

Estimate of sums of Dirichlet series

Lou Shituo, Yao Qi

▶ **To cite this version:**

Lou Shituo, Yao Qi. Estimate of sums of Dirichlet series. Hardy-Ramanujan Journal, 1994, Volume 17 - 1994, pp.1 - 31. 10.46298/hrj.1994.128 . hal-01108730

HAL Id: hal-01108730
https://hal.science/hal-01108730

Submitted on 23 Jan 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Hardy-Ramanujan Journal
Vol.17 (1994) 1-31

ESTIMATE OF SUMS OF DIRICHLET SERIES

Shituo Lou and Qi Yao

§ 1. INTRODUCTION.

Let x be a large positive number, ε be a small positive number and k be a finite integer. Let $y = x^{\theta}, 1/2 < \theta < 7/12, T = x^{1-\theta+\varepsilon/2}$, and let $M_1, \cdots, M_k$ and L be real numbers such that

$$M_1 \cdots M_k L = x/2. \tag{1.1}$$

$U_1, \cdots, U_k$ and W be real numbers such that

$$W \ll L^{1/2-\varepsilon} \tag{1.2}$$

and

$$U_i \ll M_i^{1/2}, 1 \leq i \leq k. \tag{1.3}$$

Let A be a fixed integer, and $\mid S \mid$ be the number of elements of set S. We discuss the set $S(U_1, \cdots, U_k, W)$ which satisfies following conditions, for $1 \leq i \leq k$ and j be a positive integer,

(1.4) $\mid S(U_1, \cdots, U_k, W) \mid \ll U_i^{-2j}(M_i^j + T)(\log x)^A$ and $\mid S(U_1, \cdots, U_k, W) \mid \ll W^{-2j}(L^j + T)(\log x)^A$;

(1.5) $\mid S(U_1, \cdots, U_k, W) \mid \ll (U_i^{-2j} M_i^j + U_i^{-6j} M_i^j T)(\log x)^A$;

(1.6) $\mid S(U_1, \cdots, U_k, W) \mid \ll (W^{-2j} L^j + W^{-6j} L^j T)(\log x)^A$;

(1.7) $W^4 \mid S(U_1,\cdots,U_k,W) \mid \ll T(log\ x)^A$.

Let $a_j = log\ M_j/log\ x$. In § 2 we shall give some functions $h_i(a_1,\cdots,a_k)$ for $1 \le i \le 3$, such that

$$U_1\cdots U_kW \mid S(U_1,\cdots,U_k,W) \mid \ll x^{1/2-\varepsilon} + x^{h_i(a_1,\cdots,a_k)}. \tag{1.8}$$

In § 3, we discuss those $S(U_1,\cdots,U_k,W)$'s which satisfy one more inequality : for $1 \le j \le k$,

$$\mid S(U_1,\cdots,U_k,W) \mid \ll W^{-4}U_i^{-2}(M_j^2T^{1/2} + M_j^{5/4}T^{3/4} + T)T^{\varepsilon}. \tag{1.9}$$

If $T^{1/5} \le M_j \le T^{1/3}$, we replace (1.9) by

$$\mid S(U_1,\cdots,U_k,W) \mid \ll W^{-4}U_i^{-2}M_j^{5/4}T^{3/4+\varepsilon}, \tag{1.10}$$

and, if $M_j \le T^{1/5}$, we replace (1.9) by

$$\mid S(U_1,\cdots,U_k,W) \mid \ll W^{-4}U_i^{-2}T^{1+\varepsilon}. \tag{1.11}$$

In § 3 we will give $h_i(a_1,\cdots,a_k)$ for $4 \le i \le 10$ with (1.8).

Heath-Brown and Iwaniec [1] discussed the gaps between consecutive primes using sieve method. The remainder term

$$R(x;M_1,\cdots,M_k) = \sum_{\substack{M_i<m_i\le 2M_i\\ 1\le i\le k}} a_{m_1,1},\cdots,a_{m_k,k}r_{m_1\cdots m_k}, \tag{1.12}$$

where

$$r_d = \left[\frac{x}{d}\right] - \left[\frac{x-y}{d}\right],$$

$M_i < y$ and $\mid a_{m_i,i} \mid \le 1$, be considered. Applying the method which is very close to Heath-Brown and Iwaniec's, in § 5, we will show that

$$R(x;M_1,\cdots,M_k) \ll x^{\theta-\varepsilon}, \tag{1.13}$$

if (1.8) holds with

$$h_i(a_1,\cdots,a_k) < 1/2, \tag{1.14}$$

In fact, they proved that (see [1]) if $\theta = 11/20 + \varepsilon, k = 2, M_1 \ll x^{0.46-\varepsilon}$, and $M_2 \ll x^{0.46-\varepsilon}$, (1.13) holds. Consequently, they obtained that for $y = x^{\theta}, \theta \geq 11/20 + \varepsilon$,

$$\pi(x) - \pi(x-y) > \frac{1}{212} \frac{y}{\log x}, \tag{1.15}$$

where $\pi(x)$ be the number of primes $\leq x$.

In [2], Heath-Brown discussed some kind of products of Dirichlet series

$$W(s) = X(s) \prod_{j=1}^{k_0} Y_j(s),$$

where

$$X(s) = \sum_{L_0 < n \leq 2L_0} n^{-s},$$

$$Y_j(s) = \sum_{M_j < n \leq 2M_j} b_m n^{-s}, |\, b_m \,| \leq 1;$$

and

$$L_0 \prod_{j=1}^{k_0} M_j = \frac{x}{2}.$$

Using Heath-Brown's method that was used in [2], in § 5, we shall show that

$$\int_T^{2T} |\, W(\frac{1}{2} + it) \,|\, dt \ll x^{\frac{1}{2}} exp(-(\log x)^{\frac{1}{3}} (\log\log x)^{\frac{2}{3}}) \tag{1.16}$$

for

$$T_1 \leq T \leq x^{1-\theta+\varepsilon},$$

where θ is a fixed positive constant with $1/2 < \theta < 1$, and

$$T_1 = exp((\log x)^{\frac{1}{3}} (\log\log x)^{-\frac{1}{3}}),$$

if (1.8) holds with (1.14).

In [3], and [4], we shall apply the results of this paper to investigate gaps between consecutive primes and prove that (1.11) holds for $\theta = 11/20 + \varepsilon$

in [3] and $\theta = 6/11 + \varepsilon$ in [4]. In Section 4, we also discuss the case of $\theta = 11/20 + \varepsilon$ that will be used in [3] to prove that

$$0.99\frac{y}{\log x} < \pi(x) - \pi(x-y) < 1.01\frac{y}{\log x}.$$

In Section 4 we discuss the case of $\theta = 6/11 + \varepsilon$ as well that will be used in [4] to prove that

$$\frac{0.969y}{\log x} < \pi(x) - \pi(x-y) < \frac{1.031y}{\log x},$$

for $\theta = 6/11 + \varepsilon$.

In this paper δ be another positive small number with $\delta \ll \varepsilon$ and may be difference in some paragraphs. Also, we use

$$c\delta < \delta.$$

§ 2. ESTIMATE OF $|S(U_1, \cdots, U_k, W)|$.

In this section we estimate $|S(U_1, \cdots, U_k, W)|$. First we divide $M_1, \cdots, M_k$, and L into $k_0 + 1$ parts (in this paper $2 \le k_0 \le 6$), denote the products of elements in one part by $N_1, \cdots, N_{k_0}$, and L_0, respectively. Also denote the product of those U_h's or W that correspond to N_j or L_0 by U_j or W, again. We now replace (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3) by

$$N_1 \cdots N_{k_0} L_0 = \frac{x}{2}, \tag{2.1}$$

$$U_j \le N_j^{\frac{1}{2}} A_j, \quad 1 \le j \le k_0, \tag{2.2}$$

and

$$W \le L_0^{\frac{1}{2}} A_0 \tag{2.3}$$

where A_j (or A_0) $= x^{-\delta}$ if L belongs to N_j (or L_0), otherwise $A_j = 1$. Thus we have that

$$W\prod_{i=1}^{k} U_i \ll \left(L_0\prod_{i=1}^{k} M_i\right)^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} \ll x^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta}. \tag{2.4}$$

It is clear that if L_0 satisfies (1.6) with $i = 2$ and $L_0 \ll T^{1/2}$, then L_0 satisfies (1.7). In this paper, assume that

$$L_0 \ll T^{1/2} \text{ if } L_0 \neq L. \tag{2.5}$$

Replacing L by L_0, we shall show that the function $| S(U_1, \cdots, U_{k0}, W) |$ with (1.4), (1.5), (1.6), (1.7) and (2.4) such that (1.8).

We now renew the notations $a_i = log\ N_i/log\ x, i = 1, \cdots, k_0, \sigma = log\ L_0/log\ x = 1 - a_1 - \cdots - a_{k_0}$ and $t_0 = log\ T/log\ x$. Let

$$F = | S(U_1, \cdots, U_{k0}, W) | x^{-\delta} \tag{2.6}$$

We replace $| S(U_1, \cdots, U_{k0}, W) |$ by F, it makes that we can drop the factor $log^A x$ in the right hand side of (1.4), (1.5), (1.6) and (1.7), and drop T^ϵ in (1.9).

If $F \geq 2U_i^{-2j}N_i^j$, then we have that

$$N_i \ll T^{1/j} \tag{2.7}$$

since $F \leq U_i^{-2j}(N_i^j + T)$.

Suppose j such that

$$\sigma \leq t_0/j \text{ and } j = 2 \text{ if } \sigma \geq t_0/2. \tag{2.8}$$

Thus, if $j > 2$, we have $\sigma \leq t_0/j$, i.e. $W^j \leq T$. Then

$$F \ll W^{-2j}T.$$

We prove following lemmas :

Lemma 2.1. *Let $k_0 = 2$, we have*

$$U_1U_2 \mid S(U_1, U_2, W) \mid \ll x^{h_0(a_1,a_2)+\epsilon/2} + x^{1/2-\delta}, \tag{2.9}$$

where $h_0(a_1, a_2) = h_0(a_2, a_1), a_1' = max\{t_0, a_1\}$ and

$$h_0(a_1, a_2) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2} - \epsilon, & a_1 \geq a_2 \geq t_0 \quad (2.10) \\ \frac{t_0}{2} + \frac{a_1}{2} + min\left\{\frac{a_2}{4j}, \frac{\sigma}{6} + \frac{a_2}{12j}\right\}, & \text{otherwise.} \quad (2.11) \end{cases}$$

Proof. We have that, by (1.4), (1.5) and (1.6),

$$F \le min\{U_1^{-2}(N_1+T), U_2^{-2}(N_2+T), U_1^{-2}N_1 + U_1^{-6}N_1T, U_2^{-2}N_2 + U_2^{-6}N_2T, W^{-2j}L_0^j + W^{-6j}L_0^jT, W^{-2j}T\},$$

and proceed to show that

$$U_1U_2WF \ll x^{h_0(a_1,a_2)} + x^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta}. \tag{2.12}$$

We now consider four cases :

Case 1. $F \le 2U_1^{-2}N_1$ and $F \le 2U_2^{-2}N_2$.

