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Abstract

Our research deals with the development of a new type of game-based learning environment: (M)MORPG based on mixed reality, applied in the archaeological domain. In this paper, we propose a learning scenario that enhances players’ motivation thanks to individual, collaborative and social activities and that offers a continuous experience between the virtual environment and real places (archaeological sites, museum). After describing the challenge to a rich multidisciplinary approach involving both computer scientists and archaeologists, we present two types of game: multiplayer online role-playing games and mixed reality games. We build on the specificities of these games to make the design choices described in the paper. We also present three modular features we have developed to support independently three activities of the scenario. The proposed approach aims at raising awareness among people on the scientific approach in Archaeology, by providing them information in the virtual environment and encouraging them to go on real sites. We finally discuss the issues raised by this work, such as the tensions between the perceived individual, team and community utilities, as well as the choice of the entering point in the learning scenario (real or virtual) for the players’ involvement in the game.
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1. Introduction

We believe that Game-Based Learning (GBL) can significantly enhance learning, and does so in diverse application domains. In the last years, we have set up number of experiments using GBL environments. Immersing learners in such digital environments is a good way to enhance their learning, and especially their level of motivation. However, a well-known limitation of GBL learning is the difficulty for learners to apply in real life the concepts they learn in the game. Indeed, the objects manipulated in the game are a modelization of real life objects. To apply in real life the concepts acquired in game, learners need to become aware, to a certain extent, of the abstraction underlying the modelization. An operation, which we believe can be eased by manipulating directly the real life objects. We address this issue in this paper in a specific GBL environment: (M)MORPGs, which rely on the collaboration and interactions amongst learners to increase their level of motivation. We present a multidisciplinary project called JANUS, launched by computer scientists and archaeologists in order to design and experiment an educational MORPG. Additionally, the pluridisciplinary approach underlying the project is in itself a challenge, as we will explain in section 2.

Archaeology is a particularly appropriate domain to apply both this mixed (virtual/real) GBL approach and collaborative learning: it directly depends on physical artefacts as the seminal input to the various and complementary subsequent processes inherent to the several subdomains of Archaeology. The first result of our joint ideas is a learning scenario described in section 4 that explains how to integrate activities in a virtual world (the game and web sites) and activities on archaeological sites or in museums. The design of this scenario is based on two types of games that we study in section 3: multiplayer online role-playing games and mixed reality games. Part of this scenario has been implemented in the form of three modular features that we describe in section 5.

*Corresponding author. Email: mathieu.loiseau@u-grenoble3.fr
In order to keep a strong motivation in the game, we have decided to organize a learning quest where students are grouped into guilds. We enhance the interrelationship of people inside their guilds, and the personal contributions of each student for the rest of the group (the community of learners). We also describe how we swap from activities in the virtual world to activities in the real world through a unique scenario. The idea here is to keep the same motivation and a continuous experience in the virtual game and at the museum or on the archaeological site. We finally conclude by drawing lessons about this work and by explaining generally the main research questions that have been raised and that we intend to address in the near future.

2. Designing new GBL activities

As explained earlier, we would like to propose a GBL approach for raising awareness among students on the scientific approach in Archaeology. This is why, computer scientists and archaeologists have launched a multidisciplinary project called JANUS, in order to design and experiment an educational MMORPG.

Basically, this educational environment must allow individuals or groups of users to embark on a quest for knowledge through the discovery of archaeological items. However, a major long term goal of the project is also to create a community around archaeology. Peripheral aspects such as promoting the cultural heritage and make people discover it through city walks are also to be considered.

These challenging objectives lead immediately to very complex questions: first of all, we believe that “learning by doing” is an interesting aspect to introduce in our learning sessions. This led us to consider how to take advantage of mixed (virtual/real) GBL features. In fact, even if general knowledge can be acquired in a virtual environment, more practical items must be studied on the archaeological site, in the museums or at the library. We can expect here that the motivation provided by a virtual game-based environment can be improved with challenges in the real world. A side effect of having part of the activities on cultural sites is actually to promote the cultural heritage. Second, the learning process is not necessarily an individual process. Current trends on teaching tend to introduce collaborative learning, involving groups of learners to gather, share and use knowledge to solve new problems. Collaborative learning features are thus also central in our research work. Third, some activities must be designed in order to generate collective debates, to produce new information, vital to the achievements of new social behaviours.

