The molecular mechanisms of reaction wood induction

Kurt Fagerstedt, Kévin K. Tocquard, David Lopez, Mélanie Decourteix,
Bernard Thibaut, Jean-Louis J.-L. Julien, Philippe Label, Nathalie
Fournier-Leblanc, Patricia Roeckel-Drevet

► To cite this version:

Kurt Fagerstedt, Kévin K. Tocquard, David Lopez, Mélanie Decourteix, Bernard Thibaut, et al.. The molecular mechanisms of reaction wood induction. Barry Gardiner, John Barnett, Pekka Saranpää, Joseph Gril. The biology of reaction wood, Springer, pp.107-138, 2014, 978-3-642-10813-6. hal-01135267

HAL Id: hal-01135267

<https://hal.science/hal-01135267>

Submitted on 26 May 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Chapter 4

The Molecular Mechanisms of Reaction Wood Induction

Kurt Fagerstedt, Kévin Tocquard, David Lopez, Mélanie Decourteix, Bernard Thibaut, Jean-Louis Julien, Philippe Label, Nathalie Leblanc-Fournier, and Patricia Roeckel-Drevet

4.1 Introduction

Reaction wood (RW) develops in stems and branches in response to the perception 9
of endogeneous and environmental stimuli caused by a change in the natural 10
position. As a result the stem or branch bends back towards its original position. 11
It is generally stated that in most cases, in gymnosperms RW is formed on the lower 12
side of branches and bent stems and is called compression wood (CW). In angio- 13
sperms it is formed on the upper side of branches and bent stems and is called 14
tension wood (TW). Wood formed on the other side of branches and bent stems is 15
called opposite wood (OW). 16

It was commonly believed until the end of the 1980s that RW was induced by the 17
stress state of the new wood layer at cambium vicinity. The bottom of a branch 18
should be in compression thus promoting CW in gymnosperms, the top being in 19
tension should promote TW in angiosperms. For experimentation the challenge was 20
to submit the external layer of a living stem to high tensile or compressive axial 21
stress without any other signal such as gravity or light, and without too big a 22

K. Fagerstedt (✉)

Division of Plant Physiology, Department of Biosciences, University of Helsinki, 00014
Helsinki, Finland

e-mail: kurt.fagerstedt@helsinki.fi

K. Tocquard • D. Lopez • M. Decourteix • J.-L. Julien • P. Label • N. Leblanc-Fournier •
P. Roeckel-Drevet

Clermont Université – Université Blaise Pascal, INRA, UMR PIAF, BP 10448, 63000
Clermont-Ferrand, France

B. Thibaut

Laboratoire de Mécanique et Génie Civil (LMGC), CNRS, Université Montpellier 2,
Place E. Bataillon, cc 048, 34095 Montpellier cedex 5, France

physiological stress due to the mechanical loading. Fournier et al. (1994) showed that the cross section grows while it is loaded. For each material point, the superposition of stress and strain begins from the time the material is differentiated. That comes from the obvious assumption that “a tissue cannot be loaded before it exists”. The main consequence is that the new wood layer does not contribute at all to support the load of the existing trunk or branch and the resulting support stress should be zero at the stem periphery. A change of paradigm therefore had to be made: it is not the stress that induces RW formation but the RW formation that produces different stress levels (in tension or compression) in the new wood layer.

Very often, successive growth events are used by trees “to solve” some mechanical problem, in addition to building of the prescribed structure, in order to restore the posture of an inclined tree (Thibaut et al. 2001; Moulia et al. 2006), to search for the light, to change the tree architecture after death of a major axis, and so on. RW is a solution for a drastic and sudden change in the existing wooden structure of the tree. It is commonly used by all trees, particularly in the juvenile stage. RW is created very locally in answer to a global mechanical problem for the tree by creating a step change in the pre-stressing state of the new layer. According to modelling simulations, the curving efficiency of asymmetrical stressing of the axis using RW is nearly five times higher than the best solution using normal wood asymmetry alone (Almeras and Fournier 2009).

Solving the mechanical problems of a tree through growth is possible because of the flexibility in growth of the meristematic tissues in the length or ramification (primary growth) and the diameter (secondary growth) of each axis (trunks and branches). This structure needs to be mechanically sound and able to respond to most hazards faced by the tree. In the tree, each elementary growth event has to be precisely managed: action or dormancy, rapid or slow cell division, cell differentiation and cell wall formation. And each of these events has mechanical consequences. In addition, the new growth events that involve primary and/or secondary growth seem to be coordinated at the whole tree level. RW originates from cambial activity (secondary meristem), which adjusts the number of cell division to modify the axis diameter and in particular its second moment of area, as well as the proportion of fibres and the cell wall thickness to modify the density and the mechanical properties of the new layer. Through cambial activity the microfibril angle (MFA) in the S2 layer of the cell wall is also adjusted to modify the axis flexibility both by changing the modulus of elasticity for a given tissue density and the maximum allowed strain before damage (more flexibility appears to be an adaptation to wind); this may also modify the pre-stressing state of the new wooden layer. Last but not least, cambial activity adjusts the chemistry of cell wall components to modify the pre-stressing state of the new wooden layer; this may be done in conjunction with the adjustment of MFA (these changes are discussed further in Chaps. 2, 3, 5 and 6).

Hence, the following questions are raised concerning the induction of RW through modulation of cambial activity. What are the different external or internal signals related to secondary growth in order to solve different mechanical requirements? Where are the perception sites for the new mechanical requirements for the

tree? Could a signal get to particular cells in the cambium in order to manage new growth? If such a signal exists from the perceptive cells to the cambium, what about the conversion of the perception into messages transmitted to the secondary meristem? What is the process of “regulation”? How are these messages transcribed in the making of RW? Also most of the questions raised for RW formation could also be addressed to the regulation of primary growth since both primary and secondary growth must be coordinated at the whole tree level.

In this chapter, after reviewing different kinds of signals (gravity, light, mechanical strain) that can induce a mechanical reaction causing RW formation, we will focus on the molecular mechanisms that might be involved in the perception and response to gravity and other mechanical stimuli. Since it is quite clear that the signal perception gives way to synthesis of proteins guiding the production or translocation of various plant hormones, we will review their implication in the gravitropic or phototropic mechanical response inducing the making of RW. We will also discuss the insights provided by global approaches such as transcriptomic, proteomic and metabolomics, made possible by the sequencing and annotation of the genome of trees such as poplar and eucalyptus. In particular, these global approaches gave new information on genes involved in RW formation.

4.2 Perception and Signal Transduction

4.2.1 Physical Parameters Inducing RW

To maintain a branching architecture that is optimal for growth and reproduction, plant stems continuously control their posture to counterbalance environmental physical parameters such as gravity, wind and light, that shift their orientation from the vertical (Moullia et al. 2006). In trees, this postural control has been mainly studied in response to gravity (Du and Yamamoto 2007). In the primary growing zone of stems, reorientation of woody plant organs involves local differential elongation growth between opposite sides of the stem. In stem parts undergoing radial growth, sectors of RW are produced that can be associated with eccentric cambial growth. In angiosperm woody species, TW is often characterized by fewer vessels and the formation of fibres with smaller diameter containing a gelatinous layer inside the S2 layer of secondary cell walls where cellulose microfibrils are aligned into a vertical orientation (Fig. 4.1, Mellerowicz et al. 2008; Chap. 3) with a lower lignin content (Pilate et al. 2004). In conifers, CW is characterized by tracheids with a thicker secondary cell wall than in normal wood, with higher lignin content, intracellular spaces at cell corners, and a realignment of cellulose microfibrils in the S2 layer orientation with respect to the axis of the stem (Timell 1986). These differences in secondary cell wall biochemical composition and architecture of RW generate internal growth stresses in the stem (Chap. 5), and because of its

Fig. 4.1 Induction of TW by tilting of hybrid aspen trees (*Populus tremula* × *tremuloides*). After 17 days of tilting, a band of TW (TW) is seen on the upper side of the tilted stems. The mature normal wood (NW) produced before tilting, and the opposite wood (OW) produced at the lower side of the stems are shown at higher magnification on the right side of the figure. The sections were stained with safranin and alcian blue. Picture courtesy of Ewa Mellerowicz

unilateral formation in the stem, it induces a directional movement, bending the stem towards a favourable position.

As discussed recently by Felten and Sundberg (2013), many experiments were performed where branches or stems are tilted, bent into complicated shapes, grown on clinostats or centrifuges, to identify if a single stimulus is responsible for the induction of RW. For loop experiments on shoots or branches, the localization of

RW suggested that its induction depends rather more on positional sensing (sensing of the local angle of the growing organ relative to the gravitational field) than sensing of mechanical stresses such as tensile or compressive stresses (Spurr and Hyvärinen 1954).

However, RW formation is not only induced by gravitational stimulus. TW has been reported to form in the vertical stems of rapidly growing poplar (*Populus*) trees (Telewski 2006). RW was also observed in branches and stems contributing to crowns reshaping after loss of apical dominance (Wilson and Archer 1977) and is part of the mechanism allowing up-righting of apricot tree stems in response to increased shoot and fruit load (Almeras et al. 2004). Plant exposure to wind spray or to repeated stem bending to mimic the wind triggers (1) transitory inclination of the stem, but with a duration of stem inclination much shorter than the presentation time necessary to observe the induction of RW (Jourez and Avella-Shaw 2003) and (2) mechanical signals such as stresses and strains. Exposition of poplar stems to repeated transitory bendings produced a flexure wood with anatomical similarities to TW (Pruyn 1997; Pruyt et al. 2000). In *Abies fraseri*, the morphology and function of wood developed after daily flexures (<20 s) were more closely related to CW than normal wood (Telewski 1989). CW was also detected in wind-treated *Pinus* (Berthier and Stokes 2005). One of the best examples demonstrating that RW is not exclusively induced in response to positional sensing came from analysis of the kinematics of stem straightening (for review, see Moulia et al. 2006; Moulia and Fournier 2009). The characterization of the spatio-temporal curvature field during stem straightening allowed the recognition of a biphasic pattern: an initial phase of spatially homogeneous up-curving due to gravitropic response and a second phase of stem decurving that propagates basipetally to finally reach a steady state where the curvature is concentrated to the base of the growth zone. In some plants, this decurving phase occurred before the shoot apex had overshot the vertical, demonstrating that this phenomenon was not due to the perception of the inclination angle, and was called autotropism. This autotropic decurving has been observed in many plants (Moulia et al. 2006). Studying this process in poplars (*Populus nigra* × *Populus deltoides*), Coutand et al. (2007) observed that no part of the trunk overshot the vertical during stem straightening. Indeed, during the initial phase of up-righting, arcs of RW were detected homogeneously all along the upper side of the trunk, whereas a second sector of RW was produced on the initially lower side in the most distal part of the trunk, contributing to this autotropic decurving. Recently, Bastien et al. (2013) studied the gravitropism kinematics of different organs from 11 angiosperms by time lapse photography, including both primary elongation zones and zones of secondary growth in which active bending is achieved through the production of TW. The biphasic pattern of tropic reactions described above was found to be generic whatever the type of the organ, and it was shown to lead to a steady state shape in which the apical part is straightened whereas the curvature is more concentrated at the base of the stem. However, inter- and intra-specific variability occurred in the steady states and in the transients: whereas some plant organs reached a steady state without overshooting the vertical, others exhibited oscillations around the vertical axis. Bastien et al. (2013)

157 also demonstrated that the minimal dynamic model cannot involve only
158 gravisensing but the simultaneous sensing of the local curvature, referred by the
159 authors as proprioception. When the organ is tilted and straight, gravisensitive
160 control is dominant and the organ bends up actively. However as curvature
161 increases, the inclination angle decreases and proprioceptive control takes over
162 and autotropic counter-bending is produced starting in the apical parts of the
163 organs, allowing it to straighten and align with the gravity vector. These data also
164 suggest that the different shapes observed along the straightening response reflected
165 a different ratio between graviceptive and proprioceptive sensitivities.

