Energy minimization problem in two-level dissipative quantum control: meridian case

Bernard Bonnard, Olivier Cots, Nataliya Shcherbakova

► To cite this version:

Bernard Bonnard, Olivier Cots, Nataliya Shcherbakova. Energy minimization problem in two-level dissipative quantum control: meridian case. *Journal of Mathematical Sciences*, 2013, vol. 195 (n° 3), pp. 311-335. 10.1007/s10958-013-1582-4 . hal-01122070

HAL Id: hal-01122070

<https://hal.science/hal-01122070>

Submitted on 3 Mar 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Open Archive TOULOUSE Archive Ouverte (OATAO)

OATAO is an open access repository that collects the work of Toulouse researchers and makes it freely available over the web where possible.

This is an author-deposited version published in : <http://oatao.univ-toulouse.fr/>
Eprints ID : 12315

To link to this article : DOI :10.1007/s10958-013-1582-4
URL : <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10958-013-1582-4>

To cite this version : Bonnard, Bernard and Cots, Olivier and Shcherbakova, Nataliya [*Energy minimization problem in two-level dissipative quantum control: meridian case*](#). (2013) Journal of Mathematical Sciences, vol. 195 (n° 3). pp. 311-335. ISSN 1072-3374

Any correspondence concerning this service should be sent to the repository administrator: staff-oatao@listes-diff.inp-toulouse.fr

ENERGY MINIMIZATION PROBLEM IN TWO-LEVEL DISSIPATIVE QUANTUM CONTROL: MERIDIAN CASE

B. Bonnard, O. Cots, and N. Shcherbakova

ABSTRACT. We analyze the energy-minimizing problem for a two-level dissipative quantum system described by the Kossakowsky–Lindblad equation. According to the Pontryagin maximum principle (PMP), minimizers can be selected among normal and abnormal extremals whose dynamics are classified according to the values of the dissipation parameters. Our aim is to improve our previous analysis from [5] concerning 2D solutions in the case where the Hamiltonian dynamics are integrable.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	311
2. Abnormal Extremals in the Energy Minimization Problem	313
3. Normal Case	314
4. Integrable Case	316
5. Meridian Case $p_\theta = 0$	321
6. Numerical Computation of Cut and Conjugate Loci	326
7. Nonintegrable Case $\gamma_- \neq 0, p_\theta = 0$	328
8. Summary of the Results and Possible Extensions	331
9. Appendix	332
References	334

1. Introduction

Quantum control is one of the most challenging applications of modern control theory. Indeed, the problem of inducing transitions between molecular levels or spin states is relevant to many applications of chemical physics: from nuclear magnetic resonance, to spectroscopy, to the realization of quantum gates in quantum information science. The experimental part of this research is often very delicate and expensive, and control theory undoubtedly can bring new perspectives to it.

In the present paper we deal with dissipative quantum control systems. The recent interest for such systems comes from several applications. The model problem we analyze below is related to the molecular alignment in the gas phase by a laser field, and to the control of the dynamics of spin 1/2 particles in the liquid phase using nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) with possible applications in medical imaging.

We consider a control system of the form

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x} = -\Gamma x + u_2 z, \\ \dot{y} = -\Gamma y - u_1 z, \\ \dot{z} = \gamma_- - \gamma_+ z + u_1 y - u_2 x, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where Γ , γ_- , and γ_+ are some physical constants. Equations (1) are called the Kossakowsky–Lindblad equations, they describe the behavior of a two-level quantum system in a dissipative environment. The components of the state $q = (x, y, z) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ are related to the components of the density operator of the quantum system [7], while Γ , γ_+ , and γ_- are the parameters describing the dissipation effect. The control is represented by the electromagnetic field (u_1, u_2) . By assumption, the dissipation parameters verify the constraint

$$2\Gamma \geq \gamma_+ \geq |\gamma_-|,$$

which guarantees that the unit Bloch ball $\|q\| \leq 1$ is invariant for the dynamics of (1). The point $(0, \gamma_-/\gamma_+)$ is the equilibrium of the control-free system with $u_1 = u_2 = 0$.

In the NMR context, Eqs. (1) are known as the Bloch equations describing the controlled dynamics of a spin 1/2 particle. The duality between the two physical problems is very important since the NMR experiments are much easier to realize. The standard form of the Bloch equations is the following:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{M}_x = -\frac{1}{T_2}M_x + \omega_y M_z, \\ \dot{M}_y = -\frac{1}{T_2}M_y - \omega_x M_z, \\ \dot{M}_z = -\frac{1}{T_1}(M_0 - M_z) + \omega_x M_y - \omega_y M_x. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

Here $\vec{M} = (M_x, M_y, M_z)$ is the total magnetization vector to which one applies the controlled magnetic field $(\omega_x, \omega_y, 0)$, T_1 and T_2 are the relaxation times, and $(0, 0, M_0)^T$ is the thermal equilibrium of the system. It is not difficult to see that by a proper reparametrization, (2) can be transformed into a system of the form (1), where the set of dissipation parameters is restricted to the subset $\gamma_- = \gamma_+$. In this case, the control-free equilibrium coincides with the north pole of the Bloch sphere $\|q\| = 1$. More generally, NMR control systems can be obtained by coupling such spin 1/2 particles (with different parameters) controlled by the same magnetic field.

In the present paper we study the energy minimization problem for (1), which is formulated as follows: given a fixed terminal time $t_f > 0$ and extremity points $q(0) = q_0$, $q(t_f) = q_1$, we search for the solutions of (1) minimizing the energy of the system:

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_0^{t_f} (u_1^2(t) + u_2^2(t)) dt \rightarrow \min. \quad (3)$$

The dynamics of the control problem under consideration can be rewritten in the form $\dot{q} = F_0(q) + u_1 F_1(q) + u_2 F_2(q)$, where F_i , $i = 0, 1, 2$, are smooth vector fields on $\mathbb{R}^3$. According to the Pontryagin maximum principle, the minimizers of the problem can be selected among the extremal curves—solutions to the equations

$$\frac{dq}{dt} = \frac{\partial H}{\partial p}, \quad \frac{dp}{dt} = -\frac{\partial H}{\partial q}, \quad \frac{\partial H}{\partial u} = 0, \quad (4)$$

associated to the Hamiltonian function

$$H(p, q, u) = H_0(p, q) + u_1 H_1(p, q) + u_2 H_2(p, q) + p_0(u_1^2 + u_2^2),$$

where $p_0 \leq 0$ and $H_i(p, q) = \langle p, F_i(q) \rangle$, $i = 0, 1, 2$, are the Hamiltonian lifts of the vector fields F_i . The extremal curves split into two categories that can be easily calculated:

- **Normal case:** $p_0 = -1/2$. Then the last equation of (4) yields $u_i = H_i$, $i = 1, 2$, and plugging such a control into H , we obtain a smooth Hamiltonian function

$$H_n = H_0 + \frac{1}{2}(H_1^2 + H_2^2).$$

The corresponding extremals are called *normal*. Their structure becomes clearer if we pass to the spherical coordinates

$$x = \rho \sin \varphi \cos \theta, \quad y = \rho \sin \varphi \sin \theta, \quad z = \rho \cos \varphi,$$

which better highlight the symmetry of revolution of (1). In new coordinates the normal Hamiltonian takes the form:

$$H_n = p_\rho(\gamma_- \cos \varphi - \rho(\delta \cos^2 \varphi + \Gamma)) + p_\varphi \left(-\frac{\gamma_-}{\rho} \sin \varphi + \delta \sin \varphi \cos \varphi \right) + \frac{1}{2}(p_\varphi^2 + p_\theta^2 \cot^2 \varphi),$$

where $\delta = \gamma_+ - \Gamma$.

- **Abnormal case:** $p_0 = 0$. In this case, the last equation of (4) yields the following conditions: $H_1(p(t), q(t)) = H_2(p(t), q(t)) = 0$ for almost all $t \in [0, t_f]$. The abnormal control can be computed by further differentiation of these conditions with respect to time.

In our recent paper [5] we showed that due to the rotational symmetry of problem (1), (3), one must distinguish between 2D and 3D normal trajectories. In addition, if $\gamma_- = 0$, the normal Hamiltonian describes a system integrable in quadratures, whose solutions can be computed explicitly in terms of elliptic functions. Moreover, if $\Gamma - \gamma_+ = 0$, then the resulting Hamiltonian system describes the geodesics of a particular almost-Riemannian metric on the 2D sphere of revolution having singularity on the equator, called Grushin's metric. Metrics of this type were widely studied in the framework of geometric control (see, e.g., [2, 4]).

The observations above suggest describing the dissipation effect through a comparison of the solution of the problem (1), (3) in the general case with the geodesics of the Grushin metric. In a recent paper [6], Bonnard, Caillau, and Cots performed an accurate numerical analysis of the conjugate and cut loci for one particular class of the solutions of (1), (3), whose radial component is not controllable, and the study reduces to the analysis of a certain deformation of Grushin's metric on a 2D sphere of revolution. In contrast, this paper focuses on the flat 2D trajectories lying in the meridian planes of the Bloch ball. Improving our results from [5], we present here new analytical estimates for the conjugate and cut times for one particular class of flat trajectories. Then we compute numerically the optimal synthesis of the 2D integrable problem. It is worth nothing that the 2D problem is of a particular interest in the NMR context, where it is related to the so-called demagnetization problem with the initial state q_0 fixed in the north pole of the Bloch ball.

