Topological gradient for a fourth order PDE and application to the detection of fine structures in 2D and 3D images
Audric Drogoul, Gilles Aubert, Didier Auroux

To cite this version:

HAL Id: hal-01018623
https://hal.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01018623
Submitted on 4 Jul 2014

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L’archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire HAL, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d’enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.
TOPOLOGICAL GRADIENT FOR THE DETECTION OF FINE STRUCTURES IN 2D AND 3D IMAGES
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ABSTRACT

In this paper we describe a new variational approach for the detection of fine structures in an image (like filaments in 2D). This approach is based on the computation of the topological gradient associated to a cost function defined from a regularized version of the data (possibly noisy and/or blurred). We get this approximation by solving a fourth order PDE. The study of the topological sensitivity is made in the case of a crack. We give the numerical algorithm to compute this topological gradient and we illustrate our approach by giving several experimental results in 2D and 3D images.
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1. INTRODUCTION

In image processing, segmentation / restoration or detection of fine structures are challenging problems with many applications (in satellite, medical, biological imaging, ...). In this work we give and experiment a variational model to detect fine structures (filaments and points in 2D, surfaces, filaments and points in 3D) by using the topological gradient method. First introduced by Sokolowski [1] and Masmoudi [2], this notion consists in the study of the variations of a cost function \( j(\Omega) = J_{\Omega}(u_{\Omega}) \) with respect to a topological variation, where \( J_{\Omega}(u) \) is of the form \( J_{\Omega}(u) = \int_{\Omega} \nabla u, \nabla^2 u, \ldots \); \( u_{\Omega} \) is a solution of a PDE defined on the image domain \( \Omega \).

In order to calculate the topological gradient, we remove to \( \Omega \) a small object \( \omega_{\epsilon} \) of size \( \epsilon \to 0 \) centered at \( x_0 \) (generally a ball or a crack), and we compute the limit \( \mathcal{I}(x_0) = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{j(\Omega \setminus \epsilon x_0) - j(\Omega)}{\epsilon^d} \) where \( d \) is the dimension of the ambient space. \( \mathcal{I}(x_0) \) is called the topological gradient at \( x_0 \). A particularity of this method is that the computation of the topological gradient only needs the direct state \( u_{\Omega} \) and an adjoint state \( v_{\Omega} \) solution of a similar PDE depending on \( u_{\Omega} \). This makes the topological gradient computation easy and very fast. Initially applied in structural mechanics, this method has been used in image processing by several authors, for example by Belaid et al. [3] in restoration / segmentation problems. In this case \( F(\nabla u) = |\nabla u|^2 \) and \( u_{\Omega} \) is the solution of a Laplace PDE. The focus of the method is to find the most energetic points, associated to high topological gradients. If the use of the gradient operator for the cost function is classical in edge detection, it is known [4] that this choice is not adapted for filament detection: the “gradient does not see” these structures. To illustrate this fact, let us consider in 1D the function \( f(x) = 0 \) if \( x \neq 0 \) and \( f(0) = 1 \). This function can be approximated by the function \( f_{\eta}(x) = 0 \) if \( |x| \geq \eta \) and \( f_{\eta}(x) = \frac{2}{\eta^3}|x|^3 - \frac{3}{\eta^2}|x|^2 + 1 \) if \( |x| \leq \eta \). We have \( f'_{\eta}(0) = 0 \) but \( f''_{\eta}(0) = \frac{1}{\eta^2} \), thus \( f'_{\eta} \) “does not see” 0 but \( f''_{\eta} \) becomes singular at 0. Other variational models have been proposed in the literature according to applications, see [5] for the detection of biological filaments or [6] for road network detection. In [7] authors propose a model for detecting objects of codimension two and one in 2D images. Their method is inspired by Ginzburg-Landau models. There exists of course other approaches that are not based on variational calculus. In [8] a morphological method is presented; authors use morphological filters and a curvature evaluation to detect vessel-like patterns. In [9] a thin network is simulated by a point process which penalizes unconnected segments and favors aligned segments. The estimate of the network is obtained by minimizing an energy function. Finally let us mention the wavelet approach [10].

