Elastoplastic Model for Clay with Microstructural Consideration

Ching S. Chang, Pierre-Yves Hicher, Z.Y. Yin, L.R. Kong

► To cite this version:

Ching S. Chang, Pierre-Yves Hicher, Z.Y. Yin, L.R. Kong. Elastoplastic Model for Clay with Microstructural Consideration. Journal of Engineering Mechanics - ASCE, 2005, 42 (14), pp.4258-4277. 10.1061/(ASCE)EM.1943-7889.0000013 . hal-01004987

HAL Id: hal-01004987

<https://hal.science/hal-01004987>

Submitted on 2 Feb 2017

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Distributed under a Creative Commons Attribution| 4.0 International License

Elastoplastic Model for Clay with Microstructural Consideration

C. S. Chang¹; P.-Y. Hicher²; Z. Y. Yin³; and L. R. Kong⁴

Abstract: Clay material can be considered as a collection of clusters, which interact with each other mainly through mechanical forces. From this point of view, clay is modeled by analogy to granular material in this paper. An elastoplastic stress-strain relationship for clay is derived by using the granular mechanics approach developed in previous studies for sand. However, unlike sand, clay deformation is generated not only by the mobilizing but also by compressing clusters. Thus, in addition to the Mohr-Coulomb's plastic shear sliding and a dilatancy type flow rule, a plastic normal deformation has been modeled for two clusters in compression. The overall stress-strain relationship can then be obtained from the mobilization and compressing of clusters through a static hypothesis of the macro-micro relations. The predictions are compared with the experimental results for clay under both drained and undrained triaxial loading conditions. Three different types of clay, including remolded and natural clay, have been selected to evaluate the model's performance. The comparisons verify that this model is capable of accurately reproducing the overall behavior of clay, which accounts for the influence of key parameters such as void ratio and mean stress. A section of this paper is devoted to show the model's capability of considering the influence of inherent anisotropy on the stress-strain response under undrained triaxial loading conditions.

keywords: Clays; Microstructures; Stress strain relations; Anisotropy; Elastoplasticity.

Introduction

Under a microstructural approach for stress-strain modeling, a set of microsystems (e.g., interparticle contacts) is used to represent the material. Material properties are defined for each microsystem and the overall stress-strain relationship for the material is obtained from averaging the behaviors of this set of microsystems.

If the microsystems are considered as a set of mobilized planes in the material, the approach used to estimate the overall behavior from this set of planes can be linked to G. I. Taylor's concept, developed long ago, as the slip theory of plasticity for polycrystalline materials by Batdorf and Budianski (1949). These ideas were applied by Pande and Sharma (1982) to rocks and soils in what they called the overlay model, and to concrete by Bazant et al. (1996) in the so-called microplane model.

For granular material, a set of particle pairs in contact are considered as the microsystems, and material constants are defined for the interparticle contacts. The approach used to estimate

the overall stress-strain behavior of granular material can be found in the work done over the last two decades. For elastic behavior, work has been done by Jenkins (1988), Walton (1987), Rothenburg and Selvadurai (1981), Chang (1988), Emeriault and Cambou (1996), Liao et al. (2000), and Krut and Rothenburg (2002), among others. For inelastic stress-strain behavior, models can be found in Jenkins and Strack (1993), Matsuoka and Takeda (1980), Chang et al. (1989a,b), etc. Chang et al. (1992a,b), and Suiker and Chang (2004). These inelastic models have encountered some difficulties in predicting the correct shear strength in so far as the predicted strength is often overestimated for the models with a kinematic hypothesis (Chang and Misra 1990). These models also have difficulties in predicting correctly the behavior under different stress paths. To overcome these difficulties, we have adopted a static hypothesis proposed by Liao et al. (1997) and incorporated the critical state concept to formulate a microstructural model suitable for sand (Chang and Hicher 2005).

In this paper, we treat clay as a collection of clusters formed by groups of platy clay particles. At the scale of cluster sizes, long range forces are negligible, and the clusters interact with each other mainly through mechanical forces. Thus, clay material can be modeled by analogy to granular material. Some studies on clay fabric supporting this notion are discussed in the "Simple Model for Clay Fabric" section. A stress-strain model is then presented in the "Stress-Strain Model" section, in which we consider a clay cluster as a deformable grain. We then extend the granular mechanics approach (i.e., Chang and Liao 1990; Chang and Gao 1995; Chang and Hicher 2005) to derive the elastoplastic stress-strain relationship for clay.

The model's performance is then evaluated by comparing the predicted with the measured triaxial loading results for clay specimens of various overconsolidation ratios (OCRs), under various confining stresses, and in both drained and undrained conditions. The experimental results are obtained from two types of remolded

¹Professor, Dept. of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Univ. of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003 (corresponding author). E-mail: chang@ecs.umass.edu

²Professor, Research Institute in Civil and Mechanical Engineering, UMR CNRS 6183, Ecole Centrale Nantes, BP 92101, 44321 Nantes, France.

³Visiting Researcher, Univ. of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003.

⁴Research Assistant, Research Institute in Civil and Mechanical Engineering, UMR CNRS 6183, Ecole Centrale Nantes, BP 92101, 44321 Nantes, France.

Fig. 1. Flocculate and disperse structure of clay particles

clay with very different compressibilities. A natural clay with inherent anisotropy has also been studied to evaluate the model's ability to model the effects of inherent anisotropy on the stress-strain response under undrained triaxial loading condition.

Simple Model for Clay Fabric

The constituents of clay can be generally viewed across three scales

1. Clay particle: a clay particle is usually platy in shape. Its thickness and size can vary 100 times according to specific clay types, such as montmorillonite, illite, or kaolinite. The size for a platy particle generally ranges from 0.01–1 μm .
2. Clay cluster as an aggregate of clay particles: clay particles attract each other due to surface forces among particles such as chemical, electrostatic, van der Waals forces, etc. These forces pull together the particles to form particle clusters, which have either a flocculate or dispersed type structure, as shown in Fig. 1. The size of the clusters continues to grow until the clusters are large enough so that the cluster weight, due to gravitation, becomes significantly larger than the interparticle surface forces. At this stage, the cluster loses its potential to attract further clay particles, and the size of clusters stops to grow. The ultimate cluster-size depends on the clay particle type, the liquid inside the pores, and its sedimentation history.
3. Clay material as an assembly of clusters.

Since the clay-particles are strongly attracted to each other by surface forces, a cluster of particles does not deform very much under usual external stresses and can, therefore, be considered as an intact unit. On the other hand, clusters interact mainly through mechanical forces. Thus, as schematically shown on the left side of Fig. 2, clay can be regarded as an assembly of “grains,” in which each grain is also a cluster. The main difference between sand grains and clay clusters is that the clay clusters, compared to sand grains, are more deformable. This deformability depends on the way the clay particles are assembled, which is a function of the mineralogy, adsorbed ions, etc.