This paper is organized as follows. In the first two sections we briefly recall the main facts concerning abnormal and normal extremals of the energy minimization problem for (1) in the general 3D case. In particular, we reduce our problem to the analysis of a natural mechanical system, which allows us to classify and to compute explicitly the extremals in the integrable case. In Sec. 5 we derive an implicit equation that describes the conjugate points along one particular class of 2D normal extremals and provide analytical estimates for its solutions. We use these estimates in Sec. 6, where we present our numerical results concerning the structure of cut and conjugate loci of the 2D integrable problem using the Hampath algorithm [8]. In Sec. 7 we discuss the nonintegrable case. Finally, in the Appendix we list the explicit parametrization formulas for the normal extremals.

2. Abnormal Extremals in the Energy Minimization Problem

As we showed in [5], the study of the abnormal extremals of (1), (3) can be restricted to the analysis of the abnormal extremals of a 2D single-input control system $\dot{q} = F_0(q) + u_1 F_1(q)$, where $q = (y, z)$. The structure of the abnormal flow of 2D systems is well understood (see for instance, [3]): they are contained in the set $S = \{q : \det(F_0, [F_0, F_1]) = 0\}$, while the abnormal control can be computed as

follows:

$$u_a = -\frac{\langle p, [[F_1, F_0], F_0](q) \rangle}{\langle p, [[F_1, F_0], F_1](q) \rangle}.$$

The adjointed variable p can be then eliminated using the condition $\langle p, F_1(q) \rangle = 0$.

Another important set in our problem is the collinear set

$$C = \{q : \det(F_0(q), F_1(q)) = 0\}.$$

This set has the form of an oval, symmetric with respect to the z -axis, which passes through the origin and through the control-free equilibrium $(0, \gamma_-/\gamma_+)$ on the yz -plane. If $\gamma_- = 0$, the collinear set shrinks to the origin.

Direct computation yields the following result [5].

Proposition 1. *If $\delta = \gamma_+ - \Gamma \neq 0$, the abnormal curves form two lines:*

- (1) *the z -axis of revolution $y = 0$, the corresponding abnormal control being $u_a = 0$;*
- (2) *the abnormal horizontal line $z = \frac{\gamma_-}{2\delta}$, the abnormal control being*

$$u_a = \frac{\gamma_-}{2y\delta}(\gamma_+ - 2\Gamma),$$

which blows up at $y = 0$ if $\gamma_-(\gamma_+ - 2\Gamma) \neq 0$. In particular, in this case the abnormal control is in the L^1 , but not in the L^2 category next to $y = 0$. If $\gamma_- = 0$, the abnormal control is 0.

Concerning the role of the abnormal lines in the energy minimization problem, one has the following theorem.

Theorem 1. *Let $\gamma: t \in [0, t_f] \rightarrow \gamma(t)$ be any sub-arc of the horizontal or vertical abnormal arc such that $t \mapsto \gamma(t)$ is one-to-one, and consider the extremity $A = \gamma(t_f)$. Then the abnormal control is the only control steering $\gamma(0)$ to A in a time t_f , provided the trajectory remains in a C^0 -tube around $\gamma(\cdot)$. For the energy minimization problem, such an arc is optimal in the described neighborhood, whereas any broken abnormal trajectory formed by a concatenation of abnormal horizontal and vertical arcs is not optimal.*

3. Normal Case

First, observe that the symmetry of (1) and of the cost functional with respect to the rotations about z -axis leads to the following result.

Proposition 2. *Consider a Hamiltonian system associated to the normal Hamiltonian H_n . Then*

- (1) *θ is a cyclic variable and the corresponding canonical impulse p_θ is a first integral along normal extremals;*
- (2) *if $\gamma_- = 0$, then the normal Hamiltonian flow is Liouville integrable, the additional first integral being p_r , where $r = \ln \rho$. In this case, the corresponding Hamiltonian is given by*

$$H_n = -p_r (\delta \cos^2 \varphi + \Gamma) + \delta p_\varphi \sin \varphi \cos \varphi + \frac{1}{2} (p_\varphi^2 + p_\theta^2 \cot^2 \varphi). \quad (5)$$

Since $\dot{\theta} = p_\theta \cot^2 \theta$, the proposition above means that the projections on the state space of the normal extremals lying on the level set $p_\theta = 0$ are contained in a fixed meridian plane $\theta = \text{const}$ of the Bloch ball. Moreover, this property is shared by all normal trajectories starting from the z -axis since $p_\theta = xp_y - yp_x$. Thus, as in the abnormal case, if $p_\theta = 0$, the problem can be reduced to a 2D single input control problem in the plane yz (with $u_2 = 0$).

A key property in the analysis of the energy minimization problem for (1) is the introduction of a natural mechanical system. Indeed, the particular form of the Hamiltonian H_n implies the following proposition.

Proposition 3. *The equation $H_n = h$ can be written as follows:*

$$\frac{1}{2}\dot{\varphi}^2 + V(\varphi, \rho, p_\rho) = h, \quad (6)$$

where

$$V(\varphi, \rho, p_\rho) = \gamma_- p_\rho \cos \varphi - \rho p_\rho (\delta \cos^2 \varphi + \Gamma) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\delta \frac{\sin(2\varphi)}{2} - \frac{\gamma_- \sin \varphi}{\rho} \right)^2 + \frac{1}{2} p_\theta^2 \cot^2 \varphi$$

is a potential energy.

As a direct consequence of Propositions 2 and 3 we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 4. *If $\gamma_- = 0$, the energy minimization problem for (1) is integrable in quadratures. More precisely, the evolution of the φ variable is described by the natural mechanical system*

$$\frac{\dot{\varphi}^2}{2} + V(\varphi) = h, \quad (7)$$

where

$$V(\varphi) = -p_r (\delta \cos^2 \varphi + \Gamma) - \frac{\delta^2}{8} \sin^2 2\varphi + \frac{1}{2} p_\theta^2 \cot^2 \varphi.$$

The remaining variables can be found from the equations

$$\dot{\theta} = p_\theta (\operatorname{cosec}^2 \varphi - 1), \quad \dot{r} = \delta \sin^2 \varphi - \gamma_+. \quad (8)$$

If $\gamma_- = 0$, a special case occurs for $\delta = \gamma_+ - \Gamma = 0$: the ρ -variable cannot be controlled and the energy minimization problem is equivalent to the length minimization problem for the metric $g = d\varphi^2 + \tan^2 \varphi d\theta^2$ on a 2D sphere of revolution. This metric appears also in the time-minimal control problem, since if we parameterize it by the arc-length, the length corresponds to the time.

Definition 1. The quasi-Riemannian metric $g = d\varphi^2 + \tan^2 \varphi d\theta^2$ with a singularity on the equator is called the standard Grushin metric on the two-sphere of revolution.

A detailed analysis of Grushin's metric can be found, for example, in [4]. The Grushin geodesics are either meridian circles or periodic trajectories in the plane (φ, p_φ) with θ periodic. The associated phase-portrait has the same structure as the right column in Fig. 1. The cut and conjugate loci corresponding to the point $(\varphi(0), \theta(0))$, where $\theta(0)$ can be set at 0, are as follows:

- $\phi(0) = 0$ or π . In this case, q_0 is a pole and the conjugate and cut loci are formed by the antipodal pole;
- $\phi(0) \neq k\pi/2$, $k = 0, 1, \dots$. If q_0 is neither a pole nor a point on the equator, the cut locus is a segment of the antipodal parallel, while the conjugate locus has the shape of an astroid with four cusps. The distance to the cut locus can be easily computed: it is the length of the unique geodesic starting tangentially to the parallel and reaching the antipodal parallel in a tangent way.
- $\phi(0) = \pi/2$. In this case, the cut locus is the whole equator minus the initial point, while the conjugate points accumulate at $\phi(0)$. Hence the distance to the cut locus is zero.

The deformation of the Grushin metric caused by the dissipation when $\delta \neq 0$ generates quite an intricate dynamics inside the Bloch sphere, which can be explicitly computed in the integrable case $\gamma_- = 0$.

4. Integrable Case

4.1. Classification of normal extremals. In order to obtain an explicit parametrization of the solutions of (7), we reduce the computations to standard elliptic integrals. For this, let us introduce an auxiliary variable $x = \sin^2 \varphi$, and rewrite (7) in the following way:

$$\frac{\dot{\varphi}^2}{2} + \bar{W}(\varphi) = \bar{h}, \quad (9)$$

where $\bar{h} = h + p_r \gamma_+ + \frac{p_\theta^2}{2}$ is constant, $\bar{W}(\varphi) = W(x(\varphi))$ and

$$W(x) = \frac{\delta^2}{2x} \left(x^3 - 2ax^2 + \frac{p_\theta^2}{\delta^2} \right), \quad a = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{p_r}{\delta}.$$

Observe that (9) is invariant with respect to the reflections about the vertical axis $\varphi \rightarrow -\varphi$ and to the shifting $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi + \pi$. Our further analysis is based on the elementary properties of the function $\bar{W}$ for $\varphi \in [0, \pi]$. It is easy to see that (see [5] for details) if $p_\theta \neq 0$, then $\bar{W}(\varphi) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $\varphi \rightarrow 0 \pmod{\pi}$, $\bar{W}(\pi/2) = \delta p_r + p_\theta^2/2$, and it can have at most two critical points where it attains its minimum. In contrast, when $p_\theta = 0$, then $\bar{W}$ is bounded: it has two local maxima at $\varphi = 0, \pi/2, \pi$ and $\min_{[0, \pi]} \bar{W}(\varphi) =$

$$\min\{\delta p_r, -\frac{a^2 \delta^2}{2}\}, \quad \max_{[0, \pi]} \bar{W}(\varphi) = \max\{\delta p_r, 0\}.$$

In Figs. 1–3 we list all possible forms of $\bar{W}$ and present the corresponding phase-portraits for the solutions of (9). There are three topologically different types of trajectories on the $(\varphi, \dot{\varphi})$ plane:

- oscillating trajectories, which are homotopic to zero;
- rotation trajectories, which are periodic trajectories not homotopic to zero;
- nonperiodic trajectories corresponding to the separatrices in Fig. 1–3.

