The GR3D model, a tool to explore the Global Repositioning Dynamics of Diadromous fish Distribution

Thibault Rougier, Hilaire Drouineau, N. Dumoulin, T. Faure, G. Deffuant,
Eric Rochard, Patrick Lambert

► To cite this version:

Thibault Rougier, Hilaire Drouineau, N. Dumoulin, T. Faure, G. Deffuant, et al.. The GR3D model, a tool to explore the Global Repositioning Dynamics of Diadromous fish Distribution. Ecological Modelling, 2014, 283, pp.31-44. 10.1016/j.ecolmodel.2014.03.019 . hal-01118691

HAL Id: hal-01118691

<https://hal.science/hal-01118691>

Submitted on 19 Feb 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

The GR3D model, a tool to explore the Global Repositioning Dynamics of

Diadromous fish Distribution

Thibaud ROUGIER^a, Hilaire DROUINEAU^a, Nicolas DUMOULIN^b, Thierry FAURE^b,
Guillaume DEFFUANT^b, Eric ROCHARD^a, Patrick LAMBERT^a

^aIrstea, EABX, Unité de recherches Ecosystèmes Aquatiques et Changements Globaux, 50
avenue de Verdun, Gazinet Cestas, F-33612 Cestas, France

thibaud.rougier@irstea.fr

^bIrstea, LISC, Laboratoire d'Ingénierie des Systèmes Complexes, 9 avenue Blaise Pascal –
CS 20085, 63178 Aubière, France

ABSTRACT

Within the context of ongoing environmental changes, the life history of diadromous fish represents a real potential for exploring and colonizing new environments due to high potential dispersal abilities. The use of dynamic approaches to assess how these species will respond to climate change is a challenging issue and mechanistic models able to incorporate biological and evolutionary processes are a promising tool. To this end we developed an individual-based model, called GR3D (Global Repositioning Dynamics for Diadromous fish Distribution), combining climatic requirements and population dynamics with an explicit dispersal process to evaluate potential evolution of their distribution area in the context of climatic change. This paper describes thoroughly the model structure and presents an exploratory test case where the repositioning of a virtual allis shad (*Alosa alosa* L.) population between two river catchments under a scenario of temperature increase was assessed. The global sensitivity analysis showed that landscape structure and parameters related to sea lifespan and to survival at sea were crucial to determine the success of colonization. These results were consistent with the ecology of this species. The integration of climatic factors directly into the processes and the explicit dispersal process make GR3D an original and relevant tool to assess the repositioning dynamics and persistence of diadromous fish facing climate change.

Keywords: Climate change, Diadromous fish, Dispersal, Distribution, Individual-based model, Population dynamics

1. Introduction

Organisms have two ways to survive to the current major biodiversity threats such as habitat loss and fragmentation, land use changes and more recently climate change. They may adapt to new conditions on site through phenotypic plasticity or evolution, but this might not be possible within the modification ranges or the timescales imposed by climate change (Gienapp et al., 2008; Visser, 2008). Alternatively, species may shift their range, searching for new suitable habitats. Regarding this last option, many studies have now highlighted changes in distribution and community structure in various species (Thomas and Lennon, 1999; Walther et al., 2002; Parmesan and Yohe, 2003; MacKenzie et al., 2007; Nicolas et al., 2011). The success of these relocations or repositioning are contingent upon the dispersal ability of the studied species and the availability of new suitable habitats (Gaston and Blackburn, 2002; Thomas et al., 2004).

Many studies using statistical approaches and species distribution models (Guisan and Zimmermann, 2000) have analysed the geographical distribution of species or community with respect to their present environment and used the results to predict expected distribution areas under climate change scenarios. This type of analysis has been carried out for many taxa such as plants (Midgley et al., 2002; Thuiller, 2003; Zimmermann et al., 2009), reptiles and amphibians (Segurado and Araújo, 2004; Araújo et al., 2006), birds (Huntley et al., 2006), mammals (Thuiller et al., 2006), insects (Heikkinen et al., 2007; Barrows et al., 2008), fish (Buisson, 2009) or diadromous fish (Lassalle, 2008). However, such projections do not consider populations' abilities to adapt to changing environmental conditions (Pulliam, 2000; Guisan and Thuiller, 2005; Jackson et al., 2009).

Despite a call by the scientific community in the last decade for developing a new generation of models (Guisan and Thuiller, 2005; Keith et al., 2008; Thuiller et al., 2008;

Franklin, 2010) combining climatic suitability, population dynamics and dispersal (Huntley et al., 2010), few studies have developed mechanistic or semi-mechanistic models to deal with population dynamics and dispersal limitations of species under climate change scenarios (but see Brooker *et al.*, (2007), Keith *et al.*, (2008), Engler and Guisan (2009), Midgley *et al.*, (2010), Hein *et al.* (2011), Fennell *et al.*, (2012)). Mechanistic models aim to incorporate the processes by which a species disperses through an environment (Merow et al., 2011) and, unlike correlative models, allows one to compare potential and realized distributions (Franklin, 2010; Gallien et al., 2010; Merow et al., 2011). Such models would be a key point for guiding management and conservation of species in a period of rapid environmental changes (Kinnison and Hairston, 2007) especially in fragmented and heterogeneous environments. However, the development of these sorts of models is generally limited by the lack of knowledge about population dynamics and on processes involved in dispersal of the studied species.

The dispersal process is often a critical issue in modelling species movements (Travis et al., 2012). Dispersal is generally defined as (non-returning) movements of individuals away from where they are born, from where they have once reproduced to another breeding site, or between social groups with potentially impacts on gene flow (Clobert et al., 2001; Ronce, 2007; Clobert et al., 2009). It is described as a three-stage process with departure (= emigration), transience (= transfer), and settlement as elementary components (Clobert et al., 2009; Travis et al., 2012). Travis *et al.*, (2012) recently proposed an eco-evolutionary framework for modelling dispersal. However, it is not straightforward to transpose these definitions of dispersal and to define dispersers for diadromous fish according to the diversity and complexity of their life cycles.

Diadromous fishes rely on freshwater, estuarine and marine ecosystems to complete their life cycles (McDowall, 2009; Quinn et al., 2009). Anadromous species achieve most

of their growth at sea and migrate to freshwater to reproduce either in their natal river (natal homing behavior) or in other rivers (straying) while catadromous species spend most of their life in freshwaters and migrate to sea in order to reproduce (McDowall, 1988). Diadromous life history strategies have evolved in phylogenetically diverse fish groups (McDowall, 1997). This original life history characteristic has enabled them to adjust their distribution to cope with environmental changes (McDowall, 1997; McDowall, 2009) and could allow them to do the same in future changing environments (Lassalle et al., 2009). Since diadromous species have a real potential to explore and colonize new environments with a part of their life cycle at sea, a diadromous strategy is an adaptive asset compared to a holobiotic life history strategy in a context of global change. In this paper, we will only focus on anadromous species, for which we assume that dispersers are strayed spawners (i.e. strayers) not making natal homing behavior (i.e. not returning to their natal stream for spawning). However, processes involved in the determination of strayers as well as the behavior of the strayers remain unknown for diadromous species. As dispersal is closely linked to individual behavior, individual-based-models (IBMs) appear to be a promising approach to address the question of dispersal and repositioning dynamics of diadromous fishes. IBMs also offers a flexible and easy way to simulate different behaviors.

Despite their complexity often seen to hamper interpretation of their results, the use of IBMs in ecological modelling has increased exponentially (Grimm, 1999) from the seminal works of Huston *et al.* (1988). Moreover, recent progresses have been made to allow complex IBMs to be better communicated and described (Grimm et al., 2006; Grimm et al., 2010). Many IBMs have already involved fish populations (Van Winkle et al., 1993; Van Winkle et al., 1998; Grimm, 1999; Charles et al., 2008) and more especially diadromous fish such as chinook salmon, *Oncorhynchus tshawytscha* (Jager et al., 1997), European eel, *Anguilla anguilla* (Lambert and Rochard, 2007) or more recently Sockeye

Salmon, *Onchorhynchus nerka* (Reed et al., 2011), brown trout, *Salmo trutta* (Frank and Baret, 2013) and Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar* (Piou and Prévost, 2012, 2013). Furthermore, IBMs are powerful tools to represent biological and habitat mechanisms, individual behavior and variability (Grimm, 1999). They also allow analysing potential climate change effects on natural systems (Reed et al., 2011; Piou and Prévost, 2013) as environmental effects can be directly incorporated in processes. Although complexity is an essential feature of IBMs and can result in unforeseen emergent properties, they may appear over-parameterized if compared to much more parsimonious statistical models. Furthermore, a number of population dynamics parameters are often imprecise and poorly estimated. Hence, it is important to carry out sensitivity analyses (Saltelli, 2004) of this kind of model. Global sensitivity analysis methods are now well known to advance the comprehension and exploration of system modelled (Faivre et al., 2013). In such an analysis, parameters are varied simultaneously allowing identification of interactions by statistical analysis of the simulation results. These methods have already been used in industry (Kleijnen, 1998), ecology (Cariboni et al., 2007) or fishery science (Drouineau et al., 2006; Drouineau et al., 2008; Lehuta et al., 2010).

For diadromous species, developing a model combining climatic requirements and population dynamics with explicit dispersal processes is a real challenge and will be a major step forward for guiding management policies. If successful, it could help to determine in which catchment and for which species restoration and restocking actions will be relevant. Moreover, the development of this kind of model for diadromous fish does not appear to be limited by the knowledge of their ecology and their population dynamics which are now well documented (at least for the continental phase) for several species such as Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar* (Peterson et al., 1977; Bagliniere and Maisse, 1985; Fleming, 1996; Friedland, 1998; Hutchings and Jones, 1998; Forseth et al., 2001; Jonsson

and Jonsson, 2009; Buoro et al., 2010), European eel *Anguilla anguilla* (Gatto and Rossi, 1979; Vollestad and Jonsson, 1988; De Leo and Gatto, 1995; Dekker, 2000; Lambert, 2005; Lambert and Rochard, 2007; Bevacqua et al., 2011), European sturgeon *Acipenser sturio* (Rochard and Lambert, 2011) or allis shad *Alosa alosa* (Lambert et al., 2001; Acolas et al., 2006; Lassalle et al., 2008b; Mota and Antunes, 2011; Rougier et al., 2012).

In this context, we developed an exploratory simulation model (GR3D: Global Repositioning Dynamics for Diadromous fish Distribution) integrating the whole population dynamics of diadromous fish (reproduction, growth, survival, maturation, downstream and upstream migrations). The GR3D model is individual-based, stochastic and spatially explicit. To test climate change effect on population dynamics, we introduced temperature variations and their effects on demographic traits, such as growth, reproduction and survival. We introduced individual variability through growth, survival, reproduction and migration.

Models of high complexity require a substantial exploration phase to avoid misinterpretation of simulation results (Grimm and Railsback, 2005). The more complex a model is, the more its calibration, and the extrapolation and analysis of its results are difficult (Levins, 1966; Caswell and John, 1992). From this consideration, testing a complex model with simple exploratory cases is a reasonable and rational approach (Faivre et al., 2013).

Here, we present the GR3D model and show how this generic model may be relevant to study the repositioning dynamics and persistence of diadromous fish in a context of climate change. To illustrate the potential of the model, we applied GR3D in a virtual exploratory study where we assess the repositioning of a virtual anadromous allis shad population between two river catchments under a scenario of temperature increase.

We run the associated sensitivity analysis in order to identify parameters influencing model outputs.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Model description

The GR3D model has been developed in Java using the "SimAquaLife" framework (Dumoulin, 2007) which is an individual-based, process-oriented toolkit for aquatic life simulation.

The description of the GR3D model follows the ODD protocol (Overview, Design concepts, Details) for describing individual- and agent-based models (Grimm and Railsback, 2005; Grimm et al., 2006; Grimm et al., 2010).

