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ABSTRACT: By means of the contact dynamics method together with a particle fracture model, in which the particles are cohesive aggregates of irreducible polygonal fragments, we investigate the evolution of particle size distribution in the process of uniaxial compaction of granular materials. The case of single particle breakup under compressive stress is used to test the method and the influence of discretization (number of irreducible fragments). We show that the breaking threshold of the granular assembly scales with the internal cohesion of the particles but it depends also on the initial size distribution and irregularity of polygonal particle shapes. The evolution of size distribution proceeds by consecutive periods of intense particle crushing, characterized by local shattering instability, and periods of little breaking activity. Starting with either monodisperse or power-law distribution of particle sizes, the latter evolves towards a broad distribution of the fragmented particles with a nearly power-law distribution in the range of intermediate particle sizes. Interestingly, a finite number of large particles survive despite ongoing crushing process due to the more homogeneous distribution of forces in the presence of small fragmented particles filling the pores between larger particles.

1 INTRODUCTION

Particle breakage occurs very commonly in natural granular flows and in industrial processes involving the transport, handling and compaction of granular materials. The particle size reduction is often undesired or uncontrolled, and it is referred to as attrition process. In contrast, the fragmentation of particles under controlled conditions is used in comminution processes such as the milling of vegetal products or grinding of mineral materials. The evolution of particle size distribution and energy dissipation in such processes depend on many factors such as particle properties (shape, crushability), initial size distribution, loading history and mobility of the grains during the crushing process (Thornton, Yin, & Adams 1996, Fuerstenau, Gutsche, & Kapur 1996, Couroyer, Ning, & Ghadirí 2000, Potapov & Campbell 2001, Nakata, Hyodo, Hyde, & Murata 2001, Cleary 2001, Bolten, & Cheng 2008, Hosten & Cimilli 2009, Liu, Kafui, & Thornton 2010).

The manufacture of compact shapes by molding powdered materials is the archetypal example of a process in which the bulk crushing of particles plays as much a role as particle rearrangements (Fuerstenau, Gutsche, & Kapur 1996, Hosten & Cimilli 2009, Das, Nguyen, & Einav 2011, Ben-Nun, Einav, & Tordesillas 2010, Esnault & Roux 2013). However, despite its industrial importance, the compaction process and its underlying microscopic mechanisms are still poorly understood partially due to short length and time scales governing particle breakup. The bulk comminution process and redistribution of the fragments during compaction are controlled by the structural disorder of granular media and highly inhomogeneous distribution of contact forces (Tsoungui, Vallet, Charmet, & Roux 1997, Radiai 1998, Thornton, Ci mocos, & Adams 2004, Agnolin & Roux 2007, Ben-Nun, Einav, & Tordesillas 2010). Moreover, the process is both nonlinear and nonlocal as cascading events follow a local particle breakup and seem to have strong impact on the resulting size distributions (Esnault & Roux 2013). Analytical models have also been proposed for the distribution of particle sizes (Wohletz, Sheridan, & Brown 1989, Redner 1990, Astrom & Herrmann 1998, Gorokhovski 2003, Elek & Jaramaz 2009, Bird, Watts, Tarquis, & Whitmore 2009). Most models are based on kinematic considerations and the cascading nature of the fragmentation process, leading thus to log-normal distributions
or power laws. However, the nonlinear effects and homogeneity of breakup events inside the material are essential for the size distributions (Redner 1990, Bird, Watts, Tarquis, & Whitmore 2009).

Numerical simulations by the molecular dynamics (MD) or discrete element method (DEM) have been increasingly employed in order to get a better understanding of the particle-scale mechanisms of the comminution process (Thornton, Yin, & Adams 1996, Moreno, Ghadiri, & Antony 2003, Liu, Kafui, & Thornton 2010). Such methods combine the general framework of the DEM, based on rigid-body dynamics and frictional contact interactions, with a particle fracture model. DEM numerical models have the advantage of providing detailed information about the local particle environments and force chains that control the local breakup events. The most straightforward approach consists in modeling the particles as agglomerates of irreducible spherical particles bonded together by cohesive forces. Such agglomerates may represent real agglomerates such as pellets and ceramic compacts. This model has, however, been more generally used to investigate the behavior of crushable soils, rocks, fault gouge and other materials (Cheng, Bolton, & Nakata 2004, Khanal, Schubert, & Tomas 2007, Bolton, 2, & Cheng 2008, Abe & Mair 2009, Wang & Yan 2012, Timar, Kun, Carmona, & Herrmann 2012, Metzger & Glasser 2012).

