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Abstract:
A metal matrix composite has been obtained by a novel synthesis route, reacting Al3Ti and graphite at 1000°C for about 1 min after ball-milling and compaction. The resulting composite is made of an aluminium matrix reinforced by nanometer sized TiC particles (average diameter 70 nm). The average TiC/Al ratio is 34.6 wt.% (22.3 vol.%). The microstructure consists of an intimate mixture of two domains, an unreinforced domain made of the Al solid solution with a low TiC reinforcement content, and a reinforced domain. This composite exhibits uncommon mechanical properties with regard to previous micrometer sized Al-TiC composites and to its high reinforcement volume fraction, with a Young’s modulus of ~110 GPa, an ultimate tensile strength of about 500 MPa and a maximum elongation of 6%.
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1. Introduction

Thanks to their high specific modulus (E/ρ) and yield stress (σy/ρ), Metal Matrix Composites (MMCs) have attracted attention from researchers and industry as being unique materials for high-tech applications such as in the aerospace and automotive sectors. Compared with those of lightweight alloys (Aluminium, Magnesium and Titanium alloys), the mechanical properties of MMCs, such as strength and stiffness, are improved by the use of ceramic reinforcement. Two types of MMC have been developed over the past few decades: fiber-reinforced MMCs that are anisotropic with excellent longitudinal mechanical properties, and particle-reinforced MMCs with isotropic properties [1,2]. Among the commonly used reinforcements (carbon(C), boron nitride (BN), silicon carbide (SiC), titanium carbide (TiC), aluminium oxide (Al2O3)), TiC has been recognized as one of the most important for metal matrix composite materials due to its excellent properties (such as high hardness and high temperature stability for example). Moreover, it has been demonstrated that if the removal of the native oxide layer of TiC is ensured, good wetting and thus good adhesion can occur at the interface with metals [3,4] because a significant part of the cohesion of this carbide is assured by metallic bonds [5].

There are two different routes for achieving an Al-TiC metal matrix composite, which have been intensively explored in the literature. The first is the liquid route, that consists in mixing TiC or Ti+C powder with an Al melt using different technological processes such as stir casting or flux-assisted casting [6-18]. The second is the powder metallurgy route, starting either from a mixture of elemental Al+Ti+C powders [19,21], a mixture of Al+TiC [22] or a mixture of intermetallic compounds such as titanium tri-aluminide (Al₃Ti) and aluminium carbide (Al₄C₃) [20,21]. In this last case, when the starting materials are different from Al and TiC, the synthesis route is called “reactive
synthesis” because Al and TiC are formed in situ from selected precursors. It is to be noted that intermediate routes have also been investigated, as for example with the reaction of carbonaceous gas with Ti dissolved in an Al melt [17,23-25] or the reaction of Al₄C₃ with Ti dissolved in an Al melt [18,20].

With regard to the mechanical properties of pure aluminium (E = 70 GPa, σₑ₀.₂% = 70 MPa, UTS = 89 MPa, elongation = 33% [8]), it has been demonstrated that, whatever the process used, the Al-TiC composites produced have an improved Young’s modulus, ranging from 87 GPa (10 vol.% TiC, [26]) to 105 GPa (20 vol.%. TiC, [27]). Moreover, a quasi linear dependence of the Young’s modulus as a function of the volume fraction of TiC is observed. The strength properties evaluated by the proof stress (σₑ₀.₂%) and the ultimate tensile strength (UTS) show a significant improvement compared with that of pure aluminium. UTS ranges from 109 MPa (10 vol.% TiC, [26]) to 239 MPa (20 vol.% TiC, [27]) and clearly depends on the volume fraction of TiC.

According to the literature review, precise control of the Al-TiC interface is the first key parameter [28] to achieve improved mechanical properties. In the best case, the Al-TiC interface is abrupt at the atomic scale [29]. However, this can only be obtained after a crucial control of the synthesis parameters. Among the main difficulties that can be encountered to produce Al-TiC composites with a strong interface one can cite (i) the presence of oxygen coming either from oxidized starting powder particles (matrix or reinforcement) or from the atmosphere and (ii) the formation of secondary phases at the interface, such as Al₄C₃ or Al₃Ti. Even though this may lead to an increase in mechanical properties [27,29,30], the presence of Al₄C₃ is detrimental with regard to the corrosion resistance of the composite [12]. Finally, clustering of particles was also commonly observed as a major source of weak points in the microstructure of the composite, where failure will propagate preferentially [12,15,17,26,31-33]. This clustering phenomenon is emphasized when the particle volume fraction increases and
is associated with a decrease in the maximum elongation: from 25% (10 vol.% TiC, [26]) to 7% (20 vol.% TiC, [27]).