The project involves researchers in very different domains ranging from computer science to archaeology. There is another challenge to this rich multidisciplinary approach: the emergence of a shared vocabulary and the understanding of the research questions emphasized in each area. Our first step was thus to list the different project requirements. We subsequently held several meetings that were dedicated to exchanging knowledge and approaches to problems. As the domains were quite large to cover, we decided to design a learning scenario that explains how to integrate activities in a virtual world (the game and web sites) and activities on archaeological sites or in museums.

To sum up, we were faced with the problem of designing a scenario where collaborative aspects are considered, where mixed (real/virtual) aspects are included, while respecting strong domain constraints (precise tasks to be taught). Furthermore, we wanted to integrate particular activities facilitating the emergence of an archaeological community. In order to understand well the domain constraints and because the requirements were somewhat vague, we decided to adopt an Agile software development process, following a Scrum approach [16]. We have therefore divided our projects into sprints (3 weeks periods) to build our scenario. As recommended in the Scrum methodology, we have gathered all the ideas of functionalities to be included in the scenario in a file called the “backlog product”. At the beginning of each sprint, both the archaeologists and the computer scientists meet and discuss the new version of the scenario. Relevant changes can be performed as well as new ideas added in the backlog product. The team then decides what features have the highest priority to be included in the scenario for the next sprint.

Since collaborative and community aspects are concerned, we must clearly rely on multiplayer games and also consider the work carried out in mixed reality games. We now present a state of the art for these domains and give more concrete examples on the scenario that is currently implemented in the project.

3. State of the art

3.1. Multiplayer games

Game-Based Learning (GBL) has the potential of improving training activities and initiatives thanks to its engagement, motivation, role-playing, and repeatability (failed strategies can be modified and tried again). Learning Games (LG) have proven to be useful to provide learners with pedagogical content in a ludic or/and realistic way [9]. However most LGs are played individually and learners evolve in the game without interaction with “real” learners. One way to enhance learning and engagement in the game is to allow collaboration by proposing a multiplayer environment.

Currently, we observe the emergence and success of online multiplayer games in the world [25] and
even in education [24]. Multiplayer Learning Games (MLG) usually immerse the players in a virtual 2D or 3D environment [18] and propose collaborative activities. This type of games can support development of a number of skills: strategic thinking, planning, communication, collaboration, group decision-making and negotiating skills [26]. Players learn not only from the game, but also from each others [15, 24]. Unfortunately, these games usually allow collaboration only among a limited number of students inside the virtual world. Furthermore, collaboration occurs according to a predefined learning scenario, often regulated by a teacher. Learners have thus restricted possibilities of interaction with other learners. We believe that it is one of the main reasons why students tend to consider Computer-Based Learning Environments as unexciting.

Massively Multiplayer Online Games (MMOG) are games that are played online by hundreds of players simultaneously. They are nowadays predominantly played by digital natives. Educational MMOGs often work as tournaments and are based on competition between groups of students like in [2]. The most popular type of MMOG, and the sub-genre that pioneered the category, is the Massively Multiplayer Online Role-Playing Game (MMORPG). An MMORPG is "an immersive 3D world where hundreds or thousands of players connect simultaneously from all over the world in order to meet each other in a simulated reality” [5]. Many MMORPGs offer support for in-game guilds or clans that are groups of players coming together to share knowledge, resources and manpower to reach common goals. For example, World of Warcraft is an MMORPG set in a fantasy world (like “The Lord of the Rings”). The aim of the game is to conduct a series of missions, so-called quests, with progressive levels of difficulty. There is much international scientific research in the sociological and psychological fields that clearly demonstrates how this kind of game changes significant characteristics of the players; for example, the ability to be a group, to take on leadership, to manage roles and to interact in order to achieve a common goal [12, 14]. We agree with Egenfeldt-Nielsen that "in a socio-cultural perspective, video games are the tools for constructing a viable learning experience, but not the learning experience per se. Video games mediate discussion, reflection, facts, and analysis facilitated by the surrounding classroom culture and the student's identity. In other words, video games are interesting not for their content but for the way new explorations initiate negotiations, constructions, and journeys into knowledge” [8].

