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BEHAVIOR OF UNCEMENTED SANDS AND GROUNTED SANDS BEFORE PEAK STRENGTH

CHRISTOPHE DANO and PIERRE-YVES HICHER

The results of triaxial tests carried out on both uncremented sands and sands injected by a microfine cement grout are presented in order to highlight and compare the main features of their mechanical behavior. The device used to prepare homogeneous samples of grouted sands in the laboratory is first described. The effect of the grout injection on the strength properties of three granular soils is then shown. By use of bender elements, the different evolutions of the shear modulus $G_{v,\max}$ in the small strain domain along isotropic and deviatoric stress paths are presented for both uncremented and grouted sands.
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INTRODUCTION

The grout impregnation consists in injecting, under pressure, a fluid grout which seeps through the porous medium. The setting of the microfine cement which composes the grout gives rise to stable bonds between soil particles. Thus, the grout injection involves both a mechanical improvement and a decrease of the soil permeability. The improvement of the mechanical properties resulting from the grout injection has not always been considered in a rational way in structural design: the improvement was greatly underestimated when it was not simply ignored. This could be explained by ambivalence about the quality of the grout impregnation (is the cement content homogeneous in the soil mass?) and by lack of knowledge about the mechanical behavior of grouted sands, particularly in the small and intermediate strain domain ($\epsilon \leq 10^{-3}$). This paper intends to provide material for an answer to this question.

GROUT INJECTION IN THE LABORATORY

Injection Device in the Laboratory

Work site conditions and spatial variability of the geotechnical properties of many soils, even on a local scale, have generally not been conducive to an extensive academic study of the behavior of grouted sands sampled in situ. Therefore, an injection device was developed in the laboratory in order to prepare homogeneous samples of grouted sands. The injection device used in this study (Fig. 1) was inspired by many previous systems described in the literature (Zebovitz et al., 1989; Benhamou, 1994; Bennabi and Levacher, 1995; Tailliez, 1998).

This device is composed of a cylindrical, rigid and transparent plastic tube. It is different from previous devices (Zebovitz et al., 1989; Benhamou, 1994; Bennabi and Levacher, 1995; Tailliez, 1998) by its height (900 mm) and by its diameter (100 mm) chosen so that it is at least ten times larger than the maximum diameter of the sand particles.

The tube is filled with sand first dried and deposited by successive layers of about 100 mm. Each layer is then manually compacted by means of hammer strokes on the tube. Their number and their intensity determine the final density index $I_d$ such as:

$$I_d = \frac{\gamma_{\max} \times (\gamma - \gamma_{\min})}{\gamma \times (\gamma_{\max} - \gamma_{\min})}$$

Fig. 1. The injection device in the laboratory
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Table 1. Characteristics of the materials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>$D_{10}$</th>
<th>$D_{50}$</th>
<th>$D_{90}$</th>
<th>$C_w = D_{90}/D_{10}$</th>
<th>$Y_{min}$</th>
<th>$Y_{max}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fontainebleau Sand</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>14.0</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alluvial deposits AD I</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>410</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>14.9</td>
<td>17.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alluvial deposits AD II</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>1300</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>16.4</td>
<td>19.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microfine cement</td>
<td>&lt;1</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Ratio C/W</td>
<td>0.172</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 2. Density profile of a sample of grouted Fontainebleau sand

where $y$ is the unit weight of the soil; $y_{min}$ its minimum unit weight and $y_{max}$ its maximum unit weight (Table 1). These two parameters $y_{min}$ and $y_{max}$ were experimentally determined following the French Standard NF P 94-059. The repeatability of the filling method was satisfactory enough for density indexes greater than 85%: the scattering of the mean density was lower than 5% from one tube to another.

The patented microfine cement grout is then injected upwards with a constant rate of discharge equal to 6 cm$^3$/s. During injection, the mass of the grout injected into the tube, the grout pressure at the level of the pump and the height of the injection front are recorded. A linear evolution of these three parameters as a function of time provides a good indication about the homogeneity of the grouted sand over the full height of the tube (Dano, 2001). The grouting process is stopped when a volume of grout equal to 1.2 times the initial volume of voids of the sand is injected. Tubes are finally kept in water for at least 28 days.

Additional Control of the Injection Process

It is also possible to assess the homogeneity of the grouted sand 28 days after the injection in the laboratory, either by carrying out mechanical tests (destructive unconfined compressive tests, non destructive wave propagation tests) or by obtaining an “image” of the internal structure of the grouted sand. A density profile by gammadensimetry was thus established on a Fontainebleau sand injected by a microfine cement grout characterized by a cement to water ratio C/W of 0.172 (Fig. 2). Its mean unit weight $y_{mean}$ was 20.4 kN/m$^3$.