Rotation trajectories correspond to the meridian circles in the Grushin case, which are the only class of flat geodesics of this metric. In our case the two new types of motion appear due to the influence of the dissipation terms.

In what follows, among the oscillating trajectories we will distinguish between

- (1) *parallel orbits*: $\varphi = \text{const}$, corresponding to the critical points of the potential $\bar{W}$;
- (2) *short periodic orbits*. For certain values of the dissipation parameters and of the constants of motion, there can appear a pair of families of periodic orbits characterized by the following property: $\varphi(t) \in [\varphi_{\min}, \varphi_{\max}]$ and $\varphi_{\max} - \varphi_{\min} < \pi/2$. In other words, these orbits remain in the north or in the south semi-spheres of the Bloch ball and never cross the equatorial plane;
- (3) *long periodic orbits* are periodic orbits such that $\frac{\pi}{2} < \varphi_{\max} - \varphi_{\min} < \pi$. If $p_\theta \neq 0$ or $p_\theta = 0$ and $p_r \delta < 0$ they cross the equatorial plane, whereas in the case $p_\theta = 0$ and $p_r \delta > 0$ they cross the z -axis.

4.2. Integration in terms of Jacobi elliptic functions. An explicit parametrization of $\varphi(t)$ can be obtained in the following way. From (9) we obtain

$$\dot{\varphi} = \pm \sqrt{2(\bar{H} - W(x(\varphi)))}.$$

Let us take the positive branch, the other case being equivalent modulo inversion of time. Passing to $x = \sin^2 \varphi$, we obtain

$$dt = \frac{dx}{2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{x(1-x)(\bar{h} - W(x))}}. \quad (10)$$

Fig. 1. 3D case: $p_\theta \neq 0$.

Fig. 2. 2D case: $p_\theta = 0$, $a \in]0, 1[$.

Fig. 3. 2D case: $p_\theta = 0$, $a \notin [0, 1]$.

Further calculation is related to the analysis of the roots of the cubic polynomial

$$P(x) = x(\bar{h} - W(x)) = x^3 - 2ax^2 - \frac{2\bar{h}}{\delta^2}x + \frac{p_\theta^2}{\delta^2}.$$

It is easy to show (see [5] for the details) that if $p_\theta \neq 0$, P has three real roots: $x_3 < 0$, and two positive roots $0 < x_1 \leq x_2$. If $p_\theta = 0$, then $x_3 = 0$, $x_1 < x_2$, and x_1 can be of both signs. Since $x = \sin^2 \varphi$, the final type of parametrization depends on the placement of x_1 and x_2 with respect to the interval $[0, 1]$.

Assume that $y_i \in \{x_1, x_2, x_3, 1\}$, $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$, are ordered in such a way that $y_1 > y_2 > y_3 > y_4$. Then

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = \sqrt{\delta^2(x - y_1)(x - y_2)(x - y_3)(x - y_4)}.$$

Following the method proposed in [10], we set

$$z^2 = \frac{(y_2 - y_4)(x - y_3)}{(y_2 - y_3)(x - y_4)}.$$

Then

$$\frac{1}{\Delta(z)} \frac{dz}{dt} = \frac{M}{\Delta_1(x)} \frac{dx}{dt},$$

where

$$\Delta^2(z) = (1 - z^2)(1 - k^2 z^2), \quad \Delta_1^2(x) = 4(x - y_1)(x - y_2)(x - y_3)(x - y_4),$$

$$M^2 = \delta^2(y_1 - y_3)(y_2 - y_4), \quad k^2 = \frac{(y_2 - y_3)(y_1 - y_4)}{(y_1 - y_3)(y_2 - y_4)}.$$

The chosen order of the roots y_i assures $k \in (0, 1)$, and z can be computed from a standard elliptic integral, which yields

$$z(t) = \text{sn}(Mt + \psi_0, k), \quad \psi_0 = \text{sn}^{-1}(z(0), k).$$

In particular, $z(t)$ is a periodic function, which oscillates between -1 and 1 with the period $4K(k)/M$, where $K(k)$ denotes the complete elliptic integral of the first kind of modulus k .

Finally, by inverting the Möbius transformation we obtain

$$x(t) = \frac{z^2(t)(y_2 - y_3)y_4 - (y_2 - y_4)y_3}{z^2(t)(y_2 - y_3) - (y_2 - y_4)}.$$

The original variable φ can be then computed taking, if needed, either $\arcsin \sqrt{x(t)}$ or $\pi - \arcsin \sqrt{x(t)}$ in order to get an analytic function.

The integration of the remaining variables θ and r reduces to the computation of elliptic integrals of the third kind. We omit here the technical details and present directly the result:

$$\begin{aligned} r(t) - r(0) &= (\delta y_4 - \gamma_+)t + \frac{(y_3 - y_4)\delta}{M} \left(\Pi \left[\frac{y_2 - y_3}{y_2 - y_4}, \text{am}(Mt + \psi_0, k), k \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \Pi \left[\frac{y_2 - y_3}{y_2 - y_4}, \text{am}(\psi_0, k), k \right] \right), \\ \theta(t) - \theta(0) &= \frac{p_\theta(1 - y_4)t}{y_4} - \frac{p_\theta(y_3 - y_4)}{My_3y_4} \left(\Pi \left[\frac{y_4(y_2 - y_3)}{y_3(y_2 - y_4)}, \text{am}(Mt + \psi_0, k), k \right] \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \Pi \left[\frac{y_4(y_2 - y_3)}{y_3(y_2 - y_4)}, \text{am}(\psi_0, k), k \right] \right). \end{aligned}$$

The final formulas for each particular case listed in Figs. 1–3 depend on the placement of the roots $x_{1,2}$ with respect to 0 and 1, and we list them in the Appendix.

4.3. Symmetries and Optimality. Before discussing the optimality properties of the extremal solutions, let us recall some standard definitions from Riemannian geometry [9].

Definition 2. Denote by $\vec{H}_n$ the Hamiltonian vector field of the normal Hamiltonian H_n and let $\exp[t\vec{H}_n]$ denote the associated Hamiltonian flow. Let $z(t) = (p(t), q(t))$, $t \in [0, t_f]$ be a reference extremal.

- (1) For fixed $q(0) = q_0$, the map

$$\exp_{q_0, t} : p(0) \mapsto \Pi[\exp t\vec{H}_n(q_0, p(0))],$$

where $\Pi : (p, q) \mapsto q$ is a standard projection, is called the *end-point mapping* of the flow $\exp[t\vec{H}_n]$. The time t_* is said to be *conjugate* to $t = 0$ if $\exp_{q_0, t_*}$ is not an immersion. We denote by t_*^1 the first conjugate time and the corresponding first conjugate point by $q(t_*^1)$.

- (2) The point $q(t_s)$ on the reference extremal is a *separating point* if there exists another extremal curve $z'(\cdot) = (p'(\cdot), q'(\cdot))$ with distinct $q(\cdot)$ and $q'(\cdot)$, such that $q(t_s) = q'(t_s)$ and q and q' have the same cost at t_s .
- (3) The *cut-point* along the reference extremal is the first point $q(t_c)$ such that $q(\cdot)$ is no longer optimal beyond the time t_c . Fixing the final time to t_f , we see that the set of such points form respectively the *conjugate locus* $C(q_0)$, the *separating locus* $L(q_0)$, and the *cut locus* $C_{ut}(q_0)$.

Our next goal is to investigate the optimality of normal extremals in the integrable case. Taking into account the action of the discrete symmetric group on the set of extremals, we can immediately compute obvious separating points. Indeed, fixing p_θ and p_r , for each initial condition $\varphi(0)$ we have two extremal curves on the level set $\bar{h}$, starting in opposite directions defined by $\pm\dot{\varphi}(0)$. These curves are distinct and periodic (in φ variable) provided none of the following cases is realized: $\varphi(0)$ is an equilibrium point, $\dot{\varphi}(0) = 0$, or the level set $\bar{h}$ carries on rotations (for the fixed values of p_r and p_θ). If T is the corresponding period of φ , we immediately deduce the following proposition.

Fig. 4. Long and short normal extremals in the 3D case and the corresponding separation points.

Proposition 5. *For fixed p_r and p_θ , the two distinct periodic extremal curves starting from $\varphi(0)$ with the same $\theta(0)$ and $r(0)$ intersect at the same point with the same cost after one period T . Hence the corresponding point belongs to the separating locus.*

Taking into account the central symmetry of the long extremals, we have the following proposition.

Proposition 6. *Two distinct long periodic extremals starting at the same point q_0 intersect after an half-period $T/2$ with the same cost, and hence the associated point belongs to the separating locus.*

Proof. For long periodic extremals, one can use the property that system (9) and the cost are reflexionally symmetric with respect to the equator. Hence, both curves starting from $\dot{\varphi}(0)$ and $-\dot{\varphi}(0)$ intersect on the antipodal parallel $\pi - \varphi(0)$ at the time $T/2$ and with the same cost. This is also true for the θ and r components. The same argument remains true for 2D long extremals symmetric with respect to $\varphi = 0$ for $\delta p_r > 0$: after time $T/2$ they intersect at $-\varphi(0)$. $\square$

The analysis of conjugate and cut loci in the general 3D integrable case is far from being complete, and there are only some partial numerical results for it. But in two particular cases corresponding to zero values of the first integrals p_r and p_θ the study can be reduced to a 2D situation.