An alternative method consists in replacing a circular or spherical particle at its fracture threshold by several smaller fragments of the same shape (Astrom & Herrmann 1998, Tsoungui, Vallet, & Charmet 1999, Ben-Nun, Einav, & Tordesillas 2010). A major drawback of both methods is that an aggregate of spherical particles includes voids, so that the breakup of the agglomerate leads to volume loss. To circumvent this pathology, some authors have applied the DEM to particles of arbitrary shape discretized into polygons interconnected by springs with a breaking threshold (D’Addetta, Kun, & Ramm 2002, Galindo-Torres, Pedros, Williams, & Li 2012). This approach has been used to study the fragmentation process of a single particle.

In this paper, we introduce a novel approach based on the contact dynamics (CD) method (Moreau 1994, Radjai & Richefeu 2009, Radjai & Dubois 2011). The particles have polygonal shapes and modeled as cohesive aggregates of irreducible fragments of polygonal shape generated by Voronoi tesselation so that they fill the whole volume of the particle. A contact line between two irreducible fragments loses its cohesion and becomes frictional when a cohesion threshold is reached. Upon fragmentation, the total volume of the fragments is equal to the initial volume of the initial particle, so that, in contrast with an aggregate of circular particles, the volume is conserved. As we shall see below, our simulations reveal the highly nonlinear feature of the breaking process with periods of intense breakup events followed by periods of load-

![Figure 1: Discretization of a particle by Voronoi cells representing irreducible fragments interacting by cohesive forces.](image)

In the following, we first describe the numerical procedures and our particle system. Then, we consider the case of single particle breakup in order to quantify the effect of discretization. Then, we present the evolution of size distributions for different system parameters as a function of the compressive stress. We conclude with a brief discussion of the most salient findings of this work.

## 2 NUMERICAL PROCEDURES

The simulations were carried out by means of the CD method, which is suitable for simulating large assemblies of undeformable particles (Moreau 1994, Radjai & Richefeu 2009). In this method, the equations of motion are integrated by an implicit time-stepping scheme accounting for the kinematic constraints resulting from frictional contact interactions. The implicit integration scheme makes the method unconditionally stable. An iterative algorithm is used to determine the contact forces and particle velocities simultaneously for all particles in the system. The CD method has been extensively employed for the simulation of aspherical particles (Azéma, Radjai, Peyroux, & Saussine 2007, Azéma, Radjai, & Saussine 2009, Azéma & Radjai 2010, Azéma & Radjai 2012, Azéma, Radjai, & Dubois 2013).

We divide each particle into irreducible fragments by Voronoi tessellation as shown in figure 1. These fragments represent primary rigid particles that interact by frictional cohesion along their sides characterized by a normal fracture threshold $\sigma_c$ and a shear threshold $\mu_s \sigma_c$, where $\mu_s$ is the internal friction coefficient. All primary fragments interact by side/side contacts. The normal adhesion threshold $f_c$ between two fragments linearly depends on the length $L$ of the contact (representing the interface): $f_c = 0.5 L \sigma_c$, where $\sigma_c$ is the internal cohesion of the particle. The factor 0.5 accounts for the two contact points representing
geometrically a side/side contact. The fracture is assumed to be irreversible and a side/side contact transforming into a side/vertex contact loses its cohesion. Each contact point carries a normal force $f_n$ and a tangential force $f_t$. The friction coefficient in the simulations reported below is set to $\mu_s = 0.3$.

![Figure 2: A single circular particle subjected to diametral compression. The red and green lines represent compressive and tensile contacts, respectively.](image)

We used slow single-particle compression tests in order to evaluate the effect of numerical parameters on the breakup process. Fig. 2 displays the incipient fracture of a particle compressed between two planes together with compressive and tensile forces between irreducible fragments composing the particle. The crack is triggered at the top plane and propagates from top to bottom into the particle. This mode-I fracture is observed in experiments. The zigzag aspect of the main crack reflects the rather coarse discretization of the particle. We also observe secondary cracks, and small fragments in addition to the two main fragments produced.