As a consequence, the development of particulate-reinforced Al matrix composites with high mechanical strength and without any detrimental degradation of the damage tolerance properties (toughness, elongation) is still a key point to improve their properties and extend the number of their possible applications.

In this paper we report the microstructure and mechanical properties of a MMC Al-TiC composite with nanometer-sized TiC reinforcement obtained by a novel “reactive synthesis” route of ball-milled Al₃Ti+C+Al. The study is focused on the characterization of the composite obtained and on the correlation between its mechanical properties and the observed microstructure.

2. Materials and methods

2.1 Material synthesis

Al-TiC metal matrix composite was prepared using Al₃Ti (Goodfellow, 99.5% purity) and graphite powders (Carbone Lorraine, 99% purity). In addition, aluminium (Goodfellow, 99.9% purity) was added and the proportions of Al₃Ti, Al and graphite were chosen to obtain a final Al-TiC composite with 22 vol.%TiC. The powders were ball milled using a horizontal attritor (CM01, Zoz GmbH). The milling atmosphere was helium. The very reactive ball-milled powders were discharged in a purified argon glove box (Jacomex) to prevent oxidation. In order to prepare the bulk material, the as-milled powders were introduced into a mold, which was outgassed and then sealed into the glove box. Hydrostatic pressure (0.2 GPa) was then applied to the rod. After removing the mold, the green compact was heated for a few minutes at 1000°C in a

Protective atmosphere leading to the formation of the Al-TiC nanocomposite.

According to Viala et al., the reaction between precursor materials occurs above 812°C and leads to the formation of liquid Al and solid TiC:

$$\text{Al}_3\text{Ti} + C \leftrightarrow 3\text{Al} + \text{TiC}$$

It should be emphasized that this reaction is highly exothermic with a $\Delta H$ of reaction that is about -101.7 kJ/mol at 1300°C [34]. Finally, a fully dense material was obtained by means of a hot extrusion process using a 13 kbar vertical hydraulic press. The Al-TiC rod was loaded in a copper can (outer diameter 43mm) which was then pre-heated at 500°C for 30 minutes before being extruded into a bar at a speed of 25 mm/s with a section reduction ratio of 8:1. Copper was then removed by machining. More details about Al-TiC MMC synthesis can be found elsewhere [35].

2.2. Material characterization

The TiC powder was extracted from the Al-TiC composite by selective acid dissolution of Al using a HCl solution (18.5 vol.%), washed following six cycles of settling and permuted water rinsing and finally collected after drying. The powder recovered after dissolution of the composite is called “residual material” in the following.

For phase identification, high quality X-ray powder diffraction data were collected at room temperature with a Panalytical MPD Pro diffractometer, in the parafocusing Bragg-Brentano geometry, using Cu K$_{\alpha_1-\alpha_2}$ radiations (average $\lambda = 1.54187$ Å). The diffraction patterns were collected over the angular range 20-94°(2θ) with a counting time of 200 s.step$^{-1}$ and a step size of 0.008°(2θ). Phase identification in Figure 1 refers to the following ICDD data: Al (00-004-0787), TiC (00-032-1383), Al$_2$O$_3$ (00-046-1212), Al$_4$C$_3$ (00-035-0799), Al$_3$Ti (00-037-1449).

For microstructure, particle shape, particle size distribution and fracture surface
observations, scanning electron microscopy was carried out using a SEM FEI Quanta 250 FEG equipped with both a secondary electron detector and a backscattered electron detector (10 keV, 8x10⁻⁶ mbar, working distance 5 mm). For the phase composition, a working distance of 10 mm, an accelerating voltage of 10 keV and a counting time of 30 s were selected as standard operating parameters to analyze Al, Ti, C and O by EDS. The EDS measurements were subtracted for background and the quantitative analysis was deduced from the electronic standard after PAP corrections.

The foils for TEM were ground on a diamond grinder to a thickness of about 10 µm and then thinned by the standard ion beam thinning method. TEM observations were performed using a JEOL 3010 microscope.

Image analysis was carried out using AnalySIS Software (Soft Imaging System Gmbh, www.soft-imaging.net). The particle size distribution is the result of more than 2000 diameter measurements in order to improve the statistic. The size of the particles for the TEM observations was described using Feret’s statistical diameter [36]. The biggest dimension of the particle, associated with Feret’s diameter, was measured as well as the smallest, leading to two particle size distributions for TEM image analysis. The phase proportion and the fraction of TiC particles were determined by threshold analysis of the SEM observations and the results given as a percentage of surface area.