- **Spherical case.** If $p_r = 0$, the variable r is not controllable. The analysis can be reduced to the study of the projections of the optimal trajectories on the Bloch sphere endowed with a Lorenzian metric defined by the Hamiltonian H_n . This metric can be seen as a deformation of the Grushin metric on a 2D sphere of revolution appearing if $\delta = 0$. In the recent paper [6] J. B. Caillau and the first two authors provided a detailed numerical analysis of the optimal synthesis in the spherical case.
- **Meridian case.** As we saw above, if $p_\theta = 0$, the normal trajectories are flat and the physical motion takes place in a fixed meridian plane θ_0 in the Bloch ball.

In the remaining part of this paper we investigate the structure of conjugate and cut points of 2D normal extremals in the meridian planes.

5. Meridian Case $p_\theta = 0$

5.1. Geometrical structure of normal extremals in 2D case. According to Figs. 2 and 3, in the meridian case we have:

- Parallel solutions, which in the 2D flat case become straight lines on the yz -plane. For all values of p_r on the level sets $\bar{h} = \delta p_r$ and $\bar{h} = 0$ we have equilibria $\varphi = 0$ and $\varphi = \frac{\pi}{2} \bmod \pi$, which results in horizontal and vertical axes on the yz -plane. These normal trajectories project on the abnormal ones. In addition, if

$$\frac{|p_r|}{|\delta|} < \frac{1}{2},$$

on the level set $\bar{h} = -\frac{\delta^2 a^2}{2}$ we have a couple of equilibria at $\varphi_* = \pm \arcsin \sqrt{a} \bmod \pi$, which define a straight line in each quadrant of the yz -plane. Note that

$$\varphi_* = \frac{\pi}{4} \bmod \frac{\pi}{2}$$

if $p_r = 0$.

- Short orbits exist if

$$\frac{|p_r|}{|\delta|} < \frac{1}{2}$$

on the level sets $-\frac{\delta^2 a^2}{2} < \bar{h} < \delta p_r < 0$ or $-\frac{\delta^2 a^2}{2} < \bar{h} < 0 < \delta p_r$, depending on the sign of δp_r .

- Long orbits appear if $\delta p_r < \bar{h} < 0$ or $0 < \bar{h} < \delta p_r$. In the latter case they cross the vertical axis, but do not cross the horizontal axis $z = 0$ ($\varphi = \frac{\pi}{2} \bmod \pi$).
- Rotations exist on the level sets $\bar{h} > \delta p_r > 0$ and $\bar{h} < \delta p_r < 0$.
- There could be one or two separatrices on the level sets $\bar{h} = 0$ and $\bar{h} = \delta p_r$.

Note that in contrast to the 3D case (see Fig. 1), there are families of trajectories (rotations and long periodic trajectories for $\delta p_r > 0$) having central symmetry with respect to 0 and crossing the vertical axis. Therefore, for any pair $\varphi_1, \varphi_2 \in [0, 2\pi]$ on a proper level set $\bar{h}$ there exist a solution of (9) connecting them. These observations are important for the global optimality analysis that we discuss in the next section.

5.2. Conjugate points of short periodic orbits. According to the phase portraits classification, in the 2D case the short trajectories lie on the level sets

$$-\frac{\delta^2 a^2}{2} < \bar{h} < \delta p_r < 0 \quad \text{or} \quad -\frac{\delta^2 a^2}{2} < \bar{h} < 0 < \delta p_r.$$

Consider a trajectory starting at $\varphi(0) = \varphi_0$ and $r(0) = r_0$. Denote $x_0 = \sin^2 \varphi_0$,

$$M = \sqrt{\delta^2(1-x_1)x_2}, \quad k^2 = \frac{x_2 - x_1}{x_2(1-x_1)}, \quad z_0^2 = \frac{x_2(x_0 - x_1)}{x_0(x_2 - x_1)},$$

where x_1 and x_2 are the roots of the quadratic equation $\bar{h} - W(x) = 0$:

$$x_{1,2} = a \pm \sqrt{a^2 + \frac{2\bar{h}}{\delta^2}}.$$

Along short orbits these roots satisfy

$$0 < x_1 \leq x_0 \leq x_2 < 1,$$

and hence $k \in (0, 1)$. Applying the formulas of Sec. 4.2, we obtain an explicit parametrization of short orbits in terms of Jacobi elliptic functions:

$$\begin{aligned}\varphi(t) &= \arcsin \sqrt{\frac{x_1 x_2}{x_2 - (x_2 - x_1) \operatorname{sn}(Mt + \psi_0, k)^2}}, \\ r(t) - r_0 &= \frac{\delta x_1}{M} \left[\Pi \left(\frac{x_2 - x_1}{x_2}, \operatorname{am}(Mt + \psi_0, k), k \right) - \Pi \left(\frac{x_2 - x_1}{x_2}, \operatorname{am}(\psi_0, k), k \right) \right] - \gamma_+ t,\end{aligned}$$

where $\psi_0 = \operatorname{sn}^{-1}(z_0, k)$. In particular, $\varphi(t)$ is a periodic function with period $\frac{2K(k)}{M}$, where $K(k)$ denotes a complete elliptic integral of the first kind corresponding to the modulus k , which in the sequel we denote simply by K .

Consider now the endpoint mapping associated to our problem:

$$\exp_{(\varphi_0, r_0), t} : (p_\varphi(0), p_r) \mapsto (\varphi(t), r(t)).$$

The extremals are parametrized by the initial values of the co-vector $(p_\varphi(0), p_r)$. To simplify calculations, let us first re-parametrize the extremals using x_1 and $m = k^2$. To this end we rewrite the end-point mapping as a composition $\exp_{(\varphi_0, r_0), t} = G \circ \Phi$, where

$$\Phi : (p_\varphi(0), p_r) \mapsto (x_1, m), \quad G : (x_1, m) \mapsto (\varphi(t), r(t)).$$

It is easy to show that the map Φ is nondegenerate provided $\varphi_0 \neq \arcsin \sqrt{x_i}$, $i = 1, 2$, or, equivalently, $\dot{\varphi}(0) \neq 0$. More precisely, a simple computation (see [5] for details) yields

$$\det D_{(p_\varphi(0), p_r)} \Phi = \frac{2x_1}{\delta^3 x_2} \frac{p_\varphi(0) + \delta \sin \varphi_0 \cos \varphi_0}{\sqrt{a^2 + 2\frac{\bar{h}}{\delta^2}}} = \frac{4x_1 \dot{\varphi}(0)}{\delta^3 x_2 (x_2 - x_1)}. \quad (11)$$

Assume first $\varphi_0 \neq \arcsin \sqrt{x_{1,2}}$, which implies $\psi_0 \neq jK$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the critical points of the end-point mapping are the critical points of G . We have

$$D_{(x_1, m)} G = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} D_{(x_1, m)} G_1, \quad \text{where } G_1 : (x_1, m) \mapsto (x(t), r(t)),$$

where $x = \sin^2 \varphi$. Since $x_2 = \frac{x_1}{1-m(1-x_1)}$,

$$x(t) = \bar{x}(z(t; x_1, m); x_1, m) = \frac{x_1}{1 - m(1 - x_1)z(t)^2},$$

where¹ $z(t) = \operatorname{sn}(Mt + \psi_0 \mid m)$ with

$$M = \left(\frac{\delta^2 x_1 (1 - x_1)}{1 - m(1 - x_1)} \right)^{1/2}, \quad \operatorname{sn}(\psi_0 \mid m) = z(0).$$

In particular, an easy computation shows that along short orbits $\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} \neq 0$, and

$$\Delta(t) = D_{(x_1, m)} G_1 = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial z} \frac{\partial z(t)}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial z} \frac{\partial z(t)}{\partial m} + \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial m} \\ \frac{\partial r(t)}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial r(t)}{\partial m} \end{pmatrix}.$$

For brevity, below we write sn instead of $\operatorname{sn}(Mt + \psi_0 \mid m)$, and similarly for other elliptic functions. The direct calculation yields

$$\frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial x_1} = \frac{\operatorname{dn}^2}{(1 - m(1 - x_1) \operatorname{sn}^2)^2}, \quad \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial m} = \frac{x_1(1 - x_1) \operatorname{sn}^2}{(1 - m(1 - x_1) \operatorname{sn}^2)^2}, \quad \frac{\partial \bar{x}}{\partial z} = \frac{2m(1 - x_1)x_1 \operatorname{sn}}{1 - m(1 - x_1) \operatorname{sn}^2}.$$

Hence

$$\det \Delta(t) = \frac{\det \Delta_1(t)}{(1 - m(1 - x_1) \operatorname{sn}^2)^2},$$

¹Hereafter we use the notation of [1]: $\operatorname{sn}(y \mid m) = \operatorname{sn}(y, k)$, where $m = k^2$.

where

$$\Delta_1(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 2mx_1(1-x_1)\operatorname{sn}\frac{\partial z(t)}{\partial x_1} + \operatorname{dn}^2 & 2mx_1(1-x_1)\operatorname{sn}\frac{\partial z(t)}{\partial m} + x_1(1-x_1)\operatorname{sn}^2 \\ \frac{\partial r(t)}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial r(t)}{\partial m} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let us denote

$$\operatorname{sn}_0 = z(0), \quad \operatorname{cn}_0 = \operatorname{cn}(\psi_0 | m), \quad \operatorname{dn}_0 = \operatorname{dn}(\psi_0 | m).$$

With a help of Mathematica we finally find the following expression:

$$\det \Delta_1(t) = -\frac{M \operatorname{cn} \operatorname{sn} \operatorname{dn}}{8} \left[M^2 t^2 - \frac{1}{1-m} \left(E_{Mt} - \frac{\operatorname{dn} \operatorname{sn}}{\operatorname{cn}} + \frac{\operatorname{dn}_0 \operatorname{sn}_0}{\operatorname{cn}_0} \right) \left(E_{Mt} + \frac{\operatorname{dn} \operatorname{cn}}{\operatorname{sn}} - \frac{\operatorname{dn}_0 \operatorname{cn}_0}{\operatorname{sn}_0} \right) \right], \quad (12)$$

where

$$E_{Mt} = \int_{\psi_0}^{Mt+\psi_0} \operatorname{dn}^2(\xi | m) d\xi.$$

By construction, conjugate times t_* are solutions of the equation $\det \Delta_1(t_*) = 0$. It is not difficult to note that they are actually zeros of the expression in the square brackets of (12), i.e., solutions of the equation

$$T^2 - \frac{1}{1-m} \left(E_T - \frac{\operatorname{dn} \operatorname{sn}}{\operatorname{cn}} + \frac{\operatorname{dn}_0 \operatorname{sn}_0}{\operatorname{cn}_0} \right) \left(E_T + \frac{\operatorname{dn} \operatorname{cn}}{\operatorname{sn}} - \frac{\operatorname{dn}_0 \operatorname{cn}_0}{\operatorname{sn}_0} \right) = 0, \quad (13)$$

where $T = Mt$.