So we can see interaction in an MMORPG as a condition for social learning [29]. Players exchange ideas, solve problems and create relationships, through communication technologies like chat or forum. [23] have considered the functioning of online multiplayer educational games to highlight the importance of developing a strong sense of community among players to favor their motivation and engagement and the learning through a social experience. The sense of belonging in a community (game community or learning community) intrinsically motivates students to participate in the game and increases their performance. Lavoué defines Social Learning Games (SLG) as “games that enhance learning by offering educational contents according to a learning scenario and by supporting a community that offers condition for social learning” [17]. The author highlights the different ways to enhance learning in SLG: the engagement in the game through the community, the mutual help in the community to make decisions in the game, the educational contents to initiate discussions in the community, the freedom in the community and the control in the game. MMORPGs therefore foster learners’ engagement in the game [6] and create dynamic learning opportunities due to the community. Role-playing incites players to help each other to solve problems, by using their own different knowledge and capabilities.

A major issue in our work is to combine three levels of communication and learning: individual, team (guild) and community. The players will be able to collaborate within their team and consequently to benefit from collaborative learning. They will also exchange information with other players and thus facilitate the emergence of a community interested in archaeology so that they will benefit from social learning. To design such a game, we will base our work on the four lessons given in [30] for the design of collaborative games:

(i) a collaborative game should introduce a tension between perceived individual utility and team utility;

(ii) individual players should be allowed to make decisions and take actions without the consent of the team;

(iii) players must be able to trace payoffs back to their decisions;

(iv) a collaborative game should bestow different abilities or responsibilities upon the players.

Meanwhile, we have to facilitate the emergence of a community of players interested in archaeology. In such a context, we will induce collaboration amongst the members of the same team, and competition between the players from different teams.

Another important question addressed in this paper is how educational games can encourage effective collaborative learning and social knowledge
building. For instance, a positive interdependence can be supported by using a jigsaw pedagogy [4]. According to Johnson, Johnson and Holubec “positive interdependence is successfully structured when group members perceive that they are linked with each other in a way that one cannot succeed unless everyone succeeds” [13]. Within jigsaw pedagogy, each learner in a group becomes an expert on one aspect, and teaches it to the other group members, which is in itself inline with recommendation (iv) of [30]. An example of mobile learning games based on jigsaw pedagogy is Fort Ancient Adventure [19]. This game uses mobile technology to deliver location specific information for educational purposes. Furthermore, learner groups perform a complex task that is dependant on each group member’s area of expertise. In this way, “success depends on the student’s ability to listen, understand, communicate, and discuss an idea with others” [19].

Another game featuring similarities with our approach is described in [28]. The authors “introduce methods and concepts of Woodment as a browser-based Serious Multiplayer Game to teach and explore a customizable learning content in a game-based and playful manner”. The immersive environment sets the scene for a business simulation game in the field of logging: players can explore the island’s 3D world, manage the company, react to unexpected events, fight for the victory of the team, communicate with others via in-game chat, level up and create learning content using the web interface. This game supports several levels of exchange (teams and global), but only by the way of a global chat and a team chat. In the course of the game, the players can take tests and answer quizzes individually or collectively. But there does not seem to be any connection between the educational content and the game itself, as the educational content can concern any topic. This can be considered a strength as it makes the game infinitely extendable — one question at a time — and repeatable, but it can also be perceived as a weakness as the conjunction of the business game and the quizzes can seem artificial to the learners. Here, we are developing a game under the form of a quest. It is scripted to give the learner a point of view on a precise field: archaeology. The form of the quest limits the repeatability of the game (in Woodment for instance the business game can be played many times) but integrates the learning activities in a consistent environment. Additionally, the quest mixes real and virtual activities, calling for a study of mixed reality games.

3.2. Mixed reality games

Learning Games have sometimes some limitations when it is necessary to transfer the knowledge learned in other situations. It could be useful to create situations closer to reality, especially for the acquisition of professional skills (e.g. technical gestures or procedures performed in complex environments). To achieve this, a solution is to go beyond the conventional use of computers and to use Mixed Reality techniques. The Mixed Reality (MR) refers to a continuum that connects the physical and digital worlds and that is schematically spread between two extremes [20]:

- Augmented Reality (AR), where the real world is enriched by virtual information;
- Augmented Virtuality (AV) where, in contrast, a virtual world is enriched with real objects (e.g. by using tangible interfaces to manipulate virtual objects).

