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We present a semi-analytical model for studying the phase-amplitude coupling ($\alpha$-factor) in quantum dot (QD) semiconductor lasers, which takes into account the influence of carrier populations in the excited state and in the two-dimensional carrier reservoir on the refractive index change. Calculations of the $\alpha$-factor based on the amplified spontaneous emission method and on the “FM/AM” technique are both investigated. It is shown that the $\alpha$-factor of a QD laser strongly depends on the energy separation between the ground state and the off-resonant states. Through band structure engineering, the $\alpha$-factor can be reduced by enlarging this energy separation. © 2014 AIP Publishing LLC.
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The linewidth enhancement factor ($\alpha$-factor) of semiconductor lasers plays a crucial role in driving fundamental features, such as the spectral linewidth broadening, frequency chirp, and mode stability, as well as nonlinear dynamics under optical injection or optical feedback.1–3 It describes the amplitude-phase coupling of the electric field as well as the coupling between the carrier-induced refractive index and the gain variations in semiconductor lasers, which is characterized by the complex optical susceptibility ($\chi = \chi' + j\chi''$) as

$$\chi_H = \frac{\partial \chi' / \partial N}{\partial \chi'' / \partial N},$$

(1)

where N stands for the carrier density.

Quantum-well (QW) lasers commonly exhibit $\alpha$-factor values in the range of 2.0–5.0.5 In contrast, the reported $\alpha$-factor in quantum-dot (QD) lasers spread over a wide range from zero up to more than 10.6–9 This large discrepancy can be attributed to the complex carrier dynamics in the QD laser. Figure 1 shows a sketch of the gain spectrum in a QD laser. The gain for laser emission mainly arises from the carrier population in the resonant ground state (GS).10,11 In contrast, populations in the off-resonant excited states (ES) and the 2D carrier reservoir (RS) significantly change the symmetry of the gain spectrum and thus vary the refractive index through the Kramers-Kronig relation.10–12 In addition, the free-carrier plasma effect also plays an important role in the refractive index variation.13,14

This work proposes an improved rate equation model taking into account the contribution of off-resonant states to the refractive index change, which allows semi-analytical study of the QD laser’s $\alpha$-factor as well as the exploration of underlying physical mechanisms. In a semi-classical theory, the semiconductor laser system can be fully described by the optical Bloch equations together with the Maxwell’s equations.15 To simplify the model, this work treats the electrons and holes as neutral pairs (excitons). The charged carriers are assumed to be directly injected from the continuum RS into the dots. In the dot, the discrete GS and the first ES are taken into account. Neglecting the inhomogeneous broadening effect, the slowly varying complex electric field amplitude $E(t)$ is given by:

$$\frac{d}{dt} E(t) = \frac{j\omega_{LS}^0 \Gamma_{P}^L}{2\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_{bg}} \sum_{X=GS,ES,RS} \left( \mu_X^P P_X \right) - \frac{1}{2\tau_P} E(t),$$

(2)

where $\omega_{LS}^0$ is the lasing frequency in the cold cavity, $\varepsilon_0$ and $\varepsilon_{bg}$ are the vacuum permittivity and the relative permittivity of the background material, respectively. $\Gamma_{P}$ is the optical confinement factor, $A_{GS}$ is the surface area of the RS, and $H_{B}$ is the height which is considered the same as the dot’s height in this work.17 The sum over X (X = GS, ES, RS) includes all possible optical transitions, with $\mu_X$ being the corresponding dipole transition matrix element and $P_X$ being the microscopic polarization. $\tau_P$ is the photon lifetime in the laser cavity. Through the relation between the electric field and the polarization, we obtain the complex gain:16