Fig. 2. Schematic illustration of clay clusters as grains

Fig. 3. (a) Microstructure of a kaolinite clay: left photo: isotropic consolidation=10 kPa and right photo: isotropic consolidation =300 kPa; (b) microstructure of Saint Herblain clay: left photo: natural clay (5 μm) and right photo: remolded clay (10 μm)

A study undertaken by Hicher et al. (2000), by means of scanning and transmission electron microscopes, related the mechanical behavior of two saturated remolded clays, a kaolinite and a bentonite, to the evolution of their microstructural parameters such as particle shape, particle size, and particle orientation. The results of this study show that the main role played by the clay clusters is similar to the role played by the grains in the mechanical behavior of granular materials. This explains why sand and clay have similar qualitative behavior even though each material consists of different constituents (Biarez and Hicher 1994). The difference in nature between sand grains and clay clusters can nevertheless explain quantitative differences in their stress-strain relationship. In particular, the deformability of the clusters can have a significant effect on volume change behavior in drained condition and on the shape of stress path in undrained condition. The influence of the cluster's deformability on the elastic properties of clayey materials is particularly pronounced. Since the elastic domain is restricted to very small strains ($<10^{-5}$), for which the relative displacements of the clusters are negligible, the deformation of the material must be mainly due to the deformation of individual clusters. Thus, the elastic moduli measured in sands and gravels are much higher than those in clays (Biarez and Hicher 2008).

At higher strain level, the major deformation mechanism of the continuous medium is due to the relative displacements of the clay clusters. Under these conditions, the main factor affecting the overall behavior is the friction between clusters, determined by the nature and the shape of these clusters. The photographs in Fig. 3(a) show how kaolinite microstructure is made up of rigid small particles and aggregated in compact clusters. Fig. 3(b) shows the clusters of both natural and remolded Saint Herblain clay.

An analysis of the pore size distribution in the kaolinite, by means of mercury intrusion porosimetry, confirmed the existence of two major groups of pore sizes: one centered around 1 μm

Fig. 4. Local coordinate at interparticle contact

and the other around 10 nm. The first group corresponds to the intercluster pores, whereas the second one is related to the interparticle pores. The number and the size of large pores progressively decreased with increasing consolidation stresses, while the small pore size remained relatively unchanged under moderate loading stresses. This result confirmed that the volume change during loading is mainly due to the rearrangement of the clay-clusters (Hicher et al. 2000).

Stress-Strain Model

In this model, clay is regarded as an aggregate of clusters. The deformation of a representative volume of the material is generated by mobilizing and compressing the clusters. Thus, the stress-strain relationship can be derived as an average of the deformation behavior of local contact planes in all orientations. For contact planes in the α th orientation, the local forces f_j^α and the local movements δ_i^α are denoted by $f_j^\alpha = \{f_n^\alpha, f_s^\alpha, f_t^\alpha\}$ and $\delta_i^\alpha = \{\delta_n^\alpha, \delta_s^\alpha, \delta_t^\alpha\}$, where the subscripts n , s , and t represent the components in the three directions of the local coordinate system, as shown in Fig. 4. The direction outward normal to the plane is denoted as n ; the other two orthogonal directions, s and t , are tangential to the plane.

Density State of a Cluster Assembly

One of the important elements to consider in soil modeling is the critical state concept. At critical state, the clay material remains at a constant volume while it is subjected to a continuous distortion. The void ratio corresponding to this state is e_c .

The critical void ratio e_c is a function of the mean stress $p = (\sigma_x + \sigma_y + \sigma_z)/3$. The relationship has traditionally been written as follows:

$$e_c = e_{cr0} - \lambda \ln\left(\frac{p}{p_{cr0}}\right) \quad (1)$$

The two parameters (e_{cr0}, p_{cr0}) represent a reference point on the critical state line. For convenience, the value of p_{cr0} is taken to be 0.01 MPa. The critical state line can be defined by two parameters e_{cr0} and λ . Using the critical state concept, the density state of an assembly is defined as the ratio e/e_c , where e is the void ratio of the assembly.

Intercluster Behavior

In order to have a more apparent link between the micro and macro variables, we define a local stress τ_i^α and a local strain γ_i^α , which are directly related to the local forces f_j^α and the local movements δ_i^α at each contact by

$$\tau_i^\alpha = \frac{Nl^\alpha}{3V}f_i^\alpha; \quad \gamma_i^\alpha = \delta_i^\alpha/l^\alpha \quad (2)$$

where l^α = length of the branch vector, which joins the centroids of two contact clusters, and V = volume of the representative element. It is to be noted that the local stress τ_i^α is not the stress on the physical contact area between two clusters. It should be viewed rather as the average stress on the intercluster plane when the cluster and voids in the representative volume are homogenized into a continuum. For an isotropic medium, the local stress is identical to the tractions resolved on the plane due to global stress (i.e., $\tau_i^\alpha = \sigma_{ji}n_j^\alpha$). The proof will be given later in Eq. (19).

In the local coordinate system, the local stress and local strain are respectively denoted as $\{\tau_n^\alpha, \tau_s^\alpha, \tau_t^\alpha\}$ and $\{\gamma_n^\alpha, \gamma_s^\alpha, \gamma_t^\alpha\}$. For convenience, we use the notation $\sigma^\alpha = \tau_n^\alpha$ for local normal stress and the notation $\varepsilon^\alpha = \gamma_n^\alpha$ for local normal strain in the following sections.

Elastic Part

The intercluster behavior can be characterized as the relationship between contact force and contact displacement, given by

$$f_i^\alpha = k_{ij}^\alpha \delta_j^\alpha \quad (3)$$

in which the stiffness tensor can be related to the contact normal stiffness, k_n^α , and shear stiffness, k_r^α

$$k_{ij}^\alpha = k_n^\alpha n_i^\alpha n_j^\alpha + k_r^\alpha (s_i^\alpha s_j^\alpha + t_i^\alpha t_j^\alpha) \quad (4)$$

In terms of local stress and local strain, an alternative intercluster stiffness is defined as

$$\tau_i^\alpha = \bar{k}_{ij}^\alpha \gamma_j^\alpha \quad (5)$$

where

$$\bar{k}_{ij}^\alpha = k_{ij}^\alpha \frac{N(l^\alpha)^2}{3V} \quad (6)$$

The intercluster stiffness can be expressed as the form adopted for sand grains by Chang et al. (1989a,b), given by

$$\bar{k}_n^\alpha = \bar{k}_{n0}^\alpha \left(\frac{\sigma^\alpha}{p_{ref}}\right)^n; \quad \bar{k}_r^\alpha = k_{rR} \bar{k}_n^\alpha = k_{rR} \bar{k}_{n0}^\alpha \left(\frac{\sigma^\alpha}{p_{ref}}\right)^n \quad (7)$$

where σ^α = local stress in a normal direction; p_{ref} = standard reference pressure taken as 1 MPa.; and k_{rR} = ratio of shear to normal stiffness. $\bar{k}_{n0}^\alpha$, k_{rR} and n are material constants. The value of n is found to be 0.33 for two elastic spheres according to Hertz-Mindlin's formulation (Mindlin 1969). Based on experimental measurements of the elastic modulus under different confining stress, the value of n has been found to be 0.5 for sand and 0.5–1.0 for clay.