In this paper we present a new variational model based on the topological gradient method, inspired by the static PDE of a deflection of a thin plate subject to transverse force and bending moment. In this case \( F(\nabla^2 u) = \|\nabla^2 u\|^2 \) and \( u_{\Omega} \) is the solution of a PDE based on the Bilaplacian operator. The model presented here allows us to detect filaments and points in 2D blurred and/or noisy images (surfaces and filaments in 3D images). The paper is organized as follows. In section 2 we present the problem and give the main idea to compute the topological gradient (TG) in the case of a crack. In section 3, we develop the numerical algorithm for computing the TG and give some numerical results in 2D and 3D.
2. PROBLEM STATEMENTS AND COMPUTATION OF THE TOPOLOGICAL GRADIENT

2.1. Problem statement

We suppose that the observed image \( f \) writes as \( f = Ku + b \) where \( K \) is a blurring operator, \( b \) a Gaussian noise and \( u \) the image to recover. We denote by \( \Omega \), the domain \( \Omega = \{ \hat{z}, x \in \omega \} \) with \( \omega \) a crack or a ball. We introduce the cost function and the PDE proposed in [11]. The model is inspired by the Kirchhoff thin static plate model subject to pure bending (see [12]) with a Poisson ratio \( \nu = 0 \). We denote by \( J_\varepsilon(u) = J_{\Omega\varepsilon}(u) \) the cost function defined by:

\[
J_\varepsilon(u) = \int_{\Omega\varepsilon} \| \nabla^2 u \|^2, \tag{1}
\]

where for a matrix \( M, \|M\|^2 = \text{tr}(M^TM) \). Let \( u_\varepsilon = u_{\Omega\varepsilon} \), be a regularization of the observed image \( f \in L^2(\Omega\varepsilon) \), solution of the following minimization problem:

\[
\min_{u \in H^2(\Omega\varepsilon)} \left( \alpha J_\varepsilon(u) + \| Ku - f \|_{L^2(\Omega\varepsilon)}^2 \right) \tag{2}
\]

where \( \alpha > 0 \) is a parameter that we have to tune. \( H^2(\Omega\varepsilon) = \{ u \in L^2(\Omega\varepsilon), \nabla u \in L^2(\Omega\varepsilon), \nabla^2 u \in L^2(\Omega\varepsilon) \} \) and \( K : L^2(\Omega\varepsilon) \to L^2(\Omega\varepsilon) \) is a linear operator. The variational formulation of (2) writes as:

\[
\text{find } u_\varepsilon \in H^2(\Omega\varepsilon) : a_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon, v) = l_\varepsilon(v), \forall v \in H^2(\Omega\varepsilon) \tag{3}
\]

with the following bilinear \( a_\varepsilon(u, v) \) and linear \( l_\varepsilon(v) \) forms:

\[
a_\varepsilon(u, v) = \int_{\Omega\varepsilon} \alpha \sum_{1 \leq i,j \leq 2} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \frac{\partial^2 v}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + KuKv
\]

\[
l_\varepsilon(v) = \int_{\Omega\varepsilon} K^* f v.
\]

where \( K^* \) denotes the adjoint operator of \( K \). The Euler equations associated with (2) are:

\[
(\mathcal{P}_\varepsilon) \begin{cases} 
\alpha \Delta^2 u_\varepsilon + K^* K u_\varepsilon = K^* f, & \text{on } \Omega\varepsilon \\
B_1 u_\varepsilon = B_2 u_\varepsilon = 0, & \text{on } \partial \Omega\varepsilon
\end{cases} \tag{5}
\]

where

\[
B_1 u = \partial_\nu (\partial u) - \sigma \left( n_1 n_2 \left( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_1^2} - \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_2^2} \right) - (n_1^2 - n_2^2) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} \right)
\]

\[
B_2 u = n_1 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_1^2} + n_2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_2^2} + 2n_1 n_2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2}
\]

setting \( \vec{n} = (n_1, n_2) \) the outer normal to the domain, and \( \vec{\sigma} = (\sigma_1, \sigma_2) \) the tangent vector such that \( (\vec{n}, \vec{\sigma}) \) forms an orthonormal basis.

2.2. Computation of the topological gradient in 2D

The calculus of the topological gradient is very technical. In this section we just give the important steps and the main ideas in the case of the crack with \( \alpha = 1 \) and \( K = I_\varepsilon \).

**Notations.** To simplify, we suppose that the crack \( \sigma \) is centered at \( 0 \) and writes as \( \sigma = \{ (s, 0), -1 < s < 1 \} \). We denote by \( \tilde{\sigma} \) a fixed smooth closed curve that contains \( \sigma \) and by \( \tilde{\omega} \) the set such that \( \partial \tilde{\omega} = \tilde{\sigma} \). For \( x \in \sigma, u^+ \) and \( u^- \) denote the limit values \( \lim_{y \to x, y \in \tilde{\omega}} u(y) \) and \( \lim_{y \to x, y \in \tilde{\omega}} u(y) \) and \( |u| = u^+ - u^- \) the jump across \( \sigma \). We set \( \sigma_e = \{ x, \tilde{z} \in \sigma \} \), \( \Lambda \) the exterior space \( \mathbb{R}^2 \setminus \sigma \) and \( \Omega_e \) the cracked domain \( \Omega \setminus \sigma \).