166 Another physical parameter that can influence reorientation of the stem by RW
167 formation is light interception. Because of their co-occurrence under natural con-
168 ditions gravi- and phototropism are intrinsically linked (Correll and Kiss 2002).
169 Remarkably, phototropism sensing converges to common molecular actors with
170 gravitropism and notably auxin transport and perception (Hohm et al. 2013). Typ-
171 ically, stems and stem-like organs have positive phototropism and negative
172 gravitropism. Additive or synergetic effects were reported (Kern and Sack 1999)
173 making the identification of their relative contribution and their possible interac-
174 tions problematic. To address this issue, gravity effects were experimentally
175 reduced or abolished (e.g. microgravity, space flight) while applying directional
176 light source to induce phototropism (Millar and Kiss 2013). To date, it remains
177 technically difficult to alleviate or reduce gravity effects on trees. The few possi-
178 bilities offered to researchers consist in the manipulation of gravity orientation by
179 tilting potted trees in combination with directional light modifications. Matsuzaki
180 et al. (2006) report phototropism in trees submitted to different gravitational
181 stimulations as observed on mountain slopes. Basal parts of the trees did not
182 show bending in response to tropisms, which was limited to upper parts of stems.
183 The authors suggested that reorientation could be achieved by asymmetric radial
184 growth due to the formation of RW as is the case for gravitropism and further
185 proposed trees inclination on slopes depends on phototropism. The same team later
186 proved that the mechanism involved in phototropism required differential xylem
187 production (Matsuzaki et al. 2007). In a recent study, Collet et al. (2011) studied
188 long-term (4 years) phototropic response of *Fagus sylvatica* and *Acer*
189 *pseudoplatanus* after canopy opening in natural conditions. Plants reacted by
190 righting themselves towards the light source and this involved reorientation of the
191 lignified parts of the stems through asymmetrical formation of RW. Herrera
192 et al. (2010) noticed changes in the orientation of apical part of pine seedlings but
193 not in the basal parts even after 22 days of light and gravi-stimulation. Although
194 limited to primary growth, this work provided molecular data on the interaction of
195 these two tropisms, scarce for tree models. Interestingly, photo- and gravitropic
196 responses of potato plants were different depending on the time of the day
197 suggesting they were also regulated by an endogenous circadian clock to some
198 extent (Vinterhalter et al. 2012). Such complexity, far from being completely
199 understood in herbaceous plants, still needs to be established in trees where
200 secondary growth in reaction to phototropism and gravitropism is still a matter of
201 exploration.

All these data converge on the induction of RW during plant postural control. Clearly the triggering of RW formation during tropic reaction not only is related to the sensing of the inclination of the stem versus gravity but also involves curvature sensing. TW has been shown to systematically be formed on the lower side of the branch when autotropism dominates gravitropism, allowing for curvature compensation (Coutand et al. 2007). Similar shifts in the location of RW along the tropic motion have also been described for CW in conifers (Sierra de Grado et al. 2008). But, how these diverse physical parameters (gravity, local curvature, light) are perceived by plant cells in order to induce RW remains unclear. Are all these physical parameters perceived by a common sensing mechanism or is there any crosstalk at a later stage during the signaling pathway?

4.2.2 Molecular Mechanisms Involved in the Perception of Mechanical Stimulation Leading to RW Formation

In case of a gravitational stimulus, the resulting physical forces can deform or move objects of specific mass inside the cell. Two hypotheses are currently favoured: (1) the amyloplast-sedimentation in specialized cells named statocytes and the perception of the direction of this sedimentation and (2) the weight of the protoplasm itself triggering mechanical deformation of subcellular structures such as membrane, cytoskeleton elements and cell wall (Baluška and Volkmann 2011).

The role of starch-filled amyloplast sedimentation during graviperception is well documented in *Arabidopsis* (Morita 2010). In young shoots, statocytes are localized in the endodermis layer surrounding vascular tissues. These cells are highly vacuolated and equipped with prominent F-actin bundlets (Morita et al. 2002). The studies of different mutants affected either in starch formation (*pgm*) or in intracellular components such as the vacuolar membrane (VM) or actin microfilaments (AFs) that both modify cytoplasm viscosity and activity showed that amyloplast dynamics are important during shoot gravisensing (for review, Hashiguchi et al. 2013). Recently, by using a centrifuge microscope to analyse gravitropic mutants in *Arabidopsis*, Toyota et al. (2013) confirmed the importance of amyloplast movement perception during shoot gravisensing. In woody species, amyloplast localization in endoderm cells has been observed (Nakamura 2003) in the young shoots of Japanese flowering cherry tree (*Prunus spachiana*) and in young poplars (*Populus tremula* × *alba*) (Fig. 4.2a–d). However, a link between amyloplasts sedimentation and RW formation has not yet been demonstrated. Moreover, the endoderm is disrupted by secondary growth. In cross sections of older poplar stem, lugol-stained starch grains are observed in whole bark tissue as well as in the wood rays (Fig. 4.2e).

The cellular mechanism underlying curvature proprioception is unknown (Bastien et al. 2013). The sensing of cell deformation (strain sensing) or more precisely of the deformation of some cellular component is a good candidate

Fig. 4.2 Sections of poplar stems (*Populus tremula* × *alba*). Photographs (a–d) represent sections from the fourth bottom internode of a young plant with 20 developed internodes. Longitudinal sections (a, c) or transversal sections (b, d) were stained using Periodic acid/Schiff (PAS) reaction to detect starch and polysaccharides. Photographs (c) and (d) are, respectively, enlarged views of the photographs (a) and (b) (black rectangle area). Photographs courtesy of Wassim Azri. (e) This picture represents a cross section of a poplar stem, 40 cm under the apex. The tree height was 2.35 m and the diameter of the section is 5.3 mm. The freehand cut was stained by lugol. Photographs courtesy of Kevin Tocquard

(Wilson and Archer 1977; Bastien et al. 2013). Moreover the perception of the deformation of cellular components is suggested also through amyloplast sedimentation, in the gravitational pressure model (Baluška and Volkmann 2011), and through wind sensing (Moullia et al. 2011). A few molecular candidates have been identified as mechanoperceptors of this deformation. In trees, these are only putative. Results obtained from the herbaceous model plant *Arabidopsis thaliana* suggest molecular candidates at the CPMCW (cytoskeleton—plasma membrane—cell wall) continuum. They would be able to sense the mechanical signal from the plant cell wall and convert it into a molecular signal in the cell (Baluška et al. 2003; Telewski 2006).

The first molecular candidates are mechanosensitive (MS) ion channels. MS ion channels are membrane proteins that allow the transit of ions through cellular membranes. They open either directly by the force applied on the membrane or indirectly through links between the channels and/or both the cytoskeleton and cell wall components (Haswell et al. 2011). To date the involvement of MS ion channels in the perception of mechanical signals has yet to be clearly established. Nevertheless, several pieces of evidence show that ionic changes occurred after mechanical signals (Haley et al. 1995). In plants, MS ion channels (Cl^- , K^+ and Ca^{2+}) have been identified at plasma membranes using patch-clamp electrophysiology (Cosgrove and Hedrich 1991; Ding and Pickard 1993; Haswell et al. 2008). Currently three main groups of MS ion channels have been described in plants. First, the mechanosensitive channel of the small conductance (MscS) family from *Escherichia coli* which releases osmolytes from the cell (Booth and Blount 2012) has ten homologues (MSL1–10) in the *A. thaliana* genome (Pivetti et al. 2003). MscS homologues may release osmolytes in response to membrane tension and may be modulated by additional signals (Monshausen and Haswell 2013). The second Mid1-complementing activity (MCA) family is structurally unique to the plant kingdom. The MCA proteins are located in the plasma membrane and promote calcium influx upon mechanical stimulation (Nakagawa et al. 2007). Finally, the Piezo proteins are a class of MS cation channels which respond to mechanical stimuli (Coste et al. 2012; Kim et al. 2012). In *Arabidopsis*, there is a single Piezo protein (Coste et al. 2010; Kurusu et al. 2013; Monshausen and Haswell 2013) but it has yet to be characterized.

Other molecular candidates are receptor-like kinases proteins (RLKs) that are a family of proteins with an extracellular domain, a single transmembrane region and an intracellular cytoplasmic kinase (Shiu and Bleecker 2001). Among RLKs, wall-associated kinases (WAKs) are the most well-studied potential cell wall status receptors (He et al. 1999; Verica and He 2002). They have a particular interest because WAKs extracellular domains are able to bind to the cell wall (He et al. 1996). Notably, Wagner and Kohorn (2001) showed that *At*WAKs covalently bind the cell wall pectins, in a calcium-induced conformation (Decreux and Messiaen 2005). Moreover, a reduction of WAK expression inhibited cell elongation and altered morphology (Lally et al. 2001; Wagner and Kohorn 2001), indicating an activity in growth control. Therefore, WAKs are interesting candidates as sensors of cell wall integrity. Another candidate among RLKs for sensing

287 the cell wall integrity is the *Catharanthus roseus* RLK1-like subfamily
288 (CrRLK1L). The 17 members present an extracellular malectin-like domain
289 (Lindner et al. 2012). Malectin proteins bind to glycoproteins in animal endoplas-
290 mic reticulum (Qin et al. 2012). The hypothesis that the malectin-like domain of
291 CrRLK1L proteins binds cell wall polysaccharides or glycoproteins in plants has
292 been proposed (Monshausen and Haswell 2013). In this subfamily THESEUS1
293 (THE1), a particular member with a plasma membrane location, could be a candi-
294 date for cell wall integrity sensing in *Arabidopsis*. THE1 was identified at a
295 suppressor screen of cellulose-deficient mutant *cesA6* (Hématy et al. 2007). The
296 *the1* mutant partially restored the hypocotyl elongation of the *cesA6*. However,
297 mutations or over-expression of the *THE1* gene did not exhibit any effects in
298 *Arabidopsis*. Consequently, THE1 was therefore proposed as a sensor of the cell
299 wall status and modulator of cell elongation during perturbed cellulose synthesis.

300 Members of ArabinoGalactan proteins (AGPs) bind pectins and are also hypoth-
301 esized to be cell wall integrity sensors. Indeed, AGPs are highly glycosylated
302 proteins located in the cell wall (for more detail, see Chap. 3). Some AGPs bind
303 cell wall pectin (Serpe and Nothnagel 1995) and could be attached to the plasma
304 membrane via GPI anchors which would mediate intracellular signaling (Hum-
305 phrey et al. 2007).

306 4.2.3 Mechanical Signal Transduction: Secondary 307 Messengers

308 Regarding secondary messengers, few data are available in trees and almost lacking
309 in the context of gravitropic stimulation and/or stem bending. However, herbaceous
310 plant model data can be a starting point for future studies on tree models. According
311 to Toyota and Gilroy (2013), calcium is an important and ubiquitous cell secondary
312 messenger. Its specific role as a secondary effector in MS signaling has been
313 extensively investigated in *Arabidopsis* and *Nicotiana* (Knight et al. 1991, 1992;
314 Haley et al. 1995; Plieth and Trewavas 2002; Toyota et al. 2008). Gravity stimu-
315 lation of *Arabidopsis* seedlings indicated a cytosolic Ca^{2+} concentration $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyt}}$
316 increase with a first sharp increase followed by another less intense but longer
317 signal (Plieth and Trewavas 2002). Wind also induced $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyt}}$ increase in *Nico-*
318 *tiana* and *Arabidopsis* seedlings (Knight et al. 1992; Haley et al. 1995; Plieth and
319 Trewavas 2002). Experiments conducted on trees support the involvement of Ca^{2+}
320 in RW formation. By using a Ca^{2+} chelator (EGTA) or a calcium channel inhibitor
321 (LaCl_3) which allowed modification of Ca^{2+} availability, CW formation was
322 inhibited in *Taxodium distichum* gravistimulated stems (Du and Yamamoto
323 2003). The involvement of calcium in TW induction has also been suggested by
324 indirect data obtained from several global approaches (see Sect. 4.4) notably
325 through regulation of protein accumulation such as calreticulin, a Ca^{2+} storage

protein (Azri et al. 2009). The overall results suggested Ca^{2+} ion as a second messenger in the early stages of mechanical signal transduction.

Other secondary messengers have been identified as important after mechanical/gravitational stimulation. Azri et al. (2009) suggested the involvement of reactive oxygen species (ROS) in poplar TW formation induced through the accumulation of glutathione-dehydroascorbate reductase (GSH-DHAR), glutathione S-transferase (GST) and thioredoxin *h* (Thr *h*) proteins. Azri et al. (2013) further showed an induction of the *Thr h* gene in response to gravitropic stimulus. With an immuno-chemistry approach, they co-located Thr *h* proteins with amyloplasts in stem endoderm cells, thus providing a coherent framework for graviperception. More evidence from herbaceous models demonstrates that an interplay of ROS and Ca^{2+} could mediate mechanosensing: ROS can stimulate $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyt}}$, and an increase of $[\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{cyt}}$ can also lead to a ROS production via NADPH oxidase (Mori and Schroeder 2004).