2.1.1. Purpose

The general purpose of GR3D is to evaluate diadromous fish local persistence (defined as the probability for a species to maintain a population in a specific river catchment) (Jager et al., 2013), global persistence (defined as the probability for a species to maintain a population in at least one catchment) and concomitant potential evolution of their distribution area, in the context of climatic change at a European scale combining population dynamics, repositioning behaviors through dispersal processes and climatic requirements.

2.1.2. State variables and scales

Temporal scales: GR3D simulates a seasonal time step with distinct processes and scheduling in each of these steps.

Entities and spatial scales: Three types of entities compose the GR3D model: one for fish agents and two for environment elements. GR3D is spatially explicit with a continental

compartment and a sea compartment. The continental compartment is split up in "River Basins". Each "River Basin" (RB) is characterized by a name, a position (latitude and longitude at the mouth), a catchment area (km²) and seasonal mean water temperatures at the mouth (Table 1).

According to the studied species, the sea compartment is either split into "Sea Basins" (SB) associated with one of the river basins, or composed of a unique "sea basin" connected to all the river basins. Each SB is characterized by a name and seasonal mean water temperatures (Table 1).

Temperatures in compartments are updated at each time step according to a virtual scenario of water temperature increase or according to a real projection of climate change. Actually, GR3D is designed to work either with virtual environments or real environments such as the Atlantic coast.

State variables of diadromous fish individuals: Each diadromous fish is described by an ID, a gender, a maturation stage (mature or immature), an age, a body length, a location (a river basin or a sea basin), a birth place and a number of reproductions.

2.1.3. Process overview and scheduling

GR3D is developed to cover the entire life cycle of any diadromous fish species trying to be the more generic as possible and taking into account the differences between anadromous and catadromous species. Hence, we structured the model in six submodels consistent with the life cycle events and processes of any diadromous species (reproduction, growth, survival, downstream migration, maturation, and upstream migration including dispersal). As the present test case deals with an anadromous species (see section 2.2.), we only presented the computational order of life cycle events and

processes in a conceptual diagram for an anadromous species with allis shad as an illustrative case (Fig. 1).

2.1.4. Design concepts

Basic principles: GR3D is a population dynamic model that explicitly includes environmental effects in processes and that incorporates an original dispersal process. During the upstream migration of anadromous fish, we distinguished two kinds of individuals: individuals having a homing behavior (i.e. returning to their natal river to spawn) or having a straying behavior (i.e. not returning to their natal stream). In the absence of information on marine dispersion behavior, we assumed that the choice of a river basin by an individual is the result of three components: 1) a propensity to adopt a homing behavior (characteristic of the species), 2) the accessibility of the river basin, and 3) its attractiveness.

Interactions: Indirect interactions are included in the reproduction process (see Section 2.1.7.1) through a demographic Allee effect (Allee, 1931; Stephens et al., 1999) and through a density-dependant survival of eggs and larvae.

Stochasticity: Demographic stochasticity was incorporated for growth, survival, reproduction and dispersal.

Observation: Graphical outputs from "SimAquaLife" interface directly show population-level variables (declared as observable in GR3D) as well as spatial distribution of the individuals. As example, the number of spawners (distinguishing those exhibiting natal homing behavior from those exhibiting straying) and juveniles at each reproduction for each river basin can be recorded as well as the year of the first non-null reproduction and the last year without reproduction.

2.1.5. Initialization

The environment, the start and the number of year of simulations, the abundance, the age and the initial distribution of the individuals are initialised according the application case. Population parameters are initialised according to the studied species (see section 2.2.).

2.1.6. Input

In the current version of GR3D, environmental conditions changed over time via temperature, which changed over space and season. The model is designed to integrate any kind of seasonal temperature temporal series. In a same way, to define the environment, an input file containing river basins characteristics (cf. § 2.1.2) can be used.

2.1.7. Submodels

GR3D contains 42 parameters, which are listed in [Table 2](#) according to the submodel they are involved in. According to the studied species, their values are obtained either from observations (literature) or are reasonable guessed (i.e. we have only an idea of what would be a realistic value of the parameter) or are calibrated. GR3D is based on six submodels representing fundamental biological processes.

2.1.7.1. Reproduction:

Reproduction occurs yearly during the reproduction season (defined as a population parameter, [Table 2](#)) in each river basin when spawners are present. We assumed that numbers of recruits R_j produced by S_j spawners in a spawning basin j follows a Beverton & Holt stock-recruitment relationship of parameters α_j and β_j (BH S-R) ([Beverton and Holt, 1957](#)). However we modified the traditional BH S-R:

- We included an Allee effect (Eq. (1)) to take into account difficulties to settle a population with limited numbers of fish in new habitats. Depensation strength is modeled as a function of river basin watershed area through two species specific parameters: η a parameter linking the basin watershed area WA_j to its carrying capacity (the higher η is, the higher the stock level to reach 95% of the asymptotic recruitment) and θ which controls the Allee effect strength (a high θ corresponds to a strong depensation, i.e. the stock level to produce half of the asymptotic recruitment is close to the stock level producing 95% of the asymptotic recruitment).

$$R_j = \frac{\alpha_j S_j \frac{1}{1 + e^{\left(\frac{-\ln(19)}{\eta \cdot WA_j - \eta / \theta \cdot WA_j} \right)}}}{\beta_j + S_j \frac{1}{1 + e^{\left(\frac{-\ln(19)}{\eta \cdot WA_j - \eta / \theta \cdot WA_j} \right)}}} \quad (1)$$

- By comparison to the traditional BH S-R, we considered that the non-density dependent mortality from egg to recruit (duration Δt_{rec} , Table 2) is a function of temperature, consequently parameters α_j and β_j depend on temperature (Eq. (2) and Eq. (3)). Egg survival is optimal ($surv_{optRep}$, Table 2) at T_{opt} and there is no recruitment when temperature is out of the range $T_{min} - T_{max}$ (Eq. (4), Table 2). The density dependent mortality of the BH S-R is assumed to be dependent on the basin surface (through a population parameter λ , Table 2) to take into account resource limitations in small basins (Eq. (5)).

$$\alpha_j = \frac{b_j e^{-b_j \Delta t_{rec}}}{c_j (1 - e^{-b_j \Delta t_{rec}})}, \quad (2)$$

$$\beta_j = \frac{b_j}{ac_j (1 - e^{-b_j \Delta t_{rec}})}, \quad (3)$$

The parameter a is linked to the fecundity of the species (Table 2),

$$b_j = -\frac{1}{\Delta t_{rec}} \ln \left[\text{surv}_{optRep} \frac{(T_j - T_{minRep})(T_j - T_{maxRep})}{(T_j - T_{minRep})(T_j - T_{maxRep}) - (T_j - T_{optRep})^2} \right], \quad (4)$$

and

$$c_j = \frac{\lambda}{\text{Surf}_j}. \quad (5)$$

We introduce stochasticity in this process by drawing the value of the effective recruitment $R_{Eff,j}$ in a lognormal distribution of standard deviation σ_{rep} around the stock-recruitment relationship (Table 2).

After the reproduction, the population parameter Sp_{sp} (Table 2) defined the survival probability of the spawners to take into account the iteroparity of the studied species.

2.1.7.2. Downstream migration.

This process occurs at different life stage and season according to the studied species. The age and the season at which this migration occurs are defined as population parameters (Table 2). In this process, individuals migrate from their river location to a determined sea compartment according to the studied species.

2.1.7.3. Growth.

The population parameter L_{ini} determines the initial length of individuals at age Δt_{rec} (i.e. after reproduction). We then use a von Bertalanffy growth function (von Bertalanffy, 1938) derived from Fabens (1965) for modelling of the growth process. Each season, each individual length is updated according to its previous length. In order to introduce individual variability and to avoid negative growth, we use a lognormal distribution to determine the growth increment

$$\Delta L = \text{LogN}(\mu_{\Delta L}, \sigma_{\Delta L}), \quad (6)$$

with $\mu_{\Delta L}$ the mean and $\sigma_{\Delta L}$ the standard deviation of the variable's natural logarithm (Table 2). So, $\mu_{\Delta L}$ is expressed

$$\mu_{\Delta L} = \log \left((L_{\infty} - TL_t) \times (1 - \exp^{-\kappa \Delta t}) \right) - \frac{\sigma_{\Delta L}^2}{2}, \quad (7)$$

where TL_t is the total length of a fish at time unit t , L_{∞} is a population parameter corresponding to the asymptotic length of fish (Table 2) and κ is the growth coefficient. Since temperature is known to affect growth rate (Brown et al., 2004), Rosso's function (Rosso et al., 1995) is used to correlate the growth coefficient to temperature and introduce seasonal variability (Mallet et al., 1999; Dion and Hughes, 2004; Kielbassa et al., 2010; Bal et al., 2011). According to this function, the growth coefficient κ and temperature are linked by a bell-shaped relationship. In fact, κ is equal to 0 if the temperature is lower or equal to the minimal growth temperature $T_{minGrow}$; it increases with temperature up to an optimum $\kappa_{optGrow}$ at the optimal growth temperature $T_{optGrow}$. If temperature continues to increase, the growth coefficient κ rapidly decreases down to 0 at the maximal growth temperature $T_{maxGrow}$. The relationship is expressed

$$\kappa = \kappa_{optGrow} \frac{(T - T_{minGrow})(T - T_{maxGrow})}{(T - T_{minGrow})(T - T_{maxGrow}) - (T - T_{optGrow})^2}. \quad (8)$$

Parameters $T_{minGrow}$, $T_{optGrow}$, $T_{maxGrow}$ and $\kappa_{optGrow}$ are population parameters (Table 2).

2.1.7.4. Survival.

At each time step, the survival of each individual is assessed depending on its location and stage.

For individuals at sea, the seasonal survival probability, Sp_{sea} , is calculated using the annual mortality coefficient at sea, Z_{sea} , defined as a population parameter (Table 2) and a survival equation

$$Sp_{sea} = \exp^{-Z_{sea} \times \Delta t_{season}}, \quad (9)$$

where Δt_{season} is the duration of a season (i.e. 0.25 year⁻¹). We assumed that Z_{sea} incorporates all sources of individual mortality at sea.

For individuals in river, the seasonal survival probability, Sp_{riv} , is calculated using two annual mortality coefficients M_{riv} (natural mortality coefficient) and H_{riv} (human-induced mortality coefficient) defined as population parameters (Table 2) and a survival equation

$$Sp_{riv} = \exp^{-(M_{riv} + H_{riv}) \times \Delta t_{season}}. \quad (10)$$

The natural mortality coefficient M_{riv} is assumed to be dependent on the river temperature T_j and was computed as follows

$$M_{riv} = \frac{-\ln \left(\frac{surv_{optRiv} (T_j - T_{minSurvRiv})(T_j - T_{maxSurvRiv})}{(T_j - T_{minSurvRiv})(T_j - T_{maxSurvRiv}) - (T_j - T_{optSurvRiv})^2} \right)}{\Delta t_{season}}, \quad (11)$$

where $T_{minSurvRiv}$, $T_{optSurvRiv}$, $T_{maxSurvRiv}$ and $surv_{optRiv}$ were population parameters (Table 2).

We assumed that the other mortality coefficient H_{riv} incorporates the other sources of mortality in river (fishery, pollution, dams...).

2.1.7.5. Maturation.

In the current version of GR3D, individual maturation is not explicit and did not involve energy allocation processes. An individual becomes mature as soon as its length reached the length at first maturity L_{mat} (defined as a population parameter, Table 2) and its maturation stage changed from *immature* to *mature*.

2.1.7.6. Upstream migration.

This submodel is essential in the model since we assumed that dispersal is linked to this migration. This process occurs at different life stages and seasons depending on the studied species. The age and the season at which the downstream migration occurs are defined as population parameters (Table 2). It has been designed as a three-stage process with emigration, movement and settlement phases.

1. *The emigration phase:* in this phase, individuals have a probability to adopt a homing (p_{hom}) or a straying behavior ($1 - p_{hom}$) which is assumed to be a characteristic of the species. p_{hom} is defined as a population parameter (Table 2).