Choosing k' such that $L_0^{k'} \ge T$, we have $F \ll W^{-2k'}L_0^{k'}$, and then

$$\begin{aligned} U_1U_2WF &\ll U_1U_2W(U_2^{-2}N_2)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2(2k'+1)}}(U_1^{-2}N_2)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2(2k'+1)}}(W^{-2k'}L_0^{k'})^{\frac{1}{2k'+1}} \\ &\ll (U_1U_2W)^{\frac{1}{2k'+1}}(N_1N_2L_0)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{2(2k'+1)}} \ll x^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} \end{aligned}$$

by (2.4). Thus (2.12) holds. (Note : when $a_1 \ge a_2 \ge t_0$, we have that

$$F \le 2U_1^{-2}N_1 \quad \text{and} \quad F \le 2U_2^{-2}N_2$$

by (1.4) with $i = 1$. This completes the proof of (2.10).)

Case 2. $F \le 2U_1^{-2}N_1, F > 2U_2^{-2}N_2$.

In this case we have that $F \ll U_2^{-2}T, F \ll U_2^{-6}N_2T$ and $N_2 \ll T$ since (1.4), (1.5) (take $M_i = N_i$) (2.7) and $F > 2U_2^{-2}N_2$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} F &\le 2min\{U_1^{-2}N_1, U_2^{-2}T, U_2^{-6}N_2T, W^{-2j}L_0^j, W^{-2j}T\} \\ &+ 2min\{U_1^{-2}N_1, U_2^{-2}T, U_2^{-6}N_2T, W^{-2j}L_0^jT, W^{-2j}T\} \\ &\le 2(U_1^{-2}N_1)^{1/2}(U_2^{-2}T)^{(2j-3)/4j}(U_2^{-6}N_2T)^{1/4j}(W^{-2j}min\{L_0^j, T\})^{1/2j} \\ &+ 2min\{(U_1^{-2}N_1)^{1/2}(U_2^{-2}T)^{(2j-3)/4j}(U_2^{-6}N_2T)^{1/4j}(W^{-2j}T)^{1/2j}, \\ &\quad (U_1^{-2}N_1)^{1/2}(U_2^{-2}T)^{(2j-1)/4j}(U_2^{-6}N_2T)^{1/12j}(W^{-6j}L_0^jT)^{1/6j}\} \\ &\ll (U_1U_2W)^{-1}N_1^{1/2}T^{1/2-1/2j}N_2^{1/4j}min\left\{L_0^{\frac{1}{2}}, T^{\frac{1}{2j}}\right\} \\ &\quad +(U_1U_2W)^{-1}(TN_1)^{1/2}min\left(N_2^{1/4j}, N_2^{1/12j}L_0^{1/6}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

If $L_0 \ll T^{1/j}$, we have that

$$L_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \ll T^{\frac{1}{2j}} \text{ and } N_2^{\frac{1}{6j}} L_0^{\frac{1}{3}} \ll T^{\frac{1}{2j}}.$$

Thus the first term on the right hand side of (2.13) is less than the second term. If $L_0 \gg T^{1/j}$, by (2.7), we have $j = 2$. We have that the first term on the right hand side of (2.13) is less than the second term again. Then

$$F \ll (U_1U_2W)^{-1}(TN_1)^{1/2} min\left(N_2^{1/4j}, N_2^{1/12j} L_0^{1/6}\right).$$

Thus (2.12) and (2.11) follows.

Case 3. $F \leq 2U_2^{-2}N_2, F \geq 2U_1^{-2}N_1$.

The proof here is the same as in Case 2.

Case 4. $F > 2U_1^{-2}N_1, F > 2U_2^{-2}N_1$.

We have that

$$\begin{aligned} F &\leq 2min\left\{U_1^{-2}T, U_2^{-2}T, U_1^{-6}N_1T, U_2^{-6}N_2T, W^{-2j}L_0^j, W^{-2j}T\right\} \\ &+ 2min\left\{U_1^{-2}T, U_2^{-2}T, U_1^{-6}N_1T, U_2^{-6}N_2T, W^{-6j}L_0^j, W^{-2j}T\right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} F &\ll (U_1^{-2}T)^{\frac{1}{2}}(W^{-2j}L_0^j)^{\frac{1}{6j}}(W^{-2j}T)^{\frac{1}{3j}}(U_2^{-2}T)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{3}{4j}}(U_2^{-6}N_2T)^{\frac{1}{4j}} \\ &+ (U_1^{-2}T)^{\frac{1}{2}}(W^{-6j}L_0^jT)^{\frac{1}{6j}}(U_2^{-2}T)^{\frac{2j-1}{4j}}(U_2^{-6}N_2T)^{\frac{1}{12j}} \\ &\ll (U_1U_2W)^{-1}\left(T^{1-\frac{1}{6j}}L_0^{\frac{1}{6}}N_2^{\frac{1}{4j}} + TL_0^{\frac{1}{6}}N_2^{\frac{1}{12j}}\right) \\ &\leq (U_1U_2W)^{-1}TL_0^{\frac{1}{6}}N_2^{\frac{1}{12j}}, \end{aligned}$$

since $N_2 \ll T$ by (2.7) with $j = 1$. Thus we get (2.12) and (2.11) again.

Lemma 2.2. *If $N_2 \leq T$, we have*

$$U_1U_2W \mid S(U_1, U_2, W) \mid \ll x^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} + x^{h_1^i(a_1,a_2)}, \tag{2.14}$$

where

$$h_1(a_1, a_2) = \frac{i+1}{2(i+2)}t_0 + \frac{1}{4(i+2)} + \frac{a_1' + \sigma_i}{2} - \frac{a_1 + \sigma}{4(i+2)},$$

$a_1' = max\{t_0, log\ N_1/log\ x\}$ *and* $\sigma_i = max\left\{\frac{t_0}{(i+2)}, 1-a_1-a_2\right\}$.

Proof. We consider two cases :

Case 1. $F \geq 2U_2^{-2}N_2$

In this case, $N_2 \ll T$, then

$$\begin{aligned} F &\ll min\left\{U_1^{-2}x^{a_1'}, U_2^{-2}T, U_2^{-6}N_2T, W^{-2(i+2)}x^{(i+2)\sigma_i}\right\} \\ &\ll (U_1^{-2}x^{a_1})^{1/2}(W^{-2(i+2)}x^{(i+2)\sigma_i})^{1/2(i+2)}(U_2^{-2}T)^{(2i+1)/4(i+2)}(U_2^{-6}N_2T)^{1/4(i+2)} \\ &\ll U_1^{-1}U_2^{-1}W^{-1}x^{(a_1'+\sigma_i)/2}T^{(i+1)/2(i+2)}N_2^{1/4(i+2)} \\ &\ll U_1^{-1}U_2^{-1}W^{-1}x^{1/4(i+2)}x^{a_1'/2-a_1/4(i+2)}T^{(i+1)/2(i+2)}x^{\sigma_i/2-\sigma/4(i+2)}, \end{aligned}$$

since $N_2 = x/N_1L_0 = x^{1-a_1-\sigma}$.

Then (2.14) follows.

Case 2 $F < 2U_2^{-2}N_2$

If $F < 2U_1^{-2}N_1$, the proof of this lemma is same as Case 1 of Lemma 2.1. If $F \geq 2U_1^{-2}N_1$, then $N_1 \leq T$. Interchanging N_1 with N_2, we may reduce this case to Case 1.

Lemma 2.3. *Let* $k_0 = 3$ *and* $\varepsilon < \sigma = 1-a_1-a_2-a_3 \leq t_0/2$, *then*

$$U_1U_2U_3W \mid S(U_1,U_2,U_3,W) \mid \ll x^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} + x^{h_2(a_1,a_2,a_3)}, \tag{2.15}$$

where

$$h_2(a_1,a_2,a_3) = \frac{a_1'}{2} + \frac{a_2'+a_3'}{2} + \frac{t_0}{6} + \frac{\sigma}{12}, \tag{2.16}$$

$$a_1' = \frac{log\ N_1'}{log\ x} = max\{a_1,t_0\}, a_2' = \frac{log\ N_2'}{log\ x} = max\left\{a_2, \frac{t_0}{3}\right\}$$

and $a_3' = \frac{log\ N_3'}{log\ x} = max\left\{a_3, \frac{t_0}{3}\right\}$.

Proof. In this case we have that $L_0 \ll T^{1/2}$. Then

$$F \leq min\{U_1^{-2}(N_1+T), U_2^{-6}(N_2^3+T), U_3^{-6}(N_3^3+T), W^{-4}(L_0^2+T),$$

$$W^{-4}L_0^2 + W_0^{-12}L_0^2T, U_3^{-6}N_3^3 + U_3^{-18}N_3^3T, U_1^{-2}N_1'\}.$$

We consider two cases :

Case 1. $F \leq 2W^{-4}L_0^2$.