Several devices can be used for implementing MR, including see-through Head Mounted Displays (HMD), mobile devices such as digital tablets or smartphones, digital tabletops, or tangible interfaces that control or represent virtual information. Nilson, Linton & Looser have shown that augmented reality kept both the benefits of real activities (especially communication between people) and the benefits of virtual environment, including the possibility of introducing virtual characters [22]. Furthermore, adding information to real objects, information that is not perceptible naturally, is of great interest for educational situations. Some studies, such as [27], show that augmenting physical artefacts with associated information, facilitates the understanding of concepts. More recently, David et al. studied how physical artefacts (industrial machines or computers) could be augmented with digital data to promote just in time learning [7]. Mixed Reality seems quite suitable for the learning of gestures, actions and operations, particularly in mobile and work situations.

Mobile devices give the opportunity to develop an active pedagogy, favoring learning in authentic context and allowing the use of the natural environment as a source of information. Some knowledge needs students to learn through observation and is not always easy to teach during a traditional classroom or with a web-based learning environment. Mobile applications prove to be useful in this case. For instance, Explore! [3] supports young students learning ancient history during a visit to archaeological parks. The game allows students to explore 3D reconstructions of historical buildings, objects, and places, and also contextual sounds are played in order to recreate the historical atmosphere and enhance the overall user experience.

While it is still too early to draw conclusions about the effectiveness of MR on learning [1], learning outcomes seem to be rather short-term. In order to overcome these short-term limitations, more elaborate learning scenarios should be designed. By coupling
Mixed Reality and Learning Games, it is possible to combine from one hand motivational and situated aspects of MR and on the other hand fun and scripted activity of LG. This point was the main goal of the SEGAREM project [10]. During this project, an experimental study has been conducted to evaluate the impact of Mixed Reality interactions on learning. A Mixed Reality Learning Game (MRLG) was designed to teach Lean Management principles in an engineering school. Some actions are carried out with mixed reality, by using tangible interfaces over tabletops (e.g. a physical glue gun with an infrared light is used to stick virtual elements). The comparison between a learning session with the MRLG and an other without mixed reality interaction revealed a tendency for the MRLG situation to effectively have a positive impact on learning, particularly the understanding of theoretical concepts is favoured [10].

Despite often using less cutting edge technologies as the “visual display” oriented examples provided in [20], Pervasive Games can be seen as a subset of Mixed Reality Games. They cannot be situated on either extreme of the virtuality continuum due to the tight link between the virtual and the real world existing in the action within the game. They offer a new concept that combines the properties and advantages of three worlds: the physical, then social and the virtual [11]. Pervasive Games use mobile devices and location-based scenario in order to immerse the players. They support social interactions and structure them (inside quests, guilds or gangs). This kind of games is currently little used in education.

The work presented in this paper is clearly situated within the trend of mixed reality and pervasive games described in this section. The originality of the Janus project lies in the exploration of the possibilities of an educational MORPG, which combines both real and virtual worlds.

4. A learning scenario for a MORPG based on mixed reality

Before entering the description of the scenario, we will describe the environment on which we build our game. We decided to reuse BrowserQuest1, an open-source HTML5 retro-gaming styled MORPG. It has two main features at its core that decided us to reuse it:

- as a browser game both for PC and mobile devices, it can be used for MR applications;
- it provides in-game communication mechanisms required for collaborative learning.

Being open source, we have here a highly customizable tool, but it was not designed as a game engine, which should be taken into account by developers.

4.1. An exploration game

Our game, like BrowserQuest, is an exploration game. The exploration will lead players to glean information and missions from NPCs (Non-Playing Characters), to meet and talk with other players, including guild members. In Figure 1, we take into account the explorative nature of the game, one should therefore not perceive it as a linear timeline, but more as a spatial representation: the left being further away from the end of the quest than the right side. But the player is free to evolve in any direction. The dotted arrows indicate that new behaviours are unlocked, the dashed arrows point out that a reference is made to another task or NPC and when an event triggers an activity, we use plain arrows. This representation allows insuring that there is actually a path linking all steps in the scenario.

In such a setting, the progress of the player(s) is based on events that affect the narrative and the tasks at hand. In the rest of this section, we will explain how we handle these events in order to play our scenario, especially from the standpoint of its mixed-reality and collaborative aspects.

4.2. A mixed approach: real and virtual experiences

In the scenario described above, we isolate three modalities relative to the role of the real world in the activity (virtual, mixed and real world experiences). Five punctual phases are described in the scenario. They have been numbered accordingly. The mutualization task being transversal to the game play it is therefore not concerned by this decomposition. We will henceforth refer to the tasks by their number in figure 1.