Plastic Part

Shear Sliding

The elastic part of the tangential movement between two clusters does not have a coupling effect (i.e., there is no shear induced normal movements). However, plastic sliding often occurs along the tangential direction of the contact plane with an upward or downward movement (i.e., dilation or contraction). Stress dilatancy is a well-known phenomenon in sand [see discussions in the work by Taylor (1948), Rowe (1962), Goddard (1990), etc.], and should be correctly modeled. The dilatancy equation used here is modified from the equation adopted for sand by Chang and Hicher (2005), given by

$$\frac{d\varepsilon^p}{d\gamma^p} = (\text{sgn})b \left(\frac{\tau}{\sigma} - \tan \phi_\mu \right) \left(\frac{\tau}{\sigma} \right)^a \left(1 - \frac{e}{e_c} \right) \quad (8)$$

The modified equation allows more flexibility in modeling performance for different behaviors. In this equation, a , b , and ϕ_μ are intercluster property constants, e_c is the critical void ratio for the clay, and (sgn) is the sign of $(\tau/\sigma - \tan \phi_\mu)$. When the void ratio e is equal to the critical void ratio, zero dilation holds. It is to be noted that the state variables e and e_c of the clay are referred to the cluster assembly, which is used to regulate the dilation of individual intercluster contacts. It is rational to consider the micro variable as a function of the macrostate because the intercluster behavior is indeed influenced by the density state of the specimen.

In Eq. (7), ϕ_μ is the intercluster friction angle, which, in value, is very close to the internal friction angle, measured at critical state. The values of a and b can be calibrated from experimental measurements of triaxial tests, which will be shown in the later section on numerical simulation.

Note that the shear stress τ and the rate of the plastic shear strain $d\gamma^p$ in Eq. (7) are defined as

$$\tau = \sqrt{\tau_s^2 + \tau_t^2} \quad \text{and} \quad d\gamma^p = \sqrt{(d\gamma_s^p)^2 + (d\gamma_t^p)^2} \quad (9)$$

The yield function is assumed to be of Mohr-Coulomb type, given by

$$F_1(\tau, \sigma, \kappa_1) = \tau - \sigma \kappa_1(\gamma^p) = 0 \quad (10)$$

where $\kappa_1(\gamma^p)$ = isotropic hardening/softening parameter. Plastic loading corresponds to $dF_1 > 0$. The hardening parameter is defined by a hyperbolic function in the κ_1 - γ^p plane, which involves two material constants: ϕ_p and $\bar{k}_p$ through the following relationship:

$$\kappa_1 = \frac{\bar{k}_p \tan \phi_p \gamma^p}{\sigma \tan \phi_p + \bar{k}_p \gamma^p} \quad (11)$$

When plastic deformation increases, κ_1 asymptotically approaches $\tan \phi_p$. For a given value of σ , the initial slope of the hyperbolic curve is $\bar{k}_p/\sigma$. The flow rule is nonassociated. Under a loading condition, the shear plastic flow in the direction tangential to the contact plane is determined by a normality rule applied to the yield function. However, the plastic flow in the direction normal to the contact plane is governed by the stress-dilatancy equation in Eq. (7).

The value of $\bar{k}_p$ is found to be linearly proportional to $\bar{k}_n$ such that

$$\bar{k}_p^\alpha = k_{pR} \bar{k}_n^\alpha = k_{pR} \bar{k}_{n0}^\alpha \left(\frac{\sigma}{p_{\text{ref}}} \right)^n \quad (12)$$

The ratio k_{pR} is a material parameter.

The internal friction angle ϕ_μ is a constant for a given material. However, the apparent friction angle, ϕ_p , on a contact plane is dependent on the density state of neighboring clusters, which can be related to the void ratio e by

$$\tan \phi_p = \left(\frac{e_c}{e} \right)^m \tan \phi_\mu \quad (13)$$

where m = material constant (Biarez and Hicher 1994).

In a loose structure, clusters can rotate more freely, preventing the intercluster shear force from fully mobilizing the sliding resistance. The apparent frictional angle ϕ_p is smaller than ϕ_μ . On the other hand, a dense structure has a higher degree of interlocking, which requires more effort to mobilize the contacts between clusters. In such a case, the apparent frictional angle ϕ_p is greater than ϕ_μ . When the loading stress reaches the apparent frictional angle ϕ_p , the dense structure dilates and the degree of interlocking becomes relaxed. As a consequence, the apparent frictional angle (i.e., the peak angle in this case) is reduced, which results in a strain-softening phenomenon.

Normal Compression

To describe the compressible behavior between two clay clusters, a second yield surface is necessary. The second yield function is assumed to be as follows:

$$F_2(\sigma, \kappa_2) = \sigma - \kappa_2(\varepsilon^p) \quad \text{for } \sigma > p_p \quad (14)$$

where the local normal stress σ and local normal strain ε^p are defined in Eq. (3). The hardening function $\kappa_2(\varepsilon^p)$ is defined as

$$\kappa_2 = \sigma_p 10^{\varepsilon^p/c_p} \quad \text{or} \quad \varepsilon^p = c_p \log \frac{\kappa_2}{\sigma_p} \quad (15)$$

where c_p = compression index for the compression curve plotted on the ε^p - $\log \sigma$ plane. When σ is less than σ_p , the plastic strain produced by the second yield function is null. Thus, σ_p in Eq. (12) corresponds to the preconsolidation stress in soil mechanics.

Elastoplastic Relationship

With the basic elements of intercluster behavior (elastic and plastic) discussed above, the final incremental local stress-strain relation of the intercluster contact can be derived

$$\bar{\tau}_i^\alpha = \bar{k}_{ij}^{\alpha p} \gamma_j^\alpha \quad (16)$$

Since it is a standard procedure to derive a detailed expression for the elastoplastic stiffness tensor $\bar{k}_{ij}^{\alpha p}$ by using the plastic yield functions given above, the derivation is not presented here.

Stress-Strain Relationship

The stress-strain equations at cluster scale represent the relationships between two vectors—intercluster stress τ_i and intercluster strain γ_j —whereas the stress-strain equations at the assembly scale represent the relationships between two tensors—stress σ_{ij} and strain ε_{kl} . Due to the two different levels of complexity, it is much easier to establish, from a phenomenological approach, the

stress-strain equations at cluster scale than those at assembly scale. Thus, in the present model, the stress-strain equations at cluster scale are first established in a phenomenological manner, as shown in the last section. In this section, these equations are used to derive the stress-strain equations at assembly scale. This way is more rational and takes less effort than a straight phenomenological approach of establishing the stress-strain relationship at assembly scale.