**Main ideas.** To compute the topological gradient we have to evaluate the leading term in the difference \( J_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) - J_0(u_0) \) where \( u_0 \) is the solution of (3) with \( \varepsilon = 0 \). We introduce for that an adjoint problem \( \nu_\varepsilon \in H^2(\Omega\varepsilon) \) solution of:

\[
a_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon, v) = -L_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon), \forall v \in H^2(\Omega\varepsilon) \tag{6}
\]

with \( L_\varepsilon(u) = \int_{\Omega\varepsilon} K^* (f - 2Ku_0)u \). Then by integration by parts we get

\[
J_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) - J_0(u_0) = \int_{\Omega\varepsilon} B_1 u_0 [w_\varepsilon] - B_2 u_0 [\partial_\nu w_\varepsilon] - A_\varepsilon \tag{7}
\]

with \( w_\varepsilon = v_\varepsilon - v_0 \) (\( v_0 \) is the solution of (6) with \( \varepsilon = 0 \), \( A_\varepsilon = \|[u_\varepsilon - u_0]||_{L^2(\Omega\varepsilon)}^2 \) and where \( [u_\varepsilon] \) and \( [\partial_\nu w_\varepsilon] \) denote the jumps across \( \sigma_e \) of \( u_\varepsilon \) and \( \partial_\nu w_\varepsilon \). Next we approximate \( w_\varepsilon \) by \( w_\varepsilon = \epsilon^2 P \left( \frac{\tilde{z}}{\epsilon} \right) + e_\varepsilon \) where \( e_\varepsilon \in H^2(\tilde{\Omega}) \) is such that \( ||e_\varepsilon||_{H^2(\tilde{\Omega})} = O(\epsilon^2 \log(\epsilon)) \) and where \( P \in W^2(\Lambda)/\mathbb{P}_1 \) is the solution of the exterior problem defined by

\[
(P_{\text{ext}}) \begin{cases} 
\Delta^2 P = 0, & \text{on } \Lambda \\
B_1 P = 0, & \text{and } B_2 P = V_2 \text{ on } \sigma
\end{cases} \tag{8}
\]

where \( V_2 = -\frac{\partial^2 v_0}{\partial x_2^2} \) is such that \( B_2(v_0)(x) = V_2 + O(\epsilon) \).

We recall that \( W^2(\Lambda)/\mathbb{P}_1 \) is the weighted Sobolev space of functions defined up to the polynomial functions of degree less than or equal to one. We know [11] that \( P \) writes as a multilayer potential:

\[
P(x) = \int_{\sigma} \lambda_1(y) B_1 y E(x-y) d\sigma_y + \int_{\sigma} \lambda_2(y) B_2 y E(x-y) d\sigma_y
\]

where \( \int \) denotes the principal Cauchy value and \( E(x) \) is the fundamental solution associated to the Bilaplacian operator. We can show that \( \|P\| = \lambda_1 = 0 \) and \( [\partial_\nu P(x)] = \lambda_2(x) = \frac{1}{2} V_2 \sqrt{1 - x^2} \). Thus (7) expresses as

\[
J_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) - J_0(u_0) = - \frac{2\pi}{3} \epsilon^2 \frac{\partial^2 u_0}{\partial x_2^2} (0) \frac{\partial^2 v_0}{\partial x_2^2} (0) + o(\epsilon^2)
\]

2.3. Expression of the topological gradient in the general case in 2D

The topological gradient of the function \( \varepsilon \mapsto J_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) \) with \( u_\varepsilon \) given by (3) and for a crack centered at \( x_0 \) and of normal \( \vec{n} \) is
Remark 2.1 In 3D we can model a planar circular crack by taking the maximum of its absolute value over \( \vec{n} \)
where \( u_0 \) and \( v_0 \) are respectively given by (5) and (6) with \( \epsilon = 0 \). We deduce from (9) an indicator based on the topological gradient by taking the maximum of its absolute value over \( \vec{n} \):

\[
I(x_0, \vec{n}) = -\frac{4\pi}{3} \nabla^2 u_0(x_0)(\vec{n}, \vec{n}) \nabla^2 v_0(x_0)(\vec{n}, \vec{n})
\]

Remark 2.2 We will check numerically that the maximum magnitude of \( I(x_0) \) is reached when \( \vec{n} \) is perpendicular to the filament direction.

3. NUMERICAL ALGORITHM AND EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

In this section we describe the numerical method to perform the TG (10) and give some experiment results.

