Modeling and simulation of drying operations in PVC powder production line: Experimental and theoretical study of drying kinetics on particle scale

Antoine Aubin, Renaud Ansart, Mehrdji Hemati, Thierry Lasuye, Marc Branly

► To cite this version:

Antoine Aubin, Renaud Ansart, Mehrdji Hemati, Thierry Lasuye, Marc Branly. Modeling and simulation of drying operations in PVC powder production line: Experimental and theoretical study of drying kinetics on particle scale. Powder Technology, 2014, vol. 255, pp. 120-133. 10.1016/j.powtec.2013.08.019 . hal-01068120

HAL Id: hal-01068120

<https://hal.science/hal-01068120>

Submitted on 25 Sep 2014

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Open Archive Toulouse Archive Ouverte (OATAO)

OATAO is an open access repository that collects the work of Toulouse researchers and makes it freely available over the web where possible.

This is an author-deposited version published in: <http://oatao.univ-toulouse.fr/>
Eprints ID: 9723

Identification number: DOI: 10.1016/j.powtec.2013.08.019
Official URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.powtec.2013.08.019>

To cite this version:

Aubin, Antoine and Ansart, Renaud and Hemati, Mehdi and Lasuye, Thierry and Branly, Marc *Modeling and simulation of drying operations in PVC powder production line: Experimental and theoretical study of drying kinetics on particle scale*. (2014) Powder Technology, vol. 255 . pp. 120-133. ISSN 0032-5910

Any correspondence concerning this service should be sent to the repository administrator:
staff-oatao@inp-toulouse.fr

Modeling and simulation of drying operations in PVC powder production line: Experimental and theoretical study of drying kinetics on particle scale

Antoine Aubin^{a,b,*}, Renaud Ansart^{a,b}, Mehrdji Hemati^{a,b}, Thierry Lasuye^c, Marc Branly^c

^a Université de Toulouse, INPT, UPS, Laboratoire de Génie Chimique, 4 Allée Emile Monso, F-31030 Toulouse, France

^b CNRS, Laboratoire de Génie Chimique, F-31030 Toulouse, France

^c INEOS ChlorVinyls France, Chemin des Soldats, F-62670 Mazingarbe, France

A B S T R A C T

An experimental method to determine drying kinetic at a particle scale and a pneumatic dryer model are presented. The particle scale kinetics were obtained by immersion of a fixed mass of wet PVC particles (cake) in a batch dense fluidized bed containing inert hot particles (glass bead). It appears clearly that the PVC drying is controlled by a competition between internal and external transfers. The drying kinetic was described by a shrinking core type model and integrated in a one-dimensional steady-state model simulating a pneumatic dryer. A two-phase continuum model was used to describe the steady-state flow of a diluted dispersed phase (wet PVC powder) and a continuous phase (humid air) through dryer. The model takes into account the convective heat, mass and momentum transfers. The numerical results are compared with industrial experimental data. The results show that the inlet temperature is the most important parameter in the operation.

Keywords:

PVC
Convective drying
Fluidization
Drying kinetic model
Pneumatic dryer model

1. Introduction

This study has been realized in the Chemical Engineering Laboratory in Toulouse in partnership with INEOS ChlorVinyls. In PVC powder production line, after the polymerization step, a suspension, composed of water and PVC particles, is obtained. Most of this suspension water is eliminated during a centrifugation step, leading to a wet porous powder, called cake (with a humidity between 0.2 and 0.35 kg of water/kg of dry PVC). The cake drying occurs essentially in a pneumatic dryer coupled with a fluidized bed dryer with a role of eliminating the residual humidity of PVC (less than 0.05 kg of water/kg of dry PVC). This operation, which consumes a lot of energy, between 800 and 1500 kJ/kg dry PVC, in accordance to the operating conditions and the PVC grade, represents 30% of the production cost. With the purpose of reducing drying cost, it is important to understand the different physical phenomena involved (mass transfer, heat transfer, hydrodynamic phenomena specific to the dryers). This study focuses firstly on the acquisition of the kinetics data in a batch dense fluidized bed, and secondly on the modeling of the dehydration of PVC particles in a pneumatic dryer.

The drying kinetic is obtained by immersing a small amount of PVC particles (mean diameter: 143 μm) in a hot fluidized bed filled with glass beads (mean diameter: 324 μm). These bigger particles' role is to

suppress the agglomeration phenomena between PVC particles and to realize the drying in isothermal conditions. The experimental results show that the drying kinetic is strongly dependent on air temperature and humidity.

These experimental results were represented according to a kinetic model based on heat and mass exchanges between PVC and air (external transfers), and heat and mass diffusion inside the particle (intern transfers). That kinetic model is then included in a steady-state one-dimensional pneumatic dryer model, which simulates the industrial dryer.

2. Background

Porous media drying is a complex problem and still not well-understood despite of the numerous studies that can be found in the literature, due to the coupled exchanges (mass, heat, and momentum transfers) between the gas and the particles [1], depending on solid particles' morphological parameters and water/solid affinity. As shown in Fig. 1, during the drying of a porous particle, two periods can be distinguished. The first period consists in the elimination of free water located at its surface. In this period the evaporation is controlled by external transfers which can be, in accordance to the dryer technology, e.g., conductive, convective or radiative. In our case (pneumatic and fluidized bed dryer), these transfers are convective and depend on the local relative velocity between air and particles, called slip velocity. These transfers depending on the drying technology have been widely studied, and the literature provides a lot of correlations, sometimes

* Corresponding author at: Université de Toulouse, INPT, UPS, Laboratoire de Génie Chimique, 4 Allée Emile Monso, F-31030 Toulouse, France. Tel.: +33 5 34 32 36 93.

E-mail address: antoine.aubin@ensiacet.fr (A. Aubin).

Fig. 1. Different phases of the particle drying.

contradictory, for its estimation ([2–4]). In the second period, the water located in the particles' pores is eliminated: the drying is controlled by a combination between internal and external transfers. The internal transfers depend on the solid properties and structure. The literature shows that many elementary phenomena may occur during drying. Such phenomena are complex and depend on the structure of the solid particle, the water–solid liaison, and the water composition. These elementary mechanisms, represented in Fig. 2 can be ([5,6]):

- capillary forces, depending on liquid wetting on the solid surface ($\gamma_{LV} \cdot \cos(\theta)$), temperature and particle pore size and distribution. These last properties affect the saturation water vapor tension at the solid/liquid interface and the internal liquid movement in the pores.
- vapor diffusion through the pores under the influence of temperature and water vapor partial pressure,
- solid diffusion, corresponding to bound water migration on the solid surface. This mechanism occurs in the case of a solid partially dissolved in water and with a strong liaison between water and solid (chemical interaction, hydrogen liaisons, ...).

Thus, the modeling of a wet particle drying by hot air has to consider: water evaporation at the particle surface during constant drying rate period, and the movement of an evaporation zone between the wet core and the dry crust of the particle. This zone progresses from the particle surface to its core. Several numerical simulations were realized on porous material ([7,8]). The thickness of that drying zone is due to the wetting properties of the liquid in the pores: if the wetting is perfect, the liquid can spread on the pores' internal surface and affect the thermal gradient [9].