Macro-Micro Relationship

The stress-strain relationship for an assembly of clay clusters can be determined from integrating the intercluster behavior at all contacts. During the integration process, a relationship is required to link the macro and micro variables. Using the static hypothesis proposed by Liao et al. (1997), we obtain the relation between the strain of assembly and intercluster strain

$$\dot{\epsilon}_{j,i} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \dot{\gamma}_j^\alpha n_k^\alpha B_{ik}^\alpha \quad (17)$$

where $\dot{\gamma}_j$ =local strain between two contact clusters; n_k =unit vector of the branch joining the centers of two contact clusters; and N =total number of contacts, over which the summation is carried out. The tensor B_{ik}^α in Eq. (16) is defined as

$$B_{ik}^\alpha = A_{ik}^{-1} (l_i^\alpha)^2 \quad \text{where the fabric tensor } A_{ik} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^N l_i^\alpha l_k^\alpha \quad (18)$$

Using the principle of energy balance, which states that the work done in a representative volume element is equal to the work done on all intercluster planes within the element, we have

$$\sigma_{ij} \dot{\epsilon}_{j,i} = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\alpha=1}^N f_j^\alpha \dot{\delta}_j^\alpha = \frac{3}{N} \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \tau_j^\alpha \dot{\gamma}_j^\alpha \quad (19)$$

and using Eq. (16), the local stress on the α th contact plane is derived as follows:

$$\tau_j^\alpha = \frac{N}{3} \dot{\sigma}_{ij} B_{ik}^\alpha n_k^\alpha \quad (20)$$

For the case of isotropic fabric, it can be derived that $B_{ik} = 3\delta_{ik}/N$, where δ_{ik} is the Kronecker delta. Thus Eq. (19) is reduced to the usual form $\dot{\sigma}_{ij} = \dot{\sigma}_{ij} n_j^\alpha$.

The stress increment $\dot{\sigma}_{ij}$ can be obtained by adding the dyadic product of the contact force and the branch vectors for all contacts (Christofferson et al. 1981; Rothenburg and Selvadurai 1981). In terms of local stress, it is

$$\dot{\sigma}_{ij} = \frac{1}{V} \sum_{\alpha=1}^N f_j^\alpha l_i^\alpha = \frac{3}{N} \sum_{\alpha=1}^N \tau_j^\alpha n_i^\alpha \quad (21)$$

Applying the defined local stress in Eq. (19), Eq. (20) is unconditionally satisfied.

By using Eqs. (15), (16), and (19), the following relationship between stress and strain can be obtained:

$$\dot{\epsilon}_{i,j} = C_{ijmp} \dot{\sigma}_{mp} \quad (22)$$

where

$$C_{ijmp} = \frac{N}{3} \sum_{\alpha=1}^N (\bar{k}_{jp}^{\epsilon p})^{-1} n_k^\alpha n_n^\alpha B_{ik}^\alpha B_{mn}^\alpha \quad (23)$$

The summation in Eq. (22) can be expressed by a closed-form solution for some limited conditions such as the elastic modulus of randomly packed equal-size particles (Chang et al. 1995). However, for elastic-plastic behavior, due to the nonlinear nature of the local constitutive equation, a numerical calculation with an iterative process is needed to carry out the summation in Eq. (22).

Computation Scheme

Initially, we know the global variables (σ_{ij} and ϵ_{ij}) for the assembly and the local variables (f_j^α and δ_j^α) for each contact. For a given loading increment, which can be of a stress control, a strain control or a mixed mode, 6 out of the 12 variables ($\Delta\sigma_{ij}$ and $\Delta\epsilon_{ij}$) are unknown. The objective is to determine all global (σ_{ij} and ϵ_{ij}) and local variables (f_j^α and δ_j^α) at the end of the load increment. For a system with N intercluster contacts, the number of unknowns is $3N$ for f_j^α and $3N$ for δ_j^α . The total number of unknowns is therefore $3N+3N+6$.

The following constraints must be satisfied:

1. The local constitutive equation, i.e., Eq. (15). Since there are three equations for each contact, the total number of equations is $3N$; N being the total number of intercluster contacts.
2. The static hypothesis between global stress and local forces, i.e., Eq. (19): the number of equations is $3N$.
3. The strain definition between global strain and local displacement, i.e., Eq. (16). The number of equations is 6 (strain tensor is symmetric).

The total number of unknowns is the same as the total number of equations. Therefore, a solution can be determined. To facilitate the numerical calculation, the summation process in the above equations can be replaced by an integral process in a spherical coordinate system with an orientation distribution function $E(\alpha, \beta)$ for the intercluster contacts, provided that the number of contact N is sufficiently large (Chang and Misra 1990). An example is the fabric tensor in Eq. (17)

$$A_{ik} = \sum_{\alpha=1}^N l_i^\alpha l_k^\alpha = \frac{N}{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi/2} \int_0^{2\pi} E(\alpha, \beta) l_i(\gamma, \beta) l_k(\gamma, \beta) \sin \gamma d\gamma d\beta$$

The surface integration on a sphere can be carried out numerically through Gaussian integration points with weight factors. Thus the integration process requires less effort than the summation process because it can be integrated over a small number of Gaussian integration points (i.e., selected number of contact orientations). Lebedev (1976, 1977) has pioneered an integration scheme using a set of integration points with octahedral symmetry. Bazant and Oh (1986) has devised an integration method similar to the ones proposed by Lebedev. Along this line, a more refined method has been proposed by Delley (1996) for applications in weather forecasting, quantum chemistry, wave scattering, and radiation studies.

For all simulations presented in this paper, we have performed using three numbers of integration points $N=56$, $N=74$, and $N=122$. The predicted stress-strain curves show about 5–7% difference between the results obtained from integration numbers $N=56$ and 74, whereas the curves show less than 1% difference between the results obtained from integration numbers $N=74$ and 122. Thus $N=74$ was found to be adequate. However, it is antici-

Fig. 5. Comparison of predicted results and experimental results for white kaolinite clay in drained tests: (a) stress-strain curves; (b) effective stress paths; (c) void ratio versus axial strain curves; and (d) void ratio versus mean stress curves

puted that in the case of strong anisotropy, the number of orientations may require higher values to reach convergence.

For a strain-controlled test, Eq. (21) presents numerical difficulties at the after-peak range with strain softening. In this case, a method of “elastic predictor-plastic corrector” was adopted to obtain the solution. The most widely used method for the solution of nonlinear constitutive equations is in the category of elastic predictor-plastic corrector method (Ortiz et al. 1983), where a purely elastic trial state is followed by a plastic corrector stage (return mapping algorithm). The purpose of the return mapping is to enforce consistency, at the end of the load step, of the prescribed yield surface and flow rule. For simple classical plasticity models, the return path can be determined in closed form. However, for the present model that accounts for pressure sensitive nonlinear work hardening/softening, nonlinear elasticity, and two yield surfaces, it becomes necessary to compute the return path in an iterative fashion. An implicit integration scheme is more stable to obtain the solution of the system of nonlinear equations through iterative processes. The specific method adopted for computation is the single step backward Euler return method [see Simo and Hughes (1998)].

It is to be noted that the implicit integration scheme with the single step backward Euler return method is applicable only for a strain controlled test. For a mix-mode loading condition (i.e., for some components, stresses are specified rather than strains such as that in triaxial compression test conditions), an additional iteration process is also needed to satisfy the condition of specified

stresses. Since the procedure is straightforward, thus it is not included here.