![Figure 3: Axial stress versus axial strain for several values of the cohesive stress $\sigma_c$. The inset shows the axial stress normalized by $\sigma_c$.](image)

Figure 3 shows the mean axial stress $\sigma_a$ as a function of axial deformation $\varepsilon_a$ for different values of the internal cohesion $\sigma_c$. The stress increases smoothly with strain and falls off abruptly when fracture is triggered. The stress peak is the fracture threshold of the particle. Except for the lowest cohesion, it scales with $\sigma_c$, as shown in the inset. The higher threshold of $\sigma_a/\sigma_c$ in the low-cohesion limit may be attributed to the prevailing effect of interlocking and friction between fragments as compared to the cohesive interactions. This is consistent with the fact that the fracture threshold $\sigma_a^{\text{max}}$ declines when the number $n_V$ of meshing Voronoi cells increases, as shown in Fig. 4. For $n_V > 100$ the fracture threshold is nearly independent of $n_V$, indicating that for $n_V > 100$ the details of Voronoi tessellation do not affect the fracture behaviour of the particle. In the simulations reported below all particles, irrespective of their sizes, are meshed with irreducible fragments of the same size and the smallest particles have at least 50 fragments.

![Figure 4: Tensile strength normalized by the internal cohesion as a function of the number $n_V$ of Voronoi cells.](image)

3 EVOLUTION OF SIZE DISTRIBUTIONS IN UNIAXIAL COMPRESSION

We consider the evolution of particle size distributions of an assembly of polygonal particles in oedometric geometry. We use pentagons as reference particle shape. An irregular pentagon can be obtained by changing the angular positions of the vertices. Let $\theta_0$ be the position of the first vertex. The angular position of a vertex $i$ is given by $\theta^i = \theta_0 + 2\pi i/5$. This regular polygon can be transformed into an irregular pentagon by perturbing randomly the position of each vertex $i$ within an angular limit $\pm \delta \pi/5$: $\theta^i = \theta_0 + 2\pi i/5 \pm \delta \pi/5$, where $\delta$ can be varied in the range $[0, 1]$. Its value quantifies the degree of shape irregularity. Fig. 5 shows two examples of irregular pentagons constructed from a regular one. The size of a pentagonal particle is defined by the diameter $d$ of its circumscribed disk. It is varied in a range $[d_{\text{min}}, d_{\text{max}}]$ with a uniform distribution of particle volume fractions. Following (Voivret, Radjai, Delenne, & Yousoufi 2007), we define the size span $s$ of the distribution by $s = (d_{\text{max}} - d_{\text{min}})/d_{\text{max}} + d_{\text{min}}$. The value $s = 0$ corresponds to
Figure 6 displays three snapshots of a packing composed of irregular pentagons at three stages of evolution of the particles and cracks between adjacent irreducible fragments, as well as the fragments generated. We see that the cracks are initiated in different parts of the packing and most of the time they do not propagate to neighboring particles. Instead, due to local load transfer, they lead to the shattering of a few particles whereas a number of particles are at the same time only superficially damaged.

Figure 7(a) shows the axial deformation $\varepsilon_a$ as a function of the normalised axial stress $\sigma_a/\sigma_c$ for four different combinations of size polydispersity $s$ and shape irregularity $\delta$. Since the particles are perfectly rigid, the axial deformation reflects the breakup of particles and partial filling of the pore space by small fragments. This process begins for a stress well below the cohesive strength $\sigma_c$ of the particles as a result of broad force distribution in granular materials and concentration of forces in strong force chains. The deformation then proceeds by periods of fast fragmenta-

Figure 6: Three snapshots of a packing under uniaxial compaction for axial stresses $\sigma_a = \sigma_c$(a), $\sigma_a = 2\sigma_c$(b) and $\sigma_a = 10\sigma_c$(c).

A monodisperse packing whereas $s = 1$ corresponds to “infinite” polydispersity.

All packings are prepared according to the same protocol. For given values of $s$ and $\delta$, 200 particles are generated with ten size classes and a uniform particle volume distribution. The particles are initially placed on a square network in a rectangular box of dimensions $l_0 \times h_0$ and deposited under the action of the gravity $g$. Then, the gravity is set to 0 and the packings are subjected to vertical compression under an axial load $\sigma_a$ applied on the upper wall and where the left, bottom and right walls are fixed. The friction coefficient between particles and with the walls is set to zero during the compression in order to obtain dense and nearly isotropic packings. The compression is stopped when the contact force network jams. The samples prepared by the above procedure are then subjected to to uniaxial compaction in the same box by incrementally increasing $\sigma_a$.  