Some actions (1, 3 & 4’) take place exclusively in the virtual environment. This is the case of the introduction of the game, where the players learn about the storyline and their global role in the scenario.

This is also the case of the exploration of BrowserQuest, which is of course solely virtual. All the same, being virtual does not mean it is disconnected from the real world: the underlying map contains representations of real places. These places act as landmarks of the real world. When visiting archaeological sites, the learners can access this map from any place thanks to mobile devices, and so access information on that place and linked quests. Indeed aids provided for real world tasks come from the virtual environment. With this respect the learners need to be able to recognize in the virtual environment, the places

1Repository maintained by the community https://github.com/browserquest/BrowserQuest.
Description by the developers http://www.littleworkshop.fr/browserquest.html.
they have visited in real life. Geolocalization would be a means for the environment to provide contextual aid.

For instance, the “taking pictures” activity (4) is dedicated to allow the learners to realize that various gallo-roman monuments exist in their city. They are therefore asked to photograph some of them. This allows explaining some of the standards of pictures used in archaeology archives. Learners are also asked to notice monuments that will later be at the center of other activities. To help players to find all the expected monuments, a contextual aid can be provided by NPCs depending on where the user is, giving more precise information if the user is close to the concerned location or conversion functions could be devised to move approximately the character in the browserquest map so that it indicates to the player when they come near a point of interest. Yet we do not consider this activity as mixed, as a player having studied well the task description and knowing very well the city, could finish it without any connection to the system, and with no other device than a camera.

On the other hand, certain activities require the user to be in a specific location both in the virtual world (place on the map/url) and in the real world (near an artefact). In this case, the real artefact is contextualized by its representation in the system. For such an issue, geolocalization is inadequate in that it describes the players or their device, more than it describes the environment. In this case, we resorted to QR codes that both allow ensuring that the players are in contact with the considered artefact and that they will load the appropriate url to perform the task at hand.

In the “observation task” (2), the players are asked to observe a monument and need to answer questions on site using a mobile device. The execution of such activities being highly circumstantial the QR code triggers the task and does not just unlock it. Likewise in the treasure hunt (5), the principle is that the players are asked to find an item in the Gallo-Roman museum of Lyon-Fourvière based on archaeological questions. The players answer by scanning a QR code associated to an artefact thus triggering the next question in the quest.

4.3. A combination of individual, team and community experiences

In order to keep a strong motivation in the game, we have decided to organize a learning quest where students are grouped into guilds and can also carry out social activities involving the whole community of learners. We describe in this part how the proposed scenario is meant to enhance collaboration into the teams and social interactions into the global community.

The “observation task” (see Figure 1) is an example of a task we consider as individual: the players can

---

2Neither functionality has been implemented to this day.

3http://www.musees-gallo-romains.com/
play right after entering the game without waiting for others. Such a task is also meant to help them learn the specialization associated to their role. We have distinguished three roles that are trades in the archaeology domain: epigraphist, architect and art historian. This is the object of an individual progression, associated to an indicator, to be presented to the user as recommended in [30].

In a way inspired by jigsaw classrooms (cf. Section 3.1 p. 3), individual activities (2) also serve the group goal: players of different roles constitute guilds. The information gathered by players in individual tasks is meant to be reused for the progress of the team. All teams have the same goal that is to build a virtual information panel for an archaeological site. The information panel constitutes a virtual representation of the team’s progress. It is therefore accessible to all players at any moment for monitoring purpose. We use an etherpad 4 to implement it. Each quest aims to provide the team with information to fill in the notice. This is why the representation of the mutualization task in figure 1 is transversal. The mutualisation task serves as a guideline for the different teams. We can point out that there is a game incentive here. The visualisation of an added element on the information panel is a motivating item. Furthermore, another game incentive results from a competition between the teams, since at the end of the game the best virtual notice should be issued to be physically set in the real site. This task is mainly carried out from within the guild, but overlaps the community level as well because of this competition (cf. Figure 1).

An example of such group progress is provided in the scenario. When all players in a guild have completed the “observation task” (2), a flag is lifted so that the NPC1 adapts its message to the task outcome. It reminds each player of the most important facts s/he has gathered in the tasks and suggests them to go on a mutualization task, i.e. to write them down in the etherpad, which allows both synchronous and asynchronous edition and also provides chat facilities.