2. *The movement phase:* Individuals that do not become strayers simply migrate in their natal river (homing behavior). For strayers, the probability to migrate in each river basin is assumed to be a function of its accessibility and its attractiveness. We assumed that accessibility depends on the dispersal distance $D_{j-birthPlace_i}$ between basin j and the birth place of an individual i , and on the total length TL_i of the individual i . The basin attractiveness is assumed to be a function of its watershed area WA_j as a proxy of the river flow. Then, the “weight” of each basin relative to an individual i is computed using a logit function

$$\text{logit}(w_i^j) = \alpha_{const} + \alpha_{dist} \left(\frac{D_{j-birthPlace_i} - \overline{D_{j-birthPlace}}}{\sigma_{j-birthPlace}} \right) + \alpha_{TL} \left(\frac{TL_i - \overline{TL}}{\sigma_{TL}} \right) + \alpha_{WA} \left(\frac{WA_j - \overline{WA}}{\sigma_{WA}} \right), \quad (12)$$

where α_{const} , α_{dist} , α_{TL} and α_{WA} are four population parameters (Table 2). In order to have comparable parameters, we used standard cores values of each factor influencing the

function and we defined $\overline{D_{j-\text{birthPlace}}}$, $\sigma_{j-\text{birthPlace}}$, $\overline{TL}$, σ_{TL} , $\overline{WA}$ and σ_{WA} as population parameters (Table 2).

We assumed that individuals may also not find any basin so we introduce a virtual “death basin” with a fixed weight $w^{\text{deathBasin}}$. Then w_i^j are standardized so that their sum equals 1, providing probabilities to choose each river basin (including death basin). The choice is then modelled by a simple multinomial process.

3. The settlement phase: Individuals enter in the selected destination, survive if conditions are suitable and reproduce if they find mating requirements.

2.2. The test case

2.2.1. Description of the studied species

Allis shad (*Alosa alosa* L.) is an anadromous clupeid spawning in the higher middle watercourse of rivers. Fish migrate to sea during their first year, where they grow and return to freshwater to spawn at between 3 and 6 years (Bagliniere and Elie, 2000; Baglinière et al., 2003). Currently, populations of allis shad exist along the northeastern Atlantic coasts in some large rivers of France (Loire, Gironde-Garonne-Dordogne, and Adour) and Portugal (Minho and Lima) (Lassalle et al., 2008b) and despite some protective measures, this species appears to have been in serious decline for a number of years (Limburg and Waldman, 2009; Rougier et al., 2012). Biology and ecology of allis shad have therefore received a great deal of attention in the last 30 years (Mennesson-Boisneau and Boisneau, 1990; Prouzet et al., 1994; Bagliniere and Elie, 2000; Acolas et al., 2004; Acolas et al., 2006) and several studies also dealt with its population dynamics (Martin Vandembulcke, 1999; Lambert et al., 2001; Rougier et al., 2012).

2.2.2. *Environment, time and initialization*

An environment with two rivers basins (RB1 and RB2) and two sea basins (SB1 and SB2) highly associated to the river basins (i.e. RB1 with SB1 and RB2 with SB2) was used for the test case. Our experiment mimics a situation where temperature increase opened the opportunity for a stable allis shad population in RB1 to expand into a new suitable river RB2. Two environmental parameters were defined to determine the initial configuration of the environment: *WA_RB2* corresponding to the watershed area of the uninhabited river basin RB2 and *intDist* describing the distance between RB1 and RB2.

Each simulation starts in summer and lasts 200 years (i.e. 800 time steps). During the first fifty years of simulation, a virtual population of allis shad was created in RB1 by introducing there 500000 juveniles at the first time step. From the year 50 to the year 150, we introduced in the two basins a linear water temperature increase of 3°C in 100 years (i.e. water temperature increase by 0.0075°C at each time step) and RB2 becomes suitable for allis shad reproduction. At the end of the temperature increase, only RB2 is suitable for allis shad for the last 50 years of simulations. Temperatures in RB1 and RB2 were initialized with the same values except for the spring temperature as we used it to control the suitability of each river during the simulation. In order to work with realistic values, we used daily estimates of Gironde estuarine water temperature (°C) provided by EDF (Electricité De France) between 1991 and 2009 to initialize the winter, summer and autumn temperatures in RB1 and RB2 as the Gironde basin is located at the center of the allis shad distribution area (Baglinière, 2000; Lassalle, 2008). Spring temperature of RB1 was set to 3°C below $T_{maxSurvRiv}$ and set to T_{minRep} in RB2. The watershed area of RB1 was set to 40000 km². The environmental parameters *WA_RB2* and *intDist* were included in the global sensitivity analysis to assess the influence of the environment configuration on model outputs (see section 2.2.4.).

The seasonal mean water temperatures of SB1 and SB2 were calculated as the mean between 12°C and the seasonal mean water temperatures of RB1 and RB2, respectively.

Initial length of each individual is set at 2 centimetres. The individual state variables were initialized as follows for each new individual: gender was assigned according to a sex ratio of 1:1; stage was set to “immature”, the number of reproduction was set to 0; and the birth place was set according to their birth location.

2.2.3. Model parameterisation

For this test case with allis shad, 20 population dynamics parameters were obtained from literature, 18 were parameterized as reasonable guesses (based upon expertise), and 4 were estimated using off-line calibration (Table 2).

For the off-line calibration, we used a previous work (Rougier et al., 2012) about the population dynamics of allis shad in the Gironde basin for the parameterization of the reproduction process (i.e. parameter η , θ , $surv_{optRep}$ and λ of the BH S-R relationship, Table 2) and used their estimates of $\alpha_{Gironde}$, $\beta_{Gironde}$ and η . We assumed that the recruitment was a number of juveniles in estuary and set Δt_{rec} to 0.33 year (4 months) as it corresponds to the average duration of the juvenile downstream migration towards the sea (Lochet et al., 2008).

We assumed dispersal of allis shad was only dependant of the distance between the suitable habitats. Hence, α_{TL} and α_{WA} were set to 0. We set $\sigma_{j-birthPlace}$ to 978 km (using the EuroDiad 2.0 database of European river basins from Lassalle (2008)). We set $\overline{D_{j-birthPlace}}$ to 300 km as we assumed that this value was close to the maximal distance of dispersal for allis shad. Then we assumed a weight of 0.95 and 0.05 for a basin located at a

distance of 10 km and 300 km respectively. In consequence, α_{const} and α_{dist} were set to -2.9 and 19.7 respectively (Table 2).

2.2.4. Sensitivity analysis

For these simulations, we mainly focused on the success of the colonization of RB2. Hence, we recorded the following 2 output variables: (i) fs was a qualitative variable describing the final state of RB2 using the value of the coefficient of variation (CV) of the recruitment in RB2 during the last ten years of simulations, i.e. that it took either the value 0 if the CV was null, or the value 1 if the CV was higher than a threshold arbitrarily set to 5%, or the value 2 if the CV was positive and lower than the threshold; (ii) mlr was the mean of the recruitment in RB2 during the last ten years of simulations.

We defined two variables using the fs output variable. fsP indicated the presence/absence of fish in RB2 (i.e. $fs = 0$ for absence and $fs > 0$ for presence). Considering only positive values of fs , we defined fsS as a variable describing a kind of stability of the RB2 population (i.e. relatively unstable for $fs = 1$ because it means that there is high variation in the recruitment values in the ten last year of simulation, and stable for $fs = 2$ because it means that recruitment values are stable in the ten last years of simulation). Hence, we used these two variables to analyze the influence of parameters on both the presence/absence of fish in RB2 and on the stability of the population in RB2. mlr was a metric indicating the abundance of fish in RB2. As the null values described absence of fish in RB2, we only used positive values of mlr to analyze the influence of parameters on abundance in RB2.

In order to screen non-influential and influential parameters on GR3D model outputs, we conducted a global sensitivity analyses by varying both the model and the environmental parameters. We identified 20 uncertain population dynamics parameters of

the GR3D model to incorporate in the sensitivity analysis and we added 2 environmental parameters in the analysis (*WA_RB2* the watershed area of RB2 and *intDist* the distance between RB1 and RB2; Table 3). Considering a maximal range of variation of 20% often used in sensitivity analysis (Drouineau et al., 2006; Lehuta et al., 2010), we set a low and a high value for each population parameter based on our own expertise and knowledge of allis shad population dynamics (Table 3). Concerning environmental parameters, we considered three modalities for *WA_RB2* and four for *intDist* (Table 3). As a complete design would have taken too much time since it would involve more than 12 million simulations without replicates ($2^{20} \times 3 \times 4$), we first used an experimental design to identify the most influential parameters on model outputs. Regarding the number of factors and modalities, the most appropriate experimental design are D-optimal designs (Drouineau et al., 2006; Faivre et al., 2013). In this type of design, the estimations of the effects are partially correlated (i.e. that contrary to factorial design, confusion between effects estimates may exist, but an algorithm is used to find the set of experiments), maximizing the determinant of the information matrix (XX' with X , the matrix of the different combinations of modalities for each experiment) of the design, equivalent to maximizing the efficiency of the estimation (Droesbeke et al., 1997; Drouineau et al., 2006). We use the AlgDesign R package (Wheeler, 2011) to generate our D-Optimal design and, finally, the resulting experimental design consisted of 1300 simulations that we replicated 10 times. Only main effects were estimated with this design using a logistic regression model for *fsP* and *fsS* and analysis of variance (ANOVA) for *mlr*. For each model output response y , first order indices (SI) of a parameter x_i measuring the importance of the deviance (or variance for *mlr* output) of the y mean conditionally on factor x_i , were considered as a sensitivity index (Saltelli, 2004; Saltelli et al., 2008; Faivre et al., 2013) and were calculated as follows

$$SI(x_i) = \frac{Dev[E(y|x_i)]}{Dev(y)}. \quad (18)$$

Focusing on the ten highest SI of the 3 model outputs, we selected the most influential parameters. For computation time reasons, we were not able to select more than 13 parameters. Then with the most influential selected parameters, we used a complete sampling with 10 replicates in order to estimate main effects and first order interactions effects on the sensitivity of model outputs. We used the same models as those used with the experimental design to estimate SI and global sensitivity indices (*TSI*). *TSI*, that measured the mean of the y deviance (or variance for *mlr* output) on the uncertain parameters different from x_i (Saltelli, 2004; Saltelli et al., 2008; Faivre et al., 2013), were calculated for each parameter as follows

$$TSI(x_i) = \frac{E[Dev(y|x_j, j \neq i)]}{Dev(y)}. \quad (19)$$

TSI corresponds also to a measure of the sum of the main effect of a parameter x_i and the effects of interactions of this parameter with all the other uncertain parameters (Saltelli, 2004; Saltelli et al., 2008; Faivre et al., 2013). Both indices *SI* and *TSI* are between 0 and 1 but *TSI* is higher than *SI* as it includes interaction effects. Estimating the impact of first order interaction was necessary to assess the combined effect of uncertainties on two parameters, which may be different from the sum of the main effects of the two parameters. We expressed SI and TSI in percentage and we assumed the more distant from 0 they were, the more impact they have on model results.

Statistical analyses of model outputs were carried out using R (R Core Team, 2013). To run all our simulations of the sensitivity analysis, we used OpenMOLE which is a workflow engine specifically tailored for the distributed exploration of simulation models (Reuillon et al., 2013).

3. Results

We present in Fig 2 an illustrative example of model output where we followed the dynamics over time in RB1 and RB2 of the recruitment for a same set of parameters by just varying the value of the distance between RB1 and RB2.