Molecular candidates to convert the transient ionic Ca^{2+} signal to long-term biochemical signal are mainly calmodulins (CaM) and Ca^{2+} -dependent protein kinases (CDPK). Calmodulins are Ca^{2+} -dependent regulation proteins linking calcium to MS. CDPK are cytosolic proteins with a kinase domain, an autoinhibitory domain, and a calmodulin-like domain that bind calcium ions (Hrabak et al. 2003). In poplar, the expression of calmodulin encoding genes is up-regulated as soon as 10 min after a transient stem bending (Martin et al. 2009). Although very likely, a role for these proteins in the Ca^{2+} signaling after mechanical stimulation still needs to be demonstrated.

Phosphatidylinositol signaling is another part of the gravitropism sensing network (Strohm et al. 2012). Membrane phospholipid Phosphatidylinositol 4,5-bisphosphate (PIP2) releases inositol 1,4,5 triphosphate (IP3 or InsP3) after phospholipase C (PLC) hydrolysis. InsP3 is accumulated during gravitropic response which later is repressed through PLC inhibition (Perera et al. 2001). InsP3, like Ca^{2+} , is a second messenger suggested as triggering intracellular calcium flow from vacuole (Allen et al. 1995).

Taken together, these results indicate that complex and interactive signaling pathways are involved after mechanical/gravitational stimulation. To date, no study has yet addressed these mechanisms in tree stems. Numerous questions remain to be resolved to understand the induction of RW. Why RW is produced in only one side of the stems, whereas the physical parameters triggering RW production are potentially perceived on both sides of the stem. Are the putative receptors uniformly localized in cells? Furthermore, if the endodermis cells are considered as sensitive cells, how is the inductive signal transmitted to cambium cells or developing xylem cells to modify the secondary wall composition and architecture? What cells act as gravisensor in older stems? How and where does crosstalk between gravisensing and proprioception occur?

4.3 Signal Transmission to Reaction Wood-Forming Cells

4.3.1 Hormone Action and Reaction Wood

The anatomical and biochemical features of RW have been the subject of extensive studies, which are described and reviewed in Chaps. 2 and 3. However the physiological and molecular signals inducing the formation of RW remain poorly described. Several plant hormones have been implicated in the regulation of cambial cell proliferation activity and in wood cell differentiation (for review, see Elo et al. 2009; Nieminen et al. 2012; Ursache et al. 2013). Until recently, their role in RW formation was studied through the application of exogenous compounds, the hormones themselves, their antagonists or transport/perception inhibitors. These experiments proved to be very informative as a first step towards deciphering whether hormones have the potential to induce RW formation. However, the possibility to genetically transform poplar and the use of high throughput molecular techniques are, and will be continue to be, of great help in confirming and completing these observations.

4.3.1.1 Auxin

The application of auxin antagonists or transport inhibitors on both gymnosperm and angiosperm tree stems led to the assumption that auxin plays a role in RW formation. Increased amounts of auxin could induce CW formation in gymnosperms while a deficiency in auxin was required to form TW in angiosperm (for review, see Du and Yamamoto 2007; Felten and Sundberg 2013). However, such experiments do not prove the function of auxin under natural conditions because of the risk of uncontrolled modification of auxin homeostasis. To be validated, they need a better documentation of *in planta* auxin concentrations/amounts. Reports on the relationship between endogenous auxin levels and the formation of CW or TW are scarce and sometimes contradictory. For example, while Wilson et al. (1989) did not find a clear and conclusive correlation between the occurrence of CW and auxin concentrations, Funada et al. (1990) working on *Cryptomeria japonica* and Du et al. (2004) working on *Metasequoia glyptostroboides* found a higher amount of endogenous IAA (indole-3-acetic acid) in the cambial region producing CW. More recently, Hellgren et al. (2004) conducted a kinetic survey of endogenous IAA distribution across the cambial region of Aspen (*P. tremula*) and Scots pine (*Pinus sylvestris*) trees after bent-stem gravistimulation. These experiments showed that RW could be formed even when the pattern of IAA distribution in the cambial region is unmodified. The authors concluded that modulation of the auxin concentration gradient across the cambial zone might not be the signal that maintains the cells in an RW developmental state.

Data found in the literature are contradictory and were obtained on different species with different techniques and at different time points after stimulation. It is

therefore difficult to give a clear model of auxin role in RW formation. However, an involvement of auxin in the early steps of the induction process has not yet been ruled out. Actually, several reports showed that auxin signaling is responsive to gravistimulation. After 6 h of stem bending, the expression of two *AUX/IAA* genes in poplar (*P. tremula* × *tremuloides*) (Moyle et al. 2002) and one in *Eucalyptus* (Paux et al. 2005) was altered in TW compared to normal wood in unbent trees. In yellow poplar (*Liriodendron tulipifera*), a species that does not produce a typical G-layer, the expression of ARFs and *AUX/IAA* genes as well as other auxin-related genes is modified in TW compared to OW (Jin et al 2011). Similar results were also obtained in poplar (*P. tremula* × *tremuloides*) after 3 weeks of TW induction by leaning the stem (Andersson-Gunnerås et al. 2006).

High throughput approaches have already helped in improving our knowledge of auxin function in TW formation. Coupling these with functional genomic approaches could help to gain a better understanding of the role of auxin in TW formation.

4.3.1.2 Ethylene

The gaseous hormone ethylene has long been known to be produced in response to diverse stresses including mechanical solicitations (for review, see Braam 2005; Telewski 2006; Du and Yamamoto 2007) such as bending and tilting. These stresses usually stimulated wood production by increasing cambial activity and sometimes led to the production of RW. Like auxin, ethylene involvement in RW formation was first investigated by measuring ethylene or its precursor (ACC: 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid), and by using application experiments. For example, in the vascular cambium of *Pinus contorta* Dougl. ssp. *latifolia* branches, endogenous ACC was detected in association with CW differentiation, but not with OW (Savidge et al. 1983). Applications of ethrel, an ethylene releasing compound, stimulated wood production and were able to modify anatomical features of xylem in gymnosperm and angiosperm trees (Du and Yamamoto 2007). In angiosperm, although some of these features, like fewer and smaller vessels, can be reminiscent of TW characteristics (Little and Savidge 1987; Du and Yamamoto 2007; Love et al. 2009), it has to be noted that there is no report of G-layer induction by ethylene treatments.

Molecular approaches have helped to gain new insights about regulation of TW formation by ethylene. In bent poplars (*P. tremula* × *tremuloides*), a clear induction of *PttACO1* (ACC oxidase the last enzyme in the ethylene biosynthesis pathway) expression and relative activity were observed in the TW-forming tissues (Andersson-Gunnerås et al. 2003). Therefore, *PttACO1* may represent a major control of ethylene asymmetric production during TW formation. In poplars leant for 3 weeks, the expression of genes related to ethylene signaling was also modified in TW compared to OW (Andersson-Gunnerås et al. 2006). In *L. tulipifera*, such modifications were seen as soon as 6 h after bending of the stem (Jin et al. 2011). Love et al. (2009) combined the use of ethylene-insensitive trees, ethylene-

overproducing trees, and the application of the ethylene-perception inhibitor MCP (1-methylcyclopropene) to explore ethylene physiological function in gravistimulated poplars. They showed that ethylene could be responsible for the stimulation of cambial cell divisions on the upper TW-forming side of leaning stems. In 2013, Vahala et al. identified 170 gene models encoding ERFs (ethylene response factors) in the *Populus trichocarpa* genome. Among these, 17 had a minimum of a twofold induction of expression in TW compared to normal wood. Over-expression of some of them in poplar resulted in anatomical or wall chemistry modifications that are reminiscent of TW features.

Ethylene and its signaling pathway seem therefore to control part of the molecular and physiological modifications underlying RW formation, especially the asymmetric increase in radial growth. However, it seems that the establishment of the full characteristics of RW involves ethylene in combination with yet unidentified other signaling factors (Love et al. 2009; Vahala et al. 2013; Felten and Sundberg 2013).

4.3.1.3 Gibberellins

Gibberellins (GAs) constitute another group of plant hormones known to promote secondary growth and xylem fibre length (Eriksson et al. 2000; Mauriat and Moritz 2009; Gou et al. 2011). Applications of exogenous GAs or GA inhibitors to tree stems can only provide indirect evidence for a role of GA in RW formation. In gymnosperms, the possibility of a role of GAs in CW formation has not yet been clearly demonstrated. However, experiments conducted on upright or tilted angiosperm trees helped to establish a correlation between GA and TW formation. For example, it has been shown that the application of GA to vertical stems of *Fraxinus mandshurica*, *Quercus mongolica*, *Kalopanax pictus* and *Populus sieboldii* induced the development of TW with typical G-fibres in the absence of gravistimulus (Funada et al. 2008). When tilted *Acacia mangium* seedlings were treated with GA their negative gravitropism was stimulated. On the contrary, when they were treated with paclobutrazole or uniconazole-P, inhibitors of gibberellin biosynthesis, the gravistimulated upright movement of the acacia stems was inhibited and the formation of TW was suppressed (Nugroho et al. 2012, 2013).

No functional genomic experiment has yet proved that gibberellins could control RW formation. However, the use of a natural weeping mutant of *P. spachiana* brought some evidence for a role of GAs in TW formation. Exogenous application of GAs on branches of these Japanese cherry trees (*P. spachiana*) stimulated cambial growth and promoted TW formation on the upper side of branches resulting in an upright movement (Nakamura et al. 1994; Baba et al. 1995; Yoshida et al. 1999).

Together these results indicate that GAs seem to be involved in RW formation. However, more direct supporting evidence and a better understanding of the involved signaling factors is still needed to make a clearer conclusion.

As mentioned above, although sometimes quite indirect, an important amount of data indicates a role for auxin, ethylene and gibberellins in RW formation. This holds especially true for angiosperms since knowledge obtained on CW is less advanced. On the contrary, no such relation has been identified for cytokinins, abscissic acid or brassinosteroids. Most of the experiments designed to gain a better understanding of the role of plant hormones in TW formation focused on a single hormone, studied independently. It is, however, important to keep in mind that many hormones have been shown to interact with each other in a synergistic or inhibitory manner. For example, GA is known to stimulate polar auxin transport (Björklund et al. 2007) and IAA to promote ethylene biosynthesis (Abeles et al. 1992). Although the studies made so far have greatly improved our knowledge of RW biology, the use of high throughput molecular technics combined with functional genomics has started to, and should in the future, help to gain a deeper understanding of the processes underlying RW development. Moreover, hormones are currently mostly regarded as upstream primary responses to TW sensing (Felten and Sundberg 2013), but studies on hormone distribution and transport are still too scarce and contradictory to rule out the possibility of their involvement in the transmission of the signal from the perceptive to the RW-forming cells.

4.3.2 Other Candidates for Signal Transmission to Reaction Wood-Forming Cells

miRNAs are small non-coding RNA molecules (about 21 nucleotides) which cleave or degrade messenger RNA targets. In plants, they are involved in the regulation of a large number of physiological processes (Jones-Rhodes et al. 2006) through the targeting of cell metabolism, signal transduction and stress response mRNAs. Different authors (Griffiths-Jones et al. 2008; Lu et al. 2005, 2008; Zhang et al. 2010) have characterized mechanical stress-responsive miRNAs in *P. trichocarpa*, especially miRNA that were differentially regulated by bending. The predicted target genes encode transcription factors and proteins involved in various cellular processes. For example, the function of the target of miR1446 is a gibberellin response modulator-like protein and the target of miR160 is an auxin responsive factor. Although a direct link between miRNAs and RW has never been proven, the above-mentioned data indicate that these small molecules could be good candidates to explore the molecular network controlling RW formation. To do so, further genome-wide identification of miRNAs using a different experimental design (inclination) is needed, as well as functional characterization of the identified miRNA and corresponding targets.

Recently, several authors reported intercellular signaling by miRNAs and showed that some can move from one cell to another or over long distances (for review, see Marín-González and Suárez-López 2012). Since signaling from the cells that perceive the RW-generating stimuli to the RW-forming cells may require

529 long distance regulation of gene expression, it is tempting to consider miRNAs as
530 good candidates for the signal transmission from perceptive cells to RW-forming
531 cells.