Suppose $F \leq 2U_3^{-6}N_3^3$ and $F \leq 2U_2^{-6}N_2^3$. By (2.4), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} F &\ll \min\{U_1^{-2}N_1, W^{-4}L_0^2, U_2^{-6}N_2^3, U_3^{-6}N_3^3\} \\ &\ll (U_1^{-2}N_1)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{26}}(U_2^{-6}N_2^3)^{\frac{1}{6}-\frac{1}{78}}(U_2^{-6}N_3^3)^{\frac{1}{6}-\frac{1}{78}}(W^{-4}L_0^2)^{\frac{1}{4}-\frac{1}{52}} \\ &\ll (U_1U_2U_3W)^{-1+\frac{1}{13}}(N_1N_2N_3L_0)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{26}} \ll x^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $F > 2U_3^{-6}N_3^3$ and $F > 2U_2^{-6}N_2^3$, then

$$N_2 \ll T^{1/3}, N_3 \ll T^{1/3} \text{ and } F \ll U_2^{-18}N_2^3T,$$

since $2U_i^{-6}N_i^3 \leq F \leq U_i^{-6}(N_i^3+T), F \leq U_2^{-18}N_2^3T + U_2^{-6}N_2^3$ for $i =$ 2 or 3, $L_0 \ll T^{1/2}$ and $N_2 \ll T^{1/3}$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} F &\ll (U_1^{-2}N_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}(W^{-4}L_0^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}(U_3^{-6}T)^{\frac{1}{6}}(U_2^{-6}T)^{\frac{1}{24}}(U_2^{-18}N_2^3T)^{\frac{1}{24}} \\ &\ll (U_1U_2U_3W)^{-1}T^{\frac{1}{4}}N_2^{\frac{1}{8}}N_1^{\frac{1}{2}}L_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \qquad (2.17) \\ &\ll (U_1U_2U_3W)^{-1}x^{h_2(a_1,a_2,a_3)} \end{aligned}$$

Suppose $F > 2U_3^{-6}N_3^3$ and $F \leq 2U_2^{-6}N_2^3$, then

$$\begin{aligned} F &\ll (U_1^{-2}N_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}(U_2^{-6}N_2^3)^{\frac{1}{6}}(W^{-4}L_0^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}(U_3^{-6}T)^{\frac{1}{24}}(U_3^{-18}N_3^3T)^{\frac{1}{24}} \\ &\ll (U_1U_2U_3W)^{-1}N_1^{\frac{1}{2}}N_2^{\frac{1}{2}}L_0^{\frac{1}{2}}T^{\frac{1}{12}}N_3^{\frac{1}{8}} \ll (U_1U_2U_3W)^{-1}x^{h_2(a_1,a_2,a_3)} \qquad (2.18) \end{aligned}$$

since $a_3' = t_0/3$ and $N_3 \ll T^{1/3}$ in this case. Suppose $F < 2U_3^{-6}N_3^3$ and $F \geq 2U_2^{-6}N_2^3$.

On interchanging N_2 with N_3, we may reduce this case to (2.18).

Case 2. $F > 2W^{-4}L_0^2$.

We have that

$$F \ll (U_1^{-2}N_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}(U_2^{-6}N_2'^3)^{\frac{1}{6}}(U_3^{-6}N_3'^3)^{\frac{1}{6}}(W^{-4}T)^{\frac{1}{8}}(W^{-12}L_0^2T)^{\frac{1}{24}},$$

then

$$F \ll (U_1U_2U_3W)^{-1}N_1^{\frac{1}{2}}N_2'^{\frac{1}{2}}N_3'^{\frac{1}{2}}T^{\frac{1}{6}}L_0^{\frac{1}{12}} \ll (U_1U_2U_3W)^{-1}x^{h_2(a_1,a_2,a_3)}.$$

The proof of Lemma 2.3 is now completed.

Lemma 2.4. *If $k_0 = 3, a_1 \geq t_0, a_2 \geq t_0/2$, then*

$$U_1U_2U_3W \mid S(U_1, U_2, U_3, W) \mid \ll x^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} + x^{h_3(a_1,a_2,a_3)}, \tag{2.19}$$

where

$$h_3(a_1, a_2, a_3) = \frac{a_1 + a_2}{2} + \frac{a_3'}{2} + \frac{t_0}{8} + \frac{\sigma}{6},$$

$a_3' = log\ N_3'/log\ x = max\{t_0/4, a_3\}$ *and* $\sigma = 1 - a_1 - a_2 - a_3$.

Proof. We have that

$$F \ll min\{U_1^{-2}N_1, U_2^{-4}N_2^2, U_3^{-8}(N_3^4+T), W^{-6}(L_0^3+T), W^{-18}L_0^3T+W^{-6}L_0^3\}.$$

Suppose $F \leq 2W^{-6}L_0^3$, we are in Case 1 of Lemma 2.1, so that

$$U_1U_2U_3WF \ll x^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta}.$$

Suppose $F > 2W^{-6}L_0^3$, then

$$\begin{aligned} F &\ll (U_1^{-2}N_1)^{\frac{1}{2}}(U_2^{-4}N_2^2)^{\frac{1}{4}}(U_3^{-8}x^{4a_3'})^{\frac{1}{8}}(W^{-6}T)^{\frac{5}{48}}(W^{-18}L_0^3T)^{\frac{1}{48}} \\ &\ll (U_1U_2U_3W)^{-1}N_1^{\frac{1}{2}}N_2^{\frac{1}{2}}x^{\frac{a_3'}{2}}T^{\frac{1}{8}}L_0^{\frac{1}{8}} \ll (U_1U_2U_3W)^{-1}x^{h_3(a_1,a_2,a_3)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the lemma follows.

§ 3. $L_0 = L$.

In this section we discuss $\mid S(U_1, \cdots, U_k, W) \mid$ with (1.4), (1.5), (1.6), (1.7) and (1.9). We use the same notations as in § 2 and assume $L_0 = L$.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $L = L_0$. If $L_0 \geq T^{1/2+\varepsilon}$; then*

$$U_1 \cdots U_{k_0}W \mid S(U_1, U_{k_0}, W) \mid \ll x^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta}.$$

Proof. Take j such that

$$N_1^j \cdots N_{k_0}^j \geq T.$$

We have

$$F \ll min\{U_1^{-2j} \cdots U_{k_0}^{-2j}N_1^{2j}, W^{-4}T\}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} F &\ll (U_1^{-2j}\cdots U_{k_0}^{-2j}N_1^{2j}\cdots N_{k_0}^{2j})^{3/4}(W^{-4}T)^{1/4} \\ &\ll (U_1^{-2j}\cdots U_{k_0}^{-2j}N_1^{2j}\cdots N_{k_0}^{2j})^{1/2j}(W^{-4}T)^{1/4} \\ &\ll (U_1\cdots U_{k_0}W)^{-1}N_1^{1/2}\cdots N_{k_0}^{1/2}T^{1/4}, \end{aligned}$$

since $U_1^{-2j}\cdots U_{k_0}^{-2j}N_1^{2j}\cdots N_{k_0}^{2j}\le 1$. We obtain

$$U_1\cdots U_{k_0}WF \ll x^{1/2-\varepsilon/2}$$

by $L_0 \ge T^{1/2+\varepsilon}$.

Lemma 3.2. *$k_0 = 2, N_1 \ge T, N_2 < T^{1/5}$ and*

$$L_0N_2^{1/2} \ge T^{1/2+\varepsilon},$$

then

$$U_1U_2W \mid S(U_1,U_2,W) \mid \ll x^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta}.$$

Proof. We have that, choosing j such that $N_2^j \ge T$,

$$F \ll min\{W^{-4}U_2^{-2}T, U_1^{-2}N_1, U_2^{-j}N^j\}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} F &\ll (W^{-4}U_2^{-2}T)^{1/4}(U_1^{-2}N_1)^{1/2}(U_2^{-2j}N_2^j)^{1/4j} \\ &\ll (U_1U_2W)^{-1}N_1^{1/2}N_2^{1/4}T^{1/4} = (U_1U_2W)^{-1}(x/L_0N_2)^{1/2}N_2^{1/4}T^{1/4} \\ &\ll x^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta}, \end{aligned}$$

since $L_0^{1/2}N_2^{1/4} \ge T^{1/4+\varepsilon/2}$.

Lemma 3.3. *$k_0 = 2, N_1 \ge T, N_2 \ge T^{1/5}$ and*

$$L_0 \ge T^{3/8+\varepsilon}N_2^{1/8},$$

then

$$U_1U_2W \mid S(U_1,U_2,W) \mid \ll x^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta}.$$

Proof. We have that, choosing j such that $N_2^j \ge T$,

$$F \ll min\{W^{-4}U_2^{-2}N_2^{5/4}T^{3/4}, U_1^{-2}N_1, U_2^{-2j}N^j\}.$$

Thus, by $U_2^{-2j}N_2^j \le 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} F &\ll (W^{-4}U_2^{-2}N_2^{5/4}T^{3/4})^{1/4}(U_1^{-2}N_1)^{1/2}(U_2^{-2j}N_2^j)^{1/4j} \\ &\ll (U_1U_2W)^{-1}N_1^{1/2}N_2^{9/16}T^{3/16} = (U_1U_2W)^{-1}(x/L_0N_2)^{1/2}N_2^{9/16}T^{3/16} \\ &\ll x^{1/2-\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

Write

$$c_1 = \frac{1}{2j_1} + \frac{1}{2j_2} - \frac{3}{10}, c_2 = 7 + \frac{5}{j_2} + \frac{5}{j_1}, c_3 = \frac{7}{8} - \frac{3}{4j_2} - \frac{3}{4j_3} - \frac{3}{8j_4},$$

$$c_4 = \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{1}{j_2} + \frac{1}{j_3} + \frac{1}{2j_4} - \frac{1}{2}\right), c_5 = \frac{3}{8} - \frac{1}{4j_2} - \frac{3}{4j_3} - \frac{3}{8j_4},$$

and

$$c_6 = \frac{1}{4j_2} + \frac{1}{4j_3} + \frac{1}{8j_4} - \frac{1}{8}.$$

Define

$$N_i' = max\left\{N_i, T^{\frac{1}{j_i}}\right\}, i = 3 \text{ and } 4. \tag{3.1}$$

Lemma 3.4. *Let $K_0 = 4, a_3 \ge a_4, t_0/3 \ge a_4 \ge t_0/5, \sigma = 1 - a_1 - a_2 - a_3 - a_4 \ge t_0/j_1, j_1$ and j_2, j_3, j_4 be integers with $c_i \ge 0$ $(1 \le i \le 6)$, then*

$$U_1U_2U_3U_4W \mid S(U_1, U_2, U_3, U_4, W) \mid \ll x^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} + x^{h_4(a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4)} \tag{3.2}$$

where

$$h_4(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) = \frac{a_3'}{2} + \frac{a_4'}{4} + \frac{5a_4}{16} + \frac{3t_0}{16}$$
$$+max\left\{\frac{a_1}{2} + c_6j_2a_2 + (c_5 + c_6)t_0, c_4a_1 + \frac{a_2}{2} + (c_3 + c_4)t_0\right\}.$$

Proof. We have that, by $t_0/3 \ge a_4 \ge t_0/5, \sigma \ge t_0/5$ and $a_3 \ge a_4$,

$$\begin{aligned} F \ll\ & min\{W^{-4}U_4^{-2}N_4^{\frac{5}{4}}T^{\frac{3}{4}}, U_1^{-2}(N_1 + T), U_1^{-6}N_1T + U_1^{-2}N_1, U_2^{-2j_2}(N_2^{j_2} + T) \\ & U_2^{-2j_2}N_2 + U_2^{-6j_2}N_2^{j_2}T, U_3^{-10}N_3^5, U_4^{-10}N_4^5, W^{-2j_1}L_0^{j_1}, U_3^{-2j_3}N_3'^{j_3}, \\ & U_4^{-2j_4}(N_4^{j_4} + T)\}. \end{aligned}$$

We discuss following cases

Case 1. $F \le 2U_1^{-2}N_1$ and $F \le 2U_2^{-2j_2}N_2^{j_2}$.

