ (arrows indicate F3)
/ə/ has a broad distribution and co-occurs with bilabial and velar stops, /m/, /ŋ/, /v/, and /x/.

(After bilabial initials, /ə/ may be realized as the sequence [a], e.g. /bə/ [bə] ‘to be full’, /mə/ [mə] ‘to sleep’.) Conversely, /ə/ mostly occurs in isolation (as in /ə/ ‘ashes; year; to be white; to bark’). In addition, /ə/ also occurs after bilabial stops and /x/ (as in /xəmɨNTɕʰɪ/ ‘south’). After bilabial stops /ə/ is realized with frication. This is similar to the realization of /z/ after bilabial stops. However, in contrast to /z/, /ə/ is pronounced with the tongue curved in a convex shape. Examples include /bə/ [bə] ‘crown of a head’, /pə/ [pə] ‘thin rope’, /pʰə/ [pʃ] ‘Tibetan’.

Overall, Ersu can be said to have an unbalanced vowel system in that it has a high front vowel /i/ without a corresponding high back vowel /u/; a back close-mid vowel /o/ without a
corresponding front close-mid vowel /e/; and an open-mid low vowel /ɛ/ without a corresponding back open-mid vowel /ɔ/. In our analysis, this may be due to an ongoing realignment of the vowel system of Ersu, following the development of the fricative vowels /ʒ/ and /ɣ/ from the high vowels /i/ and /u/ in this language. The development of the fricative vowels from /i/ and /u/ is suggested on the one hand, by the synchronic distributional evidence, considered in the light of aerodynamic constraints outlined in Ohala (1983), and on the other hand, by comparative evidence from the closely related Lizu and Duoxu languages. We note that Ersu /ʒ/ does not co-occur with (dental and velar) stop initials, but it co-occurs with affricate initials instead. The vowel /ɣ/ does not co-occur with dental stop initials, but it co-occurs with alveolar affricate initials. This complementary distribution can be explained as an outcome of sound change whereby dental and velar stops developed an affricated release when followed by high vowels. This is due to the fact that the high velocity of the airflow created upon release of a stop lasts longer when the stop precedes a close vowel as opposed to an open vowel (Ohala 1983:204-205). From a comparative perspective, Ersu /ʒ/ has multiple correspondences in Lizu and Duoxu, including /ɨ/ (as in ‘bee’: Ersu /b̪z̪/, Lizu /b̪i/, Duoxu /bi³¹/; ‘shoe’: Ersu /g̼̣z̪/, Duoxu /g̼̣i³³/), /e/ (as in ‘hair’: Ersu /t̪ʃɨ/, Lizu /t̪t̼e/) as well as non-high vowels preceded by the palatal approximant /j/ (as in ‘mountain’: Ersu /Nb̪ɨ/ [Nbjé], Lizu /Nbjə/) (see Chirkova & Handel 2013b). These correspondence patterns reveal complex developments, which contribute to a realignment of the vowel system of Ersu, whereby the earlier phoneme /e/ is moving into the vacated /ɨ/ space.
Nasalized vowels

Ersu marginally has a set of nasalized vowels. Nasalized vowels are generally restricted to recent loanwords from Mandarin Chinese, where the donor language has the nasal codas /n/ or /ŋ/ (as in /kâ/ ‘steel’, Chinese gāng 钢, SW Mandarin /kən⁴⁴/). (In addition, nasalized vowels are attested in two native Ersu words in our corpus, /õ̃a/ ‘goose’ and /ẽ̃a/ ‘duck’). For that reason, vowel nasalization in Ersu must be regarded as subphonemic, and only needs to be marked in those cases where it is unpredictable (i.e. in recent loanwords). It is interesting to note that in older loanwords, where the original nasal coda is followed by a syllable that begins with a vowel or a nasal or when it is word final, the original nasal element is in most cases lost without compensation, as in /tʂwá/ ‘brick’ from zhuān (SW Mandarin 砖 /tʂuán⁴⁴/), /pɛ̀tì/ ‘silver’ from bāiding 白锭 ‘white ingot’ (SW Mandarin /pei²¹^1 tìn²¹³/). This is similar to the situation in the closely related Lizu and Duoxu languages (Chirkova & Chen 2013, Chirkova & Handel 2013b).