532 **4.4 Insights from Global Approaches**

533 Despite the economic impact of RW occurrence in industrial process and its
534 importance from a tree developmental point of view, the molecular mechanisms
535 involved in the perception and response to the gravitational stimulus have not been
536 extensively studied. Furthermore, very few studies have addressed this question by
537 global approaches, which require the genome of the studied tree species to be
538 sequenced and annotated.

539 Investigating the induction of RW is also a very complex question RW is formed
540 very locally in answer to a global mechanical problem for the tree. In addition most
541 of the regulations used in RW formation (division rate, cell elongation, cell wall
542 thickening, MFA setting) are also used for normal wood formation. Experimental
543 setups have to take into account this point to specifically address the question of
544 RW induction. Most of the studies on genes or proteins acting as regulators of RW
545 making were done on inclining experiments. By inclining the whole tree system by
546 an angle of around 30° and letting it grow afterwards (see Chap. 5) a pure, long-
547 lasting RW formation is induced at the base of the stem (see Fig. 4.1). At inclination
548 angles of this magnitude there is a strong perception of disequilibrium, secondary
549 growth processes are very active and no new primary axillary growth is observed.
550 This is in contrast to very inclined (nearly horizontal) trees, which use growth
551 through axillary buds (i.e. primary growth) to create new vertical axes.

552 **4.4.1 Transcriptome Analysis**

553 Transcriptomics of RW is still in its infancy. Quite limited reports are available
554 although studies have been conducted for about two decades. Regarding the vast
555 majority of transcriptomics works on normal wood, the reader should refer to the
556 most recent review of Zhong and Ye (2013). Early work addressed gene expression
557 during RW formation through target genes approaches, leading progressively to
558 recent transcriptome-wide overviews. Tools for deciphering gene involvement in
559 the control of RW formation are becoming increasingly available and although
560 most recent gene expression measurement tools, such as RNAseq, are still under-
561 used in this research field, hopefully this will change in the near future.

562 Most studies of angiosperm RW formation using transcriptomics have been
563 conducted with poplar species and less frequently with *Eucalyptus grandis*, *Euca-*
564 *lyptus globulus* and *Eucalyptus nitens*. Other angiosperms species have been rarely
565 studied with the exceptions of *A. thaliana* and the tulip tree (*Liriodendron* sp.). In

gymnosperms, most studies have been conducted with *Picea taeda* although *Pinus* 566
pinaster, *Pinus radiata*, *Picea abies*, *Picea glauca* and *Chamaecyparis obtusa* have 567
also been examined. Target gene studies began in this research area with the 568
reporting of the involvement of 4-coumarate:coenzyme A ligase (4CL) during 569
CW formation (Zhang and Chiang 1997). Along with up-regulation of 4CL tran- 570
scripts, the corresponding enzyme activity was also increased and its impact on 571
lignin composition was observed. Regulators of lignin biosynthesis have been 572
targeted as well, namely MYB factors in *P. glauca* (Bedon et al. 2007). 573

Meanwhile, transcriptome profiling started with the pioneering work showing 574
Pinus taeda transcripts down-regulated for genes involved in lignin biosynthesis 575
(Allona et al. 1998) and later in *C. obtusa* (Yamashita et al. 2008). At the same time, 576
a large diversity of responses was reported for carbohydrates enzymes, 577
i.e. xyloglucan endo-transglycosylase (XET), in CW using 1,097 ESTs in a 578
co-expression clustering study (Allona et al. 1998). AGPs were reported as 579
key-players through cDNAs encoding six novel so-called cell wall-associated pro- 580
teins in CW formation by the same approach (Zhang et al. 2000). These results were 581
further developed with a set of 2,400 ESTs from a cDNA microarray where 33 out 582
of 69 transcripts were differential in CW and related to monolignols biosynthesis 583
(Whetten et al. 2001). Following this, studies on angiosperms provided additional 584
information by deciphering the gelatinous layer (G-layer) deposition in the 585
so-called G-fibres during TW formation. Fasciclin-like arabinogalactan (FLA) 586
proteins and their corresponding transcripts have been intensively studied empha- 587
sizing their involvement as a hypothetical adhesion factor facilitating cellulose 588
deposition in the G-layer during TW formation in *P. tremula* $\times$ *tremuloides* 589
(Andersson-Gunnerås et al. 2003, 2006), *P. tremula* $\times$ *alba* (Lafarguette 590
et al. 2004), *E. grandis* (Qiu et al. 2008), *E. nitens* and *A. thaliana* (MacMillan 591
et al. 2010). Along with FLA studies, transcriptional mechanisms of saccharide 592
metabolism and deposition were also functionally dissected, even down to the cell 593
scale using microgenomic tools (Goué et al. 2008). Major works outlined the role of 594
sucrose synthase (SuSy) in *P. tremula* $\times$ *alba* (Déjardin et al. 2004), cellulose 595
synthase (CesA) in *E. globulus* (Paux et al. 2005), XET and xylo-glucan endo- 596
transglycosylase/hydrolase (XTH) in *P. tremula* $\times$ *tremuloides*, *Populus alba* and 597
P. tremula (Nishikubo et al. 2007) and XET in *L. tulipifera* (Jin et al. 2011). 598

With the improvement of sequencing facilities and transcriptome-wide studies, 599
development of collections of ESTs related to wood formation transcriptomics were 600
set-up, some of them including data related to RW formation as for 601
P. tremula $\times$ *tremuloides* (Sterky et al. 2004), but also including expression data 602
in RW for several other poplar species (Sjodin et al. 2009), for *P. abies* (Koutaniemi 603
et al. 2007), for *P. radiata* (Ramos et al. 2012) and for *P. pinaster* (Villalobos 604
et al. 2012). 605

A striking point with timescale studies of RW formation is that very few reports 606
deal with early molecular events of the process. In other words, most of the 607
published works dealing with transcriptomics of RW formation, either gene- 608
targeted or genome-wide, focus at developmental stages when RW is already 609
histologically observable in the xylem. Precursor work in the field of the induction 610

611 of RW does exist but is only gene-targeted at the moment. ZFP2 transcription factor
612 was firstly reported in *Juglans regia* (Leblanc-Fournier et al. 2008) and
613 *P. tremula* × *alba* (Martin et al. 2009). This ZFP2 is coined “mechano-sensitive”
614 and addresses the xylem cell response to mechanical stress at a very early stage in a
615 timely and structured manner in the transduction pathway to TW formation in trees,
616 along with TCH2 and TCH4 as reported in *P. tremula* × *alba* from quantitative
617 PCR studies (Martin et al. 2010). TCH4, reported as encoding for an XET in
618 *A. thaliana* (Xu et al. 1995), draws attention to enzymatic-oriented cellulose
619 modifications in the cell wall. The field of early RW induction, at the cell level
620 and before any macroscopic tissue organization can be observed in the stem, is
621 hopefully a must in any forthcoming experiments.

622 4.4.2 Techniques for Proteome Measurement

623 Proteomics is a powerful molecular tool for describing proteomes at the organelle,
624 cell, organ or tissue levels and for showing the modifications of protein expression
625 in response to environmental changes (Abbasi and Komatsu 2004). Proteomics
626 completes the large-scale analysis of the transcriptome. On many occasions, the
627 level of mRNA is not always correlated with protein expression level. One tran-
628 script may be translated into more than one protein because of alternative splicing
629 or alternative post-translational modifications. In addition, post-translational mod-
630 ifications such as phosphorylation and glycosylation may modify protein activities
631 and subcellular localization (Yan et al. 2005).

632 Although attempts have been made at identifying proteins whose abundance,
633 localization, and/or post-transcriptional modifications are altered by gravisti-
634 mulation, most studies were conducted on *A. thaliana* seedlings and tended to
635 unravel the mechanisms that control root gravitropism (for review, see Harrison
636 et al. 2008). As for the understanding of the response of tree shoots to gravity, both
637 gymnosperm and angiosperm species should be considered separately since the
638 structure and properties of CW are different from those of TW. Although studies
639 have been conducted to elucidate wood formation in trees, few of them have
640 addressed the problem at the proteomic level, and even less focussed on RW
641 genesis.

642 Among the first global attempts to unravel xylogenesis in trees, two-dimensional
643 (2D) electrophoresis has been used to characterize xylem maritime pine proteins
644 (Costa et al. 1999) or seasonal changes in protein expression in wood-forming
645 tissues of poplar (Minsbrugge et al. 2000). The first description of the proteome of
646 maritime pine wood-forming tissue (identification of 175 proteins) was provided by
647 Gion et al. (2005). The variations in the proteome of differentiating xylem collected
648 from the top to the bottom of adult maritime pine (*P. pinaster*) trees have provided a
649 list of candidate genes for wood properties (Paiva et al. 2007). Using a large-scale
650 approach, regeneration of the secondary vascular system in poplar was studied after
651 peeling of the bark and sampling by scraping regenerating tissues (Juan et al. 2006).

A dynamic view of the changes occurring during the juvenile wood formation in the proteome of *E. grandis* has been provided using xylem tissues from 3- and 6-year-old trees (Celedon et al. 2007). More recently, a focussed analysis of plasma membrane proteomes from different tissues isolated from 3 to 4 m high poplar trees identified 108 proteins that were specifically expressed in the xylem (Nilsson et al. 2010). The authors proposed a schematic model for wood formation, integrating proteins expressed in the xylem such as cellulose-synthesizing complex, receptors, glucan synthase, AGPs, and enzymes of lignin biosynthesis. In particular the thorough investigation of cellulose synthase complexes in differentiating *Populus* xylem has been realized using complementary approaches including laser microdissection, immunolocalization along with proteomic analysis (Song et al. 2010).

With the aim of understanding TW induction or formation, proteomic analyses have been conducted on *Poplar* and *Eucalyptus*. These species are used as models in forest genetics and woody plant studies because they grow rapidly, they can be genetically transformed and the size of their genome is relatively small (5 to 6×10^8 bp) (Plomion et al. 2001). As for CW, different pine species, Sitka spruce (*Picea sitchensis*) and Japanese cypress (*C. obtusa*) have been used because of their economic and ecological interest. To investigate differentially expressed proteins in response to gravity, most studies report 2D polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) patterns and include identification of proteins by matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization-time of flight (MALDI-TOF), mass spectrometry (MS) or by liquid chromatography coupled to tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS). One study using Multidimensional Protein Identification Technology (MudPIT) reported on the proteome of *Populus* developing xylem (Kalluri et al. 2009). The proteins were extracted from subcellular fractions of xylem stems, enzymatically digested and the resulting peptides were analysed using LC-MS/MS. However, this study was not specifically addressing RW induction/formation. In the next paragraphs, proteomic studies on TW induction/formation are first presented, followed by data on CW. Depending on the studies, the gravistimulation design, the organs and tissues used for protein extraction have been very different. In addition, studies on TW formation after bending using constraining strings are also presented. Because of the diversity of experimental designs, the synthesis of the results remains problematic.

4.4.3 Measured Changes in Proteome

Azri et al. (2009) studied young poplars (14–20 internodes) inclined at 35° from the vertical axis. Whole internodes from the basal and apical regions of vertical and gravistimulated stems were collected. The purpose of this experimental procedure was to allow analysis of the differential expression caused by gravistimulation between regions showing different motors for stem reorientation. The apical region responds to inclination by differential growth due to elongation of primary tissues

693 while reorientation is due to asymmetrical formation of RW at the base. After
694 45 min of gravistimulation, the stem showed no reorientation. After 1 week, RW
695 was observed at the base of the stem. Differential protein expression was reported
696 between inclined or non-inclined conditions and also between the regions of the
697 stem. Among 300 protein spots, 40 % showed significant changes after inclination.
698 Sixty protein spots whose staining intensity was altered by gravistimulation were
699 identified by mass spectrometry. These 60 proteins fell into a large range of
700 functional categories. Interestingly, the patterns of expression of these selected
701 proteins differed strongly between the conditions tested (apical and basal regions,
702 45 min and 1 week of inclination). At 45 min and 1 week, respectively, three and
703 four proteins were similarly regulated by gravistimulation between the top and the
704 basal regions. These observations suggested that different metabolisms and signal-
705 ing pathways were involved in each region of the stem following a short (45 min) or
706 a long (1 week) exposure to gravistimulation. At 45 min, before any visible
707 reorientation of the stem, some of the proteins regulated by gravistimulation may
708 be involved in graviperception. At the top of the stem (where reorientation will later
709 occur through differential elongation of primary tissues), the results suggested the
710 implication of ROS (regulation of oxidative stress-responsive enzymes). The reg-
711 ulation of actin and tubulin subunits, or microtubule-binding proteins showed the
712 importance of cell wall—plasma membrane—cytoskeleton structural continuum
713 for graviperception. Several proteins suggested some signaling via the
714 endomembrane system and that calcium and phosphoinositides might act as cellular
715 messengers (calreticulin, phosphatidyl inositol transfer protein SEC14). At the base
716 of the stem (where orientation will later occur through the formation of RW), the
717 most noticeable enzymes that were differentially expressed by gravistimulation
718 were involved in lignin biosynthesis (phenylcoumaran benzylic ether reductase,
719 S-adenosylmethionine synthase). However, members of the S-adenosyl-L-methio-
720 nine-synthase gene family, which serve as universal methyl-group donors, are
721 potentially involved in lignin as well as in ethylene biosynthesis pathways.