The successive unevennesses observed in the profile can be imputed to particle segregation caused by the manual compaction. Indeed, the distance between two density peaks corresponds to the height of a sand layer introduced into the tube (100 mm). However, this heterogeneity remains negligible enough since the variation around the mean unit weight $y_{mean}$ is only of ±2%. The injection procedure is also perfectly repeatable (Dano, 2001).

MECHANICAL BEHAVIOR OF UNCEMENTED AND GROUTED SANDS

Materials

Three soils were examined:

- a siliceous sand (NE 34 Fontainebleau sand) previously mentioned;
- a first class of alluvial deposits of the Seine River (Paris, France) noted AD I;
- a second class of alluvial deposits of the Seine River noted AD II.

These alluvial deposits are unceemented silico-calcareous sandy gravel. After being dried, the three soils were subjected to characterization tests in order to determine their respective grain-size distribution curves (Fig. 3) and their minimum and maximum unit weights (Table 1). $D_s$ stands for the diameter of the particles corresponding to a passing of $x\%$.

The patented microfine cement grout contains water, a microfine cement whose particle diameter is less than
12 μm, an inert charge which increases the stability and fluidity of the grout, and an additive which vouches for the homogeneity of the grout.

**Experimental Set-Up**

Drained consolidated triaxial tests on dry uncemented and grouted sands were carried out in order to quantify the improvement of their mechanical properties. The evolution of the elastic properties in the small strain domain was more particularly followed. However, such a strain level cannot be reached in conventional triaxial tests because of the error sources listed by Scholey et al. (1995). In order to remedy these problems, the load cell was placed inside the triaxial cell and bender elements (piezoelectric transducers) were embedded in the lower and upper platens of the triaxial cell (Dyvik and Madhus, 1986; Brigatoli et al., 1996).

The propagation of the shear wave produced by the bender elements involves very small strains (ε ≤ 10^-5) into the soil (Dyvik and Madhus, 1986). Therefore, an elastic behavior can be assumed in order to identify the value of the shear modulus \( G_{vh,\text{max}} \) in the small strain domain:

\[
G_{vh,\text{max}} = \rho \times V_{vh,\text{s}}^2 = \rho \times \left( \frac{L}{T} \right)^2
\]  

where \( \rho \) is the unit mass of the soil; \( V_{vh,\text{s}} \) the velocity of the shear wave; \( L \) the distance of propagation of the shear wave and \( T \) its travel time. The subscript "vh" stands for a wave vertically propagated with horizontal particle displacements.

For the calculation of the distance \( L \), the penetration length of the bender elements into the soil (2 x 2.5 mm) is subtracted from the full height of the sample (about 200 mm) (Viggiani and Atkinson, 1995). The evolution of the distance \( L \) during shearing is followed on an external micrometer. It is well-known that the external measurement of sample shortening is not accurate because of bedding errors. However, in the case of soft uncemented sands, it induces a relative error on the value of the specimen shortening lower than 10%. On the contrary, in the case of stiffer grouted sands, the results must be taken with precaution since the relative error on specimen shortening can reach 30% and even more (Scholey et al., 1995), even if this does not qualitatively question the evolution of the shear modulus presented in the next sections.

Also note that the repeatability greatly depends on the coupling between the grouted sample and the bender elements. Indeed, a perfect contact is necessary between the sample and the transducers to transmit and receive the shear waves without any signal attenuation in addition to the one due to the propagation itself. Therefore, a small cavity is made out on both sides of the specimen. The cavity is filled with fresh gypsum. When a good contact is obtained, the scattering of the value of \( G_{vh,\text{max}} \) remains quite low (Fig. 8). It is about 10% in the stress range in which the value of \( G_{vh,\text{max}} \) is relatively constant. Finally, volume changes of the sample were determined from the volume of the cell fluid exchanged with a volume-pressure controller (from which the cell pressure \( \sigma_3 \) is applied), provided that these volume changes are corrected from the change in volume due to the penetration of the piston inside the cell.

The determination of the travel time \( T \) of the shear wave is less obvious. Indeed, the shear wave is never pure in that near-field effects cause the shear wave to be accompanied by a faster compression wave. The multiple events related to the wave propagation (diffraction and reflection on the grains), the finite length of the transmitter, the parallelism defect between the transmitter and the receiver, the contrast between the acoustic impedances of the soil and the transducers, the spectrum of the input signal can explain the difficulty in interpreting the output signal. Brigatoli et al. (1996) have discussed the conditions necessary to attenuate the near-field effects. Following their recommendations, the first deviation of the output signal (point 0 in Fig. 4) corresponds to the arrival of a compression wave due to near-field effects. The shear wave reaches the receiver afterwards, at point 1 in Fig. 4. This corresponds to the first change in the sign of the signal derivative (Viggiani and Atkinson, 1995; Jovicic et al., 1996).