3.1. Selection of the most influential parameters

Among the 13000 simulations with the D-Optimal design, we observed failure of colonization of RB2 in 48% of simulations (i.e. $fs = 0$). Thirteen percent of simulations led to an unstable population (i.e. $fs = 1$) and 39% led to stable situations in RB2 (i.e. $fs = 2$). The logistic regression applied to fsP and fsS explained 78% and 70% of the null deviance respectively and the analysis of variance of mlr explained 60% of the null variance (Table 4). Focusing on the ten highest SI of the three model outputs, we identified 13 different parameters meaning that model outputs are relatively sensitive to the same parameter (Fig. 3). It was especially true for fsP and fsS which were very sensitive to the distance between RB1 and RB2 that explained around 50% of the deviance for these two variables (Fig. 3). These two variables were also sensitive to parameters of the growth ($\kappa_{optGrow}$), maturation (L_{mat}), and survival processes (Z_{sea}) which are highly linked to the duration of the individual life at sea (Fig. 3). So, the success of colonization and the stability of the population were, not surprisingly, negatively linked to $intDist$, but also to parameters that increased the duration of the life at sea. mlr was mainly sensitive to the watershed area of RB2 (Fig. 3) with a positive correlation between mlr and WA_{RB2} as this parameter is involved in the reproduction process to determine the production capacity of RB2. mlr was also sensitive to the other parameters of the reproduction process ($Surv_{optRep}$, λ and T_{optRep}) and to the environmental parameter $intDist$. To select the most influential parameters, we kept 12 of the 13 parameters identified in the ten highest SI of the three model outputs. Actually, as the outputs were not very sensitive to $T_{maxSurvRiv}$ which was a parameter used to

control the suitability of RB1 in our test case, we did not select this parameter and rather selected the parameter $w^{deathBasin}$ involved in dispersal. Among the 13 selected parameters, four concerned the reproduction process, two concerned the growth, survival and upstream migration (i.e. dispersal) processes, one concerned the maturation process and the last two were environmental parameters (Table 3). Consequently, in the second step of the sensitivity analysis, the complete sampling consisted in 24576 simulations that we replicated 10 times.

3.2. Analysis of interaction effects

Among the 245760 simulations, we observed 49% of unsuccessful colonization, 11% of simulations led to an unstable population in RB2 and 40% led to a stable situation. Although we considered fewer parameters than with the D-Optimal design, the explained deviance and variance of the outputs analysis increased in this second step of the sensitivity analysis because we took first order interactions effects into account. We explained 90% and 85% of the deviance for fsP and fsS respectively and 79% of the variance for mlr (Table 4). The output variables were globally sensitive to the same parameters than those observed with the D-Optimal design (Fig. 4). This sensitivity analysis confirmed that the model outputs fsP and fsS were particularly sensitive to the environmental parameter $intDist$ and to parameters increasing the duration of the life at sea ($\kappa_{optGrow}$, L_{mat} , and Z_{sea}) and that mlr was sensitive to the two environmental parameters and to parameters of the reproduction process. Additionally, we observed a great importance of the interaction effects for two parameters: the homing probability p_{hom} and the parameter θ of the reproduction process which is linked to the strength of the Allee effect (Fig. 4). This was especially true for the fsS output where the main effect of the homing probability and the parameter θ were very low (0.02% and 0.03% respectively) while the interaction effects of these factors with other parameters explained 6.3% and 4.9% of the null

deviance respectively (Fig. 4). Interaction effects of the homing probability were particularly high with the distance between RB1 and RB2 and the optimal growth coefficient $\kappa_{optGrow}$. For the parameter θ , interaction effects were high with the length at first maturity, the optimal growth coefficient and the annual mortality coefficient at sea. We also observed a high interaction between the weight of the death basin and the distance between RB1 and RB2 to explain the stability of the population in RB2.

To summarize, the success and the stability of the colonization of RB2 are very sensitive to the landscape structure represented through the distance between RB1 and RB2 and to parameters related to the duration of the life at sea and the survival condition in this compartment. Interaction effects mainly highlighted that the role of the homing and the Allee effect should be considered with attention. Concerning the abundance of the recruitment in RB2, it is sensitive to parameters of the reproduction process and to the watershed area of RB2.

4. Discussion

We developed, implemented and tested an individual-based model combining population dynamics, dispersal and temperature requirements. GR3D has been designed to assess the persistence of diadromous fish and the evolution of their distribution area in a context of global warming. To our knowledge, this is the first attempt to incorporate dispersal abilities of diadromous fish within a dynamic approach at the scale of their distribution range. This article focuses on the individual-based model description and its exploration with a basic virtual test case. We deliberately chose to run our simulations in a simple, virtual environment in order to clearly disentangle the effect of the environment and the effect of uncertainties in population dynamics on model outputs using a global sensitivity analysis. We demonstrated the sensitivity of the outputs to some key population dynamics

parameters and to environmental parameters which were consistent with our knowledge of this species. We considered our results are satisfactory enough to address more precisely, in further studies, the issue of allis shad persistence in a context of global change at the real scale of its distribution range. GR3D has been designed as a flexible and generic model and can represent an integrative tool to study persistence of diadromous fish whose population dynamics is well documented. After discussing the innovations and the structure of the model, we come back to the understandings brought by our test case and its associated sensitivity analysis.

4.1. Innovations and model structure

The GR3D model incorporates an explicit dynamic model of diadromous fish dispersal process at large scale. This is particularly relevant in a context of climate change since [Brooker et al. \(2007\)](#) has demonstrated that dispersal ability of individuals interacts with the rate of climate change to determine range shifting dynamics. To model dispersal in GR3D, we took both landscape structure and individual dispersal abilities into account. We defined a generic accessibility model for available habitats (i.e. river basins) that depends on the dispersal distance and the size of the individuals (as it represents a proxy of their swimming capacities). Dispersal distance has already been recognized as an important factor in dispersal processes and is often represented through dispersal kernels in dispersal modelling approaches ([Chesson and Lee, 2005](#); [Slone, 2011](#); [Bocedi et al., 2012](#)). Moreover the individual size has been recognized as closely linked to dispersal potential of individuals with potential impacts on species' range shifts through phenotype dependent dispersal processes ([McCauley and Mabry, 2011](#)). Furthermore, incorporating the size of individuals in a context of climate change is relevant as shifts in body size and its consequences in dispersal (and also in a lot of other life history parameters) have been

identified as the third major response to climate change (Gardner et al., 2011). In our dispersal process, we also modelled the attractiveness of the available habitats as a function of their watershed area as we assumed it was a proxy of the river flow. River flow has already been showed as being the vector of attractive factors for diadromous species such as larval pheromone by the sea lamprey (*Petromyzon marinus*) (Vrieze and Sorensen, 2001) or natural stream odor by salmon (Barinaga, 1999). The function we used for dispersal modelling can then be parameterized and calibrated according to the studied species and the knowledge of its population dynamics. This process has been designed in order to integrate easily other effects that could potentially influence dispersal of a species.

Moreover, although our test case dealt with an anadromous species, the GR3D model could also be adapted to catadromous species assuming for these species that dispersers are strayed juveniles that do not use the same growing area (river catchment or estuary) than their parents and have to choose consequently another river basin for their freshwater life. However, the high heterogeneity of diadromous fish species life histories (McDowall, 1988; McDowall, 1997) imply that further specific and local adjustments might be required for different species. For instance, in its current version, GR3D is not able to deal with the diversity and complexity of Atlantic salmon life cycle. A large amount of literature is available for this species describing its population dynamics in many catchments (Mangel, 1994; Rivot et al., 2004; Reed et al., 2011). Recently, Piou and Prevost (2012) developed and parameterized IBASAM, a complex individual-based model to simulate population dynamics of Atlantic salmon in the Scorff River (Brittany, France). Despite the high complexity of this model, it does not incorporate all phenotypes of Atlantic salmon life histories and further developments would also be needed according to the Atlantic salmon population studies. The IBASAM model, described as a tool for the investigation of potential climate change effects on Atlantic salmon population structure,

did not consider individual dispersal and so potential modification of distribution range in response to environmental change. Consequently, the GR3D and IBASAM models might be complementary dynamic approaches in order to study Atlantic salmon response to climate change.

As GR3D is a complex model integrating numerous parameters, our study dealt also with the question of the degree of complexity that should be incorporated in modeling approach as the more the complexity is high in a model, the more the model is able to reproduce the observed reality but the more it is difficult to calibrate, to extrapolate and to analyze the model results (Levins, 1966; Caswell and John, 1992). Some authors consider that simple models are the best way to lead to generality in ecology (Holling, 1966) while other authors argue that this point of view may be an obstacle to the progress of ecological research and demonstrate that in some cases at least, complex models are desirable, general, and can be linked with simple models (Lassalle, 2008; Evans et al., 2013). In the GR3D model, several simplifications were made in the structure of the model. First, in its current version, GR3D does not deal with genetic and evolution issues although future works have already been envisaged in this aim. Second, we did not represent explicit movements of individuals within compartments (e.g. with a random walk process (O'Sullivan and Perry, 2009)) and we assumed homogeneous conditions within a compartment. Third, despite the expected impact of climate change on precipitation and therefore river discharge, we did not incorporate the effect of discharge on population dynamics processes as we were not able to link the effect of this parameter on life history traits, largely because models linking precipitation to river flow are complex and focus mostly at local scales (Milly et al., 2005; Qi et al., 2009; Beyene et al., 2010). Finally, GR3D is a generic mono-species model and thus does not take into account potential interactions between or among species. There are thus opportunities for further

improvements of the model. However, these improvements would undoubtedly lead to a significant increase in model complexity and consequently of the computation time. In our opinion, our mechanistic representation is realistic enough to address the issue of diadromous fish dispersal and their persistence under climate change at a large scale. Consequently, GR3D appears as a great step forward in management of diadromous fish species. It could be used as an integrative tool to assess potential impact of climate change on endangered diadromous fish species. For instance, we might be able to identify for an endangered population if demographic rescue from neighboring populations will be likely or if restocking program would be relevant and necessary (Seddon et al., 2009; Loss et al., 2011). The GR3D model is able to generate predictions of change in the distribution of species but also predictions of population abundances under defined climate change scenario.

4.2. *Model parameterization and sensitivity*

GR3D was parameterized to reproduce dispersal and population dynamics of a virtual allis shad population between two river catchments in a context of temperature increase. After 50 years of simulations to initialise an abundant and stable population in RB1, temperature started to increase and RB2 became gradually suitable while suitability of RB1 gradually decreases. This scenario was a way to mimic a situation where a population threatened by temperature warming had an opportunity to colonize a new suitable habitat. Consequently for analyzing model results, we mainly focused on the colonization of previously uninhabited river basin RB2. With a more complex environment, it would have been difficult to clearly disentangle the effect of the environment and the effect of uncertainties in population dynamics on model outcomes. We chose therefore this simple test case.

GR3D is a complex model incorporating a high number of parameters. Consequently, several analyses were necessary to further understand the relative role of different parameters in the model outputs. Such analyses are essential for complex models to avoid misinterpretation of simulation results (Grimm and Railsback, 2005). From this consideration, the fast computation time of the GR3D model is a real advantage. Actually, in our simple test case, the model dealt with millions of individuals but each simulation of 200 years took less than one second with a mean duration of simulations around 0.3 second. We tested the model with more complex virtual environment (e.g. with 25 river basins) and the duration of simulation was always below one minute.

In the test case, we assumed that dispersal depended only on the dispersal distance. We did not incorporate an effect of the individual length as we considered only one population and as the length of allis shad spawners (i.e. potential dispersers for this species) has always been observed as relatively homogeneous within a population (Bagliniere and Elie, 2000). We also ignored the effect of the watershed area in the dispersal function, as only one river was available for dispersers.