722 In *Eucalyptus gunnii*, proteins were extracted from xylem tissue harvested from
723 a crooked tree. Two-dimensional gel electrophoresis images from normal and TW
724 were compared showing that 12 proteins out of 140 proteins analysed were differ-
725 entially expressed (Plomion et al. 2003). However, none of these proteins were
726 identified.

727 A different approach was carried out by Kaku et al. (2009) who focused on the
728 proteome of the G-layer in poplar TW. Leaning stems and branches from field-
729 grown poplars were used as sources for isolation of G-layers from TW. Among the
730 proteins separated by 2D gel electrophoresis, 108 were identified. Most abundant
731 were lignin synthesis-related proteins although the G layer did not contain lignin
732 itself. Cytoskeleton proteins, methionine synthesis-related proteins and cell wall-
733 related proteins were also identified. Lignification in TW is still a matter of debate.
734 Andersson-Gunnerås et al. (2006) using a global analysis reported a decrease in
735 monolignol biosynthesis in TW compared with normal wood. However, on-going
736 lignification was observed during G layer deposition in the compound middle
737 lamella, S1 and S2 layers in poplar TW (Yoshinaga et al. 2012). An assay based

on protein cleavage isotope dilution mass spectroscopy (PC-IDMS) has been developed for quantification of proteins regulating monolignol biosynthesis in *P. trichocarpa* (Shuford et al. 2012) and could potentially bring valuable data to decipher lignification in RW.

In conifers, CW is formed in response to gravitropic stimulus or environmental disturbances such as prevailing winds, and “pushes” the stems toward a vertical orientation. In the same way as for the TW studies, proteomic analyses of CW formation concerned either developing CW or inclined stems where no CW had been formed yet.

A comparative protein-based approach to identify proteins specifically expressed in CW was conducted with branches of Sitka spruce (*P. sitchensis*) (McDougall 2000). The developing xylem was sampled from the compression and non-compression sides of the branches. The comparison of polypeptides patterns by SDS-PAGE led to the identification of a laccase-type polyphenol oxidase that was over-expressed in compression tissues. This enzyme is thought to be involved in lignin biosynthesis.

On a larger scale, the identification of CW responsive proteins has been conducted with a 22-year-old crooked maritime pine (*P. pinaster* Ait.) (Plomion et al. 2000). Wood samples were mechanically and chemically characterized by measuring growth strains and lignin and cellulose contents, respectively. Of the 137 spots studied, 19 % were associated with growth strain effect. The results indicated the importance of ethylene in CW formation. The implication of 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylate (ACC) oxidase which catalyses the final reaction of the ethylene biosynthetic pathway in CW formation has also been suggested by Yuan et al. (2010). These authors examined PtACO1 and *PtACO1*-like (encoding putative ACC oxidases) transcript levels by quantitative PCR in loblolly pine seedling stems that were bent to a 90° angle using constraining strings. They observed an increase in these transcripts levels starting at 30 min and peaking at 3 h after bending. *PtACO1*-like transcripts were higher in CW than in opposite wood (OW). Besides, Plomion et al. (2000) have found that lignin biosynthesis was also affected during CW formation and that enzymes involved in Krebs cycle, sucrose and starch metabolism were up-regulated.

In another study, sampling of compression and OW was done with 16-year-old maritime pines bent to a 15° angle by tying their trunks to neighbouring trees for 2 years (Gion et al. 2005). Other types of wood were also analysed (juvenile and mature woods, early and late woods). The clustering of 215 proteins identified over the six types of wood was presented. It appeared that 20 % of the identified proteins exhibited distinctive expression patterns between CW and OW. Profilin, actin and nucleoside diphosphate kinase, 40S ribosomal S12 proteins were under-expressed in CW.

LC-MS analysis of Golgi-enriched membrane fraction from developing *P. radiata* CW has been done following in-solution digestion with trypsin (Mast et al. 2010). CW was sampled from 6-year-old trees in late summer to maximize the identification of proteins involved in secondary cell wall formation. As expected most proteins detected were involved not only in cell wall synthesis (i.e. cellulose

783 synthase, laccase, phenyl alanine ammonia-lyase) but also in hormone biosynthesis
784 and signaling (i.e. auxin-induced proteins, ACC synthase) and stress and defence
785 response. Within this last putative functional category, numerous receptors were
786 found (CC-NBS-LRR protein, NBS/LRR, TIR/NBS, TIR/NBS/LRR disease resis-
787 tance protein).

788 Gravitropism is not the only process that determines stem orientation; photot-
789 ropism is also an important factor that can lead to RW production. The interaction
790 between these two processes has not been extensively studied in trees. Herrera
791 et al. (2010) have presented a proteomic analysis of inclined pine seedlings sub-
792 mitted to an orthogonal light source. However, the apices were collected instead of
793 the basal part of the stem where undergoing secondary growth takes place. Thus this
794 study mainly identified differentially expressed proteins in the primary response to
795 stem tilting.

796 Proteomic studies have been realized with different organs and tissues (stem,
797 branches, whole internodes, xylem, G layer) from seedlings to trees aged from
798 2 month-old to 22 year-old. In some cases the plants were inclined or mechanically
799 bent and the proteome was analysed after varying times depending on the study
800 (from 45 min to days or weeks). In other cases, aged plants showing RW were used.
801 The problem is to discriminate between overlapping events such as induction,
802 signal transduction (first events following stimulation) in the stimulated cells
803 which are not clearly identified yet, reorientation of cambial cell programming,
804 and differentiation of newly formed cells in the developing xylem. In addition,
805 perception of the gravitropic stimulus and response probably occur in different
806 cells. The proteomic approach has been executed either on whole internodes or on
807 xylem tissue which may not contain the perceptive cells. Among the proteins
808 listings published, large functional categories appear such as primary metabolism,
809 cytoskeleton organization and biogenesis, cell wall synthesis, hormone biosynthe-
810 sis and signaling. However, the role of most proteins is still hypothetical. Focused
811 studies are needed to evaluate the role of the proteins brought forward by global
812 proteomic analyses.

813 **4.4.4 Metabolomics and RW Formation**

814 Metabolomics is a global approach used in biology for systematic metabolite
815 quantification, a metabolite being any intermediate or product of the metabolism,
816 e.g. amino acids, carbohydrates, hormones, and many more. Although several
817 studies targeted some metabolites related to carbon and secondary metabolism
818 that potentially play a role in RW formation (Yeh et al. 2005, 2006; Shi and Li
819 2012), “without *a priori*” approaches have been marginally exploited in this field.
820 To date, only Andersson-Gunnerås et al. (2006) have published work using this
821 technique. A combination of metabolomics and transcriptomics recently gave
822 precious insights on the *Arabidopsis* gravitropism and phototropism interplay
823 (Millar and Kiss 2013). Andersson-Gunnerås et al. (2006) used a similar approach

to gain access to the G-layer formation in poplar TW induced after 11 days bending. 824
 Conclusions from biochemical measurements follow predictions coherent with 825
 gene expression showing in TW: an increased activity of cellulose synthesis and 826
 pectin degradation-related genes while those involved in lignin biosynthesis are 827
 decreased. An advantage of their transcriptomic approach consists in fine identifi- 828
 cation of differentially expressed genes from multigenic families, thus refining the 829
 implication of gene candidates individually. Based on their expression and meta- 830
 bolic profiles, they propose extensive relational models for carbon metabolism and 831
 lignin biosynthesis in TW. Despite the importance of this work that provides a 832
 coherent framework based on quantitative and qualitative data on TW chemistry 833
 and gene expression, the earlier steps remain a matter of discovery. 834

4.5 Concluding Remarks

835

The formation of RW allows woody structures to adapt their position in response to 836
 gravitational and mechanical stimulation and/or a change in the light environment. 837
 The deciphering of the molecular mechanisms underlying this particular growth 838
 response is complex. It requires at the very least, tree models with sequenced 839
 genomes, which allow global approaches such as transcriptomics and proteomics. 840
 Functional genomics which aims to elucidate the function of proteins encoded by 841
 candidate genes is limited by a scarcity of mutants and the long generation times of 842
 forest trees. In the face of these complex challenges, Wyatt et al. (2010) presented 843
A. thaliana as a model for a molecular and genetic analysis of the mechanisms of 844
 TW formation. In addition, RW formation having many external or internal causal 845
 agents (gravity, light, interactions of both stimuli, inherent patterning mechanisms), 846
 it is difficult to set up an experimental design that addresses the impact of one 847
 particular stimulus in trees. Most studies utilized inclined trees in greenhouse 848
 conditions, although both phototropic and gravitropic reactions occurred in such 849
 conditions. Signalisation pathways leading to tree stature adjustments are different 850
 whether starting from a light or a gravi-mechanical stimulus. For a short period of 851
 time, dark or isotropic light conditions could be used to gain insight into gravitropic 852
 signalisation pathway leading to RW formation. Moreover the issues around stak- 853
 ing also need to be considered since different molecular pathways may be induced 854
 if stem deformation is allowed or not. 855

Hypotheses about perception of gravistimulation were previously defined 856
 through studies using *A. thaliana* mutants. However, in trees the question about 857
 the role of amyloplasts remains since starch is present in high level and everywhere 858
 in old woody structures. In trees, the tissue/cell that perceives gravistimulation is 859
 not clearly identified. As for the early events of signal transduction, one has to 860
 emphasize that very few studies were done at the very beginning of induction of 861
 RW, before any macroscopic observation of RW formation. Mechano-receptors 862
 involved in RW induction have still to be characterized. Global approaches suggest 863
 the role of calcium and ROS as second messengers and some signaling via the 864

865 endomembrane system and phosphoinositides. Although components of the signal-
 866 ing network have been identified through global analyses, the way they relate to one
 867 another in space and time is still unknown. Wyatt and Kiss (2013) speak about a
 868 “more or less amorphous gray cloud” when relating to the understanding of the
 869 signaling network. More precision could come from microdissection of chosen
 870 tissues or cells prior to molecular investigations. Typically, the early events of
 871 signal transduction are supposed to lead to hormonal response (i.e. synthesis,
 872 degradation, redistribution, reallocation, compartmentalization, and so on) that
 873 will finally provoke the growth and cell differentiation response. The involvement
 874 of ethylene gibberellin and auxin has been discussed but more studies are needed in
 875 order to decipher hormone signaling crosstalk in RW induction at the cell level, and
 876 also at the organ and whole plant level. For example, Azri et al. (2009) suggested
 877 that different signaling pathways occurred at the top and the base of a tilted poplar
 878 stems.

879 In conclusion, global approaches reveal the complexity of the RW induction
 880 both on a temporal scale and as a function of the location in the tree. Therefore,
 881 although the transcriptional network, the organization of protein synthesis and the
 882 subsequent hormonal response at the whole tree level is still unknown, the begin-
 883 ning of an understanding of how trees manipulate RW formation to solve their
 884 mechanical requirements is emerging.