Fig. 2. Internal transfer in a porous particle [23].

In the present case, the wetting properties of PVC, which depends on the superficial tension (γ_{LV}) of the aqueous solution introduced during the polymerization step and the affinity between the solid particles and this solution, are represented by the contact angle (θ). The low values of liquid superficial tension and high values of the contact angle (between 80° and 94.7° [10,11]), lead to suppose that the drying zone could be modeled by a surface of discontinuity.

Concerning the capillarity effect, the pore-size distribution of a PVC particle, presented in Fig. 3, shows that the minimal pore size is about 30 nm. The Kelvin law expresses water activity (p_w/p°) as a function of pore radius and shows that, for pore radius higher than 10 nm, the water activity is equal to 1. Hence the capillary effect does not influence the liquid/water equilibrium in this study. Concerning the solid and liquid diffusion, previous analysis on INEOS's PVC shows that it is non soluble in water and that the PVC has a small affinity

Fig. 3. Pore size distribution of a PVC particle, determined by mercury porosimetry (Micrometrics – Autopore IV).

with water. For example, in an atmosphere with 75% of relative humidity, the equilibrium humidity of PVC is 2.4 g of water/kg of dry PVC (at 50 °C). Hence the liquid and solid diffusion can also be neglected in this study, and the only internal transfer remaining is the vapor diffusion. This mechanism is influenced by temperature and water vapor partial pressure gradients.

The SEM picture of a PVC particle (Fig. 4) shows a typical pellet-grain structure [12], indeed each particle is a primary particle stack. With such a structure two pore families can be distinguished (Fig. 3):

- the space between the primary particles (pore size superior to 250 nm), which is macroporosity (the average size of these pores is 3335.6 nm). These pores are dried in the pneumatic dryer (93% of pore volume).
- the primary particles' own porosity (pore size inferior to 250 nm), which is mesoporosity (the average size of these pores is 81.6 nm). These pores are dried in the fluidized bed dryer (7% of pore volume).

A shrinking-core type model is used by numerous authors ([13–16]) to represent the evaporation front evolution with particles having a pellet-grain structure. In this kind of model, the particle is divided into two zones: a wet core, which shrinks over time, and a dry crust, through which the evaporated water diffuses to the particle surface. The elementary mechanisms involved here are conductive heat transfer (from particle surface to its core) and water vapor diffusion through the pores. If the heat transfer leads the drying phenomena, the problem is analogous to the classical Stefan problem for drop evaporation or freezing ([17,18]).

Concerning the pneumatic dryer, this technology is commonly used in order to eliminate free or barely-bound water. A pneumatic dryer is a continuous, convective dryer with a diluted solid transport. This technology presents numerous advantages ([19,20]) such as:

- the short resident time of particles allows the drying of thermosensible product,
- in parallel flow, high temperature can be applied at the inlet, resulting in a high thermal efficiency,
- the dryer can be used as a transport system.

From a hydrodynamic point of view, pneumatic drying is analogous to pneumatic conveying. Hence several pneumatic conveying models ([21,22]) have inspired the pneumatic drying models presented in the literature. These models ([4,23–25]) consider the interactions (mass, heat, and momentum transfer) between a gas phase and a dispersed phase coupled with internal mechanisms.

Fig. 4. SEM picture of a PVC particle.

3. Material and methods

The experiments were realized with a cake provided by INEOS Chlorvinyls France (the properties are presented in Table 1). The particle size analysis realized on dry particles shows a Gaussian-type distribution with a mean particle diameter of 144 μm. The characteristic sizes presented in Table 1 are determined by laser granulometry (Malvern Mastersizer 2000–Sirocco 2000 – ±0.1 μm). The particle density, porosity, tortuosity, and pore-size distribution (Fig. 3) were determined by mercury porosimeter on dry product (Micrometrics – Autopore IV – ±0.1 nm). To determine the cake humidity, different samples were placed in a ventilated oven at 50 °C during 24 h: the cake initial humidity varies between 0.195 and 0.205 kg of water/kg of dry PVC.

Preliminary experiments realized in a convective dryer have shown that the drying kinetic strongly depends on the external conditions (sample weight and thickness, air flowrate, ...). The study of the physical phenomena at a particle scale is then difficult to realize. Hence, just as several studies realized in our laboratory, ([26,27]) the drying kinetic is studied by immersing a small sample of wet PVC (about 3 g) in a hot fluidized bed containing 1.3 kg of glass beads with a mean particle diameter of 324 μm (see properties in Table 1).

The experimental pilot is represented in Fig. 5. The dryer is composed of a 10 cm diameter and 40 cm height glass column. The presence of an extended section on the top prevents the fine particles to be elutriated. The fluidization air is pre-heated in an electrical heater, and when it is necessary, mixed up with water vapor produced by an evaporator as shown in Fig. 4. A water flowrate is spread on an electrical heater. The water is instantaneously evaporated and carried into the warm air stream. The fluidization flowrates (velocities) are chosen in agreement with the characteristic velocities (minimal fluidization and terminal settling velocity) of PVC particles and glass beads. These values determined at ambient temperatures are presented in Table 1. The air velocity range chosen is from 25 to 40 cm/s, which corresponds to 3 and 4.5 times the minimal fluidization velocity of the glass beads.

The dryer is equipped with several temperatures (±0.1 °C) and pressure (±0.1 mbar) sensors and two capacitive hygrometers (temperature and humidity sensors). As shown in Fig. 4, a vacuum pump collects the wet air to measure its humidity. The measurement system, whose role is to ensure that the humidity measurements are realized at constant conditions (air flowrate and temperature), is equipped with: a filter to prevent particles to damage the sensor, a thermostatic bath to maintain a constant temperature and prevent condensation phenomena, the hygrometer, and a rotameter to maintain a constant flowrate. This hygrometer measures the air dew point with an accuracy of 0.1 °C. This hygrometer measurement is controlled according to a second hygrometer (which measures the relative humidity with an accuracy of 0.1%) placed at the cyclone outlet. To avoid

Table 1
Powder PVC and glass bead physical properties (* experimental measurement ± 0.1 cm/s).

Properties	PVC	Glass beads
d_{50} (μm)	144	324
d_{10} (μm)	104	233
d_{90} (μm)	199	450
d_{32} (μm)	139	314
ρ (kg/m ³)	1175	2500
τ (—)	3.0115	—
χ (%)	37.7	—
λ_p (W/(m · K))	0.17	—
C_p (J/(kg · K))	1670	—
U_{mf} * (cm/s)	1.2	8.8
U_t (cm/s)	65.3	242.5

Fig. 5. Experimental pilot.

condensation near the sensor, the pipe is heated with heater cables. Both hygrometers are coupled with a data acquisition system, which permits to record the temporal evolution of air humidity.