FIG. 1. An illustration of the gain spectrum in a QD laser, including the resonant GS, the off-resonant ES and the RS.
\[
\tilde{G}(\omega_{LS}^0, t) = \left( 2 \mu_{LS}^0 \Gamma_p 2 N_B P_{GS}(t) \right) + \left( 4 \mu_{ES}^0 \Gamma_p 2 N_B P_{ES}(t) \right) + \left( \frac{\omega_{LS}^0 \Gamma_p}{2 \omega_{bg}} D_{RS} \mu_{RS} P_{RS}(t) \right),
\]

where the RS is treated as a discrete energy state of degeneracy \(D_{RS}\), and \(N_B\) is the QD density per unit area. The real part of Eq. (3) is related to the laser gain, while the imaginary part gives the instantaneous frequency shift of the electric field. The three terms on the right hand give contributions of the GS, the ES and the RS, respectively. Introducing the differential gain \(g_G\), the material gain of each state is given by:

\[
\begin{align*}
 g_{GS} &= \frac{a_{GS} N_B}{1 + \xi_{GS} H_B \left( \frac{2 N_{GS}}{2 N_B / H_B} - 1 \right)} , \\
g_{ES} &= \frac{a_{ES} N_B}{H_B \left( \frac{2 N_{ES}}{4 N_B / H_B} - 1 \right)} , \\
g_{RS} &= \frac{a_{RS} D_{RS}}{A_{RS} H_B \left( \frac{2 N_{RS}}{A_{RS} H_B} - 1 \right)} ,
\end{align*}
\]

with coefficients

\[
\begin{align*}
 F_{ES,RS}^{GS} &= \frac{\omega_{GS}}{\omega_{ES,RS} 1 + (\omega_{ES,RS} - \omega_{GS})^2 T_D} , \\
 F_{ES,RS}^{RS} &= \frac{\omega_{GS}}{\omega_{ES,RS} 1 + (\omega_{ES,RS} - \omega_{GS})^2 T_D} ,
\end{align*}
\]

where \(T_D\) is the dephasing time. From Eq. (3), the resonant GS does not contribute to the refractive index change, which is the case when the laser is operated at the gain peak together with a symmetric gain distribution. Nevertheless, the asymmetric QD size dispersion induces a finite \(\alpha\)-factor in the GS, and the corresponding frequency shift can be expressed by the relation:

\[
\Delta \omega_{G} = \frac{1}{2} \Gamma_p v_g \delta_{GSS}^{RS} ,
\]

Employing Eqs. (4)–(8), the complex electric field (2) is re-expressed as

\[
\frac{dE(t)}{dt} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \Gamma_p v_g \delta_{GSS}^{GS} - \tau_p \right) E(t) + j \left( \Delta \omega_{G}^{GS} + \Delta \omega_{G}^{ES} + \Delta \omega_{G}^{RS} \right) E(t).
\]

Under carrier injection, the frequency of the laser field becomes \(\omega_{LS} = \omega_{LS}^0 + \Delta \omega_N^0\), with the frequency shift of the laser field \(\Delta \omega_N^0 = \Delta \omega_N^{GS} + \Delta \omega_N^{ES} + \Delta \omega_N^{RS}\). Through the relationship \(E(t) = \sqrt{S(t)} V / \Gamma_p e^{i \phi(t)}\), the photon density \(S(t)\) and the phase \(\phi(t)\) can be separately described. Taking into account the carrier dynamics in QD lasers, the laser system is finally described by:

\[
\begin{align*}
\frac{dN_{GS}}{dt} &= \frac{I}{qV} + \frac{N_{GS}}{\tau_{GS}^{SP}} - \frac{N_{GS}}{\tau_{GS}^{SP}} (1 - \rho_{GS}) - \frac{N_{GS}}{\tau_{GS}^{SP}} , \\
\frac{dN_{RS}}{dt} &= \frac{N_{RS}}{\tau_{RS}^{SP}} (1 - \rho_{GS}) - \frac{N_{RS}}{\tau_{RS}^{SP}} (1 - \rho_{RS}) - \frac{N_{GS}}{\tau_{GS}^{SP}} \delta_{GS} - \frac{N_{GS}}{\tau_{GS}^{SP}} , \\
\frac{dS_{GS}}{dt} &= \left( \Gamma_p v_g \delta_{GSS}^{RS} - \frac{1}{\tau_p} \right) S_{GS} + \beta_{SP} \frac{N_{GS}^{SP}}{\tau_{GS}^{SP}} , \\
\frac{d\phi}{dt} &= \Delta \omega_N^{GS} + \Delta \omega_N^{ES} + \Delta \omega_N^{RS} ,
\end{align*}
\]