885 References

- 886 Abbasi FM, Komatsu S (2004) A proteomic approach to analyze salt-responsive proteins in rice
 887 leaf sheath. *Proteomics* 4:2072–2081
- 888 Abeles FB, Morgan PW, Saltveit ME Jr (1992) *Ethylene in plant biology*, 2nd edn. Academic, San
 889 Diego
- 890 Allen GJ, Muir SR, Sanders D (1995) Release of Ca^{2+} from individual plant vacuoles by both
 891 InsP_3 and cyclic ADP-ribose. *Science* 268:735–737
- 892 Allona I, Quinn M, Shoop E, Swope K, Saint Cyr S, Carlis J, Riedl J, Retzel E, Campbell MM,
 893 Sederoff R, Whetten RW (1998) Analysis of xylem formation in pine by cDNA sequencing.
 894 *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 95:9693–9698
- 895 Almeras T, Fournier M (2009) Biomechanical design and long-term stability of trees: morpho-
 896 logical and wood traits involved in the balance between weight increase and the gravitropic
 897 reaction. *J Theor Biol* 256:370–381
- 898 Almeras T, Costes E, Salles JC (2004) Identification of biomechanical factors involved in stem
 899 shape variability between apricot tree varieties. *Ann Bot* 93:455–468
- 900 Andersson-Gunnerås S, Hellgren JM, Björklund S, Regan S, Moritz T, Sundberg B (2003)
 901 Asymmetric expression of a poplar ACC oxidase controls ethylene production during gravi-
 902 tational induction of tension wood. *Plant J* 34:339–349
- 903 Andersson-Gunnerås S, Mellerowicz EJ, Love J, Segerman B, Ohmiya Y, Coutinho PM,
 904 Nilsson P, Henrissat B, Moritz T, Sundberg B (2006) Biosynthesis of cellulose-enriched
 905 tension wood in *Populus*: global analysis of transcripts and metabolites identifies biochemical
 906 and developmental regulators in secondary wall biosynthesis. *Plant J* 45:144–165
- 907 Azri W, Chambon C, Herbette S, Brunel N, Coutand C, Leplé JC, Ben Rejeb I, Ammar S,
 908 Julien JL, Roeckel-Drevet P (2009) Proteome analysis of apical and basal regions of poplar
 909 stems under gravitropic stimulation. *Physiol Plant* 136:193–208

Azri W, Brunel N, Franchel J, Ben Rejeb I, Jacquot JP, Julien J-L, Herbette S, Roeckel-Drevet P	910
(2013) Putative involvement of thioredoxin <i>h</i> in early response to gravitropic stimulation of poplar stems. <i>J Plant Physiol</i> 170:707–711	911
Baba K, Adachi K, Take T, Yokoyama T, Ito T, Nakamura T (1995) Induction of tension wood in GA ₃ -treated branches of the weeping type of Japanese cherry, <i>Prunus spachiana</i> . <i>Plant Cell Physiol</i> 36:983–988	912
Baluška F, Volkmann D (2011) Mechanical aspects of gravity-controlled growth, development and morphogenesis. In: Wojtaszek P (ed) <i>Mechanical integration of plant cells and plants, Signaling and communication in plants</i> . Springer-Verlag GmbH, Heidelberg, pp 195–222	913
Baluška F, Samaj J, Wojtaszek P, Volkmann D, Menzel D (2003) Cytoskeleton-plasma membrane-cell wall continuum in plants. Emerging links revisited. <i>Plant Physiol</i> 133:482–491	914
Bastien R, Bohr T, Moulia B, Douady S (2013) Unifying model of shoot gravitropism reveals proprioception as a central feature of posture control in plants. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</i> 110:755–760	915
Bedon F, Grima-Pettenati J, Mackay J (2007) Conifer R2R3-MYB transcription factors: sequence analyses and gene expression in wood-forming tissues of white spruce (<i>Picea glauca</i>). <i>BMC Plant Biol</i> 7:17	916
Berthier S, Stokes A (2005) Phototropic response induced by wind loading in Maritime pine seedlings (<i>Pinus pinaster</i> Ait.). <i>J Exp Bot</i> 56:851–856	917
Björklund S, Antti H, Uddestrand I, Moritz T, Sundberg B (2007) Cross-talk between gibberellin and auxin in development of <i>Populus</i> wood: gibberellin stimulates polar auxin transport and has a common transcriptome with auxin. <i>Plant J</i> 52:499–511	918
Booth IR, Blount P (2012) The MscS and MscL families of mechanosensitive channels act as microbial emergency release valves. <i>J Bacteriol</i> 194:4802–4809	919
Braam J (2005) In touch: plant responses to mechanical stimuli. <i>New Phytol</i> 165:373–389	920
Celedon PAF, de Andrade A, Xavier Meireles KG, Gallo de Carvalho MC, Gomes Caldas DG, Moon DH, Tozelli Carneiro R, Franceschini LM, Oda S, Labate CA (2007) Proteomic analysis of the cambial region in juvenile <i>Eucalyptus grandis</i> at three ages. <i>Proteomics</i> 7:2258–2274	921
Collet C, Fournier M, Ningre F, Hounzandji AP, Constant T (2011) Growth and posture control strategies in <i>Fagus sylvatica</i> and <i>Acer pseudoplatanus</i> saplings in response to canopy disturbance. <i>Ann Bot</i> 107:1345–1353	922
Correll MJ, Kiss JZ (2002) Interactions between gravitropism and phototropism in plants. <i>J Plant Growth Regul</i> 21:89–101	923
Cosgrove DJ, Hedrich R (1991) Stretch-activated chloride, potassium, and calcium channels coexisting in plasma membranes of guard cells of <i>Vicia faba</i> L. <i>Planta</i> 186:143–153	924
Costa P, Pionneau C, Bauw G, Dubos C, Bahrman N, Kremer A, Frigerio J-M, Plomion C (1999) Separation and characterization of needle and xylem maritime pine proteins. <i>Electrophoresis</i> 20:1098–1108	925
Coste B, Mathur J, Schmidt M, Earley TJ, Ranade S, Petrus MJ, Dubin AE, Patapoutian A (2010) Piezo1 and Piezo2 are essential components of distinct mechanically activated cation channels. <i>Science</i> 330:55–60	926
Coste B, Xiao B, Santos JS, Syeda R, Grandl J, Spencer KS, Kim SE, Schmidt M, Mathur J, Dubin AE, Montal M, Patapoutian A (2012) Piezo proteins are pore-forming subunits of mechanically activated channels. <i>Nature</i> 483:176–181	927
Coutand C, Fournier M, Moulia B (2007) The gravitropic response of poplar trunks: key roles of prestressed wood regulation and the relative kinetics of cambial growth versus wood maturation. <i>Plant Physiol</i> 144:1166–1180	928
Decreux A, Messiaen J (2005) Wall-associated kinase WAK1 interacts with cell wall pectins in a calcium-induced conformation. <i>Plant Cell Physiol</i> 46:268–278	929
Déjardin A, Lepié J-C, Lesage-Descauses M-C, Costa G, Pilate G (2004) Expressed sequence tags from poplar wood tissues – a comparative analysis from multiple libraries. <i>Plant Biol</i> 6:55–64	930
Ding JP, Pickard BG (1993) Modulation of mechanosensitive calcium-selective cation channels by temperature. <i>Plant J</i> 3:713–720	931

963 Du S, Yamamoto F (2003) A study on the role of calcium in xylem development and compression
 964 wood formation in *Taxodium distichum* seedlings. IAWA J 24:75–85
 965 Du S, Yamamoto F (2007) An overview of the biology of reaction wood formation. J Integr Plant
 966 Biol 49:131–143
 967 Elo A, Immanen J, Nieminen K, Helariutta Y (2009) Stem cell function during plant vascular
 968 development. Semin Cell Dev Biol 20:1097–1106
 969 Eriksson ME, Israelsson M, Olsson O, Moritz T (2000) Increased gibberellin biosynthesis in
 970 transgenic trees promotes growth, biomass production and xylem fiber length. Nat Biotechnol
 971 18:784–788
 972 Felten J, Sundberg B (2013) Biology, chemistry and structure of tension wood. In: Fromm J
 973 (ed) Cellular aspects of wood formation, plant cell monographs 20. Springer, Heidelberg, pp
 974 203–224
 975 Fournier M, Chanson B, Thibaut B, Guitard D (1994) Measurements of residual growth strains at
 976 the stem surface observations on different species. Ann Sci For 51:249–266
 977 Funada R, Kubo T, Fushitani M (1990) Earlywood and latewood formation in *Pinus densiflora*
 978 trees with different amounts of crown. IAWA Bull 11:281–288
 979 Funada R, Miura T, Shimizu Y, Kinase T, Nakaba S, Kubo T, Sano Y (2008) Gibberellin-induced
 980 formation of tension wood in angiosperm trees. Planta 227:1409–1414
 981 Gion J-M, Lalanne C, Le Provost G, Ferry-Dumazet H, Paiva J, Chaumeil P, Frigerio J-M, Brach J,
 982 Barré A, de Daruvar A, Claverol S, Bonneau M, Sommerer N, Negroni L, Plomion C (2005) The
 983 proteome of maritime pine wood forming tissue. Proteomics 5:3731–3751
 984 Gou J, Ma C, Kadmiel M, Gai Y, Strauss S, Jiang X, Busov V (2011) Tissue-specific expression of
 985 *Populus* C₁₉ GA 2-oxidases differentially regulate above- and below-ground biomass growth
 986 through control of bioactive GA concentrations. New Phytol 192:626–639
 987 Goué N, Lesage-Descauses M-C, Mellerowicz EJ, Magel E, Label P, Sundberg B (2008)
 988 Microgenomic analysis reveals cell type-specific gene expression patterns between ray and
 989 fusiform initials within the cambial meristem of *Populus*. New Phytol 180:45–56
 990 Griffiths-Jones S, Saini HK, van Dongen S, Enright AJ (2008) miRBase: tools for microRNA
 991 genomics. Nucleic Acids Res 36:D154–D158
 992 Haley ANN, Russell AJ, Wood N, Allan AC, Knight M, Campbell AK (1995) Effects of
 993 mechanical signaling on plant cell cytosolic calcium. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 92:4124–4128
 994 Harrison BR, Morita MT, Masson PH, Tasaka M (2008) Signal transduction in gravitropism. In:
 995 Gilroy S, Masson PH (eds) Plant tropisms. Blackwell Publishing, Oxford, pp 21–46
 996 Hashiguchi Y, Tasaka M, Morita MT (2013) Mechanism of higher plant gravity sensing. Am J Bot
 997 100:91–100
 998 Haswell ES, Peyronnet R, Barbier-Brygoo H, Meyerowitz EM, Frachisse JM (2008) Two MscS
 999 homologs provide mechanosensitive channel activities in the *Arabidopsis* root. Curr Biol
 1000 18:730–734
 1001 Haswell ES, Phillips R, Rees DC (2011) Mechanosensitive channels: what can they do and how do
 1002 they do it? Structure 19:1356–1369
 1003 He ZH, Fujiki M, Kohorn BD (1996) A cell wall-associated, receptor-like protein kinase. J Biol
 1004 Chem 271:19789–19793
 1005 He ZH, Cheeseman I, He D, Kohorn BD (1999) A cluster of five cell wall-associated receptor
 1006 kinase genes, *Wak1-5*, are expressed in specific organs of *Arabidopsis*. Plant Mol Biol
 1007 39:1189–1196
 1008 Hellgren JM, Olofsson K, Sundberg B (2004) Patterns of auxin distribution during gravitational
 1009 induction of reaction wood in poplar and pine. Plant Physiol 135:212–220
 1010 Hématy K, Sado PE, Van Tuinen A, Rochange S, Desnos T, Balzergue S, Pelletier S, Renou JP,
 1011 Höfte H (2007) A receptor-like kinase mediates the response of *Arabidopsis* cells to the
 1012 inhibition of cellulose synthesis. Curr Biol 17:922–931
 1013 Herrera R, Krier C, Lalanne C, Ba EHM, Stokes A, Salin F, Fourcaud T, Claverol S, Plomion C
 1014 (2010) (Not) keeping the stem straight: a proteomic analysis of maritime pine seedlings
 1015 undergoing phototropism and gravitropism. BMC Plant Biol 10:217–229