Theorem 2. *For all initial conditions $\psi_0 \neq jK$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, the first conjugate (to 0) time $t_*^1 > \frac{2K}{M}$.*

This result is a direct consequence of the following lemmas.

Lemma 1. *For any $0 < \psi_0 < K$, the smallest positive root T_*^1 of (13) is contained in the open interval $(2K - \psi_0, 3K - \psi_0)$, while if $K < \psi_0 < 2K$ one has $T_*^1 \in (K + \psi_0, 2K + \psi_0)$.*

Proof. Let us rewrite equation (13) in a more convenient form:

$$(1-m)T^2 = F(T) = F_1(T)F_2(T),$$

where

$$F_1(T) = \frac{\operatorname{dn} \operatorname{sn}}{\operatorname{cn}} - \frac{\operatorname{dn}_0 \operatorname{sn}_0}{\operatorname{cn}_0} - E_T, \quad F_2(T) = -\frac{\operatorname{dn} \operatorname{cn}}{\operatorname{sn}} + \frac{\operatorname{dn}_0 \operatorname{cn}_0}{\operatorname{sn}_0} - E_T.$$

First, we observe that $F_1(0) = F_2(0) = 0$ and

$$F_1'(T) = (1-m)\operatorname{sc}^2, \quad F_2'(T) = \operatorname{cs}^2,$$

and, therefore, both F_1 and F_2 are monotone increasing functions². The points $T = jK - \psi_0$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, define their vertical asymptotes (with odd j for F_1 and even j for F_2). In addition, observe that $F_1(T) \rightarrow \pm\infty$ as $T \rightarrow (2j-1)K - \psi_0$ from the left (+ sign) or from the right (− sign), and $F_1(2jK) < 0$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. At the same time, $F_2(T) \rightarrow \pm\infty$ as $T \rightarrow 2jK - \psi_0$ as $T \rightarrow 2jK - \psi_0$ from the left (+ sign) or from the right (− sign). In addition, $F_2(K - \psi_0) > 0$ and $F_2((2j+1)K) < 0$, for all $j = 1, 2, \dots$.

Passing to the function $F = F_1F_2$, we see that $F(T)$ is positive on the first interval $(0, K - \psi_0)$ and tends to $+\infty$ as $T \rightarrow (K - \psi_0)$ from the left. Then, on $(K - \psi_0, 2K - \psi_0)$, $F(T)$ is strictly negative, while starting from the interval $(2K - \psi_0, 3K - \psi_0)$ it is monotone decreasing and goes to $+\infty$ or $-\infty$ as T tends to the left or to the right terminal points of these intervals. In particular, $F(T)$ changes sign from negative to positive on each open interval of the form $(2jK - \psi_0, (2j+1)K - \psi_0)$. This

²Here we use the standard notation $\operatorname{sc} = \frac{\operatorname{sn}}{\operatorname{cn}}$, $\operatorname{cs} = \frac{\operatorname{cn}}{\operatorname{sn}}$.

Fig. 5

implies that Eq. (13) has a solution on each open interval $(2jK - \psi_0, (2j + 1)K - \psi_0)$ starting from $j = 1$.

To conclude, let us show that (13) has no solutions on the interval $(0, K - \psi_0]$ for $0 < \psi_0 < K$. First, observe that since the function $\text{sc}^2(\xi | m)$ is monotone increasing from 0 to $+\infty$ on the interval $[0, K]$, for all $T \in [0, K - \psi_0]$ one has $F_1(T) \in [T(1 - m) \text{sc}_0^2, T(1 - m) \text{sc}^2]$ and $F_2(T) \in [T \text{cs}^2, T \text{cs}_0^2]$. Applying these estimates to the derivative of F we get

$$\frac{F'(T)}{1 - m} = \text{sc}^2 \int_{\psi_0}^{\psi_0+T} \text{cs}^2(\xi | m) d\xi + \text{cs}^2 \int_{\psi_0}^{\psi_0+T} \text{sc}^2(\xi | m) d\xi > T (1 + \text{sc}_0^2 \text{cs}^2) > 0,$$

and hence F is monotone increasing on $[0, K - \psi_0]$ starting from the value $F(0) = 0$. Further differentiation yields

$$\frac{F''(T)}{1 - m} = 2 + 2 \text{sn cn dn} \left(\frac{1}{\text{cn}^4} \int_{\psi_0}^{\psi_0+T} \text{cs}^2(\xi | m) d\xi - \frac{1}{\text{sn}^4} \int_{\psi_0}^{\psi_0+T} \text{sc}^2(\xi | m) d\xi \right) \geq 2,$$

the equality taking place at $T = 0$. So, for all $T \in (0, K - \psi_0]$ the function F is strictly convex, and its graph lies above the parabola $(1 - m)T^2$, which means that (13) has no roots on this interval. Hence the smallest positive root of the equation $(1 - m)T^2 = F(T)$ is contained in $(2K - \psi_0, 3K - \psi_0)$.

Repeating the same arguments in the case $\psi_0 = K + \varepsilon$, $0 < \varepsilon < K$, we easily show that

$$T_*^1 \in (2K - \varepsilon, 3K - \varepsilon) = (K + \psi_0, 2K + \psi_0).$$

The lemma is proved. □

The estimates of Lemma 1 do not allow one to say whether the first conjugate point occur before or after the period $\frac{2K}{M}$ of the φ variable. To answer to this question we use the following fact.

Fig. 6

Lemma 2. For all $\psi_0 \neq jK$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, $F(2K) = E(2K)^2$, where E denotes a complete elliptic integral of second kind: $E(2K) = E(\text{am}(2K | m) | m)$.

Proof. Observe that

$$E_{2K} = \int_{\psi_0}^{\psi_0+2K} \text{dn}(s | m)^2 ds = E(2K).$$

Then the symmetry of the elliptic functions with respect to half-periods yields

$$\begin{aligned} F_1(2K) &= \frac{\text{dn}(2K + \psi_0 | m) \text{sn}(2K + \psi_0 | m)}{\text{cn}(2K + \psi_0 | m)} - \frac{\text{dn}(\psi_0 | m) \text{sn}(\psi_0 | m)}{\text{cn}_0(\psi_0 | m)} - E_{2K} = -E_{2K}, \\ F_2(2K) &= -\frac{\text{dn}(2K + \psi_0 | m) \text{cn}(2K + \psi_0 | m)}{\text{sn}(2K + \psi_0 | m)} + \frac{\text{dn}(\psi_0 | m) \text{cn}(\psi_0 | m)}{\text{sn}_0(\psi_0 | m)} - E_{2K} = -E_{2K}, \end{aligned}$$

and the result follows. $\square$

Lemma 3. If $\psi_0 \neq jK$, $j \in \mathbb{N}$, then $T_*^1 > 2K$.

Proof. Let us prove the result for $0 < \psi_0 < K$, the case $K < \psi_0 < 2K$ being completely similar. By Lemma 1, $T_*^1 \in (2K - \psi_0, 3K - \psi_0)$, and at the same time $2K \in (2K - \psi_0, 3K - \psi_0)$. In addition, by Lemma 2, $F(2K) = E(2K)^2$, while $F(T_*^1) = 4(1-m)K^2(m)$. Taking into account that F is monotone decreasing on $(2K - \psi_0, 3K - \psi_0)$, and $E(2K)^2 \geq 4(1-m)K^2(m)$ for all $m \in (0, 1)$, we conclude that $T_*^1 > 2K$. $\square$

Figure 5 shows the possible disposition of the graph of $F(T)$ for $\psi_0 \in (0, K)$ (dashed line) and $\psi_0 \in (K, 2K)$ (dotted line) with respect to the parabola $(1-m)T^2$.

To complete our analysis, consider now the case $\dot{\varphi}(0) = 0$, i.e., $\psi_0 = 0 \pmod{K}$.

Theorem 3. For $\psi_0 = jK$, $j = 0, 1, \dots$, the first conjugate (to 0) time is $t_*^1 = 2K/M$.