where \(\tau_{GS}^{SP}\) is the spontaneous emission time and \(\beta_{SP}\) is the spontaneous emission factor. \(\delta_{GSS}^{RS}\) is the carrier occupation probability of each localized state. Carriers in the RS are scattered into the dots through the phonon- and Auger-assisted processes. The latter one makes the scattering rates nonlinearly dependent on the carrier density in the RS. However, for the sake of simplicity, the carrier capture time \(\tau_{RS}^{SP}\) and the relaxation time \(\tau_{GS}^{SP}\) are both treated as constants in this work. On the other hand, the carrier-escape times (\(\tau_{ES}^{S}, \tau_{ES}^{E}\)) are governed by the Fermi distribution for a quasi-thermal equilibrium system. For semiconductor lasers operating under small-signal modulation with frequency \(\omega\), the bias current change \(\delta I\) induces variations of the carrier densities \(\delta N_{X}\), the photon density \(\delta S_{GS}\) and the phase \(\delta \phi\). Based on the small-signal analysis of the differential rate equations, the \(\alpha\)-factor of the QD laser is described as

\[
\alpha_{H,QD}^{GS}(\omega) = 2 \frac{\delta \left( \Delta \omega_N^{GS} (N) \right)}{\Gamma_p v_g^{GS} \delta_{GSS}^{GS} (N)} = \alpha_{H}^{GS} + \frac{1}{2} F_{ES} a_{ES} \delta_{GS} dN_{ES} a dN_{GS} + 2 F_{RS} a_{RS} \delta_{GS} dN_{RS} a dN_{GS} ,
\]

where \(a = \partial \delta_{GS} / \partial N_{GS}\). Following the definition in Eq. (1), it is noted that only the carrier contribution \(\delta N\) is included in the above equation, while the photon contribution \(\delta S\) is excluded. In the following, it will be shown that the \(\alpha\)-factor in QD lasers does depend on the modulation frequency, and that the conventional value is given by the minimum as \(\alpha_{H,QD}^{GS} = \min \left( \alpha_{H,QD}^{GS}(\omega) \right)\).
Over the last decades, various techniques have been proposed for the measurement of the $\alpha$-factor. In this work, we employ the widely used “FM/AM” technique for the above-threshold and the “amplified spontaneous emission (ASE)” method for the below-threshold analyses, respectively. The “FM/AM” technique relies on the direct current modulation of the laser, which generates both the optical frequency (FM) and amplitude (AM) modulations. With respect to the linearized rate equations, the ratio of the FM-to-AM index is derived as

$$2 \frac{\beta(\omega)}{m(\omega)} = \frac{2 \delta_{QD} \delta_{GS}}{\delta_{GS} / \delta_{GS}} = \frac{j\omega}{j\omega} \left( 1 + \frac{1}{\tau_p - \Gamma_p v_{RGS} + \Gamma_p v_{LPS} S_{RGS}} \right) \times \left[ \alpha_{LI} \left( 1 - \frac{a_p \delta_{GS}}{a_d N_{GS}} \right) + \frac{1}{2} F_{ES} a_{GS} \delta_{N_{ES}} \frac{a_{GS}}{a_d N_{GS}} + 2 F_{RS} a_{RS} \delta_{N_{RS}} \frac{a_{RS}}{a_d N_{RS}} \right],$$

where the relation $\delta_{QD} = j\omega \delta \phi$ is used in the above derivation and $a_p = -\partial R_{GS} / \partial N_{GS}$ considering the gain compression effect. In this approach, the laser’s $\alpha$-factor is extracted through the formula $\alpha_{FM/AM}^{QD} = \min \{2 \beta(\omega) / m(\omega)\}$.