Vowel harmony

In disyllabic domains, we observe regressive vowel assimilation. The vowel qualities can be divided into two sets: (1) the low vowels /a, a̞/, and (2) the remaining, non-low vowels, that is, /i, e, o, z, y/. Vowel harmony appears to only apply to directional prefixes and the number ‘one’ (that is, it is restricted to high frequency morphemes). Consider expressions consisting of the numeral ‘one’ (/tê/ in isolation) followed by various nouns: /tá zá/ ‘one hundred’, /tá ká/ ‘one strip’, /Ntʂʰê tâ pá/ ‘one grain of rice’, /tê pʰó/ ‘one set (of clothing)’, /tê pʰ/ ‘one
tree; ten cents’, /tɛ́ ɗ̪ɛ́ ɗ̪z̪/ ‘one sentence’. Like many languages that display vowel harmony in polysyllabic lexical items Ersu has two forms for affixes, such as verbal directional affixes, e.g. /kʰá-lá/ ‘to come in (in the direction to the speaker)’ vs. /kʰé-jí/ ‘to enter (in the direction away from the speaker)’.

**Syllable Structure**

The canonical Ersu syllable minimally consists of an obligatory nucleus and a tone. It may also contain up to three optional elements in the following linear structure: (C1)(C2)(C3)V, where C1 can be nasal (/N/) or a schwa-like segment (/ə/); C2 can be any consonant; C3 can be either /w/ or /j/; and V stands for vowel, and parentheses indicate optional constituents.

Zero-initial words can be preceded by a non-phonemic glottal stop (e.g. /ɔ́ ɗ̪ ʔó ɗ̪ ʔá̲́/ ‘goose’, /ódʑá/ [ʔódzá] ‘pear’).

(1) V /á/ ‘I, first person singular pronoun’
(2) CV /tá/ ‘flag’ (WT dar) /tʰá/ ‘millstone’ /bò/ ‘string’
(3) CCV /ɔ́tá/ ‘wether, castrated ram’ /tʰwá/ ‘mule’ /Nbô/ ‘horse’
(4) CCCV /Ntʰwá/ ‘to be sharp’

In addition, (recent) loanwords may have the following structures:
Loanwords from Tibetan may also have /h/ in the C1 slot, as in /hkwàřá/ ‘to turn, to circle’.

Similarly to its linguistic neighbours, Ersu is phonologically monosyllabic with a strong tendency towards disyllabicity in its lexicon. Trisyllabic and quadrisyllabic words are mostly composite, e.g. /lémáká/ ‘thumb’ (< /lé/ ‘hand’); /šyNbv́ apééḱy/ ‘nostril’ (< /šyNbv́/ ‘nose’, /apééḱy/ ‘hole, cavity’), although a handful of trisyllabic monomorphemic words (both native and loanwords) do exist (e.g. /xàmìNtèh́y/ ‘south’).

In disyllabic composite forms, where the second syllable has zero initial, the two adjacent vowels merge into one vowel or a diphthong, a process that typically results in a long vowel or diphthong, as well as a tone change. This change characteristically occurs when the perfective marker /á/ (which has an etymological high-register tone) is added to a verb stem.

For example, compare the realization of the verb /dè-pʰwá/ [dâ-pʰwá] ‘to smash’ in isolation and when followed by the perfective marker /á/, i.e. /dè-pʰwâ-á/ ‘have smashed’.

The diminutive morpheme /jì/ often fuses with the preceding vowel resulting in a diphthong that combines the original vowel with the offglide [j]. Examples include: ‘armpit’, which is /jìbá-jì/ in careful pronunciation and /jìbá/j in a more rapid speech tempo (note a
clear falling tone contour on the second syllable of the fused form, resulting from the low tone of /jì/ being conjoined with the high tone of /bá/; ‘lamb’, which is /lábž-jì/ in careful pronunciation and /lábžjì/ in a more rapid speech tempo.

**Prosodic organization**

Ersu is a register tone language with two registers: Low and High (hereafter L and H), thus bearing resemblance to the tonal system of Tibetan (e.g. J. Sun 1997). In polysyllabic domains, there is tone reduction in non-initial syllables, resulting in highly restricted tone patterns in polysyllabic words.