Al-TiC composite density was determined by using an AccuPyc 1330 pycnometer from MicroMeritics (http://www.micromeritics.com). Three sets of 10 measurements each were carried out on the MMC powder. On average, the MMC has a density of 3.178±0.002 g.cm⁻³. This value has been used in this work to calculate the volume fraction of reinforcement.

2.3. Mechanical testing

Tensile testing was performed on metal matrix composite specimens machined
from the composite bulk after heat treatment and extrusion (normalized cylindrical shape, 3 mm diameter, length of the reduced section = 19 mm). The crosshead motion was fixed at 2 mm.min$^{-1}$ and the specimen elongation was measured using an extensometer (gauge length = 15 mm). The Young’s modulus, proof stress ($\sigma_{e0.2\%}$), ultimate tensile strength (UTS) and maximum elongation were deduced from the mechanical tests.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Analytical characterizations of the Al-TiC composite

3.1.1. Phase identification

Figure 1 presents the XRD pattern obtained from the as-formed Al-TiC composite. Aluminium and titanium carbide are clearly identified as the main phases of the composite. $\text{Al}_3\text{Ti}$, $\text{Al}_4\text{C}_3$ and $\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3$ are also observed as secondary phases.

![XRD pattern](image)

**Figure 1.** XRD pattern of the Al-TiC composite.
As illustrated on a representative view of the Al-TiC composite microstructure (Figure 2), two main domains are identified. First, the darker grey domain in Figure 2 that mainly consists in the Al-rich solid solution with only few TiC particles and that will therefore be referred to as the “unreinforced domain” in the following. Second, some discontinuous lighter grey areas, with a typical size of 35µm, are characterized by a high volume fraction of TiC particles embedded in the Al matrix. These areas will be considered in the following as “reinforced domains”.

![Representative view of Al-TiC composite microstructure (x1000). Phase identification was performed by EDS measurements.](image)

**Figure 2.** Representative view of Al-TiC composite microstructure (x1000). Phase identification was performed by EDS measurements.

Figures 3.a and b present high magnification SEM observations of the two different domains. Figure 3.a confirms the very low content of TiC particles in the unreinforced domain, estimated at ~ 8% from image analysis. In contrast, in the reinforced domain, the fraction of TiC particles was estimated at ~ 82% from image analysis of Figure 3.b. It is interesting to note that despite the high volume fraction of TiC in this domain, the TiC particles are still individualized and separated by thin veins of Al solid solution, i.e.
no clustering was evidenced by SEM observations.

**Figure 3.** a) High magnification view of the unreinforced domain (x30000). b) High magnification view of the reinforced domain (x60000).

In addition, the secondary phases Al₃Ti, Al₄C₃, C and Al₂O₃ detected by XRD (Figure 1) were also observed in the composite section (Figure 2). Faceted Al₂O₃ crystals of ~ 2 micron average size are homogeneously distributed in the composite
section. Al$_4$C$_3$ crystals are well faceted whereas graphite has a jagged appearance. Only a few crystals of Al$_3$Ti were observed in the composite section.

The proportion of the different domains and secondary phases (percentage of surface covered by the phases) was estimated by threshold image analysis of Figure 2. On average, the Al-TiC composite microstructure consists of 28.2% of unreinforced domain and 68.4% of reinforced domain. Al$_2$O$_3$ and Al$_4$C$_3$-graphite secondary phases are in minor proportions with 2.3% and 1.1% respectively.

Figure 4 shows the microstructure of the reinforced domains observed by TEM. The particles, of sub-micrometer size, are individualized and surrounded by Al solid solution, i.e. no particle clustering was evidenced by TEM observations. The particles are well faceted, with shapes varying from squares to triangles, pentagons or hexagons. These 2D shape observations are characteristic of a 3D cubic shape, in accordance with the NaCl type crystal structure of TiC. In addition, there is no apparent intermediate phase formed at the interface between the Al matrix and the TiC particles. TiC particles are also localized at the Al solid solution grain boundaries in both the reinforced and unreinforced domains. Due to the high volume fraction of TiC particles in the reinforced domain, a refinement of Al solid solution grain size was observed.
Figure 4. TEM image of Al-TiC composite reinforced domain.