Summary of Parameters

The material parameters are summarized as follows:

1. Microstructural descriptions (two parameters).
 - a. Contact number per unit volume, N/V and mean cluster size, d .
2. Intercluster properties (nine parameters).
 - a. Intercluster elastic constants: $\bar{k}_{n0}$, k_{rR} , and n .
 - b. Intercluster friction angle: ϕ_μ and m .
 - c. Intercluster plastic normal compression index and plastic shear stiffness ratio: c_p and k_{pR} .
 - d. Dilation constants: a and b .
3. Density state of the assembly (three parameters).
 - a. Critical state for the soil: λ and e_{cr0} .
 - b. Reference void ratio, e_0 , on the isotropic compression line at $p=0.01$ MPa.

The size of a clay cluster d can be estimated from looking at a photograph provided by a scanning electron microscope. The value of N/V is not easy to obtain directly from experiments on clay. According to the experimental data by Oda (1977) for three mixtures of spheres, the contact number per unit volume can be approximately related to the void ratio by

$$\frac{N}{V} = \frac{12}{\pi d^3(1+e)e} \quad (24)$$

Fig. 6. Calibration of model parameters for white kaolinite clay

Here we use this equation as a first-order approximation to estimate N/V for clay by treating d as the mean size of the clay clusters. It is to be noted that the value of contact number per unit volume changes with void ratio. The evolution during the deformation process is taken into account.

The intercluster parameters are not feasible to be determined from direct measurements on interclusters due to experimental difficulties. A possible way of parameter determination might resort to the numerically simulated cluster behavior by the discrete element method. However, this approach can only be applied after the discrete element simulation is fully verified by experiments. Thus, for convenience, the interclusters parameters in the present model are to be phenomenologically calibrated from the behavior of soil sample measured in conventional laboratory tests.

Among the interclusters parameters, the exponent n is generally between 0.5 and 1.0 for clay, and the typical value of exponent m is 1. The typical value is between 0.25 and 1 for the ratio k_{pR} , and is about 0.5 for the ratio k_{rR} . The other parameters can be easily obtained from standard laboratory experiments.

From an isotropic compression test, four parameters can be determined, namely, e_0 , λ , $\bar{k}_{n0}^\alpha$, and c_p . The void ratio e_0 and λ can be measured directly from the compression line. The contact stiffness $\bar{k}_{n0}^\alpha$ can be calculated by using the rebound index κ measured from the rebound curve

$$\bar{k}_{n0}^\alpha = \frac{4N_c r^2}{3V} k_{n0}^\alpha = 3(1 + e_0) \frac{\zeta}{\kappa} \quad (25)$$

where the factor ζ is calculated given the pressure range p_1 to p_2 , from which the rebound index κ is estimated.

$$\zeta = \left(\frac{p_2^{1-n} - p_1^{1-n}}{1-n} \right) \frac{2.3}{\log\left(\frac{p_2}{p_1}\right)} \quad (26)$$

Using the compression index λ and the rebound index κ of an assembly of clay clusters, we can also determine the plastic intercluster compression index c_p from the following equation:

$$c_p = \frac{2.3}{3} \left(\frac{\lambda - \kappa}{1 + e_0} \right) \quad (27)$$

The other parameters ϕ_μ , k_{pR} , k_{rR} , a , b , and e_{cr0} can be obtained from two drained triaxial tests. An example will be given in the following section treating parameter calibration for white kaolinite clay.

Results of Numerical Simulations on Clays

In order to evaluate the model's performance, the predicted results are compared with experimental measurements from triaxial compression tests under both drained and undrained conditions for white kaolinite clay and black kaolinite clay. The predicted intercluster behavior are illustrated to show the link between macro and micro phenomena. In addition, a prediction

Table 1. Model Parameters for Clay

Materials	n	e_{cr0}	e_0	φ_μ	m	λ	c_p	$\bar{k}_{n0}$	k_{rR}	k_{pR}	a	b
White kaolinite clay	0.75	0.866	0.88	23°	1	0.091	0.022	90	0.5	0.25	2	395
Black kaolinite clay	0.55	1.87	1.84	21°	1	0.238	0.041	45	0.4	0.7	2	350
San Francisco bay mud	0.95	2.283	2.35	31.2°	1	0.1	0.017	300	0.3	0.1	3	225

has also been made for San Francisco Bay Mud to illustrate the ability of this model to predict the behavior of soils with inherent anisotropy.

White Kaolinite Clay

White kaolinite clay is a remolded clay prepared in the laboratory from a mixture of dry clay powder and water. The slurry is then progressively consolidated. The white clay has a plastic limit of about 30% and liquid limit of about 60%. According to scanning electron microscope photos, we assume that the mean cluster size d is 0.004 mm and the value of N/V is calculated from Eq. (23).

Calibration of Model Parameters

Calibration of the parameters for white kaolinite clay is illustrated in this section. The calibration requires one isotropic compression

test and two drained triaxial compression tests under different confining pressures. The experimental results used for calibration are shown in Fig. 5.

1. Intercluster elastic constants: $\bar{k}_{n0}$, k_{rR} and n —the exponent n can be determined from stress-strain curves considering very small strains. As reported by Hicher (2001), the value is 0.75 for white kaolinite clay. The value of $\bar{k}_{n0}$ can be determined from Eq. (24), and its calibration is shown in Fig. 6(a). k_{rR} can be determined from the e - ε_1 curve of a drained compression test at a small strain condition, as shown in Fig. 6(b), in which the experimental data are taken from Fig. 5(c).
2. Intercluster friction angle: ϕ_μ and m —the intercluster friction angle ϕ_μ is the slope of the critical state line on the p - q plane, as shown in Fig. 5(b). A typical value of 1 is used for m .
3. Intercluster normal hardening rule: c_p and k_{pR} —the value of c_p can be determined from Eq. (26) based on the slopes of

Fig. 7. Comparison of computed and experimental results for white kaolinite clay in undrained tests: (a) stress-strain curves; (b) effective stress paths; (c) pore pressure versus axial strain curves; and (d) void ratio versus mean stress curves

Fig. 8. Influence of parameters a and b on undrained stress path

the isotropic compression and rebound lines. The value of k_{pR} can be determined from the q - ε_1 curve of a drained compression test at a small strain condition as shown in Fig. 6(c), in which the experimental data are taken from Fig. 5(a).

4. Dilation constants a and b —constants a and b can be determined from the e - ε_1 curve of a drained compression test, as

shown in Fig. 6(d). Parameter a controls the magnitude of volume change and parameter b controls the initial slope of the curve.

5. Critical state for the packing: λ and e_{cr0} .

The value of e_0 , e_{cr0} and λ can be determined from the isotropic compression line and the critical state line on the e - $\ln p$ plane.

Fig. 9. Comparison between predicted and experimental results for black kaolinite clay in drained tests: (a) stress-strain curves; (b) stress paths; (c) void ratio versus axial strain curves; and (d) void ratio versus mean stress curves

Fig. 10. Local stresses and strains on planes of various orientations for drained test on black kaolinite clay (OCR=1): (a) local stress paths; (b) local shear stress-strain curves; (c) local shear stresses; (d) local normal stresses; (e) local shear strains; and (f) local normal strains

The model parameters determined for white kaolinite clay are listed in Table 1.