By (2.4), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} F &\ll \left(U_1^{-2}N_1\right)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{5c_1}{c_2}} \left(U_2^{-2j_2}N_2^{j_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2j_2}-\frac{5c_1}{j_2c_2}} \left(U_3^{-10}N_3^5\right)^{\frac{1}{10}-\frac{c_1}{c_2}} \left(U_4^{-10}N_4^5\right)^{\frac{1}{10}-\frac{c_1}{c_2}} \times \\ &\times \left(W^{-2j_1}L_0^{j_1}\right)^{\frac{1}{2j_1}-\frac{5c_1}{j_1c_2}} \\ &\ll (U_1U_2U_3U_4W)^{-1+\frac{10c_1}{c_2}} (N_1N_2N_3N_4L_0)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{5c_1}{c_2}} \ll (U_1U_2U_3U_4W)^{-1}x^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta}, \end{aligned}$$

since $c_1/c_2 < 1/10$.

Case 2. $F > 2U_1^{-2}N_1$ and $F \le 2U_2^{-2j_2}N_2^{j_2}$.

We use $F \ll U_i^{-2j_i}N_i^{\prime j_i}$ for $i = 3$ or 4. We have that, by $2c_3 + 6c_4 = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} F &\ll \left(W^{-4}U_4^{-2}N_4^{\frac{5}{4}}T^{\frac{3}{4}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(U_4^{-2j_4}N_4^{\prime j_4}\right)^{\frac{1}{4j_4}} \left(U_3^{-2j_3}N_3^{\prime j_3}\right)^{\frac{1}{2j_3}} \left(U_2^{-2j_2}N_2^{j_2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2j_2}} \times \\ &\times (U_1^{-2}T)^{c_3}(U_1^{-6}N_1T)^{c_4} \\ &\ll (U_1U_2U_3U_4W)^{-1}N_1^{c_4}N_2^{\frac{1}{2}}N_3^{\prime\frac{1}{2}}N_4^{\prime\frac{1}{4}}N_4^{\frac{5}{16}}T^{\frac{3}{16}+c_3+c_4} \\ &\ll (U_1U_2U_3U_4W)^{-1}x^{h_4(a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4)}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.3}$$

Case 3. $F \le 2U_1^{-2}N_1$ and $F > 2U_2^{-2j_2}N_2^{j_2}$.

Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} F &\ll \left(W^{-4}U_4^{-2}N_4^{\frac{5}{4}}T^{\frac{3}{4}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \left(U_4^{-2j_4}N_4^{\prime j_4}\right)^{\frac{1}{4j_4}} \left(U_3^{-2j_3}N_3^{\prime j_3}\right)^{\frac{1}{2j_3}} \left(U_1^{-2}N_1\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \\ &\times \left(U_2^{-2j_2}T\right)^{c_5} \left(U_2^{-6j_2}N_2^{j_2}T\right)^{c_6} \\ &\ll (U_1U_2U_3U_4W)^{-1}N_1^{\frac{1}{2}}N_2^{j_2c_6}N_3^{\prime\frac{1}{2}}N_4^{\prime\frac{1}{4}}N_4^{\frac{5}{16}}T^{\frac{3}{16}+c_5+c_6} \\ &\ll (U_1U_2U_3U_4W)^{-1}x^{h_4(a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4)}, \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

as required.

Case 4. $F > 2U_1^{-2}N_1$ and $F > 2U_2^{-2j_2}N_2^{j_2}$.

In this case we have that $N_1 \ll T$ and $N_2 \ll T^{1/j_2}$. Thus (3.3) and (3.4) are true again, i.e. we get (3.1) again.

If take $j_2 = 2$ and $j_3 = j_4 = 5$, then

$$h_4(a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4) = \frac{a_3'}{2}+\frac{a_4'}{4}+\frac{5a_4}{16}+\frac{3t_0}{16}+max\left\{\frac{a_1}{2}+\frac{3}{20}a_2+\frac{t_0}{10},\frac{3}{40}a_1+\frac{a_2}{2}+\frac{7}{20}t_0\right\} \tag{3.5}$$

Lemma 3.5. *Suppose* $k_0 = 4, a_4 \le t_0/5, a_i \ge t_0/j_i, (i = 2,3 \text{ and} 4)$ *and* $\sigma \ge t_0/j$ *with*

$$\frac{1}{j_2}+\frac{1}{j_3}+\frac{1}{j_4}+\frac{1}{j} > \frac{1}{2},$$

then

$$U_1U_2U_3U_4W \mid S(U_1,U_2,U_3,U_4,W) \mid \ll x^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} + x^{h_5(a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4)}$$

where

$$h_5(a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4) = \frac{a_3'}{2}+\frac{a_4'}{4}+\frac{t_0}{4}+$$

$$max\left\{\frac{a_1}{2}+c_6j_2a_2+(c_5+c_6)t_0, a_1+\frac{a_2}{2}+(c_3+c_4)t_0\right\}. \tag{3.7}$$

Proof. In the proof of Lemma 3.2 we replace (1.10) by (1.11). Then we replace $5a_4/16$ by $t_0/16$ and we get $h_5(a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4)$ replace $h_4(a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4)$. The lemma follows.

Lemma 3.6. *If* $k_0 = 3, a_1 \ge t_0$ *and* $t_0/3 \ge a_3 \ge t_0/5$, *then*

$$U_1U_2U_3W \mid S(U_1,U_2,U_3,W) \mid \ll x^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} + x^{h_6(a_1,a_2,a_3)}$$

where

$$h_6(a_1,a_2,a_3) = \frac{13t_0}{48}+\frac{a_1+a_2'}{2}+\frac{5a_3}{16}.$$

and $a_2' = max\{a_2, t_0/3\}$.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{aligned} F &\ll \left(W^{-4}U_3^{-2}N_3^{\frac{5}{4}}T^{\frac{3}{4}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}\left(U_1^{-2}N_1\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(U_2^{-6}x^{3a_2'}\right)^{\frac{1}{6}}\left(U_3^{-6}T\right)^{\frac{1}{12}} \\ &\ll (U_1U_2U_3W)^{-1}N_1^{\frac{1}{2}}N_3^{\frac{5}{16}}x^{\frac{a_2'}{2}}T^{\frac{13}{16}} \ll (U_1U_2U_3W)^{-1}x^{h_6(a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the lemma follows.

Lemma 3.7. *If* $k_0 = 4$ *and the* a_i*'s satisfy : (1)* $a_1 + a_3 + a_4 \ge t_0$; *(2)*

$a_2+a_3+a_4 \le t_0$; *(3)* $a_1+a_3 \le t_0$, *(4)* $a_1+a_4 \le t_0$; *and (5)* $a_4 \le a_3 \le t_0/5$; *then*

$$U_1U_2U_3U_4W \mid S(U_1,U_2,U_3,U_4,W) \mid \ll x^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta}+x^{h_7(a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4)},$$

where

$$h_7(a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4)=t_0+a_2/8.$$

Proof. W.L.O.G. we can agree that $U_3 \ge U_4$, then we have

$$F \le min\{W^{-4}U_3^{-2}T, U_1^{-2}U_3^{-2}T, U_2^{-2}U_3^{-2}U_4^{-2}T, U_2^{-2}N_2+U_2^{-6}N_2T, U_1^{-2}U_3^{-2}U_4^{-2}N_1N_3N_4\}.$$

If $F \le 2U_2^{-2}N_2$, this is Case 1 of Lemma 2.1; if $F \ge 2U_2^{-2}N_2$, from $U_3 \ge U_4$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} F &\ll (W^{-4}U_3^{-2}T)^{\frac{1}{4}}(U_1^{-2}U_3^{-2}T)^{\frac{1}{2}}(U_2^{-2}U_3^{-2}U_4^{-2}T)^{\frac{1}{8}}(U_2^{-6}N_2T)^{\frac{1}{8}} \\ &\ll (U_1U_2U_3U_4W)^{-1}TN_2^{\frac{1}{8}}U_3^{-\frac{3}{4}}U_4^{\frac{3}{4}} \ll (U_1U_2U_3U_4W)^{-1}x^{h_7(a_1,a_2,a_3,a_4)}, \end{aligned}$$

and the lemma follows.