Other tasks that take place later in the game require the guild members to collaborate in order to achieve completion. For example, in the “classification task”(3) we expect the players to communicate to solve the riddle: depending again on their role, the players are provided with different pieces of information, some gleaned in activity (2). All are necessary to solve the puzzle. Another example of this adaptation of jigsaw classrooms can be found in the treasure hunt (5). The players are not only finding artefacts in the museum, they are also provided with clues. The treasure hunt is split into two smaller quests, the clues of which need to be combined to solve the final riddle. After each task, the last information gathered is reminded to the player by an NPC (cf. NPC1 or 5).

The part played by the guild in the quests is both meant to support players’ involvement and the co-construction and appropriation of knowledge by learners. We therefore aim at enhancing collaboration within teams and social activities within the global community. Indeed, some tasks involve the players at community level. For example, the “taking picture” task (4), linked to NPC3, can be carried out individually by players, but its outcome is meant to be shared with the community (4’). The other teams’ members can rate these photographs and comment them (4’). We incite players to participate by making their social indicator evolve according to their level of participation in this quest (posting photos, rating and commenting them). The evaluation provided is in term used to compute individual and guild successes.

5. Implementation

After designing the scenario, we have implemented specific software for supporting the activities to which the browserquest environment points. Following our agile philosophy, we have decided to develop first a slightly shortened scenario, focusing on the guild activities. This part of the scenario will be tested with a first experiment involving real players. The community activities are delayed to a next phase, since they require a bigger scale protocol. In this shortened scenario, we have removed the greyed activities of Figure 1 and the mutualization task. Indeed the mutualisation task looses interest without the community aspects.

This has led us to implement three prototypes that can be used independently from the rest of our system. They are currently α-stage software (lacking various features) but are all functional and open-source5.

5.1. PhotoSwipe.Quizz

As indicated by its name, this is a fork of PhotoSwipe6. This module allows to generate automatically a quizz that will ask the player questions the answer of which are an image (see Figure 2). It uses a JSON formalism to generate the quizz based on a text file and various image files. The system integrates a very rough traces gathering module. As we plan to interconnect with a real interaction traces repository, we will need to make adaptations to this module. PhotoSwipe.Quizz is used to carry out the observation task and modifies local storage variables to enable browserquest to detect completion of the task (cf. dotted arrows to NPC 1 & 2).

4http://etherpad.org/
5See our github page: https://github.com/janus-IMU
6https://github.com/codecomputerlove/PhotoSwipe
The player scans the QR Code next to the Turpio Mosoleum and is asked to select the correct reproductions of scriptures extracts. When they click on one solution a zoom is provided. The player can browse through the solutions. When an image is selected (check button) a feedback is provided.

Here the user should not have selected the image: ligatures are not reproduced. The 6th is the appropriate reproduction of the extract.

5.2. WESort

Before detailing the capabilities of the application for the classification task, we need to describe it further. The users can connect simultaneously or not. As illustrated on Figure 3, they have a list of archaeological items represented with an image, a title and some text and they have to place them in a double entry table. The players are given a set of clues that require some competences acquired through the game to be interpreted and each clue is therefore addressed to one player in particular. But to place properly each card, pieces of information coming from at least two clues are required. The application allows them to move the cards (when a player selects a card the other players have to wait until it has been released to select it, cf. yellow card in Figure 3), add comments to each card (upper right image in Figure 3), chat with each other (lower right image), and ask for a validation of the solution (checked player names in upper left image). To force players to exchange and prevent them from using “brute force” to solve the puzzle, the feedback is only provided when all players have requested a validation. The application allows to store the state of the game. The generation of the board also interprets a JSON file and the system records traces. The code is also open-source and uses node.js and mongoDB.

5.3. QuestsR Codes

The application created for the treasure hunt is also open-source and generates, based on a JSON file, a set of QR codes tagged with a location to be printed. The JSON file also provides data for the system to display the question asked to the players and find the next one based on the code scanned (see Figure 4). This application was tested at the Lyon gallo-roman museum during a weekend and beyond 3G carrier issues, it pointed out the need for the implementation of a “hint” feature, before launching the experiment.