For semiconductor lasers operating below threshold, the “ASE” method relies on the direct measurement of the optical spectra of ASE in the laser cavity. Tuning the pump current slightly step by step ($\Delta I$), the gain change can be extracted by the Hakki-Paoli method and the wavelength variation can be directly recorded using an optical spectrum analyzer. Correspondingly, the below-threshold $\alpha$-factor is calculated as

$$\alpha_{ASE}^{QD} = \alpha_{LI}^{QD} + \frac{1}{2} F_{ES} a_{GS} \delta_{N_{ES}} \frac{a_{GS}}{a_d N_{GS}} + 2 F_{RS} a_{RS} \delta_{N_{RS}} \frac{a_{RS}}{a_d N_{RS}}.$$

The laser under study is based on the InAs/InP(311B) QD structure operating at room temperature, and the laser parameters used in the simulation are listed in Table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L</td>
<td>Active region length</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-2}$ cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W</td>
<td>Active region width</td>
<td>$4 \times 10^{-4}$ cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R$_i$</td>
<td>Mirror reflection</td>
<td>0.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n_i$</td>
<td>Refractive index</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$</td>
<td>Internal modal loss</td>
<td>6 cm$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N$_B$</td>
<td>Dot density</td>
<td>$10^{15}$ cm$^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H$_B$</td>
<td>Dot height</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-7}$ cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Gamma_p$</td>
<td>Optical confinement factor</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta_{SP}$</td>
<td>Spontaneous emission factor</td>
<td>$1 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{GS}$</td>
<td>GS transition energy</td>
<td>0.82 eV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{ES}$</td>
<td>ES transition energy</td>
<td>0.87 eV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$E_{WL}$</td>
<td>WL transition energy</td>
<td>0.97 eV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_{ES}$</td>
<td>Capture time from WL to ES</td>
<td>6.3 ps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\tau_{ES}$</td>
<td>Relaxation time from ES to GS</td>
<td>2.9 ps</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_{GS}$</td>
<td>GS differential gain</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-15}$ cm$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_{ES}$</td>
<td>ES differential gain</td>
<td>$10 \times 10^{-15}$ cm$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_{RS}$</td>
<td>RS differential gain</td>
<td>$2.5 \times 10^{-15}$ cm$^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\xi$</td>
<td>Gain compression factor</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha_{LI}^{QD}$</td>
<td>GS induced $\alpha$-factor</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T_D$</td>
<td>Dephasing time</td>
<td>0.1 ps</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

It is noted that the carrier occupation in the GS has a small contribution to the $\alpha$-factor (less than 1), hence we assume the value $\alpha_{LI}^{QD} = 0.5$ in the simulation. Figure 2 depicts the carrier density variations in the three states under small signal modulation. For low frequencies smaller than 0.1 GHz, all the carrier density variations remain almost constant, but the variations of the ES ($\delta N_{ES}$) and RS ($\delta N_{RS}$) populations are 15 dB larger than that of the GS ($\delta N_{GS}$) one. The small variation of the GS carrier population is associated with the gain clamping above threshold. Both $\delta N_{GS}$ and $\delta N_{RS}$ exhibit resonances around 7 GHz. Beyond the resonance frequency, $\delta N_{GS}$ decays faster than $\delta N_{ES}$ and $\delta N_{RS}$. These features significantly impact the behaviour of the $\alpha$-factor as described in Eq. (15). Fig. 3 compares the difference between the $\alpha$-factor $\alpha_{FM/AM}^{QD}(\omega)$ and the ratio $2 \beta(\omega) / m(\omega)$ as a function of the modulation frequency. At low frequencies smaller than 0.1 GHz, there is a large discrepancy between the two parameters. As expected, $2 \beta(\omega) / m(\omega)$ exhibits large values due to the gain compression and the large carrier variations in the ES and in the RS. Nevertheless, $\alpha_{FM/AM}^{QD}(\omega)$ remains constant. Increasing the modulation frequency beyond several GHz, the two values of both parameters decrease down to a plateau, which gives the conventional $\alpha$-factor indicated.
This behavior is illustrated by the horizontal line. As can be seen, $\alpha_{FM/AM}$ is almost the same as $\alpha_{H,QD}$, which indicates that the FM/AM method is a reliable technique for the measurement of QD laser’s $\alpha$-factor. Further increase of the modulation frequency raises again both the two values as observed experimentally in a QD laser (inset of Fig. 3). It is emphasized that such a situation is not encountered in QW lasers. This behavior is attributed to the different decay rates (versus modulation frequency) of carrier variations in each state as shown in Fig. 2. In addition, Fig. 3 (dash-dotted curves) shows that the ES contributes more to the $\alpha$-factor $\alpha_{H,QD}$ than the RS due to the smaller energy separation.