Drained Tests on Normally Consolidated Clay

Drained triaxial tests on normally consolidated white kaolinite clay have been reported and analyzed by Biarez and Hicher (1994). Two specimens isotropically consolidated up to respectively 0.4 and 0.8 MPa, were loaded to failure in drained condition. Using the parameters in Table 1, the predicted test results are plotted in Fig. 5. The stress-strain curves in Fig. 5(a) and the void ratio change in Figs. 5(c and d) show good agreement between experimental and computed curves. The paths in the e -log p' plane [Fig. 5(d)] show that the void ratio approaches the critical state line when the stress state approaches the failure line in the p - q plane. Since this set of experimental data are used for parameter calibration, a good comparison is expected.

Undrained Triaxial Tests on Normally and Overconsolidated Clay

Experimental data of undrained triaxial tests on normally and overconsolidated white kaolinite clay specimens were also reported by Biarez and Hicher (1994). Three samples were isotropically consolidated up to 0.8 MPa, and two of them were unloaded to 0.4 and 0.067 MPa, so that the OCRs of the three samples were equal to 1, 2, and 12, respectively. The same material parameters in Table 1 were used to predict the stress-strain behavior for the three undrained tests.

As shown in Fig. 7(a), the computed and measured stress-strain curves are in good agreement. The stress paths shown in Fig. 7(b) indicate that for the normally consolidated (OCR=1) and slightly overconsolidated (OCR=2) samples, the stress paths do not overpass the critical state line. While, for the strongly overconsolidated specimen (OCR=12), the stress path goes above the critical state line, at which dilation occurs, leading to an increase of the mean effective stress. The pore pressure development and e -log p' curves are shown in Figs. 7(c and d). The comparisons show good agreement.

It is to be noted that parameters a and b have significant influence on the stress path of undrained test. Fig. 8 shows different effective stress paths as influenced by different values of a and b . Based on the comparison in Fig. 7, the model using parameters calibrated from drained tests is capable of predicting the stress-strain behavior of undrained tests for specimens under different overconsolidation ratios.

Black Kaolinite Clay

Black kaolinite clay is also a remolded clay mixed from clay powder with a darker color. The black kaolinite clay has a plastic limit $\omega_p=30\%$, and liquid limit $\omega_l=70\%$, which is much more compressible than the white kaolinite clay. The parameters presented in Table 1 are calibrated from triaxial compression tests on normally consolidated specimen, using the method described above. Again, the mean cluster size d is 0.004 mm and the value of N/V is calculated by Eq. (23).

Drained Tests on Overconsolidated Clay

Tests on black kaolinite clay samples were performed by Zervoyanis (1982) and analyzed by Biarez and Hicher (1994).

Fig. 11. Local stresses and strains on planes of various orientations for drained test on black kaolinite clay (OCR=8): (a) local stress paths; (b) local shear stress-strain curves; (c) local shear stresses; (d) local normal stresses; (e) local shear strains; and (f) local normal strains

The tests begin with an isotropic consolidation up to 0.8 MPa, then unloaded to 0.4, 0.2, and 0.1 MPa, respectively. The OCRs are 1, 2, 4, and 8, respectively. The predicted void ratio changes in Fig. 9(c) show a contractive behavior for OCR=1 and 2, and a dilative behavior for OCR=4 and 8. The contractive and dilative behaviors can also be seen in the predicted paths on the e -log p curves in Fig. 9(d). For OCR=4 and 8, the stress-strain curves in Fig. 9(a) show strain softening, which corresponds to the stress paths in Fig. 9(b) above the critical state line. An overall good agreement is observed between experimental and predicted results for different OCRs. The two examples of black and white kaolinite clay demonstrate that the model is capable of reproducing with good precision the mechanical behavior of both stiff and soft remolded clays under drained and undrained triaxial test conditions.

Microplane Behavior

The model is capable of describing the intercluster strains for cluster contacts in all orientations. The orientation of a given contact plane is represented by an angle γ measured from the x axis to the branch vector, as shown in Fig. 4. The angles γ selected in this study are 18°, 45°, 55°, and 72° respectively (note that the vertical orientation, $\gamma=0$, corresponds to a horizontal contact plane). The deformation behavior of the intercluster contact planes are discussed here for both drained and undrained conditions.

Drained Conditions

The drained test on black kaolinite clay with OCR=1 and $\sigma_{3c}=0.8$ MPa (see Fig. 9) is selected for showing intercluster

behavior. Four steps are selected for this test [see circles marked on Fig. 9(a)]. For each step, the intercluster stress and strain on contacts of various orientations are plotted to show their evolution.

Fig. 10 shows the local stress-strain relationships for the contact planes in the selected orientations. From the τ - σ curve [Fig. 10(a)], we can see that the local stress paths have different slopes for plane orientations from 18° to 72°. The four steps are also marked on each stress path. Under an increase of the vertical stress, the planes oriented near the horizontal direction (i.e., small values of γ) are subjected mainly to a normal stress component $\Delta\sigma$. The shear component becomes more significant when the plane is inclined.

The local shear stress-strain curves [Fig. 10(b)] show that every plane is mobilized to a different degree. The actively moving planes are located in a narrowly oriented band near the orientation of about 55°, which is responsible for the overall deformation of the specimen. Other planes are inactive with small movement. This clearly indicates that the local strains do not uniformly conform to the overall strain of the specimen.

The local stresses plotted in Figs. 10(c and d) indicate that the local stresses uniformly conform to the overall stress of the assembly, which proves that the static hypothesis (i.e., $\tau_j^\alpha = \sigma_{ij} n_j^\alpha$) used in this model is satisfied in all load steps. The local shear strains plotted in Fig. 10(e) indicate that the local shear strains are relatively uniform up to the load Step 2 and become highly nonuniform at Step 3 and Step 4. The largest shear strain occurs on the planes near the orientation at about 55°. Fig. 10(f) shows a very small change of the local normal strains during the four load steps. However, at load Step 3 and Step 4, the plot illustrates nonuniform strains, where contraction occurs accompanying the

Fig. 12. Local stresses and strains on planes of various orientations for undrained test on white kaolinite clay (OCR=1): (a) local stress paths; (b) local shear stress-strain curves; (c) local shear stresses; (d) local normal stresses; (e) local shear strains; and (f) local normal strains

largest shear strain on the planes near the orientation of 55°. This indicates that a contraction shear band may occur at failure of the normally consolidated clay.

The drained test on black kaolinite clay with OCR=8 and $\sigma_{3c}=0.1$ MPa (see Fig. 9) is also selected for showing the intercluster behavior. Four load steps are selected for this test [see circles marked on Fig. 9(a)] to plot intercluster stresses and strains to show their evolution.

The trends of our results are similar to that of OCR=1. The major difference is that local stresses on some planes can exceed the line of $\tan \phi_\mu$ due to the interlocking of aggregates in this overconsolidated specimen. Fig. 11(b) shows that the plane of the orientation equal to 55° contributes mostly to the deformation of the assembly. The local stress plotted in Figs. 11(c and d) indicates that the local stresses uniformly conform to the overall stress of the assembly at all steps. The local shear and normal strains plotted in Figs. 11(e and f) indicate that the largest shear strain, occurring on the planes near the orientation equal to 55°, is accompanied by large dilation. Thus a dilation shear band may occur at failure for the overconsolidated clay.