Analyzing the success of the colonization of RB2 and the stability of the population in RB2, our sensitivity analyses showed the importance of dispersal distance and of parameters related to the duration of the life at sea ($\kappa_{optGrow}$, L_{mat}) and the survival condition in this compartment (Z_{sea}). These results were consistent with our expectation and reproduced the expected dynamics of the model. We populated the environment initially in order to have an abundant and stable population in RB1. Consequently, a high number of dispersers were able to colonize the uninhabited river and were only limited by the distance they have to cross. The duration of the life at sea is highly linked to the resilience of diadromous species (i.e. the more the individuals stay at sea, the more the mortality of individuals is high and the resilience of the studied species is low) and so unsurprisingly

influences dispersal and stability of population as well as the survival conditions at sea. The analysis of interactions effects showed the importance of the homing (p_{hom}) and of the Allee effect (parameter θ). As the probability of homing determines the number of dispersers, it was also not surprising to obtain a high interaction effect with the dispersal distance. In a same way, we introduced an Allee effect in the reproduction dynamics in order to take into account potential difficulties to establish a population in an uninhabited river basin such as mate limitation and reproductive facilitation problems or a decrease of juvenile mortality with decreasing stock size (Berec et al., 2007). It explains consequently the high effect of the interactions of this parameter. Concerning the abundance of the RB2 population, the most influential parameters were, as expected, parameters linked to the success of the reproduction. Actually, parameters $surv_{optRep}$, λ and T_{optRep} defined the survival of individuals between egg and recruit stages and the watershed area of RB2 were directly linked to its production capacity.

Furthermore, model outcomes were not very sensitive to thermal parameters. This result might be explained by a high thermal tolerance of the allis shad species and by the use of the Gironde basin temperature to initialize temperature of RB1 and RB2 (except the spring temperature that we modified to control the suitability of the two rivers). The Gironde system is located in the middle of the allis shad distribution range and, although the Gironde allis shad population is endangered, this might not be because of an environmental change (Rougier et al., 2012).

The results of this test case are a good way to illustrate the dynamics included in the GR3D model. This step was necessary before applying GR3D to a more complex case study to avoid misinterpretation on the model results. Simulation results of the GR3D model might also be compared with results of a species distribution model applied to the same species by Lassalle (2008). We could quantify the divergence in prediction of future

potential distribution between static and dynamic approaches as has already be done for two virtual plant species by Engler and Guisan (2009), who showed significant differences between the two kind of approach. Recently, Estes *et al.* (2013) also compared mechanistic and empirical model projections for the suitability of a crop species and concluded that both approaches might be complementary. Lassalle *et al.* (2008a) described the 1900 observed distribution of European diadromous fish species. Using this 1900 distribution in GR3D as an input defining the initial distribution of individuals, and then, simulate population dynamics from 1900 to today might be a way to validate the GR3D model. We could thus compare projected distributions of GR3D simulation results with the current distribution of European diadromous fish species to analyze whether species have responded in the way that the GR3D model suggests (Kerr and Dobrowski, 2013).

Acknowledgments

We wish to thank G. Lassalle, F. Daverat and N. Séon-Massin for fruitful discussions helping in developing the model. Sincere thanks are due to Karin Limburg for English corrections and some valuable comments and suggestions. This study was supported by grants from the French National Research Institute of Science and Technology for Environment and Agriculture (Irstea) and the French National Agency for Water and Aquatic Environments (ONEMA).

References

- Acolas, M.L., Bégout Anras, M.L., Véron, V., Jourdan, H., Sabatié, M.R., Baglinière, J.L., 2004. An assessment of the upstream migration and reproductive behaviour of allis shad (*Alosa alosa* L.) using acoustic tracking. ICES J. Mar. Sci. 61, 1291-1304.

- 766 Acolas, M.L., Véron, V., Jourdan, H., Bégout, M.L., Sabatié, M.R., Baglinière, J.L., 2006.
767 Upstream migration and reproductive patterns of a population of allis shad in a small
768 river (L'Aulne, Brittany, France). ICES J. Mar. Sci. 63, 476-484.
- 769 Allee, W.C., 1931. Animal aggregations: a study in general sociology. University of
770 Chigaco Press, Chicago 431 pp.
- 771 Aprahamian, M.W., Bagliniere, J.L., Sabatié, M.R., Alexandrino, P., Aprahamian, C.D.,
772 2002. Synopsis of biological data on *Alosa alosa* and *Alosa fallax* spp., Warrington.
- 773 Araújo, M.B., Thuiller, W., Pearson, R.G., 2006. Climate warming and the decline of
774 amphibians and reptiles in Europe. J. Biogeogr. 33, 1712-1728.
- 775 Baglinière, J.L., 2000. Le genre *Alosa* sp., in: Baglinière, J.L., Elie, P. (eds.), Les aloses
776 (*Alosa alosa* et *Alosa fallax* spp.). Cemagref Editions - INRA Editions, Paris, pp. 3-
777 30.
- 778 Bagliniere, J.L., Elie, P., 2000. Les aloses (*Alosa alosa* et *Alosa fallax* spp.) : écobioogie
779 et variabilité des populations. Cemagref, Inra Éditions, Paris 275 pp.
- 780 Bagliniere, J.L., Maisse, G., 1985. Precocious maturation and smoltification in wild
781 Atlantic salmon in the Armorican massif, France. Aquaculture 45, 249-263.
- 782 Baglinière, J.L., Sabatié, R., Rochard, E., Alexandrino, P., Aprahamian, M.W., 2003. The
783 allis shad *Alosa alosa*: biology, ecology, range, and status of populations. Am. Fish.
784 Soc. Symp. 35, 85-102.
- 785 Bal, G., Rivot, E., Prévost, E., Piou, C., Baglinière, J.L., 2011. Effect of water temperature
786 and density of juvenile salmonids on growth of young-of-the-year Atlantic salmon
787 *Salmo salar*. J. Fish Biol. 78, 1002-1022.
- 788 Barinaga, M., 1999. Salmon follow watery odors home. Science 286, 705-706.

- 789 Barrows, C.W., Preston, K.L., Rotenberry, J.T., Allen, M.F., 2008. Using occurrence
790 records to model historic distributions and estimate habitat losses for two
791 psammophilic lizards. *Biol. Conserv.* 141, 1885-1893.
- 792 Berec, L., Angulo, E., Courchamp, F., 2007. Multiple Allee effects and population
793 management. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 22, 185-191.
- 794 Bevacqua, D., Andrello, M., Melià, P., Vincenzi, S., De Leo, G.A., Crivelli, A.J., 2011.
795 Density-dependent and inter-specific interactions affecting European eel settlement
796 in freshwater habitats. *Hydrobiologia* 671, 259-265.
- 797 Beverton, R.J.H., Holt, S.J., 1957. On the dynamics of exploited fish populations. Her
798 majesty's stationery Office, London 553 pp.
- 799 Beyene, T., Lettenmaier, D.P., Kabat, P., 2010. Hydrologic impacts of climate change on
800 the Nile River Basin: Implications of the 2007 IPCC scenarios. *Clim. Change* 100,
801 433-461.
- 802 Bocedi, G., Pe'er, G., Heikkinen, R.K., Matsinos, Y., Travis, J.M.J., 2012. Projecting
803 species' range expansion dynamics: sources of systematic biases when scaling up
804 patterns and processes. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution* 3, 1008-1018.
- 805 Brooker, R.W., Travis, J.M.J., Clark, E.J., Dytham, C., 2007. Modelling species' range
806 shifts in a changing climate: The impacts of biotic interactions, dispersal distance and
807 the rate of climate change. *J. Theor. Biol.* 245, 59-65.
- 808 Brown, J.H., Gillooly, J.F., Allen, A.P., Savage, V.M., West, G.B., 2004. Toward a
809 metabolic theory of ecology. *Ecology* 85, 1771-1789.
- 810 Buisson, L., 2009. Poissons des rivières françaises et changement climatique : impacts sur
811 la distribution des espèces et incertitudes des projections. Thèse de Doctorat. Institut
812 National Polytechnique de Toulouse, Toulouse, p. 282.

- 813 Buoro, M., Prévost, E., Gimenez, O., 2010. Investigating evolutionary trade-offs in wild
814 populations of Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*): Incorporating detection probabilities
815 and individual heterogeneity. *Evolution* 64, 2629-2642.
- 816 Cariboni, J., Gatelli, D., Liska, R., Saltelli, A., 2007. The role of sensitivity analysis in
817 ecological modelling. *Ecol. Model.* 203, 167-182.
- 818 Cassou-Leins, J.J., Cassou-Leins, F., Boisneau, P., Baglinière, J.L., 2000. La reproduction,
819 in: Baglinières, J.L., Elie, P. (eds.), *Les aloses (Alosa alosa et Alosa fallax spp.)*.
820 Cemagref Editions - INRA Editions, Paris, pp. 73-92.
- 821 Caswell, H., John, A.M., 1992. From the individual to the population in demographic
822 models, in: DeAngelis, D.L., Gross, L.J. (eds.), *Individual-based models and*
823 *approaches in ecology: populations, communities and ecosystems*. Chapman et Hall,
824 New York., pp. 36-61.
- 825 Charles, S., Subtil, F., Kielbassa, J., Pont, D., 2008. An individual-based model to describe
826 a bullhead population dynamics including temperature variations. *Ecol. Model.* 215,
827 377-392.
- 828 Chesson, P., Lee, C.T., 2005. Families of discrete kernels for modeling dispersal. *Theor.*
829 *Popul. Biol.* 67, 241-256.
- 830 Clobert, J., Danchin, E., Dhondt, A.A., Nichols, J.D., 2001. *Dispersal*. Oxford University
831 Press, New York 452 pp.
- 832 Clobert, J., Le Galliard, J.-F., Cote, J., Meylan, S., Massot, M., 2009. Informed dispersal,
833 heterogeneity in animal dispersal syndromes and the dynamics of spatially structured
834 populations. *Ecol. Lett.* 12, 197-209.
- 835 De Leo, G.A., Gatto, M., 1995. A size and age-structured model of the European eel
836 (*Anguilla anguilla* L.). *Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci.* 52, 1351-1367.

- 837 Dekker, W., 2000. A Procrustean assessment of the European eel stock. ICES J. Mar. Sci.
838 57, 938-947.
- 839 Dion, C.A., Hughes, N.F., 2004. Testing the ability of a temperature-based model to
840 predict the growth of age-0 arctic grayling. Trans. Am. Fish. Soc. 133, 1047-1050.
- 841 Driesbeke, J.J., Fine, J., Saporta, G., 1997. Plans d'expériences: applications à l'entreprise.
842 Editions Technip, Paris.
- 843 Drouineau, H., Mahévas, S., Bertignac, M., Fertin, A., 2008. Assessing the impact of
844 discretisation assumptions in a length-structured population growth model. Fisheries
845 Research 91, 160-167.
- 846 Drouineau, H., Mahévas, S., Pelletier, D., Beliaeff, B., 2006. Assessing the impact of
847 different management options using ISIS-Fish: The French *Merluccius merluccius* -
848 *Nephrops norvegicus* mixed fishery of the Bay of Biscay. Aquat. Living Resour. 19,
849 15-29.
- 850 Dumoulin, N., 2007. SimAquaLife : un cadre pour la modélisation de la dynamique
851 spatiale d'organismes aquatiques utilisant les métadonnées pour la production
852 automatique de fonctionnalités. Techniques et Sciences Informatiques 26.
- 853 Engler, R., Guisan, A., 2009. MigClim: Predicting plant distribution and dispersal in a
854 changing climate. Divers. Distrib. 15, 590-601.
- 855 Estes, L.D., Bradley, B.A., Beukes, H., Hole, D.G., Lau, M., Oppenheimer, M.G., Schulze,
856 R., Tadross, M.A., Turner, W.R., 2013. Comparing mechanistic and empirical model
857 projections of crop suitability and productivity: implications for ecological
858 forecasting. Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr. 22, 1007-1018.
- 859 Evans, M.R., Grimm, V., Johst, K., Knuuttila, T., de Langhe, R., Lessells, C.M., Merz, M.,
860 O'Malley, M.A., Orzack, S.H., Weisberg, M., Wilkinson, D.J., Wolkenhauer, O.,

- 861 Benton, T.G., 2013. Do simple models lead to generality in ecology? Trends Ecol.
862 Evol. 28, 578-583.
- 863 Fabens, A.J., 1965. Properties and fitting of the Von Bertalanffy growth curve. Growth 29,
864 265-289.
- 865 Faivre, R., Iooss, B., Mahévas, S., Makowski, D., Monod, H., 2013. Analyse de sensibilité
866 et exploration de modèles: application aux sciences de la nature et de
867 l'environnement, Editions Quae ed, Versailles 352 pp.
- 868 Fennell, M., Murphy, J.E., Armstrong, C., Gallagher, T., Osborne, B., 2012. Plant Spread
869 Simulator: A model for simulating large-scale directed dispersal processes across
870 heterogeneous environments. Ecol. Model. 230, 1-10.
- 871 Fleming, I.A., 1996. Reproductive strategies of Atlantic salmon: Ecology and evolution.
872 Rev. Fish Biol. Fish. 6, 379-416.
- 873 Forseth, T., Hurley, M.A., Jensen, A.J., Elliott, J.M., 2001. Functional models for growth
874 and food consumption of Atlantic salmon parr, *Salmo salar*, from a Norwegian river.
875 Freshw. Biol. 46, 173-186.
- 876 Frank, B.M., Baret, P.V., 2013. Simulating brown trout demogenetics in a river/nursery
877 brook system: The individual-based model DemGenTrout. Ecol. Model. 248, 184-
878 202.
- 879 Franklin, J., 2010. Moving beyond static species distribution models in support of
880 conservation biogeography. Divers. Distrib. 16, 321-330.
- 881 Friedland, K.D., 1998. Ocean climate influences on critical Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*)
882 life history events. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 55, 119-130.
- 883 Gallien, L., Münkemüller, T., Albert, C.H., Boulangeat, I., Thuiller, W., 2010. Predicting
884 potential distributions of invasive species: where to go from here? Divers. Distrib.
885 16, 331-342.

