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Abstract—In last decades, renewable energy resources are considered as an alternative energy resource to the World’s excessive energy demand. An extremely abundant and promising source of energy exists in oceans. Currently, there are several wave energy converters to harness this energy. Some of them, as in tidal applications, use the Doubly-fed induction generator. This paper deals then with a predictive power control of this generator based Wave Energy Converter under irregular wave climate which is modeled as time series elevation from using Bretschneider spectra. In the proposed control approach, the predicted output power was calculated using a linearized state-space model. The DFIG-based WEC power tracking performances further illustrates the dynamic features of the proposed predictive power control approach.
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I. INTRODUCTION

The world energy demand is increasing at an alarming rate, and producing electricity from alternative or renewable energy sources is becoming a necessity. Among renewable energy harvesting technologies which are still being investigated through various industrial and academic group, wave energy harvesting technology has already shown to be practical, since oceans cover almost 70% of the earth’s surface [1-2]. Numerous techniques for extracting energy from the sea have been suggested, most of which can be included in one of the following categories: wave energy, marine and tidal current energy, ocean thermal energy, energy from salinity gradients (osmosis), and cultivation of marine biomass. The global theoretical energy from waves corresponds to 1500 TWh/year, which is about 100 times the total hydroelectricity generation of the whole planet [3].

To harness the power energy in waves present a different set of technical challenges and a wide variety of designs have been suggested. There are many devices which are generally categorized by the installation location and the power take-off. Therefore, most devices can be characterized as belonging to six types. These are attenuator, point absorber, oscillating wave surge converter, oscillating water column, overtopping device, and submerged pressure differential [4-5].

In all WECs, a mechanical interface is used to convert the slow rotational speed or reciprocating motion into high speed rotational motion for connection to a conventional rotating electrical generator as a DFIG (Fig. 1).
The control of the active and reactive power is achieved with a rotor current controller. Several investigations have been carried-out for that reason like the classical PI controllers. However, the problem in the use of PI controllers is gains tuning and DFIG terms cross-coupling in the whole operating range. There are many interesting methods to solve these problems have been presented in [6–15]. In the last reference, we have applied a Model-Based Predictive Control (MBPC) strategy for DFIG-based wave energy converters with the main objective to solve the above-cited control problems. In this paper, we applied the same algorithm in case that our converter is under irregular wave.

II. IRREGULAR WAVE MODEL

A. Nomenclature

- $\rho$: Water density
- $g$: Gravity
- $T_s$: Peak period of wave
- $\omega_0$: Peak Frequency of wave
- $H_s$: Significant height of the wave
- $\eta$: Wave surface elevation
- $\varphi$: Wave phase

Wave motion and wave energy absorption are composed of time-varying oscillatory phenomena. For the study of regular waves, it is necessary to take into account wave climate spectrum that indicates the amount of wave energy at different wave frequencies. Then, the regular wave is modeled and is typically described in terms of power per meter of wave front (wave crest length) [5],[16-18].

$$P_{wf} = \rho g^2 H_s^2 T_s / 32\pi$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

There are different mathematical models that are used for defining such specters [18]. The analytical form is given by the following equation:

$$S(\omega) = \frac{5}{16} H_s^2 \omega_0^2 e^{-1} \frac{5}{4} \frac{\omega_0}{\omega}^4$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

The spectrum used for the simulations is illustrate in (Fig. 2.) where $T_s = 11s$ and $H_s = 7s$.

Once the spectrum has been defined, the wave surface elevation of the $i$ component wave can be found and created by randomly phase shifting and summing several sinusoids of different heights and periods by this equation:

$$\eta(x, t) = \sum_{i} H_i \cos(\omega_i t + \varphi_i)$$

III. DFIG MODEL AND ROTOR CURRENT CONTROL

A. Nomenclature

- $s, (r)$: Stator (rotor) index;
- $d, q$: Synchronous reference frame index;
- $V (I)$: Voltage (Current);
- $\Psi$: Flux;
- $R$: Resistance;
- $L$: Inductance;
- $L_m$: Magnetizing inductance;
- $\sigma$: Leakage coefficient, $\sigma = 1 - \frac{L_m^2}{L_s L_r}$;
- $\omega_s (\omega_{mec})$: Synchronous speed (rotor speed)
  ($\omega_{si} = \omega_s - P \omega_{mec}$);
- $P$: Pole-pair number;
The last equation can be writing in space state for m:

where the relationship between fluxes and currents is:

And generator active and reactive power are:

By using stator flux oriented the stator voltage becomes

And generator active and reactive power are:

The DFIG power control aims independent stator active and reactive power control by means a rotor current regulation.

For decoupled control, dynamic model is required. The DFIG model in the synchronous reference frame is given by [19]:

The DFIG power control aims independent stator active and reactive power control by means a rotor current regulation. For this principle, and are represented as functions of each rotor current. We use stator flux oriented control. The rotor currents control, using (7), allows the DFIG power control to predict the future behavior of the system with control law obtained by optimizing a cost-function [14].

The cost-function considers the effort needed to control the deviation between the expected and the real values.

C is the identity matrix. For a simple time, \( \omega_{nec} \) is constant and the rotor applied voltage is constant during a control period of the PWM voltage source inverter. This equation can be discretized as:

This linear discrete space state model of DFIG will be used in our scheme algorithm to calculate output power.

### IV. MODEL BASED PREDICTIVE CONTROL

#### A. Nomenclature

\( N_p \) = Prediction horizon output;  
\( N_s \) = Control horizon;  
\( Y \) = Predicted output;  
\( U \) = Input.

#### B. MBPC

Model-based predictive control involves a class of control techniques that consists of two main elements: the model of the system being controlled and the optimizer that determines the optimal future control actions. The system model is used to predict the future behavior of the system with control law obtained by optimizing a cost-function [14].