Undrained Conditions

The undrained test on white kaolinite clay with OCR=1 and $\sigma_{3c}=0.8$ MPa (see Fig. 7) has been selected to demonstrate intercluster behavior. Four load steps are also selected for this test [see circles marked on Fig. 7(a)] to show the evolution of local stresses and strains.

From the τ - σ curve in Fig. 12(a), we can see different local stress paths for undrained loading conditions. The local shear

stress-strain curves [Fig. 12(b)] show that every plane is mobilized to a different degree. The active planes are located in a narrowly oriented band near the orientation of 55°.

The local stresses plotted in Figs. 12(c and d) indicate that the local stresses uniformly conform to the overall stress of the assembly, which demonstrates that the static hypothesis (i.e., $\tau_j^\alpha = \sigma_{ij} n_i^\alpha$) is satisfied in all load steps. The local shear strains plotted in Figs. 12(e and f) indicate that the local shear strains are relatively uniform up to the load Step 3, and become highly non-uniform at Step 4. The largest shear strain occurs on the planes near the orientation of 55°, but no specific volume change has been associated with it, because of the constraint of overall zero volume change due to undrained conditions.

Inherent Anisotropy

Natural clay often exhibits anisotropic behavior. Kirkgard (1991) performed undrained triaxial test on samples of San Francisco

Fig. 13. Distribution of contact orientations with different values of a_0

Fig. 14. Schematic plot for vertical and horizontal samples

Bay mud to study the degree of anisotropy in this clay deposit. The soil samples were taken from a depth of 6.1 m with a water table depth of 6 m. Gradation analysis showed that the soil consists of 55% clay and 45% silt. The soil has the following properties: water content of 98.5%, unit weight of 14.4 kN/m³, specific gravity of 2.55, liquid limit of 1.36, and initial void ratio of 1.35. The samples were tested by applying an axial load in the horizontal and vertical directions to investigate different behaviors due to material anisotropy.

Material anisotropy is characterized by local material constants, which are orientational dependent, and can be expressed as a function of β and γ (the two angles in the spherical coordinate system, as shown in Fig. 4). To describe such a parameter, a density function $E(\beta, \gamma)$ has been introduced. Integration of $E(\beta, \gamma)$ over all orientations should be equal to 1, i.e.

$$1 = \int_0^{\pi/2} \int_0^{2\pi} E(\beta, \gamma) \sin \gamma d\beta d\gamma \quad (28)$$

Thus, for an isotropic material, the function $E(\beta, \gamma) = 1/2\pi$. For an orthotropic material, the density function can be expanded to a series using the method of spherical harmonic expansion in three dimensions. The truncated form of the series consisting of only second-order terms is

$$E(\beta, \gamma) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(1 + \frac{a_0}{4} (3 \cos 2\gamma + 1) + 3a_{22} \sin^2 \gamma \cos 2\beta \right) \quad (29)$$

For a cross-anisotropic material, a_{22} becomes equal to zero and Eq. (28) is reduced to

$$E(\beta, \gamma) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left[1 + \frac{a_0}{4} (3 \cos 2\gamma + 1) \right] \quad (30)$$

In three dimensions, the inherent anisotropy can be represented by a distribution whose major axis often coincides with vertical or horizontal directions. An example of distributions with different values of a_0 is shown in Fig. 13. The axes of anisotropy of the soil are identical to those of the axes of loading stresses.

It has been found [see Chang and Misra (1990)] that the anisotropy can be characterized by a tensor that matches the coeffi-

Fig. 15. Comparison between experimental and predicted results for San Francisco Bay mud

cients of the spherical harmonic expansion. For example, in the case of a cross anisotropic material, the friction angle can be given by

$$[\phi] = \phi_{\text{ave}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 + a_0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 - \frac{a_0}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 - \frac{a_0}{2} \end{bmatrix} \quad (31)$$

where ϕ_{ave} = average value. In the case of $\phi_{\text{ave}} = 31.2^\circ$ and $a_0 = -0.25$, the friction angle in the minor axis is $\phi_1 = 23.4^\circ$ and in the major axes $\phi_2 = \phi_3 = 35.1^\circ$.

For a soil layer with an inherent anisotropy due to the geological deposition process, the material properties are usually cross anisotropic, with a symmetry around its major axis that coincides often with the vertical direction. Two samples cored from vertical and horizontal directions are represented schematically as a cube in Fig. 14. The shaded area is perpendicular to the vertical direction (Direction 1). The properties in Directions 2 and 3 are the same, but different from the ones in Direction 1. Using the averaged behavior of vertical and horizontal samples, we can calibrate the parameters which are summarized in Table 1.

For the purpose of comparing the predictions with the experimental results, two triaxial compression tests were simulated by the model. The two soil samples were isotropically consolidated up to 0.125 and 0.175 MPa, respectively. Afterward, both samples were deviatorically loaded in undrained condition. To model the difference in shear strength for vertical and horizontal samples, we assign the following anisotropy of the friction angle: $\phi_3 = 35.1^\circ$ and $\phi_1 = 25.4^\circ$. The predicted curves in Fig. 15(a) show good agreement with the maximum strength of the two samples. To improve the predicted initial slopes of the undrained stress paths, an additional anisotropy is assigned to the elastic stiffness, $(\bar{k}_{n0})_1 = 600$ and $(\bar{k}_{n0})_3 = 150$. As a result, the predicted undrained stress paths in Fig. 15(d) show more difference in the initial slopes between the vertical and horizontal samples, thus showing a better comparison with the experimental results.

Summary and Conclusion

The notion of treating clay clusters as grains makes it promising for extending the well tested methodology of modeling granular material to the modeling of clay. In the newly developed microstructural model for clay, the overall strain includes plastic sliding and plastic compression among clay clusters. Although the model involves the use of parameters at the scale of clay cluster, we do not need to obtain these parameters directly from experimental tests at this small scale. The parameters can be easily obtained from calibrating two or three conventional triaxial tests on regular size soil specimens.

The model was used to simulate the stress-strain behavior of two different remolded clay. For each type of clay, using the same set of parameters, we could simulate reasonably well both drained and undrained tests. The model was also used to simulate the stress-strain behavior of a natural clay, taking into account its anisotropic nature caused by the clay deposition during geological formation. Based on the model's performance on these three different clays, the microstructural approach seems to be applicable for capturing the effects of confining stress, OCRs, and inherent anisotropy.