- 886 Gardner, J.L., Peters, A., Kearney, M.R., Joseph, L., Heinsohn, R., 2011. Declining body
887 size: a third universal response to warming? *Trends Ecol. Evol.* 26, 285-291.
- 888 Gaston, K.J., Blackburn, T.M., 2002. Large-scale dynamics in colonization and extinction
889 for breeding birds in Britain. *J. Anim. Ecol.* 71, 390-399.
- 890 Gatto, M., Rossi, R., 1979. A method for estimating mortalities and abundances of the
891 Valli Di Comacchio eels. *Memorie dell'Istituto Italiano di Idrobiologia suppl.* 37,
892 107-114.
- 893 Gienapp, P., Teplitsky, C., Alho, J.S., Mills, J.A., Merilä, J., 2008. Climate change and
894 evolution: disentangling environmental and genetic responses. *Mol. Ecol.* 17, 167-
895 178.
- 896 Grimm, V., 1999. Ten years of individual-based modelling in ecology: what have we
897 learned and what could we learn in the future? *Ecol. Model.* 115, 129-148.
- 898 Grimm, V., Berger, U., Bastiansen, F., Eliassen, S., Ginot, V., Giske, J., Goss-Custard, J.,
899 Grand, T., Heinz, S.K., Huse, G., 2006. A standard protocol for describing
900 individual-based and agent-based models. *Ecol. Model.* 198, 115-126.
- 901 Grimm, V., Berger, U., DeAngelis, D.L., Polhill, J.G., Giske, J., Railsback, S.F., 2010. The
902 ODD protocol: A review and first update. *Ecol. Model.* 221, 2760-2768.
- 903 Grimm, V., Railsback, S., 2005. *Individual-based modeling and ecology*. Princeton
904 University Press 413 pp.
- 905 Guisan, A., Thuiller, W., 2005. Predicting species distribution: offering more than simple
906 habitat models. *Ecol. Lett.* 8, 993-1009.
- 907 Guisan, A., Zimmermann, N.E., 2000. Predictive habitat distribution models in ecology.
908 *Ecol. Model.* 135, 147-186.

- 909 Heikkinen, R.K., Luoto, M., Kuussaari, M., Toivonen, T., 2007. Modelling the spatial
910 distribution of a threatened butterfly: Impacts of scale and statistical technique.
911 Landsc. Urban Plann. 79, 347-357.
- 912 Hein, C.L., Öhlund, G., Englund, G., 2011. Dispersal through stream networks: modelling
913 climate-driven range expansions of fishes. Divers. Distrib., no-no.
- 914 Holling, C.S., 1966. The strategy of building models of complex ecological systems, in:
915 Watt, K.E.F. (ed.), Systems Analysis in Ecology. Academic Press, pp. 195-214.
- 916 Huntley, B., Barnard, P., Altwegg, R., Chambers, L., Coetzee, B.W.T., Gibson, L.,
917 Hockey, P.A.R., Hole, D.G., Midgley, G.F., Underhill, L.G., Willis, S.G., 2010.
918 Beyond bioclimatic envelopes: dynamic species' range and abundance modelling in
919 the context of climatic change. Ecography 33, 621-626.
- 920 Huntley, B., Collingham, Y.C., Green, R.E., Hilton, G.M., Rahbek, C., Willis, S.G., 2006.
921 Potential impacts of climatic change upon geographical distributions of birds. Ibis
922 148, 8-28.
- 923 Huston, M., DeAngelis, D., Post, W., 1988. New computers models unify ecological
924 theory. Bioscience 38, 682-692.
- 925 Hutchings, J.A., Jones, M.E.B., 1998. Life history variation and growth rate thresholds for
926 maturity in Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar*. Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 55, 22-47.
- 927 Jackson, S.T., Betancourt, J.L., Booth, R.K., Gray, S.T., 2009. Ecology and the ratchet of
928 events: Climate variability, niche dimensions, and species distributions. Proceedings
929 of the National Academy of Sciences 106, 19685-19692.
- 930 Jager, H.I., Cardwell, H.E., Sale, M.J., Bevelhimer, M.S., Coutant, C.C., Van Winkle, W.,
931 1997. Modelling the linkages between flow management and salmon recruitment in
932 rivers. Ecol. Model. 103, 171-191.

- 933 Jager, H.I., Peterson, D.L., Farrae, D., Bevelhimer, M.S., 2013. A population model to
934 assess influences on the viability of the Shortnose sturgeon population in the
935 Ogeechee river, Georgia. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 142, 731-746.
- 936 Jonsson, B., Jonsson, N., 2009. A review of the likely effects of climate change on
937 anadromous Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar* and brown trout *Salmo trutta*, with
938 particular reference to water temperature and flow. *J. Fish Biol.* 75, 2381-2447.
- 939 Keith, D.A., Akçakaya, H.R., Thuiller, W., Midgley, G.F., Pearson, R.G., Phillips, S.J.,
940 Regan, H.M., Araújo, M.B., Rebelo, T.G., 2008. Predicting extinction risks under
941 climate change: coupling stochastic population models with dynamic bioclimatic
942 habitat models. *Biology Letters* 4, 560-563.
- 943 Kerr, J.T., Dobrowski, S.Z., 2013. Predicting the impacts of global change on species,
944 communities and ecosystems: it takes time. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* 22, 261-263.
- 945 Kielbassa, J., Delignette-Muller, M.L., Pont, D., Charles, S., 2010. Application of a
946 temperature-dependent von Bertalanffy growth model to bullhead (*Cottus gobio*).
947 *Ecol. Model.* 221, 2475-2481.
- 948 Kinnison, M.T., Hairston, N.G., 2007. Eco-evolutionary conservation biology:
949 contemporary evolution and the dynamics of persistence. *Funct. Ecol.* 21, 444-454.
- 950 Kleijnen, J.P.C., 1998. Experimental design for sensitivity analysis, optimization, and
951 validation of simulation models, in: Banks, J. (ed.), *Handbook of simulation.*
952 *Principles, Methodology, Advances, Applications and Practise.* John Wiley, New
953 York, pp. 173-224.
- 954 Kottelat, M., Freyhof, J., 2007. *Handbook of European freshwater fishes.* Kottelat, Cornol,
955 Switzerland and Freyhof, Berlin, Germany 646 pp.

- 956 Lambert, P., 2005. Exploration multiscalaire des paradigmes de la dynamique de la
957 population d'anguilles européennes à l'aide d'outils de simulation. Thèse de Doctorat.
958 Université Bordeaux I, Bordeaux, p. 224.
- 959 Lambert, P., Martin-Vandembulcke, D., Rochard, E., Bellariva, J.L., Castelnaud, G., 2001.
960 Age à la migration de reproduction des géniteurs de trois cohortes de grandes aloses
961 (*Alosa alosa*) dans le bassin versant de la Garonne (France) la (France). Bull. Fr.
962 Peche Piscic. 362/363, 973-987.
- 963 Lambert, P., Rochard, E., 2007. Identification of the inland population dynamics of the
964 European eel using a pattern-oriented modelling. Ecol. Model. 206, 166-178.
- 965 LANUV, 2010. The reintroduction of the allis shad (*Alosa alosa*) to the Rhine System -
966 Life project - LANUV-Fachbericht 28. Recklinghausen.
- 967 Lassalle, G., 2008. Impacts des changements globaux sur la distribution des poissons
968 migrateurs amphihalins, une approche par modélisation à l'échelle continentale.
969 Thèse de Doctorat. Université Bordeaux I, Bordeaux, p. 244.
- 970 Lassalle, G., Béguer, M., Beaulaton, L., Rochard, E., 2008a. Diadromous fish conservation
971 plans need to consider global warming issues: An approach using biogeographical
972 models. Biol. Conserv. 141, 1105-1118.
- 973 Lassalle, G., Béguer, M., Beaulaton, L., Rochard, E., 2009. Learning from the past to
974 predict the future: responses of European diadromous fish to climate change, in:
975 Haro, A.J., Smith, K.L., Rulifson, R.A., Moffitt, C.M., Klauda, R.J., Dadswell, M.J.,
976 Cunjak, R.A., Cooper, J.E., Beal, K.L., Avery, T.S. (eds.), Challenges for
977 diadromous fishes in a dynamic global environment, vol. Symposium 69. American
978 Fisheries Society, Bethesda, Maryland, pp. 175-193.

- 979 Lassalle, G., Trancart, T., Lambert, P., Rochard, E., 2008b. Latitudinal variations in age
980 and size at maturity among allis shad *Alosa alosa* populations. J. Fish Biol. 73, 1799-
981 1809.
- 982 Lehuta, S., Mahévas, S., Petitgas, P., Pelletier, D., 2010. Combining sensitivity and
983 uncertainty analysis to evaluate the impact of management measures with ISIS–Fish:
984 marine protected areas for the Bay of Biscay anchovy (*Engraulis encrasicolus*)
985 fishery. ICES Journal of Marine Science: Journal du Conseil 67, 1063-1075.
- 986 Levins, R., 1966. The strategy of model building in population ecology. Am. Sci. 54, 421–
987 431.
- 988 Limburg, K.E., Waldman, J.R., 2009. Dramatic declines in north Atlantic diadromous
989 fishes. Bioscience 59, 955-965.
- 990 Lochet, A., Jatteau, P., Tomàs, J., Rochard, E., 2008. Retrospective approach to
991 investigating the early life history of a diadromous fish: Allis shad *Alosa alosa* (L.)
992 in the Gironde-Garonne-Dordogne watershed. J. Fish Biol. 72, 946-960.
- 993 Loss, S.R., Terwilliger, L.A., Peterson, A.C., 2011. Assisted colonization: Integrating
994 conservation strategies in the face of climate change. Biol. Conserv. 144, 92-100.
- 995 MacKenzie, B.R., Gislason, H., Möllmann, C., Köster, F.W., 2007. Impact of 21st century
996 climate change on the Baltic Sea fish community and fisheries. Global Change
997 Biology 13, 1348-1367.
- 998 Mallet, J.P., Charles, S., Persat, H., Auger, P., 1999. Growth modelling in accordance with
999 daily water temperature in European grayling (*Thymallus thymallus* L.). Can. J. Fish.
1000 Aquat. Sci. 56, 994-1000.
- 1001 Mangel, M., 1994. Climate change and salmonid life history variation. Deep-Sea Research
1002 Part II: Topical Studies in Oceanography 41, 75-106.