Lemma 3.8. *Suppose that* $k_0=6, t_0/3 \ge a_1 \ge \cdots \ge a_6 \ge t_0/5$ *and* $\sigma+a_1+a_2 \ge t_0$, *then*

$$U_1U_2\cdots U_6W \mid S(U_1,\cdots,U_6) \mid \ll x^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta}+x^{h_8(a_1,\cdots,a_6)}$$

where

$$h_8(a_1,\cdots,a_6)=\frac{19}{48}a_6+\frac{a_5}{12}+\frac{a_4}{12}+\frac{15}{16}t_0.$$

Proof. If $F \le U_6^{-2}U_5^{-2}U_4^{-2}N_6N_5N_4$, then

$$\begin{aligned} F &\ll (U_6^{-2}U_5^{-2}U_4^{-2}N_6N_5N_4)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{22}}(W^{-2}U_1^{-2}U_2^{-2}L_0N_1N_2)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{22}}(U_3^{-10}N_3^5)^{\frac{1}{10}-\frac{1}{110}} \\ &\ll (WU_6\cdots U_1)^{-1+\frac{1}{11}}(L_0N_6\cdots N_1)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{22}} \ll x^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta}. \end{aligned}$$

If $F > U_6^{-2}U_5^{-2}U_4^{-2}N_6N_5N_4$, then

$$F \le U_6^{-6}U_5^{-6}U_4^{-6}N_6N_5N_4T,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} F &\ll \left(W^{-4}U_6^{-2}N_6^{\frac{5}{4}}T^{\frac{3}{4}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}\left(U_1^{-2}U_2^{-2}U_3^{-2}T\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(U_4^{-6}U_5^{-6}U_6^{-6}N_4N_5N_6T\right)^{\frac{1}{12}}\times \\ &\times \left(U_4^{-4}U_5^{-2}T\right)^{\frac{1}{8}}\left(U_5^{-6}T\right)^{\frac{1}{24}} \\ &\ll (WU_1\cdots U_6)^{-1}T^{\frac{15}{16}}N_4^{\frac{1}{12}}N_5^{\frac{1}{12}}N_6^{\frac{19}{48}} \ll (WU_1\cdots U_6)^{-1}x^{h_8(a_1,\cdots,a_6)}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.9. *Suppose that* $k_0 = 4, a_1 \geq a_2 \geq a_3 \geq a_4, a_1 + a_3 \leq t_0 < a_1 + a_3 + a_4, \sigma \geq t_0/3$ *and* $2a_4 \leq t_0/3$, *then*

$$U_1\cdots U_4W \mid S(U_1,\cdots,U_4)\mid \ll x^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} + x^{h_9(a_1,\cdots,a_4)}$$

where

$$h_9(a_1,\cdots,a_4) = \frac{15}{16}t_0 + \frac{a_2}{8} + \frac{5a_4}{8}.$$

Proof. If $F \leq 2U_2^{-2}N_2$, then

$$F \ll \left(U_1^{-2}U_3^{-2}U_4^2N_1N_3N_4\right)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{30}}\left(U_2^{-2}N_2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{30}}\left(W^{-6}L_0^3\right)^{\frac{1}{6}-\frac{1}{10}} \ll x^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta}$$

by (2.4).

If $F > 2U_2^{-2}N_2$, then $F \ll min\{U_2^{-2}T, U_2^{-6}N_2T\}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} F &\ll \left(W^{-4}U_4^{-4}N_4^{\frac{5}{2}}T^{\frac{3}{4}}\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}\left(U_1^{-2}U_3^{-2}T\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}\left(U_2^{-2}T\right)^{\frac{1}{8}}\left(U_2^{-6}N_2T\right)^{\frac{1}{8}} \\ &\ll T^{\frac{15}{16}}N_2^{\frac{1}{8}}N_4^{\frac{5}{8}} \ll x^{h_9(a_1,\cdots,a_4)}, \end{aligned}$$

as required.

Lemma 3.10. *Suppose that* $k_0 = 3, a_2 + a_3 \leq t_0, a_1 + \sigma \geq t_0$ *and* $a_3 \leq t_0/5$, *then*

$$U_1\cdots U_4W \mid S(U_1,\cdots,U_4)\mid \ll x^{\frac{1}{2}-\delta} + x^{h_{10}(a_1,\cdots,a_4)}$$

where

$$h_{10}(a_1,\cdots,a_4) = t_0 + \frac{a_2}{8} + \frac{a_3}{24}.$$

Proof. We have that

$$F \ll min\{W^{-4}U_3^{-2}T, U_1^{-2}T, U_2^{-2}U_3^{-2}(T+N_2N_3), U_2^{-6}U_3^{-6}N_2N_3T + U_2^{-2}U_3^{-2}N_2N_3,$$

$$W^{-2}U_1^{-2}L_0N_1\}.$$

If $F \le 2U_2^{-2}U_3^{-2}N_2N_3$, then we go back to the Case 1 on Lemma 3.1, since $F \ll W^{-2}U_1^{-2}L_0N_1$, and $N_4 \ge x^{\varepsilon}$; if $F > 2U_2^{-2}U_3^{-2}N_2N_3$, then

$$\begin{aligned} F &\ll (W^{-4}U_3^{-2}T)^{\frac{1}{4}}(U_1{}^2T)^{\frac{1}{2}}(U_2^{-2}U_3^{-2}T)^{\frac{1}{8}}(U_2^{-6}U_3^{-6}N_2N_3T)^{\frac{1}{24}}(U_2^{-6}N_2T)^{\frac{1}{12}} \\ &\ll (U_1U_2U_3W)^{-1}x^{h_{10}(a_1,a_2,a_3)}, \end{aligned}$$

as required.

§ 4. SET $E(\theta)$.

Let $\theta_j (1 \le j \le r)$ be positive numbers with

$$\sum_{1\le i\le r}\theta_i < 1.$$

Denote $\{\theta_j\} = \{\theta_0, \theta_1, \cdots, \theta_r\}$ and

$$\theta_0 = 1 - \sum_{1\le i\le r}\theta_i.$$

Divide the set of numbers

$$\{\theta_0, \theta_1, \cdots, \theta_r\}$$

into three subsets and call the sums of terms in each subset a_1, a_2 and σ, where σ is distinguished by being θ_0 if $\sigma > t_0/2$, and otherwise $\sigma \le t_0/2$. Since $a_1 + a_2 + \sigma = 1$, any two of a_1, a_2, σ determine the third. We attach an exactly similar meaning to $\{a_1, a_2, a_3, \sigma\}$ and $\{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, \sigma\}$. We refer to $\{a_1, a_2, \sigma\}$, or $\{a_1, a_2, a_3, \sigma\}$, or $\{a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, \sigma\}$ as set of complementary partial sums. For $\{a_1, \cdots, a_k, \sigma\}$ if there exists some $h_i(a_1, \cdots, a_k)$ which satisfies (1.8) with

$$h_i(a_1, \cdots, a_k) < 1/2,$$

then we call it $\{a_1, \cdots, a_k, \sigma\} \in E(\theta)$. For short, we write that $\{\theta_j\} \in E(\theta)$ instead of $\{a_1, \cdots, a_k, \sigma\} \in E(\theta)$.

Lemma 4.1. *If there exists at least one set of complementary partial sums*

$\{a_1, a_2, \sigma\}$ (or $\{a_1, a_2, a_3, \sigma\}$) of $\{\theta_j\}$ such that at least one of conditions (4.1.1) - (4.1.4) *(or* (4.1.5)*) holds, then $\{\theta_j\} \in E(\theta)$.*

(4.1.1) $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq t_0$ *and* $\sigma > \varepsilon$;

This situation is covered by (2.9) *and* (2.10) *of Lemma* 2.1

(4.1.2) $a_2 \leq a_1 \leq t_0$ *and* $a_2 < 4 - 8t_0$;

Using (2.11) *of Lemma* 2.1 *with* $a_1' = t_0$ *and* $j = 2$, *we have that*

$$h_0(a_1, a_2) = t_0 + min\{a_2/8, \sigma/6 + a_2/24\} \leq t_0 + a_2/8 < 1/2.$$

(4.1.3) $a_1 \geq t_0, a_2 > \frac{2i+2}{2i+3} t_0$ and $\sigma \geq \frac{t_0}{i+2}$;

In this case, we use Lemma 2.2, $\sigma_i = max\{t_0/(i+2), 1 - a_1 - a_2\} = max\{t_0/(i+2), \sigma\} = \sigma$, *and* $a_1' = a_1$, *then*

$$\begin{aligned} h_1^i(a_1, a_2) &= \tfrac{i+1}{2(i+2)} t_0 + \tfrac{1}{4(i+2)} + \tfrac{2i+3}{4(i+2)}(a_1 + \sigma) \\ &= \tfrac{i+1}{2(i+2)} t_0 + \tfrac{1}{4(i+2)} + \tfrac{2i+3}{4(i+2)}(1 - a_2) < \tfrac{1}{2}. \end{aligned}$$

(4.1.4) $a_3 \leq a_2 \leq t_0/3 \leq a_1 \leq t_0, \sigma = 1 - a_1 - a_2 - a_3$ *and* $a_1 + \sigma/6 < 1 - t_0$.

By Lemma 2.3 *with* $a_2' = max\{t_0/3, a_2\} = t_0/3, a_3' = max\{t_0/3, a_3\} = t_0/3$; *then*

$$\begin{aligned} h_2(a_1, a_2, a_3) &= a_1/2 + (a_2' + a_3')/2 + t_0/6 + \sigma/12 \\ &= a_1/2 + t_0/2 + \sigma/12 < 1/2. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 4.2. *If there exists at least one set of complementary partial sums $\{a_1, a_2, a_3, \sigma\}$ of $\{\theta_j\}$ such that at least one of conditions* (4.2.1) - (4.2.3) *holds, then $\{\theta_j\} \in E(\theta)$.*

(4.2.1). $a_1 \geq t_0, a_2 \geq t_0/2, a_3 \geq t_0/4$ and $\sigma > 2t_0/7$.

In this case, Lemma 2.4 is applied, we have $a_3' = max\{a_3, t_0/4\} = a_3$, *then*

$$\begin{aligned} h_3(a_1, a_2, a_3) &= (a_1 + a_2 + a_3)/2 + t_0/8 + \sigma/16 \\ &= 1/2 + t_0/8 - 7\sigma/16 < 1/2, \end{aligned}$$

since $\sigma > 2t_0/7$.

(4.2.2). $a_1 \geq t_0, a_2 \geq a_3 \geq t_0/3$ and $\sigma > 2t_0/5$.

Using Lemma 2.3, *we have* $a_2' = max\{t_0/3, a_2\} = a_2$ *and* $a_3' = max\{t_0/3, a_3\} = a_3$, *then*

$$\begin{aligned} h_3(a_1, a_2, a_3) &= (a_1 + a_2 + a_3)/2 + t_0/6 + \sigma/12 \\ &= (1 - \sigma)/2 + t_0/6 + \sigma/12 \\ &= 1/2 + t_0/6 - 5\sigma/12 < 1/2 \end{aligned}$$

since $\sigma > 2t_0/5$.

(4.2.3). $a_1 \geq t_0, a_2 \geq t_0/3 \geq a_3$ *and* $t_0/2 \geq \sigma > max\{2t_0/5, 4t_0/5 - 6a_3/5\}$.

We use Lemma 2.3 *with* $a_2' = max\{t_0/3, a_2\} = a_2$, *and* $a_3' = max\{t_0/3, a_3\} = t_0/3$, *then*

$$\begin{aligned} h_3(a_1, a_2, a_3) &= (a_1 + a_2)/2 + t_0/6 + t_0/6 + \sigma/12 \\ &= (1 - \sigma - a_3)/2 + t_0/3 + \sigma/12 \\ &= 1/2 + t_0/3 - 5\sigma/12 - a_3/3 < 1/2 \end{aligned}$$

since $\sigma > 4t_0/5 - 6a_3/5$.