Proof. First, for all initial points we have

$$\dot{\varphi}(0) = \frac{\dot{x}(0)}{2 \sin \varphi_0 \cos \varphi_0} = \frac{x_1 x_2 (x_2 - x_1) \operatorname{sn}_0 \operatorname{cn}_0 \operatorname{dn}_0}{\sin \varphi_0 \cos \varphi_0 (x_2 - (x_2 - x_1) \operatorname{sn}_0^2)^2}.$$

Substituting this expression in (11) we obtain

$$\det D_{(p_\varphi(0), p_r)} \Phi = \frac{4x_1^2 \operatorname{sn}_0 \operatorname{cn}_0 \operatorname{dn}_0}{\delta^3 \sin \varphi_0 \cos \varphi_0 (x_2 - (x_2 - x_1) \operatorname{sn}_0^2)^2}.$$

On the other hand, according to our previous calculations,

$$\det D_{(x_1, m)} G = \frac{x_2^2 \det \Delta_1(t)}{2 \sin \varphi \cos \varphi (x_2 - (x_2 - x_1) \operatorname{sn}_0^2)^2}$$

where $\det \Delta_1$ is given by (12).

Consider first the case $\psi_0 = 0$. Then $\operatorname{sn}_0 = 0$, while $\operatorname{cn}_0 \operatorname{dn}_0 = 1$. In particular,

$$\operatorname{sn}_0 \det \Delta_1|_{\operatorname{sn}_0=0} = -\frac{M \operatorname{cn} \operatorname{sn} \operatorname{dn} \operatorname{dn}_0 \operatorname{cn}_0}{8(1-m)} \left(E_{Mt} - \frac{\operatorname{dn} \operatorname{sn}}{\operatorname{cn}} \right).$$

Therefore, since by construction $\exp_{(\varphi_0, r_0), t} = G \circ \Phi$, the endpoint mapping is not an immersion if and only if

$$\det D_{(p_\varphi(0), p_r)} \Phi \det D_{(x_1, m)} G = 0,$$

which is equivalent to the equation

$$\operatorname{cn} \operatorname{sn} \operatorname{dn} \left(E_{Mt} - \frac{\operatorname{dn} \operatorname{sn}}{\operatorname{cn}} \right) = 0. \quad (14)$$

It is easy to see that, because of the singularity in the bracket term, the points $\frac{(2j+1)K}{M}$ are not solutions to (14). Adopting the analysis of the function F_1 introduced in the proof of Lemma 1 to the case $\psi_0 = 0, K, \dots$, we see that the first zero of the bracket term of (14) is contained in the interval $(\frac{2K}{M}, \frac{3K}{M})$, and is strictly greater than $\frac{2K}{M}$. Hence the smallest root of this equations comes from the condition $\operatorname{sn}(Mt | m) = 0$, and thus $t_*^1 = \frac{2K}{M}$.

The same argument with a few obvious modifications remains true for $\psi_0 = K$, and the theorem follows. $\square$

In Fig. 6 we present an example of a family of orbits, starting at $r_0 = -1$ and $x_0 = 0.35$ for $\delta = 3$, $p_r = 0.01$, and $\gamma_+ = 6.1$, calculated up to the first conjugate point (marked by “*”). The polar coordinates of the initial point in this example are $\rho_0 = e^{-1}$ and $\varphi_0 \approx 0.633052$. The short periodic solutions for φ exist in the interval $\bar{h} \in (-1.11, 0)$. The solutions on the figure correspond to $\bar{h} = -1, -0.9, -0.8, -0.7, -0.6, -0.5, -0.4$ and -0.2 . The sign “|” marks the end of the first period of $\varphi(t)$. The illustrated solutions asymptotically tend to the origin but lose the optimality before, just after the end of the first period.

6. Numerical Computation of Cut and Conjugate Loci

In order to compute the conjugate and cut loci of the energy minimization problem we consider the level sets of the Hamiltonian H_n

$$\frac{1}{2}(p_\varphi + \delta \cos \varphi \sin \varphi)^2 + p_r (\delta \sin^2 \varphi - \gamma_+) = h + \delta^2 \cos \varphi^2 \sin \varphi^2,$$

with δ and γ_+ fixed. Given an initial point φ_0 , for each pair p_r and $\bar{h} = h + p_r \gamma_+$ there are two normal extremals corresponding to

$$p_\varphi(0) = \pm \sqrt{2(\bar{h} - W(\sin^2 \varphi_0))} - \delta \cos \varphi_0 \sin \varphi_0.$$

Varying p_r and h , we obtain two-parametric families of normal extremals issuing from φ_0 .

In order to compute the conjugate locus we used the following method. First we fix the intervals of variation of p_r and h . The discretization of these intervals gives a net of points (p_r^i, h^j) , $i, j = 1, \dots, N$, where N should be taken large enough. Taking both positive and negative branches of $\dot{\varphi}$, we obtain at most $2N^2$ extremals starting from φ_0 . Using the Hampath code [8], on this set of extremals we compute numerically the conjugate points occurring at a fixed final time t_f , and the values of the cost functional. As the result, we obtain the section $t = t_f$ of the conjugate locus, as well as the isocost curves. It is worth nothing that the terminal time t_f is an important parameter of the problem. Since the energy level set in the meridian case is not compact, in order to obtain a meaningful picture, the value of t_f should be chosen in accordance with the intervals of variation of p_r and h . In our tests we chose t_f close to the average value of the first conjugate times predicted in Sec. 5.

The results displayed in Figs. 7 and 8 give an example of the optimal synthesis in the 2D integrable case for $\delta = 5$, $\gamma_+ = 10.1$, $t_f = 1.7$, and $\varphi_0 = \arcsin 2/\sqrt{5}$. Thick curves represent the components of the conjugate locus corresponding to short (S) and long (L_1 and L_2) orbits. Other points indicate the isocost lines, while thick black curves represent the cut locus. In our tests no conjugate points were detected along the trajectories corresponding to rotations.

As we saw in Sec. 4, the type of dynamics of the problem is stipulated by the behavior of the function W , which is completely determined by the values of δ and p_r . For a fixed value of δ (in our example $\delta = 5$), the variation of $p_r \in [-\alpha, \alpha]$ for $\alpha > 0$ large enough results in the transformation of the graph of the potential W from the left to the right column in Fig. 3, passing through the configurations indicated on Fig. 2. In particular, for the negative values of p_r on different level sets of h we obtain trajectories with $\varphi(t) \in [-\frac{\pi}{2}, 0]$, while if $p_r > 0$ $\varphi(t) \in [0, \pi]$ for $t \in [0, t_f]$. As a consequence, the part of the conjugate locus corresponding to long trajectories consists of two distinct branches, indicated as L_1 and L_2 on Fig. 7a. If we enlarge the intervals of the variation of p_r and h , these two branches cross each other, as we see in Fig. 7b. We leave for the future the detailed analysis of the appearing singularity. The component of the cut locus related to the long extremals consists of two branches corresponding to $\varphi_c^1 = \pi - \varphi_0$ and $\varphi_c^2 = -\varphi_0$. It is formed by the intersections of the long trajectories lying on the same levels of h and p_r , as described in Proposition 6. The conjugate locus has cusps at φ_c^1 (the L_1 part) and φ_c^2 (the L_2 part).

The part of the conjugate locus formed by the conjugate points along short orbits is a closed curve with two cusps at φ_0 . These points are the extremities of the cut locus $\varphi_c^3 = \varphi_0$, which again is formed by the intersections of the pairs of trajectories lying on the same levels of h and p_r and issuing from φ_0 with $\pm\dot{\varphi}(0)$, as described in Proposition 5. Figure 8 illustrates in detail this part of the locus. The isocost lines are indicated by gray points. The self-intersections of the isocost curves form the cut locus as, for example, the isocost curve shown in Fig. 8.

Let us note that the structure of the cut locus corresponding to short orbits is different from its analog in the spherical case described in [6]: in the spherical case cut points occur on different level sets of h . In Fig. 9 we present an example of the computation of the cut and conjugate loci in the spherical case. The similarity in the form of the L_1 part of the conjugate locus with the conjugate locus in the spherical case comes from the similar dynamics of r and θ variables, as it can be seen from our parametrization formulas. In contrast, it the difference in the cut loci for short orbits is remarkable.

Fig. 7. Conjugate and cut loci in the 2D case. Case a: $\bar{h} \in [-14.9, 5]$, $p_r \in [-3, 1]$. Case b: $\bar{h} \in [-14.9, 15]$, $p_r \in [-3, 3]$.

Fig. 8. Conjugate and cut loci associated to short orbits from the previous figure.

7. Nonintegrable Case $\gamma_- \neq 0$, $p_\theta = 0$

In this section, we discuss the effect of the dissipation parameter $\gamma_- \neq 0$ on the set of extremals. Recall that the 2D-system is given in Cartesian coordinates by the equations

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{y} &= -\Gamma y - uz, \\ \dot{z} &= \gamma_- - \gamma_+ z + uy,\end{aligned}$$

Fig. 9. Example of conjugate and cut loci in the spherical integrable case $p_\theta \neq 0$, $p_r = 0$. The smile-shaped contour in the center is the conjugate locus of short orbits.

and the singular trajectories are given by $y = 0$ and $z = z_0 = \frac{\gamma_-}{2(\gamma_+ - \Gamma)}$. We use the polar coordinates $y = \rho \sin \varphi$, $z = \rho \cos \varphi$ in order to normalize the control directly. Indeed, it is not possible to normalize simultaneously the singular lines and the control direction if $\gamma_- \neq 0$. By setting $r = \ln \rho$, $\delta = \gamma_+ - \Gamma$, the system takes the form

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{r} &= -\Gamma - \delta \cos^2 \varphi + \gamma_- \cos \varphi e^{-r}, \\ \dot{\varphi} &= -\gamma_- \sin \varphi e^{-r} + \frac{\delta \sin(2\varphi)}{2} - u.\end{aligned}$$

The normal Hamiltonian is given by

$$H_n = p_r (\gamma_- \cos \varphi e^{-r} - (\Gamma + \delta \cos^2 \varphi)) + p_\varphi \left(-\gamma_- \sin \varphi e^{-r} + \frac{\delta \sin(2\varphi)}{2} \right) + \frac{p_\varphi^2}{2}.$$

Again, since $\dot{\varphi} = p_\varphi + \delta \sin \varphi \cos \varphi - \gamma_- \sin \varphi e^{-r}$, the equation $H_n = h$ can be written as follows:

$$\frac{\dot{\varphi}^2}{2} + V(\varphi, p_r, r) = h,$$

where

$$V(\varphi, p_r, r) = p_r (\gamma_- \cos \varphi e^{-r} - (\Gamma + \delta \cos^2 \varphi)) - \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{\delta \sin(2\varphi)}{2} - \gamma_- \sin \varphi e^{-r} \right)^2.$$

Observe that in contrast to the integrable case, if $\gamma_- \neq 0$ we have a coupling between the evolution of φ and the r , which can be interpreted as a dissipation effect.