**Behavior at Large Strains—Strength Parameters**

Figure 5 represents the stress-strain curves obtained by carrying out drained triaxial tests on uncemented and grouted alluvial deposits AD I. Similar trends have been observed for Fontainebleau sand and alluvial deposits AD II. In particular, the maximum strength is reached for lower strains for grouted sands than for uncemented sands. In both cases, the maximum strength depends on the mean stress (Fig. 5).

In the \((p' - q)\) diagram (Fig. 6) where \( p' \) is the mean stress and \( q \) the deviator stress, the maximum strength envelopes of the alluvial deposits AD I, before and after injection, appear to be linear for confining pressures between 0 and 400 kPa. The friction angle of the grouted sand is slightly greater than the one of the uncemented sand. But the difference can be explained by the compaction of the granular skeleton during the grouting. Indeed, tests on uncemented and grouted Fontainebleau sand
Fig. 5. Comparison of the behavior of alluvial deposits AD I before and after grout injection

Fig. 6. Maximum strength envelopes for the unceemented and the grouted alluvial deposits AD I

with the same density index for the granular skeleton sand reveal that the maximum strength envelopes are almost parallel. This means that the friction angle is hardly changed by the grout injection since low injection pressures do not disturb the granular skeleton. Consequently, the grout injection mainly shows the apparition of a cohesion whose value depends on the nature and the cement to water ratio \( C/W \) of the grout as well as the nature, the density and the grading curve of the soil (Tailliez, 1998; Dano, 2001). It was found that the cohesion follows a power law of the cement to water ratio \( C/W \) such as:

\[
c' = m_1 \times \left( \frac{C}{W} \right)^{m_2}
\]

where \( m_1 \) and \( m_2 \) are two constant values that mainly depend on the density index.

In both cases, the volumetric behavior is first contracting, then dilating. The values of the Poisson's ratio \( \nu \) identified in the contracting portion of the volumetric strain-deviatoric strain curves are typically between 0.2 and 0.3. The values of the dilation angle \( \psi \) are always greater for grouted sands than for unceemented sands, for a given mean stress. For the same density index \( I_d \) of 85%, the values of the dilation angle remain between 5 and 16 degrees for unceemented sands and between 20 and 30 degrees for grouted sands. These values decrease when the mean stress is increased.

However, it is worth noting that differences between unceemented and grouted sands can be observed in the evolution of the volumetric strain. In grouted sands, the behavior is contracting up to about the maximum strength and then becomes strongly dilating. In unceemented sands, the dilatant behavior is obtained before the peak deviator stress, for a state of stress corresponding to the characteristic state as defined in Luong (1980). For grouted sands, the maximum rate of volumetric strains no longer coincides with the peak deviator stress, as for unceemented sands. The pre-failure behavior of grouted sands is then mainly contracting.

The values of the tangent modulus \( E_{tan} \) were also deduced from the initial part of the stress-strain curves. They correspond to a strain level around \( 10^{-3} \). For unceemented sands, the tangent modulus clearly depends on the mean effective stress \( \sigma' \) in such a way that:

\[
E_{tan} = k \times \left( \frac{\sigma'}{p_0} \right)^n
\]

where \( k \) is the value of the modulus when \( \sigma' = p_0 \) and \( n \) is a constant. The power \( n \) is between 0.5 and 1 depending on the strain level considered for the determination of the tangent modulus: for a strain level equal to \( 10^{-3} \), the value of the power \( n \) is very close to 1. The effect of the mean effective stress is less obvious for grouted sands and can be clarified by the use of bender elements.

Behavior at Small Strains

Previous research studies (Hardin and Richart, 1963; Iwasaki and Tatsuoka, 1977; Hieber, 1996) showed that the shear modulus \( G_{v,h,max} \) in the small strain domain for unceemented sands (Fig. 7) follows the empirical correlation:

\[
G_{v,h,max} = K \times f(e) \times \left( \frac{\sigma'}{p_0} \right)^n
\]

where \( f(e) \) is a function of the void ratio. Like (Hieber, 1996), the function \( f(e) = 1/e \) is considered.

Tests on grouted sands (Fig. 8) reveal that the ex-
Fig. 7. Evolution of the shear modulus $G_{v,h,max}$ in the case of the uncemented Fontainebleau Sand

Table 2. Values of the parameters $K$ and $n$ (Eq. (5))

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Soil</th>
<th>Parameter $K$</th>
<th>Parameter $n$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fontainebleau Sand</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alluvial deposits AD I</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alluvial deposits AD II</td>
<td>5.65</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Experimental data can be fitted using a modified equation such as:

$$G_{v,h,max} = K' \times \left( \frac{p'}{p'_0} \right)^n + G_0$$  \hfill (5b)$$

where $G_0$ is the value of the modulus at a confining pressure equal to zero and $K'$ a constant. Figure 7 shows the evolution of $G_{v,h,max}$ in the case of alluvial deposits AD I for isotropic and deviatoric stress paths.