Lemma 4.3. *Suppose* $L = L_0$ (*i.e.* (1.9) *holds*). *If* $\sigma > t_0/2$; *then* $\{\theta_j\} \in E(\theta)$.

It is covered by Lemma 3.1.

For a fixed σ, denote

$$M_\sigma = \sup_{\substack{\{a_1, a_2, \sigma\} \in \{\theta\} \\ a_2 \leq t_0 \leq a_1}} \{a_1\} \text{ and } m_\sigma = \inf_{\substack{\{a_1, a_2, \sigma\} \in \{\theta\} \\ a_2 \leq t_0 \leq a_1}} \{a_2\}.$$

Lemma 4.4. *Suppose that* $\{\theta_j\}$ *satisfies the condition* (4.4.1) : (4.4.1) *all of complementary partial sums* $\{a_1, a_2, \sigma\}$ *with* $a_2 \leq a_1 \leq t_0$ *satisfies* $\{\theta_j\} \in E(\theta)$, ($a_2 \leq a_1$ *is not necessary*). *Then for a fixed* σ, $\{\theta_j\} \in E(\theta)$ *if*

$$m_\sigma < a_2 < M_\sigma. \tag{4.4.2}$$

Moreover, we have that

$$m_\sigma + a_2 + M_\sigma = 1, \tag{4.4.3}$$

$$m_\sigma < t_0 < M_\sigma; \tag{4.4.4}$$

for $t_0/j \geq \sigma \geq 2t_0/(2j+1)$,

$$M_\sigma \geq 1 - \frac{6j}{6j-1}t_0 - \frac{2j-1}{6j-1}\sigma, \tag{4.4.5}$$

$$m_\sigma \leq \frac{6j}{6j-1}t_0 - \frac{4j}{6j-1}\sigma; \tag{4.4.6}$$

and for $2t_0/(2j+1) \geq \sigma \geq t_0/(j+1)$,

$$M_\sigma \geq 1 - \frac{2j}{2j+1}t_0 - \sigma, \tag{4.4.7}$$

$$m_\sigma \leq \frac{2j}{2j+1}t_0. \tag{4.4.8}$$

Proof. We use (4.13) with $j = i+1$ to prove (4.4.8). Then we have (4.4.7) by (4.4.3).

For $\theta > 11/20$, we can get much simple conditions for $\{a_1, a_2, \sigma\} \in E(\theta)$. We will use it to discuss the gap between consecutive primes in [3].

Lemma 4.5. *Suppose that* $t_0 < 9/20$. *If there exists at least one set of complementary partial sums* $\{a_1, a_2, \sigma\}$ *with* $a_1 \leq t_0$, *and* $a_2 \leq t_0$, *then* $\{\theta_j\} \in E(\theta)$. *Moreover, we have that*

$$M_\sigma - m_\sigma > \sigma, \quad \text{if} \quad \sigma < 0.1; \tag{4.5.1}$$

and

$$M_\sigma - m_\sigma > 1 - 2t_0, \quad \text{if} \quad \sigma \geq 0.1. \tag{4.5.2}$$

Proof. If $a_2 \leq a_1$, and $a_2 < 4 - 8t_0$, it is same as (5.1.8). If $a_1 \geq a_2 \geq 4 - 8t_0$, using (2.11) of Lemma 2.1 with $j = 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} h_0(a_1, a_2) &= t_0 + min\{a_2/8, \sigma/6 + a_2/24\} \\ &\leq t_0 + (4 - 8t_0)/24 + (1 - 2(4 - 8t_0))/6 < 1/2. \end{aligned}$$

By (4.4.4), (4.4.5) and (4.4.6), we have that

$$M_\sigma - m_\sigma \geq 1 - \frac{28}{29}t_0 - \frac{9}{29}\sigma - \left(\frac{28}{29}t_0 - \frac{20}{29}t_0\right) \geq \sigma, \tag{4.5.3}$$

if $\sigma < t_0/5$. When $t_0/5 \le \sigma < 0.1$, we have that

$$M_\sigma - m_\sigma \ge 1 - \frac{8}{9}t_0 - \sigma - \frac{8}{9}t_0 > 1 - 2t_0.$$

Then (4.5.1) holds. When $t_0/(j+1) \le \sigma \le 2t_0/(2j+1)$, by (4.4.4) and (4.4.6),

$$M_\sigma - m_\sigma \ge 1 - \frac{2j}{2j+1}t_0 - \sigma - \frac{2j}{2j+1}t_0 \ge 1 - 2t_0. \tag{4.5.4}$$

When $2t_0/(2j+1) \le \sigma \le t_0/(j+1)$, by (4.4.5) and (4.4.7)

$$M_\sigma - m_\sigma \ge 1 - \frac{6j}{6j-1}t_0 - \frac{2j-1}{6j-1}\sigma - \left(\frac{6j}{6j-1}t_0' - \frac{4j}{6j-1}\sigma\right) \ge 1 - 2t_0 \tag{4.5.5}$$

Thus (4.5.2) holds.

For $\theta > 6/11$, we can get some simple conditions for $\{a_1, a_2, \sigma\} \in E(\theta)$. We will use it to discuss the gap between consecutive primes in [3]. Moreover, we have that

Corollary 4.5.1. *Suppose that* $\theta > 6/11$. (4.5.1) *and* (4.5.2) *hold. If* $a_2 \le a_1 \le 1/2$ *and* $\sigma = 1 - a_1 - a_2 < 1/2 - 8t_0/9$, *then* $\{\theta_j\} \in E(\theta)$.

Proof. If $a_2 \ge t_0$, it is covered by (4.1.1); if $a_1 < t_0$, we have that $\{\theta_j\} \in E(\theta)$ by (4.5.1); if $a_2 \le t_0 < a_1 < 1/2$ and $\sigma \ge t_0/5$, then

$$a_2 = 1 - a_1 - \sigma > 1 - 1/2 - (1/2 - 8t_0/9) = 8t_0/9,$$

thus $\{\theta_j\} \in E(\theta)$ by (4.1.3). If $a_2 \le t_0 < a_1 < 1/2$ and $\sigma < t_0/5$, using (2.11) in Lemma 2.1 with $j = 5$, then

$$\begin{aligned} h_0(a_1, a_2) &= t_0/2 + a_1/2 + min\{a_2/8, \sigma/6 + a_2/60\} \\ &\le t_0/2 + a_1/2 + \sigma/6 + a_2/60 \\ &= t_0/2 + a_1/2 + \sigma/6 + (1 - a_1 - \sigma)/60 \\ &= t_0/2 + 1/60 + 29a_1/60 + 3\sigma/20 \\ &\le t_0/2 + 1/60 + 29/120 + 3t_0/100 < 1/2, \end{aligned}$$

since $a_1 \leq 1/2$ and $\sigma \leq t_0/5$.

Lemma 4.6. *Suppose $t_0 < 5/11$. If there exists at least one set of complementary partial sums $\{a_1, a_2, \sigma\}$ (or $\{a_1, a_2, a_3, \sigma\}$) of $\{\theta_j\}$ such that at least one of conditions* (4.6.1) - (4.6.5) *holds, then $\{\theta_j\} \in E(\theta)$.*
(4.6.1) *$a_2 \leq a_1 \leq t_0$ and $\sigma < 1 - 20t_0/11$.*

Proof. When $\sigma \geq t_0/3$, we apply Lemma 2.2 with $i = 1$, $\sigma_1 = max\{t_0/3, 1 - a_1 - a_2\} = max\{t_0/3, \sigma\} = \sigma$ and $a_1' = t_0$, then

$$\begin{aligned} h_1^i(a_1, a_2) &= t_0/3 + 1/12 + t_0/2 + \sigma/2 - (a_1 + \sigma)/12 \\ &\leq 5t_0/6 + 1/12 + 5\sigma/12 - a_1/12 \\ &\leq 5t_0/6 + 1/24 + 11\sigma/24 \\ &< 5t_0/6 + 1/24 + 11(1 - 20t_0/11)/24 = 1/2, \end{aligned}$$

since $a_1 \geq (1 - \sigma)/2$. ($a_1 \geq a_2$ and $a_1 + a_2 + \sigma = 1$).

When $\sigma < t_0/3$, we turn to (2.10) of Lemma 2.1 with $j = 2$

$$\begin{aligned} h_0(a_1, a_2) &\leq t_0 + \sigma/6 + a_2/24 < t_0 + \sigma + (1 - \sigma)/48 \\ &\leq t_0 + \tfrac{1}{48} + 7\sigma/48 \leq 1/2. \end{aligned}$$

(4.6.2). *$a_1 \geq a_2 > (2i + 2)t_0/(2i + 3)$ and $1 - 20t_0/11 > \sigma \geq t_0/i$.*

Proof. If $a_2 \geq t_0$, it is already covered by (4.1.1). If $a_1 \geq t_0 > a_2 > (2i + 2)t_0/(2i + 3)$, using (4.1.3). If $t_0 > a_1 \geq a_2 > 8t_0/9$, using (4.2.1).

We can write (4.2.2) to be

(4.6.3). *$t_0 \geq a_1 > (2i + 2)t_0/(2i + 3)$ and $1 - 20t_0/11 > \sigma \geq t_0/i$.* (It is not necessary $a_2 \leq a_1$).

Proof. If $a_2 \geq t_0$, we are back to (4.1.3). If $a_1 < t_0$, it is covered by (4.2.2).

(4.6.4). *$t_0 \leq a_1 \leq 1/2$, and $\varepsilon < \sigma < 1/2 - 8t_0/9$;*

Proof. If $\sigma \geq t_0/5$, then $a_2 = 1 - \sigma - a_1 > 8t_0/9$, $\{\theta_j\} \in E(\theta)$ by (4.1.3)

with $i = 3$. If $\sigma < t_0/5$, we apply (2.10) with $j = 5$ in Lemma 2.1. We have

$$\begin{aligned} h_0(a_1, a_2) &= t_0/2 + a_1/2 + \sigma/6 + a_2/60 \\ &= t_0/2 + a_1/2 + \sigma/6 + (1 - \sigma - a_1)/60 \\ &< t_0/2 + 29/120 + (9/60)(1/2 - 8t_0/9) + 1/60 < 1/2. \end{aligned}$$

(4.6.5). $a_2 \le a_1 \le 1/2$ and $\sigma < 1/2 - 8t_0/9$.