A previous study, realized on the hygrometers, showed that the response times of the sensors are not neglectable regarding the operation time. Indeed, the response time to a humidity step signal (i.e., transition from a dry air atmosphere to a wet air atmosphere) is about 40 s while the time of the experiments occurring in the fluidized bed is about 1 min (Fig. 6). This study was realized with both hygrometers by switching from a dry air atmosphere to a wet air atmosphere (room atmosphere), according to the three-way valves for the hygrometer placed in the humidity measurement system, and by removing suddenly the sensor from the pilot. The sensor response is modeled by the association of a plug-flow reactor (representing the lag during the first seconds of the experiments) and a batch reactor with a time constant of 9.5 s (Fig. 5). The experimental and numerical responses of the hygrometer to a humidity step are presented in Fig. 5. As this transfer function models correctly the hygrometer behavior, it is used for the kinetic result exploitation and modeling. So it is important to differentiate the experimental kinetic, given by the raw results of the sensor, and the inherent kinetic: the real drying kinetic in the fluidized bed.

The temperature range chosen is from 30 to 60 °C: if the bed temperature is higher than 70 °C the PVC surface becomes sticky and can cause an agglomeration in the bed. The influence of surrounding air relative humidity is studied from 1.2 to 63.1%.

4. Methodology

The temporal evolution of the outlet air relative humidity (RH), recorded during each experiment, permits to calculate at each instant Y , the absolute air humidity (in kg of water/kg of dry air) and so, W_{drying} , the drying flowrate (in g of water/s), knowing the dry air flowrate (F_g^0 in kg/s) going through the dryer:

$$Y = 0.622 \cdot \frac{RH \cdot p^\circ(T_h)}{P - RH \cdot p^\circ(T_h)} \quad (1)$$

$$p^\circ(T_h) = \exp\left(\frac{16.83 \cdot T_h}{228.74 + T_h} + 6.4075\right) \quad (2)$$

$$W_{drying} = F_g^0 \cdot Y \quad (3)$$

with T_h as the temperature measured by the hygrometer (in °C), $p^\circ(T_h)$ as the saturated water vapor pressure at this temperature, and P as the absolute pressure in the dryer.

By integrating the curve representing the temporal evolution of the drying flowrate, the amount of water evaporated during the time t (m_w) and the total amount of water evaporated during the experiments

(a) Comparison between numerical and experimental results

Fig. 6. Hygrometer response to a step signal.

(m_{w0}) were determined. These informations enable the calculation of the initial (X_0) and the instantaneous (X) solid humidity (with m_0 as the dry sample weight).

$$X = \frac{m_{w0} - m_w}{m_0} \quad \text{and} \quad X_0 = \frac{m_{w0}}{m_0}. \quad (4)$$

The calculated initial humidity corresponds to the initial humidity measured in the ventilated oven at 50 °C during 24 h with an error inferior to 5%.

The temporal evolution of the normalized solid humidity (X/X_0), represented in Fig. 7, is used to determine characteristic times. t_{75} , t_{50} , t_{25} , and the drying time, t_{dry} , correspond to the time necessary for the normalized solid humidity to reach, respectively, 0.75, 0.50, 0.25, and 0.05.

5. Preliminary results

The cake (wet PVC) is a very cohesive powder, so the particles tend to agglomerate themselves. This phenomenon reduces external and internal transfers. Indeed the bigger the particles are, the lower the convective transfer is. In the same way, the internal transfer is reduced with particle agglomerates as the water vapor has a longer diffusion path. So the evolution of outlet air humidity during batch drying is evaluated with cake samples of different masses. The initial calculated humidity was compared to the real sample's humidity. This study showed that the optimal sample mass is between 2 and 4 g of cake. Indeed with inferior mass the sensor precision is too low, and with higher mass the sample is too sticky to disperse and the results are not reproducible. To reduce this phenomenon, the sample is mixed up with glass beads at

Fig. 7. Definition of the characteristic times.

ambient temperatures before being introduced in the fluidized bed. This proceeding breaks the bounds between wet particles, and so improves the sample dispersion in the bed. Fig. 8 shows that the glass bead mass ratio has an influence until 25%. For higher values, the drying time stays constant. Hence, the following experiments are realized with a glass bead mass ratio of 30%.

Fig. 9a and b shows an example of the results obtained with the experimental conditions summarized in Table 2 (Experiment 1). It can be observed that:

- the drying time is about 30 s, which is close to the response time of the hygrometers,
- there is an initial 3 s lag, due to the sample injection and the time needed for the wet air to reach the hygrometer,
- the absolute air humidity and the drying flowrate have a similar evolution,
- the bed temperature decrease during the experiments stays below 1 °C, which shows that the drying is effectuated in isothermal conditions.

In Fig. 8 the evolutions of the normalized solid humidity versus time for different experiments realized in the same conditions (Exps. 1, 2, & 3) are presented. This figure shows that our experimental system is reproducible and the variance of t_{50} is less than 1 s.

6. Experimental results

Table 2 sums up the experimental data (bed temperature, inlet air absolute humidity, and air velocity) and characteristic times: t_{25} , t_{50} ,

Fig. 8. Evolution of solid humidity for different fraction of glass beads. Air velocity: 32.3 cm/s; $T_{bed} = 34.2$ °C; cake weight: 2.9 g.

(a) Absolute air humidity & drying flowrate evolution (Exp. 1)

(b) Solid humidity and bed temperature evolution (Exp. 1)

(c) Reproducibility results: Evolution of normalised solid humidity (Exp. 1, 2, & 3)

Fig. 9. Typical results example obtained during the drying of 2.9 g of wet PVC in fluidized bed at 41.6 °C with an air mass flowrate of 10.8 kg/h.

and t_{75} . Moreover the saturation air humidity (see Eq. (5)) is presented in this table.

$$Y^* = 0.622 \cdot \frac{P^{\circ}(T_{Bed})}{P - P^{\circ}(T_{Bed})} \quad (5)$$

The effect of bed temperature, air velocity, and air initial humidity on the drying kinetic is presented in Figs. 10, 11, and 12. They show that the drying is extremely fast even at low temperature. In the operating conditions used, the drying time stays below 85 s. It is important to note that this is overestimated because of the hygrometer

Table 2
List of experiments.

Exp.	T_{Bed} (°C)	F_g^0 (kg/h)	Y_0 (g/kg)	Y^* (g/kg)
1	41.6	10.8	0.2	53.9
2	41.2	10.8	0.2	52.7
3	41.9	10.8	0.2	54.9
4	42.6	9.2	0.1	47.2
5	42.5	10.8	0.1	50.9
6	42.5	12.4	0.1	53.6
7	34.2	10.8	0.2	35.1
8	54.9	10.8	0.2	114.6
9	36.6	9.8	0.2	40.4
10	36.5	9.8	5.8	40.2
11	36.5	9.8	9.3	40.2
12	36.7	9.8	16.2	40.6
13	36.6	9.8	19.7	40.4
14	36.7	9.8	25.2	40.6

Exp.	t_{dry} (s)	t_{25} (s)	t_{50} (s)	t_{75} (s)
1	30.0	21.0	15.2	10.7
2	31.1	22.0	16.3	11.8
3	30.1	20.4	14.3	9.9
4	53.0	32.1	21.0	14.4
5	46.4	27.8	19.8	14.6
6	35.8	21.8	15.7	11.5
7	40.8	27.0	18.8	12.9
8	24.2	16.6	11.7	8.0
9	36.5	23.9	17.0	11.6
10	45.5	26.0	18.2	13.0
11	41.3	23.3	17.4	12.7
12	54.7	32.5	23.4	16.8
13	72.4	45.3	28.5	18.7
14	83.6	54.2	36.3	24.3

response time. These results also show that even in a strongly stirred dryer, as the fluidized bed, the external transfer resistance is not neglectable. These resistances, controlled by the hydrodynamic parameters of the system, determine the energy and mass flow exchange between the dryer atmosphere and the PVC particles.