Based on these observations, a scenario can be proposed to explain the formation of the composite microstructure. In a first step, the pure Al powder used for dilution melts at about 660°C. Then, liquid Al acts as a getter for oxygen present in the powder compact leading to the formation of the large faceted single crystals of alumina, nucleated from the melt, that are observed as secondary phases in the microstructure. Next, when the temperature exceeds 812°C, Al$_3$Ti and C strongly reacts to form liquid Al and TiC corresponding to the observed reinforced domains. Considering the high value of the enthalpy of reaction (-101.7 kJ/mol at 1300°C), one can consider a nearly self-heated synthesis reaction and therefore a high reaction rate. The faceted shape of TiC crystals observed on TEM micrograph can be explained by the formation of these particles from a nucleation/growth mechanism in the Al liquid phase produced by the interaction between Al$_3$Ti and graphite. Finally, the presence of Al$_4$C$_3$, graphite and Al$_3$Ti as secondary phases can be explained by the short heat treatment time that can lead locally to an uncompleted reaction. Detailed discussion on the mechanism of
chemical reaction leading to formation of the Al-TiC composite will be the subject of another publication.

3.2. Analytical characterizations of the TiC particles

In order to characterize the reinforcement in the composite, three specimens were dissolved in hydrochloric acid and the residual material, containing the TiC particles, was collected and analyzed. Table 1 reports the mass of composite dissolved and the mass of residual material recovered for the three specimens.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>TiC Mass of composite (mg)</th>
<th>TiC Mass of residual material (mg)</th>
<th>%DRX</th>
<th>Calculated mass of TiC (mg)</th>
<th>wt.%TiC in the composite</th>
<th>%DRX</th>
<th>Calculated mass of Al₂O₃ (mg)</th>
<th>wt.%Al₂O₃ in the composite</th>
<th>%DRX</th>
<th>Calculated mass of C (mg)</th>
<th>wt.%C in the composite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>411.39</td>
<td>151.10</td>
<td>92.9</td>
<td>140.37</td>
<td>34.10</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>8.01</td>
<td>1.95</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.72</td>
<td>0.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>760.08</td>
<td>283.84</td>
<td>92.9</td>
<td>263.69</td>
<td>34.69</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>15.04</td>
<td>1.98</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>5.11</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>270.70</td>
<td>101.88</td>
<td>92.9</td>
<td>94.65</td>
<td>34.96</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.40</td>
<td>1.99</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.97</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Mass of TiC = %TiC from XRD * Mass of residual material.
b wt%TiC in the composite = Mass of TiC / Mass of composite.
c Mass of Al₂O₃ = %Al₂O₃ from XRD * Mass of residual material.
d wt% Al₂O₃ in the composite = Mass of Al₂O₃ / Mass of composite.
e Mass of C = %C from XRD * Mass of residual material.
f wt% C in the composite = Mass of C / Mass of composite.

3.2.1. X-ray diffraction

Through dissolution of the matrix it is possible to remove residual stress due to

the thermal expansion mismatch effect as the composite cools after heat treatment, improve the signal to noise ratio and reveal secondary phases present in small quantities. As illustrated in Figure 5, X-ray diffraction reveals the presence of TiC but also Al$_2$O$_3$ and graphite in the residual material. It should be noted that, in comparison with the Al-TiC composite XRD pattern (see Figure 1), Al, Al$_3$Ti and Al$_4$C$_3$ were not observed because of their dissolution in hydrochloridric acid during extraction of the TiC particles. The evidence of graphite is correlated with the presence of Al$_3$Ti in the composite (Figure 2) and seems to indicate that the reaction is not complete. In addition, phase quantification was carried out by Rietveld refinement ($R_p = 7.81\%, R_{wp} = 10.4\%, R_{exp} = 8.54\%, \chi^2=1.71$). The weight fractions of TiC, Al$_2$O$_3$ and C in the residual material were 92.9%, 5.3% and 1.8% respectively.

![XRD pattern and Rietveld refinement](image)

**Figure 5.** XRD pattern and Rietveld refinement of the residual material.

3.2.2. Volume fraction of TiC in the composite

As evidenced by XRD, the residual material contained TiC, Al$_2$O$_3$ and C. The
masse of each phase in the residual material after dissolution of samples 1, 2 and 3 was calculated from the weight percentages determined by Rietveld refinement of the pattern presented in Figure 5 (Table 1). Thus, the weight fraction of C in the composite was calculated to be 0.67 wt.% and the average weight fraction of TiC particles in the composite was 34.6 wt.%. Given the density of TiC and the Al-TiC composite, the corresponding volume fraction of TiC was calculated to be 22.3 vol.%. The measured volume fraction of TiC is in very good agreement with the one expected based on the proportion of precursor materials (22 vol.%).