Proof. If $a_2 \ge t_0$, it is covfered by (4.1.1). If $a_1 < t_0, \{\theta_j\} \in E(\theta)$ by (4.1.5) since $\sigma < 1/2 - 8t_0/9 < 1 - 20t_0/11$. If $a_2 \le t_0 < a_1 < 1/2$, the proof is same as (4.5.6).

From Lemma 4.3 and (4.6.1), we have, for $\sigma < 1 - 20t_0/11$, (4.4.3) - (4.4.8) hold. Moreover, (4.5.3), (4.5.4) and (4.5.5) with $t_0 < 5/11$ can imply that

$$M_\sigma - m_\sigma > \sigma, \text{ if } \sigma < t_0/5; \tag{4.6.6}$$

and

$$M_\sigma - m_\sigma > t_0/5, \text{ if } \sigma \ge t_0/5 \tag{4.6.7}$$

since $1 - 2t_0 > t_0/5$.

(4.6.6) $t_0 \le a_1 \le 1/2$ and $a_2 < 2 - 4t_0$;

In this case, we use Lemma 2.1. By (2.11) with $j = 2$ and $a_1' = a_1$,

$$h_0(a_1, a_2) \le a_1/2 + t_0/2 + a_2/8 \le 1/4 + t_0/2 + t_0/20 < 1/2.$$

Lemma 4.7. *Suppose that $t_0 < 5/11$ and $L = L_0$. If there exists at least one set of complementary partial sums $\{a_1, a_2, \sigma\}$ (or $\{a_1, a_2, a_3, \sigma\}$) of $\{\theta_j\}$ such that at least one of conditions* (4.7.1) - (4.7.6) *holds, then $\{\theta_j\} \in E(\theta)$.*

(4.7.1). $k_0 = 3, 1/2 \ge a_1 \ge t_0, 2t_0/5 \ge a_2 \ge t_0/3$, and $t_0/4 \ge a_3 \ge t_0/5$.

Proof. We use Lemma 3.6 with $a_2' = max\{a_2, t_0/3\} = a_2$; then

$$\begin{aligned} h_6(a_1, a_2, a_3) &= 13t_0/48 + (a_1 + a_2)/2 + 5a_3/16 \\ &\le 13t_0/48 + 1/4 + t_0/5 + 5t_0/64 < 1/2. \end{aligned}$$

(4.7.2). $k_0 = 4, a_1 \leq 8t_0/9, a_2 \leq 4t_0/9$, *and* $a_4 \leq a_3 \leq t_0/4$.

Proof. Using (3.5), we have that, $a_3' = a_4' = t_0/4$ and

$$h_4(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) = \frac{29}{64}t_0 + max\left\{\frac{3}{40}a_1 + \frac{a_2}{2} + \frac{7t_0}{20}, \frac{a_1}{2} + \frac{3a_2}{20} + \frac{t_0}{10}\right\} < \frac{1}{2}.$$

(4.7.3). $k_0 = 4, a_1 + a_3 + a_4 \geq t_0, a_2 + a_3 + a_4 \leq t_0, a_1 + a_3 \leq t_0, a_1 + a_4 \leq t_0, a_4 \leq a_3 \leq t_0/5$ *and* $a_2 \leq 4 - 8t_0$.

Proof. Using Lemma 3.7, since $a_2 \leq 4 - 8t_0$, we have

$$h_7(a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4) = t_0 + a_2/8 < 1/2.$$

(4.7.4). $k_0 = 6, 2t_0/7 \geq a_1 \geq \cdots \geq a_6 \geq t_0/5$ and $\sigma + a_1 + a_2 \geq t_0$.

Proof. Using Lemma 3.8, we have that

$$h_8(a_1, \cdots, a_6) = \frac{15}{16}t_0 + \frac{a_4}{12} + \frac{a_5}{12} + \frac{19}{48}a_6 \leq \frac{15}{16}t_0 + \frac{t_0}{21} + \frac{19}{168}t_0 < \frac{1}{2}.$$

(4.7.5). $2t_0/5 \geq \theta_1 \geq \cdots \geq \theta_4 \geq 1 - 20t_0/11, 2t_0/5 \geq 1 - \theta_1 - \cdots - \theta_6 \geq \theta_1, \geq 1 - 20t_0/11$, and $\theta_5 \geq \theta_6, 2\theta_6 \leq t_0/3, \theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_5 < t_0 < \theta_1 + \theta_2 + \theta_5 + \theta_6$.

Proof. In Lemma 3.9, take $a_1 = \theta_1 + \theta_2, a_2 = \theta_3 + \theta_4, a_3 = \theta_5$, and $a_4 = \theta_6$, then

$$\begin{aligned} a_4 &= \theta_6 \leq \tfrac{1}{2}(\theta_5 + \theta_6) \leq \tfrac{1}{2}\left(1 - \theta_1 - \cdots - \theta_4 - \left(1 - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 6}\theta_i\right)\right) \\ &\leq (1 - 5a_2)/2 \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} h_9(a_1, \cdots, a_4) &\leq 15t_0/16 + a_2/8 + 5(1 - 5a_2)/16 \\ &< 15t_0/16 + 5/16 - 23a_2/16 < 1/2. \end{aligned}$$

(4.7.6). $k_0 = 4, a_1 + a_4 \leq t_0, a_2 = a_3 \leq t_0, \sigma + a_1 \geq t_0, a_3 < t_0/5, a_2 < 1/3$ *and* $a_3 < t_0/5$.

Proof. In Lemma 3.10,

$$h_{10}(a_1, \cdots, a_4) = t_0 + a_2/8 + a_3/24 < t_0 + 1/24 + t_0/120 < 1/2.$$

(4.7.7). $k_0 = 5, a_3 \le a_2 \le a_1 \le t_0/2$, and $a_5 \le a_4 \le t_0/4$.

Proof. If $a_2 + a_1 > 8t_0/9$, we replace a_1 by $a_2 + a_1$ and s by a_5 with $k_0 = 2$, then $\{\theta_j\} \in E$ by (4.6.3). If $a_2 + a_1 \le 8t_0/9$, then $a_3 > 4t_0/9$ it is covered by (4.7.2).

(4.7.8) $k_0 = 2, a_1 \ge t_0/2, a_2 \le t_0/5$, and $\sigma + a_2/2 > t_0/2$.
See Lemma 3.2.

(4.7.9). $k_0 = 2, a_1 \ge t_0, t_0/3 \ge a_2 \ge t_0/5$, and $\sigma > a_2/8 + 3t_0/8$.

See Lemma 3.3.

§ 5. ANALYTIC FORM OF $R(x; M_1 \cdots M_k)$.

We shall examine the remainder term $R(x; M_1, \cdots, M_k)$ (see (1.12)) which was used in [1]. Let x be a large number, $y = x^\theta$ with $1/2 < \theta < 7/12$, and $\mathbf{A} = \{n : x - y < n < x\}$. For convenience we define $a_{m_i,i} = 0$, unless $M_i < m \le 2M_i$. We rewrite (1.12) :

$$R(x; M_1, \cdots, M_k) = \sum_{m_1, \cdots, m_k} a_{m_1,1} \cdots a_{m_k,k} \left(\left[\frac{x}{m_1 \cdots m_k} \right] - \left[\frac{x-y}{m_1 \cdots m_k} \right] \right)$$

and we write

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \tfrac{x}{2M_1 \cdots M_k}, \\ L(s) &= \sum_{L/2^k < I \le 3L} I^{-s}, \\ M_i(s) &= \sum_{M_i < m_i \le 2M_i} a_{m_i,i} m_i^{-s}, \text{ for } 1 \le i \le k, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$g(s) = L(s) \prod_{1 \le i \le k} M_i(s),$$

where k is a positive integer.

Theorem 2. *Suppose* $\{\theta_j\} \in E(\theta)$, *then*

$$R(x; M_1, \cdots, M_k) \ll x^{\theta - \varepsilon}.$$

Proof. Lemma 3.12 of Titchmarsh [7] is applied to the function $g(s)$, to yield

$$\sum_{\substack{m_1,\cdots,m_k \\ Im_1\cdots m_k\in \mathbf{A}}} a_{m_1,1}\cdots a_{m_k,k} = \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{c-iT}^{c+iT} g(s)\frac{x^s-(x-y)^s}{s}ds + O\left(\frac{x^{1-\eta}}{T}\right), \tag{5.1}$$

where $c = 1+(log\ x)^{-1}$ and $T > 0$. The conditions $Im_1\cdots m_k \in \mathbf{A}$ and $M_i < m_i \le 2M_i$ imply that $L/2^k < I \le 3L$, and so that the sum on the left of (5.1) becomes

$$\sum_{m_1,\cdots,m_k} a_{m_1,1}\cdots a_{m_k,k}\left(\left[\frac{x}{m_1\cdots m_k}\right]-\left[\frac{x-y}{m_1\cdots m_k}\right]\right)$$

$$= R(x;M_1,\cdots,M_k) - y\sum_{m_1,\cdots,m_k}\frac{a_{m_1,1}\cdots a_{m_k,k}}{m_1\cdots m_k}$$

For $T_0 \le L$, in the range $\{s = c+it : |\ t\ | \le T_0 \le L\}$, we have

$$L(s) = \frac{(3L)^{1-s}-(L/2^k)^{1-s}}{s-1} + O(L^{-c})$$

by Theorem 4.11 of Titchmarsh [7]. Moreover if $T_0 y \le x$,

$$\frac{x^s-(x-y)^s}{s} = yx^{s-1} - O(|\ s\ |\ y^2x^{c-2}) \ll yx^{c-1}.$$

Hence

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{c-iT_0}^{c+iT_0} g(s)\frac{x^s-(x-y)^s}{s}ds$$

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{c-iT_0}^{c+iT_0}\frac{x^s-(x-y)^s}{s}M_1(s)\cdots M_k(s)x^{s-1}ds + O(E_1) + O(E_2),$$

where

$$E_1 = \int_{-T_0}^{T_0} L^{-c}\ |\ \prod_{1\le j\le k} M_j(c-it)\ |\ yx^{c-1}dt \ll T_0M_1\cdots M_kyx^{-1},$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} E_2 &= \int_{-T_0}^{T_0} L^{-c}\ |\ \prod_{1\le j\le k} M_j(c-it)\ |\ y^2x^{c-2}dt \ll T_0L^{1-c}(M_1\cdots M_k)^{1-c}y^2x^{c-2} \\ &\le T_0y^2x^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, on integrating termwise as in the proof of Lemma 3.1 in Titchmarsh [7], we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i}\int_{c-iT_0}^{c+iT_0}\frac{x^{-s}-(x-y)^s}{s}M_1(s)\cdots M_k(s)x^{s-1}ds$$

$$=\sum_{\substack{m_1,\cdots,m_k\\ 1\le m_1\cdots m_k\in A}}\frac{a_{m_1,1}\cdots a_{m_k,k}}{m_1\cdots m_k}+O\left(\frac{1}{T_0}\right).$$

It follows from the above estimate, choosing $T_0=L^{1/2}$, that

$$R(x;M_1,\cdots,M_k)=\frac{1}{2\pi i}\left(\int_{c-iT}^{c+iT_0}+\int_{c+iT_0}^{c+iT}\right)g(s)\frac{x^s-(x-y)^s}{s}ds+O(x^{\theta-\varepsilon}). \tag{5.2}$$

We divide the latter range into at most 2 *log* x subintervals of type $[T_1,T_2]$, where $T_2\le 2T_1$ and bound the interval over such a range by a sum over well spaced points $T_1\le t_1<\cdots<t_k\le T_2(t_{r+1}-t_r\ge 1)$. Thus, for some T_1 with $T_0\le T_1\le T$, and some set of t_r, we have

$$R(x;M_1\cdots M_k)\ll x^{\theta-\varepsilon}+(log\ x)yx^{c-1}\sum_{1\le r\le k}g(c+it_r). \tag{5.3}$$

In the following sections we shall estimate (5.3).