Indeed, the experimental results in Fig. 10 show that a rise of the air flowrate from 9.2 to 12.4 kg/h decreases the drying time from 53 to 36 s. The higher the air velocity is, the higher the relative velocity between the air and the particles is. Hence, the mass and heat transfers are increased and the drying time is shorter. Regarding the bed temperature effect, the results presented in Fig. 11 show that a rise from 35 to 55 °C strongly decreases the drying time from 40 to 24 s. Indeed, a bed temperature increase will improve the heat transfer between the fluidized bed and the particles but also the mass transfer driving force ($Y^* - Y$).

Fig. 12 shows the influence of air humidity on the drying kinetic and drying characteristic times and presents the evolution of the normalized solid humidity versus time. These results show that a rise of the air absolute humidity from 16 to 25 g of water/kg of dry air increases the drying time from 55 to 84 s. This can be explained by the effect of the drying driving force: a rise of initial humidity results to an increase of Y and so there is a drying driving force reduction.

All these results show that the drying kinetic of PVC particles is directed by both external and internal humidity transfer.

7. Kinetic model

From our experimental results and knowing the properties of the PVC powder, we determined a kinetic law. This law is implemented in a model simulating our experimental setup: the kinetic model. In this section, we described the hypothesis and the equations of this model, and, in order to validate it, we compare its results to our experimental results.

(a) Evolution of drying flowrate and normalised solid humidity versus time

(b) Evolution of characteristic times versus air mass flowrate

Fig. 10. Evolution of drying flowrate, normalized solid humidity, and characteristic times for different air mass flowrate. Exps. 4, 5, & 6.

(a) Evolution of drying flowrate and normalised solid humidity versus time

(b) Evolution of characteristic times versus air temperature

Fig. 11. Evolution of drying flowrate, normalized solid humidity, and characteristic times for different temperatures. Exps. 1, 7, & 8.

7.1. Hypothesis and equations

Knowing the low affinity of water with the PVC surface, the drying inside the particle can be represented by the moving of an evaporation front from the surface to the core of the particle (Fig. 13). The shrinking core model principle is based on the following hypotheses:

- The particles are considered perfectly spherical and uniform.
- The evaporation occurs on the evaporation front.
- The fluidized bed is considered as a stirred tank.
- The wet core humidity and the dry crust humidity are respectively considered equal to the particle initial humidity (X_0) and null.
- The mass transfer is directed by the water vapor diffusion through the dry crust and the convection at the particle surface.
- The heat is transferred from the air to the particle by convection and is diffused in the dry crust by conduction.
- The sample weight is low enough to assure the bed isothermicity.
- The particle temperature is considered uniform. This hypothesis can be justified by the low value of the thermal Biot number. In addition, this hypothesis has been justified with an analog model calculating the temperature profile in the dry crust.
- The instantaneous profile of the air humidity in the dry crust is obtained with the quasi-stationary state hypothesis.

The equations of the model, solved with the variable steps Runge-Kutta method, are presented below:

- Water vapor flux density at particle surface:

$$N_{w|R} = \frac{k_y}{Bi_M \cdot (1 - R/r_c) + 1} \cdot (Y^* - Y), \quad (6)$$

- with Bi_M the mass Biot number, defined as:

$$Bi_M = \frac{k_y \cdot R}{D_{app} \cdot \rho_g}. \quad (7)$$

- Mass balance on the particle:

$$\frac{d\bar{X}}{dt} = -\frac{6 \cdot N_{w|R}}{\rho_p \cdot d_p}. \quad (8)$$

- Instantaneous solid humidity:

$$\bar{X} = \left(\frac{d_c}{d_p}\right)^3 \cdot X_0. \quad (9)$$

- Wet core radius evolution:

$$\frac{dr_c}{dt} = \frac{-k_y \cdot R^2}{\rho_p \cdot r_c \cdot [Bi_M \cdot (R - r_c) + r_c]} \cdot \frac{Y^* - Y}{X_0}. \quad (10)$$

- Heat balance on the particle

$$\rho_p \cdot C_{p_h} \cdot \frac{dT_p}{dt} = \frac{3 \cdot h}{R} \cdot (T^\infty - T_p) - \rho_p \cdot \Delta H_V \cdot \frac{d\bar{X}}{dt}, \quad (11)$$

(a) Evolution of the normalised solid humidity versus time

(b) Evolution of characteristic times versus inlet air absolute humidity

Fig. 12. Evolution of the normalized solid humidity and characteristic times for different initial relative humidity. Exps. 9 to 14.

- with C_{p_h} the specific heat of the wet particle, defined as:

$$C_{p_h} = C_{p_p} + X \cdot C_{p_l}. \quad (12)$$

To solve these equations, the apparent diffusion and the mass and heat transfer coefficients are calculated using the below correlations:

- The apparent diffusion coefficient is calculated from the binary molecular diffusion coefficient.

Fig. 13. Shrinking core model.

$$D_{app} = D_{air-H_2O} \cdot \frac{\chi}{\tau} \quad (13)$$

- with χ and τ given in Table 1. The binary molecular diffusion coefficient is calculated from the Fuller, Schettler, and Giddings correlation [28]:

$$D_{air-H_2O} = 1.1757 \times 10^{-9} \cdot T_g^{1.75}. \quad (14)$$

- The heat transfer coefficient is calculated from the Baeyens correlation [29]:

$$Nu = 0.15 \cdot Re. \quad (15)$$

- The mass transfer coefficient is calculated from the Chilton and Colburn analogy, which is classically used in drying:

$$\frac{h}{k_y} = C_{p_h} \cdot \left(\frac{Sc}{Pr} \right)^{2/3}. \quad (16)$$

7.2. Model validation

In Figs. 14 and 15 typical numerical results are presented. These results show that the real drying time is about 11 s, which is four

(a) Evolution of air absolute humidity and PVC humidity

(b) Evolution of particle temperature

Fig. 14. Modeling results: inherent kinetic. Operating parameters: $Y^\infty = 0$ kg/kg; $T^\infty = 41.6$ °C; $F_g^0 = 9.87$ kg/h; $X_0 = 0.167$ kg/kg; $T_0 = 15$ °C; $m_0 = 2.487$ g.