- 1003 Martin Vandembulcke, D., 1999. Dynamique de population de la grande alose (*Alosa*
1004 *alosa*, L. 1758) dans le bassin versant Gironde-Garonne-Dordogne (France): analyse
1005 et prévision par modélisation. Thèse de Doctorat. Ecole Nationale Polytechnique,
1006 Toulouse, p. 155.
- 1007 McCauley, S.J., Mabry, K.E., 2011. Climate change, body size, and phenotype dependent
1008 dispersal. Trends Ecol. Evol. 26, 554-555.
- 1009 McDowall, R.M., 1988. Diadromy in fishes: migration between freshwater and marine
1010 environments. Croom Helm, London 308 pp.
- 1011 McDowall, R.M., 1997. The evolution of diadromy in fishes (revisited) and its place in
1012 phylogenetic analysis. Rev. Fish Biol. Fish. 7, 443-462.
- 1013 McDowall, R.M., 2009. Making the best of two worlds: diadromy in the evolution,
1014 ecology, and conservation of aquatic organisms, in: Haro, A.J., Smith, K.L.,
1015 Rulifson, R.A., Moffitt, C.M., Klauda, R.J., Dadswell, M.J., Cunjak, R.A., Cooper,
1016 J.E., Beal, K.L., Avery, T.S. (eds.), Challenges for diadromous fishes in a dynamic
1017 global environment. American Fisheries Society, Symposium 69, Bethesda,
1018 Maryland, pp. 1-22.
- 1019 Mennesson-Boisneau, C., Aprahamian, M.W., Sabatié, M.R., Cassou-Leins, J.J., 2000a.
1020 Caractéristiques des adultes, in: Baglinière, J.L., Elie, P. (eds.), Les aloses (*Alosa*
1021 *alosa* et *Alosa fallax* spp.). Cemagref Editions - INRA Editions, Paris, pp. 33-54.
- 1022 Mennesson-Boisneau, C., Aprahamian, M.W., Sabatié, M.R., Cassou-Leins, J.J., 2000b.
1023 Remontée migratoire des adultes, in: Baglinière, J.L., Elie, P. (eds.), Les aloses
1024 (*Alosa alosa* et *Alosa fallax* spp.). Cemagref Editions - INRA Editions, Paris, pp. 55-
1025 72.

- 1026 Mennesson-Boisneau, C., Boisneau, P., 1990. Migration, répartition, reproduction,
1027 caractéristiques biologiques et taxonomie des aloses (*Alosa* sp) dans le bassin de la
1028 Loire. Université Rennes I et Paris XII, p. 143.
- 1029 Merow, C., Lafleur, N., Silander Jr, J.A., Wilson, A.M., Rubega, M., 2011. Developing
1030 dynamic mechanistic species distribution models: Predicting bird-mediated spread of
1031 invasive plants across northeastern North America. *Am. Nat.* 178, 30-43.
- 1032 Midgley, G.F., Davies, I.D., Albert, C.H., Altwegg, R., Hannah, L., Hughes, G.O.,
1033 O'Halloran, L.R., Seo, C., Thorne, J.H., Thuiller, W., 2010. BioMove – an integrated
1034 platform simulating the dynamic response of species to environmental change.
1035 *Ecography* 33, 612-616.
- 1036 Midgley, G.F., Hannah, L., Millar, D., Rutherford, M.C., Powrie, L.W., 2002. Assessing
1037 the vulnerability of species richness to anthropogenic climate change in a
1038 biodiversity hotspot. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* 11, 445-451.
- 1039 Milly, P.C.D., Dunne, K.A., Vecchia, A.V., 2005. Global pattern of trends in streamflow
1040 and water availability in a changing climate. *Nature* 438, 347-350.
- 1041 Mota, M., Antunes, C., 2011. First report on the status of Allis shad (*Alosa alosa*) in the
1042 Minho River (Northwestern Iberian Peninsula). *J. Appl. Ichthyol.* 27, 56-59.
- 1043 Nicolas, D., Chaalali, A., Drouineau, H., Lobry, J., Uriarte, A., Borja, A., Boët, P., 2011.
1044 Impact of global warming on European tidal estuaries: some evidence of northward
1045 migration of estuarine fish species. *Reg Environ Change* 11, 639-649.
- 1046 O'Sullivan, D., Perry, G.L.W., 2009. A discrete space model for continuous space dispersal
1047 processes. *Ecological Informatics* 4, 57-68.
- 1048 Parmesan, C., Yohe, G., 2003. A globally coherent fingerprint of climate change impacts
1049 across natural systems. *Nature* 421, 37-42.

- 1050 Peterson, R.H., Spinney, H.C.E., Sreedharan, A., 1977. Development of atlantic salmon
1051 (*Salmo salar*) eggs and alevins under varied temperature regimes. J. Fish. Res. Board
1052 Can. 34, 31-43.
- 1053 Piou, C., Prévost, E., 2012. A demo-genetic individual-based model for Atlantic salmon
1054 populations: Model structure, parameterization and sensitivity. Ecol. Model. 231, 37-
1055 52.
- 1056 Piou, C., Prévost, E., 2013. Contrasting effects of climate change in continental vs. oceanic
1057 environments on population persistence and microevolution of Atlantic salmon.
1058 Global Change Biology 19, 711-723.
- 1059 Prouzet, P., Martinet, J.P., Badia, J., 1994. Biological characteristics and catch variation of
1060 allis shad (*Alosa alosa*) from commercial catches in the Adour River (Pyrenees
1061 atlantiques, France). Aquat. Living Resour. 7, 1-10.
- 1062 Pulliam, H.R., 2000. On the relationship between niche and distribution. Ecol. Lett. 3, 349-
1063 361.
- 1064 Qi, S., Sun, G., Wang, Y., McNulty, S.G., Myers, J.A.M., 2009. Streamflow response to
1065 climate and landuse changes in a coastal watershed in North Carolina. Transactions
1066 of the ASABE 52, 739-749.
- 1067 Quinn, T.P., Doctor, K., Kendall, N., Rich, H.B., 2009. Diadromy and the life history of
1068 sockeye salmon: nature, nurture and the hand of man, in: Haro, A.J., Smith, K.L.,
1069 Rulifson, R.A., Moffitt, C.M., Klauda, R.J., Dadswell, M.J., Cunjak, R.A., Cooper,
1070 J.E., Beal, K.L., Avery, T.S. (eds.), Challenges for diadromous fishes in a dynamic
1071 global environment. American Fisheries Society, Symposium 69, Bethesda,
1072 Maryland, pp. 23-42.
- 1073 R Core Team, 2013. R: A language and environment for statistical computing.

- 1074 Reed, T.E., Schindler, D.E., Hague, M.J., Patterson, D.A., Meir, E., Waples, R.S., Hinch,
1075 S.G., 2011. Time to evolve? Potential evolutionary responses of Fraser river sockeye
1076 salmon to climate change and effects on persistence. PLoS ONE 6, e20380.
- 1077 Reuillon, R., Leclaire, M., Rey-Coyrehourcq, S., 2013. OpenMOLE, a workflow engine
1078 specifically tailored for the distributed exploration of simulation models. Future
1079 Generation Computer Systems 29, 1981-1990.
- 1080 Rivot, E., Prevost, E., Parent, E., Bagliniere, J.L., 2004. A Bayesian state-space modelling
1081 framework for fitting a salmon stage-structured population dynamic model to
1082 multiple time series of field data. Ecol. Model. 179, 463-485.
- 1083 Rochard, E., Lambert, P., 2011. Chapter 30 Modelling the future of stocked fish. Biology
1084 and conservation of the Atlantic European sturgeon *Acipenser sturio* L., 1758, 417-
1085 424.
- 1086 Ronce, O., 2007. How does it feel to be like a rolling stone? Ten questions about dispersal
1087 evolution. Annual Review of Ecology, Evolution, and Systematics 38, 231-253.
- 1088 Rosso, L., Lobry, J.R., Bajard, S., Flandrois, J.P., 1995. Convenient model to describe the
1089 combined effects of temperature and pH on microbial growth. Appl. Environ.
1090 Microbiol. 61, 610-616.
- 1091 Rougier, T., Lambert, P., Drouineau, H., Girardin, M., Castelnaud, G., Carry, L.,
1092 Aprahamian, M., Rivot, E., Rochard, E., 2012. Collapse of allis shad, *Alosa alosa*, in
1093 the Gironde system (southwest France): environmental change, fishing mortality, or
1094 Allee effect? ICES Journal of Marine Science: Journal du Conseil 69, 1802-1811.
- 1095 Saltelli, A., 2004. What is sensitivity analysis?, in: Saltelli, A., Chan, K., Scott, E.M.
1096 (eds.), Sensitivity Analysis. Probability and Statistics Series. John Wiley, New york.
1097 475 pp, pp. 3-13.

- 1098 Saltelli, A., Ratto, M., Andres, T., Campolongo, F., Cariboni, J., Gatelli, D., Saisana,
1099 Tarantola, S., 2008. Global sensitivity analysis: the primer. Wiley, New York.
- 1100 Seddon, P.J., Armstrong, D.P., Soorae, P., Launay, F., Walker, S., Ruiz-Miranda, C.R.,
1101 Molur, S., Koldewey, H., Kleiman, D.G., 2009. The risks of assisted colonization.
1102 Conserv. Biol. 23, 788-789.
- 1103 Segurado, P., Araújo, M.B., 2004. An evaluation of methods for modelling species
1104 distributions. J. Biogeogr. 31, 1555-1568.
- 1105 Slone, D.H., 2011. Increasing accuracy of dispersal kernels in grid-based population
1106 models. Ecol. Model. 222, 573-579.
- 1107 Stephens, P.A., Sutherland, W.J., Freckleton, R.P., 1999. What is the Allee effect? Oikos
1108 87, 185-190.
- 1109 Thomas, C.D., Cameron, A., Green, R.E., Bakkenes, M., Beaumont, L.J., Collingham,
1110 Y.C., Erasmus, B.F.N., Ferreira De Siqueira, M., Grainger, A., Hannah, L., Hughes,
1111 L., Huntley, B., Van Jaarsveld, A.S., Midgley, G.F., Miles, L., Ortega-Huerta, M.A.,
1112 Peterson, A.T., Phillips, O.L., Williams, S.E., 2004. Extinction risk from climate
1113 change. Nature 427, 145-148.
- 1114 Thomas, C.D., Lennon, J.J., 1999. Birds extend their ranges northwards. Nature 399, 213.
- 1115 Thuiller, W., 2003. BIOMOD - optimizing predictions of species distributions and
1116 projecting potential future shifts under global change. Global Change Biology 9,
1117 1353-1362.
- 1118 Thuiller, W., Albert, C., Araújo, M.B., Berry, P.M., Cabeza, M., Guisan, A., Hickler, T.,
1119 Midgley, G.F., Paterson, J., Schurr, F.M., Sykes, M.T., Zimmermann, N.E., 2008.
1120 Predicting global change impacts on plant species' distributions: Future challenges.
1121 Perspectives in Plant Ecology, Evolution and Systematics 9, 137-152.