Based on the ASE and the FM/AM methods, Fig. 4 illustrates the $\alpha$-factor as a function of the normalized pump current $I/I_{th}$. Below threshold, carrier populations in both the resonant and off-resonant states increase with the pump current. In consequence, the $\alpha$-factor increases nonlinearly. Above threshold, the carrier population in the GS is clamped, while the off-resonant state populations keep increasing. Thus, the $\alpha$-factor varies almost linearly above threshold as usually measured in experiments. At threshold, the $\alpha$-factor extracted from the ASE method is similar to that using the FM/AM technique. In addition, the $\alpha$-factor is larger than the sole GS-induced value of $\alpha_{H,QD}^{GS} = 0.5$ both below and above threshold, which means the off-resonant ES and RS contribute to the increase of the $\alpha$-factor in the QD laser. This is explained by the fact that the coefficients $F_{ES,RS}^{GS}$ and $F_{GS}^{RS}$ are both positive since the ES and RS have higher energies than the GS (see Eq. (7)).

In conclusion, a theoretical model for studying the $\alpha$-factor of QD lasers is proposed. Unambiguous definitions of $\alpha$-factor in relation with experimental techniques are described. Employing this model, simulations show that the $\alpha$-factor value strongly depends on the energy separation between the lasing GS and the off-resonant states. Figure 5 depicts the $\alpha$-factor dependence on the GS-ES separation $\Delta E_{ES}^{GS}$, where the GS-RS separation is kept as $\Delta E_{RS}^{GS} = 3 \times \Delta E_{ES}^{GS}$. It is noted that the carrier capture and relaxation times are fixed as shown in Table I, since the carrier scattering rates are weakly dependent on the energy separation. For a small separation $\Delta E_{ES}^{GS} = 0.01$ eV, the laser exhibits a large $\alpha$-factor with a value of 2.5 due to the contribution of carrier populations in the ES and RS, which is 5-fold higher than $\alpha_{H,QD}^{GS}$. Enlarging the energy separation reduces the value of $\alpha$-factor. The value can be even slightly smaller than $\alpha_{H,QD}^{GS}$ due to the gain compression as shown in Eq. (15). The inset of Fig. 5 presents the corresponding variation of 2$\Delta m$ as a function of the modulation frequency. It shows that QD lasers of larger energy separation not only exhibit a smaller $\alpha$-factor, but also a lower FM-to-AM index ratio over all the low and high frequencies. Especially, large energy separation significantly suppresses the re-increase of 2$\Delta m$ at high modulation frequencies due to the decoupling between the GS and the off-resonant states. Therefore, strongly confined QDs are more favorable to realize low chirp laser devices with small $\alpha$-factor.

In conclusion, a theoretical model for studying the $\alpha$-factor of QD lasers is proposed. Unambiguous definitions of $\alpha$-factor in relation with experimental techniques are described. Employing this model, simulations show that the $\alpha$-factor value strongly depends on the energy separation between the lasing GS and the off-resonant states. There is a potential to reduce the QD laser’s $\alpha$-factor through enlarging that energy separation in the band energy engineering. This work also explains the wide range of $\alpha$-factor values observed in experiments and opens the way for a better control of the $\alpha$-factor in semiconductor QD lasers.
The authors would like to thank Dr. Philip Poole from the National Research Council, Canada, for providing the QD lasers, and Dr. Jean-Guy Provost from III-V Lab, France for assisting experiments. The authors thank Dr. M. Gioannini, Professor I. Montrosset from Politecnico di Torino, Italy, and Dr. K. Lüdge, B. Lingnau from Technische Universität Berlin, Germany, for helpful discussions. C. Wang’s work was supported by China Scholarship Council. M. Osiński was supported by NanoDesign and Télécom ParisTech. F. Grillot’s work was supported by Partenariat Hubert Curien under Grant No. 30794RC (Campus France/DAAD).