3.2.3. TiC particle size distribution

The particle size distribution (PSD) of the TiC particles was determined by image analysis on SEM and TEM micrographs. SEM observations were carried out on the residual material (solid powder) after dissolution of the Al-TiC composite in HCl, rinsing and drying of the particle suspension. The SEM particle size distribution (SEM-PSD) is shown in Figure 6.a. TEM observations were carried out on Al-TiC composite thin foils using Feret’s statistical diameter [36] and the TEM particle size distributions (TEM-PSD) are illustrated in Figure 6.b.
Figure 6. Particle size distributions: a) from SEM observations of the residual material and, b) from TEM observations of thin Al-TiC composite foil according to Feret’s statistical analysis (black bars = maximum diameter, empty bars = minimum diameter).

Convolution of the particle size distributions obtained by the two different characterization techniques leads to two main conclusions: i) the average particle size was estimated to be 70 nm, ii) the particles have a narrow size distribution, 88% of them being between 40 and 120 nm in diameter. Again, this narrow distribution with nanometer-size particles has to be related to the in-situ formation of TiC particles by reactive synthesis. In fact, according to the mechanism of reaction observed by Viala et al. on Al$_3$Ti/C diffusion couples [37], the first step of the chemical interaction between Al$_3$Ti and C leads to the formation of an Al liquid layer. Next, the reaction proceeds by diffusion of Ti through this liquid phase from the Al$_3$Ti crystals to the graphite phase. As a consequence, TiC particles nucleate from a saturated liquid melt at low
temperature and the heat treatment being sufficiently short to avoid a significant growth, most of the particles have the same size leading to the narrow distribution presented in Figure 6.

3.3. Mechanical properties of the Al-TiC composite

The mechanical properties of the Al-TiC composite, following tensile tests performed on three specimens, are summarized in Table 2 and the values of the maximum elongation is reported in Figure 7 (solid squares).

### Table 2. Mechanical properties of the Al-TiC composite

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Young’s modulus (GPa)</th>
<th>( \sigma_{e0.2%} ) (^{a}) (MPa)</th>
<th>UTS (^{b}) (MPa)</th>
<th>Elongation (^{c}) (%)</th>
<th>Failure Energy, K* (J.cm(^{-3}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T1</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>442</td>
<td>481</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>26.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T2</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>456</td>
<td>498</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>28.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T3</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>493</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>30.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average</strong></td>
<td><strong>106</strong></td>
<td><strong>451</strong></td>
<td><strong>491</strong></td>
<td><strong>6.0</strong></td>
<td><strong>28.4</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Std. Dev.</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Error (%)</strong></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\) \( \sigma_{e0.2\%} \) = proof stress

\(^{b}\) UTS=ultimate tensile strength

\(^{c}\) Maximum plastic elongation determined with the extensometer

\(^{d}\) Std. Dev. = Standard deviation

\(^{e}\) Error = ((Std.Dev.)/Average)*100
Figure 7. Maximum elongation as a function of the volume fraction of TiC. The dashed line illustrates the decrease in maximum elongation as a function of vol.%TiC. The result of the present work (solid square) was not considered in plotting the dashed line.

On average, the new Al-TiC composite presents a Young’s modulus of 106 GPa and a maximum elongation of 6.0%. The influence of the TiC particles is clearly demonstrated by comparison with the mechanical properties of pure Al (E = 70 GPa, \( \sigma_{\varepsilon=0.2\%} = 70 \) MPa, UTS = 89 MPa, elongation = 33% [8]). In addition, the Young’s modulus of the present Al-TiC composite is close to the upper limit calculated from the Hashin-Shtrikman model [38,39], respectively 106 and 105 GPa. This might suggest that the in situ reactive synthesis of TiC particles leads to strong interfacial bonding and the attendant load transfer. This is in good accordance with the abrupt interface observed by TEM (Figure 4.a).

In addition, there is a huge increase in \( \sigma_{\varepsilon=0.2\%} \) and UTS, associated with a decrease in maximum elongation, in comparison with pure Al. According to Figure 7, extrapolation of data from the literature (dashed line) suggests that a composite with 22 vol.% of reinforcing particles should have a brittle behavior with an elongation nearly equal to 0.
Therefore, the experimental elongation of 6% obtained in the present study should be highlighted (Table 2 and solid square on Figure 7).