(a) Evolution of air absolute humidity versus time

(b) Evolution of PVC normalised humidity versus time

Fig. 15. Comparison between experimental and numerical results. Drying of 2.9 g of wet PVC in fluidized bed at 41.6 °C with an air velocity of 32.3 cm/s.

times faster than the time observed with the hygrometer and that the drying operation occurs in three phases:

- The sample warming-up period, from 0 to 3 s (phase 1).
- The constant drying rate period, from 3 to 10.5 s (phase 2).
- The falling drying rate period, from 10.5 to 13 s (phase 3).

In order to compare the numerical results with the experimental data, the hygrometer transfer function is integrated in the model. The theoretical results are compared with the experimental finding (Exps. 1, 2, and 3). Fig. 15 indicates a good concordance between the model predictions and the experimental data.

To have a better understanding of the relative influence of the internal and external resistances to mass transfer, the sensitivity of the mass Biot number was studied. Its expression is remembered below:

$$Bi_M = \frac{k_y \cdot R}{D_{app} \cdot \rho_g} = \frac{k_y / \rho_g}{D_{app} / R} \quad (17)$$

$$= \frac{\text{internal resistance}}{\text{external resistance}}$$

In the previous simulation conditions, the Biot number estimated by the model is equal to 1.44, which means a competition between both transfer resistances. Fig. 16 shows the outlet air absolute humidity evolution versus time for different Biot number values (from 0.1 to 10 times the Biot number calculated by the model). These results show that the drying is longer and more difficult with the high Biot number. So

Fig. 16. Air absolute humidity evolution versus time for different Biot number values.

in our case, the external resistance is not negligible in front of internal resistance. This phenomenon can be explained by the morphological parameters of PVC particles. The low internal resistance can be attributed to the evaporation of water inside the macroporosity of small particles and to the low affinity with water.

The model considers a monodisperse granulometry, while in reality the PSD shows a Gaussian-type distribution (d_{10} , d_{50} , and d_{90} presented in Table 1). Fig. 17 shows that, in our case, the particle diameter has a small influence on the characteristic times. So, the monodisperse hypothesis does not alter significantly the model results.

Fig. 18 shows the comparison between the characteristic times given by the model and by the experimental data. These results show that the model predicts correctly the effect of: bed temperature (Fig. 17), inlet air humidity between 0 and 16 g of water/kg of dry air (Fig. 17), and fluidization air flowrate up to 11 kg/s (Fig. 17), on the characteristic times. Besides, at lower air fluidization air flowrate and at higher inlet air humidity (> 16 g of water/kg of dry air) the model underestimates the characteristic times, especially t_{25} .

This phenomenon can be explained by different reasons:

- The effect of inlet air humidity and fluidization air flowrate on the cake dispersion. Indeed, a rise of inlet humidity and a decrease of fluidization flowrate disfavor this phenomenon.
- The effect of air humidity on the local equilibrium, which is not taken into account.
- And by considering the PVC particle as a homogeneous media (i.e., a uniform pore size). Indeed, an increase of air humidity can lead to a slow down of the drying rate. Moreover, the presence of mesoporosity in the PVC particles can explain the gaps observed, especially at the

Fig. 17. Influence of particle diameter on the characteristic times.

(a) Influence of the bed temperature

(b) Influence of the air inlet humidity

(c) Influence of the dry air flowrate

Fig. 18. Comparison of experimental and numerical characteristic times.

end of the drying operations. In that case, transfers are controlled by a combination between molecular and Knudsen diffusion.

8. Pneumatic dryer model

The aim of this study is to model a pneumatic dryer (macroscopic model) using the particle scale kinetics previously elaborated. As shown in Fig. 1, the drying occurs in two periods:

- the removal of surface water, which is governed by a convective mechanism.
- the removal of pore water, which is driven by convective and diffusive mechanisms. This period is modeled with the shrinking core model described in the previous parts.

8.1. Hypothesis and equations

In this model, a two-phase continuum model was used to describe the steady-state flow of a diluted dispersed phase (wet powder) and a

continuous phase through a pneumatic dryer. The model is based on the following assumptions:

- Mass, energy and momentum balances occur between the two phases.
- The friction forces between the dispersed phase and the wall can be neglected.
- The continuous phase, composed of a mixture of water vapor and other gas, is considered as an ideal gas.
- The particles are spherical and composed of a homogeneous porous matrix.
- The particle size distribution is considered as monodisperse.
- Electrostatic forces and surface tension effects are neglected.
- The wall heat loss is neglected.

The mass, energy, and momentum balances developed in one-dimensional steady-state for the k -phase and the transport equations for solid and gas humidity, deducted from the mass balances are presented below. In this work, the k -phase can be either the gas phase ($k = g$) or the particle phase ($k = p$).

- Mass balance:

$$\frac{d}{dz}(\alpha_k \cdot \rho_k \cdot U_k) = \Gamma_k \quad (18)$$

- with Γ_k the volume rate of mass transfer of the k -phase, expressed from the water vapor flow density N_w :

$$\Gamma_p = -\Gamma_g = -\alpha_p \cdot s_p \cdot N_w \quad (19)$$

- where α_p is the local volume fraction of solid and s_p the specific surface area of a particle. The water vapor flux density can be expressed as the product of a "global mass-transfer coefficient" and the drying driving force:

$$N_w = K_y \cdot (Y^* - Y) \quad (20)$$

- The global mass-transfer coefficient is expressed as a function of the mass Biot number (as seen in the previous part in Eq. (6)): 0.43

$$K_y = \frac{k_y}{Bi_M \cdot \left[\left(\frac{X}{X_0} \right)^{-1/3} - 1 \right] + 1} \cdot \frac{1}{X_0 - X_c} \quad (21)$$

- Eq. (21) is valuable for solid humidity less than or equal to the critical humidity, X_c :

$$X_c = \frac{\rho_{water} \cdot \chi}{\rho_p} \quad (22)$$

- This case is commonly observed when the pneumatic dryer is fed by a cake obtained by an efficient centrifugation. In opposite, when the initial humidity is greater than X_c , the evaporation takes place essentially on a continuous liquid film at the particle surface (convective transfer). The reduction of this film thickness during the evaporation decreases the drying surface. In this case the global mass transfer coefficient can be estimated by:

$$K_y = k_y \cdot \left(\frac{\rho_p \cdot X}{\rho_{water}} + 1 - \chi \right)^{2/3} \quad \text{for } X > X_c \quad (23)$$

- Energy balance:

$$F_k^0 \cdot \frac{dH_k}{dz} = Q_{q \rightarrow k} + Q_{w \rightarrow k} + A_c \cdot \Gamma_k \cdot H_w^g \quad (24)$$

- with $Q_{q \rightarrow k}$ as the energy exchanged from the q-phase to the k-phase and $Q_{w \rightarrow k}$ is the heat loss of the k-phase.

$$Q_{g \rightarrow p} = -Q_{p \rightarrow g} = \alpha_p \cdot A_c \cdot s_p \cdot h \cdot (T_g - T_p) \quad (25)$$