**Monosyllabic words and compounds**

The register contrast in Ersu can be exemplified with the following minimal pairs: /bỳ/ ‘to plough’ vs. /bỳ/ ‘wild cat’, /là/ ‘musk deer’ vs. /lá/ ‘fertilizer, manure’, /Ndřò/ ‘dirt, filth’ vs. /Ndřó/ ‘leather, skin’, /ʈʰò/ ‘dog’ vs. /ʈʰó/ ‘sound, melody’. While the register contrast is fundamental in this language, surface pitch contours are subject to variation in both registers (as illustrated in Figure 18).

Figure 18: Pitch contours of the low and high register tones by a male speaker illustrated with the minimal pairs /bỳ/ ‘to plough’ vs. /bỳ/ ‘wild cat’, and /ʈʰò/ ‘dog’ vs. /ʈʰó/ ‘sound, melody’. The low tone contour is represented by a solid line, the high tone contour is represented by a dotted line.
We note that monosyllabic words that are rarely used in isolation (such as verbs and measure words) and loanwords are often realized in the context of elicitation in the high register, which we, for that reason, regard as the unmarked register in this language.

**Polysyllabic words and compounds**
In disyllabic domains (both compounds and composite lexical words), the domain-initial syllable retains its tone; whereas the non-initial syllable does not. Disyllabic words and compounds that begin with a morpheme or a word in the high register are invariably realized with H tone on both syllables. Conversely, in the case of disyllabic words and compounds that begin with a morpheme or a word in the low register, two tonal patterns are possible: (i) L tone on both syllables, and (ii) L tone on the domain-initial syllable and H on the second syllable. The two patterns are in free variation, as is the case in the word /Ṙà/ ‘cloth’: [ⁿψ₃⁴³³吸入⁴⁴] or [ⁿψ₃³吸入⁴³¹]. Examples of the tonal patterns on disyllabic domains include: (i) the compounds /ŋwà-dží/ ‘cow shed’ and /Nbò-dží/ ‘horse pen’, which begin each with a L register word (/ŋwà/ ‘cow’ and /Nbò/ ‘horse’, respectively; the word ‘shed, pen’ has an etymological low-register tone, i.e. /dží/); and (ii) the compounds /vé-dží/ ‘pig shed’ and /jó-dží/ ‘sheep pen’, which begin each with a H register word (/vé/ ‘pig’ and /jó/ ‘sheep’, respectively).

The same two patterns are attested in composite lexical words. Examples of minimal pairs for the two tonal patterns include: /Ndʒó-Ndʒó/ ‘to make friends’, /Ndʒò-Ndʒó/ ‘ear of millet’ (see Figure 19); /njó-njó/ ‘milk; breast’, /njó-njó/ ‘to be soft’; /tçó-tçó/ ‘to wrap, to bind’, /tçò-tçó/ ‘maternal uncle’ (Chinese jiùjìu 舅舅, SW Mandarin /tɕiəu²¹³tɕiəu⁴⁴/); /kʰè-jí/ ‘to enter’, /kʰè-jí/ ‘to go live (inside)’.
Figure 19: Illustration of the H vs. L contrast in disyllabic items /Ndzó-Ndzó/ ‘to make friends’ and /Ndzò-Ndzó/ ‘ear of millet’ by a male speaker

In addition, Ersu disyllabic words marginally have a third tonal pattern, in which the high F0 peak is realized before the end of the first syllable, where the pitch starts to fall already and continues to fall in the second syllable. Perceptually, the second syllable of these words sounds much less prominent than the first syllable, giving rise to the impression that it is unstressed. This tonal pattern is essentially observed in Chinese loanwords, in fusions as well as in a handful of native Ersu words. Examples include /fêtʰiào/ ‘rice noodle’, /pîtʰaŋ/ ‘crystal sugar’, /tʂʰálà/ ‘tomb’, /ʂêtə/ ‘who’.

The same three tonal patterns are observed in trisyllabic compounds. These include:

(i) H tone on all syllables within the domain, for those domains that begin with an H tone word (HHH), as in:
(ii) L tone on all syllables of the domain, for those compounds that begin with an L tone word (optionally, with the H tone on the last syllable of the domain) (LLL/H), as in:

/\tsy/ ‘fish’ + /m\nts\sh/ ‘tail’ > /\tsy m\nts\sh/ ‘fish tail’

/j\o/ ‘sheep’ + /Nd\ryp/ ‘skin, leather’ > /j\o Nd\ryp/ ‘sheep skin’