Hohm T, Preuten T, Fankhauser C (2013) Phototropism: translating light into directional growth.	1016
Am J Bot 100:47–59	1017
Hrabak EM, Chan CWM, Gribskov M, Harper JF, Choi JH, Halford N, Luan S, Nimmo HG,	1018
Sussman MR, Thomas M, Walker-Simmons K, Zhu JK, Harmon AC (2003) The <i>Arabidopsis</i>	1019
CDPK-SnRK superfamily of protein kinases. Plant Physiol 132:666–680	1020
Humphrey TV, Bonetta DT, Goring DR (2007) Sentinels at the wall: cell wall receptors and	1021
sensors. New Phytol 176:7–21	1022
Jin H, Do J, Moon D, Noh EW, Kim W, Kwon M (2011) EST analysis of functional genes	1023
associated with cell wall biosynthesis and modification in the secondary xylem of the yellow	1024
poplar (<i>Liriodendron tulipifera</i>) stem during early stage of tension wood formation. Planta	1025
234:959–977	1026
Jones-Rhodes MW, Bartel DP, Barterl B (2006) MicroRNAs and their regulatory roles in plants.	1027
Annu Rev Plant Biol 57:19–53	1028
Jourez B, Avella-Shaw T (2003) Effet de la durée d'application d'un stimulus gravitationnel sur la	1029
formation de bois de tension et de bois opposé dans de jeunes pousses de peuplier (<i>Populus</i>	1030
<i>euramericana</i> cv 'Ghoy'). Ann For Sci 60:31–41	1031
Juan D, Hong-Li X, De-Qiang Z, Xin-Qinag H, Min-Jie W, Ying-Zhang L, Ke-Ming C, Meng-Zhu L	1032
(2006) Regeneration of the secondary vascular system in poplar as a novel system to investigate	1033
gene expression by a proteomic approach. Proteomics 6:881–895	1034
Kaku T, Serada S, Baba K, Tanaka F, Hayashi T (2009) Proteomic analysis of the G-layer in poplar	1035
tension wood. J Wood Sci 55:250–257	1036
Kalluri UC, Hurst GB, Lankford PK, Ranjan P, Pelletier DA (2009) Shotgun proteome profile of	1037
<i>Populus</i> developing xylem. Proteomics 9:4871–4880	1038
Kern VD, Sack FD (1999) Irradiance-dependent regulation of gravitropism by red light in	1039
protonemata of the moss <i>Ceratodon purpureus</i> . Planta 209:299–307	1040
Kim SE, Coste B, Chadha A, Cook B, Patapoutian A (2012) The role of Drosophila Piezo in	1041
mechanical nociception. Nature 483:209–212	1042
Knight MR, Campbell AK, Smith SM, Trewavas AJ (1991) Transgenic plant aequorin reports the	1043
effects of touch and cold-shock and elicitors on cytoplasmic calcium. Nature 352:524–526	1044
Knight MR, Smith SM, Trewavas AJ (1992) Wind-induced plant motion immediately increases	1045
cytosolic calcium. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 89:4967–4971	1046
Kojima M, Becker VK, Altaner CM (2012) An unusual form of reaction wood in Koromiko [<i>Hebe</i>	1047
<i>salicifolia</i> G.Forst. (Pennell)], a southern hemisphere angiosperm. Planta 235:1315–1330	1048
Koutaniemi S, Warinowski T, Karkonen A, Alatalo E, Fossdal CG, Saranpaa P, Laakso T,	1049
Fagerstedt KV, Simola LK, Paulin L, Rudd S, Teeri TH (2007) Expression profiling of the	1050
lignin biosynthetic pathway in Norway spruce using EST sequencing and real-time RT-PCR.	1051
Plant Mol Biol 65:311–328	1052
Kurusu T, Kuchitsu K, Nakano M, Nakayama Y, Iida H (2013) Plant mechanosensing and Ca ²⁺	1053
transport. Trends Plant Sci 18:227–233	1054
Lafarguette F, Leplé J-C, Déjardin A, Laurans F, Costa G, Lesage-Descauses M-C, Pilate G (2004)	1055
Poplar genes encoding fasciclin-like arabinogalactan proteins are highly expressed in tension	1056
wood. New Phytol 164:107–121	1057
Lally D, Ingmire P, Tong HY, He ZH (2001) Antisense expression of a cell wall-associated protein	1058
kinase, WAK4, inhibits cell elongation and alters morphology. Plant Cell 13:1317–1331	1059
Leblanc-Fournier N, Coutand C, Crouzet J, Brunel N, Lenne C, Moulia B, Julien J-L (2008) <i>Jr-</i>	1060
<i>ZFP2</i> , encoding a Cys2/His2-type transcription factor, is involved in the early stages of the	1061
mechano-perception pathway and specifically expressed in mechanically stimulated tissues in	1062
woody plants. Plant Cell Environ 31:715–726	1063
Lindner H, Müller LM, Boisson-Dernier A, Grossniklaus U (2012) CrRLK1L receptor-like	1064
kinases: not just another brick in the wall. Curr Opin Plant Biol 15:659–669	1065
Little CHA, Savidge RA (1987) The role of plant-growth regulators in forest tree cambial growth.	1066
Plant Growth Regul 6:137–169	1067

1068 Love J, Björklund S, Vahala J, Hertzberg M, Kangasjärvi J, Sundberg B (2009) Ethylene is an
 1069 endogenous stimulator of cell division in the cambial meristem of *Populus*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*
 1070 U S A 106:5984–5986
 1071 Lu S, Sun Y-H, Shi R, Clark C, Li L, Chiang VL (2005) Novel and mechanical stress-responsive
 1072 microRNAs in *Populus trichocarpa* that are absent from *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* 17:2186–2205
 1073 Lu S, Li L, Yi X, Joshi CP, Chiang VL (2008) Differential expression of three *Eucalyptus*
 1074 secondary cell wall-related cellulose synthase genes in response to tension stress. *J Exp Bot*
 1075 59:681–695
 1076 MacMillan CP, Mansfield SD, Stachurski ZH, Evans R, Southerton SG (2010) Fasciclin-like
 1077 arabinogalactan proteins: specialization for stem biomechanics and cell wall architecture in
 1078 *Arabidopsis* and *Eucalyptus*. *Plant J* 62:689–703
 1079 Marín-González E, Suárez-López P (2012) “And yet it moves”: cell-to-cell and long-distance
 1080 signaling by plant microRNAs. *Plant Sci* 196:18–30
 1081 Martin L, Leblanc-Fournier N, Azri W, Lenne C, Henry C, Coutand C, Julien J-L (2009)
 1082 Characterization and expression analysis under bending and other abiotic factors of *PtaZFP2*,
 1083 a poplar gene encoding a Cys2/His2 zinc finger protein. *Tree Physiol* 29:125–136
 1084 Martin L, Leblanc-Fournier N, Julien J-L, Moulia B, Coutand C (2010) Acclimation kinetics of
 1085 physiological and molecular responses of plants to multiple mechanical loadings. *J Exp Bot*
 1086 61:2403–2412
 1087 Mast S, Peng L, Jordan W, Flint H, Phillips L, Donaldson L, Strabala TJ, Wagner A (2010)
 1088 Proteomic analysis of membrane preparations from developing *Pinus radiata* compression
 1089 wood. *Tree Physiol* 30:1456–1468
 1090 Matsuzaki J, Masumori M, Tange T (2006) Stem phototropism of trees: a possible significant
 1091 factor in determining stem inclination on forest slopes. *Ann Bot* 98:573–581
 1092 Matsuzaki J, Masumori M, Tange T (2007) Phototropic bending of non-elongating and radially
 1093 growing woody stems results from asymmetrical xylem formation. *Plant Cell Environ*
 1094 30:646–653
 1095 Mauriat M, Moritz T (2009) Analyses of *GA20ox*- and *GIDI*-over-expressing aspen suggest that
 1096 gibberellins play two distinct roles in wood formation. *Plant J* 58:989–1003
 1097 McDougall GJ (2000) A comparison of proteins from the developing xylem of compression and
 1098 non-compression wood of branches of Sitka spruce (*Picea sitchensis*) reveals a differentially
 1099 expressed laccase. *J Exp Bot* 51:1395–1401
 1100 Mellerowicz EJ, Immerzeel P, Hayashi T (2008) Xyloglucan: the molecular muscle of trees. *Ann*
 1101 *Bot* 105:659–665
 1102 Millar KD, Kiss JZ (2013) Analyses of tropistic responses using metabolomics. *Am J Bot*
 1103 100:79–90
 1104 Minsbrugge KV, Meyermans H, Van Montagu M, Bauw G, Boerjan W (2000) Wood formation in
 1105 poplar: identification, characterization, and seasonal variation of xylem proteins. *Planta*
 1106 210:589–598
 1107 Monshausen GB, Haswell ES (2013) A force of nature: molecular mechanisms of
 1108 mechanoperception in plants. *J Exp Bot*. doi:10.1093/jxb/ert204 (Epub ahead of print)
 1109 Mori IC, Schroeder JI (2004) Reactive oxygen species activation of plant Ca²⁺ channels. Signaling
 1110 mechanisms in polar growth, hormone transduction, stress signaling, and hypothetically
 1111 mechanotransduction. *Plant Physiol* 135:702–708
 1112 Morita MT (2010) Directional gravity sensing in gravitropism. *Annu Rev Plant Biol* 61:705–720
 1113 Morita MT, Kato T, Nagafusa K, Saito C, Ueda T, Nakano A, Tasaka M (2002) Involvement of the
 1114 vacuoles of the endodermis in the early process of shoot gravitropism in *Arabidopsis*. *Plant*
 1115 *Cell* 14:47–56
 1116 Moulia B, Fournier M (2009) The power and control of gravitropic movements in plants: a
 1117 biomechanical and systems biology view. *J Exp Bot* 60:461–486
 1118 Moulia B, Coutand C, Lenne C (2006) Posture control and skeletal mechanical acclimation in
 1119 terrestrial plants: implications for mechanical modeling of plant architecture. *Am J Bot*
 1120 93:1477–1489

- Mouliá B, Der Loughian C, Bastien R, Martin L, Rodríguez M, Gourcilleau D, Barbacci A, Badel E, Franchel J, Lenne C, Roeckel-Drevet P, Allain JM, Frachisse JM, de Langre E, Coutand C, Leblanc-Fournier N, Julien JL (2011) Integrative mechanobiology of growth and architectural development in changing mechanical environments. In: Wojtaszek P (ed) Mechanical integration of plant cells and plants, Signaling and communication in plants. Springer-Verlag GmbH, Heidelberg, pp 269–302
- Moyle R, Schrader J, Stenberg A, Olsson O, Saxena S, Sandberg G, Bhalerao RP (2002) Environmental and auxin regulation of wood formation involves members of the *Aux/IAA* gene family in hybrid aspen. *Plant J* 31:675–685
- Nakagawa Y, Katagiri T, Shinozaki K, Qi Z, Tatsumi H, Furuichi T (2007) *Arabidopsis* plasma membrane protein crucial for Ca^{2+} influx and touch sensing in roots. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 104:3639–3644
- Nakamura T (2003) Control of morphogenesis of woody plant by gravity on earth. *Biol Sci Space* 17:144–148
- Nakamura T, Saotome M, Ishiguro Y, Itoh R, Higurashi S, Hosono M, Ishii Y (1994) The effects of GA_3 on weeping of growing shoots of the Japanese cherry, *Prunus spachiana*. *Plant Cell Physiol* 35:523–527
- Nieminen K, Robischon M, Immanen J, Helariutta Y (2012) Towards optimizing wood development in bioenergy trees. *New Phytol* 194:46–53
- Nilsson R, Bernfur K, Gustavsson N, Bygdell J, Wingsle G, Larsson C (2010) Proteomics of plasma membranes from poplar trees reveals tissue distribution of transporters, receptors, and proteins in cell wall formation. *Mol Cell Proteomics* 9:368–387
- Nishikubo N, Awano T, Banasiak A, Bourquin V, Ibatullin F, Funada R, Brumer H, Teeri TT, Hayashi T, Sundberg B, Mellerowicz EJ (2007) Xyloglucan endo-transglycosylase (XET) functions in gelatinous layers of tension wood fibers in poplar—a glimpse into the mechanism of the balancing act of trees. *Plant Cell Physiol* 48:843–855
- Nugroho WD, Yamagishi Y, Nakaba S, Fukuhara S, Begum S, Marsoem SN, Ko JH, Jin HO, Funada R (2012) Gibberellin is required for the formation of tension wood and stem gravitropism in *Acacia mangium* seedlings. *Ann Bot* 110:887–895
- Nugroho WD, Nakaba S, Yamagishi Y, Begum S, Marsoem SN, Ko JH, Jin HO, Funada R (2013) Gibberellin mediates the development of gelatinous fibres in the tension wood of inclined *Acacia mangium* seedlings. *Ann Bot* 112:1321–1329. doi:10.1093/aob/mct198 (Epub ahead of print)
- Osakabe Y, Kawaoka A, Nishikubo N, Osakabe K (2012) Responses to environmental stresses in woody plants: key to survive and longevity. *J Plant Res* 125:1–10
- Paiva JAP, Gracès M, Alves A, Garnier-Géré P, Rodrigues JC, Lalanne C, Porcon S, Le Provost G, da Silva Perez D, Brach J, Frigerio J-M, Claverol S, Barré A, Feveireiro P, Plomion C (2007) Molecular and phenotypic profiling from the base to the crown in maritime pine wood-forming tissue. *New Phytol* 178:283–301
- Paux E, Carocha V, Marques C, Mendes de Sousa A, Borralho N, Sivadon P, Grima-Pettenati J (2005) Transcript profiling of *Eucalyptus* xylem genes during tension wood formation. *New Phytol* 167:89–100
- Perera IY, Heilmann I, Chang SC, Boss WF, Kaufman PB (2001) A role for inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate in gravitropic signaling and the retention of cold-perceived gravistimulation of oat shoot pulvini. *Plant Physiol* 125:1499–1507
- Pilate G, Chabbert B, Cathala B, Yoshinaga A, Leple J-C, Laurans F, Lapierre C, Ruel K (2004) Lignification and tension wood. *C R Biol* 327:889–901
- Pivetti CD, Yen MR, Miller S, Busch W, Tseng YH, Booth IR, Saier MH (2003) Two families of mechanosensitive channel proteins. *Microbiol Mol Biol Rev* 67:66–85
- Plieth C, Trewavas AJ (2002) Reorientation of seedlings in the earth's gravitational field induces cytosolic calcium transients. *Plant Physiol* 129:786–796