- where A_c is the pipe cross section, h is the heat-transfer coefficient, and T_p and T_g , are the solid and gas phase temperatures, respectively. As the solid flow is very diluted, the heat loss of the solid phase is neglected; for the gas phase it is expressed as:

$$Q_{w \rightarrow g} = h_{wall} \cdot (T_{wall} - T_g). \quad (26)$$

- Momentum balance:

$$\frac{d}{dz} (\alpha_k \cdot \rho_k \cdot U_k^2) = \alpha_k \cdot \frac{dP}{dz} - \alpha_k \cdot \rho_k \cdot g + I_{q \rightarrow k} + (U_p - U_k) \cdot \Gamma_k + F_{f, w \rightarrow k}. \quad (27)$$

- The first two terms on the right-hand side of this equation represent, respectively, the influence of pressure and gravity on the flows, $I_{q \rightarrow k}$ represents the interactions between the phases and $F_{f, w \rightarrow k}$ represents the friction forces between the wall and the k-phase. In this model, the only interaction taken into account is the drag:

$$I_{g \rightarrow p} = -I_{p \rightarrow g} = \frac{3 \cdot \alpha_p \cdot \rho_g \cdot U_r^2 \cdot C_d}{4 \cdot d_p} \quad (28)$$

- where U_r is the slip velocity ($U_r = U_g - U_p$). The drag coefficient, C_d is calculated by the Wen and Yu correlation [30]:

$$C_d = \frac{24}{Re} \left(1 + 0.15 \cdot Re^{0.687} \right) \cdot \alpha_g^{-1.7}. \quad (29)$$

- The friction force between the pipe and the continuous phase is estimated by:

$$F_{f, w \rightarrow g} = \frac{f \cdot \rho_g}{2 \cdot D_{pipe}} \cdot U_g^2. \quad (30)$$

- The friction factor, f , is calculated by the Blasius formula:

$$f = \frac{64}{Re_{pipe}} \quad \text{if } Re_{pipe} < 2100 \quad (31)$$

$$f = \frac{0.0791}{Re_{pipe}^{1/4}} \quad \text{if } Re_{pipe} > 10,000. \quad (32)$$

- As the solid flow is much diluted, the particle friction forces are neglected.
- Humidity transport equations:

$$\frac{dX}{dz} = \frac{A_c \cdot \Gamma_p}{F_p^0} \quad (33)$$

$$\frac{dY}{dz} = \frac{A_c \cdot \Gamma_g}{F_g^0} = -\frac{F_p^0}{F_g^0} \cdot \frac{dX}{dz} \quad (34)$$

- with F_p^0 and F_g^0 as the dry flowrate of the solid and gas phases.
- Transfer coefficients

Some dimensionless empirical correlations can be used to calculate the heat-transfer coefficient in a pneumatic dryer:

- Baeyens correlation [29] see Eq. (15),
- De Brandt correlation [29]:

$$Nu = 0.16 \cdot Re^{1.3} \cdot Pr^{0.67} \quad (35)$$

- Bandrowski correlation [31]

$$Nu = 0.00114 \cdot \alpha_p^{-0.5984} \cdot Re^{0.8159} \quad (36)$$

The Chilton and Colburn analogy (see Eq. (16)) is used in order to calculate the mass transfer coefficient.

Baeyens and De Brandt correlations predict the transfer coefficient in a diluted phase. In order to model the effects of collisions between particles on the transfer rate, Bandrowski correlation [31] expressed the Nusselt number as a function of the solid volume fraction, α_p . This relation indicates that in the inlet section of a pneumatic dryer, the important value of α_p can counterbalance the high values of slip velocity. In other words, it takes into account the effect of solid dispersion in the inlet zone.

9. Results and discussion

Firstly, the model predictions are compared with the experimental measurements effectuated by Baeyens [29] on an industrial pneumatic dryer. Secondly, they are confronted with our preliminary industrial results obtained at INEOS ChlorVinyls company.

The simulation data, PVC properties, geometrical and operating parameters, are resumed in Table 3.

9.1. Comparison with literature findings

In the case of the industrial experiments published by Baeyens [29], the inlet PVC humidity (0.26) is greater than the critical humidity (0.134), so the drying rate is controlled by a two stage process. The first stage corresponds to the surface water evaporation and the second to the pore water evaporation. Fig. 19 represents the theoretical results obtained using the different correlations previously presented and the experimental measurements effectuated by Baeyens [29] on an industrial process. Fig. 18 represents the evolution of solid humidity versus

Table 3
Simulation data [29].

Data	Baeyens	INEOS
<i>Particle properties</i>		
Mean particles diameter (μm)	180	160
Solid density (kg/m ³)	1116	1016
Inlet PVC humidity (kg/kg)	0.26	0.33
Porosity (—)	0.15	0.367
Tortuosity (—)	8.0	3.2562
<i>Dryer geometry</i>		
Pipe diameter (m)	1.25	1.7
Pipe length (m)	25	22
<i>Operating parameters</i>		
Inlet air temperature (°C)	127	180
Inlet air humidity (kg/kg)	0.003	0.004
Dry air flowrate (t/h)	46.48	45
Dry PVC flowrate (t/h)	6.670	8.545
Thermal loss (kW/m)	25	25

(a) Evolution of solid humidity versus time for different correlations

(b) Evolution of air temperature versus time for different correlations

Fig. 19. Comparison between experimental and numerical results for different correlations.

pipe length while Fig. 18 represents the evolution of air temperature versus pipe length.

The differences observed between experimental data and theoretical results can be explained by the worst dispersion of the wet cohesive PVC in the gas phase at the pipe's inlet zone. The theoretical results which fit the best the experimental data were obtained using the Bandrowski correlation. This can be explained by the effect of the slip velocity on the Reynolds number, and so on the heat and mass transfer coefficients. As shown in Fig. 20, this magnitude is really important at the inlet and progressively decreases along the dryer. This leads to very important variations of the transfer coefficients (see Fig. 21). In the Bandrowski correlation, this effect is attenuated by the solid volume fraction of the dispersed phase.

9.2. Comparison with INEOS ChlorVinyls results

To establish the humidity and temperature profiles along the pneumatic dryer, INEOS' industrial dryer is equipped with several

Fig. 20. Evolution of slip velocity versus pipe length.

Fig. 21. Evolution of global mass transfer coefficient versus solid humidity for different correlations.

hygrometers and thermocouples. Fig. 22 shows the comparison between industrial data and numerical results obtained using the Bandrowski correlation. It can be noticed that the temperature profile is correct while some differences appear on the drying flowrate evolution: in the industrial dryer, the humidity is barely constant in the first meters while in the model results, humidity rises strongly. This is due to the dispersion phenomenon (not taken into account in the model) which slows down the drying in the acceleration zone.

9.3. Parameter study

The results of the following simulations were obtained using the Bandrowski correlation and Baeyens parameters (see Table 3).