(iii) The third, less frequent, tonal pattern is that in which the domain of tone change appears to be restricted to the first two syllables, whereas the remaining syllable(s) is realized with a low tone (HHL), as in:

/Nb\o/ ‘horse’ + /m\nts\sh/ ‘tail’ > /Nb\o m\nts\sh/ ‘horse tail’

/\nw\a/ ‘cow’ + /Nd\ryp/ ‘skin, leather’ > /\nw\a Nd\ryp/ ‘cowhide’

Ersu function words and discourse particles (e.g. the genitive particle /\ji/, the focus particle /\ne/ in the recorded text) are never pronounced in isolation. Their surface tone realization depends on the tone of the preceding (host) lexical word (as in tonal contours in compounds).
Transcription of the recorded text ‘The North Wind and the Sun’

The original audio and video recordings (made with a Digidesign 003 Rack soundcard, Pro Tools LE software for iMac, an AKG C520L headset microphone, and video cameras Sony HDR-XR 520E and Sony HDR-PJ650) have been made available to the JIPA along with this analysis.

Semi-narrow phonetic transcription

té njó té ké né | méⁿ lá mátšʰá=ʣizioni ำ-ʣӡӱ-ʣӡӱ-ʣɿ | né né = wó tʰέ tá-wá xíbá ká |

xíbá ká xá né né = wó dè-NDži-NDží | şeté = wó xá né şeté = wó já-ŋá dzì-á | şeté = wó xá né şeté = wó şòmò já-ʣžó dzì-á | NDži-NDží │ nè-NDži-NDží nè-NDži-NDží nè tʰè-á

té = wó té-á tʰà-kʰá mà = pʰá │ tʰɛ = ké né NDžá ʰʧ-kʰwá NDղysʃ-ʃy té ɲà-lá dzì-gè-á |

NDղysʃ-ʃy lé ətţí Ngámé já-tʃʰá já-kʰwá tá-tʃʰá dè-źʒ ʦá dzì-gé │ nè né = wó tʰé ná-
tça-jí | jó = dzí şeté = wó já-ŋá né tʰé NDղysʃ-ʃy Ngámé já-kʰwà = tʃʰá │ tʰà-kwá ná-lá

şy tʰé-já dzì-á │ nè méʔ = wó │ jó so tɿʰ-ő-gé tʰɛ-ʃà dzì-gè-á │ méʔ = wó dè-dè-mà né |

átʰɛ NDղysʃ-ʃy Ngámé = tʃʰá tʰɛ-tʰɛ-ɲʰ-py-py |

mátsʰá = wó tɕʰô = ɲɛ tʰɛ-ʃà dzì-á │ mátsʰá = wó tʰó ɲà-sá xá né |

mátsʰá = wó tɕʰô = ɲɛ tʰɛ-ʃà dzì-á |

á Ná kʰɛ-ʃʒ má-tó-á tʰɛ-já dzì-á │
Interlinear morphemic glossing

Abbreviations used in the gloss below follow the Leipzig Glossing Rules (LGR, http://www.eva.mpg.de/lingua/resources/glossing-rules.php). Non-standard abbreviations (those not included in the LGR) are: AGT = agentive, ITSF = intensifying.

té njó té ké né | mé̄ lá máṭšʰá = ǯí

one day one LOC TOP wind and sunshine=DU
dē-ǯy-ǯy-á dʒí || nè né = wó tʰɛ

upward-meet-meet-PFV say TOP two=item that
tá-wá xîbá ká | xîbá ká xá né né = wó

one-together conversation hit conversation hit time TOP two=item
dē-Ndzí-Ndzí | şêté = wó xá né şêté = wó

upward-dispute-dispute who=item time TOP who=item
já-njá dʒí-á | şêté = wó xá né şêté = wó