The cost-function considers the effort needed to control the deviation between the expected and the real values.

\[
Y = Nx(k) + Hu + Dw_c 
\]

Where\

\[
Y = \begin{bmatrix} y(k + 1) \\ y(k + 2) \\ \vdots \\ y(k + n_y) \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} y(k + 1) \\ y(k + 2) \\ \vdots \\ y(k + n_y) \end{bmatrix}^T
\]

\[
U = \begin{bmatrix} u(k + 1) \\ u(k + 2) \\ \vdots \\ u(k + n_u) \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} u(k + 1) \\ u(k + 2) \\ \vdots \\ u(k + n_u) \end{bmatrix}^T
\]
\[ W_e = [w_e(k) \ w_e(k + 1) \ w_e(k + N_u - 1)]^T \] \hspace{0.5cm} (14)

\[ N = [C_D A_D \ C_D A_D^2 \ C_D A_D^{N_P}]^T \] \hspace{0.5cm} (15)

\[ H = \begin{bmatrix}
    C_D B_D & 0 & 0 \\
    C_D A_D B_D & C_D B_D & 0 \\
    C_D A_D^2 B_D & C_D A_D B_D & C_D B_D \\
    \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
    C_D A_D^{N_p-1} B_D & C_D A_D^{N_p-2} B_D & \cdots & C_D A_D^{N_p-N_u} B_D \\
\end{bmatrix} \] \hspace{0.5cm} (16)

\[ D = \begin{bmatrix}
    C_D G_D & 0 & 0 \\
    C_D A_D G_D & C_D G_D & 0 \\
    C_D A_D^2 G_D & C_D A_D G_D & C_D G_D \\
    \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
    C_D A_D^{N_p-1} G_D & C_D A_D^{N_p-2} G_D & \cdots & C_D A_D^{N_p-N_u} G_D \\
\end{bmatrix} \] \hspace{0.5cm} (17)

Where \( N_u \) is the prediction horizon output, \( N_u \) is the control horizon, \( Y \) is the predicted output. The choice of \( N_p \) is critical for the performance of control.

The control law is obtained by minimizing the quadratic cost function. The quadratic function is given by:

\[ J = (Y_{ref} - Y)^T W_y (Y_{ref} - Y) + U^T W_u U \] \hspace{0.5cm} (18)

If \( N_u = 1 \), the magnitude of entries represents an average value that allows the output \( s \) to follow the references. If \( N_u > 1 \), the output will be more closely to the reference, but the chosen of big value increase the computational costs. The minimal value of \( J \) is obtained by \( \partial J / \partial U = 0 \). For each control cycle, the matrix \( N, D \) and \( H \) must be updated. The substitution of \( Y \) from “(11)” into “(18)”, results the derivation of the cost function depends on \( U \), which we permit to obtain an analytical solution. Thus this expression is given by:

\[ U = (H^T W_y H + W_u)^{-1} H^T W_y (Y_{ref} - N x(k) - D W_e) \] \hspace{0.5cm} (19)

V. SIMULATION RESULTS

In [15] simulation tests have been carried-out to further assess the effectiveness of the MBPC strategy. For the validation of the earlier discussed control approach in the case of irregular wave climate with time series elevation about 90s calculated from Bretschneider spectrum, simulations have been carried out by MATLAB environment. The DFIG parameters are given in the Appendix. The sampling time is \( T_e = 0.0013 \) s. The elements of the matrices weights \( W_e \) and \( W_y \) should be adjusted carefully so that they meet the requirements desired by the designer.

It is known that the matrix \( W_u \) is related to the control effort and its elements must be nonzero because it causes high overshoot. Already matrix \( W_y \) emphasizes each individual prediction of the output that would improve the response time of the plant. The matrices and are given by:

\[ W_y = \begin{bmatrix}
    15 & 0 \\
    0 & 45 \\
\end{bmatrix} \text{ and } W_u = \begin{bmatrix}
    0.002 & 0 \\
    0 & 0.01 \\
\end{bmatrix} \]

Moreover, the adopted sampling time \( T_e = 0.00131 \) sec.

The power extract from the wave is adopted like an active power reference. Fig. 6, clearly shows the good power tracking performances and therefore confirms the effectiveness of the proposed model based power predictive control strategy.

The fig. 7 shows DC capacitor voltage. From this value we calculate the command vector (quadrature rotor voltage) an also voltage of semi conductor used in AC/AC converter are controled.

In the next two figures, we present The zoom of rotor currents ans we take as an exemple one phase to show that the current and the voltage are in phase that means the reactive power is zero.
CONCLUSION

This paper deals with a model-based predictive power control of a doubly-fed induction generator based wave energy converter under irregular waves. These waves are modeled by using Bretschneider energy spectrum. From this spectrum we have generated times series of 90 seconds. In this context, the control law was derived from an objective function optimization (quadratic error between the predicted powers and the specific references that are control-dependent). The predicted active/reactive powers were calculated using a linearized state-space model. The obtained results clearly show the MBPC approach effectiveness in terms of DFIG powers tracking performances. Further investigations are required to further assess the effectiveness of the proposed MBPC under constraints (input, output and space state) for this type generator and different WECs.

APPENDIX

RATED DATA OF THE SIMULATED DFIG

\[ P_n = 149.2 \text{ kW; } R_s = 0.002475 \Omega; \quad R_r = 0.0133 \Omega; \]
\[ L_s = 0.000284 \text{ H; } L_r = 0.001842 \text{ H; } L_p = 0.01425 \text{ H} \]
\[ J = 2.6 \text{ Kg.m}^2; \quad P = 2; \quad V_n = 575 \text{ V} \]
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