To simplify the further analysis, we pass again to Cartesian coordinates. Then

$$H_n = -\Gamma y p_y + p_z (\gamma_- - \gamma_+ z) + \frac{1}{2} (y p_z - z p_y)^2.$$

Denote

$$P = y p_z - z p_y, \quad Q = y p_y + z p_z.$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{y} &= -\Gamma y - zP, \\ \dot{z} &= (\gamma_- - \gamma_+ z) + yP, \\ \dot{p}_y &= \Gamma p_y - p_z P, \\ \dot{p}_z &= \gamma_+ p_z + p_y P,\end{aligned}$$

which yields

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{Q} &= \gamma_- p_z, \\ \dot{P} &= -\delta(y p_z + z p_y) - \gamma_- p_y.\end{aligned}$$

The quantities P and Q correspond to dual polar coordinates on fibers, which are well defined provided $y^2 + z^2 \neq 0$. The equilibrium points can be easily computed. If $\gamma_- \neq 0$, one has $p_z = 0$. Hence $\dot{p}_y = 0$ gives $p_y = 0$ if $\Gamma \neq 0$. In addition, $\dot{y} = 0$ yields $y = 0$ and $\dot{z} = 0$ implies $z = \gamma_-/\gamma_+$. This corresponds to the equilibrium point of the free motion. Additional critical points can occur at infinity. Indeed, due to the dissipation, the Poisson-stable point does not exist and from the Hopf theorem almost every point is departing [12]. Since the state variables remain bounded, we deduce that the adjoint vector $|p| \rightarrow \infty$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$. To analyze this behavior, one can use the Poincaré compactification

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{y} &= -\Gamma y w^2 + z(z p_y - y p_z), \\ \dot{z} &= \gamma_- w^3 - \gamma_+ w^2 + y(y p_z - z p_y), \\ \dot{p}_y &= \Gamma p_y w^2 + p_z(z p_y - y p_z), \\ \dot{p}_z &= \gamma_+ p_z w^2 + (y p_z - z p_y) p_y, \\ \dot{w} &= 1.\end{aligned}$$

Another way to describe this phenomenon is to parametrize the adjoint variables as follows:

$$p_y = \varrho \cos \vartheta, \quad p_z = \varrho \sin \vartheta,$$

where (ϱ, ϑ) define a coordinate system on fibers if $\varrho \neq 0$, and

$$\varrho \dot{\varrho} = \Gamma p_y^2 + \gamma_+ p_z^2.$$

Then we have

$$\Gamma p_y^2 + \gamma_+ p_z^2 \geq \gamma_+ \varrho^2$$

since $2\Gamma \geq \gamma_+ \geq 0$. In particular, $\varrho(t) \geq e^{\gamma_+ t} \varrho(0)$, and hence $|p| \rightarrow +\infty$ if $t \rightarrow +\infty$, provided $\varrho(0) \neq 0$. For the new variables we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\dot{\varrho} &= \varrho (\Gamma + \delta \sin^2 \vartheta), \\ \dot{\vartheta} &= \delta \sin(2\vartheta)/2 + P, \\ \dot{P} &= \delta Q - \gamma_- \varrho \cos \vartheta, \\ \dot{Q} &= \gamma_- \varrho \sin \vartheta.\end{aligned}$$

In this representation P is the control. Numerical simulations can be used to analyze the limit behaviors of P and Q . They are represented in Fig. 10.

Fig. 10. Evolution of the reduced coordinates P (top), Q (middle) and (p_y, p_z) (bottom). Numerical values are taken to be $\Gamma = 5$, $\gamma_+ = 2$, $\gamma_- = -1$, $\rho(0) = 1$, and $\theta(0) = 0$.

8. Summary of the Results and Possible Extensions

Above we presented a detailed analysis of the flat 2D optimal solutions of the energy minimization problem for a two-level dissipative quantum control system described by (1). It is a control system of affine type and the behavior of its extremals is very intricate, but the integrability of the problem in the case $\gamma_- = 0$ allows one to compute explicitly the exponential mapping in terms of the Jacobi elliptic functions. In the present paper we use this fact in order to obtain an explicit characterization of the cut and conjugate loci of the problem. At the end of a quite nontrivial computation, we found an implicit equation describing the conjugate points along one specific class of the normal extremals, namely, for the short periodic orbits, and obtain sharp estimates for the corresponding first conjugate times. Due to the high complexity of the problem, in order to construct the optimal synthesis of the 2D case we then use numerical simulations. Finally, we also provided a first insight into the asymptotic behavior of the flat optimal solutions in the general nonintegrable case.

In our further study we plan to extend our methodology in order to characterize all classes of the solutions of the 2D flat integrable problem. We also plan to adopt our method of computation to the spherical case and to obtain analytical estimates for the conjugate times in this case. This would be an important step toward understanding the structure of the optimal trajectories of the general 3D problem.

9. Appendix

Here we list the explicit formulas for $x(t) = \sin^2 \varphi(t)$, $\theta(t)$ and $r(t)$ along the normal trajectories of the energy minimization problem for (1) in the integrable case $\gamma_- = 0$. They are obtained via direct integration of the natural mechanical system (7) and the additional equations (8) as described in Sec. 4. According to the different values of the constants of motion p_θ , p_r and h , and of the dissipation parameters Γ and δ , we have to distinguish between 3D trajectories and 2D trajectories lying in the meridian planes of the Bloch ball. The corresponding dynamics of the φ -variable is illustrated in Figs. 1–3.

I. 3D case: $\gamma_- = 0$, $p_\theta \neq 0$.

Ia. Case $\delta^2 > p_\theta^2 - 2\delta p_r$ (Fig. 1, left).

- * According to our phase portraits classification, there are three parallel orbits corresponding to $\varphi_*^1 = \arcsin \sqrt{x_*}$, $\varphi_*^2 = \pi - \arcsin \sqrt{x_*}$, and $\varphi_*^0 = \frac{\pi}{2}$, x_* being the unique positive real root of the equation $W'(x_*) = 0$. The first two of this orbits lie on the level sets $\bar{h} = W(x_*)$, and the third one on $\bar{h} = W(1) = \frac{p_\theta^2}{2} + \delta p_r$.
- * On each level set $\bar{h} \in (W(x_*), W(1))$ there are two families of small periodic solutions, symmetrically placed with respect to the equatorial plane and centered at $\varphi_*^{1,2}$, respectively. We have $0 < x_1 < x_2 < 1$,

$$k^2 = \frac{(1-x_3)(x_2-x_1)}{(x_2-x_3)(1-x_1)}, \quad M = \sqrt{\delta^2(1-x_1)(x_2-x_3)},$$

$$x(t) = \frac{-x_1(x_2-x_3) + x_3(x_2-x_1)z^2(t)}{-(x_2-x_3) + (x_2-x_1)z^2(t)}, \quad \varphi(t) = \arcsin \sqrt{x(t)},$$

while the remaining variables are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(t) - \theta(0) &= \frac{(1-x_3)p_\theta t}{x_3} + \frac{p_\theta(x_3-x_1)}{x_1 x_3 M} \left(\Pi \left[\frac{x_3(x_2-x_1)}{x_1(x_2-x_3)}, \text{am}(Mt + \psi_0, k), k \right] - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \Pi \left[\frac{x_3(x_2-x_1)}{x_1(x_2-x_3)}, \text{am}(\psi_0, k), k \right] \right), \\ r(t) - r(0) &= (\delta x_3 - \gamma_+)t + \frac{\delta(x_1-x_3)}{M} \left(\Pi \left[\frac{x_2-x_1}{x_2-x_3}, \text{am}(Mt + \psi_0, k), k \right] - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \Pi \left[\frac{x_2-x_1}{x_2-x_3}, \text{am}(\psi_0, k), k \right] \right); \end{aligned}$$

- * each level set $\bar{h} > W(1)$ contains a unique long periodic trajectory crossing the equatorial plane. It is characterized by $0 < x_1 < 1 < x_2$ and thus admits the following parametrization:

$$k^2 = \frac{(x_2-x_3)(1-x_1)}{(1-x_3)(x_2-x_1)}, \quad M = \sqrt{\delta^2(x_2-x_1)(1-x_3)},$$

$$x(t) = \frac{-x_1(1-x_3) + x_3(1-x_1)z^2(t)}{-(1-x_3) + (1-x_1)z^2(t)}.$$

Since these orbits cross the equatorial plane, $\varphi(t)$ has to be computed using either arcsin or $\pi - \arcsin$ in order to obtain an analytic function. For θ and r we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\theta(t) - \theta(0) &= \frac{(1-x_3)p_\theta t}{x_3} + \frac{p_\theta(x_3-x_1)}{x_1 x_3 M} \left(\Pi \left[\frac{x_3(1-x_1)}{x_1(1-x_3)}, \text{am}(Mt + \psi_0, k), k \right] - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \Pi \left[\frac{x_3(1-x_1)}{x_1(1-x_3)}, \text{am}(\psi_0, k), k \right] \right), \\ r(t) - r(0) &= (\delta x_3 - \gamma_+)t - \frac{b(x_1-x_3)}{M} \left(\Pi \left[\frac{1-x_1}{1-x_3}, \text{am}(Mt + \psi_0, k), k \right] - \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \Pi \left[\frac{1-x_1}{1-x_3}, \text{am}(\psi_0, k), k \right] \right); \end{aligned}$$

* the level set $\bar{h} = W(1)$ also carries on separatrices, which can be computed as limit cases for the two families of periodic orbits since $\lim_{k \rightarrow 1} \text{sn}(u, k) = \tanh u$.