We denote the right hand-side of (5.3) by $R(x;N_1,\cdots,N_{k_0})$. We have

$$g(s)=N_1(s)\cdots N_{k_0}(s)L(s), \tag{5.4}$$

where the definition of $N_i(s)$ or $L_0(s)$ is the same as (5.1) and one of $N_i(s)$ or $L_0(s)$ is equal $L(s)M_{i_1}(s)\cdots M_{i_u}(s)$.

We trivially have

$$|\,L_0(c+it_r)\,|\le 4,\ \text{and}\ |\,N_j(c+it_r)\,|\le 4\quad(j\le k).$$

Hence those t_r for which

$$|\,L_0(c+it_r)\,|\le x^{-2},\ \text{or}\ |\,N_j(c+it_r)\,|\le x^{-2}\quad(j\le k_0)$$

contribute a total

$$\ll (log\ x)yx^{c-1}\ T_2x^{-2}\ll x^{\theta-\varepsilon}$$

to $R(x; N_1, \cdots, lN_{k_0})$. Such points may therefore be neglected. We now divide the remaining t_r into at most $(4\ log\ x)^{k_0+1}$ sets $S(U_1, \cdots, U_{k_0}, W)$ for which

$$\begin{aligned} U_i &< N_i^{c-1/2} \mid N_i(c+it_r) \mid < 2U_i, i = 1, \cdots, k_0, \\ W &\leq L_0^{c-1/2} \mid L_0(c+it_r) \mid < 2W, \end{aligned}$$

where $x^{-2} \leq L^{1/2-c}W \leq 2^{-u} \leq 1$, for some integer u and similarly for $U_1, \cdots, U_{k_0}$. It follows that

$$R(x; N_1, \cdots, N_{k_0}) \ll x^{\theta-\varepsilon} + (log\ x)^{k_0+2} y x^{-1/2} U_1 \cdots U_{k_0} W \mid S(U_1, \cdots, U_{k_0}, W) \mid \tag{5.5}$$

for some T, some $U_1, \cdots, U_{k_0}, W$ and some well-spaced set $S(U_1, \cdots, U_{k_0}, W)$ having the property (1.4) - (1.7): the mean-value technique of Montgomery [8]

$$\mid S(U_1, \cdots, U_{k_0}, W) \mid \ll U_i^{-2j}(N_i^j + T) log^A x, 1 \leq i \leq k_0, \tag{5.6}$$

where A is constant; Halasz's method in the form due to Huxley

$$\mid S(U_1, \cdots, U_{k_0}, W) \mid \ll (U_i^{-2j} N_i + U_i^{-6j} N_i T) log^A x; \tag{5.7}$$

while from the inequality

$$\mid L_0(c+it_r) \mid^2 \geq U^2 L_0^{1-2c},$$

we have

$$\mid S(U_1, \cdots, U_{k_0}, W) \mid \ll (W^{-4} L_0^2 + W^{-12} L_0^2 T)(log\ x)^A. \tag{5.8}$$

Our last estimate for $\mid S(U_1, \cdots, U_{k_0}, W) \mid$ depend on the formula

$$L(s) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\frac{1}{2}-c-iT}^{\frac{1}{2}-c+iT} \zeta(s+z) \left((3L)^z - \left(\frac{L}{2^k} \right)^z \right) \frac{dz}{z} + O\left(L^{\frac{1}{2}-c} log\ x \right)$$

for $s = c + it, T_1 \leq t \leq 2T_1$. This yield, if $L_0 = L$,

$$W^4 \mid S(U_1, \cdots, U_{k_0}, W) \mid \leq L_0^{4c-2} S \mid L_0(c+it_r) \mid^4 \ll T(log\ x)^A. \tag{5.9}$$

Deshouillers and Iwaniec [6] proved: if $N \geq 1, T \geq 1$, the coefficients d_n are complex numbers and $\varepsilon > 0$, then

$$\int_{-2T}^{2T} |\zeta(\tfrac{1}{2}+it)|^4 \Big| \sum_{n<n\leq 2N} d_n n^{it} \Big|^2 dt \ll T^{\varepsilon}(N_2 T^{1/2}+N^{5/4}T^{3/4}+T) \sum_{n\leq n\leq 2N} |d_n|^2 \tag{5.10}$$

From (4.1), we have

$$W^4 U_j^2 |S(U_1,\cdots,U_{k_0},W)| \leq L^{4c-2}N^{2c-1}\sum_r |L(c+it_r)|^4 |N_j(c+it_r)|^2$$

$$\ll L^{4c-2}N_j^{2c-1}\sum_r \int_{-2T}^{2T} |\zeta(\tfrac{1}{2}+it)|^4 L^{2-4c}N_j^{1-2c} |N_j(\tfrac{1}{2}+it)|^2 \frac{dt}{1+|\tau-t_r|}$$

$$+ |S(U_1,\cdots,U_{k_0},W)| |(log\ x)|^4 \ll T^{\varepsilon}(N_k^2 T^{1/2}+N_k^{5/4}T^{3/4}+T) \tag{5.11}$$

whence, for $1 \leq k \leq j$,

$$|S(U_1,\cdots,U_{k_0},W)| \ll W^{-4}U_k^{-2}(N_k^2T^{1/2}+N_k^{5/4}T^{3/4}+T)T^{\varepsilon}. \tag{5.12}$$

We have that

$$U_1\cdots U_k W\, |S(U_1,\cdots,U_{k_0},W)| \ll x^{1/2-\varepsilon}+x^{h(a_1,\cdots,a_{k_0})} \tag{5.13}$$

with $h(a_1,\cdots,a_{k_0}) < 1/2$ for a certain constant $\varepsilon > 0$ since $\{\theta_j\} \in E(\theta)$. This will complete the proof of

$$R(x; M_1,\cdots,M_k) \ll x^{\theta-\varepsilon}.$$

Theorem 3. *Suppose* $\{\theta_j\} \in E(\theta)$. *then*

$$\int_T^{2T} |W(\tfrac{1}{2}+it)|\, dt \ll x^{\frac{1}{2}-\varepsilon}, \tag{5.14}$$

for

$$T_1 \leq T \leq x^{1-\theta+\varepsilon}$$

where θ *is a fixed positive constant, and*

$$T_1 = exp\left((log\ x)^{\frac{1}{3}}(loglog\ x)^{-\frac{1}{3}}\right).$$

Proof. Defined $S(U_1,\cdots,U_k,W)$ be a set of t_r into for which

$$\begin{aligned} U_i &< M_i^{c-1/2} \mid M_i(c+it_r) \mid < 2U_i,\ i=1,\cdots,k_0, \\ W &\le L_0^{c-1/2} \mid L_0(c+it_r) \mid < 2W, \end{aligned}$$

where $x^{-2} \le L^{1/2-c}W \le 2^{-u} \le 1$, for some integer u and similarly for $U_1,\cdots,U_{k_0}$. In Lemma 16 of [8], Heath-Brown proved

$$\int_T^{2T} \mid W(\frac{1}{2}+it) \mid dt \ll x^{\frac{1}{2}-c} + x^{-\delta}\sum \mid S(U_1,\cdots,U_k,W) \mid$$

where $\sum$ runs over $(U_1,\cdots,U_k,W)$ such that (1.1), (1.2) and (1.3), and $\mid S(U_1,\cdots,U_k,W) \mid$ satisfies (1.4), (1.5), (1.6), (1.7) and (1.9). Since $\{\theta_j\} \in E(\theta)$, we have that

$$\mid S(U_1,\cdots,U_k,W) \mid < x^{\frac{1}{2}-c},$$

then

$$\sum \mid S(U_1,\cdots,U_k,W)) \mid < x^{\frac{1}{2}-c},$$

since at most $(4\ log\ x)^{k+1}$ terms in above "$\sum$". Thus (5.14) follows.

References

[1] Heath-Brown, D.R. and Iwaniec, H., *On the Difference Between Consecutive Primes,* Invent. Math. 55, 49-69 (1979).

[2] Heath-Brown, D.R., *The Number of Primes in a Short Interval,* J. Reine Angew, Math. 389, 22-63 (1988).

[3] Lou, S. and Yao, Q., *The Number of Primes in a Short Interval,* Hardy-Ramanujan J., 16 (1993), 21-43.

[4] Lou, S. and Yao, Q., *A Chebychev's Type of Prime Number Theorem in a Short Interval-*II, Hardy-Ramanujan J., 15 (1992), 1-33.

[5] Lou, S. and Yao, Q., *On the Difference Between Consecutive Primes,* (to be submitted).

[6] Deshouillers J.-M. and Iwaniec H., *Power mean-value of the Riemann zeta-function,* Mathematika, 29 (1982), 202-212.

[7] Titchmarsh E.C., The Theory of the Riemann zeta-function, Oxford (1951).

[8] Montgomery H.L., Topics in Multiplicative Number Theory, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, 227 (1971).

Lou, Shituo and Yao, Qi
Department of Math.
Dalhousie University
Halifax, Nova Scotia B3H 3J5
Canada