References

- Batdorf, S. B., and Budianski, B. (1949). "A mathematical theory of plasticity based on concept of slip," *NACA Tech Note 1871*.
- Bazant, Z. P., and Oh, B. H. (1986). "Efficient numerical integration on the surface of a sphere." *J. Appl. Math. Mech.*, 66(1), 37–49.
- Bazant, Z. P., Xiang, Y., Adley, M. D., Prat, P. C., and Akers, S. A. (1996). "Microplane model for concrete. II: Data delocalization and verification." *J. Eng. Mech.*, 122(3), 255–262.
- Biares, J., and Hicher, P. Y. (1994). *Elementary mechanics of soil behaviour*, Balkema, Rotterdam, The Netherlands, 208.
- Biares, J., and Hicher, P. Y. (2008). "Mechanisms of soil deformation." *Constitutive modeling of soils and rocks*, P. Y. Hicher and J. F. Shao, eds., Wiley, New York, 31–71.
- Chang, C. S. (1988). "Micromechanical modeling of constructive relations for granular material." *Micromechanics of granular materials*, M. Satake and J. T. Jenkins, eds., Elsevier, Amsterdam, 271–279.
- Chang, C. S., Chao, S. C., and Chang, Y. (1995). "Estimates of mechanical properties of granulates with anisotropic random packing structure." *Int. J. Solids Struct.*, 32(14), 1989–2008.
- Chang, C. S., and Gao, J. (1995). "Second-gradient constitutive theory for granular material with random packing structure." *Int. J. Solids Struct.*, 32(16), 2279–2293.
- Chang, C. S., and Hicher, P.-Y. (2005). "An elastic-plastic model for granular materials with microstructural consideration." *Int. J. Solids Struct.*, 42, 4258–4277.
- Chang, C. S., Kabir, M., and Chang, Y. (1992a). "Micromechanics modelling for the stress strain behavior of granular soil—II: Evaluation." *J. Geotech. Engrg.*, 118(12), 1975–1994.
- Chang, C. S., and Liao, C. (1990). "Constitutive relations for particulate medium with the effect of particle rotation." *Int. J. Solids Struct.*, 26, 437–453.
- Chang, C. S., and Misra, A. (1990). "Application of uniform strain theory to heterogeneous granular solids." *J. Eng. Mech.*, 116(10), 2310–2328.
- Chang, C. S., Misra, A., and Acheampon, K. (1992b). "Elastoplastic deformation of granulates with frictional contacts." *J. Eng. Mech.*, 118(8), 1692–1708.
- Chang, C. S., Misra, A., and Weeraratne, S. P. (1989a). "A slip mechanism based constitutive model for granular soils." *J. Eng. Mech.*, 115(4), 790–807.
- Chang, C. S., Sundaram, S. S., and Misra, A. (1989b). "Initial moduli of particulate mass with frictional contacts." *Int. J. Numer. Anal. Meth. Geomech.*, 13(6), 626–641.
- Christofferson, J., Mehrabadi, M. M., and Nemat-Nassar, S. (1981). "A micromechanical description on granular material behavior." *ASME J. Appl. Mech.*, 48, 339–344.
- Delley, B. (1996). "High order integration schemes on the unit sphere." *J. Comput. Chem.*, 17(9), 1152–1155.
- Emeriault, F., and Cambou, B. (1996). "Micromechanical modeling of anisotropic nonlinear elasticity of granular medium." *Int. J. Solids Struct.*, 33(18), 2591–2607.
- Hicher, P. Y. (2001). "Microstructure influence on soil behaviour at small strains." *Pre-failure deformation characteristics of geomaterials*, M. Jamiolkowski, R. Lancellotta, and D. Lo Presti, eds., Swets and Zeitlinger, Lisse, The Netherlands, 2, 1291–1297.
- Hicher, P. Y., Wahyudi, H., and Tessier, D. (2000). "Microstructural analysis of inherent and induced anisotropy in clay." *Mech. Cohesive-Frict. Mater.*, 5(5), 341–371.
- Jenkins, J. T. (1988). "Volume change in small strain axisymmetric deformations of a granular material." *Micromechanics of granular materials*, M. Satake and J. T. Jenkins, eds., Elsevier, Amsterdam, 143–152.
- Jenkins, J. T., and Strack, O. D. L. (1993). "Mean-field inelastic behavior of random arrays of identical spheres." *Mech. Mater.*, 16, 25–33.
- Kirkgaard, M. M., and Lade, P. V. (1991). "Anisotropy of normally consolidated San Francisco bay mud." *Geotech. Test. J.*, 14(3), 231–246.
- Kruyt, N. P., and Rothenburg, L. (2002). "Micromechanical bounds for

- the effective elastic moduli of granular materials.” *Int. J. Solids Struct.*, 39(2), 311–324.
- Lebedev, V. I. (1976). “Quadratures on the sphere.” *Zh. Vychisl. Mat. Mat. Fiz.*, 16, 294–306.
- Lebedev, V. I. (1977). “Quadrature formulas of orders 25–59 for the sphere.” *Sib. Math. J.*, 18, 132–142.
- Liao, C. L., Chan, T. C., Suiker, A. S. J., and Chang, C. S. (2000). “Pressure-dependent elastic moduli of granular assemblies.” *Int. J. Numer. Analyt. Meth. Geomech.*, 24, 265–279.
- Liao, C. L., Chang, T. P., Young, D., and Chang, C. S. (1997). “Stress-strain relationship for granular materials bases on hypothesis of best fit.” *Int. J. Solids Struct.*, 34(31–32), 4087–4100.
- Matsuoka, H., and Takeda, K. (1980). “A stress-strain relationship for granular materials derived from microscopic shear mechanisms.” *Soils Found.*, 20(3), 45–58.
- Mindlin, R. D. (1969). “Microstructure in linear elasticity.” *Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal.*, 16, 51–78.
- Oda, M. (1977). “Coordination number and its relation to shear strength of granular material.” *Soils Found.*, 17(2), 29–42.
- Ortiz, M., Pinsky, P. M., and Taylor, R. L. (1983). “Operator split methods for the numerical solution of the elastoplastic dynamic problem.” *Comput. Methods Appl. Mech. Eng.*, 39, 137–157.
- Pande, G. N., and Sharma, K. G. (1982). “Multi-laminate model of clays-a numerical evaluation of the influence of rotation of the principal stress axis.” *Proc., Symp. on Implementation of Computer Procedures and Stress-Strain Laws in Geotechnical Engineering*, C. S. Desai and S. K. Saxena, eds., Acorn, Durham, N.C., 575–590.
- Rothenburg, L., and Selvadurai, A. P. S. (1981). “Micromechanical definitions of the Cauchy stress tensor for particular media.” *Mechanics of structured media*, A. P. S. Selvadurai, ed., Elsevier, Amsterdam, 469–486.
- Rowe, P. W. (1962). “The stress-dilatancy relations for static equilibrium of an assembly of particles in contact.” *Proc. R. Soc. London, Ser. A*, 269, 500–527.
- Simo, J. C., and Hughes, T. J. R. (1998). *Computational inelasticity*, Springer, New York.
- Suiker, A. S. J., and Chang, C. S. (2004). “Modelling failure and deformation of an assembly of spheres with frictional contacts.” *J. Eng. Mech.*, 130(3), 283–293.
- Taylor, D. W. (1948). *Fundamentals of soil mechanics*, Wiley, New York.
- Walton, K. (1987). “The effective elastic moduli of a random packing of spheres.” *J. Mech. Phys. Solids*, 35, 213–226.
- Zervoyanis, C. (1982). “Etude synthétique des propriétés mécaniques des argiles et des sables sur chemin oedométrique et triaxial de révolution.” Thèse de Docteur-Ingénieur, Ecole Centrale de Paris (in French).