Ib. Case $\delta^2 \leq p_\theta^2 - 2\delta p_r$ (Fig. 1, right). There only two types of solutions: parallel orbits $\varphi = \pi/2$ lying in the equatorial plane, and long periodic trajectories. Their parametrization is the same as in the case Ia.

II. Meridian 2D case: $\gamma_- = 0$, $p_\theta = 0$. As we have shown above, new classes of motion can appear. In addition, we have $x_3 = 0$ and

$$x_1 = a - \sqrt{a^2 + \frac{2\bar{h}}{\delta^2}}, \quad x_2 = a + \sqrt{a^2 + \frac{2\bar{h}}{\delta^2}}.$$

IIa. $0 < |p_r| < \frac{|\delta|}{2}$ (Fig. 2).

* Parallel solutions: For all values of p_r on the level sets $\bar{h} = \delta p_r$ and $\bar{h} = 0$ we have parallel solutions $\varphi = 0$ and $\varphi = \pi/2$, which in the meridian case results in horizontal and vertical axes on the yz -plane. In addition, on the level set $\bar{h} = -\delta^2 a^2/2$ we have a couple of solutions characterized by $\varphi = \pm \arcsin \sqrt{a} \mod \pi$, which define a straight line in each quadrant of the yz -plane. These lines correspond to $\pi/4$ if $pr = -\delta/2$;

* Short orbits $-\delta^2 a^2/2 < \bar{h} < \delta p_r < 0$ or $-\delta^2 a^2/2 < \bar{h} < 0 < \delta p_r$, and $0 < x_1 < x_2 < 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}x(t) &= \frac{x_1 x_2}{x_2 - (x_2 - x_1) \text{sn}^2(Mt + \psi_0, k)}, \\ r(t) - r(0) &= \frac{\delta x_1}{M} \left(\Pi \left(\frac{x_2 - x_1}{x_2}, \text{am}(Mt + \psi_0, k), k \right) - \Pi \left(\frac{x_2 - x_1}{x_2}, \text{am}(\psi_0, k), k \right) \right) - \gamma_+ t, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$M^2 = \delta^2 x_2 (1 - x_1), \quad k^2 = \frac{x_2 - x_1}{x_2 (1 - x_1)}.$$

The period of this class of orbits is $\frac{2K(k)}{M}$.

* Long orbits $\delta p_r < \bar{h} < 0$ and $0 < x_1 < 1 < x_2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}x(t) &= \frac{x_1}{1 - (1 - x_1) \text{sn}^2(Mt + \psi_0, k)}, \\ r(t) - r(0) &= \frac{\delta x_1}{M} \left(\Pi(1 - x_1, \text{am}(Mt + \psi_0, k), k) - \Pi(1 - x_1, \text{am}(\psi_0, k), k) \right) - \gamma_+ t, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$M^2 = \delta^2 (x_2 - x_1), \quad k^2 = \frac{x_2(1 - x_1)}{x_2 - x_1}.$$

These orbits are periodic with the period $\frac{4K(k)}{M}$.

- * Long orbits $0 < \bar{h} < \delta p_r$. This case is analog to the previous one up to shifting along the φ -axis. More precisely, we have $x_1 < 0 < x_2 < 1$, and

$$x(t) = 1 - \frac{1 - x_2}{1 - x_2 \operatorname{sn}^2(Mt + \psi_0, k)},$$

$$r(t) - r(0) = -\frac{\delta(1 - x_2)}{M} (\Pi(x_2, \operatorname{am}(Mt + \psi_0, k), k) - \Pi(x_2, \operatorname{am}(\psi_0, k), k)) - \Gamma t,$$

for M and k as in the previous case.

- * Rotations: $\delta p_r < 0 < \bar{h}$ or $0 < \delta p_r < \bar{h}$, and $x_1 < 0 < 1 < x_2$. Then

$$x(t) = \frac{x_1 \operatorname{sn}^2(Mt + \psi_0, k)}{\operatorname{sn}^2(Mt + \psi_0, k) - 1 + x_1},$$

$$r(t) - r(0) = -\frac{\delta x_1}{M} \left(\Pi\left(\frac{1}{1 - x_1}, \operatorname{am}(Mt + \psi_0, k), k\right) - \Pi\left(\frac{1}{1 - x_1}, \operatorname{am}(\psi_0, k), k\right) \right) + (-\gamma_+ t + \delta x_1)t,$$

for

$$M^2 = \delta^2 x_2 (1 - x_1), \quad k^2 = \frac{x_2 - x_1}{x_2 (1 - x_1)}.$$

- * Separatrices. There are two classes of separatrices that divide short and long periodic orbits, and long periodic orbits and rotations respectively. They are characterized by $x_1 = 0$ or $x_2 = 1$. The explicit parametrization can be obtained as a limit case of the above formulas as $k \rightarrow 1$.

IIb. $|p_r| \geq |\delta|/2$ (see Fig. 3, left and right). For this values of parameters there are no small periodic orbits. More precisely, they are:

- * Parallel solutions: as before, on the level sets $\bar{h} = \delta p_r$ and $\bar{h} = 0$ we have parallel solutions $\varphi = 0$ and $\varphi = \pi/2$, which in the meridian case results horizontal and vertical axes on the yz -plane;
- * There are two families of long orbits: centered at $\pi/2$ (if $p_r/\delta < -1/2$) and at 0 (if $p_r/\delta < 1/2$), existing on the level sets $\bar{h} < \delta p_r < 0$ and $0 < \bar{h} < \delta p_r$. Their explicit parametrization is the same as for the two classes of long orbits described in IIa;
- * Rotational motions live on the level sets $\bar{h} > \delta p_r > 0$ and $\bar{h} < \delta p_r < 0$. They can be parametrized as rotations in case IIa.

IIc. $p_r = 0$ (see Fig. 3, center). In this case, there are no long periodic orbits, and all quadrants of the yz -plane are symmetric. In each quadrant there are radial solutions corresponding to the equilibrium points $\varphi = \pi/4 \bmod \pi/2$ lying on the level set $\bar{h} = -\delta^2/8$, which give rise to families of short periodic orbits. In addition, there are equilibrium points of hyperbolic type $\varphi = 0 \bmod \pi/2$ on the level set $\bar{h} = 0$. The level sets $\bar{h} > 0$ carry on rotations. The parameterization of these trajectories is analogous to the case IIa.

REFERENCES

1. M. Abramowitz and I. A. Stegun, *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, Dover Publications, New York (1964).
2. A. Agrachev, U. Boscain, and M. Sigalotti, “A Gauss–Bonnet-like formula on two-dimensional almost Riemannian manifolds,” *Discr. Contin. Dynam. Syst. A*, **20**, 801–822 (2008).
3. B. Bonnard and M. Chyba, “Singular trajectories and their role in control theory,” in: *Math. Appl.*, **40**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2003).
4. B. Bonnard and J.-B. Caillaud, *Singular Metrics on the Two-Sphere in Space Mechanics*, HAL (2008).

5. B. Bonnard, O. Cots, N. Shcherbakova, and D. Sugny, “The energy minimization problem for two-level dissipative quantum systems,” *J. Math. Phys.*, **51** (2010), DOI: 10.1063/1.3479390.
6. B. Bonnard, J.-B. Caillaud, and O. Cots, “Energy minimization in two-level dissipative quantum control: the integrable case,” in: *Proc. 8th AIMS Conf. on Dynamical Systems, Differential Equations and Applications*, Dresden (2011), pp. 198–208.
7. U. Boscain and P. Mason, “Time minimal trajectories for a spin 1/2 particle in a magnetic field,” *J. Math. Phys.*, **47**, No. 6 (2006).
8. J. B. Caillaud, O. Cots, and J. Gergaud, *Hampath*, apo.enseeiht.fr/hampath.
9. M. P. do Carmo, *Riemannian Geometry*, Birkhäuser, Boston (1992).
10. H. T. Davies, *Introduction to Nonlinear Differential and Integral Equations*, Dover Publications, New York (1962).
11. D. F. Lawden, *Elliptic Functions and Applications*, Springer-Verlag, New York (1989).
12. V. V. Nemytskii and V. V. Stepanov, *Qualitative Theory of Differential Equations*, Princeton Univ. Press (1960).

B. Bonnard

Institut de Mathématiques de Bourgogne and ENSEEIHT-IRIT INP Toulouse, France

O. Cots

Institut de Mathématiques de Bourgogne and ENSEEIHT-IRIT INP Toulouse, France

N. Shcherbakova

Institut de Mathématiques de Bourgogne and ENSEEIHT-IRIT INP Toulouse, France

E-mail: nshcherb@enseeiht.fr