Figure 7: Evolution of axial deformation (a) and mean particle diameter (b) as a function of normalized axial stress, and evolution of mean particle diameter as a function of axial strain (c), for four different combinations of size polydispersity $s$ and shape irregularity $\delta$. The diameters are normalized by the largest particle diameter $d_{max}$. Before the beginning of fragmentation.
tion due to shattering instability and sudden increase of $\varepsilon_a$ followed by periods of loading without fragmentation and deformation. Up to $\sigma_a = 5\sigma_c$, the total deformation is larger for monodisperse $(s = 0)$ packings than for polydisperse packings $(s = 0.5)$. Figure 7(b) shows the evolution of the mean particle diameter $\langle d \rangle$ normalized by $d_{\text{max}}$ as a function of axial stress. The evolution of $\langle d \rangle$ clearly underlies the “staircase” aspect of the evolution of deformation with a series of equilibrium configurations separated by rearrangements allowed by particle breakage. This correlation is observed for all values of $s$ and $\delta$. As a result, when $\langle d \rangle$ is plotted vs. $\varepsilon_a$, we observe an almost smooth dependence as shown in Fig. 7(c). In the monodisperse case, the mean particle size declines logarithmically with particle breakup down to small sizes is affected by the size of irreducible fragments at $\sigma_a = 5\sigma_c$.

Figure 8 shows the cumulative volume fraction (CVF) $h(d)$ of fragmented particles at different levels of compression for packings of regular monodisperse $(s = 0.0)$ and polydisperse $(s = 0.5)$ particles. By definition, we have $h(d) = \pi \sum_{d_i < d} d_i^2 n(d_i) \delta d$, where $n(d_i)\delta d$ is the proportion of particles of diameter $d_i$. In the monodisperse case, the fragmentation generates quite rapidly all size classes down to the size of irreducible fragments. The CVF tends to a well-defined shape with three distinct size ranges: 1) small-size range $d < 0.1d_{\text{max}}$, 2) intermediate range $0.1d_{\text{max}} < d < 0.8d_{\text{max}}$ and 3) large-size $d > 0.8d_{\text{max}}$. The small-size range reflects the cutoff imposed by the size of irreducible fragments. The large-size range, with its relatively higher number of particles, represents a signature of the initial size distribution. It indicates that large particles break to a lesser extent due to the effect of smaller fragmented particles that tend to reduce the concentration of shear stresses.

The CVF in the intermediate range is nearly linear, implying thus a power-law distribution $n(d) \propto d^\alpha$ with $\alpha \simeq -2$. Note that this distribution corresponds to a uniform distribution by volume fractions and it was found to be the distribution best filling the pore space in two dimensions (Voivret, Radjai, Delenne, & Youssoufi 2007). This distribution is also very close to the fractal distribution of Apollonian packings with exponent $\alpha \simeq -2.3$ (Astrom & Herrmann 1998). The emergence of a pore-filling power-law size distribution by fragmentation is remarkable as it suggests that the particle breakup down to small sizes is affected by the sizes of unfilled pores. As far as this is the case, the size distribution is stable and only the low-limit particle size evolves. The case of polydisperse packings, shown in Fig. 8(b), is interesting in this respect. Here, the initial size distribution is uniform by volume fractions from the very beginning. We see that the linear CVF is conserved by fragmentation in the range $d > 0.3d_{\text{max}}$.

4 CONCLUSION

In this work, we introduced a numerical approach based on the contact dynamics method and meshing of the particles by irreducible fragments of polygonal shape for the simulation of granular materials with potential particle breakup. This approach conserves particle shapes (polygonal) and total volume of the particles during the fragmentation process. The fracture threshold of a single particle scales with its cohesive strength and is not affected by the number of meshes if the latter is sufficiently high. It was shown that stress-controlled oedometric compaction of crushable particles occurs in consecutive periods of intense particle breakup leading to rearrangements and fast increase of packing fraction, and periods of loading with nearly no particle breakup. The fragmentation process is initiated by cracks inside the particles. The cracks do not propagate from particle to particle. Instead, local shattering of a number of particles occurs as a result of the effect of persistent strong force chains. We showed that the cumulative volume fractions are linear in the intermediate size range, corresponding to a power-law size distribution of exponent $-2$. More work is under way to characterize in more detail the fragmentation process, the evolution of local stresses and contact force distributions, the shapes of fragments, and the effect of external parameters such as gravity. In the same way, an interesting topic that may be investigated within the present approach is the effect of particle breakup on the quasi-static and inertial granular flows.
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