Regarding the Ultimate Tensile Strength measured on the composite (UTS = 491 MPa, Table 2), a 105% increase is observed compared with the best UTS measured in the literature (239 MPa for 20 vol.%TiC [27]).

In the case of a metal matrix composite, it is quite common to observe that the expected increase in mechanical strength is accompanied by an unwanted decrease in elongation (Figure 7), characterizing a transition toward a brittle behavior. To illustrate the compromise between strength properties and ductility, an Ashby map with failure energy as a function of tensile strength is commonly used. In the present study the failure energy, $K^*$, is calculated using eq. 1.

Eq.1  

$K^*=(\sigma_{0.2\%}+\text{UTS})\times\text{Max}_{\text{elong}}/2.$

Figure 8 presents the literature results in terms of compromise between strength and failure energy. From these, it appears that the failure energy decreases while the volume fraction of TiC reinforcement in the composite (dashed line, Figure 8) increases. However, in comparison with Satyaprasad’s composite [27], which has the closest TiC volume fraction to the composite of the present study, the failure energy is here increased by ~100%. In addition, the upper right corner of this Ashby map (hatched area on Figure 8) represents the ideal target for the development of MMCs with optimized properties, i.e. high strength properties without any damage tolerance decrease. As illustrated, the mechanical properties of the Al-TiC composite obtained by the present reactive synthesis process fall into this area, meaning that despite the high volume fraction of TiC reinforcement (22.3 vol.%), the composite offers a good compromise between strength properties and damage tolerance. Thus, to the authors’ knowledge, no other Al-TiC composite described in the literature has such high and interesting mechanical properties.
Figure 8. Estimated mechanical toughness, identified with the failure energy calculated as $K^* = \left( \sigma_{e0.2\%} + \text{UTS} \right) \times \text{Max_elong} / 2$, as a function of the ultimate tensile strength. The volume fractions of TiC reinforcement in the composites are indicated in brackets.

In order to understand the uncommon mechanical behavior of this composite and especially its high elongation and failure energy, fractography was performed on the tensile test specimens (Figure 9). Two main points are highlighted. First, Figure 9.a reveals the presence of dimples containing the TiC particles, which indicates a mainly ductile mode of failure of the Al-TiC composite. It should be noted that the ductile mode of failure has been observed in previous literature studies with lower volume fractions of TiC (5-15 vol.% TiC [39]). Second, no evidence of particle clustering was observed on the fracture surface of the composite.
Regarding the high volume fraction of TiC in the present composite (22.3 vol.%TiC), the absence of particle clustering and the evidence of a ductile mode of failure must be emphasized and related to the uncommon maximum elongation of 6% observed in the mechanical characterization. This uncommon association of mechanical properties might be explained by the microstructure induced by the reactive synthesis. This microstructure is characterized by the absence of weak points coming either from clustering of these particles or from defaults (failure initiation) of TiC particles induced by the high energy milling step. As a consequence, the absence of weak area in the microstructure allows the plasticity of the Al-matrix and therefore the high failure energy value that is reported in Figure 8.
Conclusion

This paper reports on the microstructure and mechanical properties of an Al-TiC composite obtained by reactive synthesis. Nanosized TiC reinforcements were synthesized in-situ via the chemical reaction of ball-milled compacted Al₃Ti and C powder mixture during heat treatment of about 1 min at 1000°C. The average weight fraction of TiC reinforcement in the composite is 34.6 wt.% (22.3 vol.%) with an average TiC particle size of 70 nm. The microstructure consists of an intimate mixture of two main domains: an unreinforced percolating matrix, consisting of the Al solid solution with a very low TiC reinforcement content (~8%), and reinforced domains, with a high content of TiC particles (up to 80%) embedded in the Al solid solution. The residual presence of Al₃Ti and C is also detected. However, compared to data in the literature, this composite presents uncommon and promising mechanical properties for a high volume fraction of TiC (22.3 vol.%), with a Young’s modulus of 106 GPa, $\sigma_{0.2\%}$ of 450 MPa, UTS of 490 MPa and maximum elongation of 6%. This compromise between strength and ductility, despite the high particle volume fraction, is associated with the absence of particle clustering, even in the reinforced domains. The remarkable properties of the present Al-TiC composite and particularly the homogeneous size and dispersion of the reinforcing particles are attributed to the original reactive synthesis route that is characterized by a high nucleation rate of the TiC particles in liquid aluminium.
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