3.1. Algorithm

To compute the TG given in (10) we need to compute the solution \( u_0 \) and \( v_0 \) given by (3) and (6) for \( \epsilon = 0 \). To do that, we consider that \( \Omega \) is the unit square and we extend \( f \) by symmetry with respect to the boundary of \( \Omega \) and by periodicity. Then we can compute \( u_0 \) and \( v_0 \) by DCT (Discrete Cosine Transform). The computation time is \( O(N\log(N)) \) where \( N \) denotes the number of pixels (or voxels). If we represent the blur \( \hat{K} \) by a convolution operator we get the solutions in the Fourier domain:

\[
\hat{u}_0 = \frac{\hat{K} \ast \hat{f}}{\alpha |\nu|^4 + \hat{K} \ast \hat{K}} \quad \hat{v}_0 = \frac{2\hat{K} \ast \hat{K} \hat{u}_0 - \hat{K} \ast \hat{f}}{\alpha |\nu|^4 + \hat{K} \ast \hat{K}}
\]

where \( \nu \) is the discrete Fourier variable and \( \hat{K}, \hat{K} \ast \hat{f}, \hat{u}_0 \) and \( \hat{v}_0 \) are the array of Fourier coefficients. The discrete solutions \( u_0 \) and \( v_0 \) are then obtained from (12) by using the IDCT (Inverse DCT). We compute the Hessian matrices \( \nabla^2 u_0 \) and \( \nabla^2 v_0 \) at each point of the meshgrid by convolution with derivative filters; finally we approximate (10) by writing \( \vec{n} \) in polar or spherical coordinates and by taking the discrete maximum. All calculus are implemented in Matlab 7.5.0 and the experiments are performed on a computer equipped with a processor Intel Core 1.9 GHz.

3.2. Experimental results in 2D

In this section we will compare the TG with an indicator based on the Hessian matrix eigenvalues of a Gaussian convolution of the image and used in [4]. We will denote by \( I_{\text{Hes}} \) this indicator. The TG given in [3] associated to \( F(u) = |\nabla u|^2 \) is denoted by \( I_{\text{Lap}} \), the TG performed in (10) by \( I_{\text{Bilap}} \).

Figure 1 displays an image containing both an edge and a filament. First we see that \( I_{\text{Lap}} \) detects more the edge than the filament while \( I_{\text{Bilap}} \) (and also the Hessian indicator but less clearly) detects more the filament than the edge. In Figure 2 we display both \( I_{\text{Hes}} \) and \( I_{\text{Bilap}} \) for a very noisy image containing a filament, and we see that \( I_{\text{Hes}} \) is less robust with respect to noise than the TG \( I_{\text{Bilap}} \). On Figure 3 we compare \( I_{\text{Bilap}}, I_{\text{Lap}} \) and \( I_{\text{Hes}} \) on a satellite image of a road network. We can see that \( I_{\text{Bilap}} \) detects the center of the roads while \( I_{\text{Lap}} \) is high on the edges of the image and on the border of the roads. \( I_{\text{Hes}} \) is sensitive to texture and is high both on the center of the roads and on edges.

Figure 4 displays the TG \( I_{\text{Bilap}} \) and the indicator \( I_{\text{Hes}} \) for a blurred and noisy image. The result is clear: \( I_{\text{Hes}} \) is inefficient in this case while the TG always detects the filament. The dimension of images are: \( 49 \times 49 \) for Figure 1, \( 132 \times 112 \) for Figure 2, \( 493 \times 351 \) for Figure 3 and \( 193 \times 165 \) for Figure 4. The computation times for Figures 1, 2, 3 and 4 are about 0.2 sec.

3.3. Experimental results in 3D

Here we illustrate the fact that the method can easily be extended to 3D imaging with the TG (11). The DCT is again
Fig. 2. Comparison of $I_{Bilap}$ ($\alpha = 0.5$) with the indicator $I_{Hes}$ ($\sigma = 7/4$) on a noisy image (PSNR=14dB)

Fig. 3. Comparison of $I_{Bilap}$ ($\alpha = 1$) with the indicators $I_{Lap}$ ($\alpha = 0.1$) and $I_{Hes}$ ($\sigma = 5/4$) on a real satellite image

Fig. 4. Comparison of $I_{Bilap}$ ($\alpha = 0.7$) with the indicator $I_{Hes}$ ($\sigma = 9/4$) on a motion blurred ($\theta = 90^\circ$, len=7) and Gaussian noisy image (PSNR=16dB)

Fig. 5. Images and Topological gradients for Gaussian noisy 3D images (PSNR=16dB), top : noisy images, bottom : TG

4. CONCLUSION

In this paper we have proposed a new detector (the topological gradient) of fine structures in 2D or 3D imaging. The main qualities of this detector are its simplicity and rapidity. Moreover it is quite efficient and robust both in the case of noisy and blurred images. More extensive simulations and comparisons on real images as well as results for perforated domains can be found in [13, 11].
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