An interesting aspect of this development work is the modular features. Elementary blocks associated to activities are available. Enriching the base of these elementary blocks is possible, thus providing additional
When connected the user knows which members of the team are connected at the time (here Clarisse — the user whose viewpoint we are displaying — and Gérard). The users with a white tick box have asked for a validation (Gérard is preventing the validation to occur). The colored cards are associated to the user manipulating them (here Gérard has selected the yellow one, while Clarisse is preparing to move the "Mausolée de Trion" card into the ("Funéraire", "Gallo-romain") cell.

Users can check the information present on each card — 2nd screenshot —. It contains: a title, a description, an image, a comment zone (here, Clarisse asked a question) and the last 5 positions it occupied (when and who moved the card).

The "i" on the top-right corner provides the extra-information to solve the puzzle (the jigsaw inspired clues).

Finally, the chat box can be enlarged for the users to communicate.

**Figure 3.** Screen capture and functioning of WEsort

kinds of activities. What is really interesting after this stage is the composition of elementary blocks to compose a scenario. As illustrated in this paper, this composition can be supported by a spatial view. The activity sequencing is represented by links and NPCs are also key items for information transmission. Our research activity deals with collaboration and social issues in the game. These aspects are supported by both the guild and community concepts in the game. As illustrated in Figure 1, it is crucial to identify clearly the target (individual, guild, community) for each activity. A mixed combination of these kinds of activity clearly provides richer possibilities. For instance, in the scenario described in this paper, individual tasks can reinforce personal skills, whereas guild activities allow sharing skills, explanations and reformulation.

5.4. Discussion and perspectives

The JANUS project is a feasibility study leading to a set of prototypes which are to be completed and linked together for an experiment currently in preparation. It examines the possibility to provide a social, mixed reality, serious game to raise awareness about archaeology as well as to promote the city’s monuments. We described in this paper the design choices we have made in the implementation process and especially in the learning scenario. We performed the connection between the virtual and the real world, by representing real places in the virtual map, by identifying artefacts using QR codes and we will add in the future the contextualizing of informations in the game using geolocalization and. To complete the quests the players evolve as individuals and members of teams and of the community.

This social component adds a layer to the problem of collaboration as described in [30] (cf. section 3.1 p. 3). While recommendation (iv) — players with different abilities — does not require adaptation to our context, the decisions we took provide a lead for an extension of recommendation (i) to a social context. With the team final goal (creating an information panel for a monument), by allowing players to choose among all team productions an actual panel, we introduce a tension between perceived individual and team utility (voting to favor one’s own team) and the perceived community interest (sharing valuable resources with outside the community, here the people visiting the archaeological site). To this tension, one has to add the tension between the different social consequences for individuals of the behaviors used to favor individual or team utility.
All the same, this does not deny the possibility to apply the recommendation at the level described in Zagal et al.’s study. We could extend our scoring mechanisms by computing the team and the individual indicators according to different criteria: the individual score favoring the quickness of responses, the team score penalizing errors harsher. Given a choice, the learners would be able to choose between taking the risk of an error (individual utility) or involving others’ knowledge to eliminate as much uncertainty as possible through discussion (group utility).

This leads directly to another recommendation: (iii) — tracing payoff back to one’s decision. In the case of a closed output activity (such as the classification task), providing feedback on a user action can easily be computed. But the regulation role of the community seems noteworthy. Indeed the community can be instrumental to providing feedback on actions performed in more open tasks in terms of outcome (a set of photos or writing a text) than a fully computational solution. Additionally the social actions of the users (sharing pictures for instance) can be traced to influence the progress of the game (e.g. after sharing five photos among its members a flag allows a guild to unlock the next phase of the game, cf. NPC3 in Figure 1). If successful in practice, this would further anchor the game in the social web paradigm by “harnessing collective intelligence” [21]. In the long run, this feature could be taken further by performing real community tasks. After evaluating photos and notices, the various outcomes could serve as a base for a community written article about city monuments, for instance in a wiki.

The mixed reality aspect of the game is not without social consequences either. By bringing players to actual real life artefacts, the environment relinquishes control over user interactions. Players are free to communicate in the real world or to perform tasks together with team members or other players. These untraced interactions should not be neglected in later analyses nor ignored in the game design. Let’s follow on the example we gave for computing individual task scores: computing team indicators according to different criteria: the individual score favoring the quickness of responses, the team score penalizing errors harsher. Given a choice, the learners would be able to choose between taking the risk of an error (individual utility) or involving others’ knowledge to eliminate as much uncertainty as possible through discussion (group utility).
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