The effect of dry air mass flowrate was examined between 25 and 46.4 t/h. As shown in Fig. 23, this parameter has a small influence on the drying rate above 35 t/h. The air velocity influences the drying rate only in the acceleration zone, then the slip velocity is equal to the terminal settling velocity. At the dryer outlet the air is far to be saturated, so the decrease of the dry air flowrate does not affect the drying driving force significantly (e.g., a decrease of dry air flowrate from 46 t/h to 35 t/h increases the outlet solid humidity from 0.054 to 0.067 kg water/kg dry PVC, and a decrease of dry air flowrate from 35 t/h to 25 t/h increases the outlet solid humidity from 0.067 to 0.097 kg water/kg dry PVC).

Concerning the inlet air temperature, Fig. 24 shows a dominant effect (e.g., an increase of inlet air temperature from 96 °C to 156 °C decreases the outlet solid humidity from 0.097 to 0.014 kg water/kg dry PVC). Indeed, increasing the temperature leads to a more important heat-transfer driving force. But as the equilibrium humidity depends on the temperature, it will also increase the mass-transfer driving force.

Fig. 22. Comparison between numerical results and INEOS industrial data.

Fig. 23. Evolution of solid humidity and temperature versus pipe length for different dry air flowrates: 46, 35, and 25 t/h.

The inlet air humidity effects were investigated between 0 and 0.0105 kg water/kg dry air. The last value corresponds to an atmospheric air (15 °C) almost saturated (98.4% of relative humidity). As shown in Fig. 25, such an increase of inlet air humidity increases the outlet solid humidity from 0.052 to 0.054 kg water/kg dry PVC. This increase shows that the inlet air humidity, which is a sustained parameter, slightly influences the drying driving force. It can be noticed that the solid temperature is influenced by:

- the dry air flowrate during the falling drying rate period only,
- the air humidity during the constant drying rate period only, and
- the air temperature influences it during both periods.

In fact the temperature stage value of the constant drying period is controlled by the drying driving forces. As shown in Eqs. (19) and (20), this force depends only on the air humidity, Y^* , and the equilibrium humidity, Y^* , which is directly related to the solid temperature. In the falling drying rate period, the solid temperature increases until the thermal equilibrium with the air, this equilibrium is controlled by the dry air flowrate and temperature.

10. Conclusion

An experimental and numerical protocol was developed to study the drying kinetic of porous media at the particle scale. The results show the significant influence of the operating parameters, essentially air temperature and humidity. It appears clearly that the PVC drying is controlled by a competition between internal and external transfers. The use of a shrinking-core type model to simulate the particle-scale drying was confirmed by the experimental results.

Fig. 24. Evolution of solid humidity and temperature versus pipe length for different inlet temperatures: 126, 96, and 156 °C.

Fig. 25. Evolution of solid humidity and temperature versus pipe length for different inlet air humidity.

PVC is essentially constituted of macropores ($d_{pore} < 250$ nm). The volume fraction of mesopores ($10 < d_{pore} < 250$ nm) is inferior to 10%. So we choose a shrinking core type model, supposing that the particles can be represented like a spheric pseudo-homogeneous sphere (i.e., uniform porosity and pore size). This model permits the drying kinetic to be simulated correctly. The gaps observed at the end of the drying operation is probably related to the transfers in the mesoporosity (primary particles).

A one-dimensional steady-state model for pneumatic dryer was established. This model is applied for the drying process of wet PVC powder. The drying rate is controlled by the convective transfer in the first period and by the convective and diffusive transfers in the second. The first period corresponds to the surface water drying; besides the second corresponds to the evaporation of the water in the pores, simulated by a shrinking core model. The model takes into account the convective heat, and mass and momentum transfers. The parameter study shows that the inlet temperature is the most important parameter in the operation. But this model does not take into account the wet powder dispersion, which is certainly the limiting step of this process.

Nomenclature

Roman symbols		
A_c	Pipe cross-section	m^2
C_d	Drag coefficient	-
C_p	Specific heat	$J \cdot kg^{-1} \cdot K^{-1}$
d_p	Particle diameter	m
d_{32}	Sauter diameter	m
d_{50}	Median diameter	m
D_{app}	Apparent diffusion coefficient	$m^2 \cdot s^{-1}$
D_{H_2O}	Water vapor/air binary diffusion coefficient	$m^2 \cdot s^{-1}$
D_{pipe}	Pipe diameter	m
f	Friction factor	-
$F_{f,w \rightarrow k}$	Wall friction force on k phase	$kg \cdot m^{-2} \cdot s^{-2}$
g	Acceleration of gravity	$m \cdot s^{-2}$
h	Convective heat transfer coefficient	$W \cdot m^{-2} \cdot K^{-1}$
H_k	k-phase mass enthalpy	$J \cdot kg^{-1}$
H_g^w	Water vapor mass enthalpy	$J \cdot kg^{-1}$
$I_{q \rightarrow k}$	Interface forces from q to k phase	$kg \cdot m^{-2} \cdot s^{-2}$
k_y	Convective mass transfer coefficient	$kg \cdot m^{-2} \cdot s^{-1}$
K_y	Global mass transfer coefficient	$kg \cdot m^{-2} \cdot s^{-1}$
m_0	Dry sample mass	kg
m_w	Mass of evaporated water at t time	kg
m_{w0}	Total mass of evaporated water	kg
N_w	Mass transfer rate	$kg \cdot m^{-2} \cdot s^{-1}$
p^*	Saturated water vapor pressure	Pa
p_w	Water vapor partial pressure	Pa
P	Absolute pressure	Pa
$Q_{q \rightarrow k}$	Heat transfer from q to k phase	$W \cdot m^{-1}$
$Q_{w \rightarrow k}$	k-phase wall heat loss	$W \cdot m^{-1}$
r_c	Wet core radius	m