1172 Plomion C, Pionneau C, Brach J, Costa P, Baillères H (2000) Compression wood-responsive
 1173 proteins in developing xylem of maritime pine (*Pinus pinaster* Ait.). *Plant Physiol*
 1174 123:959–969
 1175 Plomion C, Leprovost G, Stokes A (2001) Wood formation in trees. *Plant Physiol* 127:1513–1523
 1176 Plomion C, Pionneau C, Baillères H (2003) Analysis of protein expression along the normal to
 1177 tension wood gradient in *Eucalyptus gunnii*. *Holzforschung* 57:353–358
 1178 Pruyn ML (1997) Thigmomorphogenesis: responses of two *Populus* hybrids to mechanical stress.
 1179 MSc. Thesis, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI, 90 p
 1180 Pruyn ML, Ewers BJ, Telewski FW (2000) Thigmomorphogenesis: changes in the morphology
 1181 and mechanical properties of two *Populus* hybrids in response to mechanical perturbation. *Tree*
 1182 *Physiol* 20:535–540
 1183 Qin SY, Hu D, Matsumoto K, Takeda K, Matsumoto N, Yamaguchi Y, Yamamoto K (2012)
 1184 Malectin forms a complex with ribophorin I for enhanced association with misfolded glyco-
 1185 proteins. *J Biol Chem* 287:38080–38089
 1186 Qiu D, Wilson IW, Gan S, Washusen R, Moran GF, Southerton SG (2008) Gene expression in
 1187 *Eucalyptus* branch wood with marked variation in cellulose microfibril orientation and lacking
 1188 G-layers. *New Phytol* 179:94–103
 1189 Ramos P, Le Provost G, Gantz C, Plomion C, Herrera R (2012) Transcriptional analysis of
 1190 differentially expressed genes in response to stem inclination in young seedlings of pine.
 1191 *Plant Biol* 14:923–933
 1192 Savidge RA, Mutumba GM, Heald JK, Wareing PF (1983) Gas chromatography-mass spectros-
 1193 copy identification of 1-aminocyclopropane-1-carboxylic acid in compression wood vascular
 1194 cambium of *Pinus contorta* Dougl. *Plant Physiol* 71:434–436
 1195 Serpe MD, Nothnagel EA (1995) Fractionation and structural characterization of arabinogalactan-
 1196 proteins from the cell wall of rose cells. *Plant Physiol* 109:1007–1016
 1197 Shi J, Li J (2012) Metabolites changes in inclined stem. *BioResources* 7:3463–3475
 1198 Shiu SH, Bleecker AB (2001) Plant receptor-like kinase gene family: diversity, function, and
 1199 signaling. *Sci STKE* 2001:re22
 1200 Shuford CM, Li Q, Sun Y-H, Chen H-C, Wang J, Shi R, Sederoff RR, Chaing VL, Muddiman DC
 1201 (2012) Comprehensive quantification of monolignol-pathway enzymes in *Populus trichocarpa*
 1202 by protein cleavage isotope dilution mass spectrometry. *J Proteome Res* 11:3390–3404
 1203 Sierra de Grado R, Pando V, Martínez-Zurimendi P, Penalvo A, Bascones E, Moulia B (2008)
 1204 Biomechanical differences in the stem straightening process among *Pinus pinaster* prove-
 1205 nances. A new approach for early selection of stem straightness. *Tree Physiol* 28:835–846
 1206 Sjodin A, Street NR, Sandberg G, Gustafsson P, Jansson S (2009) The *Populus* genome integrative
 1207 explorer (PopGenIE): a new resource for exploring the *Populus* genome. *New Phytol*
 1208 182:1013–1025
 1209 Song D, Shen J, Li L (2010) Characterization of cellulose synthase complexes in *Populus* xylem
 1210 differentiation. *New Phytol* 187:777–790
 1211 Spurr S, Hyvärinen M (1954) Compression wood in conifers as a morphogenetic phenomenon. *Bot*
 1212 *Rev* 20:551–560
 1213 Sterky F, Bhalarao RR, Unneberg P, Segerman B, Nilsson P, Brunner AM, Charbonnel-Campaa L,
 1214 Lindvall JJ, Tandre K, Strauss SH, Sundberg B, Gustafsson P, Uhlen M, Bhalarao RP,
 1215 Nilsson O, Sandberg G, Karlsson J, Lundeberg J, Jansson S (2004) A *Populus* EST resource
 1216 for plant functional genomics. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 101:13951–13956
 1217 Strohm AK, Baldwin KL, Masson PH (2012) Multiple roles for membrane-associated protein
 1218 trafficking and signaling in gravitropism. *Front Plant Sci* 3:274
 1219 Telewski FW (1989) Structure and function of flexure wood in *Abies fraseri*. *Tree Physiol*
 1220 5:113–122
 1221 Telewski FW (2006) A unified hypothesis of mechanoperception in plants. *Am J Bot*
 1222 93:1466–1476

Thibaut B, Gril J, Fournier M (2001) Mechanics of wood and trees: some new highlights for an old story. <i>Comptes Rendus de l'Académie des Sciences Serie II Fascicule B-Mécanique</i> 329:701–716	1223 1224 1225
Timell TE (1986) <i>Compression wood in gymnosperms</i> . Springer, Berlin, 2150 p	1226
Toyota M, Gilroy S (2013) Gravitropism and mechanical signaling in plants. <i>Am J Bot</i> 100:111–125	1227 1228
Toyota M, Furuichi T, Tatsumi H, Sokabe M (2008) Cytoplasmic calcium increases in response to changes in the gravity vector in hypocotyls and petioles of <i>Arabidopsis</i> seedlings. <i>Plant Physiol</i> 146:505–514	1229 1230 1231
Toyota M, Ikeda N, Sawai-Toyota S, Kato T, Gilroy S (2013) Amyloplast displacement is necessary for gravisensing in <i>Arabidopsis</i> shoots as revealed by a centrifuge microscope. <i>Plant J</i> . doi:10.1111/tjp.12324	1232 1233 1234
Ursache R, Nieminen K, Helariutta Y (2013) Genetic and hormonal regulation of cambial development. <i>Physiol Plant</i> 147:36–45	1235 1236
Vahala J, Felten J, Love J, Gorzsás A, Gerber L, Lamminmäki A, Kangasjärvi J, Sundberg B (2013) A genome-wide screen for ethylene-induced ethylene response factors (ERFs) in hybrid aspen stem identifies ERF genes that modify stem growth and wood properties. <i>New Phytol</i> . doi:10.1111/nph.12386	1237 1238 1239 1240
Verica JA, He ZH (2002) The cell wall-associated kinase (WAK) and WAK-like kinase gene family. <i>Plant Physiol</i> 129:455–459	1241 1242
Villalobos D, Diaz-Moreno S, Said E-S, Canas R, Osuna D, Van Kerckhoven SH, Bautista R, Claros M, Canovas F, Canton F (2012) Reprogramming of gene expression during compression wood formation in pine: coordinated modulation of S-adenosylmethionine, lignin and lignan related genes. <i>BMC Plant Biol</i> 12:100	1243 1244 1245 1246
Vinterhalter D, Vinterhalter B, Orbovic V (2012) Photo- and gravitropic bending of potato plantlets obtained in vitro from single-node explants. <i>J Plant Growth Regul</i> 31:560–569	1247 1248
Wagner TA, Kohorn BD (2001) Wall-associated kinases are expressed throughout plant development and are required for cell expansion. <i>Plant Cell</i> 13:303–318	1249 1250
Whetten R, Ying-Hsuan S, Zhang Y, Sederoff R (2001) Functional genomics and cell wall biosynthesis in loblolly pine. <i>Plant Mol Biol</i> 47:275–291	1251 1252
Wilson BF, Archer RR (1977) Reaction wood: induction and mechanical action. <i>Annu Rev Plant Physiol</i> 28:23–43	1253 1254
Wilson BF, Chien CT, Zaerr JB (1989) Distribution of endogenous indole-3-acetic acid and compression wood formation in reoriented branches of Douglas-fir. <i>Plant Physiol</i> 91:338–344	1255 1256
Wyatt SE, Kiss JZ (2013) Plant tropisms: from Darwin to the international space station. <i>Am J Bot</i> 100:1–3	1257 1258
Wyatt SE, Sederoff R, Flaishman MA, Lev-Yadun S (2010) <i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i> as a model for gelatinous fiber formation. <i>Russ J Plant Physiol</i> 57:363–367	1259 1260
Xu W, Purugganan MM, Polisensky DH, Antosiewicz DM, Fry SC, Braam J (1995) <i>Arabidopsis</i> TCH4, regulated by hormones and the environment, encodes a xyloglucan endotransglycosylase. <i>Plant Cell</i> 7:1555–1567	1261 1262 1263
Yamashita S, Yoshida M, Yamamoto H, Okuyama T (2008) Screening genes that change expression during compression wood formation in <i>Chamaecyparis obtusa</i> . <i>Tree Physiol</i> 28:1331–1340	1264 1265 1266
Yan S, Tang Z, Su W, Sun W (2005) Proteomic analysis of salt-responsive proteins in rice root. <i>Proteomics</i> 5:235–244	1267 1268
Yeh TF, Goldfarb B, Chang HM, Peszlen I, Braun JL, Kadla JF (2005) Comparison of morphological and chemical properties between juvenile wood and compression wood of loblolly pine. <i>Holzforschung</i> 59:669–674	1269 1270 1271
Yeh TF, Morris CR, Goldfarb B, Chang HM, Kadla JF (2006) Utilization of polar metabolite profiling in the comparison of juvenile wood and compression wood in loblolly pine (<i>Pinus taeda</i>). <i>Tree Physiol</i> 26:1497–1503	1272 1273 1274

1275 Yoshida M, Nakamura T, Yamamoto H, Okuyama T (1999) Negative gravitropism and growth
 1276 stress in GA₃-treated branches of *Prunus spachiana* Kitamura f. *Spachiana* cv. *Plenarosea*.
 1277 J Wood Sci 45:368–372
 1278 Yoshinaga A, Kusumoto H, Laurans F, Pilate G, Takabe K (2012) Lignifications in poplar tension
 1279 wood lignified cell wall layers. Tree Physiol 32:1129–1136
 1280 Yuan S, Wang Y, Dean JFD (2010) ACC oxidase genes expressed in the wood-forming tissues of
 1281 loblolly pine (*Pinus taeda* L.) include a pair of nearly identical paralogs (NIPs). Gene
 1282 453:24–36
 1283 Zhang XH, Chiang VL (1997) Molecular cloning of 4-coumarate:coenzyme a ligase in loblolly
 1284 pine and the roles of this enzyme in the biosynthesis of lignin in compression wood. Plant
 1285 Physiol 113:65–74
 1286 Zhang Y, Sederoff R, Allona I (2000) Differential expression of genes encoding cell wall proteins
 1287 in vascular tissues from vertical and bent loblolly pine trees. Tree Physiol 20:457–466
 1288 Zhang Z, Yu J, Li D, Zhang Z, Liu F, Zhou X, Wang T, Ling Y, Su Z (2010) PMRD: plant
 1289 microRNA database. Nucleic Acids Res 38:D806–D813
 1290 Zhong R, Ye Z-H (2013) Transcriptional regulation of wood formation in tree species. In: Fromm J
 1291 (ed) Cellular aspects of wood formation. Springer, Heidelberg, pp 141–158