ITSF-ruthless say-PFV who=item time TOP who=item
šômó jà-dʒó dʒí-á | Ndzí-Ndzí || né-Ndzí-Ndzí

strength ITSF-exist say-PFV dispute-dispute downward-dispute-dispute
né-Ndzí-Ndzí né tʰɛ-á té = wó té-á

downward-dispute-dispute TOP that-N-AGT one=item one-N-AGT
thʰâ-kʰâ mà = pʰâ | thʰɛ = kɛ né Ndzá tž-kʰwá

outward-win NEG=be.able that=LOC TOP Chinese road-big
Ndʒvṣ-ʒy té njà-lá dʒl-gɛ-á | Ndʒvṣ-ʒy lé
walk-NMLZ.AGT  one  outward-come  say-PROG-PFV  walk-NMLZ.AGT  FOC

atčí  Ngámé  já-tʂʰá  já-kʰwá  tá-tʂʰá  dè-zž

really  clothes  ITSF-set  ITSF-big  one-set  upward-wear

tʂá  džì-gé ||  nè  né = wó  tʰé  ná-tçá-jí |  jó = dží

DUR  say-PROG  you  you=item  that  downward-agree-RES  self=DU

šétē = wó  já-ŋá  né  tʰé  Nɖrỳṣž-ʃy  Ngámé

who=item  ITSF-ruthless  TOP  that  walk-NMLZ.AGT  clothes

já-kʰwá = tʂʰá |  tʰà-kwá  ná-lá  šy  tʰé-já

ITSF-big=set  here-take-off  downward-come  CAUS  that-kind

džì-á  ||  nè  méɔ = wó |  jò  só  tćʰó-gé  tʰé-já

say-PFV  TOP  wind=item  self  first  perform-PROG  that-kind

džì-gè-á  ||  méɔ = wó  dè-dè-má  né |  ātʰé

say-PROG-PFV  wind=item  upward-upward-blow  TOP  that

Nɖrỳṣž-ʃy  Ngámé = tʂʰá  tʰé-tʰé-py-py |  méɔ = wó

walk-NMLZ.AGT  clothes=set  here-here-wrap-wrap  wind=item
dè-dè-ə  né |  Ngámé = tʂʰá  tʰé-tʰé-py-py |

upward-upward-blow  TOP  clothes=set  here-here-wrap-wrap

áNdží  tɕʰő-á  xá  lá  Ngámé = tʂʰá  tʰà-kwà-jí

how  perform-PFV  time  and  clothes=set  here-take-off-RES

ná-lá  mà = pʰá  ||  nè  tʰé = kɛ  né

downward-come  NEG=be.able  TOP  that=LOC  TOP
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In transcriptions of Ersu words “-” stands for morpheme boundary and “=” stands for clitic boundary. In transcriptions, the Low tone is marked as “à”, and the High tone is marked as “á” (for more detail, see section “Prosodic organisation”).

Tone notation in Lizu is provided in superscript letters to the left of the lexical word. The following abbreviations are used: “F” stands for falling tone, “R” for rising tone, “EP” stands for “equally-prominent pattern”, “LP” stands for “left-prominent pattern”, and “RP” stands for “right-prominent pattern” (see Chirkova & Chen 2013 for a detailed discussion).

SW Mandarin readings are based on Li (2010) and provided in the original transcription.

We note that Ersu nasals preceded by a schwa-like segment correspond to what we impressionistically call geminated nasals in the closely related Lizu language. Our principal Lizu language consultant marginally distinguishes between, according to his own analysis, a relatively shorter nasal initial and a relatively longer (“geminated”) nasal initial in a few minimal pairs, such as /̃ne/ ‘you, thou’ vs. /̃nne/ [̃ñne] ‘deep’. A more systematic comparison between Ersu words with this type of clusters and their cognates in Lizu will be undertaken in forthcoming fieldwork.

Nuosu examples are from the online Nuosu Yi-Chinese-English Glossary (http://www.yihanyingcihui.net/?page_id=6065&lang=en), accessed January 2013. In the transcription system of Nuosu, final consonants (e.g. t and p in the word for ‘ladle’) are used to mark tone (respectively, the high level, 55, and the low falling tone, 21).

We note that this distribution has a close parallel in most Nuosu varieties, where the voiced syllabic bilabial nasal [m] is the usual allophone of a syllable with a voiced or voiceless bilabial nasal initial followed by /u/ (Bradley 2008). Furthermore, as in Ersu, syllables with
the high vowels /i/ and /u/ are an environment conducive to the development of syllables with unusual phonetic forms in many Ngwi languages (such as Adur, various Nuosu or Liangshan Yi varieties, and Sanie, Pan 2001, Matisoff 2006, Bradley 2008) as well as some Qiangic languages, such as Namuzi (Lama Ziwo 1994). These unusual forms include syllabic fricatives or trills after initial bilabial and velar stops, and labial-velar nasals after initial bilabial and velar nasals.