<i>Roman symbols</i>		
R	Particle radius	m
RH	Air relative humidity	–
S_p	Particle Specific Surface	$\text{m}^2 \cdot \text{m}^{-3}$
t_{dry}	Time when X reaches	$0.05 \cdot X_0 \text{ s}$
t_{25}	Time when X reaches	$0.25 \cdot X_0 \text{ s}$
t_{50}	Time when X reaches	$0.50 \cdot X_0 \text{ s}$
t_{75}	Time when X reaches	$0.75 \cdot X_0 \text{ s}$
T_k	k-phase temperature	$^{\circ}\text{C}$
U_k	k-phase velocity	$\text{m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$
U_{mf}	Minimal fluidization velocity	$\text{m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$
U_r	Relative velocity	$\text{m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$
U_t	Settling velocity	$\text{m} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$
W_{drying}	Drying flowrate	$\text{kg} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$
X	Solid humidity	$\text{kg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$
X_c	Critical humidity	$\text{kg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$
X_{pore}	Pore humidity	$\text{kg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$
Y	Air absolute humidity	$\text{kg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$
Y^*	Saturated air humidity	$\text{kg} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$
Z	Pipe length	m
<i>Greek symbols</i>		
α_k	k-phase volume fraction	–
γ_{LV}	Superficial tension	$\text{N} \cdot \text{m}^{-1}$
I_k	Volumic mass transfer rate	$\text{kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-3} \cdot \text{s}^{-1}$
ΔH_V	Vaporization enthalpy	$\text{J} \cdot \text{kg}^{-1}$
θ	Contact angle	–
λ_k	k-phase thermal conductivity	$\text{W} \cdot \text{m}^{-1} \cdot \text{K}^{-1}$
μ_k	k-phase viscosity	$\text{Pa} \cdot \text{s}$
ρ_k	k-phase density	$\text{kg} \cdot \text{m}^{-3}$
τ	Pore tortuosity	–
χ	Particle porosity	–
<i>Subscripts</i>		
g	Gas phase	
h	Humid	
l	Liquid	
p	Particle phase	
w	Wall	
0	Initial/inlet	
<i>Dimensionless numbers</i>		
Nu	Nusselt number	$Nu = \frac{h \cdot d_p}{\lambda_g}$
Pr	Prandtl number	$Pr = \frac{c_{p,g} \cdot \mu_g}{\lambda_g}$
Re	Particle Reynolds number	$Re = \frac{\rho_k \cdot U_r \cdot d_p}{\mu_k}$
Re_{pipe}	Pipe Reynolds number	$Re_{pipe} = \frac{\rho_k \cdot U_F \cdot D_{pore}}{\mu_k}$
Sc	Schmidt number	$Sc = \frac{\mu_k}{\rho_k \cdot D}$
Sh	Sherwood number	$Sh = \frac{k_g \cdot d_p}{D}$

References

- [1] S. Kowalski, *Drying of Porous Materials*, Springer, 2007.
- [2] K. Rajan, B. Pitchumani, S. Srivastava, B. Mohanty, Two-dimensional simulation of gas–solid heat transfer in pneumatic conveying, *Int. J. Heat Mass Transf.* 50 (5) (2007) 967–976.
- [3] M. Mezhericher, A. Levy, I. Borde, Theoretical models of single droplet drying kinetics: a review, *Dry. Technol.* 28 (2) (2010) 278–293.
- [4] A. Levy, I. Borde, Steady state one dimensional flow model for a pneumatic dryer, *Chem. Eng. Process.* 38 (2) (1999) 121–130.
- [5] M. Fortes, M. Okos, A non-equilibrium thermodynamics approach to transport phenomena in capillary porous media, *Proceedings of the First International Symposium on Drying*, McGill University, Montreal, Canada, August 3–5, 1978, Science Press, 1978, p. 100.
- [6] J. Parry, Mathematical modelling and computer simulation of heat and mass transfer in agricultural grain drying: a review, *J. Agric. Eng. Res.* 32 (1) (1985) 1–29.
- [7] M. Prat, Recent advances in pore-scale models for drying of porous media, *Chem. Eng. J.* 86 (1) (2002) 153–164.
- [8] L. Segura, P. Toledo, Pore-level modeling of isothermal drying of pore networks: effects of gravity and pore shape and size distributions on saturation and transport parameters, *Chem. Eng. J.* 111 (2) (2005) 237–252.
- [9] F. Plourde, M. Prat, Pore network simulations of drying of capillary porous media. Influence of thermal gradients, *Int. J. Heat Mass Transf.* 46 (7) (2003) 1293–1307.
- [10] J.-S. Cho, *Polymer Surface Modification: Relevance to Adhesion*, 2VSP, 2000.
- [11] F. Etzler, *Acid–Base Interactions: Relevance to Adhesion Science and Technology*, VSP, 1991.
- [12] J. Szekely, J.W. Evans, H.Y. Sohn, *Gas–Solid Reactions*, Vol. 66, Academic Press, New York, 1976.
- [13] P. Agarwal, W. Genetti, Y. Lee, Coupled drying and devolatilization of wet coal in fluidized beds, *Chem. Eng. Sci.* 41 (9) (1986) 2373–2383.
- [14] H. Cheong, G. Jeffreys, C. Mumford, A receding interface model for the drying of slurry droplets, *AIChE J.* 32 (8) (1986) 1334–1346.
- [15] N. Abuaf, F. Staub, Drying of liquid–solid slurry droplets, *Drying '86 Volume 1, Proceedings of The Fifth International Symposium on Drying*, 1986, pp. 277–284.
- [16] D. Levi-Hevroni, A. Levy, I. Borde, Mathematical modeling of drying of liquid/solid slurries in steady state one-dimensional flow, *Dry. Technol.* 13 (5–7) (1995) 1187–1201.
- [17] S. McCue, B. Wu, J. Hill, Classical two-phase Stefan problem for spheres, *Trans. R. Soc. A Math. Phys. Eng. Sci.* 464 (2096) (2008) 2055–2076.
- [18] I. Borde, A. Zlotnitsky, Drying and combustion of solid–liquid slurry droplets, *Annual Report*.
- [19] C. Strumillo, T. Kudra, *Drying: Principles, Applications and Design*, 3Routledge, 1986.
- [20] S. Ouyang, Q. Mao, M. Rhodes, O. Potter, Short contact time gas–solid systems, *Rev. Chem. Eng.* 19 (2) (2003) 133–228.
- [21] O. Molerus, Overview: pneumatic transport of solids, *Powder Technol.* 88 (3) (1996) 309–321.
- [22] Z. Mindziul, A. Kmiec, Modelling gas–solid flow in a pneumatic-flash dryer, *Drying* 96 (1996) 275–282.
- [23] C. Peishi, D.C. Pei, A mathematical model of drying processes, *Int. J. Heat Mass Transf.* 32 (2) (1989) 297–310.
- [24] I. Kemp, D. Oakley, Simulation and scale-up of pneumatic conveying and cascading rotary dryers, *Dry. Technol.* 15 (6–8) (1997) 1699–1710.
- [25] A. Pelegrina, G. Crapiste, Modelling the pneumatic drying of food particles, *J. Food Eng.* 48 (4) (2001) 301–310.
- [26] S. Diguët, Contribution à l'étude de la déshydratation du carbonate de sodium monohydrate en lit fluidisé dense et mise en oeuvre en lit fluidisé circulant: étude expérimentale et modélisation, INP-Toulouse, 1996. (Ph.D. thesis).
- [27] M. Mourad, Contribution à l'étude des cinétiques de deshydratation et d'évolution de la qualité commerciale du maïs au cours du séchage en lit fluidisé à flottation, INP Toulouse, 1992. (Ph.D. thesis).
- [28] R. Perry, D. Green, *Perry's Chemical Engineers' Handbook*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 2008.
- [29] J. Baeyens, D. Van Gauwbergen, I. Vinckier, Pneumatic drying: the use of large-scale experimental data in a design procedure, *Powder Technol.* 83 (2) (1995) 139–148.
- [30] L. Schiller, A. Naumann, A drag coefficient correlation, *Vdi Zeitung* 77 (1935) 318–320.
- [31] J. Bandrowski, G. Kaczmarzyk, Gas-to-particle heat transfer in vertical pneumatic conveying of granular materials, *Chem. Eng. Sci.* 33 (10) (1978) 1303–1310.