Table 2 contains the values of the parameters $K$ and $n$ (Eq. (5a)) characterizing the three uncemented soils used in this study. The values of the parameter $K$ is typically between 4 and 6 whereas the value of the power $n$ is close to 0.5, as previously stated by many authors (Hardin and Richart, 1963; Iwasaki and Tatsuoka, 1977; Hicher, 1996). However, the power law is accurate only for isotropic loading or unloading and in the contracting domain for a deviatoric stress path. Indeed, beyond the characteristic state, that is to say the state separating the contracting domain from the dilating domain, the values of the shear modulus $G_{v,h,max}$ tend to stabilize, then to decrease smoothly (Fig. 7). This shows the influence of the rearrangement of the contacts between particles of the granular skeleton (Shibuya et al., 1992; Kuwano et al., 1999).

Along deviatoric stress paths, Eq. (5a) should be modified in order to distinguish the effect of the major principal stress $\sigma_1$ and the effect of the minor principal stress $\sigma_3$ as usually done in the literature (see for instance Roesler, 1979).

For grouted sands, the values of the shear modulus $G_{v,h,max}$ in the small strain domain are at least ten times greater than the values of $G_{v,h,max}$ for the uncemented sands at the same density index. These values mainly de-
pend on the density of the granular skeleton (Acar and El Tahir, 1986; Lade and Overton, 1989), on the cement to water ratio of the grout (Baig et al., 1997; Dano, 2001) and the filling percentage of voids (Schwarz and Krizek, 1994). The effect of the curing period, the degree of saturation, the strain rate, and so on was not investigated here but information can be found in the literature relative to cemented sands (Shibuya et al., 1992; Barbosa Cruz and Tatsuoka, 1999). The effect of the overconsolidation ratio was not studied either: Vucetic and Dobry (1991) showed that its effect was negligible in the case of granular materials without fine content.

However, the evolution of $G_{n,max}$ with loading for grouted sands is radically different (Fig. 8). On the one hand, for isotropic stress paths, the dependence of the shear modulus on the mean effective stress $p'$ is much less pronounced. The values of the power $n$ introduced in Eq. (5) are between 0 and 0.1 for sands injected with a grout of cement to water ratio less than 0.235. This observation is similar to the conclusions of experimental programs on cemented sands by Chang and Woods (1987) using a resonant column apparatus and by Baig et al. (1997) using bender elements.

On the other hand, for deviatoric stress paths, the shear modulus $G_{n,max}$, while relatively constant over a quite restricted strain domain, progressively decreases afterwards. This observation allows us to suppose that damage is here the prevailing mechanism which develops until failure. The formation of vertical cracks on the samples for strains about 2 or 3% tends to confirm this assumption.

**Discussion**

In the small strain domain, the friction component of the behavior that appears through the dependence of the shear modulus on the mean effective stress for uncemented sands, is strongly concealed by the cementation due to grout injection. The experimental results match the theoretical work by Fernandez and Santamarina (2001) who showed that the effect of the mean stress could be toned down for small cement contents. This theoretical work also predicts a constant value of the shear modulus in the range of small stresses. The experimental results are also akin to the experimental work by Chang and Woods (1987) who concluded that the value of the power $n$ (Eq. (5)) for a sand-cement mixture was probably between the value of $n$ for the pure cement paste and the value of $n$ for the granular skeleton. Isotropic compressive tests on pure grout samples with a cement to water ratio equal to 0.172 were performed. The shear modulus $G_{n,max}$ in the small strain domain is 0.55 GPa ($+/-0.05$ GPa). This value remains constant over a large domain of mean effective stresses, between 0 and 450 kPa. It was thus verified that:

$$n(\text{grout}) = 0 \leq n(\text{grouted sand}) \leq n(\text{granular skeleton}) = 0.5.$$  
This finally means that the effect of the grout injection on the stiffness of the granular material is less and less significant as the mean effective stress increases.

**CONCLUSIONS**

From triaxial tests carried out on uncemented sands and on sands injected in the laboratory with a microfine cement grout, the effect of the cementation on the strength and stiffness properties is shown. The use of bender elements enabled distinguishing different evolutions of the shear modulus in the small strain domain along isotropic and deviatoric stress paths. It should be noted that the values of the tangent modulus determined from the triaxial stress-strain curves underestimate the real values of the elastic properties. Improvement ratios defined as the ratio of the shear modulus after injection on its value before injection are greater than 10 whereas, until now, only improvement ratios of about 2 have been considered in structural design. Finally, improvement ratios depend on the mean effective stress, on the soil characteristics and on the cement to water ratio of the grout.
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