- 1122 Thuiller, W., Broennimann, O., Hughes, G., Alkemade, J.R.M., Midgley, G.F., Corsi, F.,
1123 2006. Vulnerability of African mammals to anthropogenic climate change under
1124 conservative land transformation assumptions. *Global Change Biology* 12, 424-440.
- 1125 Tomas, J., Augagneur, S., Rochard, E., 2005. Discrimination of the natal origin of young-
1126 of-the-year Allis shad (*Alosa alosa*) in the Garonne-Dordogne basin (south-west
1127 France) using otolith chemistry. *Ecol. Freshw. Fish.* 14, 185-190.
- 1128 Travis, J.M.J., Mustin, K., Bartoń, K.A., Benton, T.G., Clobert, J., Delgado, M.M.,
1129 Dytham, C., Hovestadt, T., Palmer, S.C.F., Van Dyck, H., Bonte, D., 2012.
1130 Modelling dispersal: an eco-evolutionary framework incorporating emigration,
1131 movement, settlement behaviour and the multiple costs involved. *Methods in*
1132 *Ecology and Evolution* 3, 628-641.
- 1133 Van Winkle, W., Jager, H.I., Railsback, S.F., Holcomb, B.D., Studley, T.K., Baldrige, J.E.,
1134 1998. Individual-based model of sympatric populations of brown and rainbow trout
1135 for instream flow assessment: model description and calibration. *Ecol. Model.* 110,
1136 175-207.
- 1137 Van Winkle, W., Rose, K.A., Chambers, R.C., 1993. Individual-based approach to fish
1138 population dynamics: An overview. *Trans. Am. Fish. Soc.* 122, 397-403.
- 1139 Visser, M.E., 2008. Keeping up with a warming world; assessing the rate of adaptation to
1140 climate change. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences* 275, 649-
1141 659.
- 1142 Vollestad, L.A., Jonsson, B., 1988. A 13-year study of the population dynamics and
1143 growth of the European eel *Anguilla anguilla* in a Norwegian river: Evidence for
1144 density-dependent mortality, and development of a model for predicting yield. *J.*
1145 *Anim. Ecol.* 57, 983-997.

1146 von Bertalanffy, L., 1938. A quantitative theory of organic growth. Hum. Biol. 10, 181-
1147 213.

1148 Vrieze, L.A., Sorensen, P.W., 2001. Laboratory assessment of the role of a larval
1149 pheromone and natural stream odor in spawning stream localization by migratory sea
1150 lamprey (*Petromyzon marinus*). Can. J. Fish. Aquat. Sci. 58, 2374-2385.

1151 Walther, G.R., Post, E., Convey, P., Menzel, A., Parmesan, C., Beebee, T.J.C., Fromentin,
1152 J.M., Hoegh-Guldberg, O., Bairlein, F., 2002. Ecological responses to recent climate
1153 change. Nature 416, 389-395.

1154 Wheeler, B., 2011. AlgDesign: Algorithmic Experimental Design. R package version 1.1-
1155 7. <http://CRAN.R-project.org/package=AlgDesign>.

1156 Zimmermann, N.E., Yoccoz, N.G., Edwards Jr, T.C., Meier, E.S., Thuiller, W., Guisan, A.,
1157 Schmatz, D.R., Pearman, P.B., 2009. Climatic extremes improve predictions of
1158 spatial patterns of tree species. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A. 106, 19723-19728.

1159

1160

1161

List of tables :

Table1. List of agents intervening in the GR3D individual-based model, with their state variables and corresponding status or measure unit.

Agent	State Variable	Description	Status/unit of measure
Sea basin	name	Name ID	SB and a number
	seasonal temperature	Water temperature	Numeric (°C)
River basin	name	Name ID	RB and a number
	longitude	Longitude at the mouth	Numeric (°)
	latitude	Latitude at the mouth	Numeric(°)
	watershed area	Watershed area of the river basin	Numeric (km ²)
	seasonal temperature	Water temperature	Numeric (°C)
Diadromous fish	ID	Fish identification	Numeric (-)
	gender	Sex	M, F
	stage	Stage	Mature, immature
	age	Age	Numeric (-)
	body-length	Body length	Numeric (cm)
	location	Current location	Name of the compartment
	birth place	Birth place	Name of the birth compartment
	number of reproduction	Number of reproduction	Numeric (-)

Table 2. GR3D parameters description and selected nominal values for the test case with allis shad.

Parameter name	Description	Value	Reference or remarks
Reproduction			
repSeason	Season of the reproduction	Spring	(Mennesson-Boisneau et al., 2000b)
Δt_{rec}	Assumed age of juvenile produced by the reproduction (Year)	0.33	As we assumed that recruitment were juveniles in estuary, we used the study from Locht et al. (2008)
η	Parameter to relate $S_{95,j}$ and the surface of a spawning place (Ind/km ²)	2.4	Offline calibration using the study from Rougier et al. (2012)
θ	Ratio between $S_{95,j}$ and $S_{50,j}$ in each spawning place	1.9	Offline calibration using the study from Rougier et al. (2012)
a	Parameter of the S-R relationship linked to the fecundity of the studied species (Eggs/individual)	135000	(Cassou-Leins et al., 2000; LANUV, 2010)
$surv_{optRep}$	Optimal survival rate of an individual from eggs to the age Δt_{rec}	$1.7 \cdot 10^{-3}$	Offline calibration using the study from Rougier et al. (2012)
$T_{minRep}, T_{optRep}, T_{maxRep}$	Water temperature (°C) regulating survival of an individual from eggs to the age Δt_{rec}	14, 20, 26	(Cassou-Leins et al., 2000; Kottelat and Freyhof, 2007)
λ	Parameter to relate c_j and the surface of a spawning place	$4.1 \cdot 10^{-4}$	Offline calibration using the study from Rougier et al. (2012)
σ_{rep}	Standard deviation of log-normal distribution of the recruitment	0.2	Reasonable guessed
Sp_{sp}	Survival probability of spawners after reproduction (i.e. iteroparous rate)	0.1	(Mennesson-Boisneau et al., 2000b)
Downstream migration			
downMigAge	Age of individual when it runs toward the sea (Year)	0.33	(Lochet et al., 2008); In the test case, this migration concerns also spawners which survive after reproduction but, as mature individuals, they migrate automatically at sea the season following the reproduction
downMigSeason	Season of the run of individuals toward the sea	summer	In the test case, both juveniles and spawners which survive after reproduction migrate in summer (Cassou-Leins et al., 2000)
Growth			
L_{ini}	Initial length of juvenile in estuary (cm)	2	As we considered juveniles in estuary, we used the study from Locht et al. (2008)
$\sigma_{\Delta L}$	Standard deviation of log-normal distribution of the	0.2	Reasonable guessed

L_{∞}	growth increment Asymptotic length of the individual (cm)	60	(Mennesson-Boisneau et al., 2000a)
$T_{minGrow}$, $T_{optGrow}$, $T_{maxGrow}$	Water temperature (°C) regulating the growth	3, 17, 26	Reasonable guessed
$\kappa_{optGrow}$	Optimal growth coefficient (cm/season)	0.3	(Bagliniere and Elie, 2000; Aprahamian et al., 2002)
Survival			
Z_{sea}	Annual mortality coefficient at sea (Year ⁻¹)	0.4	Reasonable guessed based upon Rougier et al. (2012)
H_{riv}	Annual mortality (different from natural) coefficient in river (Year ⁻¹)	0	Reasonable guessed to 0 to limit the complexity of the test case
$T_{minSurvRiv}$, $T_{optSurvRiv}$, $T_{maxSurvRiv}$	Water temperature (°C) regulating survival of individual in river	10, 20, 23	In the test case, it concerns only spawners during the reproduction run and this phase has been shown highly dependent of water temperature (Cassou-Leins et al., 2000; Kottelat and Freyhof, 2007)
$surv_{optRiv}$	Optimal natural survival rate of individuals in river (Year ⁻¹)	1	Natural mortality was assumed to be negligible at optimal conditions during the reproduction run as it concerns a short period of 3 months (Cassou-Leins et al., 2000)
Maturation			
L_{mat}	Length at first maturity (cm)	40	(Cassou-Leins et al., 2000; Lassalle et al., 2008b)
Upstream migration			
upMigAge	Age of individual when it runs toward the river (Year)	-	In the test case, this migration concerns only mature individuals and is not age-specific consequently
upMigSeason	Season of the return of spawners in river for spawning	spring	All mature individuals at sea migrate in river at this season
p_{hom}	Probability to do natal homing behavior	0.75	(Tomas et al., 2005)
α_{const} , α_{dist} , α_{TL} , α_{WA}	Parameters of the logit function used to determine the weight of each accessible basin for dispersers	-2.9, 19.7, 0, 0	Reasonable guessed assuming a weight of 0.95 and 0.05 for a basin located at a distance of 10km and 300km respectively and assuming no effect of individual size and surface of basins on dispersal
$\overline{D_{j-birthPlace}}$, $\overline{\sigma_{j-birthPlace}}$, $\overline{TL}$, $\overline{\sigma_{TL}}$, $\overline{WA}$, $\overline{\sigma_{WA}}$	Mean and standard deviation used for standard core values in the logit function	300, 978,-,-,-	Reasonable guessed assuming that 300 km was close to the maximal distance of allis shad dispersal and from EuroDiad 2.0 database (Lassalle, 2008). Parameters linked to fish length and surface basin were not relevant in the test case.
$w^{deathBasin}$	Weight of the death basin used to introduced a mortality of dispersers	0.4	Reasonable guessed

Table 3. Uncertain population dynamics parameters of the GR3D model and environmental parameters tested in the sensitivity analysis of the test case.

Parameter name	Tested values	Selected for complete sampling (Yes or No)
Reproduction SM1		
η	[2.2; 2.6]	N
θ	[1.8; 2]	Y
$surv_{optRep}$	[1*10 ⁻³ ; 2*10 ⁻³]	Y
T_{minRep}	[13.3; 14.6]	N
T_{optRep}	[19.3; 20.6]	Y
T_{maxRep}	[25.3; 26.6]	N
λ	[3*10 ⁻⁴ ; 5*10 ⁻⁴]	Y
σ_{rep}	[0.1; 0.3]	N
Growth SM2		
$\sigma_{\Delta L}$	[0.1; 0.3]	N
$T_{minGrow}$	[2.3; 3.6]	N
$T_{optGrow}$	[16.3; 17.6]	Y
$T_{maxGrow}$	[25.3; 26.6]	N
$\kappa_{optGrow}$	[0.2; 0.4]	Y
Survival SM3		
Z_{sea}	[0.3; 0.5]	Y
$T_{minSurvRiv}$	[9.3; 10.6]	N
$T_{optSurvRiv}$	[19.3; 20.6]	Y
$T_{maxSurvRiv}$	[22.3; 23.6]	N
Maturation SM5		
L_{mat}	[36; 44]	Y
Anadromous migration SM6		
p_{hom}	[0.6; 0.9]	Y
$w^{deathBasin}$	[0.2; 0.6]	N
Environmental parameters		
WA_{RB2}	[20000; 40000; 80000]	Y
$intDist$	[100; 300; 600; 900]	Y

Table 4. Percentage of explained deviance (for *fsP* and *fsS*) and explained variance (for *mlr*) by the model applied to outputs variables according to the experimental.

Design	Output variable		
	<i>fsP</i>	<i>fsS</i>	<i>mlr</i>
D-Optimal design	78%	70%	60%
Complete sampling			
Without interaction effects	81%	69%	54%
With interaction effects	90%	85%	79%

List of figures :

Fig. 1. Conceptual diagram of the life cycle of anadromous species (adapted for allis shad) represented in the GR3D individual-based model.

Fig. 2. Illustrative example of simulation results over 200 years showing the dynamics over time of the recruitment in RB1 and RB2 using the nominal values of the model parameters and with (A) a distance of 300 km between RB1 and RB2 and a success of the colonization of RB2 ($fs = 2$), (B) a distance of 600 km between RB1 and RB2 and very low recruitments in RB2 at the end of simulation ($fs = 1$), and (C) a distance of 900 km between RB1 and RB2 and no colonization of RB2 ($fs = 0$).

Fig. 3. Ten highest SI values (in %; the higher the percentage, the more sensitive the model is to the considered parameter) for the *fsP* (A), *fsS* (B) and *mlr* (C) output variables calculated from logistic regression for *fsP* and *fsS* and ANOVA for *mlr* with the D-Optimal experimental design (theta and lambda correspond to the parameters θ and λ of the reproduction process respectively).

Fig. 4. TSI values (in %; the higher the percentage, the more sensitive the model is to the considered parameter) for the *fsP* (A), *fsS* (B) and *mlr* (C) output variables calculated from logistic regression on *fsP* and *fsS* and ANOVA on *mlr* with the complete sampling design (theta, lambda, weightDB correspond to the parameters θ , λ and $w^{deathBasin}$ respectively).